

RESEARCH

Open Access



Underdiagnosis of iron deficiency anemia among patients with colorectal cancer: an examination of electronic medical records

Trishnee Bhurosy^{1*}, Anika Jishan², Patrick M. Boland³, Yen-Han Lee⁴ and Carolyn J. Heckman²

Abstract

Background: Timely diagnosis and management of iron deficiency anemia (IDA) in colorectal cancer (CRC) patients improves overall quality of life and survival. This study assessed the proportion of CRC patients who were formally diagnosed with IDA and factors that predict a formal diagnosis of IDA and receiving iron therapy.

Methods: We retrieved electronic medical records (EMRs) of CRC patients from a large comprehensive cancer center in the Northeastern part of the United States ($n = 499$). We abstracted sociodemographic characteristics, relevant laboratory results, IDA diagnosis, and iron supplementation from the EMRs. We assessed relationships between participant characteristics, a diagnosis of IDA and receiving iron therapy through adjusted logistic regressions.

Results: IDA was formally diagnosed in 26 (5.2%) individuals judged by EMR documentation. Only 153 (30.7%) participants had iron laboratory results available. Among the 153 patients with iron panel data available, 113 (73.9%) had iron deficiency. Seventy-six had absolute iron deficiency as shown by ferritin levels below 100 ng/mL and iron saturation less than 20% and 37 had functional iron deficiency as shown by ferritin levels between 100 and 500 ng/mL and iron saturation less than 20%. 12% of all patients had documentation of iron therapy receipt. A formal diagnosis of IDA was not associated with any of the covariates.

Conclusions: Iron deficiency anemia is under-diagnosed among CRC patients and most likely under-documented in clinical notes. Rates of iron repletion are low, suggesting that many patients with IDA are untreated. Future research should explore provider-level and other strategies for improving assessment and diagnosis of IDA among CRC patients.

Keywords: Screening, Iron deficiency anemia, Colorectal cancer, Electronic medical record

Background

Iron deficiency anemia (IDA) remains the top cause of anemia worldwide [1]. Nearly two-thirds of cancer patients are likely to develop anemia, with IDA as the cause or a contributing component in 60% of cases [2]. In patients with colorectal cancer (CRC), iron deficiency is highly prevalent, with prior reports citing a rate of 60%

[3, 4]. Iron deficiency anemia in CRC patients occurs when iron stores are depleted due to factors such as malabsorption, tumor-induced anorexia, malnutrition and occult blood loss [3, 5]. Anemia can also occur as a result of chemotherapeutic agents [6]. Iron deficiency anemia, caused by chronic gastrointestinal bleeding, can lead to depletion of iron stores and absolute iron deficiency [7]. A separate entity is known as functional iron deficiency, where iron stores may be adequate within the body, but iron remains inaccessible for erythropoiesis due to an ongoing inflammatory state [7]. It is critical to diagnose

*Correspondence: trishnee.bhurosy@hofstra.edu

¹ Department of Population Health, Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York 11549, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

and manage IDA among CRC patients since untreated IDA can adversely impact oncological outcomes. IDA is associated with more advanced clinical stage in colorectal cancer and worse disease free survival in some analyses [8]. CRC patients who have IDA also experience high levels of fatigue, which significantly impacts their perceived quality of life [9].

Traditionally, IDA has been treated through oral iron supplementation due to its low costs and convenience [10]. However, oral iron supplementation has been associated with an increase in gastrointestinal side effects [11], and nearly 95% of oral iron is excreted among CRC patients [12]. A recent study showed that administering oral iron supplements on alternate days and in single doses is an optimal dosing regimen [13]. In addition, intravenous iron has been commonly used to treat IDA among patients with CRC [3]. Intravenous iron allows for rapid normalization of total body iron even with one infusion [14], is less bioavailable to tumor cells and hence, not likely to increase the risk of tumor growth in CRC patients [15].

