Meta-Analysis

Ther Adv Chronic Dis

2022, Vol. 13: 1–17 DOI: 10.1177/ 20406223211063059

© The Author(s), 2022. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Effects of nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies for urinary incontinence due to neurogenic bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Mohammed Usman Ali®, Kenneth Nai-Kuen Fong, Priya Kannan, Umar Muhammad Bello and Georg Kranz

Abstract

Objective: To determine the effects of nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies on urge urinary incontinence (UUI) symptoms and quality of life (QoL) in individuals with neurogenic bladder (NGB).

Data Sources: Cochrane library, EMBASE, MEDLINE, PEDro, Scopus, and Web of Science databases were searched from inception to September 2021.

Review Methods: Randomized controlled trials that compared therapies such as intravaginal electrical stimulation (IVES), transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES), transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS), pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT), and behavioural therapy (BT) to control were included. Study screening, data extraction, and study quality assessments were performed by two independent authors.

Results: Fourteen trials with 804 participants were included in the study after screening of 4281 potentially relevant articles. Meta-analyses revealed a significant effect of electrical stimulation on UUI due to multiple sclerosis (standardized mean difference (SMD): -0.614; 95% confidence interval (CI): -1.023, -0.206; p = 0.003) and stroke (SMD: -2.639; 95% CI: -3.804, -1.474; p = 0.000). The pooled analyses of TTNS (weighted mean difference (WMD): -12.406; 95% CI: -16.015, -8.797; p = 0.000) and BT (WMD: -9.117; 95% CI: -14.746, -3.487; p = 0.002) revealed significant effects of these interventions on QoL in people with Parkinson's disease. However, meta-analyses revealed nonsignificant effects for PFMT (WMD: -0.751; 95% CI: -2.426, 0.924; p = 0.380) and BT (WMD: -0.597; 95% CI: -1.278, 0.083; p = 0.085) on UUI due to Parkinson's disease.

Conclusions: Our meta-analyses found electrical stimulation to be beneficial for improving the symptoms of UUI among people with multiple sclerosis and those with stroke. Our review also revealed that TTNS and BT might improve QoL for people with NGB due to Parkinson's disease, although the effects of PFMT and BT on UUI warrant further investigation.

Keywords: neurogenic bladder, systematic review and meta-analysis, therapies, urinary incontinence

Received: 5 August 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 10 November 2021.

Introduction

Lower urinary tract dysfunction caused by nervous system lesions or trauma is termed neurogenic bladder (NGB)¹ and can be life-threatening if not managed adequately.² The epidemiology of NGB varies, including multiple sclerosis (40–90%), Parkinson's disease (37–72%), spinal cord injury (70–84%), stroke (57–83%) and spina

Correspondence to: **Priya Kannan** Department of

Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong. priya.kannan@polyu. edu.hk

Mohammed Usman Ali

Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Department of Medical Rehabilitation (Physiotherapy), University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Kenneth Nai-Kuen Fong

Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Umar Muhammad Bello

Centre for Eye and Vision Research (CEVR) Limited, Hong Kong, China; Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Department of Physiotherapy, Yobe State University Teaching Hospital, Damaturu, Nigeria

Georg Kranz

Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

journals.sagepub.com/home/taj



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

bifida (40-60.9%).^{3,4} NGB represents a substantial issue in clinical practice in the United States, with a prevalence that increased from 26.1% in 2016 to 31.1% in 2018.5 Neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction distorts detrusor pressure and bladder emptying regulation, leading to urge urinary incontinence (UUI).^{1,6} UUI symptoms in people with NGB include increased urinary frequency, urgency and leakage, which is immediately preceded by a sudden urge to void.⁷ The stress and discomfort associated with UUI due to NGB can have major negative impacts on quality of life (OoL), resulting in social isolation, depression and embarrassment.8 Patients with UUI tend to experience low self-esteem, report effects on their social, sexual and work activities.9 In addition, UUI causes psychological stress and can restrict participation in social activities.¹⁰

Pharmacological management options for NGB include oral agents such as anticholinergic drugs (antimuscarinics), beta-adrenergic and selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor; intravesicular injection; transdermal agents.¹¹ However, the persistence rate for response to medication after 1 year is only 12-39%,¹² and people with NGB often discontinue medications due to adverse effects or a lack of improvement in symptoms.^{12,13} Surgical interventions for NGB can be performed in patients who are unresponsive to conservative or less invasive treatments; however, the surgical management of NGB is expensive and associated with post-operative complications¹⁴ and some requiring tension-free vaginal tape and translabial ultrasound assessing technical errors after midurethral transobturator tape.¹⁵

Nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies for NGB include transcranial magnetic stimulation,¹⁶ transcranial direct current stimulation,¹⁷ transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS),17 neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES),¹⁸ biofeedback,19 transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (TTNS),²⁰ intravaginal electrical stimulation (IVES),²¹ pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT),¹⁹ cognitive behavioural training and vaginal cones.22 The efficacies of some of these interventions have been evaluated in previous systematic reviews.^{2,23-27} However, previous reviews did not include metaanalyses,²³⁻²⁶ evaluate the efficacy of only a single intervention,^{23,25,26} result in inconclusive outcomes due to the limited number of included studies²⁶ or were not conducted within the last 5 years.^{23,25} The current review is the first to include all nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies for the management of UUI due to NGB,^{2,23–27} with the aim of evaluating treatment effects for symptom management and QoL.

