**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# Proton pump inhibition for secondary hemochromatosis in hereditary anemia: a phase III placebo-controlled randomized cross-over clinical trial

Annelies van Vuren<sup>1</sup> | Jean Louis Kerkhoffs<sup>2</sup> | Saskia Schols<sup>3</sup> | Anita Rijneveld<sup>4</sup> | Erfan Nur<sup>5,6</sup> | Dore Peereboom<sup>7</sup> | Yves Gandon<sup>8</sup> | Paco Welsing<sup>9</sup> | Richard van Wijk<sup>10</sup> | Roger Schutgens<sup>1</sup> | Wouter van Solinge<sup>10</sup> | Joannes Marx<sup>11</sup> | Tim Leiner<sup>12,13</sup> | Bart Biemond<sup>5</sup> | Eduard van Beers<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Benign Haematology, Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Van Creveldkliniek, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology, HagaZiekenhuis, The Hague, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Hematology, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Hematology, Erasmus Medical Center Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Department of Hematology, Amsterdam University Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Department of Blood Cell Research, Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Contactgroep Pyruvaatkinasedeficiëntie, Stichting Zeldzame Bloedziekten, Medemblik, The Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Department of Radiology, CHU Rennes, University of Rennes, Rennes, France

<sup>9</sup>Division of Internal Medicine and Dermatology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>10</sup>Central Diagnostic Laboratory - Research, Division of Laboratories, Pharmacy and Biomedical Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>11</sup>University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>12</sup>Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Radiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

#### Correspondence

Eduard van Beers, Center for Benign Haematology, Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Van Creveldkliniek, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Huispost C01.425, Postbus 85500, Utrecht 3508 GA, The Netherlands. Email: e.j.vanbeers-3@umcutrecht.nl

**Funding information** ZonMw; Innovatiefonds Zorgverzekeraars

#### Abstract

Iron overload is a severe general complication of hereditary anemias. Treatment with iron chelators is hampered by important side-effects, high costs, and the lack of availability in many countries with a high prevalence of hereditary anemias. In this phase III randomized placebo-controlled trial, we assigned adults with non-transfusion-dependent hereditary anemias with mild-to-moderate iron overload to receive esomeprazole (at a dose of 40 mg twice daily) or placebo for 12 months in a cross-over design. The primary end point was change of liver iron content measured by MRI. A total of 30 participants were enrolled in the trial. Treatment with esomeprazole resulted in a statistically significant reduction in liver iron content that was 0.55 mg Fe/g dw larger than after treatment with placebo (95%CI [0.05 to 1.06]; p = 0.03). Median baseline liver iron content at the start of esomeprazole was 4.99

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2022 The Authors. *American Journal of Hematology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC. versus 4.49 mg Fe/g dw at start of placebo. Mean delta liver iron content after esomeprazole treatment was -0.57 (SD 1.20) versus -0.11 mg Fe/g dw (SD 0.75) after placebo treatment. Esomeprazole was well tolerated, reported adverse events were mild and none of the patients withdrew from the study due to side effects. In summary, esomeprazole resulted in a significant reduction in liver iron content when compared to placebo in a heterogeneous group of patients with non-transfusion-dependent hereditary anemias. From an international perspective this result can have major implications given the fact that proton pump inhibitors may frequently be the only realistic therapy for many patients without access to or not tolerating iron chelators.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Iron overload is a serious complication in patients with transfusiondependent congenital anemias.<sup>1</sup> However, iron overload was also detected in 65% of a cohort of previously non-transfused patients with hereditary hemolytic anemia,<sup>2</sup> due to inappropriately low hepcidin levels resulting in excessive intestinal iron absorption in response to ineffective or stress erythropoiesis.<sup>3–5</sup> Upon erythropoietin stimulation, differentiating erythroblasts rapidly increase erythroferrone production, which downregulates hepcidin transcription.<sup>5–9</sup> The resulting elevated levels of total body iron lead to clearance of non-transferrin-bound iron by the liver,<sup>10–13</sup> culminating in iron overload and related pathology.<sup>14,15</sup>

In patients with non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia a liver iron content (LIC) threshold of 3–5 mg Fe/g dry weight (dw) was associated with a higher prevalence of iron-related morbidity, including vasculopathy, endocrine disturbances, and osseous complications.<sup>16</sup> In non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia LIC-values increase approximately 0.5 mg Fe/g dw per year already resulting in clinically relevant iron overload in adolescents.<sup>17</sup> Recommendations for monitoring and treatment of iron overload are incorporated in all current guidelines for management of hemoglobinopathies,<sup>18–20</sup> and other anemias.<sup>21–24</sup> Iron chelation is currently the only treatment modality of iron overload in anemic patients. Treatment-related toxicity (e.g. gastro-intestinal complaints and renal toxicity) is a concern in daily clinical practice, and treatment itself is expensive.