IDA can be difficult to diagnose at times, particularly in the presence of chronic inflammation, as may occur in the malignant setting [16, 17]. The diagnosis of IDA occurs when a patient is anemic with low hemoglobin (Hb) levels (<12 g/dL in females; <13 g/dL in men) in addition to iron deficiency, commonly shown through low ferritin levels [18, 19]. Among patients with clinical evidence of inflammation, serum ferritin levels below 100 µg/L should be considered [20]. In addition to using ferritin levels as a screening tool, other studies have recommended testing for iron saturation levels [19, 21, 22]. Nonetheless, IDA seems to be both under-diagnosed and under-treated [23].

While the impact of IDA in cancer patients has been well-documented, there is limited data regarding the proportion of CRC patients who are clinically screened and assessed for IDA. We aimed to study this knowledge gap by examining the proportion of CRC patients who get formally diagnosed for IDA and assess predictors of a formal diagnosed case of IDA, using data from electronic medical records (EMRs). We hypothesized that few patients were screened for IDA and received iron therapy.

Methods

Aim, research design and setting

This study aimed to assess the proportion of CRC patients who are tested for IDA and factors that predict a formal diagnosis of IDA and receiving iron therapy. The current study used a retrospective cross-sectional secondary analysis of EMRs of 499 colorectal cancer patients ages 18 and older. We abstracted data from the EMR system of

a comprehensive cancer center in the Northeastern part of the United States. The authors' Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Patient and EMR selection

The research team worked with the Clinical Informatics division at the cancer center to select and compile EMR data of potentially eligible participants. The following inclusion criteria were considered: ages 18 and older, not deceased, diagnosed with CRC, seen at the cancer center within the past year, resident of the state, and English as their primary language. The lead author and a trained research assistant abstracted information regarding IDA diagnosis, hematology lab tests, iron supplementation, nutritional advice or support given to patients, stage of disease, smoking and drinking history, and sociodemographic characteristics. Abstracted data were double coded for the first twenty participants and randomly for the rest of the participants. Inconsistencies in data abstraction were corrected accordingly.

Measures

The main outcome variable was a formal diagnosed case of IDA as entered by clinical staff in the EMRs. A formal diagnosis of IDA for each patient was ascertained via review of patient problem lists using International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 9/ICD 10 codes, medical history diagnoses, and manual review of documentation within recent clinical notes.

We classified participants as having absolute iron deficiency (yes/no) based on ferritin levels lower than 100 µg/L and iron saturation less than 20% [22]. We categorized participants as having functional iron deficiency based on ferritin levels between 100 to 500 µg/L and iron saturation less than 20% [19]. We looked at the latest laboratory results on ferritin, percentage iron saturation, iron, total binding iron capacity (TIBC), and hemoglobin (Hgb) levels that were available for all patients. In most cases, we could not draw conclusions about whether iron testing was intended to be part of routine care or initiated based on symptomatology because documentation was limited.

Potential covariates were selected based on previous research that demonstrated a link between these covariates and IDA and/or colorectal cancer [19, 24]. Covariates included sociodemographic factors, risky health behaviors, stage of CRC, and dietary variables. Sociodemographic covariates included gender (female, male); age groups (20–40, 41–60, 61–80, > 80); race categories (White, Black/American, Asian, Other); ethnicity (Hispanic/Latino, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino), and marital status (single, married/have a partner, divorced/separated, widowed). Risky health behavior covariates

included alcohol consumption status (non-drinker, current drinker, former drinker) and smoking status (non-smoker, current, former). We included stages 0 through II and stages III to IV as covariates in the regression analysis. Dietary covariates included whether patients received iron therapy (yes, no) and got nutritional counseling (yes, no).

Data analysis

A large proportion of data that would be included on patients’ iron panel were missing, including that on ferritin (68.5%), percentage iron saturation (68.1%), TIBC (68.1%), iron (67.7%), and Hg (3.6%) (Table 1). About 25.9% of data were missing for stage of CRC cancer. Descriptive statistics were run for the study population. Most biological variables are not normally distributed [25], so the median and interquartile ranges (IQR) were reported for iron panel results and Hgb. For both outcomes on IDA diagnosis and receiving iron therapy, predictors included sex, race, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking status, and getting nutritional counseling. Based on preliminary tests, we excluded age and ethnicity due to multicollinearity. We performed all statistical analyses by using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 28 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, US). Statistical tests were two-tailed with a level of significance of 0.05 ($p < 0.05$).

