www.bjcancer.com

Hookah smoking, nass chewing, and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Kashmir, India

NA Dar^{*,1,2}, GA Bhat¹, IA Shah¹, B Iqbal¹, MA Kakhdoomi¹, I Nisar¹, R Rafiq¹, ST Iqbal¹, AB Bhat¹, S Nabi¹, SA Shah¹, R Shafi¹, A Masood¹, MM Lone³, SA Zargar⁴, MS Najar⁵, F Islami^{2,6,7} and P Boffetta^{*,2,7,8}

¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar 190006, India; ²Institute for Transitional Epidemiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box No. 1057, New York, NY 10029, USA; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, SK Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar 190011, India; ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, SK Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar 190011, India; ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, SK Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar 190011, India; ⁵Department of Nephrology, SK Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar 190011, India; ⁶Digestive Disease Research Center, Shariati Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran 14117, Iran; ⁷The Tisch Cancer Institute, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box No. 1057, New York, NY 10029, USA; ⁸International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon 69006, France

BACKGROUND: Although cigarette smoking is an established risk factor for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), there is little information about the association between other smoking and smokeless tobacco products, including hookah and nass, and ESCC risk. We conducted a case–control study in Kashmir Valley, India, where hookah smoking, nass chewing, and ESCC are common, to investigate the association of hookah smoking, nass use, and several other habits with ESCC.

METHODS: We recruited 702 histologically confirmed ESCC cases and 1663 hospital-based controls, individually matched to the cases for age, sex, and district of residence from September 2008 to January 2012. Conditional logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls).

RESULTS: Ever-hookah smoking (OR = 1.85; 95% CI, 1.41–2.44) and nass chewing (OR = 2.88; 95% CI, 2.06–4.04) were associated with ESCC risk. These associations were consistent across different measures of use, including intensity, duration, and cumulative amount of use, and after excluding ever users of the other product and cigarette smokers. Our results also suggest an increased risk of ESCC associated with ever-gutka chewing and -bidi smoking. However, the latter associations were based on small number of participants.

CONCLUSION: This study shows that hookah and nass use are associated with ESCC risk. As prevalence of hookah use seems to be increasing among young people worldwide, these results may have relevance not only for the regions in which hookah use has been a traditional habit, but also for other regions, including western countries.

British Journal of Cancer (2012) 107, 1618–1623. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.449 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 2 October 2012

© 2012 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: oesophageal cancer; hookah; nass; smoking; tobacco

Oesophageal cancer is the sixth most common cause of cancer deaths worldwide (Ferlay et al, 2010). Although the proportion of incident cases of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) to oesophageal adenocarcinoma is decreasing in many western countries (Trivers et al, 2008; Steevens et al, 2010), ESCC still is the most common histological type of oesophageal cancer globally (Jemal et al, 2011). Cigarette smoking is a known risk factor for ESCC (IARC Working Group, 2012). However, there is little information about the association between another method of smoking tobacco, hookah (also known as waterpipe, narghile, galyan), and ESCC risk. Smoke from hookah and cigarette have many common harmful constituents (Eissenberg and Shihadeh, 2009). Historically, hookah smoking has been a tradition mainly limited to the Eastern Mediterranean region, some parts of Asia, including India, and North Africa (IARC Working Group, 2012). Several recent studies have reported an increasing trend of hookah

smoking among young people in these regions and also in several countries in Europe and North America (Jackson and Aveyard, 2008; Jawaid et al, 2008; Jordan and Delnevo, 2010; Maziak, 2011; Smith et al, 2011). It has been estimated that approximately 100 million individuals worldwide are currently regular hookah users (Ward et al, 2005). This indicates the need for epidemiologic studies of potential adverse health outcomes of hookah smoking, including ESCC. The use of smokeless tobacco, which is also increasing among young people in many countries, have been associated with ESCC risk (Boffetta et al, 2008; IARC Working Group, 2012). Nevertheless, chewing nass, a smokeless tobacco product, which is a mixture of tobacco, ash, lime, oil, and flavouring and colouring agents (IARC Working Group, 2007), and is used in some parts of Iran, India, and Central Asia (Evstifeeva and Zaridze, 1992; Nasrollahzadeh et al, 2008), has been less studied in relation to ESCC.