Dietary non-heme iron typically consists of ferric iron which needs to be reduced into ferrous iron for absorption. Ferrireductases present on enterocytes require a proton gradient, additionally protons are needed to solubilize dietary iron salts. Subsequently, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) might inhibit both processes.<sup>25,26</sup> We hypothesize that treatment with PPIs reduces iron absorption and may limit ironloading in patients with non-transfusion dependent hereditary anemia. A hint of such an effect was already provided by the Pyruvate Kinase Deficiency Natural History Study.<sup>27,28</sup> Iron overload was better managed in a subgroup with an iron-restricted diet, PPIs, and calcium citrate.<sup>27–29</sup> Moreover, PPIs have shown to be effective in the reduction of phlebotomy requirements in patients with hereditary hemochromatosis.<sup>30,31</sup> We investigated the safety and efficacy of PPIs in prevention and treatment of iron overload in non-transfusion-dependent hereditary anemias.

## 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Trial design

The PPI Shine Again trial was a phase III double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over, multicenter trial designed to assess the efficacy and safety of esomeprazole in the treatment of mild-to-moderate iron overload in patients with hereditary anemia. The study was conducted at five clinical centers in the Netherlands, all were appointed as centers of expertise in hereditary anemia. The study included a one-year inclusion period, and was, upon enrollment, followed by two double-blind treatment phases in a cross-over design of 52 weeks each (Figure S1). The trial is registered in the Netherlands Trial Register (trialregister.nl): NL6659, PPI Shine Again (PPI in secondary hemochromatosis).

## 2.2 | Randomization and study procedures

Patients were randomly assigned to start with one of the two treatments. The randomization sequence was generated with blocks of four. The random allocation sequence was generated by the drug manufacturer and corresponded with the provided sequentially numbered bottles. Patients were allocated by means of a telephone call to the blinded coordinating investigator. Treatment assignment was stratified for use of iron chelation therapy. The participants, care givers, and those assessing outcomes were unaware of the group assignments.

Three-monthly laboratory assessments and yearly MRI of liver according to current standard-of-care were implemented in the study design. Extra study laboratory assessments included six-monthly gastrin levels and baseline hepcidin level (measured batch-wise after study close-out). Every three-monthly study visit included review of medication, check of compliance, report of side-effects and airway infections. Iron intake was assessed yearly with the IRONIC-FFQ questionnaire.<sup>32</sup> The full trial protocol is available in the *Supplemental Digital Content*.

## 2.3 | Participants

Eligible participants were adult patients (≥18 years old), with a confirmed diagnosis of hereditary anemia (hemoglobinopathy, sideroblastic anemia, congenital dyserythropoietic anemia, or erythrocyte enzyme deficiency), and had clinically stable mild-to-moderate iron overload defined as a baseline LIC between 3 and 15 mg Fe/g without iron chelation therapy 6 months prior to entering the study, or with documented stable dosage of iron chelation the preceding 2 months and no expected dose alterations, as no dose alterations were allowed during the trial. Patients who were undergoing regular red-cell transfusion therapy were not eligible. During the trial, participants were excluded if they had received >4 units of blood during one study period. All patients provided written informed consent before trial enrollment.

## 2.4 | Trial intervention

Participants received esomeprazole 40 mg and placebo capsules bidaily for 12 months in the randomized order, and were instructed to take one capsule 15–60 min before breakfast and dinner. Site investigators were allowed to end treatment for patients experiencing severe side effects. Temporarily cessation of the study drug, or exclusion from the study, was considered in cases of co-administration of medication with potential drug interactions. Drug interactions lowering plasma levels of esomeprazole were not considered as an exclusion criterion.

#### 2.5 | Measurement

A combined MRI of liver and heart was performed at yearly intervals according to current clinical practice. In brief, the protocol consisted of dedicated liver and electrocardiographically triggered cardiac T2\*-weighted sequences to assess liver and myocardial iron concentrations. All images were acquired on the same commercially available 1.5 Tesla MR imager using a predefined and previously validated imaging protocol. A detailed description of the MRI protocol, including a thorough description of the R2\* method is available in the review paper by Henninger et al.<sup>33</sup> and on https://imagemed.univ-rennes1.fr/. Upon completion of the acquisition, images were sent to a dedicated workstation for further analysis using the MRQuantif web application. MRQuantif is a dedicated software package that can be used to quantify LIC from T2\* MRI acquisitions.

## 2.6 | End points

Primary end point was the difference in change of LIC measured by MRI between esomeprazole and placebo treatment. The LIC was determined by two independent observers (YG/AV). Inter observer variability assessment was calculated by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).<sup>34</sup> Secondary efficacy assessment included changes in serum ferritin values. Safety assessments included incidence of adverse events and abnormal clinical laboratory tests (serum vitamin

B12, magnesium and zinc levels). Reported adverse events were graded according to the CTCAE v4.03 criteria.