Results

Patient characteristics

Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of patients whose EMRs were abstracted. Most participants were aged between 61 and 80 (47.9%), White (70.7%), married or had a partner (58.9%). One hundred and thirty-two patients (26.5%) had stage III CRC while 102 had stage IV (20.4%). More than half of participants were current consumers of alcohol (51.1%). About 57% of participants were never-smokers while about 34% of them were former smokers. Only 98 (19.6%) of participants received nutritional support or advice.

IDA diagnosis

Of the 499 patients, 26 (5.2%) had a formal diagnosis of IDA written on their EMRs (Table 2). Only 153 (30.7%) patients had complete iron panel data available, including ferritin, iron saturation, serum iron, and TIBC levels. Among these 153 patients, 113 (73.9%) had iron deficiency. Seventy-six (67.2%) had absolute iron deficiency as shown by ferritin levels below 100 ng/mL and iron saturation less than 20% and 37 (32.7%) had functional iron deficiency as shown by ferritin levels between 100 and 500 ng/mL and iron saturation less than 20%.

Iron panel data

Median Hgb levels were 13.1 (11.6 to 14.4) g/dL for men and 11.9 (10.6 to 13.1) g/dL for women (Table 1). The median (IQR) ferritin level was 65.0 (26.0 to 165.0) ng/mL. The median iron saturation level was 13.0 (8.0 to 22.0) %. The median iron and TIBC levels were 39.0 (24.5 to 62.0) µg/dL and 320.0 (268.0 to 368.0) µg/dL, respectively as shown in Table 1.

Iron therapy

Of the 499 patients, sixty-three (12.6%) received iron therapy (Table 2), out of which 20 had formally documented IDA. Out of the 63 CRC patients who received iron therapy, 49 received intravenous iron in the form of either 750 mg of ferric carboxymaltose or 125 mg of ferlecit and 15 received oral iron tablet prescription in the form of 325 mg of ferrous sulphate.

Among patients with laboratory iron deficiency ($n = 113$), 55 (48.7%) had documentation of receiving iron therapy. Forty-eight of the 76 patients (63.2%) who had absolute iron deficiency received iron therapy. Seven of the 37 patients (18.9%) who had functional iron deficiency received iron therapy.

Associations between patient characteristics and a formal diagnosis of IDA

No significant associations were found between patient characteristics and a formal diagnosis of IDA ($p > .05$).

Table 1 Laboratory test values of participants (N = 499)

Lab tests (normal ranges)	n (%)	Missing (%)	Median	IQR
Ferritin, ng/mL (70–300)	157 (31.5)	342 (68.5)	65.0 ^a	139.0
Iron Saturation, % (20–50)	159 (31.9)	340 (68.1)	13.0 ^a	14.0
Iron, µg/dL (60–170)	161 (32.3)	338 (67.7)	39.0 ^a	37.5
Total Iron Binding Capacity, µg/dL (240–450)	159 (31.9)	340 (68.1)	320.0	100.0
Hemoglobin, g/dL (> 12 for women; > 13 for men)	481 (96.4)	18 (3.6)	13.1 (men) 11.9 ^a (women)	2.8 2.5

IQR Interquartile range; ^aNot within the normal ranges

Table 2 Characteristics of participants by IDA status (N = 499)