Methods

Search strategy and study screening

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were incorporated during the development and reporting of this review.28 The review was registered with the International Prospective Register of Reviews **Systematic** (PROSPERO; ID: CRD42021236522) prior to the commencement of database searches. Six electronic databases, including the Cochrane library, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), Scopus, and Web of Science, were searched from database inception to 30 September 2021. Search terms for the review were formulated into three themes, including NGB, treatments and study design. The defined search terms within each of the individual themes were combined using the Boolean operator 'OR', and the three themes were combined using the Boolean operator 'AND'. A detailed description of the search terms developed for databases is presented in the supplementary file. The selection of studies for this review was based on the PICOS (Patient problem, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome measure, and Study design) framework.29 The articles identified through electronic database searches were exported to the EndNote X9 citation manager (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA) for screening. Ethical approval is not required for this review because data from previously published studies in which informed consent was obtained were retrieved and analysed.30

Study selection

Studies were included in this systematic review if they (1) were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), pilot RCTs, randomized cluster trials, randomized crossover trials, or unpublished theses; (2) included adults (of both sexes) with UUI due to NGB, due to spinal cord injury, stroke, Parkinson's disease, or multiple sclerosis; (3) compared therapies, such as IVES, TENS, NMES, TTNS, PFMT, behavioural therapy

(BT), against controls consisting of no treatment, sham, or PFMT and (4) trials that utilized the Overactive Bladder Questionnaire-V8 (OAB-V8), the Overactive Bladder Symptom Score Questionnaire, or a voiding diary to evaluate the symptoms of UUI, or trials that utilized the Qualiveen questionnaire, Incontinence Impact Questionnaire-7 (IIQ-7), or International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Short Form (ICIO-SF) to measure OoL. Studies were excluded if they (1) were published as non-English studies, (2) were quasi-experimental trials/cross-over studies/wait-list studies, (3) involved medical or surgical interventions or (4) were conference proceedings.

Data extraction

Electronic searches, title, and abstract screening were performed by one review author (M.U.A.). The full-text screening was performed by two independent review authors (M.U.A. and U.M.B.). Discrepancies were resolved by discussion between the two review authors until consensus was reached. For unresolved discrepancies, a third review author (P.K.) was consulted. Manual searching of the reference lists for included studies and relevant systematic reviews were also conducted to identify any additional potentially relevant articles. Data extraction for each included study was performed by two independent review authors (M.U.A. and U.M.B.). The following data were extracted from each included study: first author, year of publication, country of study, participant characteristics (mean age and standard deviation (SD)), the sample size of each group, intervention, control, outcome measure(s), and pre- and post-treatment results.

Quality assessment

For each included study, the methodological quality and quality of evidence were evaluated using the PEDro scale^{31,32} and the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) tool,³³ respectively. The PEDro scale has been reported as a reliable and valid tool for evaluating methodological quality.³¹ The PEDro scale is an 11-item check-list: item 1 assesses external validity, items 2–9 assess internal validity, and items 10 and 11 assess interpretability.³⁴ Each item on the PEDro scale is scored as 'yes' or 'no', resulting in a maximum score of 10, with higher scores indicating higher

study quality. Studies scoring \geq 7 are considered high quality, scores of 5 and 6 are considered moderate quality, and scores of 0–4 are lowquality.³¹ One review author (M.U.A.) evaluated the methodological quality of the included studies, and the scores were compared against existing scores reported on the PEDro website.³⁵ Disagreements in scores between the author and the PEDro website score were resolved by discussion with a second review author (U.M.B.).

The quality of evidence was evaluated using GRADEpro software.³⁶ According to the GRADE system, the quality of a body of evidence can be categorized as 'very low', 'low', 'moderate', or 'high'.³⁷ The overall quality of evidence for an outcome measure was based on the lowest quality score for the assessed outcome.³⁷ The following factors were considered when rating the quality of evidence:

Study limitations. Evidence was rated according to the presence of methodological flaws, such as the absence of concealed allocation, inadequate follow-ups, and the inadequate reporting of outcome measures.³⁸ Given the nature of the intervention, studies were not downgraded for the lack of participant blinding; however, studies were downgraded by one level for the lack of either therapist or assessor blinding and by two levels for the lack of both therapist and assessor blinding.³⁸

Indirectness of evidence. Studies were rated down if a substantial difference was identified between the intervention and control populations across the studies and when surrogate outcome measures were used which may reduce the quality of evidence.³⁹

Imprecision. Studies were downgraded in quality of evidence for imprecision if the confidence interval around the estimate of treatment effect is not sufficiently narrow in the presence of small total sample size.⁴⁰

Inconsistency of results across studies. Studies were downgraded for minimal or no overlap or evidence of statistical heterogeneity, as indicated by a large Chi-square value.⁴¹

Publication bias. If studies were industry-sponsored, likely to be industry-sponsored, or conflict of interest was reported, the quality of evidence was

Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease 13



Figure 1. Flowchart of screened studies.

downgraded.⁴² A funnel plot was planned if more than 10 studies were included in a meta-analysis.

Data analysis

The meta-analysis of the included studies was conducted using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (version 3). Trials that used similar interventions and outcome measures were pooled together. Weighted mean differences (WMDs) and standardized mean differences (SMDs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to assess the intervention effects of continuous outcomes. Where studies used different outcome measures to assess the same outcome, SMD was computed using Hedges' g. Statistical heterogeneity was evaluated using the chi-square test ($I^2 > 50\%$ was considered substantial heterogeneity). When minimal heterogeneity was identified ($I^2 < 50\%$), a fixed-effects model was used, whereas a random-effects model

was used when maximum heterogeneity was identified ($I^2 > 50\%$).^{43,44} Asymmetry could not be evaluated by funnel plot analyses because fewer than 10 studies were included in the pooled meta-analyses.²⁹

Results

Study selection

Figure 1 presents a flowchart of the screening process used to select studies, with the reasons for exclusion at each stage. The electronic database searches resulted in 4281 potentially relevant articles. Following import into the citation manager, 1458 duplicate records were identified and removed. The review of the study titles and abstracts resulted in the exclusion of 2616 and 155 articles, respectively. The full-text screening of the remaining 53 studies led to the exclusion of 39 articles, and 9 studies were determined to meet the inclusion criteria of the study. A manual search of the reference lists for the included studies (forward search) and relevant systematic reviews revealed an additional 5 studies, resulting in 14 total studies included in the review. Interventions identified in the included studies include IVES,^{45,46} TENS,^{47,48} NMES,^{18,49} TTNS,^{20,50} PFMT,^{51–53} and BT.^{54,55} Studies evaluating the effects of other therapies, such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation¹⁶and functional magnetic stimulation,^{56–60} were also identified during the database searches; however, these interventions^{16,56,61,62} could not be included in the review because they were evaluated in quasi-experimental trials lacking a control group.

