SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 12 October 2016 Accepted: 09 February 2017 Published: 15 March 2017

OPEN STK16 regulates actin dynamics to control Golgi organization and cell cycle

Juanjuan Liu^{1,2,*}, Xingxing Yang^{1,*}, Binhua Li¹, Junjun Wang^{1,2}, Wenchao Wang¹, Jing Liu¹, Qingsong Liu^{1,2} & Xin Zhang¹

STK16 is a ubiquitously expressed, myristoylated, and palmitoylated serine/threonine protein kinase with underexplored functions. Recently, it was shown to be involved in cell division but the mechanism remains unclear. Here we found that human STK16 localizes to the Golgi complex throughout the cell cycle and plays important roles in Golgi structure regulation. STK16 knockdown or kinase inhibition disrupts actin polymers and causes fragmented Golgi in cells. In vitro assays show that STK16 directly binds to actin and regulates actin dynamics in a concentration- and kinase activity-dependent way. In addition, STK16 knockdown or kinase inhibition not only delays mitotic entry and prolongs mitosis, but also causes prometaphase and cytokinesis arrest. Therefore, we revealed STK16 as a novel actin binding protein that resides in the Golgi, which regulates actin dynamics to control Golgi structure and participate in cell cycle progression.

The Golgi complex is a continuous membranous system that is localized to the perinuclear area in a cell. It has been shown that the Golgi complex plays essential roles in secretory trafficking, lipid biosynthesis, protein modifications and the sorting and transport of proteins¹. In interphase mammalian cells, the Golgi apparatus consists of stacks of parallel aligned flattened membrane cisternae, which are further linked laterally by tubules to form a ribbon-like structure². Current models suggest that the assembly of the Golgi ribbon is an actin- and microtubuledependent process and that proper positioning and maintenance of the Golgi are required for polarized cellular trafficking and normal cell motility3-11. Microtubules (and microtubule-associated proteins) determine the localization of the Golgi ribbon around the centrosome; whereas the actin cytoskeleton maintains the continuity and flatness of cisternae in conjunction with other Golgi matrix proteins⁵.

Although how actin maintains the integrity of the Golgi complex structure remains to be further explored, it is likely that some Golgi resident proteins carry out their structural function via direct or indirect interaction with actin and/or actin associated proteins. Initially, actin toxins revealed putative involvement of the actin dynamics in Golgi structure maintenance. For example, F-actin depolymerization by Cytochalasin D (Cyto D) or Latrunculin B (Lat B) induces perforation/fragmentation and severe swelling of Golgi cisternae which leads to a complete cisternae disorganization^{12,13}. In contrast, F-actin stabilization by Jasplakinolide produces large perforation/fragmentation but not cisternae swelling¹², which indicates that a dynamic actin network plays essential roles in regulating the architecture of the Golgi complex. These morphological alterations may be due to hyperosmotic protein diffusion caused by actin transformation at the Golgi complex^{12,14}. In addition, it is reported that interaction between GOLPH3 and myosin 18 A, an actin interacting protein, is required for extension of the Golgi ribbon and the formation of transport carriers¹⁵. Another recent example is that mammalian Mena, which directly enhances actin filament elongation by interacting with the barbed end of the actin filament, facilitates Golgi reassembly stacking protein 65 (GRASP65) oligomerization and promotes local actin polymerization to link Golgi stacks into a ribbon¹⁶. These studies indicate that a complex molecular machinery of actin regulators and its associated proteins regulate actin dynamics to control Golgi structure.

The complex organization of the Golgi ribbon is highly dynamic during cell division. The Golgi ribbon is cut into individual Golgi stacks in the G2 phase. Upon entry into mitosis, they are unstacked and undergo vesiculation until these fragments appear as the "Golgi haze" at metaphase¹⁷⁻¹⁹. This Golgi fragmentation is required not only for daughter cell inheritance, but also for mitotic entrance itself which is called the "Golgi mitotic

¹High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, Anhui, 230031, P. R. China. ²University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230036, P. R. China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X. Z. (email: xinzhang@hmfl.ac.cn)

checkpoint". It involves multi-step Golgi fragmentation and releases some Golgi proteins that are important for mitosis²⁰. Blocking the fragmentation process results in cell cycle arrest in G2. Further study identified early G2 as the specific stage of Golgi fragmentation²¹. GRASP-55/65, MEK1/ERK1c, and BARS have been identified to be relevant to the severing of the ribbon and recruitment/activation of proteins essential for entry into mitosis^{22,23}, but the other mechanisms or proteins coordinating with them remains a matter for future investigations.

STK16 (serine/threonine kinase 16, also known as Krct, PKL12, MPSK1, and TSF-1), conserved among all of the eukaryotes, appears to be the first mammalian member of a new Ser/Thr kinase subfamily^{24–27}. Previous studies, including ours, found that purified STK16 is able to phosphorylate 4EBP1 and DRG1, as well as auto-phosphorylation^{28,29}. Although it has been discovered for around twenty years, the biological functions of STK16 are still not well understood. STK16 is a myristoylated and palmitoylated kinase, localizing to the Golgi and is believed to be involved in the regulation of sorting secretory soluble cargo into the constitutive secretory pathway at the trans-Golgi network^{24,30}. Moreover, our previous study showed that STK16 depletion or kinase inhibition induced binucleated cells as well as cell accumulation in the G2/M-phase²⁹. However, the molecular mechanism remains unknown. Here, we further explore the mechanism of STK16 by a combination of RNAi knockdown and STK16 specific inhibitor to reveal that STK16 directly binds and regulates actin dynamics to control the Golgi complex and cell cycle.

Results

STK16 localizes to the Golgi complex and STK16 depletion impairs Golgi complex integrity. It was reported that STK16 protein is a Golgi-resident enzyme in murine and human cells^{30,31}, but its function was not clear, especially for the human homologue. We first tried the anti-STK16 antibody from Sigma in the western blots and found that it gave multiple unspecific bands while only weakly recognized the endogenous STK16 (Supplementary Fig. S1a). In addition, this anti-STK16 antibody did not show any Golgi staining in HeLa, NIH-3T3, or MCF-7 cells as previous researches have shown^{30,32} (Supplementary Fig. S1b). Since it has been reported that His or GST tag has no influence on the kinase activity of STK16²⁶, we constructed an expression vector containing the human STK16 cDNA with GFP and FLAG tag fused at the C-terminus of STK16 (STK16-GFP-FLAG) and transfected it into HeLa cells to establish a HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT (wild-type) stable cell line. We found STK16-GFP-FLAG was enriched on the Golgi complex (Fig. 1a), which confirmed the results from the Antonio Bernad Group^{30,31}. In contrast, the anti-STK16 antibody failed to stain the overexpressed STK16, which confirmed that this antibody is not applicable here (Supplementary Fig. S1c). Therefore, we chose to use the stable cell line and the anti-Flag, or anti-GFP antibodies, in Western Blot and immunofluorescence experiments for the rest of this study. We found that STK16 was associated with the Golgi structure throughout the whole cell cycle (Fig. 1a).