## 2.7 | Trial oversight

The trial was centrally approved by the ethics committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht (Utrecht, NL) and approved by the Board of Directors of the participating centers. The trial was conducted according to Good Clinical Practice guidelines, defined by the International Conference of Harmonization. All participating centers signed confidentiality agreements with the sponsor regarding the data.

## 2.8 | Statistical analysis

We estimated that 20 patients would need to be enrolled to have approximately 80% power ( $\beta = 0.20$ ) to detect a difference in change in LIC between treatments of 2.0 mg Fe/g dw, conform a previous trial with PPIs conducted in patients with hereditary hemochromatosis.<sup>31</sup> In the estimation a yearly expected increase in LIC without intervention of approximately 0.4 mg Fe/g dw was incorporated. Estimation of the standard deviation for the sample size calculation was based on the standard deviations reported by Taher et al.<sup>17,35</sup> Dropout was estimated to be relatively high due to unscheduled blood transfusions, surgery with blood loss or other complications. Inclusion of a minimum of eight additional patients was proposed to compensate for dropout and lack of compliance.

The main analysis consisted of an intention-to-treat analysis and per protocol analysis. In the per protocol analysis patients who failed to receive their allocated treatment, noncompliant patients or patients who dropped out of the study before completing both treatment periods were excluded. Noncompliance for the placebo period was defined as missing more than 20% of dosages based on pill counts or serum gastrin level within the reference range or less than 50% increase compared to baseline for the esomeprazole period.<sup>36</sup>

For the primary efficacy analysis, a linear mixed model was used with change in LIC as dependent variable, a random intercept at patient level and treatment as independent variable. Sex, iron chelator use, (period) baseline LIC, and randomized order of treatment were included as covariates. Continuous secondary outcomes were analyzed using the same approach. For safety parameters (serum vitamin B12, magnesium and zinc values) randomized order of treatment and iron chelator use were included as independent variables in the model. Calculations were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.0.0.1 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

## 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Baseline characteristics of the patients

From March 2018 through April 2019, 30 patients were enrolled (Figure 1). Four treatment periods were excluded based on transfusion requirements (more than four units in one treatment period). The

**FIGURE 1** Screening, Randomization, and Follow-up. Shown is the disposition of the trial participants. The intention-totreat population compromised 30 patients who underwent randomization, to receive either esomeprazole followed by placebo, or placebo followed by esomeprazole. \*The two treatment periods referred to were the two treatment phases of one patient, one placebo period, and one esomeprazole period. <sup>†</sup>One placebo period and one esomeprazole period were excluded. Tx based on transfusion requirements.



intention-to-treat population included all participants despite those excluded based on the set transfusion threshold. A total of six patients dropped out of the study at patient request of whom three completed the first treatment. Data assembled until dropout were included in the intention-to-treat analysis. Overall, data of 29 patients were included in the intention-to-treat analysis. As a consequence of drop-out, and one MRI not obtained according trial protocol, the analysis included 47 completed years of treatment (24 esomepazole treatments and 23 placebo treatments). Baseline characteristics of the randomized population are provided in Table 1. The ICC representing reliability of the LIC-values was 0.996 (95% confidence interval [CI] [0.994; 0.997]). After central re-assessment at the end of the study baseline LIC-values were computed to be <3 mg Fe/g dw in five patients, >3-7 mg Fe/g dw in 18 patients and >7-15 mg Fe/g dw in 7 patients. Eleven patients were treated with a stable dose of deferasirox during trial participation (median dose per day 360 mg [range 180-1080 mg]).

## 3.2 | Primary endpoint

In the intention-to-treat analysis, we observed a significant effect of esomeprazole treatment: the reduction in LIC (delta LIC) was

significantly greater after 1-year esomeprazole than after 1-year placebo (mean difference in LIC reduction 0.55 mg Fe/g dw; 95% CI [0.05 to 1.06]; p = 0.03) (Table 2, Figure S2). Median baseline LIC-value in the esomeprazole period was 4.99 mg Fe/g dw (IQR 3.47; 7.21) and in the placebo period 4.49 mg Fe/g dw (IQR 2.96; 6.35). Mean LIC reduction in the esomeprazole phase was 0.57 mg Fe/g dw (SD 1.20), compared to a reduction of 0.11 mg Fe/g dw (SD 0.75) in the placebo phase.

In 20 of the 47 completed treatment periods (43%) pill counts and/or gastrin values indicated therapy nonadherence. Per protocol analysis was performed in the subgroup with adequate adherence (N = 26 treatment periods). Results were in line with the intention-to-treat analysis with a mean difference in reduction of LIC of 0.51 mg Fe/g dw (95% CI [0.00 to 1.03]; p = 0.05).

