## **Research Article**

# The Effect of Three Different Meditation Exercises on Hypertension: A Network Meta-Analysis

Hongchang Yang,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Xueping Wu,<sup>2,4</sup> and Min Wang<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Physical Education Department, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China
<sup>2</sup>Shanghai Key Lab of Human Performance, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China
<sup>3</sup>School of Physical Education and Coaching, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China
<sup>4</sup>Scientific Research Department Academy of Science, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China
<sup>5</sup>Physical Education Department, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai 200433, China
<sup>6</sup>School of Kinesiology, Shanghai University of Sport, Shanghai 200438, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Min Wang; minwang@mail.shufe.edu.cn

Received 7 December 2016; Revised 8 March 2017; Accepted 22 March 2017; Published 26 April 2017

Academic Editor: Karin Kraft

Copyright © 2017 Hongchang Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We aimed to use the pairwise and network meta-analysis to estimate the effects of different meditation exercises on the control of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were retrieved from PubMed and Embase up to June 2016, which are published in English and reported on meditation exercise for hypertensive patients. Risks of bias assessment of the included studies were assessed by Cochrane Collaboration Recommendations and network meta-analysis was performed by ADDIS. Mean difference (MD) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were used as the effect size. A number of 19 RCTs were included in this study. Results of pairwise comparisons indicated that meditation exercise could significantly decrease the SBP and DBP, compared with other interventions (MD = -7.10, 95% CI: -10.82 to -3.39; MD = -4.02, 95% CI: -6.12 to -1.92). With good consistence and convergence, network meta-analysis showed that there were no significant differences between meditation and other interventions on SBP. For DBP, Qigong was significantly lower than "no intervention" (MD = -11.73, 95% CI: -19.85 to -3.69). Qigong may be the optimal exercise way in lowering SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients, but a detailed long-term clinical research should be needed in the future.

## 1. Introduction

Hypertension is one of the most common cardiovascular diseases worldwide with an increasing incidence among adolescents and adults. Increased systemic artery pressure is the major clinical manifestation of this disease. Hypertension is a risk factor for stroke, coronary heart disease, heart failure, renal insufficiency, and failure [1]. It is estimated that about 23.3 million deaths will occur in 2030, and about 80% of them are in low- and middle-income countries [2]. Despite the advanced antihypertensive medications and the increasing health care expenses, there are still two-thirds of hypertensive patients uncontrolled. Due to the above reasons, nonpharmacologic approaches, including exercise, physical activity, and life style modifications, have been recommended for the management of hypertension by the Joint National Committee [3].

Qigong is an ancient Chinese movement for people to improve their mind status. Qigong consists of series of exercises, such as meditation, breathing, rhythmical movements, and focus of intention. As its definition depicted, Qi is an important energy of the body and gong is the exercise that will promote Qi through the body so that the body can heal itself [1]. Previous studies have demonstrated that Qigong is beneficial in lowering hypertension as well as improving life qualities [4].

Similarly as Qigong, Tai Chi (also known as Shadow Boxing, Taiji, Tai Chi Chuan, or Tai Chi Quan) is another

traditional Chinese exercise, which is performed dominantly by the elders to enhance body balance and awareness [5]. Since the 1980s, many of the scientific studies in both Chinese and English have reported Tai Chi is able to relieve some chronic syndromes, such as heart failure, rheumatoid arthritis, and human immunodeficiency related diseases [6, 7]. With the prevalence of meditation exercises, research and application of Tai Chi for hypertensive patients are also increased.

Yoga is a part of India traditional spiritual practice for individual to achieve the union of spirit, mind, and body. Despite its origins, Yoga has become a prevalent movement for mental and physical relaxing and a complementary method for chronic diseases control [2]. As a combination therapy, Yoga is also recommended to reduce the risk of cardiovascular causative factors such as hypertension [8], depression [9], and stress [10].

Although many articles have reported that meditation exercises, such as Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi, could effectively reduce the blood pressure and the effectiveness of them has been estimated by meta-analysis or summarized in a systematic review, the comparisons only focused on two of the interventions such as Yoga and care and Yoga and no active intervention [11, 12]; the simultaneous comparison among multiple meditation approaches is rarely reported. Therefore, in the current study, a network meta-analysis was conducted to comprehensively evaluate the effect of three meditation exercises including Qigong, Tai Chi, and Yoga on blood pressure reduction, so that an optimal strategy or some useful information can be obtained for the hypertension control in clinical treatment.

#### 2. Methods

2.1. Literature Selection. Literatures were searched from electronic databases of PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/) and Embase (http://www.embase.com/) from their inception to June 2016 with English publications reported on the association between exercise and hypertension. The search strategy was set as the combinations of the following terms: hypertension (OR "high blood pressure" OR "Blood pressure") AND Qigong (OR "Qi-gong" OR "chi-gong" OR "chi kung") AND Yoga (OR "Yogic") AND Tai Chi (OR "Taijiquan" OR "Shadow Boxing").

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) the articles investigated influence of meditation exercises such as Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi on the administrations of SBP and DBP in patients with hypertension; (2) the studies were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and the treatment group were hypertensive patients intervened by meditation exercises such as Qigong, Yoga, or Tai Chi, while control group were hypertensive patients underwent walking, jogging, routine nursing, education, or "no intervention"; (3) articles could provide sufficient data to calculate the indexes of SBP and DBP after exercising by Qigong, Yoga, Tai Chi, or other interventions. However, studies were excluded if they were reviews, reports, comments, or negotiation letters. 2.3. Data Extraction and Risks of Bias Assessment. Data included in each eligible article was extracted by two independent authors. The extracted information included first authors' name, publication year, research country, and basic characteristics of participants such as gender, age, the interventions, and follow-up status. Risks of bias assessment were evaluated by the Cochrane Collaboration Recommendations assessment tools, which was recommended by the Cochrane Handbook [13]. Once any disagreement appeared during data extraction or assessment, the third investigator was required to discuss solutions.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. R 3.12 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Beijing, China, meta package) was selected to perform the pairwise meta-analysis. Mean difference (MD) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were used to present the effect size of the blood effect. Heterogeneity across trials was estimated by the  $\chi^2$ -based Q test [14] and  $I^2$  statistics, by which p value < 0.05 or  $I^2 > 50\%$  was considered to be heterogeneous and the random-effects model was chosen; otherwise (p value > 0.05 or  $I^2 \leq 50\%$ ), the fixed-effects model was selected [15]. Publication bias was examined by Egger's test [16].