To unveil the function of STK16, we performed the RNAi knockdown experiment. In addition, to avoid potential off-target effects of RNAi and validate the RNAi knockdown specificity, we constructed a stable cell line with an RNAi resistant mutant (HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT), which contained silent mutations at the siRNA region so that it still expressed wild-type STK16 protein but escaped siRNA knockdown effect. Since the commercially available human STK16 antibody we purchased did not work well in our western blot or immunofluorescence experiments, we used RT-PCR to examine the STK16 levels in cells (Fig. 1b). As expected, the STK16 RNAi did knockdown STK16 levels in both HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells, but not in the RNAi-resistant mutant cells (Fig. 1b and c). Furthermore, the STK16 protein level was reduced by around 50 and 45% as measured by GFP and Flag antibodies (p < 0.01) in HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells, but not in the RNAi-resistant mutant HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT cells (Fig. 1d and e). These results demonstrated the efficiency and specificity of STK16 RNAi.

We then investigated the function of STK16 on Golgi complex organization by RNAi depletion of STK16 in HeLa, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT, and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT cells. Immunofluorescence experiments using Giantin as a Golgi marker showed that the knockdown of STK16 in HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells caused Golgi fragmentation with Golgi complex elements detaching from each other and dispersing into the cytoplasm (Fig. 1f). Quantification showed that STK16 knockdown increased the percentage of cells with fragmented Golgi complex from 13.4% to 43.9% in HeLa cells and from 9.7% to 45.0% in HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells. However, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT cells completely rescued the Golgi fragmentation caused by RNAi which confirmed the RNAi specificity (Fig. 1f and g). Thus, STK16 is required for maintaining Golgi complex integrity.

The kinase activity of STK16 is critical for its regulation of Golgi complex integrity. In our previous study, we discovered a highly selective inhibitor against STK16 kinase, STK16-IN- 1^{29} . To examine whether the effect of STK16 on the Golgi complex is relevant to its kinase activity, we treated HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells with 10μ M STK16-IN-1 for 6 hours and found that STK16-IN-1 also caused Golgi complex fragmentation (Fig. 1h), which is similar to STK16 RNAi. To ensure that the STK16-IN-1 induced Golgi phenotype was specific, we used a HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-F100C cell line (Fig. 1h) which has the hinge binding site of the STK16-IN-1 mutated and was reported to be resistant to STK16-IN- 1^{29} . Our results show that STK16-IN-1 increased the percentage of cells with fragmented Golgi complex from 9.7% to 33.8% in HeLa cells and from 8.8% to 35.5% in HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells, but not in HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-F100C cells (Fig. 1i), which confirmed the on-target effect of the STK16-IN-1.

Moreover, to confirm the role of the kinase activity of STK16 in Golgi integrity regulation, we transiently transfected a kinase-dead mutant, STK16-GFP-FLAG-E202A³¹, into HeLa cells and found that it significantly increases the percentage of cells with fragmented Golgi (Fig. 1j and k). These results all indicated that the kinase activity is critical for STK16 to function in Golgi assembly.





disrupts Golgi complex integrity. HeLa cells and HeLa cells stably transfected with STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT or STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT (RNAi resistant mutant) were transfected with control or STK16 siRNAs for 72 hours before they were harvested for immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-GFP and anti-Giantin antibodies. Representative immunofluorescence images are shown in (f) and quantification results are shown in (g). (h) The inhibitor resistant mutant (F100C) rescues STK16-IN-1-induced Golgi structure disruption. HeLa and HeLa cells stably transfected with STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT or STK16-GFP-FLAG-F100C (drug resistant) were treated with $10\,\mu$ M STK16-IN-1 for 6 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with GFP (green) and Giantin (red). (i) Quantification of the percentage of cells with fragmented Golgi complex in (h). (j) E202A, the kinase-dead mutant of STK16, induces fragmented Golgi. HeLa cells were transfected with STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT or kinase-dead mutant E202A for 48 hours before they were harvested for immunofluorescence using anti-GFP (green) and anti-Giantin (red) antibodies. (k) Quantification of % of cells with fragmented Golgi in (j) are shown. Experiments were repeated three times and representative images are shown. The data were analyzed using a student's *t*- test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). Data show mean \pm SEM, n = 3. Scale bar, $10\,\mu$ m.

.....

STK16 functions in Golgi reassembly. It has been shown that depolymerizing microtubules with Nocodazole results in Golgi ministacks dispersed in the cytoplasm^{33,34}. We found that STK16 almost completely distributed from its original Golgi localization to scattered cytoplasmic dots after Nocodazole treatment (Supplementary Fig. S2a). In addition, the dispersed STK16 partially colocalizes with both Giantin and TGN46, which indicates that STK16 not only localizes to the Golgi, but also to TGN (trans-Golgi network) (Supplementary Fig. S2a). Similarly, when the Golgi was disassembled by Brefeldin A, STK16 was also dispersed (Supplementary Fig. S3). Furthermore, to determine whether STK16 participates in Golgi complex formation, we treated HeLa cells with 10µg/mL Nocodazole for 2 hours and washed it away to allow the reformation of Golgi ribbon to mimic postmitotic Golgi complex assembly in the presence or absence of STK16 (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S4). We found that the reformation of the Golgi complex was significantly delayed in STK16 knockdown cells, whereas the reformation of microtubules was not affected (Fig. 2a). In control cells, after Nocodazole removal for 1 hour, the Golgi complex was mostly reformed, whereas the STK16 knockdown cells showed lots of diffused Golgi vesicles (Fig. 2a and b). In addition, the extent of microtubule regrowth and the Golgi structure reformation was time-dependent and it was much more complete at 60 minutes compared to 15 minutes after Nocodazole washout. Quantification results revealed that from 30 minutes of Nocodazole washout, at each time point, there were much more cells with fragmented Golgi in STK16-knockdown cells than in control cells (Fig. 2c). For example, at 60 minutes, the percentage of cells with fragmented Golgi in STK16 knockdown cells was about 45%, which was 15% more than control cells (p < 0.05). At 120 minutes after Nocodazole removal, about 90% of cells reformed the Golgi ribbon; while in STK16 siRNA-treated cells, there were still 35% of cells had diffused Golgi vesicles (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2c). This indicates that STK16 plays critical roles in Golgi reassembly.

STK16 interacts with actin and regulates actin polymers in cells. Since a dynamic actin network is necessary to maintain Golgi ribbon connection in mammalian cells⁵, we also examined actin in STK16 RNAi experiments in Fig. 2b. We found that the F-actin seemed to be affected by STK16 RNAi. In addition, since STK16-IN-1 can induce binucleated cells, which is generally caused by cytokinesis failure, we speculated actin might be involved in STK16 function in cells. We first conducted immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments using an anti-Flag antibody in HeLa cells stably expressing STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT (wild-type) or STK16-GFP-FLAG-E202A (kinase-dead mutant). Interestingly, both wild-type and the kinase-dead mutant STK16 could pull out actin, but not tubulin (Fig. 3a), which indicates that STK16 binds to actin in cells and the kinase activity of STK16 is not required for this binding. In addition, since it is well known that actin can be found in many IP experiments because it is abundant and sticky, we used the empty vector (GFP-FLAG) transfected cells as a negative control to exclude potential false positive results. Our data show that actin does not bind to vector control, which confirmed the specific binding between actin and STK16.

Next we tested whether actin cytoskeleton was affected by STK16 knockdown. RNAi experiments showed that STK16 knockdown decreased F-actin in HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells but not in the RNAi resistant mutant cell line HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT (Fig. 3b). In addition, STK16-IN-1 induced F-actin depolymerization in wild-type HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG but not the drug resistant mutant F100C (Supplementary Fig. S5). Moreover, we also tested STK16-IN-1 in mouse NIH-3T3 and human MCF-7 cells, and found that the actin cytoskeleton was also depolymerized in these cells (Supplementary Fig. S6). Taken together, these results showed that STK16 regulates actin polymers in cells and its kinase activity is important for actin regulation.