A pre-planned modified efficacy analysis including baseline hepcidin/ferritin ratio provided proof for a relevant influence on treatment efficacy. Subsequently, patients were divided in two groups (hepcidin/ferritin ratio above group median, or below group median of 0.021). In patients with a low hepcidin/ferritin ratio treatment with esomeprazole resulted in a significant reduction in LIC of 1.30 mg Fe/g dw (95% CI [0.54 to 2.06]; p = 0.003) larger than placebo. After esomeprazole, there was a mean reduction of LIC of 1.20 mg Fe/g dw

## 

Y_AJH_	
--------	--

Characteristic	Esomeprazole- placebo (N = 16)	Placebo- esomeprazole (N = 14)				
Median age (range) – yr	47 (19; 66)	35 (23; 59)				
Female sex – no. (%)	9 (56)	6 (43)				
Median body mass index (range)	22.1 (17.8; 28.4)	21.5 (17.4; 30.0)				
Diagnosis						
CSA	2	1				
CDA	0	3				
HE	1	0				
NTDT	8	5				
PKD	5	3				
SCD	0	2				
History of splenectomy (%)	5 (31)	2 (14)				
History of cholecystectomy (%)	8 (50)	2 (14)				
Iron chelation therapy (%)	6 (38)	5 (36)				
Relevant other medicaments (%)						
Folic acid	11	10				
Bisphosphonate or other therapy osteoporosis	2	2				
ACE-inhibitor	0	1				
Relevant co-morbidities						
Diabetes	1	0				
Hypertension	1	0				
Osteoporosis	2	0				
Median number of blood transfusions in preceding 12 months (range)	0 (0; 9)	0 (0; 5)				
Median iron intake						
Heme iron – mg per day	2.3 (1.8; 3.4)	1.4 (0.7; 2.8)				
Non-heme iron – mg per day	5.2 (4.0; 8.5)	6.2 (4.9; 8.9)				
Median number of phlebotomies in preceding 12 months (range)	0	0				
Median markers of iron metabolism (IQR)						
Serum ferritin – µg/L	483 (302; 705)	603 (346; 807)				
Serum transferrin saturation – %	59 (26; 81)	48 (31; 74)				
Plasma hepcidin – μg/L	7.4 (4.2; 20.4)	11.3 (4.2; 17.7)				
Median hemoglobin value (IQR) – g/dL	9.2 (7.9; 10.4)	9.7 (8.7; 10.2)				
Median values of safety parameters (IQR) <sup>a</sup>						
Vitamin B12 – pmol/L	282 (199; 409)	275 (203; 500)				
Magnesium – mmol/L	0.82 (0.81; 0.89)	0.83 (0.79; 0.88)				
Median baseline LIC (IQR) – mg Fe/g dry liver weight	4.83 (3.13; 5.40)	5.44 (4.49; 8.37)				

 
 TABLE 1
 Baseline demographic and
disease characteristics. Data on all baseline demographics and disease characteristics are shown for all patients who underwent randomization. Characteristics are tabulated against treatment allocation.

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; CDA, congenital dyserythropoietic anemia; CSA, congenital sideroblastic anemia; DFX, deferasirox; HE, hereditary elliptocytosis; IQR, interquartile range; LIC, liver iron content; NTDT, non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia; PKD, pyruvate kinase deficiency; SCD, sickle cell disease.

<sup>a</sup>Baseline zinc values are not presented in this table, as reference values (and assays) differed among participating centers. See results section for delta zinc values along the trial.

#### TABLE 2 Change in levels of liver iron content and iron parameters.

	Esomeprazo	e mean (SD)	Placebo mea	an (SD)	Effect esomeprazole <sup>a</sup> estimate (95% confidence interval)
Primary endpoint					
$\Delta$ LIC – mg Fe/g dw	N = 24	-0.57 (1.20)	N = 23	-0.11 (0.75)	-0.55 (-1.06 to -0.05)
Secondary endpoints					
$\Delta$ Ferritin – $\mu$ g/L	N = 20	-18 (170)	N = 23	18 (135)	-23 (-121 to 76)
$\Delta$ Transferrin saturation – %	N = 22	-1.1 (18.4)	N = 23	6.7 (15.9)	-7.7% (-18.8 to 3.5)

Abbreviations:  $\Delta$ , delta; LIC, liver iron content; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>Effect estimate of esomeprazole as calculated by linear mixed model analysis with random intercept and treatment as independent variable. Sex, iron chelator use, baseline LIC and order were included as covariates.

(SD 1.32) versus an increase of 0.08 mg Fe/g dw (SD 0.76) after placebo. In patients with a high hepcidin/ferritin ratio esomeprazole led to a nonsignificant increase in LIC of 0.21 mg Fe/g dw (95% CI [-0.39 to 0.81]; p = 0.47) greater than placebo. After esomeprazole treatment, there was a mean increase in LIC of 0.06 mg Fe/g dw (SD 0.63) versus a reduction of 0.28 mg Fe/g dw (SD 0.73) after placebo treatment.

Iron intake was quantified with the IRONIC-FFQ questionnaire at baseline and after each treatment period. Changes in iron (heme or non-heme) intake were negligible over time (resp. p = 0.81, and p = 0.84). Four patients received red cell transfusions in the esomeprazole period and 2 patients in the placebo period.