Although epidemiologic data on ESCC from the Kashmir Valley in Jammu and Kashmir State, the northernmost state of India, are sparse, the region is considered as a moderate to high incidence area for oesophageal cancer. According to the only available cancer registry report from the region, the age standardised incidence rate of oesophageal cancer was 42.6 and 27.5 per 10⁵ person-year for

^{*}Correspondence: Dr NA Dar, E-mail: nazirramzan@gmail.com or Professor P Boffetta; E-mail: paolo.boffetta@mssm.edu Received 12 June 2012; revised 4 September 2012; accepted 9 September 2012; published online 2 October 2012

men and women, respectively, in the 1980s (Khuroo *et al*, 1992). Oesophageal cancer constitutes more than 20% of all cancers (Mattoo and Kaul, 1974; Dhar *et al*, 1993), and ESCC is the most common type of oesophageal cancer in the region (Malik *et al*, 2011). Although a few earlier studies have pointed to potential associations between some lifestyle, dietary and genetic factors, and ESCC in the Kashmir Valley (Maqbool and Ahad, 1976; Khan *et al*, 2011; Malik *et al*, 2011), little is established about the risk factors of ESCC in the region. Relatively high prevalence of hookah smoking and nass chewing (Siddiqi and Preussmann, 1989; Mir and Dar, 2009) suggests that these habits may be among the important risk factors for ESCC in the valley.

To investigate risk factors of ESCC in the Kashmir Valley, we conducted a case-control study in the region, and collected detailed information on multiple lifestyle factors. In this article, we report the association between several habits, including hookah smoking, gutka (also known as gutkha and chutka, a mixture of tobacco, areca nut, lime, and several other substances, such as flavourings and sweeteners; Javed *et al*, 2010) and nass chewing, and cigarette and bidi (temburni leaf and tobacco; IARC Working Group, 2004) smoking, and ESCC risk. Any association between tobacco use and ESCC in this population is unlikely to be biased by a confounding effect of alcohol, a major risk factor of ESCC in western populations (IARC Working Group, 2012), as alcohol drinking is negligible in Kashmir Valley (Mir and Dar, 2009).

METHODS

Case and control selection

This study was conducted from September 2008 to January 2012. All cancer cases were recruited at the Regional Cancer Centre and Department of Radiation Oncology of Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS), located in Srinagar, the largest and central city in Kashmir Valley. SKIMS is the only tertiary care hospital in the whole Kashmir Valley, and all patients with cancer and some patients with other diseases are referred to from Srinagar and from 10 hospitals in the surrounding districts. All newly diagnosed cases of oesophageal cancer with histopatologically confirmed ESCC, who were above the age of 18 years and did not have any previous cancer, were invited to participate in this study.

For each case subject, we attempted to recruit at least one hospital-based control, individually matched to the case for sex, age (± 5 years), and district of residence. For all cases from Srinagar and 30% of cases from other areas, we were able to recruit controls from the patients that resided in the same districts as the cases from in-patient wards of SKIMS and the Government Medical College Hospital, Srinagar. For the remaining cases (i.e., 70% of cases from areas other than Srinagar), controls were enroled from in-patient wards of the district hospitals in the districts from where their respective cases were referred. Therefore, matching for district of residence was complete. The major reasons for hospitalisations of the enroled controls are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The maximum interval between recruitment of cases and controls was 6 months. The participation rate for cases and control was 96% (732 invited, 30 refusals) and 98% (1697 invited, 34 refusals), respectively. The majority of those who refused were too ill to participate in the study. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of SKIMS.

Data collection

Structured questionnaires were administered in face-to-face interviews by trained interviewers at hospitals. Data on sociodemographic factors, including age, sex, ethnicity, religion, place of residence, and education and lifestyle factors were collected.



Detailed information on life-long history of use, with starting and stopping ages, and daily amount of use, was obtained for several tobacco products and cannabis. Any change in the type of tobacco products and amount of use was also recorded. Ever use of gutka, nass, hookah, cigarette, bidi, and cannabis was defined as the use of the respective product at least weekly for a period of 6 months or more. For chewing tobacco products, the usual site of placement of nass/gutka in the mouth, and for hookah smoking, the usual frequency of changing water in the hookah apparatus was recorded. In hookah smoking, the smoke from charcoal-heated tobacco passes through a water basin before inhalation (Maziak, 2011). Therefore, some harmful constituents of tobacco may accumulate in the water with every session of smoking. Information on ever-alcohol use (alcohol drinking at least weekly for 6 months or more) was also collected. To minimise the interindividual variation, a limited number of staff conducted the interviews and no proxies were used.

Statistical analysis

Numbers and percentages by case status were calculated and presented for categorical variables. Only small numbers of participants had used gutka, bidi, and alcohol; therefore, data for these variables are presented as ever- *vs* never-use only. Results for cannabis use are not shown, as only two ESCC cases and one control reported ever use of cannabis. For cigarette and hookah smoking, and nass chewing, data on intensity, duration, and cumulative amount (average intensity multiplied by duration) of use are also presented. In these variables, the never users were considered as the reference category; we attempted to classify ever users in three groups with equal number of controls in each group.