To test whether STK16 regulated Golgi complex integrity through affecting actin dynamics, we treated HeLa cells with STK16-IN-1 for different time points to see whether the actin polymers were affected earlier than the Golgi. Our results showed that 1μ M STK16-IN-1 started to depolymerize actin as early as in 30 minutes while the Golgi complex integrity showed no significant change even after 1 hour (Supplementary Fig. S7). More evidently, there were a lot of actin puncta when cells were treated with 10μ M STK16-IN-1 for only 15 minutes (Fig. 3c), while the Golgi was not affected until 4–6 hours (Fig. 3d). These results indicated that STK16 may regulate Golgi complex through affecting actin.





SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 7:44607 | DOI: 10.1038/srep44607



Figure 3. STK16 interacts with actin and regulates actin dynamics in cells in a kinase activity-dependent way. (a) Immunoprecipitation shows that STK16 interacts with actin and both wild-type and the kinase-dead mutant STK16 bind to actin equally. HeLa cells that stably express STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT, STK16-GFP-FLAG-E202A or GFP-FLAG were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-Flag antibodies. Anti-Actin, anti-Tubulin and anti-FLAG antibodies were used in Western blots. The input/IP loading ratio was 1/10. (b) STK16 depletion causes actin depolymerization *in vivo*. HeLa, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT (WT) and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-MUT (RNAi resistant mutant, RNAi-X MUT) cells were transfected with control or STK16 siRNAs for 72 hours before they were fixed and stained for GFP (green) and fluorescence-labeled phalloidin (red). (c,d) STK16-IN-1 disrupts actin stress fiber earlier than Golgi complex. HeLa cells were treated with 10μM STK16-IN-1 for 0, 15, 30, 60 minutes (not shown here), or 6 hours before they were harvested for immunofluorescence using fluorescence-labeled phalloidin (c) or anti-Giantin antibody (d). Experiments were repeated at least three times and representative results are shown. Scale bar: 10μm.

In vitro assays show that STK16 directly regulates actin dynamics in a concentration- and kinase activity- dependent way. We next examined whether STK16 directly affects actin. STK16 protein was purified as previously described²⁹, and subjected to pyrene-actin polymerization and depolymerization assays. As $1-5\mu$ M actin monomer was used by recent studies on *in vitro* actin dynamics, we used 3μ M actin in this part of the experiments³⁵⁻³⁸. At 360/420 nm, the fluorescent signal of monomer pyrene actin (G-actin) was enhanced linearly after its polymerization into filaments during the first 15 minutes, while STK16 had no absorbance (Supplementary Fig. S8a). Moreover, there was no fluorescence increase before the polymerization from very low concentration, at one tenth of actin concentration (Fig. 4a) and reached its maximum capacity when it was used at 5-fold concentration of actin (Fig. 4b). It is interesting that at higher STK16 concentration (8-fold of actin), the actin polymerizing effect of STK16 (Fig. 4c), which not only proves the specific effect of STK16 protein itself on actin polymerization, but also indicates that the kinase activity of STK16 is required for its function to polymerize actin.

We next examined the effect of purified STK16 on actin depolymerization. 2μ M Latrunculin A was incubated with F-actin to induce its depolymerization before different concentrations of STK16 protein were added (Fig. 4d and e). It is interesting that at low concentrations of STK16, one third or equal amount of actin, did not affect actin depolymeriziation (Fig. 4d). However, at higher concentrations, from 3:1 to 15:1 molar ratio to actin, STK16 effectively increased actin depolymerization (Fig. 4e). In addition, the actin depolymerization activity could also be inhibited by STK16-IN-1 (Fig. 4f).

Therefore, our *in vitro* experiments show that STK16 protein can directly regulate actin dynamics in a concentration and kinase activity dependent manner. Lower concentrations of STK16 tend to increase actin polymerization while higher concentrations of STK16 promote actin depolymerzation. Since the small molecule inhibitor





respectively. (**d**,**e**) STK16 affects actin depolymerization at higher concentrations. F-actin polymerized from 3μ M G-actin mixed with 10% pyrene-actin was incubated with 1, 3, 9 and 15μ M (**d**), or 15, 30, and 45μ M (**e**) STK16 protein. Depolymerization was induced by adding 2μ M Latrunculin A. (**f**) The kinase activity of STK16 protein plays a role in actin depolymerization. 10μ M, 20μ M, and 50μ M STK16-IN-1 were incubated with F-actin polymerized from 3μ M G-actin mixed with 10% pyrene-actin and 30μ M STK16 protein, respectively. (**g**) GST pull-down experiments show that both WT and E202A STK16 bind to actin directly. GST, GST-STK16-WT or GST-STK16-E202A protein and actin were at 5:1 ratio in G-buffer or F-buffer, respectively. "S", Supernatant; "P", Pellet. (**h**) 0, 1.45, 2.9, 5.8, 7.25, 14.5 μ M STK16 protein was incubated with 10 μ M Actin. The phospho-Tyrosine, phospho-Serine/Threonine, and actin antibodies were used in western blots. (i) STK16 binds to actin puncta after actin depolymerization *in vivo*. HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells treated with DMSO, 100 nM Cytochalasin D, and 10 nM Cucurbitacin E for 4 hours were stained for anti-GFP antibody (green) and fluorescence-labeled phalloidin (red). (**j**) STK16 partly distributes from its original localization to cytoplasm and binds with actin puncta after its kinase activity is inhibited by STK16-IN-1. HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cells were repeated 2–3 times and representative images are shown. Scale bar, 10 μ m.

STK16-IN-1 inhibited its activity in both actin polymerization and depolymerzation, the kinase activity of STK16 seems to be required for its regulation activity on actin dynamics.

STK16 directly binds to actin and the E202A mutation does not affect its actin-binding ability. To test the possibility of the direct binding of STK16 to actin, we expressed and purified GST-STK16 protein and conducted *in vitro* pull-down assays with G-actin and F-actin, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4g, actin could weakly but directly bind to GST-STK16 (Fig. 4g). Again, to exclude potential false positive results, we used the GST protein as the negative control and found that actin did not bind to the GST negative control (Fig. 4g), confirming the specificity between STK16 and actin *in vitro*. In addition, GST-STK16-E202A could also bind to actin, which is consistent with our previous IP results using cell lysate. Since it was reported that phosphorylation of actin is critical for its dynamics³⁹⁻⁴², we wanted to find out whether actin could be phosphorylated by STK16. Our results showed that STK16 had no effect on tyrosine phosphorylation in actin (Fig. 4h). In addition, STK16 did not phosphorylate the serine/threonine residues in actin either (Fig. 4h).

Then we further tested the interaction of STK16 and actin in cells. Cytochalasin D (Cyto D) is known to cap actin filament and therefore inhibits actin filament elongation⁴³. Cucurbitacin E (CurE) specifically binds to and depolymerizes F-actin and induces actin aggregations³⁸. In Cyto D and CurE treated cells, we found STK16 partly diffused from its original localization to cytoplasm, some of which colocalized with actin aggregates (Fig. 4i). Moreover, when we treated HeLa cells stably expressing STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT with the inhibitor STK16-IN-1 for 2 hours, there were also some STK16 disassociation from its original localization and formation of some cytoplasmic aggregation that colocalized with actin (Fig. 4j). These results confirmed the association between STK16 protein and actin in cells.

