Helicobacter pylori DNA methyltransferases and the epigenetic field effect in cancerization

Ramakrishnan Sitaraman *

Department of Biotechnology, TERI University, New Delhi, India *Correspondence: minraj@gmail.com

Edited and reviewed by:

Anton G. Kutikhin, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Russia

Keywords: Helicobacter pylori, epigenetic field effect, gastric cancer, DNA methyltransferase, DNA methylation, host-pathogen interactions, gastric microbiota

INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori, a Gram-negative, microaerophilic bacterium, has co-existed with humans beings as a prominent member of their gastric microbiota for approximately 10⁵ years (Moodley et al., 2012). It infects approximately half the world's population, and most infected individuals are asymptomatic, but histologically exhibit superficial gastritis (The EUROGAST Study Group, 1993). Only a minority of infected individuals develop gastric or duodenal ulcers that necessitate treatment. Prolonged inflammation caused by chronic (often lifelong) infection predisposes a small fraction of infected individuals to develop gastric adenocarcinoma or lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma) (Passaro et al., 2002). Unfortunately, the prognosis for cases of gastric cancer is very poor, with 5-year survival rates being lower than 15% (Peek and Blaser, 2002).

A mechanism for carcinogenesis ensuing from H. pylori-triggered inflammation was first proposed by Pelayo Correa (Correa, 1992; Correa and Piazuelo, 2012). Briefly, chronic inflammation causes superficial gastritis that progresses over time to multifocal atrophic gastritis (MAG), characterized by the destruction of gastric glands. This is followed by intestinal metaplasia, wherein gastric epithelium undergoes an "epithelialmesenchymal transition" and begins to exhibit an intestinal phenotype. The subsequent stage consists of dysplasia culminating in invasive carcinoma, which completes the "pre-cancerous cascade." The final outcome is also dependent on host and pathogen genotypes, as well as environmental factors such as socioeconomic indicators, a high-salt diet, low fruit/vegetable intake and smoking (Khalifa et al., 2010). Most notably, *H. pylori* is the sole bacterium to be classified by the WHO as a class I carcinogen (IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 1994).

A MECHANISM FOR EPIGENETIC FIELD CANCERIZATION BY *H. pylori* DNA MTases

Field cancerization is a concept first proposed in 1953 in the context of oral stratified squamous epithelium (Slaughter et al., 1953), and subsequently extended to other tissues. Briefly, upon exposure to a carcinogen at sufficient intensity for a significant duration, grossly normal-looking tissue near tumor sites suffers microscopic (essentially, molecular) changes that eventually result in carcinogenesis. Aberrant methylation of cytosine residues within CpG islands (CGI) in genomic DNA has been reported in a variety of cancers, including gastric cancer (Laird and Jaenisch, 1994; Laird, 2005). This is an epigenetic change that could contribute to cancer development by the process of field cancerization (Ramachandran and Singal, 2012). Chronic H. pylori infection in humans is associated with hypermethylation of promoter sequences of different categories of genes, resulting in downregulation of transcription. Some of these are: CDH1 that codes for E-cadherin, a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in maintaining epithelial integrity (Chan et al., 2003); GATA4 and GATA5 encoding transcription factors (Wen et al., 2010); and TFF2 (encoding trefoil factor 2) (Peterson et al., 2010) and FOXD3 (encoding a

forkhead box transcriptional regulator) that are tumor suppressors (Cheng et al., 2013). However, one unexplored possibility is that one or more of the several functional DNA methyltransferases (MTases) of *H. pylori* could enter host cells and methylate their recognition sequences in chromosomal DNA in an unregulated manner. The result would be the creation of an epigenetic field of cancerization.

THE NUMEROUS DNA MTases OF H. pylori

DNA MTases are sequence-specific DNAbinding enzymes that methylate adenine or cytosine residues in the context of their cognate recognition sequences using Sadenosylmethionine as the methyl donor, and are widespread among prokaryotes (Roberts et al., 2010). Depending on the enzyme in question, a methyl group may be added at the N^6 position in adenine forming N^6 -methyladenine (m6A) or the N^4 or C^5 positions in cytosine forming N^4 -methylcytosine (m4C) or C⁵-methylcytosine (m5C) respectively. DNA methylation of regulatory sequences is known to result in changes in gene expression in a wide variety of organisms, both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Therefore, should DNA MTases encoded by pathogens gain entry into host cells by specific or non-specific means, there is a strong possibility that they could modify host regulatory DNA sequences (nuclear, and perhaps even organellar), making the process at least partially inflammationindependent.