Aggregate data drug information system (ADDIS, 1.16.5) was used for the network meta-analysis. This software was a nonprogramming software, which was based on Bayesian Framework and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) theory and had a priori evaluation and processing for the research data [17, 18]. Similarly to pairwise comparison, MD with its 95% CI was also used as the effect size indicators for the measure of outcomes. Random-effects model was utilized to estimate the effect size in this study. As an alternative method for inconsistency assessment in network meta-analysis, nodesplitting analysis was used to evaluate the consistency of data. When p value > 0.05, the consistency model was utilized; otherwise, the inconsistency model was selected [19]. Convergence of model was assessed by Brooks-Gelman-Rubin method, by which the major reference index was the potential scale reduction factor (PSRF). The more PSRF becomes close to 1, the better convergence presents. Normally, PSRF can be accepted less than 1.2 [20].

#### 3. Results

3.1. Eligible Studies and Their Characteristics. A flowchart of literature searching and selection procedure was showed in Figure 1. According to the search strategy, a set of 508 papers were identified from PubMed (249) and Embase (259) databases. Of these, 143 were excluded due to duplication. After scanning the title or abstract, 222 papers were excluded from the remaining 365 researches on account of the contents obviously unrelated with our research. By further examination, 111 of the rest of the papers were excluded: letters (n = 8), case/series reports (n = 9), literature reviews (n = 26), and irrelevant studies (n = 68). Subsequently, the full-text of the remaining 32 articles was reviewed and 5 of them were duplicated and 8 were non-RCTs. Finally, a total of 19 eligible studies were included in this network meta-analysis [4, 21–38].



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of literature search and study selection.

The baseline characteristics of the included articles were summarized in Table 1. As revealed in this table, the identified 19 studies contained 1459 hypertensive patients, including 752 cases in the treatment group and 707 cases in the control group. The publication time of these studies ranged from 1991 to 2016, and most of them (18/19) were published after 2003. Participants mainly came from the following countries: Iran, America, Korea, Thailand, India, and China. All of the included patients were middle-aged, and there were no significant differences identified in the age and sex ratio terms. For the treatment group, Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi were the major interventions, while, in control group, patients just underwent normal exercise, routing nursing education, or "no intervention." The follow-up period of both groups ranged from 8 weeks to 1 year. Risks of bias assessment indicated that the included studies had a good quality. However, several articles had relatively high risk of allocation concealment (selection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), and blinding of outcomes assessment (detection bias) (Figure 2).

3.2. Pairwise Meta-Analysis Results. According to significant heterogeneity (p < 0.05,  $I^2 > 50\%$ ) estimated in systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), random-effects model was selected to calculate the pooled results. As a result, medication exercises, including Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi, remarkably lowered the SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients compared with controls (MD = -7.10, 95% CI: -10.82 to -3.39; MD = -4.02, 95% CI: -6.12 to -1.92). Based on Egger's test results, there was no significant publication bias among studies regarding SBP (t = -0.669, p = 0.5115) and DBP (t = -1.2388, p = 0.2305), and these results reflected that results of our study had a relatively high reliability. Furthermore, subgroup analysis indicated that Tai Chi,

Qigong, and Yoga also significantly decreased the SBPs and DBPs compared with other interventions, such as care and education (Figures 3 and 4).

3.3. Network Meta-Analysis. ADDIS software was utilized to perform network meta-analysis, and the parameters were set as number of chains: 4, tuning iterations: 20000, simulation iterations: 50000, thinning interval: 10, inference samples: 10000, and variance scaling factor: 2.5. All the interventions were considered to construct a comprehensive network to show the investigations performed for both SBP and DBP (Figure 5). Because all the *p* values > 0.05 in node-splitting analysis (Tables 2 and 3) and PSRFs ranged from 1.00 to 1.01, good consistence of the included studies and better convergence of the model were obtained. Therefore, the consistency model is utilized for the subsequent network analysis.

The results of network meta-analysis were listed in Tables 4 and 5. In terms of SBP, Qigong showed a better outcome in SBP control but there were no significant differences detected compared with other interventions (Table 4), while, in terms of DBP, Qigong, education, and Yoga all presented promising reductions in DBP, but only Qigong showed a significant alteration compared with "no interventions" (MD = -11.73, 95% CI: -19.85 to -3.69, Table 5).

3.4. Rank Probability. The rank probability of hypertension was presented in Figure 6. For each intervention, the total rank probability was 1. A large portion of rank 1 represented a worse outcome, while a large portion of rank N represented a better effect outcome. In terms of SBP, both Qigong and Yoga had better outcomes than others, while "no intervention" had a worse outcome on SBP control, but the differences were not reflected compared with other interventions. In terms of DBP, both Qigong and Yoga had better outcomes