Conditional logistic regression models were used to calculate unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). The adjusted risk estimates were obtained from multivariate models in which age, ethnicity, religion, place of residence (rural/urban), education level, daily fruit and fresh vegetable intake, ever use of bidi, cannabis, gutka and alcohol, and cumulative use of cigarette, hookah, and nass were included. Fruit and vegetable intake data (grams per day) were transformed to logarithmic values following addition of 0.1 to the original values. The ORs (95% CIs) for intensity and duration of nass, hookah, and cigarette use were not adjusted for cumulative use of the respective tobacco product. By design, case and control subjects were matched by age, sex, and place of residence. Age was included in the multivariate models, because the matching for age was not perfect (\pm 5 years). Adjustments were done for place of residence and education level as indicators of socioeconomic status and for religion, because earlier studies in this region suggested dissimilar incidence of ESCC among people with different religions (Maqbool and Ahad, 1976).

We also estimated population-attributable fraction for several tobacco products in relation to ESCC using adjusted ORs and the following formula: $[P_e (OR - 1)]/[1 + P_e (OR - 1)]$, where P_e was the proportion of exposed controls (Coughlin *et al*, 1994). All statistical analysis were done using Stata software, version 11 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA). Two-sided *P*-values <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 702 ESCC cases and 1663 controls were enroled in this study. All cases had at least one matched control. Table 1 shows the distribution of demographic variables in case and control subjects. The majority of study participants were older than 50 years. Among both cases and controls, approximately 55% were male and 97% were of Kashmiri ethnic group. More ESCC cases than controls resided in rural areas (P < 0.001). Formal education

1620

 Table I
 Demographic characteristics of ESCC cases and matched controls

Characteristics	ESCC cases, N (%)	Matched controls, N (%)	P-value
Total	702 (100)	1663 (100)	
Age group, years <40 40-49 50-59 60-69 ≥70 Mean age (s.d.), years	19 (2.7) 53 (7.5) 157 (22.4) 264 (37.6) 209 (29.8) 61.6 (11.1)	54 (3.2) 197 (11.9) 424 (25.5) 568 (34.2) 420 (25.2) 59.8 (11.1)	0.002
Sex Men Women	392 (55.8) 310 (44.2)	919 (55.3) 744 (44.7)	0.80
Ethnicity Kashmiri Gogri Pahadi Other	681 (97.0) 11 (1.6) 9 (1.3) 1 (0.1)	1618 (97.3) 16 (1.0) 27 (1.6) 2 (0.1)	0.58
Religion Muslim Hindu Sikh	694 (98.9) 5 (0.7) 3 (0.4)	1647 (99.0) 2 (0.1) 14 (0.8)	0.03
Ploce of residence Rural Urban	674 (96.0) 28 (4.0)	1516 (91.2) 147 (8.8)	< 0.001
Education No formal school I-4 grade 5-8 grade High school College graduation Post-graduation	625 (89.0) 33 (4.7) 24 (3.4) 16 (2.3) 4 (0.6) 0 (0.0)	1074 (64.6) 202 (12.1) 123 (7.4) 149 (9.0) 95 (5.7) 20 (1.2)	< 0.001
Fruit and vegetable intake, median grams per day (interquartile range)	1.3 (0.8–2.0)	6.1 (2.1–72.1)	< 0.00

Abbreviation: ESCC = oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Although cases and controls were individually matched, the percentages of cases and controls are not necessarily equal in each sex category, because some cases have one matched control and others have more controls. *P*-values calculated using χ^2 -tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for continuous variables.

level and daily fruit and fresh vegetable intake were higher in controls than in ESCC cases (P < 0.001).

Table 2 shows the ORs (95% CIs) for the association between tobacco and alcohol use and ESCC. The proportion of ever users of these products among controls were as following: hookah, 42.0%; gutka, 0.8%; nass, 11.5%; cigarette, 13.6%; bidi, 0.2%; and alcohol, 0.0%. Ever-hookah smoking was associated with an increased risk of ESCC (OR = 1.85; 95% CI, 1.41–2.44). Intensity, duration, and cumulative amount of hookah smoking were also associated with ESCC. Compared with changing the water of hookah basin in a daily basis, the OR (95% CI) doing this practice once a week or less frequently was 1.32; 95% CI, 0.57–3.02).