Several pathogens, including *H. pylori*, are known to introduce virulence factors into eukaryotic host cell by a variety of mechanisms. Recently, a type I DNA methyltransferase subunit (HsdM) of Klebsiella pneumoniae was found to have a nuclear localization signal (NLS). When expressed in in the COS-1 (African green monkey kidney) cell line, HsdM localized to the nucleus. Surprisingly, HsdM was capable of methylating DNA even in the absence of the specificity subunit (HsdS), albeit at much lower levels (Lee et al., 2009). A recent study demonstrated that a transposase (Tnp) of Acinetobacter baumanii was targeted to the nuclei of A549 (a human lung carcinoma) and COS-7 (African green monkey kidney) cell lines, and that this resulted in specific CpG methylation of the CDH1 (E-cadherin) promoter (Moon et al., 2012).

A survey of the database of restriction enzymes (REBASE; http://rebase.neb. com) indicates that H. pylori encodes a noticeably large number of DNA MTases, known or putative-ranging from 25 in the strain SouthAfrica7 to 37 in strain Puno135. Very few prokaryotes encode such a large number of DNA MTases or restriction-modification (R-M) systems. A majority of the predicted/known DNA MTases encoded by H. pylori, both adenine- and cytosine-specific, are type II enzymes (http://tools.neb.com/~ vincze/genomes/index.php?page=H). In this class of DNA MTases, the functions of sequence-specific DNA binding and DNA methylation are carried out by the same protein, and do not require any accessory protein factors for full activity. DNA transfer experiments between H. pylori strains clearly demonstrated sequencespecific DNA methylation in cell extracts (Donahue et al., 2000). Several studies have indicated that many of the DNA MTases encoded by the H. pylori genome are expressed and functional (Vitkute et al., 2001; Takata et al., 2002; Vale and Vítor, 2007; Kumar et al., 2012a), and can affect H. pylori protein expression in a strain-specific manner (Donahue et al., 2002; Takata et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2012a; Vitoriano et al., 2013).

ENTRY OF *H. pylori* DNA MTases INTO HOST CELLS

There are at least three mutually nonexclusive routes by which DNA MTases could gain entry into host cells. Firstly, while *H. pylori* is predominantly extracellular, studies have indicated that it may be a facultatively intracellular as well (Kwok et al., 2002; Necchi et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2012). As a chronic pathogen, its intracellular persistence could conceivably result in the DNA MTases gaining access into the host cell cytoplasm. Secondly, H. pylori is also known to release membrane vesicles containing cellular proteins, and it is possible that DNA MTases could be transported into to the host cytoplasm in these vesicles. However, a recent proteomic study of H. pylori vesicles failed to detect any DNA MTases in them, indicating that this is unlikely, but it is possible that growth of *H. pylori* on plates or in broth might not correspond to the situation in vivo (Olofsson et al., 2010). Thirdly, many H. pylori strains encode components of a type IV secretion system (termed the cag pathogenicity island, *cag* PAI) that is capable of translocating a protein, CagA (Odenbreit et al., 2000), and peptidoglycan (Viala et al., 2004) into host cells. Presently, there is no conclusive data on whether or not DNA MTases or other cell components could be similarly translocated, though a recent computational prediction using indicates that 1-3 DNA MTases could translocated by the cagPAI (Wang et al., 2014), based on the model underlying the prediction.

Regardless of the mechanism by which bacterial proteins might enter the host cells, the fact remains that none of the known DNA MTases (or restriction endonucleases) of *H. pylori* possess any recognizable nuclear localization signals, so that the actual mechanism of nuclear translocation required for DNA methylation, if it happens, is still open to question.