STK16 knockdown or kinase inhibition does not affect VSVG trafficking from endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to plasma membrane (PM). To investigate the function of STK16 in the Golgi related membrane trafficking, we examined the transport of GFP-tagged version of the temperature sensitive viral protein VSVG⁴⁴. VSVG-GFP transfected HeLa cells were treated with DMSO or 10 µM STK16-IN-1, incubated at 40 °C overnight and followed by 33 °C incubation. The VSVG-GFP protein misfolds and is retained in the ER at 40 °C, but upon temperature shift to 33 °C, it moves to the Golgi complex before being transported to the PM. In both DMSO and STK16-IN-1 treated cells, the VSVG-GFP protein was retained in the ER at 0 min (Fig. 5a). After the temperature shifts to 33 °C for 15 minutes, the VSVG-GFP protein was transported to the Golgi in both DMSO and STK16-IN-1 treated cells. This indicated that the ER to Golgi transport of VSVG-GFP was not affected by STK16 kinase inhibition (Fig. 5a). After the temperature shifted to 33 °C for 60 minutes, the VSVG-GFP protein arrived at the PM, and there was no difference between DMSO and STK16-IN-1 treated cells (Fig. 5a), which suggested that the Golgi to PM transport of VSVG-GFP was not affected by STK16 kinase inhibition either. Then we analyzed whether STK16 protein itself is required for VSVG transport by STK16 RNAi. Our results showed that the delivery of VSVG from ER to Golgi and then to PM was not affected by STK16 knockdown either (Fig. 5b). Therefore, the kinase activity of STK16 and the STK16 protein itself are not required for the ER-Golgi-PM transport of VSVG.

STK16 knockdown or kinase inhibition arrests cells in G2 phase, prometaphase and cytokinesis. During the mammalian cell cycle, the well regulated multi-step Golgi disassembly and reassembly are critical events for both mitotic entry as well as mitotic progression^{18,20,45}. We previously found that STK16 knockdown or kinase activity inhibition both led to increased G2/M phase population in flow cytometry analysis²⁹, which we now hypothesis to be at least partially due to the Golgi disturbance. However, since flow cytometry analysis does not differentiate the G2 phase from mitosis, here we used immunofluorescence experiments to examine whether the mitotic index (% of cells in mitosis, from prophase to telophase) is affected by STK16 perturbation. It is interesting that siSTK16 treatment increased the number of cells with a large nucleus, which were positively stained with cyclin B1 (Fig. 6a). This indicates that they are in the G2 phase. It is obvious that the percentage of G2 phase cells was increased in both HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT cell lines but not in the RNAi resistant mutant cell line by STK16 RNAi knockdown (Fig. 6a). Furthermore, the percentage of the cells positively stained with phospho-Histone H3 was also increased by STK16 RNAi knockdown in HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT



Figure 5. STK16 kinase inhibition or knockdown does not affect VSVG trafficking from ER to PM. (a) The kinase activity of STK16 is not required for VSVG-GFP trafficking. HeLa cells were transfected with VSVG-GFP plasmids for 24 hours, then treated with DMSO, 1μ M or 10μ M STK16-IN-1 at 40 °C overnight. After shifted to 33 °C for 15 or 60 minutes, cells were fixed and stained by the GFP antibody to show the VSVG-GFP protein arrival at Golgi or PM, respectively. The right panel shows an enlarged view of boxed region in the left panel. (b) STK16 knockdown does not affect VSVG-GFP trafficking. HeLa cells were transfected with control or STK16 siRNAs for 48 hours before they were transfected with VSVG-GFP plasmids for 24 hours. Cells were incubated at 40 °C overnight, and shifted to 33 °C for 15 or 60 minutes, and then harvested for immunofluorescence using the GFP antibody. The right panel shows an enlarged view of boxed region in the left panel. Experiments were repeated two times and representative images are shown. Scale bar, 10μ m.

.....

cell lines but not in the RNAi resistant mutant cell line (Fig. 6b). We further quantified these experiments and found that the percentage of G2 phase cells in HeLa and HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG-WT, but not in the RNAi resistant mutant, was increased by almost 3-fold by STK16 knockdown (Fig. 6c). The mitotic index was also significantly increased by STK16 RNAi (Fig. 6d). In addition, STK16-IN-1 also increased Cyclin B1 positive cells, which could be rescued by the drug-resistant mutant F100C (Supplementary Fig. S9). Quantification showed that STK16-IN-1 increased both cells in G2 phase (Fig. 6e) and mitosis (Supplementary Fig. S10), which is similar to the STK16 RNAi results.

To get more details of these STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition-induced cell cycle defects, we synchronized HeLa cells with double thymidine and used RNAi or STK16 inhibitor to examine the cell cycle





progression (Fig. 7). We found that 12 hours after the second thymidine release, there were much less mitotic cells in STK16-IN-1-treated HeLa cells than the control group (Fig. 7a). While at 14 hours after the second thymidine release, there were much more mitotic cells in STK16-IN-1-treated HeLa cells than in the control group (Fig. 7b). We then did some detailed time points analysis to examine the whole cell cycle progression in both STK16 knockdown (Fig. 7c) and kinase inhibitor (Fig. 7d) treated HeLa cells. Quantification results showed that the mitotic entry was significantly delayed by both STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition. In addition, the whole mitotic duration was also prolonged by both STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition. If we use 10% of mitotic index as a threshold, the mitosis in control cells was around 3 hours while the STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition prolonged it to 5 and 6 hours, respectively (Fig. 7c and d). Interestingly, we also found that there were increased telophase cells with chromosome bridges in STK16 perturbed cells (Fig. 7e). STK16 knockdown or kinase inhibition increased the percentage of telophase cells with chromosome bridges by 3–4 fold (Fig. 7e).

The prolonged mitosis we observed indicates that the mitotic progression was affected by STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition. We quantified the mitotic index in HeLa, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG wild-type, and STK16 RNAi resistant mutant cells, with or without siSTK16 treatment. We found that the cells in mitosis and cytokinesis are significantly increased by STK16 knockdown (Fig. 8a). Then we further looked into more details by quantifying cells in each phase, from prophase to cytokinesis, and found that STK16 knockdown increased the cell populations in both prometaphase and cytokinesis (Fig. 8b). In addition, STK16-IN-1 also had similar effects as STK16 knockdown (Fig. 8c and d). Since reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton for cytokinesis is crucial⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸, we analyzed the actin cytoskeleton in late mitosis. We found that STK16 knockdown cells had strikingly reduced actin spikes and membrane blebbing compared to control (Fig. 8e), which are critical for furrow ingression and abscission during cytokinesis. Therefore STK16 regulates actin dynamics, Golgi assembly, and plays critical roles in mitotic entry, mitotic progression, and cytokinesis (Fig. 9).