Participants Characteristics	Total		IDA (Yes)		IDA (No)		χ^2	p
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
<i>Gender</i>								
Female	240	48.1	15	6.3	225	93.8	1.0	.314
Male	259	51.9	11	4.2	248	95.8		
<i>Age</i>								
20–40	16	3.2	0	0.0	16	100.0	2.1	.548
41–60	197	39.5	12	6.1	185	93.9		
61–80	239	47.9	13	5.4	226	94.6		
> 80	47	9.4	1	2.1	46	97.9		
<i>Race</i>								
White	353	70.7	15	4.2	338	95.8	7.9	.047
Black/African American	56	11.2	7	12.5	49	87.5		
Asian	47	9.4	1	2.1	46	97.9		
Other	2	0.4	0	0.0	2	100.0		
Unknown	41	8.2						
<i>Ethnicity</i>								
Hispanic/Latino	29	5.8	3	10.3	26	89.7	1.6	.204
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	467	93.6	23	4.9	444	95.1		
Unknown	3	0.6						
<i>Marital Status</i>								
Single	94	18.8	9	9.6	85	90.4	18.3	<.001
Married/Have a partner	294	58.9	8	2.7	286	97.3		
Divorced/Separated	53	10.6	8	15.1	45	84.9		
Widowed	47	9.4	1	2.1	46	97.9		
Unknown	11	2.2						
<i>Alcohol Consumption</i>								
Non-drinker	185	36.9	10	5.4	174	94.6	0.1	.962
Current	255	51.1	13	5.1	242	94.9		
Former	45	9.0	2	4.4	43	95.6		
Unknown	15	3.0						
<i>Smoking Status</i>								
Non-smoker	286	57.3	13	4.5	273	95.5	1.5	.466
Current	31	6.2	3	9.7	28	90.3		
Former	171	34.3	9	5.3	162	94.7		
Unknown	11	2.2						
<i>Disease Stage</i>								
0	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0	0.0	.839
1	49	9.8	48	10.1	1	3.8		
2	86	17.2	79	16.7	7	26.9		
3	132	26.5	118	24.9	14	53.8		
4	102	20.4	101	21.4	1	3.8		
Unknown	129	25.9	126	26.6	3	11.5		
<i>Received Iron Therapy</i>								
Yes	63	12.6	20	31.7	43	68.3	102.8	<.001
No	436	87.4	6	1.4	430	98.6		
<i>Received Nutritional Counseling</i>								
Yes	98	19.6	7	7.1	91	92.9	0.9	.337
No	401	80.4	19	4.7	382	95.3		

Associations between patient characteristics and receiving iron therapy

As shown in Table 3, Black/African American individuals were more likely to receive iron therapy than White participants [OR = 3.51, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.49 to 8.27; $p < .01$]. Participants who were current (OR = 0.39, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.83) and former drinkers (OR = 0.09, 95% CI 0.72 to 3.22) were more likely to receive iron therapy as well ($p < .05$). Participants who received nutritional counseling were more likely to receive iron therapy than those who did not receive any nutritional counseling (OR = 2.43, 95% CI 1.15 to 5.14; $p < .05$).

Although it was not the purpose of this study and power was limited, secondary analyses were done to

assess predictors of receiving nutritional support or advice. Male patients were more likely than their female counterparts to receive nutritional advice (OR = 0.46, 95% CI 0.25 to 0.86; $p < .05$). Current drinkers were more likely to receive nutritional advice than non-drinkers (OR = 2.36, 95% CI 1.16 to 4.78; $p < .05$). Those who were diagnosed with stages III to IV had higher odds of receiving nutritional advice than patients with stages 0 through II (OR = 2.47, 95% CI 1.27 to 4.78; $p < .01$). Patients who received iron therapy were also more likely to get nutritional advice than those who did not (OR = 2.33, 95% CI 1.11 to 4.91; $p < .05$).

Discussion

Despite the high prevalence of IDA in CRC patients [3], clinical screening and assessment for IDA in CRC patients has been largely under-studied. This study examined the proportion of CRC patients who are formally diagnosed by an oncologist as documented in their EMRs and assessed predictors of a formal diagnosis of IDA and receiving iron therapy. The main findings indicated that only 26 (5.2%) CRC patients were formally diagnosed by an oncologist in their EMRs and only 153 (30.7%) of CRC patients had iron panel results available. These results suggest that IDA is likely to be under-diagnosed and under-documented in clinical notes despite the prevalence of IDA being up to 60% in CRC patients [4] and medical experts' recommendations that all cancer patients be tested for iron deficiency [26]. Testing for and treatment of IDA is critical as it can improve overall quality of life and survival [2], prognosis [9], and reduce complications related to cancer treatment [26].