Ever-gutka and -nass chewing showed an increased risk of ESCC; however, only the association for nass was statistically significant (OR = 2.88; 95% CI, 2.06–4.04). Only a small number of participants had ever chewed gutka. Intensity, duration, and cumulative amount of nass use were also associated with an

increased risk of ESCC. Among nass chewers, the ORs (95% CIs) for placement of nass under the tongue vs in gingival rim were 3.00 (1.21–7.41) in unadjusted and 0.65 (0.14–3.09) in adjusted models. The association between hookah and nass use and ESCC persisted after excluding ever users of the other product and cigarette smokers (Supplementary Table 2).

Cigarette smoking was not associated with the risk of ESCC in this study. Longer durations of cigarette smoking were inversely associated with ESCC in unadjusted analysis, but neither duration nor intensity of smoking had an association with the risk in adjusted models. Although the risk estimates suggest an association between ever-bidi smoking and ESCC, the number of ever users of these products was small, and consequently, 95% CIs were wide. Also, only 1.1% of ESCC cases had ever drunk alcohol. As none of the controls was an ever drinker, risk estimates for alcohol drinking could not be calculated.

Population attributable fraction for the tobacco products that showed significant associations with ESCC in multivariate models in our study was as follows: hookah smoking, 26%; nass chewing, 18%; and bidi smoking, 3%.

DISCUSSION

In this study, hookah smoking and nass chewing were associated with approximately two- and three-fold increase, respectively, in the risk of ESCC. The associations between hookah and nass use and ESCC were consistent across different measures of use, including intensity, duration, and cumulative amount of use, and after excluding ever users of the other product and cigarette smokers. This study suggests an increased risk of ESCC associated with ever use of gutka and bidi. However, these associations were based on small number of participants.

A recent meta-analysis has summarised the relatively small number of studies available on long-term effects of hookah use, and has suggested associations between hookah smoking and several health outcomes, including lung cancer and low birth weight (Akl et al, 2010). To our knowledge, there are only three earlier observational studies on the association between hookah smoking and ESCC: two hospital-based case-controls studies from Kashmir Valley and one population-based case-control study from an area with high incidence of ESCC in Iran. One of the studies from Kashmir, with 100 cases and 100 controls, reported prevalence of 36% of hookah use among controls, whereas this prevalence was 92% in cases (P < 0.001, based on χ^2 -tests; Khan et al, 2011). The other study provided an age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI) of 21.4 (11.6-39.5) for ever use of hookah, based on 135 cases and 195 controls with hookah-use prevalence of 21% among controls (Malik et al, 2011). In the Iranian study, with 300 ESCC cases and 571 controls, the OR (95% CI) for ever use of hookah was 1.85 (0.95-3.58), adjusted for several socio-demographic factors, but not for other tobacco products use (Nasrollahzadeh et al, 2008). When users of other tobacco products were excluded from the analyses, the OR (95% CI) for hookah use only was 1.69 (0.76-3.77), based on small number of hookah smokers (12 and 18 among ESCC cases and controls, respectively). The higher risk estimates in previous studies from Kashmir Valley may be because these results, unlike ours, were not adjusted for several potential confounding socio-demographic factors and the use of other tobacco products. Also, in one of those studies, controls were medical staff or those who referred for routine check-ups to SKIMS, many of whom were probably from Srinagar (Malik et al, 2011), which is the only major urban area in the valley. As hookah use is much more common in rural than in urban areas of the valley (Siddigi and Preussmann, 1989), enrolment of cases who are referred from whole valley, but recruitment of controls mainly from urban areas (selection bias), can be another reason for the above difference in the magnitude of risk estimates.