Author	Vear	Location	M	/F	Ag	e, y	Treatment aroun	Control aroun	Follow-up
TOTTINT 7	TINT	FOCULO	T	С	Т	С	irrauman Broad	Anore Store	dn monor
Lee et al.	2003	Korea	10/19	13/16	$55.8 \pm 6.3$	$57.1 \pm 7.6$	Shuxinpingxue gong 30 min/d	Wait-list control	10  wk
Lee et al.	2004	Korea	8/9	6/13	$52.6 \pm 5.1$	$54.3 \pm 5.5$	Shuxinpingxue gong 30 min/d	Wait-list control	8 wk
Cheung et al.	2005	China	21/26	16/25	$57.2 \pm 9.5$	$51.2 \pm 7.4$	Guolin qigong 60 min in the morning and 15 min in the evening/d	Conventional exercise: 60 min in the morning and 15 min in the evening of d	16 wk
Xiao et al.	2016	China	NA	NA	65.6 ±	7.8	NA	Wait-list control	6 ms
Cohen et al.	2011	USA	23/23	16/16	$48.2\pm1.6$	$48.3\pm2.4$	Iyengar Yoga; 2 × 70 min/week for 6 weeks + 1 × 70 min/week	Enhanced usual care; $4 \times 60 \text{ min/week}$	12 wk
Cohen et al.	2016	USA	22/21	24/23	47.4 ±13	$46.1 \pm 13$	Semiprivate structured classes, self-practice, community-structured classes	Blood Pressure Education Program	24 wk
Hagins et al.	2014	New Zealand	22/33	14/25	$56.4 \pm 9.78$	52.45 ± 12.19	Modified Ashtanga Yoga; 2 × 55 min/week + 3 × 20 min/week home practice	Exercise, strengthening, stretching; 2 × 55 min/week + 3 × 20 min/week home practice	12 wk
Nejati et al.	2015	Iran	9/6	8/7	NA	NA	Yoga exercises	Mindfulness-based stress-reduction program	8 wk
McCaffrey et al.	2005	Thailand	10/17	9/18	Me: 56.7	Me: 56.2	Yoga; 3 × 63 min/week	Usual care	8 wk
Mourya et al.	2009	India	22/18	9/11	$160.03 \pm 5.21$	158.10 ± 7.12	Yoga; 2 weeks to learn the breathing exercise technique + homework 2 × 15 min/day	Usual care	3 ms
Murugesan et al.	2000	India	NA	NA	35-6	5	Yoga; $6 \times 120 \text{ min/week}$	(1) Usual care, f; (2) no treatment	11 wk
Patil et al.	2014	India	NA	NA	68.68 ± 4.97	69.17 ± 5.99	Yoga practice under the supervision of Yoga instructor for six days in a week for one hour daily	Practices for 15–20 min followed by walking for 35–40 min and rest for 5 min for six days in a week	3 ms
Saptharishi et al.	2009	India	15/10	39/17	$22.5 \pm 1.36$	$22.4 \pm 1.3$	Yoga; $5 \times 30-45$ min/week	(1) Usual care; (2) exercise 4 × 50–60 min/week	8 wk
Latha and Kaliappan Tolbaños	1991		NA	NA	NA	NA	Yoga; 17 × twice weekly	Usual care	6 ms
Roche and Mas Hesse	2014	Spain	8/17	10/15	57.70 ± 7.82	57.90 ± 8.67	Integrative Yoga Therapy	No treatment	3 ms
Wolff et al.	2016	Sweden	44/52	48/47	64.7 ± 9.2	64.8 ± 7.6	Yoga programme used in the study takes about 15 min to perform and incorporates the following exercises	No treatment	12 wk
Lo et al.	2012	Taiwan	33/2	5 L	58.47 ±	7.46	Yang-style Tai Chi exercise programme three times a week	Routine care with no Tai Chi exercise	8 wk
Pan et al.	2015	China	14/10	10/6	$56.371 \pm 3.95$	$56.88 \pm 3.95$	The 60 min exercise period began with a 10 min warm-up and ended with a 10 min wear-um	No treatment	12 wk
Sun and Buys	2015	China	19/117	29/101	NA	NA	Participants were taught a variety of meditation techniques by an experienced trainer including breathing, balance, flexibility, concentration, and calming	No treatment	12 ms

TABLE 1: General characteristics of the included articles.

4

T: treatment group; C: control group; wk: weeks; ms: months; na: not available; M: male; F: female; Me: mean age.



FIGURE 2: Risks of bias assessment.

and "no intervention" had a worse outcome on DBP control. Interestingly, only Qigong was significantly better than "no intervention" (MD = -11.73, 95% CI: -19.85 to -3.69).

## 4. Discussion

The effect of meditation on blood pressure control had been reported in many RCTs. However, the previous studies did

not have a simultaneous systematic review of the relationships for all relevant evidences. For this article, 19 papers with 1459 patients were enrolled to illustrate the effects of different medication exercises on hypertension control. The pairwise meta-analysis in this study showed that Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi could significantly reduce the SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients, compared with no intervention, education, or exercise. However, results of network