% of telophase cells with chromosome bridge

Figure 7. STK16 depletion or kinase activity inhibition delays mitotic entry and causes prolonged mitosis. HeLa cells were plated and treated with siRNA or STK16-IN-1 and then subjected to double thymidine synchronization. (a,b) Cells were released from thymidine for 12 or 14 hours before they were harvested for immunofluorescence. (c,d) The percentage of cells in mitosis (mitotic index) was determined by staining DNA with DAPI and an anti-phospho-Histone H3 antibody at 0, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28 hours after thymidine release for control and STK16 siRNAs transfected cells, or for DMSO and STK16-IN-1 treated cells. For each time point, >1000 cells were counted. Data show mean value, n = 2. Experiments were repeated two times and representative images are shown. (e) STK16 knockdown or inhibition increases cells with chromosome bridges. Representative images of DNA in siNegative, siSTK16, or in DMSO or STK16-IN-1 treated cells. Scale bar, 10µm.

Discussion

The rapid assembly and disassembly of actin monomers (G-actin) into filaments (F-actin) is critical to various cell processes⁴⁹. In this study, we identified STK16 as a new actin interacting protein by both *in vitro* pull down and immunoprecipitation experiments. Although there were some other serine/threonine kinases also located in the Golgi complex and play important roles in Golgi regulation, STK16 is the first one that can bind to actin and regulates actin dynamics directly. The others, such as Cdc42^{50,51} and PAK4⁵², participate in actin dynamics regulation through cell signaling pathways or interacting with actin binding proteins. PKD1/PKD2 distributed in the Golgi apparatus do not influence the actin polymerization or affect F-actin⁵³. Thus, our study reveals a serine/threonine kinase residing in Golgi can regulate actin dynamics through binding to actin directly.





Although our data show that STK16 is not critical for ER to Golgi or Golgi to PM transport of VSVG, the Golgi structure and cell cycle were obviously affected by STK16 perturbation. The cell cycle effects of STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition are likely due to the actin dynamics regulation by STK16. It has been shown that depolymerization of actin filaments by toxins can delay mitotic progression in primary cells and fission yeast^{54,55}. F-actin was found to surround the mitotic spindle, extend between the spindle and the cortex, and play antagonistic roles in spindle length maintenance⁵⁶. Moreover, disruption of the cortical actin architecture during mitosis can severely affect spindle orientation, causing centrosome separation and spindle assembly abnormalities^{57,58}. Since STK16 can directly bind to actin and regulates its dynamics, we hypothesize that the prolonged mitosis and prometaphase arrest in cells with STK16 knockdown and kinase inhibition are likely due to the altered actin dynamics during mitotic progression.

STK16 directly regulates actin polymerization and depolymerization in a concentration-dependent manner, which is similar to profilin and cofilin. Similar to STK16, profilin promotes actin polymerization at lower concentration but promotes actin depolymerization at higher concentration. Specifically, profilin binds to the barbed end of an actin monomer and dissociates rapidly to free the end for further elongation. However, high concentrations of free profilin can slow down elongation and even promote dissociation of the terminal subunit^{59,60}. In contrast, cofilin severs actin filaments at low concentration, but nucleates actin assembly at high concentration⁶¹. In cells, many proteins control both the assembly and disassembly of actin filaments and they compete with each other for binding actin monomers or F-actin to regulate actin dynamics simultaneously. Depending upon the local environment, the newly formed ends of the severed filaments may nucleate filament growth or may increase subunit dissociation and filament depolymerization^{62,63}. We speculate that under physiological conditions, the cellular actin monomer concentration is much higher than STK16, so STK16 mostly plays a critical part in actin polymerization. When the local concentration of STK16 is high, such as in the Golgi, STK16 starts to promote





F-actin depolymerization. Further investigation is definitely needed to unravel the detailed exact mechanism of STK16 regulation on actin dynamics and the Golgi complex.

It is interesting that the kinase activity of STK16 is apparently critical for its activity in actin dynamics regulation, but not for its binding to actin. However, this is not entirely unexpected because the actin dynamics regulation effect is not always correlated with the binding affinity. For example, 10 nM S. pombe cofilin bound to only 0.12% of actin subunits and severing was maximal while 1 mMS. pombe cofilin bound to 49% of actin subunits but no severing was observed⁶¹. The binding affinity of STK16 to actin is not very strong, as shown in the immunoprecipitation and pull down assays, but the actin dynamics regulation by STK16 is unambiguous. In addition, our results indicate that the actin binding ability of STK16 is not the determining factor for its Golgi localization; the E202 mutant of STK16 can bind to actin but does not localize to the Golgi. The detailed mechanisms of local regulation of actin dynamics at the Golgi, as well as the regulation mechanisms, including its autophosphorylation, upstream factors, and downstream substrates, all need further investigations.

In conclusion, here in this study, we have identified STK16 kinase as a novel actin binding protein that resides in the Golgi and regulates actin polymerization and depolymerization in a concentration and kinase activity– dependent manner. STK16 localizes to the Golgi throughout the cell cycle and regulates Golgi assembly by interacting with actin, through which it plays important roles in G2/M transition, mitotic progression, as well as cytokinesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. HeLa, MCF-7, and NIH-3T3 cells were grown in DMEM without L-glutamine (Corning Life Sciences), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Clark), 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Hyclone), 1% glutamax (Gibco), 5% CO₂, at 37 °C. HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG wild type (WT), HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG RNAi resistant mutant (RNAi-X MUT), HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG E202A (E202A), HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG F100C (F100C) were maintained similar to HeLa cells, with addition of 1μ g/ml puromycin (Invitrogen) in DMEM.

Wild-type, RNAi-resistant mutant, F100C, and E202A STK16-GFP-FLAG stable cell lines. The cDNA encoding human STK16 was cloned into a pMSCV-puro vector with GFP and $3 \times$ FLAG tag fused at the C-terminal to form STK16-GFP-FLAG wild type (WT) plasmid. RNAi-X MUT plasmid was constructed by silent mutagenesis at the 894^{th} - 914^{rd} region GGCCAACATACTACCCAAATC \rightarrow GGTCAGCACACGACT CAGATA with 37% mismatch. STK16-GFP-FLAG F100C (F100C) and E202A plasmids were point mutated by replacing the 299th nucleotide T by G, and the 605th nucleotide A by C, individually. Retroviruses were packaged by transfecting the four plasmids with two helper plasmids into 293 cell lines using Fugene 6 (Promega). Then the supernatants were harvested after 48 hours of incubation and infecting the HeLa or MCF-7 cells. Stable cell lines were screened by puromycin and maintained in a culture medium containing a final concentration of $1 \mu g/ml$ puromycin.

RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted following a modified guanidinium thiocyanate method using Trizol (Invitrogen) after cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript[®] RT reagent Kit (Takara). STK16, GAPDH and ACTIN genes were amplified from prepared cDNAs using fast pfu DNA polymerase with the following primers. DNA levels were normalized to the matching densitometric value of the internal control GAPDH by ImageJ software.