INFERENCES FROM STUDIES IN THE MONGOLIAN GERBIL (*Meriones unguiculatus*) MODEL

Humans are the only known natural host for *H. pylori*. However, *H. pylori*-infected Mongolian gerbils reproducibly develop gastric adenocarcinoma upon oral *N*methyl-*N*-nitosourea administration, and have therefore been used in animal studies for more than 15 years now (Watanabe et al., 1998). Niwa *et al.* have used this model system to examine DNA methylation in gastric cancer in detail over a duration of up to a year (Niwa et al., 2013, 2010). In their earlier study, they first demonstrated that carcinogenesis is accompanied by hypermethylation of

promoters, and that cyclosporin A (CsA), an anti-inflammatory agent, does not interfere with bacterial colonization of the animals, but abrogates DNA hypermethylation significantly. Their studies demonstrated that the inflammatory response to *H. pylori* infection in Mongolian gerbils is associated with an increase in DNA methylation in gastric epithelial cells (GECs). This was taken by them to imply that methylation is not directly attributable to any bacterial effectors such as CagA or DNA MTases (Niwa et al., 2010). However, the same study also observed an unexpected decrease in the transcription levels of the host DNA MTases (Dnmts) in the GECs of infected gerbils compared to uninfected controls. Is it possible that cellular Dnmts are down-regulated in response to the chronic burden of a large number of bacterial DNA MTases, and the significant association of H. pylori with cancer development is due, in some part, to its large complement of DNA MTases? An additional fact to consider is that bacterial DNA adenine MTases, depending on their specificity, could modify adenine residues in regulatory regions in the DNA. More importantly, the specificity of some adenine MTases may also be relaxed, resulting in cytosine methylation at the N^4 position (Jeltsch et al., 1999). A cytosine DNA MTase of H. pylori (M.HpyAVIB) was found to exhibit relaxed specificity upon mutation (Kumar et al., 2012b). Lastly, given that adenine methylation is not routinely examined in studies targeting promoter hypermethylation in humans on the basis of the very low incidence of m6A in mammalian DNA, it may well have been missed in studies concentrating on CGI methylation.

CONCLUSIONS

While the link between viruses and cancer has been extensively researched, it is notable that *Helicobacter pylori* has remained the best-studied and, for nearly two decades, the sole bacterial pathogen systematically linked with any type of cancer in clinical practice. Some bacterial effector molecules associated with carcinogenesis, such as CagA and VacA, have been studied in great detail. Owing to a combination of unique characteristics encoding a large number of functional DNA MTases, lifelong persistence in the host and facultative intracellularity-H. pylori may well be a unique member of the stomach microbiota that affects its host in unforeseen ways. The investigation of the effects of the entry of DNA MTases (and restriction endonucleases, including methylation-dependent restriction enzymes, that can cause DNA breaks) and other proteins of the microbiome into host cells has the potential to uncover novel interactions between evolutionarily disparate species. More generally, it is possible that these proteins are effectors of inter-specific epigenetic signals, that perhaps enable commensals, symbionts and pathogens to adapt to their ecological niches by modulating host gene expression. While housekeeping DNA MTases (e.g., the Dam methylase of E. coli) of bacteria, pathogenic or non-pathogenic, are known to be important for bacterial viability (Marinus and Casadesus, 2009), the role of bacterial DNA MTases in infectious diseases and importantly, in the evolution and maintenance of hostmicrobiome interactions remains unclear, and perhaps merits fresh consideration in terms of the epigenetic modulation of host physiology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is dedicated to my parents, Mr. G. Sitaraman and Mrs. Indubala for their active encouragement and support of my studies. Mr. Ratan Jha and Dr. Bharati Paliwal of the TERI University library are gratefully acknowledged for their timely and consistent support in procuring some of the references used herein.

REFERENCES

- (1994). Infection with Helicobacter pylori. IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum. 61, 177–240.
- Chan, A. O.-O., Lam, S.-K., Wong, B. C.-Y., Wong, W.-M., Yuen, M.-F., Yeung, Y.-H., et al. (2003). Promoter methylation of Ecadherin gene in gastric mucosa associated with *Helicobacter pylori* infection and in gastric cancer. *Gut* 52, 502–506. doi: 10.1136/gut.52. 4.502
- Cheng, A. S. L., Li, M. S., Kang, W., Cheng, V. Y., Chou, J.-L., Lau, S. S., et al. (2013). *Helicobacter pylori* causes epigenetic dysregulation of FOXD3 to promote gastric carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 144, 122.e9–133.e9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.10.002
- Correa, P. (1992). Human gastric carcinogenesis: a multistep and multifactorial process–first american cancer society award lecture on cancer epidemiology and prevention. *Cancer Res.* 52, 6735–6740.