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1. The 14 included studies included a total of 804 participants. The sample sizes in the included studies ranged from 13 to 82. The ages of the participants ranged from 18 to 90 years. The included studies were published between 2004 and 2021, including 11 of 14 studies that were published within the last 7 years (2014–2021). Five studies were conducted in Brazil,^{20,45,46,50,63} three in Denmark,^{51–53} three in China,^{18,47,48} two in the United Kingdom,^{49,54} and one study from the United States.⁵⁵ Twelve studies evaluated UUI,^{18,45–49,51–55,63} and four studies evaluated QoL.^{20,50,54,55}

Methodological quality

The PEDro scores for the included studies are shown in Table 2. The mean PEDro score of the included studies was 6 out of 10, with a range of 4–9. Of the 14 included studies, 8 studies were of high methodological quality, 5 were of moderate methodological quality, and one study was of low methodological quality. Among the 14 included studies, 7 studies did not report allocation concealment, 10 lacked intention-to-treat analysis, 10 lacked assessor blinding, and 3 studies lost >15% of participants to follow-up. All 14 studies lacked therapist blinding.

Quality of evidence

Table 3 presents a summary of the findings generated by the GRADE profiler software. The GRADE quality of evidence for the 14 trials included in the five meta-analyses ranged from 'low' to 'moderate'. The overall GRADE quality of evidence for the included trials ranged from low to moderate for UUI and moderate for QoL.

Effects of interventions on UUI

Electrical stimulation versus *PFMT on UUI due to multiple sclerosis.* Four studies^{45,46,49,63} compared the effects of electrical stimulation (IVES and NMES) with those of PFMT on UUI among people with multiple sclerosis. The number of treatment sessions in the four included trials ranged from 18 to 52. The stimulation parameters used in the four trials included a frequency from 2 to 40 Hz, an intensity from 450 µs to 1 ms, and a treatment duration of up 20 to 30 min. Among the four trials, three trials^{45,46,49} measured UUI using OAB-V8, and one trial⁶³ measured UUI using a voiding diary.

The methodological quality of the four trials^{45,46,49,63} was moderate to high, and the quality of evidence quality was moderate. A pooled analysis of the four trials (n = 74) revealed a significant reduction in UUI symptoms (SMD: -0.614; 95% CI: -1.023 to -0.206; p = 0.003; Figure 2(a)) in the intervention group compared with the control group.

PFMT versus no treatment on UUI due to stroke. Three studies^{51–53} examined the effects of PFMT on UUI symptoms compared with a no-treatment control among people with stroke. The PFMT in the three trials consisted of 6 s of maximum contractions, followed by 6 s of rest, for a total of 30 s of maximum contractions and 30 s of rest. All exercises were repeated gradually 6–10 times while lying, standing or seated, 1–2 times each day, 7 days a week. All three trials^{51–53} measured UUI with a voiding diary.

The methodological quality of the three trials⁵¹⁻⁵³ was evaluated as moderate- to high-quality, and the quality of evidence was low. The pooled analysis of the three trials (n = 80) revealed an insignificant reduction in daytime voiding frequency (WMD: -0.751; 95% CI: -2.426 to 0.924; p = 0.380; Figure 2(b)) for the intervention group compared with the control group.

Electrical stimulation versus *no treatment on UUI due to stroke.* Three studies^{18,47,48} examined the effects of electrical stimulation (NMES¹⁸ and TENS)^{47,48} on UUI compared with a no-treatment

	Post-treatment results	Exp: 15.0 ± 6.9 Con: 25.9 ± 8.1 <i>p</i> = 0.008	Exp: 0.62 [0.62] Con: 0.88 [0.62]	Exp: 0.3 ± 0.3 Con: 0.4 ± 0.4 <i>p</i> = 0.002	Exp: 2.64 ± 0.98 Con: 4.09 ± 0.71	Exp: 1.61 \pm 0.32 Con: 3.86 \pm 0.74 p < 0.05	Exp I: 2.10 (0.78) Exp II: 4.69 (1.05) Con: 9.54 (3.51)	(Continued)
	Pre-treatment results	UUI symptoms Overactive bladder-V8 Exp. 27.8 ± 8.9 Con: 29.4 ± 8.4	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Overactive bladder-V8 Exp. 1.69 (0.59) Con: 1.58 (0.71)	UUI symptoms Overactive bladder-V8 Exp: 1.1 ± 1.1 Con: 0.7 ± 0.7	<i>UUI symptoms</i> OABSS Exp: 5 ± 0.94 Con: 5 ± 0.69	<i>UUI symptoms</i> OABS.: Exp. 4.02 ± 0.76 Con: 4.18 ± 0.65 p > 0.05	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Voiding diary: incontinence episodes in 24 h Exp I: 10.07 (3.98) Exp II: 11.84 (3.05) Con: 10.63 (1.82)	
	Outcome measure(s)	0AB-V8	0AB-V8	0AB-V8	OABSS	OABSS	Voiding diary	
	Control	TTNS Frequency: <0.5 Hz Pulse Duration: 200 µs Intensity: not sufficient to trigger rhythmic toe flexion Duration: 20 min for 12 weeks	PFMT 3 sets of 10 reps per day for 6 months	PFMT 3 sets of 8–10 close-to-maximal contractions and 10 s sustenance daily for 6 months	PFMT Basic therapy	Sham NMES without active probe	No-treatment Only assessment of OABSS, BI, Urodynamics and Voiding diary on the first day and the 90th day.	
ss [n = 14].	Intervention	TTNS Frequency : 10 Hz Pulse Duration: 200 µs Intensity : 1mA at 1KΩ Duration : 20 min for 12 weeks	NMES Frequency: 2 Hz Pulse Duration: 1 ms Intensity: tolerable for patient. Duration: 48 sessions twice weekly for 6 months PFMT 3 sets of 10 reps daily for 6 months	PFMT During stimulation, participants perform 20 fast and slow contractions twice weekly for 6 months IVES IVES Prequency: 2 Hz Presency: 2 Hz Puse Duration: 1 ms Intensity: toterable for patient. Duration: 30 min 48 sessions twice weekly for 6 months	PFMT + TENS 30 min daily/60 days Pulse duration: 70 μs Frequency: 75 Hz Current: 16 mA (1 kΩ)	NMES Frequency: 50 Hz Pulse Duration: 250 µs Treatment duration: 30 min (10 second on and 30 second off) Graded intensity based on patient's tolerance. Once daily 5 sessions weekly for 10 weeks	TENS Frequency: 20 Hz Pulse Duration: 150 µs Treatment duration: 30 min once daily for 90 days TENS Frequency: 75 Hz Pulse Duration: 150 µs Treatment duration: 30 min once daily for 90 days	
stics of included studie	Participant characteristics (mean age of participants (SD); sample size of each group)	Exp : $64.2 + 2.5$ Con : $68.2 + 2.3$ Exp : $n = 15$ Con : $n = 15$	Exp : 43.25 ± 10.68 Con: 49.8 ± 16.5 Exp : <i>n</i> = 12 Con : <i>n</i> = 12	Exp: 38.6 ± 13.5 Con: 49.8 ± 16.5 Exp: <i>n</i> = 15 Con: <i>n</i> = 15	Exp : 68.1 ± 7.1 Con : 65.1 ± 9.8 Exp: <i>n</i> = 32 Con: <i>n</i> = 29	Exp. 64.3 (11.8) Con : 62.5 (12.2) Exp. $n = 41$ Con: $n = 41$	Exp I: 66.30 (10.84) Exp II: 63.75 (8.92) Con: 67.91 (7.39) Exp I: $n = 27$ Exp II: $n = 27$ Con: $n = 27$	
Table 1. Characteri	First author, year, country of study, PEDro score	Araujo <i>et al.</i> ²⁰ Brazil 8/10	Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁶³ Brazil 5/10	Ferreira et al. ⁴⁵ Brazil 5/10	Guo <i>et al.⁴⁷</i> China 4/10	Guo and Kang ⁱ⁸ China 9/10	Liu <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸ China 7/10	

Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease 13

Table 1. (Continued						
First author, year, country of study, PEDro score	Participant characteristics (mean age of participants (SD); sample size of each group)	Intervention	Control	Outcome measure(s)	Pre-treatment results	Post-treatment results
Lúcio et al. ⁴⁶ Brazil 5/10	Exp I: $42 (27-54)$ Exp II: $45 (22-52)$ Con: $43.5 (25-51)$ Exp I: $n = 10$ Exp II: $n = 10$ Con: $n = 10$	NMS + EMG Biofeedback + PFMT Frequency: 10 Hz Pulse Duration. 200 µs Treatment duration. 30 min TTNS + EMG Biofeedback + PFMT Frequency: 10 Hz Pulse Duration. 200 µs Treatment duration. 30 min	Sham NMS + PFMT Frequency: 2 Hz Pulse Duration: 50 ms Treatment duration: 2 s with 60 s rest 30 min once daily for 90 days 30 slow maximal effort followed by 3 min of fast maximal effort	0AB-V8	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Overactive bladder-V8 Exp I: 5.0 (0–8.3) Exp II: 4.0 (1.7–5.7) Con: 3 (1.7–6.3)	Exp I: 0.2 (0–2.3) Exp II: 0.7 (0–4.3) Con: 0.5 (0–3)
McClurg <i>et al.⁴⁹ UK 9/10</i>	Exp: 48.3 (11.5) Con: 52.0 (8.8) Exp: <i>n</i> = 37 Con: <i>n</i> = 37	PFMT + Biofeedback + Active NMES First Parameter Frequency: 40 Hz Pulse Duration: 250 µs Treatment duration: 5 s of stimulation and 10 s of no stimulation with a ramp of 1 s at clinic and home for 30 min Second Parameter Frequency: 10 Hz Pulse Duration: 450 µs Treatment duration: 10 s of stimulation and 3 s of no stimulation with a ramp of 3 s at clinic and home for 30 min	PFMT + Biofeedback + Placebo NMES Frequency: 2 Hz Pulse Duration: 50 µs Treatment duration: 2 s of stimulation and 60 s of no stimulation with a ramp of 8 s at clinic and clinic for 30 min	Voiding diary	UUI symptoms Voiding diary: Incontinence episodes per in 24 h. Exp: 2.1 ± 4.1 Con: 2.1 ± 4.0	Exp: 0.3 \pm 1 Con:1.1 \pm 2.8 $\rho < 0.001$
McDonald <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴ UK 8/10	Exp: $63.6 (1.7)$ Con: $69.8 (1.8]$ Exp: $n = 20$ Con: $n = 18$	 Bladder training Programme Instructions on urge suppression and distraction techniques Coaching in PFMT A personalized voiding schedule A DVD training For 12 weeks 	Conservative advice	Voiding diary ICIQ-OAB	UUI symptoms Voiding diary: 72 h. Episodes of urgency Exp: $4.7 (1.1)$ Con: $6.7 (1.9)$ Con: $6.7 (1.9)$ Con: $6.0.366$ p < 0.366 DoL Score Exp: $63 (4.7)$ Con: $60 (6.3)$ p < 0.743	Exp: 2.1 (1.0) Con: 4.7 (1.2) p < 0.184 Exp: 50 (7.0) Con: 59 (4.1) p < 0.224
Perissinotto <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰ Brazil 6/10	Exp: 63.5 [51.0-80.0] Con: 57.0 [50.0-68.0] Exp: n = 8 Con: n = 5	TTNS Pulse Width: 200 µs Frequency: 10 Hz Duration: 30 min for 5 weeks	Sham No active electrical stimulation	0AB-V8	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Overactive bladder-V8 Exp: 18.0 (6.0–27.0) Con: 29 (11.0–33.0)	Exp: 16.0 (6.0–25.0) Con: 21.5 (6.0–21.5)
						(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)						
First author, year, country of study, PEDro score	Participant characteristics (mean age of participants (SD); sample size of each group)	Intervention	Control	Outcome measure(s)	Pre-treatment results	Post-treatment results
Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵³ Denmark 6/10	Exp: 59 (56-72) Con: $62 (52-75)$ Exp: $n = 12$ Con: $n = 12$	 Standardized PFMT Close to maximum contraction (6 s contraction/ 6 s rest). -30% of maximum contraction possible (max 30 s contraction/30 s (max 30 s contraction/30 s). rest). All exercises repeated gradually 6-10 times in lying, standing and sitting positions, 1–2 times daily. Group treatment in this population 	Normal rehabilitation programme No specific urinary incontinence treatment	Voiding Diary	UUI symptoms Voiding diary: Voiding Frequency Totally/24 h Exp: 11 (6-10] Con: 11 (8-12) p = 0.46	Exp: 7 (5-10) Con: 8 (7-12) p = 0.02
Tibaek e <i>t al.</i> ³² Denmark 7/10	Exp: 59 (56-72) Con: 62 (52-75) Exp: <i>n</i> = 14 Con: <i>n</i> = 12	 Standardized PFMT Close-to maximum contraction (6 s contraction/ 6 s rest). 30% of maximum contraction possible (max 30% of maximum contraction possible (max 31 % of maximum contraction possible (max 31 % of the second s	Normal rehabilitation programme No specific urinary incontinence treatment	Voiding diary	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Voiding diary: Voiding Frequency Totally/24 h Exp: 10 (8–12) Con: 9 (8–13)	Exp: 8 $(7-9)$ Con: 8 $(7-12)$ p = 0.028
Tibaek et al. ⁵¹ Denmark 7/10	Exp: 68 $(57-73)$ Con: 70 $(64-75)$ Exp: $n = 15$ Con: $n = 15$	 Standardized PFMT Close-to-maximum contraction (6 s contraction/ 6 s rest). 30% of maximum contraction possible (max 30 s contraction/30 s rest). All exercises repeated gradually 6–10 times in lying, standing and sitting positions, 1–2 times daily. Group treatment in this population 	General Rehabilitation No specific urinary incontinence treatment	Voiding Diary	<i>UUI symptoms</i> Voiding diary: Voiding frequency, total per 24 h Exp: 11 (6–10) Con: 11 (8–12)	Exp: 7 (5-10) Con: 8 (7-12) p = 0.25
Vaughan <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵ US 8/10	Exp: 71.0 \pm 6.1 Con: 69.7 \pm 8.2 Exp: $n = 26$ Con: $n = 21$	 Behavioural Therapy Isolated PFMT without abdominal muscle recruitment (45 contractions and relaxation divided into 3 sets of 15 with one in each of the three positions: lying, sitting, standing) Fuid management education (Decrease caffeine, daily drinking 6-8 ounce glasses of fluid) Constipation management education (increase physical activity, fibre, fruit, and fluid) Urge suppression strategy 	Maintain 7-day bladder diaries for 8 weeks Mirrored-shaped drawing exercises	Voiding diary ICIQ- 0AB	Qol ICIQ-OAB QoL score Exp: 69.7 ± 23.8 Con: 74.7 ± 23.8 UUI symptoms UUI symptoms UUI symptoms Exp: 13.9 ± 9.6 Exp: 13.9 ± 9.6 Con: 15.1 ± 11.1	Exp: 58.4 \pm 21.5 Con: 81.0 \pm 24.2 p < 0.037 Exp: 7.7 \pm 10.5 Con: 8.5 \pm 10.0 p = 0.05
Con, control group; Exp. stimulation; NMES, neur floor muscle training; Q	experimental group; ICIQ-C romuscular electrical stimu oL, quality of life; TENS, tra	JAB, International Consultation on Incontinence Ques Ilation: OABSS, Overactive Bladder Symptom Score; O nscutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; TTNS, Tran	tionnaire – Overactive Bladder; IIQ, Inc JAB-V8, Overactive Bladder – V8 Quest scutaneous Tibial Nerve stimulation; L	continence Impa ionnaire; PEDro, JUI, Urge Urinar	ct Questionnaire; IVES, intra Physiotherapy Evidence Da y Incontinence.	vaginal electrical abase; PFMT, pelvic