	Ex	perimei	ntal		Control		Moon difference	/D	05% CI	W (fired)	W (random)
	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Mean difference in	<i>AD</i>	95% CI	w (lixed)	w (random)
Group = Oigong versus exercise											
Cheung et al. 2005 Fixed-effects model	<b>47</b> 47	135.50	10.00	<b>41</b> 41	129.70	11.10	→ 5	.80 .80	<b>[1.36; 10.24]</b> [1.36; 10.24]	<b>2.8%</b> 2.8%	5.0%
Random-effects model							l      5	.80	[1.36; 10.24]		5.0%
<i>Heterogeneity: not applicable for a single</i>	study										
Group = Qigong versus no intervention	1										
Lee et al. 2003	29	131.34	9.05	29	151.72	10.37		20.38	[-25.39; -15.37	] 2.2%	4.9%
Lee et al. 2004	17	137.30	7.50	19	151.70	11.30		4.40	[-20.61; -8.19]	1.5%	4.7%
Xiao et al. 2016	24	136.40	10.40	24	155.70	12.30		.9.30	[-25.74; -12.86	1.4%	4.6%
Fixed-effects model	70			72				.8.36	[-21.70; -15.03]	5.0%	14.204
Heterogeneity: I-sauared = 11.9%, tau-	sauare	d = 1.20	)6, p =	0.321	4			0.32	[-21.09; -14.75		14.270
8	1										
Group = Tai Chi versus care									[ ]		
Lo et al. 2012 Eired affacts model	27	130.50	14.50	31 21	130.23	12.06		.27	[-6.65; 7.19]	1.2%	4.5%
Random-effects model	27			51				.27	[-6.65:7.19]	1.270	4.5%
Heterogeneity: not applicable for a single	studv						:	. 27	[ 0.05, 7.17]		1.570
Group = Tai Chi versus no interventioi	1	152.42	2.00	16	150.12	2.00		5 71	[ 0 22, 2 20]	0.00/	E 20/
Pan et al. 2015 Sup and Buye 2015	126	152.42	3.98	120	158.13	3.96		5./1 7.05	[-8.22; -3.20]	8.9%	5.3%
Fixed-effects model	160	120.20	14.05	146	120.13	14.55		7 <b>.05</b> 6.43	[-11.30; -4.34] [-8.48; -4.39]	13.5%	5.170
Random-effects model	100			1 10				6.43	[-8.48; -4.39]		10.4%
Heterogeneity: I-squared = 0%, tau-squ	iared	= 0, p =	0.3308	3					L		
Group = Yoga versus care											
Cohen et al. 2011	46	126.00	3.00	32	131.00	2.00		5.00	[-6.11: -3.89]	45.6%	5.4%
McCaffrey et al. 2005	27	136.04	12.98	27	161.89	17.38		25.85	[-34.03; -17.67	0.8%	4.3%
Murugesan et al. 2000	11	123.09	10.14	11	134.87	12.65	-1	1.78	[-21.36; -2.20]	0.6%	4.0%
Mourya et al. 2009	40	139.44	5.25	20	146.00	5.25		6.56	[-9.38; -3.74]	7.1%	5.2%
Saptharishi et al. 2009	27	126.00	9.30	30	123.70	10.40		.30	[-2.81; 7.41]	2.1%	4.9%
Latha and Kaliappan 1991	7	148.32	10.14	7	152.16	11.20		3.84	[-15.03; 7.35]	0.4%	3.6%
Fixed-effect model	158			127				5.29 7.55	[-6.29; -4.30]	56.7%	27.40/
Random-effects model	0.011.0.8	d _ 22	15 0 <	0.000	1		-,	/.55	[-12.19; -2.90]		27.4%
11eterogenetty: 1-squarea – 65.570, taa-	squuri	:u – 25.ª	±3, p <	0.000	1						
Group = Yoga versus education				10					f	1 4 8 9 4	4 604
Noioti et al. 2015	43	129.70	7.21	48	160.60	16.00		0.90	[-3/./0; -24.10]	1.2%	4.6%
Fixed-effect model	58	155.21	7.21	63	155.07	5.80		33	[14.00; 24.22]	3.8%	5.0%
Random-effects model	50			05				5.62	[-55.05; 43.81]		9.5%
<i>Heterogeneity: I-squared = 99.3%, tau-</i>	square	ed = 126	3, p <	0.000	L				[,,		
Group = Yoga versus exercise											
Hagins et al. 2014	45	135.53	9.79	39	133.80	9 86	1	.73	[-2.48; 5.94]	3.2%	5.0%
Patil et al. 2014	28	133.86	7.37	29	146.82	6.03		2.96	[-16.46; -9.46]	4.6%	5.1%
Saptharishi et al. 2009	27	126.00	9.30	28	123.30	5.20	2	.70	[-1.30; 6.70]	3.5%	5.1%
Fixed-effect model	100			96				3.95	[-6.18; -1.71]	11.2%	
Random-effects model								2.89	[-13.32; 7.54]		15.3%
<i>Heterogeneity: I-squared</i> = 95.3%, <i>tau-</i>	square	ed = 81,	p < 0.0	0001							
Group = Yoga versus no intervention											
Murugesan et al. 2000	11	123.09	10.14	11	151.26	11.20	-2	28.17	[-37.10; -19.24	] 0.7%	4.1%
Tolbaños Roche and Mas Hesse 2014	25	137.10	11.57	25	134.50	15.40	2	.60	[-4.95; 10.15]	1.0%	4.4%
Wolff et al. 2016	96	145.40	13.40	95	145.20	12.80	0	.20	[-3.52; 3.92]	4.1%	5.1%
Fixed-effect model	132			131				2.86	[-5.99; 0.26]	5.8%	1.2 < 0/
Kandom-effects model $Heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.4\%, tau-$	square	ed = 186	.2, p <	0.000	1			5.10	[-24.07; 7.86]		13.6%
Fived_effect model	752			707			1	5 1 1	[-5.86: -4.34]	100%	
Random-effects model	134			/0/			· Y	7.10	[-10.82; -3.39]		100%
Heterogeneity: I-squared = 94.6%, tau-	square	ed = 66.9	58, p <	0.000	1						
							-30-20-10 0 10 20 30				

FIGURE 3: Pairwise comparison results of systolic blood pressure.

meta-analysis showed that only Qigong had a remarkable effect on lowering DBP, compared with "no intervention."

Slow-breathing contributes to the decrease of heart rate by decreasing activities of both sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems, so that it can affect blood pressure [29]. Qigong, Tai Chi, and Yoga are the most common exercise types of meditation. Meditation is a common approach for anxiety reducing [39]. Lee has previously reported that Qigong can positively modulate blood pressure level and urinary catecholamine by stabilizing sympathetic nervous system which is responded to anxiety disorder [4]. Another research of Lee et al. also shows that Qigong has a significant benefit for SBP and DBP reduction after 8 weeks of exercise [25]. However, with small samples, a meta-analysis of Guo et al. has demonstrated that the self-practiced Qigong for less than 1 year has a better outcome in lowering hypertension

#### Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Study	E	xperime	ntal		Control		Mean differ	rence	MD	95% CI	W (fixed)	W (random)
	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD					(,	
Group = Oigong versus exercise												
Chaung at al. 2005	47	07 10	7 70	41	06.00	7.00						5.00/
Cheung et al. 2005	47	87.10	7.70	41	86.00	7.00	ΙŢ.	-	1.10	[-1.97; 4.17]	2.1%	5.2%
Fixed-effect model	4/			41			X		1.10	[-1.97; 4.17]	2.1%	 5.20/
Heterogeneity: not applicable for a single si	udv							•	1.10	[-1.97; 4.17]		5.2%
ficterogeneny. not appreade for a single si	uny											
Group = Oigong versus no intervention												
Lee et al. 2003	29	86.89	7.12	29	96.89	5.41			-10.00	[-13.25: -6.75]	1.9%	5.1%
Lee et al. 2004	17	83.60	6.20	19	96.90	4.60	∺I		-13.30	[-16.90; -9.70]	1.5%	5.0%
Xiao et al. 2016	24	85.10	7.50	24	101.50	7.30	_ <b>→</b>		-16.40	[-20.59; -12.21]	1.1%	4.7%
Fixed-effect model	70			72			♦ ::		-12.71	[-14.80; -10.62]	4.5%	
Random-effects model							$\diamond$		-13.05	[-16.63; -9.46]		14.7%
<i>Heterogeneity: I-squared</i> = $65.2\%$ , <i>tau-sq</i>	uared =	= 6.537,	p = 0.0	564								
Group = Tai Chi versus care												
Lo et al. 2012	27	87.11	2.70	31	83.07	2.94	티	+	4.04	[2.59; 5.49]	9.4%	5.6%
Fixed-effect model	27			31				\$ ^	4.04	[2.59; 5.49]	9.4%	
Random-effects model	hida							V .	4.04	[2.59; 5.49]		5.6%
iierogeneny. not applicable for a single si	шиу											
Group = Tai Chi versus no intervention												
Pan et al 2015	24	83.00	3 4 4	16	87 31	3 91			-4 31	[-6.67: -1.95]	3.6%	5.4%
Sun and Buys 2015	136	75 31	14 53	130	79.58	12.44			-4.27	[-7.52; -1.02]	1.9%	5.1%
Fixed-effect model	160	70101	1 1100	146	/ / / 000	12111			-4.30	[-6.20; -2.39]	5.4%	
Random-effects model									-4.30	[-6.20; -2.39]		10.5%
<i>Heterogeneity: I-squared</i> = 0%, <i>tau-squa</i>	red = 0,	p = 0.9	844									
Group = Yoga versus care												
Cohen et al. 2011	46	78.00	2.00	32	80.00	1.00	+		-2.00	[-2.67; -1.33]	43.5%	5.8%
McCaffrey et al. 2005	27	81.01	10.34	27	100.59	9.72	iii		-19.58	[-24.93; -14.23]	0.7%	4.2%
Murugesan et al. 2000	11	82.36	9.14	11	96.54	8.29	<u>ii</u>		-14.18	[-21.47; -6.89]	0.4%	3.4%
Mourya et al. 2009	40	87.51	2.05	20	90.93	2.05	- EL.		-3.42	[-4.52; -2.32]	16.3%	5.7%
Saptharishi et al. 2009	2/	83.80	6.30	30	82.80	6.30			1.00	[-2.28; 4.28]	1.8%	5.1%
Fired effect model	150	99.52	9.14	127	100.12	10.27			-0.80	[-10.96; 9.36]	62.0%	2.5%
Random-effects model	150			127					-5.43	[-3.10, -1.98] [-8.48, -2.38]	05.070	26.6%
Heterogeneity: I-squared = 91.4%, tau-sq	uared =	= 9.595,	p < 0.0	001					5.15	[ 0.40, 2.50]		20.070
0 / 1 /												
Group = Yoga versus education												
Cohen et al. 2016	43	78.00	14.00	48	80.00	13.00			-2.00	[-7.57; 3.57]	0.6%	4.1%
Nejati et al. 2015	15	92.60	5.21	15	86.50	5.05	- [] -	- <b>+</b>	6.10	[2.43; 9.77]	1.5%	4.9%
Fixed-effect model	58			63				>	3.65	[0.58; 6.71]	2.1%	
Random-effects model								>	2.33	[-5.59; 10.25]		9.0%
<i>Heterogeneity: I-squared = 82.3%, tau-sq</i>	uared =	= 27.01,	p = 0.0	173								
Croup - Voga vorous ovorcios												
Gloup – Toga versus exercise	45	00.02	7.22	20	00.17	7.40	티		0.65		2.00/	F 10/
Hagins et al. 2014	45	80.82	/.55	39	80.17	/.49		-	0.65	[-2.53; 3.83]	2.0%	5.1%
Falli el al. 2014 Santharishi et al. 2009	28	/5.10	4.14	29	74.79 81.60	4.57		_	-1.09	[-3.90; 0.52]	4.0% 2.5%	5.4%
Fixed-effect model	100	05.00	0.50	96	01.00	4.00			-0.00	[-1.53, 1.52]	8.5%	5.570
Random-effects model	100			20					0.24	[-2.17; 2.66]		15.8%
Heterogeneity: I-squared = 58.2%, tau-sq	uared =	= 2.644,	p = 0.0	917						[,]		
0 / 1 / 1			L									
Group = Yoga versus no intervention												
Murugesan et al. 2000	11	82.36	9.14	11	107.10	10.27	<u>→</u>		-24.74	[-32.86; -16.62]	0.3%	3.1%
Tolbaños Roche and Mas Hesse 2014	25	80.60	8.36	25	78.30	12.75			2.30	[-3.68; 8.28]	0.6%	3.9%
Wolff et al. 2016	96	86.30	7.70	95	84.90	7.70	i <del> *</del> -		1.40	[-0.78; 3.58]	4.1%	5.5%
Fixed-effect model	132			131			i 🗠		-0.07	[-2.06; 1.92]	5.0%	
Random-effects model		124.2		0.0.1				-	-6.51	[-19.56; 6.55]		12.5%
11eterogeneuy: 1-squarea = 94.7%, tau-sq	иитеа =	- 124.3,	p < 0.0	001								
Fixed effect model	750			707					_1.02	[_2 38. 1 40]	1000/	
Random-effects model	134			/0/			الآخ		-1.93	[-2.30; -1.49] [-6.12; -1.92]	10070	100%
Heterogeneity: I-squared = 93.7% tau-sa	wared =	= 19.83	p < 0.0	001					1.02	[ 0.12, 1.72]		100/0
6			1 . 0.0									
							-30 -20 -10 0	10 20 30				

FIGURE 4: Pairwise comparison results of diastolic blood pressure.

compared with "no intervention," but no superior outcomes were detected while compared with other interventions [1], which is also supported by Cheung et al. [21]. But in this research, results of pairwise analysis indicated that Qigong could significantly lower both SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients. The small discrepancy of Qigong's result between this and previous articles may be highly related to different sample sizes and follow-up times. For instance, in Guo's



FIGURE 5: Network comparison of hypertension control. *Note.* The lines represent the comparison between two interventions and the numbers represent the numbers of the articles that provide these data.

TABLE 2: Node-splitting analysis of systolic blood pressure.