It is important to note that although 26 (5.2%) participants had a documented diagnosis of IDA, 63 (12.6%) received iron therapy. Thus, some patients were treated for IDA without a documented diagnosis ($n = 43$) while some were diagnosed with IDA without any documented treatment ($n = 6$). Twenty patients with formally documented IDA received iron treatment. Among those with available laboratory deficiency, patients with absolute iron deficiency ($n = 48$) were more likely to receive iron therapy than those with functional iron deficiency ($n = 7$). Functional iron deficiency, also known as anemia of chronic disease, is commonly found in cancer patients [5] and is characterized by insufficient availability of iron despite filled iron stores [6]. Although regular monitoring of iron status is recommended as part of standard of care for CRC patients [27], patients with functional iron deficiency might go untreated due to available iron stores showing up in laboratory results. In addition, it is plausible that some patients were iron deficient without any signs of anemia given that iron deficiency has been reported to be an independent risk factor for poor health

Table 3 Predictors of a formally diagnosed case of IDA and receiving iron therapy among participants ($N = 314$)

Variable	Adjusted model for IDA Diagnosis		Adjusted model for Receiving Iron Therapy	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
<i>Gender</i>				
Female (ref)	-	-	-	-
Male	0.52	[0.17, 1.54]	1.34	[0.66, 2.72]
<i>Race</i>				
White (ref)	-	-	-	-
Black/African American	2.95	[0.95, 9.20]	3.51**	[1.49, 8.27]
Asian	0.63	[0.07, 5.31]	0.74	[0.23, 2.37]
<i>Marital Status</i>				
Single (ref)	-	-	-	-
Married/Have a partner	0.47	[1.39, 1.57]	0.79	[0.34, 1.83]
Divorced/Separated	1.59	[0.37, 6.76]	1.04	[0.29, 3.81]
Widowed	0.23	[0.02, 2.18]	1.12	[0.32, 3.86]
<i>Disease Stage</i>				
Stages 0 to II (ref)	-	-	-	-
Stage III to IV	1.10	[0.39, 3.09]	1.50	[0.74, 3.05]
<i>Alcohol Consumption</i>				
Non-drinker (ref)	-	-	-	-
Current	0.58	[0.18, 1.81]	0.39*	[0.19, 0.83]
Former	1.18	[0.20, 7.03]	0.09*	[0.01, 0.77]
<i>Smoking Status</i>				
Non-smoker (ref)	-	-	-	-
Current	2.00	[0.39, 10.25]	0.81	[0.19, 3.46]
Former	1.19	[0.37, 3.83]	1.52	[0.72, 3.22]
<i>Received Nutritional Counseling</i>				
No (ref)	-	-	-	-
Yes	1.71	[0.54, 5.46]	2.43*	[1.15, 5.14]

OR Odds ratio. CI Confidence interval

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. Category of "Other" for race was removed because of a very small number of cases. Age and ethnicity were not included in the regression model due to multicollinearity

outcomes among CRC patients [3]. Moreover, when considering supplements and OTC medications such as oral iron tablets, these may be under-reported in EMRs due to lack of inquiry from medical providers, perception of disclosure of medication as unimportant, fear of provider disapproval, among others [28].

We did not find any associations between patient characteristics and a formal diagnosis of IDA. In the present study, current and former alcohol drinkers were more likely to receive iron therapy for treating IDA. Chronic alcohol consumption suppresses hematopoiesis and dysregulates iron metabolism [29], thus potentially leading to IDA over time. In addition, former drinkers are likely to have poor health [30] and inadequate nutrition [31]. Hence, these factors can put former and current drinkers at increased need for IDA treatment. Not surprisingly, participants who received nutritional counseling were more likely to receive IDA treatment and vice-versa. Previous research has demonstrated the efficacy of nutritional counseling on symptom management in CRC patients [24].

A major advantage of this study is the use of EMRs which allowed access to different types of patient data including objective laboratory results. Two independent coders reviewed patient data at random to check for errors. Limitations include incomplete patient data in the EMRs and the lack of uniformity in how the data were documented in the EMRs. It is also possible that data missing in the EMRs were available elsewhere. Another limitation towards obtaining a formal diagnosis of IDA could be that some providers test for and document the condition more frequently than others. Additionally, the study was limited to one comprehensive cancer center and patients whose primary language was English. Some categories of variables included very small proportions for analysis. Therefore, we should be careful about making a conclusive claim for some variables. Lastly, this study design was cross sectional, so we cannot establish causality. We did not follow-up patients for long-term survival and perioperative outcomes and examine the differences in these outcomes among patients with or without IDA as it was beyond the scope of the current study.