	6,000,000,000		5	0000							
PEDro Scale	Random allocation	Concealed allocation	Baseline Similar	Subject blinding	Therapist blinding	Assessor blinding	Adequate follow-up	Intention- to-treat analysis	Between- group comparison	Points estimate	Total Score/10
Araujo <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	Y	Y	Y	×	z	Y	Y	Z	٨	×	œ
Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁶³	×	z	×	z	z	z	×	z	٢	≻	വ
Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁵	~	z	≻	z	z	z	≻	z	×	≻	വ
Guo <i>et al.⁴⁷</i>	×	z	×	z	z	z	z	z	Y	≻	4
Guo and Kang ¹⁸	×	~	≻	~	z	~	×	×	٨	~	6
Liu <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸	~	~	≻	z	z	~	×	z	×	≻	7
Lúcio <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶	×	z	×	z	z	×	z	z	Y	≻	വ
McClurg <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁹	×	~	≻	≻	z	7	×	×	×	≻	6
McDonald <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	~	z	≻	~	z	~	×	×	٨	≻	ω
Perissinotto <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰	≻	z	≻	≻	z	×	z	z	¥	≻	9
Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵³	¥	7	×	z	z	z	×	z	٨	≻	9
Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵²	×	7	×	z	z	7	×	z	×	≻	7
Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	×	~	≻	z	z	~	×	z	٨	≻	7
Vaughan <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵	Y	Y	Y	Z	Z	Y	Y	Y	γ	Y	8
N, No; PEDro, Physiot	herapy Evidence	e Database; Y, Y€	ŝS.								

Table 2. Summary of methodological quality of the included studies according to the PEDro scale (n = 21).

Table 3. Summé	ary of the findi	ngs (GRADI	E) for the effec	sts of interven	tions compa	red to control.					
Certainty assessm	ent						Nº of patients		Effect		Certainty
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention group	Control group	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	
4 McClurg <i>et al.⁴⁹</i> Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁶³ Lúcio <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶ Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁵	Randomized control trials	Very serious ^a	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	74	74	1	(1.055 lower to 0.208 lower)	OO ⊕⊕
3 Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵³ Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵² Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	Randomized control trials	Very serious ^b	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	41	39	1	(0.628 lower to 0.225 higher)	⊕⊕ Low
3 Guo <i>et al.⁴⁷</i> Liu <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸ Guo and Kang ¹⁸	Randomized control trials	Serious ^{c,d}	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	100	97	1	(4.219 lower to 1.635 lower]	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE
2 Vaughan <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵ McDonald <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	Randomized control trials	Serious	Serious ^f	Not serious	Not serious	None	46	39	I	(16.015 lower to 8.797 lower)	
2 Perissinotto <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰ Araujo <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	Randomized control trials	Serious ^{g.h}	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	23	20	1	[14.746 lower to 3.487 lower]	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE
2 Vaughan <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵ McDonald <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	Randomized control trials	Serious ^e	Serious ^f	Not serious	Not serious	None	46	39	I	SMD 1.365 SD lower (2.598 lower to 0.131 lower)	⊕⊕OO Low
Cl, confidence inter Explanations: =Lack of intention-1 bLack of intention-1 cLack of concealed dLack of concealed fEvidence of Statisti fEvidence of Statisti fEvidence of concealed hLack of intention-1	val; GRADE, Grad to-treat analysis (f to-treat analysis (1 allocation (Guo <i>et</i> allocation (McDon cal/Methodology (allocation (Perissi o-treat analysis (A	ing of Recomn erreira <i>et al.</i> , ⁶ ibaek <i>et al.</i> , ³³ <i>al.</i> , ⁴⁷). al., ⁴⁷ and ald <i>et al.</i> , ⁵⁴). <i>P</i> value > 509 <i>P</i> value > 509 notto <i>et al.</i> , ²⁰ 1.	nendations, Asses ³ Lúcio <i>et al.</i> , ⁴⁶ and Tibaek <i>et al.</i> , ⁵² and I.Liu <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸). 6). Perissinotto <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	sment, Developm J Ferreira <i>et al.</i> ⁴³). I Tibaek <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹). ⁰].	ent, and Evaluat	ion; SMD, standardi	zed mean differe	e u			

Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease 13



Figure 2. Forest plots. (a) Effect of electrical stimulation compared to PFMT on UUI in people with multiple sclerosis using voiding diary and OAB-V8. (b) Effect of PFMT compared to no treatment in stroke using voiding diary and OABSS. (d) Effect of electrical stimulation compared to no treatment in stroke using voiding dairy and OABSS. (d) Effect of BT compared with usual treatment on UUI in Parkinson's disease using voiding dairy. (e) Effect of TTNS compared to no treatment in Parkinson's disease using OAB-V8. (f) Effect of BT compared to no treatment on QoL in Parkinson's disease using ICIQ-OAB.

BT, Behavioural Therapy; ICIQ-OAB, International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Overactive Bladder Module; OABSS, Overactive Bladder Symptom Score; OAB-V8, Overactive Bladder Questionnaire; PFMT, Pelvic Floor Muscle Training; QoL, Quality of Life; TTNS, Transcutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation; UUI, Urge Urinary Incontinence.

control group among people with stroke. The stimulation parameters across the three trials ranged from five to seven times each week, for a total of 40–90 treatment sessions, at frequencies ranging from 20 to 75 Hz, with intensities ranging from 70 to 250 μ s, and lasting from 20 to 30 min. Of the three trials evaluated, two trials^{18,47} measured UUI using the Overactive Bladder Symptom Score, and one trial⁴⁸ measured UUI using a voiding diary.

The methodological and evidence qualities of the three trials^{18,47,48} were moderate. The pooled analysis of the three trials (n = 224) revealed a significant effect of the intervention on UUI symptoms (SMD: -2.637; 95% CI: -3.804 to -1.474; p = 0.000; Figure 2(c)) compared with the control condition.

BT versus *no treatment on UUI due to Parkinson's disease.* Two studies^{54,55} compared the effects of

BT against usual care (reduction of alcohol and caffeine intake and advice regarding the management of constipation and available containment products) on UUI symptoms in people with Parkinson's disease. The BT intervention delivered in the included trials include isolated PFMT, without abdominal muscle recruitment (45 contractions and relaxation, divided into 3 sets of 15, with each set performed in a different position: lying, sitting, and standing); fluid management education (decrease caffeine, daily intake of six 8-ounce glasses of fluid); constipation management education (increased physical activity, increased intake of fibre, fruits and fluids); and urge suppression strategies. The two trials^{54,55} measured UUI with a voiding diary.

The methodological quality of both trials^{54,55} was high, and the quality of evidence was low. The pooled analysis of the two trials (n = 85) revealed

an insignificant effect of the intervention on UUI symptoms compared with the control condition (WMD: -0.597; 95% CI: -1.278 to 0.083; p = 0.085; Figure 2(d)).

Effects of interventions on QoL in people with Parkinson's disease

BT versus *no treatment*. Two studies^{54,55} compared the effects of BT against usual care (reduction of alcohol and caffeine intake and advice regarding the management of constipation and available containment products) on QoL in people with Parkinson's disease. The two trials^{54,55} measured QoL using the ICIQ-SF.

The methodological quality for both trials^{54,55} was high, and the quality of evidence was low. The pooled analysis of the two trials (n = 85) revealed a significant effect of BT on QoL (WMD: -0.9117; 95% CI: -14.746 to -3487; p = 0.002; Figure 2(e)) in the intervention group compared with the control group.

TTNS versus *no treatment.* Two studies^{20,50} examined the effects of TTNS on QoL compared with a no-treatment control group in people with Parkinson's disease. The stimulation parameters in the two trials included session lengths ranging from 5 to 12 weeks, a frequency of 10 Hz at an intensity of 200 μ s, and a duration of 20–30 min. Both trials^{20,50} measured QoL using the OAB-V8.

The methodological quality of the two trials^{20,50} ranged from moderate to high, and the quality of evidence was moderate. The pooled analysis of the two trials (n = 43) revealed a significant improvement in QoL (WMD: -12.406; 95% CI: -16.015 to -8.797; p = 0.000; Figure 2(f)) in the intervention group compared with the control group.

Discussion

The effects of nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies on UUI symptoms and QoL in people with NGB was evaluated in this review. After review, 14 studies were identified with a total sample size of 804 participants. Our meta-analyses revealed a significant effect of electrical stimulation on UUI due to multiple sclerosis and stroke. The pooled analyses of TTNS revealed significant effects of these interventions on QoL in people with Parkinson's disease. However, meta-analyses revealed nonsignificant effects for PFMT on UUI due to Parkinson's disease.

The pooled analysis of four trials^{45,46,49,63} of moderate to high methodological quality and moderate quality of evidence showed a significant effect of electrical stimulations (IVES, NMES, TENS) compared with PFMT on UUI symptoms in people with multiple sclerosis. IVES has been reported to cause a significant increase in pelvic floor muscle strength⁶⁴ in women with UUI. IVES depolarizes the somatic lumbar and sacral afferent fibres, thereby inhibiting bladder overactivity.65 IVES has also been reported to cause profound bladder inhibition in animal models.⁶⁶ For the treatment of urge and mixed urinary incontinence, IVES at frequencies below 12 Hz is suggested for beneficial effects,⁶⁷ as frequencies below 12 Hz stimulate the pudendal nerve, reducing involuntary detrusor contractions.68,69 Among the four trials providing evidence for the effects of electrical stimulation in the current review, three trials^{45,46,49} utilized IVES at the recommended frequency for maximum benefits.