## 3.3 | Key secondary end points

The change of serum ferritin was included as secondary end point; adhoc analysis of change in serum transferrin saturation was performed (Table 2, Figure S2). The difference in change in serum ferritin and transferrin saturation was nonsignificant when comparing esomeprazole and placebo (respectively  $-23 \ \mu g/L$ ; 95% CI [ $-121 \ to$  76]; p = 0.65, and -7.7%; 95% CI [ $-18.8 \ to 3.5\%$ ]; p = 0.17) (Table 2, Figure S2). Baseline ferritin levels and transferrin saturation levels were respectively 470  $\mu g/L$  (IQR 306; 615) and 78% (IQR 39; 84) in the esomeprazole period and 455  $\mu g/L$  (IQR 288; 694) and 54% (IQR 34; 81) in the placebo period. After esomeprazole treatment there was a decrease of 18  $\mu g/L$  (SD 170) in serum ferritin, and a decrease of -1.1% (SD 18.4) in serum transferrin saturation. After placebo treatment, there was an increase in serum ferritin of 18  $\mu g/L$  (SD 135) and an increase of 6.7% (SD 15.9) in serum transferrin saturation.

#### 3.4 | Safety

Treatment periods of all patients exposed to esomeprazole or placebo were included in the safety analysis (N = 56). Most frequently reported adverse events were gastro-intestinal complaints, in particular in the esomeprazole-treated population. Adverse events occurring in over 10% of patients or graded grade 3 or higher are presented in Table 3. In one patient mild gastro-intestinal complaints (grade 2) led **TABLE 3** Adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients or graded grade 3 or higher.

Event – no. (%)	Esomeprazole (N = 30)	Placebo (N = 26)			
General disorder or administration-site condition					
Malaise	3 (10)	1 (4)			
Fatigue	1 (3)	2 (8)			
Gastro-intestinal disorder					
Nausea	1 (3)	3 (12)			
Gastric pain or pyrosis	1 (3)	2 (8)			
Diarrhea	6 <sup>a</sup> (20)	2 (8)			
Abdominal pain <sup>b</sup>	3 (10)	0 (0)			
Infection or infestation					
Upper respiratory tract infection <sup>c</sup>	17	23			
Lower respiratory tract infection <sup>b,c</sup>	3	4			
Prosthetic valve endocarditis <sup>b</sup>	1 (3)	1 (4)			
Sepsis eci <sup>b</sup>	0 (0)	1 (4)			
Cholecystitis <sup>b</sup>	1 (3)	0 (0)			
Flue (nos)	2 (7)	1 (4)			
(Cardio)vascular disorder					
Vaso-occlusive crisis <sup>b,c</sup>	1	7			
Rectus hematoma <sup>b</sup>	1 (3)	O (O)			
Kidney failure	O (O)	1ª (4)			
Musculoskeletal or connective-tissue disorder					
Backpain	1 <sup>a</sup> (3)	0 (0)			

Note: Three grade 3 adverse events were reported (rapid decline in kidney function [placebo]; diarrhea in a patient diagnosed with colitis ulcerosa [esomeprazole], and severe backpain [esomeprazole]).

<sup>a</sup>One episode was graded grade 3 or higher.

<sup>b</sup>At least one serious adverse event occurred (hospitalization).

 $^{\rm c} {\rm Reported}$  (number) is number of episodes.

to dose reduction of the study medicament (one capsule once daily), none of the patients discontinued trial participation due to adverse events. The majority of adverse events were graded 1 or 2. <sup>930</sup> WILEY AJH

Fourteen serious adverse events occurred, all concerning hospitalization due to various reasons. All serious adverse events were judged by the investigators to be unrelated to esomeprazole or placebo. None of the participants discontinued treatment, and no dose reductions were required.

Respiratory tract infections were reported separately. Three patients were prescribed antibiotics for respiratory tract infections in the esomeprazole period and 2 patients in the placebo period.

None of the participants was prescribed vitamin B12, magnesium or zinc supplementation during trial participation as a consequence of decreasing serum levels during the trial. Delta vitamin B12, magnesium or zinc values did not differ significantly between treatments.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

In this phase III, trial involving patients with non-transfusiondependent anemias complicated by mild-to-severe iron overload esomeprazole 40 mg twice daily resulted in a significantly larger reduction in liver iron load of 0.55 mg Fe/g dw as compared to placebo after 1 year of treatment. We consider this difference clinically relevant given the average iron loading per year of 0.5 mg Fe/g dw in patients with non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia as observed previously.<sup>17</sup> Low individual hepcidin/ferritin ratio was an important predictor for treatment efficacy. Moreover, we established the safety of esomeprazole treatment for this specific indication, esomeprazole was associated with mainly low-grade adverse events.