Name	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Overall	<i>p</i> value
Care, exercise	-0.51 (-31.31, 29.68)	-5.89 (-27.80, 16.70)	-5.20 (-22.83, 11.64)	0.78
Care, no intervention	16.55 (-13.78, 46.37)	0.01 (-17.91, 17.27)	5.60 (-10.31, 21.37)	0.32
Care, Tai Chi	0.33 (-29.96, 30.67)	-1.72 (-30.28, 26.20)	-0.66 (-20.66, 19.75)	0.92
Care, Yoga	-8.36 (-20.05, 3.46)	4.48 (-18.76, 27.45)	-6.58 (-17.94, 4.58)	0.31
Exercise, Qigong	5.50 (-23.63, 34.09)	-12.09 (-40.33, 15.46)	-4.20 (-24.47, 16.31)	0.35
Exercise, Yoga	-3.08 (-20.02, 14.64)	1.39 (-24.30, 26.91)	-1.34 (-15.92, 13.49)	0.75
No intervention, Qigong	-18.17 (-35.20, -0.62)	0.04 (-36.64, 36.39)	-14.91 (-30.22, 0.25)	0.34
No intervention, Tai Chi	-6.67 (-28.30, 15.10)	-5.04 (-41.31, 30.83)	-6.29 (-23.92, 11.83)	0.93
No intervention, Yoga	-8.04 (-25.19, 9.46)	-22.58(-44.52, -0.74)	-12.18 (-26.54, 2.32)	0.28

TABLE 3: Node-splitting analysis of diastolic blood pressure.

Name	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Overall	<i>p</i> value
Care, exercise	-1.38 (-17.16, 15.08)	-5.95 (-17.68, 5.80)	-5.00 (-14.10, 3.61)	0.62
Care, no intervention	11.98 (-4.20, 27.10)	-0.40 (-9.34, 8.21)	3.83 (-4.65, 12.47)	0.16
Care, Tai Chi	4.21 (-11.47, 19.85)	-1.92 (-16.38, 13.43)	1.12 (-8.93, 11.63)	0.55
Care, Yoga	-6.28 (-12.05, -0.83)	5.07 (-5.50, 15.87)	-4.47 (-10.68, 1.62)	0.06
Exercise, Qigong	1.09 (-14.76, 16.18)	-6.87 (-21.23, 8.51)	-2.94 (-13.17, 7.69)	0.43
Exercise, Yoga	0.24 (-8.81, 9.63)	0.42 (-13.45, 13.39)	0.58 (-7.17, 8.23)	0.98
No intervention, Qigong	-13.10 (-22.30, -4.07)	-5.37 (-25.48, 14.46)	-11.73 (-19.85, -3.69)	0.46
No intervention, Tai Chi	-4.32 (-15.58, 6.84)	1.67 (-16.81, 20.25)	-2.73 (-11.89, 6.53)	0.56
No intervention, Yoga	-5.80 (-14.95, 3.14)	-13.49 (-25.43, -2.34)	-8.31 (-15.91, -0.74)	0.25

research, the sample size is small and the follow-up time is less than 1 year. However, in our study, the large sample size may reduce some influence caused by some potential bias risks despite the follow-up time which ranged from 8 weeks to 1 year. In addition, Qigong is usually performed in Asia, especially in China, and many of the researches were conducted among Chinese. In Guo's research, both English and Chinese published papers have been included, but in our study, only English written papers were included. Hence there will be a significant heterogeneity of the extracted SBP and DBP data in this article. Hagins et al. [40] and Tsai et al. [5] also have reported that Yoga and Tai Chi could significantly decrease the SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients than other interventions. In this research, results of the pairwise analysis indicated that Qigong, Tai Chi, and Yoga could significantly lower the SBP and DBP of hypertensive patients compared with "no intervention" as well as other exercises or education. As it is reported in many articles, mediation is a slow exercise and the benefits may need a longer time to realize than pharmacy treatment, so a long-term meditation effect analysis is needed in the future.

An important advantage of this study over previous researches is the ability to compare the different meditation exercises simultaneously and combine them into a comprehensive network; thus it can provide us with the best solution for hypertension control. Compared to the pairwise metaanalysis, this method not only can perform comparisons between each two of the included interventions, but also can simulate the real condition of pathogenesis. This means influence of the inventions could be estimated by series of

		TABLE 4: Network	meta-analysis results of sys	tolic blood pressure.		
Care	-1.09(-25.00, 22.75)	-5.20(-22.83, 11.64)	5.60 (-10.31, 21.37)	-9.44(-29.38, 11.38)	-0.66(-20.66, 19.75)	-6.58(-17.94, 4.58)
1.09(-22.75, 25.00)	Education	-4.11(-29.84, 21.84)	6.86(-18.68, 32.39)	-8.04(-36.95, 20.32)	0.59(-29.23, 30.12)	-5.32(-26.52, 15.87)
5.20 (-11.64, 22.83)	4.11(-21.84, 29.84)	Exercise	10.85(-7.61, 29.21)	-4.20(-24.47, 16.31)	4.64(-19.31, 28.84)	-1.34(-15.92, 13.49)
-5.60(-21.37, 10.31)	-6.86(-32.39, 18.68)	-10.85(-29.21, 7.61)	No intervention	-14.91(-30.22, 0.25)	-6.29(-23.92, 11.83)	-12.18(-26.54, 2.32)
9.44(-11.38, 29.38)	8.04(-20.32, 36.95)	4.20(-16.31, 24.47)	14.91 (-0.25, 30.22)	Qigong	8.67(-13.94, 31.54)	2.79(-16.31, 21.92)
0.66(-19.75, 20.66)	-0.59(-30.12, 29.23)	-4.64(-28.84, 19.31)	6.29(-11.83, 23.92)	-8.67(-31.54, 13.94)	Tai Chi	-5.77(-26.17, 14.55)
6.58(-4.58, 17.94)	5.32 (-15.87, 26.52)	1.34(-13.49, 15.92)	12.18 (-2.32, 26.54)	-2.79(-21.92, 16.31)	5.77 (-14.55, 26.17)	Yoga

		TABLE 5: Network 1	neta-analysis results of dias	tolic blood pressure.		
Care	-6.71 (-19.46, 5.97)	-5.00(-14.10, 3.61)	3.83(-4.65, 12.47)	-7.96(-18.65, 3.19)	1.12 (-8.93, 11.63)	-4.47 (-10.68, 1.62)
6.71(-5.97, 19.46)	Education	1.64(-11.93, 15.49)	10.45(-3.07, 24.24)	-1.28(-16.22, 14.14)	7.74 (-7.76, 23.16)	2.26(-8.92, 13.51)
5.00(-3.61, 14.10)	-1.64(-15.49, 11.93)	Exercise	8.80(-0.65, 18.73)	-2.94(-13.17, 7.69)	6.06(-5.70, 18.49)	0.58(-7.17, 8.23)
-3.83(-12.47, 4.65)	-10.45(-24.24, 3.07)	-8.80(-18.73, 0.65)	No intervention	-11.73(-19.85, -3.69)	-2.73 ( $-11.89$ , $6.53$ )	-8.31(-15.91, -0.74)
7.96 (-3.19, 18.65)	1.28(-14.14, 16.22)	2.94(-7.69, 13.17)	11.73 (3.69, 19.85)	Qigong	8.99(-2.63, 21.06)	3.46(-6.81, 13.24)
-1.12(-11.63, 8.93)	-7.74(-23.16, 7.76)	-6.06(-18.49, 5.70)	2.73(-6.53, 11.89)	-8.99(-21.06, 2.63)	Tai Chi	-5.55(-16.20, 4.68)
4.47 (-1.62, 10.68)	-2.26 (-13.51, 8.92)	-0.58(-8.23, 7.17)	8.31 (0.74, 15.91)	-3.46(-13.24, 6.81)	5.55(-4.68, 16.20)	Yoga