- Correa, P., and Piazuelo, M. B. (2012). The gastric precancerous cascade. J. Dig. Dis. 13, 2–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-2980.2011.00550.x
- Donahue, J. P., Israel, D. A., Peek, R. M., Blaser, M. J., and Miller, G. G. (2000). Overcoming the restriction barrier to plasmid transformation of *Helicobacter pylori. Mol. Microbiol.* 37, 1066–1074. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.02036.x
- Donahue, J. P., Israel, D. A., Torres, V. J., Necheva, A. S., and Miller, G. G. (2002). Inactivation of a *Helicobacter pylori* DNA methyltransferase alters dnaK operon expression following host-cell adherence. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 208, 295–301. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(02)00479-2
- Jeltsch, A., Christ, F., Fatemi, M., and Roth, M. (1999). On the substrate specificity of DNA methyltransferases. adenine-N6 DNA methyltransferases also modify cytosine residues at position N4. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 19538–19544. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.28. 19538
- Khalifa, M. M., Sharaf, R. R., and Aziz, R. K. (2010). Helicobacter pylori: a poor man's gut pathogen? Gut Pathog. 2, 2. doi: 10.1186/1757-4749-2-2
- Kumar, R., Mukhopadhyay, A. K., Ghosh, P., and Rao, D. N. (2012a). Comparative transcriptomics of *H. pylori* strains AM5, SS1 and their hpyAVIBM deletion mutants: possible roles of cytosine methylation. *PLOS ONE* 7:e42303. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042303
- Kumar, R., Sabareesh, V., Mukhopadhyay, A. K., and Rao, D. N. (2012b). Mutations in hpyAV-IBM, C⁵ cytosine DNA methyltransferase from *Helicobacter pylori* result in relaxed specificity. *FEBS J.* 279, 1080–1092. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08502.x
- Kwok, T., Backert, S., Schwarz, H., Berger, J., and Meyer, T. F. (2002). Specific entry of *Helicobacter pylori* into cultured gastric epithelial cells via a zipper-like mechanism. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 2108–2120. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.4.2108-2120.2002
- Laird, P. W. (2005). Cancer epigenetics. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 1, R65–R76. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ ddi113
- Laird, P. W., and Jaenisch, R. (1994). DNA methylation and cancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 1487–1495.
- Lee, J. C., Kim, D. S., Moon, D. C., Lee, J.-H., Kim, M. J., Lee, S. M., et al. (2009). Prediction of bacterial proteins carrying a nuclear localization signal and nuclear targeting of HsdM from *Klebsiella pneumoniae. J. Microbiol.* 47, 641–645. doi: 10.1007/s12275-009-0217-4
- Liu, H., Semino-Mora, C., and Dubois, A. (2012). Mechanism of *H. pylori* intracellular entry: an *in vitro study. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2:13. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2012.00013
- Marinus, M. G., and Casadesus, J. (2009). Roles of DNA adenine methylation in host-pathogen interactions: mismatch repair, transcriptional regulation, and more. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 33, 488–503. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00159.x
- Moodley, Y., Linz, B., Bond, R. P., Nieuwoudt, M., Soodyall, H., Schlebusch, C. M., et al. (2012). Age of the association between *Helicobacter pylori* and man. *PLoS Pathog.* 8:e1002693. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002693
- Moon, D. C., Choi, C. H., Lee, S. M., Lee, J. H., Kim, S. I., Kim, D. S., et al. (2012). Nuclear translocation

of Acinetobacter baumannii transposase induces DNA methylation of CpG regions in the promoters of E-cadherin gene. *PLoS ONE* 7:e38974. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038974