1621

Table 2 Association between tobacco and alcohol use and ESCC

Fobacco use	ESCC cases, N (%)	Matched controls, N (%)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
lookah smoking				
Never	282 (40.2)	964 (58.0)	Referent	Referent
Ever	420 (59.8)	699 (42.0)	2.36 (1.92-2.89)	1.85 (1.41-2.44)
Intensity	(21.12)			
Never use	282 (40.2)	964 (58.0)	Referent	Referent
		()		1.37 (0.91–2.07)
<4 times per day	76 (10.8)	179 (10.8)	1.73 (1.26–2.37)	(/
4–5 times per day	98 (14.0)	268 (16.1)	1.39 (1.04–1.86)	1.10 (0.69–1.47)
≥6 times per day	246 (35.0)	252 (15.1)	4.35 (3.45–5.66)	4.02 (2.79–5.78)
P for trend			< 0.00	< 0.00
Duration				
Never use	282 (40.2)	964 (58.0)	Referent	Referent
I-33 years	120 (17.1)	198 (11.9)	2.23 (1.68–2.96)	1.77 (1.21–2.60)
		()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(
34–45 years	147 (20.9)	276 (16.6)	2.06 (1.57–2.69)	1.77 (1.24–2.52)
≥46 years	153 (21.8)	223 (13.4)	2.99 (2.23–4.01)	2.06 (1.42–3.01)
P for trend			< 0.00 I	< 0.00
Cumulative use				
Never use	282 (40.2)	964 (58.0)	Referent	Referent
I–139 hookah-years	97 (13.8)	228 (13.7)	1.66 (1.24–2.21)	1.12 (0.77-1.64
140–240 hookah-years	110 (15.7)	245 (14.8)	1.00 (1.24–2.21)	1.54 (1.05–2.26
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
≥241 hookah-years	213 (30.3)	224 (13.5)	4.29 (3.26–5.65)	3.62 (2.50-5.23)
P for trend			< 0.00 l	< 0.00
Frequency of changing water				
Daily	388 (92.4)	656 (94.5)	Referent	Referent
≤ Weekly	32 (7.6)	38 (5.5)	1.48 (0.84–2.60)	1.32 (0.57–3.02)
	52 (715)	55 (515)		
Sutka chewing				
Never	692 (99.6)	1650 (99.2)	Referent	Referent
Ever	10 (1.42)	13 (0.8)	1.84 (0.80-4.23)	2.87 (0.87-9.46)
	× ,		· · · · · ·	
lass chewing				
Never	501 (71.4)	1471 (88.5)	Referent	Referent
Ever	201 (28.6)	192 (11.5)	3.41 (2.67-4.37)	2.88 (2.06-4.04)
Intensity	201 (2010)		5111 (2167 1157)	2.00 (2.00 1.01)
		1471 (00 E)	Defenset	Defenset
Never use	501 (71.4)	1471 (88.5)	Referent	Referent
<4 times per day	40 (5.7)	71 (4.3)	2.04 (1.34–3.12)	1.61 (0.93–2.77)
4–5 times per day	54 (7.7)	74 (4.4)	2.28 (1.56–3.34)	2.25 (1.34-3.80)
≥6 times per day	107 (15.2)	47 (2.8)	6.87 (4.70-10.03)	5.34 (3.24-8.83)
P for trend	× ,		< 0.001	< 0.001
Duration				
Never use	501 (71.6)	1471 (88.4)	Referent	Referent
		()		
I-34 years	63 (9.0)	62 (3.7)	3.41 (2.28–5.09)	2.42 (1.41–4.17)
35–44 years	39 (5.6)	50 (3.0)	2.41 (1.52–3.80)	2.19 (1.17–4.08)
≥45 years	97 (13.8)	80 (4.8)	4.02 (2.83-5.71)	3.58 (2.20-5.82)
P for trend			< 0.001	< 0.001
Cumulative use				
Never use	501 (71.6)	1471 (88.5)	Referent	Referent
I-II9 nass-years	46 (5.6)	52 (3.1)	2.93 (1.91–4.50)	2.14 (1.20-3.82)
120–199 nass-years	36 (5.1)	71 (4.3)	1.70 (1.10–2.61)	1.44 (0.80–2.60)
≥200 nass-years	7 (6.7)	69 (4.1)	5.31 (3.78–7.45)	4.56 (2.89–7.22)
P for trend			< 0.00 I	< 0.001
Site of placement of nass/gutka in the mouth				
Gingival rim	149 (74.1)	166 (86.5)	Referent	Referent
Under the tongue	52 (25.9)	26 (13.5)	3.00 (1.21–7.41)	0.65 (0.14-3.09)
Onder the tongue	JZ (ZJ.7)	20 (15.5)	5.00 (1.21-7.41)	0.05 (0.14-5.07)
igarette smoking				
Never	632 (90.0)	1437 (86.4)	Referent	Referent
Ever	70 (10.0)	226 (13.6)	0.67 (0.49–0.91)	0.97 (0.60–1.55)
Intensity				
Never use	632 (90.0)	1437 (86.4)	Referent	Referent
<5 cigarettes per day	28 (4.0)	84 (5.1)	0.73 (0.46–1.16)	0.99 (0.50-1.97)
5–6 cigarettes per day	17 (2.4)	72 (4.3)	0.48 (0.28–0.85)	0.75 (0.34-1.68
≥7 cigarettes per day	25 (3.6)	70 (4.2)	0.80 (0.49–1.31)	1.27 (0.55–2.92)
P for trend	20 (0.0)	/ (()	0.03	
			0.03	0.95
Duration	/00 /00 00			
	632 (90.0)	1437 (86.4)	Referent	Referent
Duration	632 (90.0) 18 (2.6)	1437 (86.4) 69 (4.1)	Referent 0.56 (0.32–0.98)	
Duration Never use		69 (4.1)	0.56 (0.32-0.98)	1.20 (0.51–2.82
Duration Never use I–29 years	18 (2.6)			Referent 1.20 (0.51–2.82) 1.08 (0.47–2.45) 0.81 (0.42–1.58)