FIGURE 6: Rank probability of systolic blood pressure (a) and diastolic blood pressure (b).

comparisons. Based on this method, ADDIS were selected as the analytical tool to evaluate the influence of different inventions. Despite the good consistence and convergence of the test model, no significant differences of SBP and DBP were observed between different interventions, except the comparison between Qigong and "no intervention" on DBP according to network meta-analysis. Both SBP and DBP are the indicators of hypertension; why only DBP had the significant lower effect than "no intervention" is not clear. The same phenomenon is also identified in Veronique A's [41] research which focuses on exercise training for hypertension. According to his study, the significant reductions of SBP and DBP usually occur in male participants and prehypertension participants. Compared with this research, although a larger sample capacity was concerned, the gender and hypertension stages of participants were not taken into account, as well as the subgroup analysis. Although many studies have reported that Yoga has a beneficial effect on lowering SBP and DBP [34, 36], the network analysis result is already deficient. Till now, the pathogenesis of hypertension is still unclear and can only be described as a functional disorder disease which is highly related to people's life style, diet, weight, mood, exercise, smoking, and drinking [42, 43].

Several limitations of this network meta-analysis should be taken into consideration. First, due to the incomplete extracted data, several related criteria were not included, such as essential and primary hypertension, elders and adolescents, diabetes, and renal disease and cardiovascular disease, and the subgroup analysis was not allowed. Second, as we suggested in the context, Qigong, Yoga, and Tai Chi are most prevalent in Asian countries; therefore, several articles were published in Chinese or other non-English languages; but in order to improve quality of the included papers, published in English was used as a criterion in this study; hence, there may exist selection bias and some unknown impact on the final result. Third, the lowering ability of interventions may be exaggerated due to the unclosed circle data and fewer included papers. Last but not least, despite the fact that ADDIS has a simple operation, the constraint programming property may have a conservative effect on the final result.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, results of the network meta-analysis suggest that Qigong may be a potential exercise pattern for hypertension control. Because Qigong is a chronic exercise and the outcome of it also comes slowly, therefore, this result still needed to be further verified by more eligible RCTs with large sample size and long-term clinical researches, as well as detailed subgroup analyses.

#### Abbreviations

SBP:Systolic blood pressureDBP:Diastolic blood pressureRCTs:Randomized controlled trialsMD:Mean differenceCI:Confidence intervalADDIS:Aggregate data drug information systemMCMC:Markov Chain Monte Carlo

PSRF: The potential scale reduction factor.

#### Disclosure

Hongchang Yang and Xueping Wu should be regarded as cofirst authors.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to state.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2010B26214) and Shanghai Key Lab of Human Performance (Shanghai University of Sport) (11DZ2261100).

### References

- X. Guo, B. Zhou, T. Nishimura, S. Teramukai, and M. Fukushima, "Clinical effect of Qigong practice on essential hypertension: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 27–37, 2008.
- [2] H. Cramer, R. Lauche, H. Haller, N. Steckhan, A. Michalsen, and G. Dobos, "Effects of yoga on cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 170–183, 2014.
- [3] G. Y. Yeh, C. Wang, P. M. Wayne, and R. S. Phillips, "The effect of Tai Chi exercise on blood pressure: a systematic review," *Preventive Cardiology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 82–89, 2008.
- [4] M. S. Lee, M. S. Lee, E.-S. Choi, and H.-T. Chung, "Effects of Qigong on blood pressure, blood pressure determinants and ventilatory function in middle-aged patients with essential hypertension," *American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 489–497, 2003.
- [5] J.-C. Tsai, W.-H. Wang, P. Chan et al., "The beneficial effects of Tai Chi Chuan on blood pressure and lipid profile and anxiety status in a randomized controlled trial," *Journal of Alternative* and Complementary Medicine, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 747–754, 2003.
- [6] P. J. Klein and W. D. Adams, "Comprehensive therapeutic benefits of Taiji: a critical review," *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, vol. 83, no. 9, pp. 735–745, 2004.
- [7] C. Wang, J. P. Collet, and J. Lau, "The effect of Tai Chi on health outcomes in patients with chronic conditions: a systematic review," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 164, no. 5, pp. 493– 501, 2004.
- [8] K. E. Innes, C. Bourguignon, and A. G. Taylor, "Risk indices associated with the insulin resistance syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and possible protection with yoga: a systematic review," *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 491–519, 2005.
- [9] H. Cramer, R. Lauche, J. Langhorst, and G. Dobos, "Yoga for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Depression* and Anxiety, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1068–1083, 2013.
- [10] A. Michalsen, M. Jeitler, S. Brunnhuber et al., "Iyengar yoga for distressed women: a 3-armed randomized controlled trial," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2012, Article ID 408727, 9 pages, 2012.
- [11] H. Cramer, H. Haller, R. Lauche, N. Steckhan, A. Michalsen, and G. Dobos, "A systematic review and meta-analysis of yoga for hypertension," *American Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1146–1151, 2014.
- [12] P. Posadzki, H. Cramer, A. Kuzdzal, M. S. Lee, and E. Ernst, "Yoga for hypertension: a systematic review of randomized

clinical trials," *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 511–522, 2014.