Considering the procedure of the IVES involving the participants being placed in supine position with 45° of hip and knee flexion, and the intravaginal electrode inserted in the vagina.⁷⁰ The acceptance and satisfaction with invasive IVES among women with UUI remain inconclusive, and previous studies^{69,71} have reported mixed results. A previous study reported that women experienced pain and discomfort due to the vaginal probe used IVES.⁶⁸ However, another study examining women with mixed urinary incontinence reported that 80% of the study participants were satisfied with IVES.⁶⁹

The mean estimated effect size for electrical stimulation when compared with PFMT on UUI symptoms obtained in this review was moderate (0.6).^{69,72} However, the effect of electrical stimulation compared to no treatment control condition on UUI symptoms is much larger (2.6). This could be attributed to the effect of PFMT, although not significant in the current meta-analysis of only three pooled studies. The size of the effect, combined with the methodological quality of the included studies, indicated that electrical stimulation might be considered a viable treatment option of UUI due to multiple sclerosis. Future adequately powered studies are required to investigate the safety and acceptance of electrical stimulation (IVES and TENS) for the treatment of UUI due to NGB.

The pooled analyses of three studies^{18,47,48} of moderate methodological quality with moderate quality of evidence revealed a significant effect for electrical stimulation (NMES or TENS) on UUI due to stroke. The mean estimate of the effect was large (SMD: -2.637, p = 0.000). A recent review found that NMES is generally safe for the treatment of post-stroke urinary incontinence in women.⁷³ Considering the safety of the intervention and the size of the effect obtained for this intervention in this review, electrical stimulation may be considered for clinical use.

The pooled analysis of data from three studies^{51–53} of moderate to high methodological quality and low quality of evidence identified an insignificant effect of PFMT compared with no treatment on UUI symptoms due to stroke. According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines, PFMT is recommended for NGB when voluntary pelvic floor muscle contraction is preserved.74 PFMT for UUI involves performing a voluntary contraction of the pelvic floor muscles, avoiding a pelvic floor relaxation, until the urination urge is suppressed, an effect known as the 'guard reflex'.74 According to the NICE guidelines, PFMT must be performed for a minimum of 3 months, consisting of at least eight contractions three times per day.75 The participants of the three included trials⁵¹⁻⁵³ performed PFMT 6 to 10 times in lying, standing and sitting positions, one to two times daily, for 3 months. Based on the results of the current review, the efficacy of PFMT for UUI remains inconclusive. Future studies evaluating the effect of PFMT for UUI due to NGB must adhere to the NICE guideline.

The pooled analysis of two Parkinson's disease studies^{54,55} of high methodological quality and low quality of evidence found a nonsignificant effect for BT compared with the no-treatment control on UUI symptoms. The rationale underlying BT is based on the premise that a potential precipitant of detrusor instability is the habit of frequent voiding and can be an indicator of uninhibited detrusor contraction and reduced bladder capacity.⁷⁶ BT aims to moderate the habit of frequent voiding through practising resisting the urge to void, postponing micturition and increasing the voiding interval, which improves bladder capacity and

decreases detrusor instability.⁷⁷ BT alone, in the absence of other adjunctive treatments, might not lead to a positive result according to previous findings.⁷⁸ Based on the findings in this study, the effects of BT on UUI among individuals with Parkinson's disease remains inconclusive. We recommend future studies integrating BT with other interventions such as electrical stimulations for NGB in other to achieve better effects.

UUI has been reported to impair the QoL of people with Parkinson's disease,79 and the degree of OoL impairment is associated with social predictors (e.g. age, sex, rural living, the number of household members, and financial problems) and clinical predictors (e.g. disease severity, disability, disease duration, motor impairment, depressive symptoms, complications of therapy, and gait impairment).⁸⁰ The pooled analyses of two studies^{54,55} of high methodological quality and low quality of evidence revealed a significant effect of BT on QoL in people with Parkinson's disease compared with the no-treatment control. The size of the effect was large (0.9). Pooled analyses^{20,50} also found that TTNS was beneficial for improving the QoL of people with Parkinson's disease compared with the no-treatment control. The size of the effect for TTNS was also large (12.4). Based on these results, TTNS and BT may be considered in clinical practice to improve OoL in people with UUI due to Parkinson's disease.

Study strengths and limitations

Our systematic review has several strengths. We adopted a comprehensive search strategy, using relevant search terms to identify RCTs evaluating the effects of nonsurgical, minimally or noninvasive therapies for the management of UUI. A sound, systematic methodology was employed for the identification and evaluation of the included studies. Only RCTs were included in the study to ensure the rigour of the pooled metaanalyses. Psychometrically sound quality assessment tools were employed to evaluate the quality of the methodology and evidence reported by the included studies. Limitations associated with this study include limited size of the pooled analyses and the inability to access some interventions due to lack of RCTs. Although the systematic review of RCTs can provide findings that are considered to represent the highest level of clinical evidence, excluding studies due to study design could limit the scope of our study. The possibility of language bias could not be eliminated because we did not consider non-English and non-Chinese studies.

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis found that electrical stimulation (IVES and NMES) is beneficial for decreasing the symptoms of UUI among people with multiple sclerosis. Electrical stimulation (NMES and TENS) was also found to be beneficial for reducing the symptoms of UUI among people with stroke. These results were derived from trials of moderate to high methodological quality and moderate quality of evidence. This review also found that TTNS and BT were able to improve OoL in people with NGB due to Parkinson's disease. These results were derived from trials of moderate to high methodological quality and low to moderate quality of evidence. The specific effects of PFMT and BT on UUI remain uncertain. Future studies to evaluate the effects of PFMT and BT and other interventions that have received less attention, such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation and functional magnetic stimulation, on NGB outcomes are warranted.

Clinical message

- Electrical stimulation has strongest effects. However, might be unpreferable by all patients.
- Electrical stimulation is better than PFMT.

Author contributions

Mohammed Usman Ali: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing-original draft; Writingreview & editing.

Kenneth Nai-Kuen Fong: Conceptualization; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writingreview & editing.

Priya Kannan: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writing-review & editing.

Umar Muhammad Bello: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing-review & editing.

Kranz Georg: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writingreview & editing.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Dean's Reserve, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences (Department of Rehabilitation Sciences)). Ref No. ZVSV.