Hookah smoking, nass use, and ESCC

NA Dar et al

Table 2 (Continued)

Tobacco use	ESCC cases, N (%)	Matched controls, N (%)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Cumulative use				
Never use	632 (90.0)	1437 (86.4)	Referent	Referent
I-6.2 pack-years	23 (3.3)	77 (4.6)	0.63 (0.39-1.04)	1.30 (0.62-2.71)
6.3–13.1 pack-years	21 (3.0)	73 (4.4)	0.61 (0.37–1.02)	0.59 (0.28–1.25)
≥13.2 pack-years	26 (3.7)	76 (4.6)	0.75 (0.47–1.21)	1.27 (0.56–2.86)
P for trend			0.04	0.85
Bidi smoking				
Never	687 (97.9)	1660 (99.8)	Referent	Referent
Ever	15 (2.1)	3 (0.2)	11.82 (3.40-41.06)	16.30 (2.46–108.20
Alcohol drinking				
Never	694 (98.9)	1663 (100)	Referent	Referent
Ever	8 (1.1)	0 (0.0)		

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; ESCC = oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma; OR = odds ratio. ORs (95% Cls) were obtained from conditional logistic regression models. *P* for trend was obtained from the same models by assigning consecutive numbers to categories within each categorical variable. Cumulative use was calculated by multiplying intensity of use (per day) by duration of use (in years). Numbers may not add up to the total numbers due to missing data in some variables. Adjusted ORs (95% Cls) were obtained from models in which age, ethnicity, religion, place of residence, education level, cumulative use of cigarette, hookah and nass, and ever use of bidi, cannabis, gutka, and alcohol, and daily fruit and fresh vegetable consumption were included. The ORs (95% Cls) for intensity and duration of nass, hookah, and cigarette use were not adjusted for cumulative use of the respective tobacco product.

Hookah smokers seem to be exposed to many toxic compounds as cigarette smokers, such as nicotine, nitric oxide, carbon monoxide, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and nitrosamines (Monzer et al, 2008; Eissenberg and Shihadeh, 2009; Ghasemi et al, 2010; Jacob et al, 2011). Furthermore, hookah smokers may be exposed to harmful smoke from the burning charcoal (Monzer et al, 2008). The exposure to smoke per puff with hookah smoking may even be higher than with cigarette smoking; each puff from the hookah has reported to deliver 12 times as much smoke as a single cigarette puff (Eissenberg and Shihadeh, 2009). The similarity of biological consequences of waterpipe and cigarette smoking reported in other studies supports the association between hookah smoking and ESCC. The relatively high prevalence of hookah use among the young people in a number of populations worldwide, which is reported to be increasing (Jackson and Aveyard, 2008; Jawaid et al, 2008; Jordan and Delnevo, 2010; Maziak, 2011; Smith et al, 2011; Jarrett et al, 2012), indicates public health implication of this association and other hookah-related adverse health consequences. In our study, less frequent changing of water in hookah apparatus was not associated with ESCC risk. However, the number of hookah smokers who changed the water less frequently than daily was small.

An association between nass use and precancerous oral and oesophageal lesions (Zaridze *et al*, 1986; Evstifeeva and Zaridze, 1992) and ESCC (Nasrollahzadeh *et al*, 2008) has been reported in a few studies. The latter study reported a two-fold increased risk of ESCC in ever- *vs* never-nass users (Nasrollahzadeh *et al*, 2008). The association between nass chewing and ESCC is plausible, because several experimental and epidemiological studies have shown the role of chewing tobacco in oesophageal carcinogenesis (IARC Working Group, 2007, 2012). Furthermore, other constituents of nass, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from ash, may have additional carcinogenic effects on the oesophageal epithelium (Roth *et al*, 1998; Islami *et al*, 2009; Abedi-Ardekani *et al*, 2010). We did not find any association between placement location of nass in the mouth and ESCC risk in adjusted models.

Although cigarette smoking increases ESCC risk by 3- to 5-fold in western countries (Tuyns, 1983; Brown *et al*, 2001), it has been associated with only 1.3- to 1.5-fold increased risk in highincidence areas of China and Iran (Tran *et al*, 2005; Nasrollahzadeh *et al*, 2008), suggesting that the majority of ESCC cases in those high-incidence areas are due to other factors. In our study, the highest category of cumulative use showed a non-significant OR of 1.27. This may partly be related to low cumulative cigarette use in our study; only 2.2% of participants had smoked 20 or more pack-years of cigarette. The association between bidi smoking and ESCC has been reported by several other studies from areas where this habit is more common (IARC Working Group, 2012); our study provides only modest supporting evidence.