- Necchi, V., Candusso, M. E., Tava, F., Luinetti, O., Ventura, U., Fiocca, R., et al. (2007). Intracellular, intercellular, and stromal invasion of gastric mucosa, preneoplastic lesions, and cancer by *Helicobacter pylori. Gastroenterology* 132, 1009–1023. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2007. 01.049
- Niwa, T., Toyoda, T., Tsukamoto, T., Mori, A., Tatematsu, M., and Ushijima, T. (2013). Prevention of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric cancers in gerbils by a DNA demethylating agent. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila).* 6, 263–270. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-12-0369
- Niwa, T., Tsukamoto, T., Toyoda, T., Mori, A., Tanaka, H., Maekita, T., et al. (2010). Inflammatory processes triggered by *Helicobacter pylori* infection cause aberrant DNA methylation in gastric epithelial cells. *Cancer Res.* 70, 1430–1440. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2755
- Odenbreit, S., Püls, J., Sedlmaier, B., Gerland, E., Fischer, W., and Haas, R. (2000). Translocation of *Helicobacter pylori* CagA into gastric epithelial cells by type IV secretion. *Science* 287, 1497–1500. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5457.1497
- Olofsson, A., Vallström, A., Petzold, K., Tegtmeyer, N., Schleucher, J., Carlsson, S., et al. (2010). Biochemical and functional characterization of *Helicobacter pylori* vesicles. *Mol. Microbiol.* 77, 1539–1555. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07307.x
- Passaro, D. J., Chosy, E. J., and Parsonnet, J. (2002). *Helicobacter pylori*: consensus and controversy. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 35, 298–304. doi: 10.1086/ 341245
- Peek, R. M. Jr., and Blaser, M. J. (2002). Helicobacter pylori and gastrointestinal tract adenocarcinomas. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 28–37. doi: 10.1038/ nrc703
- Peterson, A. J., Menheniott, T. R., O'Connor, L., Walduck, A. K., Fox, J. G., Kawakami, K., et al. (2010). *Helicobacter pylori* infection promotes methylation and silencing of trefoil factor 2, leading to gastric tumor development in mice and humans. *Gastroenterology* 139, 2005–2017. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.08.043
- Ramachandran, K., and Singal, R. (2012). DNA methylation and field cancerization. *Epigenomics* 4, 243–245. doi: 10.2217/epi.12.12
- Roberts, R. J., Vincze, T., Posfai, J., and Macelis, D. (2010). REBASE–a database for DNA restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, D234–D236. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp874
- Slaughter, D. P., Southwick, H. W., and Smejkal, W. (1953). Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. *Cancer* 6, 963–968.
- Takata, T., Aras, R., Tavakoli, D., Ando, T., Olivares, A. Z., and Blaser, M. J. (2002). Phenotypic and genotypic variation in methylases involved in type II restriction-modification systems in *Helicobacter pylori. Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 2444–2452. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.11.2444

- The EUROGAST Study Group (1993). Epidemiology of, and risk factors for, *Helicobacter pylori* infection among 3194 asymptomatic subjects in 17 populations. Gut 34, 1672–1676.
- Vale, F. F., and Vítor, J. M. B. (2007). Genomic methylation: a tool for typing *Helicobacter pylori* isolates. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 4243–4249. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00199-07
- Viala, J., Chaput, C., Boneca, I. G., Cardona, A., Girardin, S. E., Moran, A. P., et al. (2004). Nod1 responds to peptidoglycan delivered by the *Helicobacter pylori* cag pathogenicity island. *Nat. Immunol.* 5, 1166–1174. doi: 10.1038/ni1131
- Vitkute, J., Stankevicius, K., Tamulaitiene, G., Maneliene, Z., Timinskas, A., Berg, D. E., et al. (2001). Specificities of eleven different DNA methyltransferases of *Helicobacter pylori* strain 26695. *J. Bacteriol.* 183, 443–450. doi: 10.1128/JB.183.2.443-450.2001
- Vitoriano, I., Vítor, J. M. B., Oleastro, M., Roxo-Rosa, M., and Vale, F. F. (2013). Proteome

variability among *Helicobacter pylori* isolates clustered according to genomic methylation. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 114, 1817–1832. doi: 10.1111/ jam.12187

- Wang, Y., Wei, X., Bao, H., and Liu, S.-L. (2014). Prediction of bacterial type IV secreted effectors by C-terminal features. *BMC Genomics* 15:50. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-50
- Watanabe, T., Tada, M., Nagai, H., Sasaki, S., and Nakao, M. (1998). *Helicobacter pylori* infection induces gastric cancer in mongolian gerbils. *Gastroenterology* 115, 642–648.
- Wen, X.-Z., Akiyama, Y., Pan, K.-F., Liu, Z.-J., Lu, Z.-M., Zhou, J., et al. (2010). Methylation of GATA-4 and GATA-5 and development of sporadic gastric carcinomas. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 16, 1201–1208. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i10.1201

Conflict of Interest Statement: Work on *Helicobacter pylori* phosopholipases in my laboratory is supported by a grant from the Department of Biotechnology,

Government of India (sanction order number BT/PR11740/BRB/10/683/2008).

Received: 07 March 2014; accepted: 07 March 2014; published online: 26 March 2014.

Citation: Sitaraman R (2014) Helicobacter pylori DNA methyltransferases and the epigenetic field effect in cancerization. Front. Microbiol. **5**:115. doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2014.00115

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2014 Sitaraman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.