Implications for research and practice

Despite the high prevalence of IDA among CRC patients, only 30.7% of patients had available iron panel results and only 5.2% were formally diagnosed with IDA by an oncologist. This suggests that many CRC patients with IDA may go untreated. More research is needed on provider and system-level strategies that could improve recognition of this condition among CRC patients. In addition, it will be important for future studies to assess factors such as the design and organization of the EMRs which could

improve patient data quality and documentation. From a practical perspective, oncologists and other providers in contact with CRC patients can integrate diagnosis of IDA as part of their routine cancer care so that all patients are frequently screened and given appropriate iron therapy.

Conclusions

This study shows that, despite recommendations, less than 33% of CRC patients are clinically screened for IDA. Our findings illustrate the urgency of assessing complete iron panel data for all CRC patients. Integrating IDA screening in routine patient care for CRC patients will ensure that their condition is diagnosed and managed in a timely manner in addition to improving response to treatment, overall fatigue levels and survival. Future research should explore how to improve assessment and diagnosis of IDA in CRC patients at the provider level.

Abbreviations

CRC: Colorectal Cancer; EMR: Electronic Medical Record; IDA: Iron Deficiency Anemia; OTC: Over the Counter.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Vivian Gerges, Quinn Rademaker, and the Clinical Informatics Team at Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey for their help with data abstraction from electronic medical records.

Authors' contributions

TB, CH and PB contributed to the conceptualization of the study and drafting of the study protocol. TB and AJ conducted the EMR abstraction. YHL provided statistical consulting. TB drafted the manuscript, and together with CH, PB, and YHL, wrote or revised sections of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship Grant DCHS20PPC008 and the National Cancer Institute Grant P30CA072720. These grants supported the salary of the first author and helped in data collection.

Availability of data and materials

The data is not publicly available because it contains potentially identifying information abstracted from cancer patients' electronic medical records. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Scientific Review Board at the Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey (CINJ #132003) and the Institutional Review Board (Study ID: Pro2020001084) at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations set by the Scientific Review Board at the Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey and the Institutional Review Board at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey. Since this was a retrospective analysis of electronic medical records and did not involve human participants, the need to obtain informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board (Study ID: Pro2020001084) at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Population Health, Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York 11549, USA. ²Section of Behavioral Sciences, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, 195 Little Albany Street, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA. ³Division of Medical Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, 195 Little Albany Street, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA. ⁴Department of Public Health and Sports Medicine, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897, USA.