STK16F: CTATGTGGACCTAGTGGAAGGGTTACAT STK16R: CTTTGTCCTTCAGCCTTTCTATCTCATT GAPDHF: GTCACCAGGGCTGCTTTTAACTCT GAPDHR: GGGTCTCTCTCTCTCTTGTGCT ACTINF: AGATCATGTTTGAGACCTTCAACACC ACTINR: GCAATGATCTTGATCTTCATTGTGC

Immunofluorescence. HeLa, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG WT, RNAi-X MUT, E202A, and F100C cells were grown on coverslips in 24-well plates and treated with the siRNAs or drugs for indicated time points. Cells were fixed by -20 °C methanol for 5 minutes or 4% formaldehyde at room temperature for 20 minutes. Then coverslips were washed with TBS-Tx (TBS supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100) and blocked by AbDil-Tx (TBS-Tx with 2% BSA and 0.05% sodium azide) at room temperature for at least 30 minutes. Coverslips were stained with primary antibodies then probed with the secondary fluorescently conjugated antibodies. To visualize F-actin fibers, cells were directly stained with Alexa Fluor[®] 488 Phalloidin or Alexa Fluor[®] 594 Phalloidin (Invitrogen). After being rinsed thoroughly by TBS-Tx, coverslips were mounted in anti-fade prolong Gold with DAPI. The primary antibodies used in immunofluorescence experiments were as follows: GFP (Abcam, 1:500), Flag (Sigma, 1:1000), Giantin (Abcam, 1:500), phospho-Histone H3 (Cell signaling, 1:1500), and Cyclin B1 (Santa Cruz, 1:100). Images were taken using a Leica MI4000B fluorescent microscope. All experiments were repeated at least three times and representative micrographs are shown in the Figures.

Western blotting. Cells plated on 12-well plates were lysed on ice with the M-PER lysis buffer supplemented with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Roche) at 4 °C. The whole cell lysate was thermally denatured at 95 °C for 8 minutes with 2 × SDS loading buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM DTT, 2% SDS, 20% Glycerol, and 0.016% Bromophenol Blue) thoroughly, and electrophoresed on 12% SDS-PAGE gels, which were then transferred onto the PVDF membranes by Thermo Scientific Owl VEP-2. The membranes were blocked, then incubated with corresponding primary antibodies, including GFP (1:1000), Flag (1:1000), p-Tyr (BD, 1:1000), p-Thr/Ser (Abcam, 1:1000), Tubulin (1:2000) and Actin (1:2000). HRP-linked secondary antibodies (1:5000) were then incubated and visualization was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence Kits (Millipore or Thermo Scientific) and the blots were analyzed using Tanon Fine-do X6 (Tanon). Protein levels were normalized to the matching densitometric value of the internal control α -tubulin by ImageJ software.

RNAi. HeLa, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG WT, and RNAi-resistant mutant cells were plated in 12-well or 24-well plates. SiRNA for STK16 or negative control were transiently transfected using Hiperfect following the manufacturer's protocol at 40 nM. The sequences of siSTK16 and siNegative oligos were GGCCAACAUACUACCCAAAU and UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGUTT. After 72 hours of incubation, cells were either lysed for Western Blotting or fixed to perform immunofluorescence experiments.

Co-immunoprecipitation. HeLa-GFP-FLAG, HeLa-STK16-GFP-FLAG WT, and E202A cells were cultured in 150 mm dishes to 95–100% confluence and lysed as above. The whole cell lysate was centrifuged at 4 °C, 13,000 g for 10 minutes. The supernatants were mixed with Flag antibody pre-conjugated Dynabeads protein G at 4 °C for 2 hours on a rotator. After washing with ice-cold-PBST (PBS with 0.05% Tween-20) three times (every 5 minutes per time) at 4 °C on a rotator, the Dynabeads were collected using the magnet. And the Dynabeads-Flag-protein mixture was supplemented with lysis buffer and 5 × SDS loading buffer followed by boiling at 95 °C for 8 minutes. The cell lysate after centrifuging was used as the input control. Western blotting was carried out to analyze the co-immunoprecipitation results.

STK16 wild type and E202A protein expression. STK16 (residues 1–305) (GenBank accession number gi4505837) wild type protein for *in vitro* phosphorylation and pyrene-actin assay was expressed as previously described²⁹. For GST-STK16 WT protein, human STK16 cDNA was subcloned into a pGEX-T vector, expressed in *E. coli* (BL21 [DE3]) cells and purified by glutathione affinity resin. GST-STK16 E202A protein was point mutated by replacing the 202nd residue E by A and cloned into the same vector, followed by purification with slight modification.

Pyrene-actin assay. Actin polymerization and depolymerization experiments were performed with 3.0μ M rabbit skeletal muscle actin mixed with 10% pyrene-actin. The actin mixture was diluted in G-buffer (0.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM DTT) and incubated for 1 hour on ice to depolymerize actin oligomers and subsequently centrifuged at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 minutes. For polymerization assays, supernatants were added to a 96-well black plate already containing different concentrations of STK16 protein. Then, the 96-well plate was placed into the fluorescent spectrophotometer and the samples were read for 3 minutes to establish a baseline fluorescent measurement. Polymerization was induced by the addition of 10x polymerization buffer (20 mM MgCl₂, 500 mM KCl, 10 mM ATP), followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 hour. For depolymerization assays, supernatants were prepared as described above. Supernatants were then polymerized with 10x polymerization buffer for 1 hour, and subsequently centrifuged at 100,000 rpm for 30 min to isolate filamentous actin in the pellet from remaining monomeric actin in the supernatant. Filamentous actin

was suspended again in an F-buffer (G-buffer + 10x polymerization buffer (1/10th the volume of G-buffer)) and incubated with different concentrations of STK16 protein. Depolymerization was induced by the addition of 2μ M Latrunculin A (Sigma). The kinetics of polymerization and depolymerization were monitored at room temperature using Molecular Device SPECTRAMAX I3X with excitation and emission wavelengths of 360 nm and 420 nm, respectively.

In vitro phosphorylation assay. Kinase assays were performed for 30 minutes at 37 °C in a final volume of 30 μ l consisting of the kinase buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 20 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM DTT, 20 μ M ATP) and 10 μ M rabbit skeletal muscle actin as substrate. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 5x the sample buffer and boiled for 8 minutes. Samples were subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

In vitro pull down assay. GST, GST-STK16 WT, and GST-STK16 E202 proteins diluted in a binding buffer (20 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) were first conjugated with glutathione resins respectively at 4 °C overnight on a rotator. Then, half of the resins were incubated with rabbit skeletal muscle actin in G-buffer, while the others incubated with rabbit skeletal muscle actin in F-buffer, at 4 °C for 2 hours on a rotator. Supernatants were preserved and the pellets were washed with G/F buffer supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100 and suspended again with the G/F buffer. 5x the sample buffer was added to supernatants or pellets and boiled for 8 minutes. Samples were subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Cell synchronization assay. HeLa cells were seeded at 30% confluence in 24-wells plates with coverslips and synchronized by a double thymidine block. DMEM with 2.5 mM thymidine was added to cells for 16 hours. After being washed three times with PBS to remove thymidine, cells were incubated with fresh DMEM medium for 8 hours. Then DMEM medium containing 2.5 mM thymidine was added for another 16 hours for the 2nd thymidine block to reserve cells at G1/S transition. "0" indicated the beginning of double thymidine release.

When double thymidine block was performed along with siRNA transfection, the first RNAi transfection was performed in suspension cells prior to seeding cells onto culture plates. The second RNAi transfection was performed after washing off the first batch of thymidine, along with fresh DMEM medium. The two batches of thymidine were added directly to the medium to avoid washing the siRNAs off.

When synchronization assays were done along with the STK16 inhibitor, DMSO and STK16-IN-1 were added 4 hours after the second thymidine release. Synchronized cells were collected at indicated times after being released for fixing and staining for antibodies.