The cross-over design of our study enabled us to include a heterogeneous group of anemias, which can be considered both a strength and a weakness of the trial. The underlying mechanism of iron loading is similar among these diseases, despite major differences in pathophysiology. Unfortunately, the low number of patients included per disease was too small to allow separate (sensitivity) subgroup analyses. Potency to accumulate iron in the absence of transfusions in SCD is subject of debate, however, there is a subgroup of SCD patients with extremely low hepcidin/ferritin ratios who may be at risk of iron overload via this route.<sup>37</sup>

We chose to dose esomeprazole twice daily to attain 24-h gastric acid suppression. Pharmacokinetic data of esomeprazole showed that 40 mg once daily attained pH  $\ge$ 4 in 92% and 56% of patients for a minimal duration of respectively 12 and 16 h per day.<sup>38</sup> It is not known yet whether once daily dosing may also result in a relevant effect, given the fact that food is predominantly ingested during daytime. We strongly advice to address this question in future studies, as therapy adherence was poor for a twice daily regimen.

Five patients met the inclusion criteria (MRI conform standard care LIC  $\geq$ 3 mg Fe/g dw), but during the blinded centralized reassessment at the end of the trial LIC-values appeared to be slightly lower. This may have had an effect on the average decrease in LIC, as previous trials with deferasirox reported larger decreases in LIC in patients with higher baseline LIC.<sup>17,35,39</sup> It would be recommendable to specifically study the (added) efficacy of PPI therapy (to iron

chelators) in patients with more severe iron load (LIC  $\geq$ 7 mg Fe/g dw), a group that was underrepresented in our trial.

In many countries, PPIs are currently readily available as overthe-counter drugs, also in low-resource countries. Adverse events are infrequent and generally mild. However, there is a growing concern regarding rare potentially severe side effects including (severe) enteric infections, cardiovascular diseases, pneumonia, hypomagnesemia, acute interstitial nephritis, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>-deficiency, dementia, and osteoporosis, resulting from chronic use of PPIs. For most of these side effects, it is uncertain if the association is based on causality as their incidences are mainly based on observational studies.<sup>40,41</sup> Likewise, long-term use of PPIs was associated with increased risk of gastric cancer.<sup>42,43</sup> Contrasting, extended PPI-use for indications that were not previously related to gastric cancer development PPI-use over 5 years was associated with a decreased risk.<sup>43</sup> Awaiting prospective trials that investigate the absolute risks of long-term PPI treatment on severe complications, these associations should not withhold consideration to use this treatment in patients with a clear indication.

Deferasirox is currently the treatment-of-choice in patients with iron overload. The THALASSA trial reported a LIC reduction of 1.95 mg Fe/g dw in the 5 mg/kg group after 1 year from a median baseline LIC-value of 11.7 mg Fe/g dw. LIC reductions were larger in patients with higher baseline LIC-values.<sup>17</sup> Similarly, the THETIS trial reported the smallest LIC reduction (1.82 mg Fe/g dw) in patients with LIC 5-  $\leq$  7 mg Fe/g dw with a mean dose of 8.95 mg/kg.<sup>39</sup> Compared with these results, deferasirox is likely to be more potent than esomeprazole in reduction of LIC in mild-to-moderate iron overload. However, this does not preclude implementation of PPI-therapy in treatment schedules for iron overload in patients with non-transfusion-dependent hereditary anemias, particularly in those patients with low hepcidin/ferritin ratios. Accounting its favorable safety profile and low costs, PPIs may be considered as an interesting therapeutic option to treat but also to prevent progression of iron overload and thereby the need for treatment with iron chelators in patients with mild iron overload (LIC  $\geq$ 3–5 mg Fe/g dw). In case of more severe iron overload (LIC  $\geq$ 5 mg Fe/g dw) we suggest to consider addition of PPI-therapy to iron chelators. From an international perspective this positive result may have major implications, as in certain areas of the world the prevalence of hereditary anemia is much higher,<sup>44</sup> but availability of iron chelators much lower. In these areas, PPIs may be the only realistic treatment option for many patients.

In conclusion, high-dose one-year esomeprazole treatment induced a significantly larger reduction in LIC when compared to placebo in patients with mild-to-moderate iron overload due to nontransfusion-dependent hereditary anemias. Longer follow-up is needed to see whether this effect will last for a longer period.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Van Vuren and Van Beers had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: Van Beers, Biemond, Marx, Van Vuren. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Nur, Schols, Kerkhoffs, Rijneveld, Van Beers, Gandon, Leiner, Van Vuren. Drafting of the manuscript: Van Vuren. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Statistical analysis: Welsing, Van Vuren. Obtained funding: Van Beers, Van Vuren. Administrative, technical, or material support: Leiner, Gandon. Supervision: Van Beers, Van Wijk, Schutgens.