This study is the largest study from Kashmir Valley that has investigated risk factors of ESCC in this relatively high-risk region, using analytical methods and with adjustments for several potential confounding factors, and the largest study that has ever studied the association between hookah and nass use and ESCC. Other strengths of this study include matching controls to all cases for the district of residence, to reduce possibility of confounding by area of residence, using several measures of exposure for common tobacco products, and histological confirmation of all ESCC diagnoses. Because of its case-control design, recall bias can be a limitation of this study. As the majority of participants had little formal education, and because there was little earlier information on the association between hookah and nass use and risk of ESCC, participants were unlikely to be aware of study hypotheses, particularly with regard to hookah and nass. Therefore, it is unlikely that the use of these products were reported differentially by controls and ESCC cases. Also, the evidence for recall bias in retrospective vs prospective epidemiologic studies is generally weaker for tobacco products than for other cancer risk factors (Gandini et al, 2008).

In conclusion, this study shows that hookah and nass use are associated with an increased risk of ESCC. These associations, as well as the associations between these products and several other health outcomes, indicate that the tobacco control programmes should more strictly include tobacco products other than cigarette. Because of the increasing trend of using hookah reported worldwide, results of this study can have public health implications not only for the regions in which hookah use has been a tradition, but also for many other regions, including the western countries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Extramural Grant of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), New Delhi, under IRIS ID 5/13/37/2007/-NCD-III. Nazir A Dar was supported by a fellowship (American Cancer Society international fellowships for beginning investigators (ACSBI)) from the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC).

REFERENCES

- Abedi-Ardekani B, Kamangar F, Hewitt SM, Hainaut P, Sotoudeh M, Abnet CC, Taylor PR, Boffetta P, Malekzadeh R, Dawsey SM (2010) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposure in oesophageal tissue and risk of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in north-eastern Iran. *Gut* **59**: 1178–1183
- Akl EA, Gaddam S, Gunukula SK, Honeine R, Jaoude PA, Irani J (2010) The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: a systematic review. *Int J Epidemiol* **39**: 834–857
- Boffetta P, Hecht S, Gray N, Gupta P, Straif K (2008) Smokeless tobacco and cancer. Lancet Oncol 9: 667–675
- Brown LM, Hoover R, Silverman D, Baris D, Hayes R, Swanson GM, Schoenberg J, Greenberg R, Liff J, Schwartz A, Dosemeci M, Pottern L, Fraumeni Jr JF (2001) Excess incidence of squamous cell esophageal cancer among US Black men: role of social class and other risk factors. *Am J Epidemiol* **153**: 114–122
- Coughlin SS, Benichou J, Weed DL (1994) Attributable risk estimation in case-control studies. *Epidemiol Rev* 16: 51-64
- Dhar GM, Shah GN, Naheed B, Hafiza (1993) Epidemiological trend in the distribution of cancer in Kashmir Valley. J Epidemiol Commun Health 47: 290-292
- Eissenberg T, Shihadeh A (2009) Waterpipe tobacco and cigarette smoking: direct comparison of toxicant exposure. Am J Prev Med 37: 518-523
- Evstifeeva TV, Zaridze DG (1992) Nass use, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and risk of oral and oesophageal precancer. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol 28B: 29-35
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM (2010) Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 127: 2893–2917
- Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Boyle P (2008) Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* **122**: 155–164
- Ghasemi A, Syedmoradi L, Momenan AA, Zahediasl S, Azizi F (2010) The influence of cigarette and qalyan (hookah) smoking on serum nitric oxide metabolite concentration. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* **70**: 116–121
- IARC Working Group (2004) IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Vol 83: Tobacco Smoke and Involuntary Smoking. IARC Press: Lyon
- IARC Working Group (2007) IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Vol 89: Smokeless Tobacco and Some Tobacco-Specific N-nitrosamines. IARC Press: Lyon
- IARC Working Group (2012) IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Vol 100E: Personal Habits and Indoor Combustions. IARC Press: Lyon
- Islami F, Kamangar F, Nasrollahzadeh D, Moller H, Boffetta P, Malekzadeh R (2009) Oesophageal cancer in Golestan Province, a high-incidence area in northern Iran a review. *Eur J Cancer* **45**: 3156–3165
- Jackson D, Aveyard P (2008) Waterpipe smoking in students: prevalence, risk factors, symptoms of addiction, and smoke intake. Evidence from one British university. *BMC Public Health* 8: 174
- Jacob III P, Abu Raddaha AH, Dempsey D, Havel C, Peng M, Yu L, Benowitz NL (2011) Nicotine, carbon monoxide, and carcinogen exposure after a single use of a water pipe. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 20: 2345-2353
- Jarrett T, Blosnich J, Tworek C, Horn K (2012) Hookah use among U.S. college students: results from the National College Health Assessment II. *Nicotine Tob Res*; e-pub ahead of print 7 February 2012
- Javed F, Chotai M, Mehmood A, Almas K (2010) Oral mucosal disorders associated with habitual gutka usage: a review. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 109: 857–864