References

- Marsh, B. J. & Howell, K. E. The mammalian Golgi-complex debates. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology 3, 789–795, doi: 10.1038/ nrm933 (2002).
- Ladinsky, M. S., Mastronarde, D. N., McIntosh, J. R., Howell, K. E. & Staehelin, L. A. Golgi structure in three dimensions: functional insights from the normal rat kidney cell. *The Journal of cell biology* 144, 1135–1149 (1999).
- Deakin, N. O. & Turner, C. E. Paxillin inhibits HDAC6 to regulate microtubule acetylation, Golgi structure, and polarized migration. The Journal of cell biology 206, 395–413, doi: 10.1083/jcb.201403039 (2014).
- Dippold, H. C. et al. GOLPH3 bridges phosphatidylinositol-4- phosphate and actomyosin to stretch and shape the Golgi to promote budding. Cell 139, 337–351, doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.052 (2009).
- 5. Egea, G., Lazaro-Dieguez, F. & Vilella, M. Actin dynamics at the Golgi complex in mammalian cells. *Current opinion in cell biology* **18**, 168–178, doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2006.02.007 (2006).
- Guet, D. et al. Mechanical role of actin dynamics in the rheology of the Golgi complex and in Golgi-associated trafficking events. Current biology: CB 24, 1700–1711, doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.048 (2014).
- Gurel, P. S., Hatch, A. L. & Higgs, H. N. Connecting the cytoskeleton to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi. *Current biology: CB* 24, R660–672, doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.033 (2014).
- Miller, P. M. et al. Golgi-derived CLASP-dependent microtubules control Golgi organization and polarized trafficking in motile cells. Nature cell biology 11, 1069–1080, doi: 10.1038/ncb1920 (2009).
- 9. Stamnes, M. Regulating the actin cytoskeleton during vesicular transport. Current opinion in cell biology 14, 428-433 (2002).
- Yadav, S., Puri, S. & Linstedt, A. D. A primary role for Golgi positioning in directed secretion, cell polarity, and wound healing. Molecular biology of the cell 20, 1728–1736, doi: 10.1091/mbc.E08-10-1077 (2009).
- 11. Zhu, X. D. & Kaverina, I. Golgi as an MTOC: making microtubules for its own good. *Histochem Cell Biol* 140, 361–367, doi: 10.1007/s00418-013-1119-4 (2013).
- 12. Lazaro-Dieguez, F. et al. Actin filaments are involved in the maintenance of Golgi cisternae morphology and intra-Golgi pH. Cell motility and the cytoskeleton 63, 778–791, doi: 10.1002/cm.20161 (2006).
- 13. Valderrama, F. *et al.* Actin microfilaments facilitate the retrograde transport from the Golgi complex to the endoplasmic reticulum in mammalian cells. *Traffic* **2**, 717–726, doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0854.2001.21006.x (2001).
- 14. Morris, C. E. & Homann, U. Cell surface area regulation and membrane tension. *J Membrane Biol* **179**, 79–102 (2001).
- Buschman, M. D., Xing, M. K. & Field, S. J. The GOLPH3 pathway regulates Golgi shape and function and is activated by DNA damage. Front Neurosci-Switz 9, doi: 10.3389/Fnins.2015.00362 (2015).
- Tang, D. M. et al. Mena-GRASP65 interaction couples actin polymerization to Golgi ribbon linking. Molecular biology of the cell 27, 137–152, doi: 10.1091/mbc.E15-09-0650 (2016).
- 17. Altan-Bonnet, N., Sougrat, R. & Lippincott-Schwartz, J. Molecular basis for Golgi maintenance and biogenesis. *Current opinion in cell biology* **16**, 364–372, doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2004.06.011 (2004).
- Colanzi, A. & Corda, D. Mitosis controls the Golgi and the Golgi controls mitosis. *Current opinion in cell biology* 19, 386–393, doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2007.06.002 (2007).
- 19. Shorter, J. & Warren, G. Golgi architecture and inheritance. Annu Rev Cell Dev Bi 18, 379-420, doi: 10.1146/annurev. cellbio.18.030602.133733 (2002).
- Valente, C. & Colanzi, A. Mechanisms and Regulation of the Mitotic Inheritance of the Golgi Complex. Frontiers in cell and developmental biology 3, 79, doi: 10.3389/fcell.2015.00079 (2015).
- 21. Colanzi, A. *et al.* The Golgi mitotic checkpoint is controlled by BARS-dependent fission of the Golgi ribbon into separate stacks in G2. *Embo J* 26, 2465–2476, doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601686 (2007).
- Corda, D., Colanzi, A. & Luini, A. The multiple activities of CtBP/BARS proteins: the Golgi view. Trends Cell Biol 16, 167–173, doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2006.01.007 (2006).