#### FUNDING INFORMATION

The *PPI Shine Again* (NL6659) has been sponsored by *ZonMw*, The Netherlands Organization for Health and Research Development, and by the *Innovatiefonds Zorgverzekeraars*.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Schols: received travel grants from Bayer and Takeda, consultancy grants from Takeda and honorary for advisory boards from Novartis and Novo Nordisk. Van Wijk: research support from Agios Pharmaceuticals, Axcella Health and RR Mechatronics, consultancy for Agios Pharmaceuticals, and Global Blood Therapeutics. Schutgens: received research support from Bayer, CSL Behring, NovoNordisk, Octapharma, Sobi and Takeda. Biemond: received research support from GBT, Novartis and Sanquin and received honorary for advisory boards from Novartis, Celgene, Bluebird Bio, CSL Behring, Novo Nordisk, and Chiesi. Van Beers: received research support from, Novartis, Agios, RR Mechatronics, Bayer, Pfizer, Horizon 2020 and received honorary for advisory boards from Novartis, Agios, Imara, Forma therapeutics, and Rocket pharmaceuticals.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Aggregated data is available on request. Metadata is shared in the repository DataverseNL of the UMC Utrecht. https://doi.org/10. 34894/PT05Q8.

#### ORCID

Annelies van Vuren b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4258-3836 Jean Louis Kerkhoffs b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5107-047X Saskia Schols b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2423-2829 Erfan Nur b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7069-930X Yves Gandon b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7729-6087 Paco Welsing b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2361-2803 Richard van Wijk b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8236-8292 Roger Schutgens https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2762-6033 Wouter van Solinge b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1885-5499 Bart Biemond b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3199-7718 Eduard van Beers b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3934-7189

#### REFERENCES

- Angelucci E, Brittenham GM, McLaren CE, et al. Hepatic iron concentration and total body iron stores in thalassemia major. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:327-331.
- van Straaten S, Verhoeven J, Hagens S, et al. Organ involvement occurs in all forms of hereditary haemolytic anaemia. Br J Haematol. 2018;185:602-605.
- Gupta R, Musallam KM, Taher AT, Rivella S. Ineffective erythropoiesis: anemia and iron overload. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2018;32: 213-221.

AJH\_WILEY

931

- Pagani A, Nai A, Silvestri L, Camaschella C. Hepcidin and anemia: a tight relationship. Front Physiol. 2019;10:1294.
- Camaschella C, Nai A. Ineffective erythropoiesis and regulation of iron status in iron loading anaemias. Br J Haematol. 2016;172: 512-523.
- Arezes J, Foy N, McHugh K, et al. Erythroferrone inhibits the induction of hepcidin by BMP6. *Blood*. 2018;132:1473-1477.
- Wang CY, Xu Y, Traeger L, et al. Erythroferrone lowers hepcidin by sequestering BMP2/6 heterodimer from binding to the BMP type I receptor ALK3. *Blood*. 2020;135:453-456.
- Kautz L, Jung G, Valore EV, Rivella S, Nemeth E, Ganz T. Identification of erythroferrone as an erythroid regulator of iron metabolism. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46:678-684.
- Rivella S. Iron metabolism under conditions of ineffective erythropoiesis in beta-thalassemia. *Blood.* 2019;133:51-58.
- 10. Le Lan C, Loreal O, Cohen T, et al. Redox active plasma iron in C282Y/C282Y hemochromatosis. *Blood*. 2005;105:4527-4531.
- Pootrakul P, Breuer W, Sametband M, Sirankapracha P, Hershko C, Cabantchik ZI. Labile plasma iron (LPI) as an indicator of chelatable plasma redox activity in iron-overloaded beta-thalassemia/HbE patients treated with an oral chelator. *Blood.* 2004;104:1504-1510.
- 12. Breuer W, Ghoti H, Shattat A, et al. Non-transferrin bound iron in thalassemia: differential detection of redox active forms in children and older patients. *Am J Hematol*. 2012;87:55-61.
- 13. de Valk B, Addicks MA, Gosriwatana I, et al. Non-transferrin-bound iron is present in serum of hereditary haemochromatosis heterozygotes. *Eur J Clin Investig.* 2000;30:248-251.
- Borgna-Pignatti C, Gamberini MR. Complications of thalassemia major and their treatment. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2011;4:353-366.
- 15. Knutson MD. Non-transferrin-bound iron transporters. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2019;133:101-111.
- Musallam KM, Cappellini MD, Taher AT. Evaluation of the 5 mg/g liver iron concentration threshold and its association with morbidity in patients with beta-thalassemia intermedia. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2013;51:35-38.
- Taher AT, Porter J, Viprakasit V, et al. Deferasirox reduces iron overload significantly in nontransfusion-dependent thalassemia: 1-year results from a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *Blood*. 2012;120:970-977.
- Cappellini MD, Cohen A, Porter J, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Transfusion Dependent Thalassaemia. Vol 2014. 3rd ed.; Nicosia (CY): Thalassaemia International Federation; 2014.
- 19. Taher A, Vichinsky E, Musallam K, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Non Transfusion Dependent Thalassaemia (NTDT). 2013.
- Yawn BP, Buchanan GR, Afenyi-Annan AN, et al. Management of sickle cell disease: summary of the 2014 evidence-based report by expert panel members. JAMA. 2014;312:1033-1048.
- 21. Grace RF, Mark Layton D, Barcellini W. How we manage patients with pyruvate kinase deficiency. *Br J Haematol*. 2019;184:721-734.
- Gambale A, Iolascon A, Andolfo I, Russo R. Diagnosis and management of congenital dyserythropoietic anemias. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2016;9:283-296.
- Risinger M, Emberesh M, Kalfa TA. Rare hereditary hemolytic anemias: diagnostic approach and considerations in management. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2019;33:373-392.
- 24. Da Costa L, Leblanc T, Mohandas N. Diamond-Blackfan anemia. *Blood.* 2020;136:1262-1273.
- 25. Handa P, Kowdley KV. A proton pump inhibitor a day keeps the iron away. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;14:153-155.
- Muckenthaler MU, Rivella S, Hentze MW, Galy B. A red carpet for iron metabolism. *Cell*. 2017;168:344-361.
- van Beers EJ, van Straaten S, Morton DH, et al. Prevalence and management of iron overload in pyruvate kinase deficiency: report from the pyruvate kinase deficiency natural history study. *Haematologica*. 2018;104:e51-e53.