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

- Jawaid A, Zafar AM, Rehman TU, Nazir MR, Ghafoor ZA, Afzal O, Khan JA (2008) Knowledge, attitudes and practice of university students regarding waterpipe smoking in Pakistan. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* **12**: 1077-1084
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D (2011) Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 61: 69–90
- Jordan HM, Delnevo CD (2010) Emerging tobacco products: hookah use among New Jersey youth. Prev Med 51: 394-396
- Khan NA, Teli MA, Mohib-Ul HM, Bhat GM, Lone MM, Afroz F (2011) A survey of risk factors in carcinoma esophagus in the valley of Kashmir, Northern India. J Cancer Res Ther 7: 15–18
- Khuroo MS, Zargar SA, Mahajan R, Banday MA (1992) High incidence of oesophageal and gastric cancer in Kashmir in a population with special personal and dietary habits. *Gut* **33**: 11–15
- Malik MA, Sharma KL, Zargar SA, Mittal B (2011) Association of matrix metalloproteinase-7 (-181A>G) polymorphism with risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Kashmir Valley. Saudi J Gastroenterol 17: 301–306
- Maqbool M, Ahad A (1976) Carcinoma of the oesophagus in Kashmir. Indian J Otolaryngol 28: 118-119
- Mattoo AR, Kaul HK (1974) Incidence of malignant neoplasms in Kashmir. J Indian Med Assoc 62: 309–311
- Maziak W (2011) The global epidemic of waterpipe smoking. *Addict Behav* **36**: 1–5
- Mir MM, Dar NA (2009) Esophageal cancer in Kashmir (India): an enigma for researchers. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 3: 71-85
- Monzer B, Sepetdjian E, Saliba N, Shihadeh A (2008) Charcoal emissions as a source of CO and carcinogenic PAH in mainstream narghile waterpipe smoke. *Food Chem Toxicol* **46**: 2991–2995
- Nasrollahzadeh D, Kamangar F, Aghcheli K, Sotoudeh M, Islami F, Abnet CC, Shakeri R, Pourshams A, Marjani HA, Nouraie M, Khatibian M, Semnani S, Ye W, Boffetta P, Dawsey SM, Malekzadeh R (2008) Opium, tobacco, and alcohol use in relation to oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a high-risk area of Iran. *Br J Cancer* **98**: 1857–1863
- Roth MJ, Strickland KL, Wang GQ, Rothman N, Greenberg A, Dawsey SM (1998) High levels of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons present within food from Linxian, China may contribute to that region's high incidence of oesophageal cancer. *Eur J Cancer* **34**: 757–758
- Siddiqi M, Preussmann R (1989) Esophageal cancer in Kashmir an assessment. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 115: 111-117
- Smith JR, Edland SD, Novotny TE, Hofstetter CR, White MM, Lindsay SP, Al Delaimy WK (2011) Increasing hookah use in California. Am J Public Health 101: 1876–1879
- Steevens J, Botterweck AA, Dirx MJ, van den Brandt PA, Schouten LJ (2010) Trends in incidence of oesophageal and stomach cancer subtypes in Europe. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **22**: 669–678
- Tran GD, Sun XD, Abnet CC, Fan JH, Dawsey SM, Dong ZW, Mark SD, Qiao YL, Taylor PR (2005) Prospective study of risk factors for esophageal and gastric cancers in the Linxian general population trial cohort in China. *Int J Cancer* 113: 456–463

Trivers KF, Sabatino SA, Stewart SL (2008) Trends in esophageal cancer incidence by histology, United States, 1998–2003. Int J Cancer 123: 1422–1428

- Tuyns AJ (1983) Oesophageal cancer in non-smoking drinkers and in nondrinking smokers. Int J Cancer 32: 443-444
- Ward KD, Hammal F, VanderWeg MW, Eissenberg T, Asfar T, Rastam S, Maziak W (2005) Are waterpipe users interested in quitting? *Nicotine Tob Res* 7: 149–156
- Zaridze DG, Blettner M, Matiakin EG, Poljakov BP, Stich HF, Rosin MP, Hoffmann D, Brunnemann KD (1986) The effect of nass use and smoking on the risk of oral leukoplakia. *Cancer Detect Prev* **9**: 435-440

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