Received: 18 February 2022 Accepted: 8 April 2022

Published online: 21 April 2022

References

- Camaschella C. Iron-deficiency anemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(19):1832–43.
- Kanuri G, Sawhney R, Varghese J, Britto M, Shet A. Iron deficiency anemia coexists with cancer related anemia and adversely impacts quality of life. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(9):e0163817.
- Aksan A, Farrag K, Aksan S, Schroeder O, Stein J. Flipside of the coin: Iron deficiency and colorectal cancer. *Front Immunol*. 2021;12:644.
- Phipps O, Brookes MJ, Al-Hassi HO. Iron deficiency, immunology, and colorectal cancer. *Nutr Rev*. 2021;79(1):88–97.
- Camaschella C. New insights into iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia. *Blood Rev*. 2017;31(4):225–33.
- Aapro M, Beguin Y, Bokemeyer C, Dicato M, Gascón P, Gaspy J, et al. Management of anaemia and iron deficiency in patients with cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines. *Ann Oncol*. 2018;29:iv96–iv110.
- Weiss G, Goodnough LT. Anemia of chronic disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(10):1011–23.
- Zhen L, Zhe S, Zhenning W, Zhifeng M, Zhidong L, Xiaoxia L, et al. Iron-deficiency anemia: a predictor of diminished disease-free survival of T3N0M0 stage colon cancer. *J Surg Oncol*. 2012;105(4):371–5.
- Abiri B, Vafa M. Iron deficiency and anemia in cancer patients: the role of iron treatment in anemic cancer patients. *Nutr Cancer*. 2020;72(5):864–72.
- Auerbach M, Adamson JW. How we diagnose and treat iron deficiency anemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2016;91(1):31–8.
- Tolkien Z, Stecher L, Mander AP, Pereira DIA, Powell JJ. Ferrous sulfate supplementation causes significant gastrointestinal side-effects in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0117383.
- Naoum FA. Iron deficiency in cancer patients. *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter*. 2016;38(4):325–30.
- Stoffel NU, Cercamondi CI, Brittenham G, Zeder C, Geurts-Moespot AJ, Swinkels DW, et al. Iron absorption from oral iron supplements given on consecutive versus alternate days and as single morning doses versus twice-daily split dosing in iron-depleted women: two open-label, randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Haematol*. 2017;4(11):e524–e33.
- Camaschella C. Iron deficiency: new insights into diagnosis and treatment. *Hematology*. 2015;2015(1):8–13.
- Al-Hassi HO, Ng O, Evstatiev R, Mangalika M, Worton N, Jambrich M, et al. Intravenous iron is non-inferior to oral iron regarding cell growth and iron metabolism in colorectal cancer associated with iron-deficiency anaemia. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):13699.
- Bermejo F, García-López S. A guide to diagnosis of iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia in digestive diseases. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2009;15(37):4638–43.
- Hamilton W, Lancashire R, Sharp D, Peters TJ, Cheng KK, Marshall T. The importance of anaemia in diagnosing colorectal cancer: a case-control study using electronic primary care records. *Br J Cancer*. 2008;98(2):323–7.
- Killip S, Bennett JM, Chambers MD. Iron deficiency anemia. *Am Fam Physician*. 2007;75(5):671–8.
- Awan AA, Walther CP, Richardson PA, Shah M, Winkelmayr WC, Navaneethan SD. Prevalence, correlates and outcomes of absolute and functional iron deficiency anemia in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2021;36(1):129–36.
- Gasche C, Berstad A, Befrits R, Beglinger C, Dignass A, Erichsen K, et al. Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of iron deficiency and anemia in inflammatory bowel diseases#. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2007;13(12):1545–53.
- Beale AL, Penney MD, Allison MC. The prevalence of iron deficiency among patients presenting with colorectal cancer. *Color Dis*. 2005;7(4):398–402.
- Camaschella C. Iron deficiency. *Blood*. 2019;133(1):30–9.
- Reinisch W, Staun M, Bhandari S, Muñoz M. State of the iron: how to diagnose and efficiently treat iron deficiency anemia in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohn's Colitis*. 2013;7(6):429–40.
- Novelli IR, Araújo BAD, Grandisoli LF, Furtado ECG, Aguchiku EKN, Bertocco MCG, et al. Nutritional counseling protocol for colorectal cancer patients after surgery improves outcome. *Nutr Cancer*. 2020;73(11–12):2278–86.
- Habibzadeh F. How to report the results of public health research. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107(1):1–8.
- Barni S, Gascón P, Petrelli F, García-Erce JA, Pedrazzoli P, Rosti G, et al. Position paper on management of iron deficiency in adult cancer patients. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2017;10(8):685–95.
- Nairz M, Theurl I, Wolf D, Weiss G. Iron deficiency or anemia of inflammation? *Wien Med Wochenschr*. 2016;166(13):411–23.
- Foley H, Steel A, Cramer H, Wardle J, Adams J. Disclosure of complementary medicine use to medical providers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):1573.
- Mehta K, Farnaud S, Patel VB. Chapter 28 - molecular effects of alcohol on iron metabolism. In: Patel VB, editor. *Molecular aspects of alcohol and nutrition*. San Diego: Academic Press; 2016. p. 355–68.
- Friesema IHM, Zwietering PJ, Veenstra MY, Knottnerus JA, Garretsen HFL, Lemmens PHHM. Alcohol intake and cardiovascular disease and mortality: the role of pre-existing disease. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2007;61(5):441–6.
- Noble N, Paul C, Turon H, Oldmeadow C. Which modifiable health risk behaviours are related? A systematic review of the clustering of smoking, nutrition, alcohol and physical activity ('SNAP') health risk factors. *Prev Med*. 2015;81:16–41.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