## <sup>932</sup> WILEY AJH

- Grace RF, Bianchi P, van Beers EJ, et al. Clinical spectrum of pyruvate kinase deficiency: data from the pyruvate kinase deficiency natural history study. *Blood.* 2018;131:2183-2192.
- Van Beers EJ, Barcellini W, Eber SW, et al. Iron overload is highly prevalent in all disease severity states in pyruvate kinase deficiency (PKD). 2016;128:2430.
- Brissot P, Troadec MB, Loreal O, Brissot E. Pathophysiology and classification of iron overload diseases; update 2018. *Transfus Clin Biol.* 2018;26:80-88.
- Vanclooster A, van Deursen C, Jaspers R, Cassiman D, Koek G. Proton pump inhibitors decrease phlebotomy need in HFE hemochromatosis: double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;153:678-680.e2.
- Glabska D, Guzek D, Slazak J, Wlodarek D. Assessing the validity and reproducibility of an iron dietary intake questionnaire conducted in a Group of Young Polish Women. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(3):199.
- Henninger B, Alustiza J, Garbowski M, Gandon Y. Practical guide to quantification of hepatic iron with MRI. *Eur Radiol.* 2020;30: 383-393.
- Popovic ZB, Thomas JD. Assessing observer variability: a user's guide. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther. 2017;7:317-324.
- Taher AT, Porter JB, Viprakasit V, et al. Deferasirox effectively reduces iron overload in non-transfusion-dependent thalassemia (NTDT) patients: 1-year extension results from the THALASSA study. *Ann Hematol.* 2013;92:1485-1493.
- Helgadottir H, Metz DC, Lund SH, et al. Study of gender differences in proton pump inhibitor dose requirements for GERD: a double-blind randomized trial. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2017;51:486-493.
- Kroot JJ, Laarakkers CM, Kemna EH, et al. Regulation of serum hepcidin levels in sickle cell disease. *Haematologica*. 2009;94: 885-887.
- European Medicines Agency Summary of Product Characteristics -Nexium Control EMEA/H/C/002618 - IB/0035. 2021.

- Taher AT, Cappellini MD, Aydinok Y, et al. Optimising iron chelation therapy with deferasirox for non-transfusion-dependent thalassaemia patients: 1-year results from the THETIS study. *Blood Cells Mol Dis.* 2016;57:23-29.
- 40. Eusebi LH, Rabitti S, Artesiani ML, et al. Proton pump inhibitors: risks of long-term use. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;32:1295-1302.
- Lanas-Gimeno A, Hijos G, Lanas A. Proton pump inhibitors, adverse events and increased risk of mortality. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2019;18: 1043-1053.
- Cheung KS, Chan EW, Wong AYS, Chen L, Wong ICK, Leung WK. Long-term proton pump inhibitors and risk of gastric cancer development after treatment for helicobacter pylori: a population-based study. *Gut.* 2018;67:28-35.
- Brusselaers N, Wahlin K, Engstrand L, Lagergren J. Maintenance therapy with proton pump inhibitors and risk of gastric cancer: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Sweden. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e017739.
- Kassebaum NJ, Jasrasaria R, Naghavi M, et al. A systematic analysis of global anemia burden from 1990 to 2010. *Blood*. 2014;123:615-624.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: van Vuren A, Kerkhoffs JL, Schols S, et al. Proton pump inhibition for secondary hemochromatosis in hereditary anemia: a phase III placebo-controlled randomized cross-over clinical trial. *Am J Hematol.* 2022;97(7): 924-932. doi:10.1002/ajh.26581