- [13] S. Green, Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, Cochrane Book Series, Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- [14] J. Lau, J. P. A. Ioannidis, and C. H. Schmid, "Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 127, no. 9, pp. 820–826, 1997.
- [15] R.-N. Feng, C. Zhao, C.-H. Sun, and Y. Li, "Meta-analysis of TNF 308 G/A polymorphism and type 2 diabetes mellitus," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 4, Article ID e18480, 2011.
- [16] A.-J. Luo, F.-Z. Wang, D. Luo et al., "Consumption of vegetables may reduce the risk of liver cancer: results from a meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies," *Gastroentérologie Clinique et Biologique*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 45–51, 2015.
- [17] H. Hillege, B. D. Brock, G. V. Valkenhoef, and J. Zhao, "ADDIS: an automated way to do network meta-analysis," Research Report, 2012.
- [18] G. Van Valkenhoef, T. Tervonen, T. Zwinkels, B. De Brock, and H. Hillege, "ADDIS: a decision support system for evidencebased medicine," *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 459–475, 2013.
- [19] S. Dias, N. J. Welton, D. M. Caldwell, and A. E. Ades, "Checking consistency in mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis," *Statistics in Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 7-8, pp. 932–944, 2010.
- [20] S. P. Brooks and A. Gelman, "General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations," *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 434–455, 1998.
- [21] B. M. Y. Cheung, J. L. F. Lo, D. Y. T. Fong et al., "Randomised controlled trial of qigong in the treatment of mild essential hypertension," *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 697–704, 2005.
- [22] D. L. Cohen, L. T. Bloedon, R. L. Rothman et al., "Iyengar yoga versus enhanced usual care on blood pressure in patients with prehypertension to stage I hypertension: a randomized controlled trial," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2011, Article ID 546428, 8 pages, 2011.
- [23] D. L. Cohen, S. Boudhar, A. Bowler, and R. R. Townsend, "Blood pressure effects of yoga, alone or in combination with lifestyle measures: results of the lifestyle modification and blood pressure study (LIMBS)," *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 809–816, 2016.
- [24] M. Hagins, A. Rundle, N. S. Consedine, and S. B. S. Khalsa, "A randomized controlled trial comparing the effects of yoga with an active control on ambulatory blood pressure in individuals with prehypertension and stage 1 hypertension," *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 54–62, 2014.
- [25] M.-S. Lee, H.-J. Lim, and M. S. Lee, "Impact of qigong exercise on self-efficacy and other cognitive perceptual variables in patients with essential hypertension," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 675–680, 2004.
- [26] H.-M. Lo, C.-Y. Yeh, S.-C. Chang, H.-C. Sung, and G. D. Smith, "A Tai Chi exercise programme improved exercise behaviour and reduced blood pressure in outpatients with hypertension," *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 545– 551, 2012.
- [27] R. McCaffrey, P. Ruknui, U. Hatthakit, and P. Kasetsomboon, "The effects of yoga on hypertensive persons in Thailand," *Holistic Nursing Practice*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 173–180, 2005.
- [28] C. Xiao, Y. Yang, and Y. Zhuang, "Effect of health Qigong Ba Duan Jin on blood pressure of individuals with essential hypertension," *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 211–213, 2016.

- [29] M. Mourya, A. S. Mahajan, N. P. Singh, and A. K. Jain, "Effect of slow- and fast-breathing exercises on autonomic functions in patients with essential hypertension," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 711–717, 2009.
- [30] R. Murugesan, N. Govindarajulu, and T. K. Bera, "Effect of selected yogic practices on the management of hypertension," *Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 207–210, 2000.
- [31] X. Pan, Y. Zhang, and S. Tao, "Effects of Tai Chi exercise on blood pressure and plasma levels of nitric oxide, carbon monoxide and hydrogen sulfide in real-world patients with essential hypertension," *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 8–14, 2015.
- [32] S. G. Patil, G. B. Dhanakshirur, M. R. Aithala, G. Naregal, and K. K. Das, "Effect of yoga on oxidative stress in elderly with grade-I hypertension: a randomized controlled study," *Journal* of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. BC04–BC07, 2014.
- [33] L. G. Saptharishi, M. B. Soudarssanane, D. Thiruselvakumar et al., "Community-based randomized controlled trial of nonpharmacological interventions in prevention and control of hypertension among young adults," *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 329–334, 2009.
- [34] S. Nejati, A. Zahiroddin, G. Afrookhteh, S. Rahmani, and S. Hoveida, "Effect of group mindfulness-based stress-reduction program and conscious yoga on lifestyle, coping strategies, and systolic and diastolic blood pressures in patients with hypertension," *Journal of Tehran University Heart Center*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 140–148, 2015.
- [35] J. Sun and N. Buys, "Community-based mind-body meditative tai chi program and its effects on improvement of blood pressure, weight, renal function, serum lipoprotein, and quality of life in chinese adults with hypertension," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 116, no. 7, pp. 1076–1081, 2015.
- [36] L. Tolbaños Roche and B. Mas Hesse, "Application of an integrative yoga therapy programme in cases of essential arterial hypertension in public healthcare," *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 285–290, 2014.
- [37] M. Wolff, K. Rogers, B. Erdal, J. P. Chalmers, K. Sundquist, and P. Midlöv, "Impact of a short home-based yoga programme on blood pressure in patients with hypertension: a randomized controlled trial in primary care," *Journal of Human Hypertension*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 599–605, 2016.
- [38] K. K. Latha and K. Kaliappan, "Yoga, pranayama, thermal biofeedback techniques in the management of stress and high blood pressure," *Journal of Indian Psychology*, vol. 9, no. 1-2, pp. 36–46, 1991.
- [39] K. W. Chen, C. C. Berger, E. Manheimer et al., "Meditative therapies for reducing anxiety: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials," *Depression and Anxiety*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 545–562, 2012.
- [40] M. Hagins, R. States, T. Selfe, and K. Innes, "Effectiveness of yoga for hypertension: systematic review and meta-analysis," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2013, Article ID 649836, 13 pages, 2013.
- [41] V. A. Cornelissen and N. A. Smart, "Exercise training for blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 2, no. 1, Article ID e004473, 2013.
- [42] Y. Chida and M. Hamer, "Chronic psychosocial factors and acute physiological responses to laboratory-induced stress in

healthy populations: a quantitative review of 30 years of investigations," *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 134, no. 6, pp. 829–885,

[43] M. S. Player and E. L. Peterson, "Anxiety disorders, hypertension, and cardiovascular risk: a review," *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 365–377, 2011.

2008.