- 23. Sutterlin, C., Hsu, P., Mallabiabarrena, A. & Malhotra, V. Fragmentation and dispersal of the pericentriolar Golgi complex is required for entry into mitosis in mammalian cells. *Cell* **109**, 359–369, doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00720-1 (2002).
- Berson, A. E. *et al.* Identification and characterization of a myristylated and palmitylated serine threonine protein kinase. *Biochem Bioph Res Co* 259, 533–538, doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1999.0811 (1999).
- Kurioka, K., Nakagawa, K., Denda, K., Miyazawa, K. & Kitamura, N. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel protein serine/threonine kinase highly expressed in mouse embryo. *Bba-Gene Struct Expr* 1443, 275–284, doi: 10.1016/S0167-4781(98)00224-3 (1998).
- Ligos, J. M., Gerwin, N., Fernandez, P., Gutierrez-Ramos, J. C. & Bernad, A. Cloning, expression analysis, and functional characterization of PKL12, a member of a new subfamily of ser/thr kinases. *Biochem Bioph Res Co* 249, 380–384, doi: 10.1006/ bbrc.1998.9163 (1998).
- Stairs, D. B. et al. Cloning and characterization of Krct, a member of a novel subfamily of serine/threonine kinases. Hum Mol Genet 7, 2157–2166, doi: 10.1093/hmg/7.13.2157 (1998).
- Eswaran, J. et al. Structure of the human protein kinase MPSK1 reveals an atypical activation loop architecture. Structure 16, 115–124, doi: 10.1016/j.str.2007.10.026 (2008).
- 29. Liu, F. et al. Discovery of a Highly Selective STK16 Kinase Inhibitor. ACS chemical biology 11, 1537–1543, doi: 10.1021/acschembio.6b00250 (2016).
- In, J. G., Striz, A. C., Bernad, A. & Tuma, P. L. Serine/threonine kinase 16 and MAL2 regulate constitutive secretion of soluble cargo in hepatic cells. *Biochemical Journal* 463, 201–213, doi: 10.1042/Bj20140468 (2014).
- Guinea, B. et al. Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of STK16 (PKL12), a Golgi-resident serine/threonine kinase involved in VEGF expression regulation. Exp Cell Res 312, 135–144, doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2005.10.010 (2005).
- Ligos, J. M. *et al.* Functional interaction between the Ser/Thr kinase PKL12 and N-acetylglucosamine kinase, a prominent enzyme implicated in the salvage pathway for GlcNAc recycling. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 277, 6333–6343, doi: 10.1074/jbc. M105766200 (2002).
- Minin, A. A. Dispersal of Golgi apparatus in nocodazole-treated fibroblasts is a kinesin-driven process. J Cell Sci 110, 2495–2505 (1997).
- Thyberg, J. & Moskalewski, S. Role of microtubules in the organization of the Golgi complex. *Exp Cell Res* 246, 263–279, doi: 10.1006/excr.1998.4326 (1999).
- Sun, H., Schlondorff, J. S., Brown, E. J., Higgs, H. N. & Pollak, M. R. Rho activation of mDia formins is modulated by an interaction with inverted formin 2 (INF2). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 2933–2938, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1017010108 (2011).
- Feliciano, D. & Di Pietro, S. M. SLAC, a complex between Sla1 and Las17, regulates actin polymerization during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Molecular biology of the cell* 23, 4256–4272, doi: 10.1091/mbc.E11-12-1022 (2012).
- Kruth, K. A. & Rubenstein, P. A. Two deafness-causing (DFNA20/26) actin mutations affect Arp2/3-dependent actin regulation. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 287, 27217–27226, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.377283 (2012).
- Sorensen, P. M. et al. The natural product cucurbitacin E inhibits depolymerization of actin filaments. ACS chemical biology 7, 1502–1508, doi: 10.1021/cb300254s (2012).
- Baek, K. et al. Modulation of actin structure and function by phosphorylation of Tyr-53 and profilin binding. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 11748–11753, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0805852105 (2008).
- Liu, X., Shu, S., Hong, M. S., Levine, R. L. & Korn, E. D. Phosphorylation of actin Tyr-53 inhibits filament nucleation and elongation and destabilizes filaments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 13694–13699, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0606321103 (2006).
- Constantin, B., Meerschaert, K., Vandekerckhove, J. & Gettemans, J. Disruption of the actin cytoskeleton of mammalian cells by the capping complex actin-fragmin is inhibited by actin phosphorylation and regulated by Ca2+ ions. J Cell Sci 111 (Pt 12), 1695–1706 (1998).
- Furuhashi, K. & Hatano, S. Control of actin filament length by phosphorylation of fragmin-actin complex. *The Journal of cell biology* 111, 1081–1087 (1990).
- Prentki, M., Chaponnier, C., Jeanrenaud, B. & Gabbiani, G. Actin microfilaments, cell shape, and secretory processes in isolated rat hepatocytes. Effect of phalloidin and cytochalasin D. *The Journal of cell biology* 81, 592–607 (1979).
- Hirschberg, K., Phair, R. D. & Lippincott-Schwartz, J. Kinetic analysis of intracellular trafficking in single living cells with vesicular stomatitis virus protein G-green fluorescent protein hybrids. *Methods in enzymology* 327, 69–89 (2000).
- Corda, D., Barretta, M. L., Cervigni, R. I. & Colanzi, A. Golgi complex fragmentation in G2/M transition: An organelle-based cellcycle checkpoint. *Iubmb Life* 64, 661–670, doi: 10.1002/iub.1054 (2012).
- Sandquist, J. C., Kita, A. M. & Bement, W. M. And the dead shall rise: actin and myosin return to the spindle. Developmental cell 21, 410–419, doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.018 (2011).
- Sedzinski, J. et al. Polar actomyosin contractility destabilizes the position of the cytokinetic furrow. Nature 476, 462–466, doi: 10.1038/nature10286 (2011).
- Thery, M., Jimenez-Dalmaroni, A., Racine, V., Bornens, M. & Julicher, F. Experimental and theoretical study of mitotic spindle orientation. *Nature* 447, 493–496, doi: 10.1038/nature05786 (2007).
- 49. Penzes, P. & Rafalovich, I. Regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in dendritic spines. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* **970**, 81–95, doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-0932-8_4 (2012).
- Chen, J. L., Lacomis, L., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P. & Stamnes, M. Cytosol-derived proteins are sufficient for Arp2/3 recruitment and ARF/coatomer-dependent actin polymerization on Golgi membranes. *FEBS letters* 566, 281–286, doi: 10.1016/j. febslet.2004.04.061 (2004).
- Matas, O. B., Martinez-Menarguez, J. A. & Egea, G. Association of Cdc42/N-WASP/Arp2/3 signaling pathway with Golgi membranes. *Traffic* 5, 838–846, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2004.00225.x (2004).
- Abo, A. *et al.* PAK4, a novel effector for Cdc42Hs, is implicated in the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton and in the formation of filopodia. *Embo J* 17, 6527–6540, doi: 10.1093/emboj/17.22.6527 (1998).
- Yin, D. M., Huang, Y. H., Zhu, Y. B. & Wang, Y. Both the establishment and maintenance of neuronal polarity require the activity of protein kinase D in the Golgi apparatus. J Neurosci 28, 8832–8843, doi: 10.1523/Jneurosci.1291-08.2008 (2008).
- Gachet, Y., Tournier, S., Millar, J. B. & Hyams, J. S. A. MAP kinase-dependent actin checkpoint ensures proper spindle orientation in fission yeast. *Nature* 412, 352–355, doi: 10.1038/35085604 (2001).
- Lee, K. & Song, K. Actin dysfunction activates ERK1/2 and delays entry into mitosis in mammalian cells. Cell cycle 6, 1487–1495 (2007).
- Woolner, S., O'Brien, L. L., Wiese, C. & Bement, W. M. Myosin-10 and actin filaments are essential for mitotic spindle function. *The Journal of cell biology* 182, 77–88, doi: 10.1083/jcb.200804062 (2008).
- Uzbekov, R., Kireyev, I. & Prigent, C. Centrosome separation: respective role of microtubules and actin filaments. Biology of the cell/ under the auspices of the European Cell Biology Organization 94, 275–288 (2002).
- Rosenblatt, J., Cramer, L. P., Baum, B. & McGee, K. M. Myosin II-dependent cortical movement is required for centrosome separation and positioning during mitotic spindle assembly. *Cell* 117, 361–372 (2004).
- Courtemanche, N. & Pollard, T. D. Interaction of profilin with the barbed end of actin filaments. *Biochemistry* 52, 6456–6466, doi: 10.1021/bi400682n (2013).

- 60. Jegou, A. *et al.* Individual actin filaments in a microfluidic flow reveal the mechanism of ATP hydrolysis and give insight into the properties of profilin. *PLoS biology* **9**, e1001161, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001161 (2011).
- 61. Andrianantoandro, E. & Pollard, T. D. Mechanism of actin filament turnover by severing and nucleation at different concentrations of ADF/cofilin. *Molecular cell* 24, 13–23, doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.08.006 (2006).
- 62. Bamburg, J. R. & Bernstein, B. W. Actin dynamics and cofilin-actin rods in Alzheimer disease. *Cytoskeleton*, doi: 10.1002/cm.21282 (2016).
- 63. Winterhoff, M. & Faix, J. Actin-filament disassembly: it takes two to shrink them fast. *Current biology: CB* 25, R450–452, doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.04.050 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Wei Liu in Zhejiang University, China, for providing the VSVG-GFP plasmid; Dr. Junfeng Wang for providing a fluorescent microscope; members of the Zhang laboratory for their assistance. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (#2016YFA0400900), National Natural Science Foundation of China grant (Project No. 31301112) and Chinese Academy of Sciences "Hundred Talent program" to X.Z.

Author Contributions

J.L., X.Y. and X.Z. designed experiments. J.L., X.Y., J.W. performed experiments. B.L., J.L. and Q.L. provided STK16-IN-1. W.W. provided assistance for molecular cloning and cell line constructions. J.L. and X.Z. wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Liu, J. *et al.* STK16 regulates actin dynamics to control Golgi organization and cell cycle. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44607; doi: 10.1038/srep44607 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017