ORCID iD

Mohammed Usman Ali D https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-9266-2065

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. Mete UK and Powell CR. Review of current neurogenic bladder best practices and international guidelines. *Curr Bladder Dysfunct Rep* 2020; 15: 283–295.
- Wyndaele JJ. Conservative treatment of patients with neurogenic bladder. *Eur Urol Suppl* 2008; 7: 557–565.
- Przydacz M, Denys P and Corcos J. What do we know about neurogenic bladder prevalence and management in developing countries and emerging regions of the world? *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2017; 60: 341–346.
- Blok B, Castro-Diaz D, Del Popolo G, et al. Neuro-urology. Arnhem: European Association of Urology, 2015.
- Abufaraj M, Xu T, Cao C, *et al.* Prevalence and trends in urinary incontinence among women in the United States, 2005-2018. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2021; 225: 166.e1–166.e12.
- Bagińska J and Korzeniecka-Kozerska A. Noninvasive markers in the management of pediatric neurogenic bladder over the last two decades: a review. *Adv Med Sci* 2021; 66: 162–169.
- Wales KE and Gray TJI. Urinary incontinence in women. *InnovAiT* 2019; 12: 690–696.
- Ginsberg D. The epidemiology and pathophysiology of neurogenic bladder. Am J Manag Care 2013; 19: s191–S196.

- 9. Manack A, Motsko SP, Haag-Molkenteller C, et al. Epidemiology and healthcare utilization of neurogenic bladder patients in a US claims database. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011; 30: 395–401.
- Takahashi K, Sase E, Kato A, *et al.* Psychological resilience and active social participation among older adults with incontinence: a qualitative study. *Aging Ment Health* 2016; 20: 1167–1173.
- 11. Hu JS and Pierre EF. Urinary incontinence in women: evaluation and management. *Am Fam Physician* 2019; 100: 339–348.
- 12. Samuelsson E, Odeberg J, Stenzelius K, *et al.* Effect of pharmacological treatment for urinary incontinence in the elderly and frail elderly: a systematic review. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2015; 15: 521–534.
- Menhaji K, Cardenas-Trowers OO, Chang OH, et al. Anticholinergic prescribing pattern changes of urogynecology providers in response to evidence of potential dementia risk. Int Urogynecol J 2021; 32: 2819–2826.
- Hersh L and Salzman B. Clinical management of urinary incontinence in women. *Am Fam Physician* 2013; 87: 634–640.
- Illiano E, Trama F, Marzi VL, et al. Translabial ultrasound: a non-invasive technique for assessing 'technical errors' after TOT failure. Int Urogynecol J. Epub ahead of print 30 June 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00192-021-04897-6.
- Centonze D, Petta F, Versace V, et al. Effects of motor cortex rTMS on lower urinary tract dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2007; 13: 269–271.
- Slovak M, Chapple CR and Barker AT. Noninvasive transcutaneous electrical stimulation in the treatment of overactive bladder. *Asian J Urol* 2015; 2: 92–101.
- Guo GY and Kang YG. Effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation therapy in patients with urinary incontinence after stroke: a randomized sham controlled trial. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*) 2018; 97: e13702.
- Xu L, Fu C, Zhang Q, et al. Protocol: efficacy of biofeedback, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and pelvic floor muscle training for female neurogenic bladder dysfunction after spinal cord injury: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open 2020; 10: e034582.
- 20. Araujo TG, Schmidt AP, Sanches PR, *et al.* Transcutaneous tibial nerve home stimulation for overactive bladder in women with Parkinson's

disease: a randomized clinical trial. *Neurourol* Urodyn 2021; 40: 538–548.

- La Rosa VL, Platania A, Ciebiera M, et al. A comparison of sacral neuromodulation vs. transvaginal electrical stimulation for the treatment of refractory overactive bladder: the impact on quality of life, body image, sexual function, and emotional well-being. Prz Menopauzalny 2019; 18: 89–93.
- da Costa AALF, Vasconcellos IM, Pacheco RL, et al. What do Cochrane systematic reviews say about non-surgical interventions for urinary incontinence in women? Sao Paulo Med J 2018; 136: 73–83.
- Gross T, Schneider MP, Bachmann LM, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treating neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction: a systematic review. Eur Urol 2016; 69: 1102–1111.
- 24. Hajebrahimi S, Chapple CR, Pashazadeh F, *et al.* Management of neurogenic bladder in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2019; 38: 31–62.
- 25. Price N, Dawood R and Jackson SRJM. Pelvic floor exercise for urinary incontinence: a systematic literature review. *Maturitas* 2010; 67: 309–315.
- Reisch B, Das R, Gardner B, et al. Cognitive components of behavioral therapy for overactive bladder: a systematic review. Int Urogynecol J 2021; 32: 2619–2629.
- Pan H, Bao Y, Cao H, et al. The effectiveness of magnetic stimulation for patients with pelvic floor dysfunction: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2018; 37: 2368–2381.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol 2009; 62: e1–e34.
- Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions (Version 6.1). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2020.
- 30. Aveyard H and Payne S. *EBOOK: a* postgraduate's guide to doing a literature review in health and social care. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2016.
- Maher CG, Sherrington C, Herbert RD, et al. Reliability of the PEDro scale for rating quality of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther* 2003; 83: 713–721.

- de Morton NA. The PEDro scale is a valid measure of the methodological quality of clinical trials: a demographic study. *Aust J Physiother* 2009; 55: 129–133.
- GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2004; 328: 1490.
- Moseley AM, Elkins MR, Van der Wees PJ, et al. Using research to guide practice: the physiotherapy evidence database (PEDro). Braz J Phys Ther 2020; 24: 384–391.
- 35. Physiotherapy CfEB, https://search.pedro.org.au/ search
- GRADEpro GDT. GRADEpro guideline development tool [Software]. Hamilton, ON, Canada: McMaster University, 2015.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. What is 'quality of evidence' and why is it important to clinicians? *BMJ* 2008; 336: 995–998.
- Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 401–406.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence – indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 1303–1310.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence

 imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 1283– 1293.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence – inconsistency. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 1294– 1302.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence – publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64: 1277–1282.
- Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, et al. A basic introduction to fixed-effect and randomeffects models for meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods* 2010; 1: 97–111.
- Bai Z, Fong KN, Zhang JJ, et al. Immediate and long-term effects of BCI-based rehabilitation of the upper extremity after stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neuroeng Rehabil 2020; 17: 57.
- 45. Ferreira APS, de Souza Pegorare ABG, Miotto Junior A, *et al.* A controlled clinical trial on the effects of exercise on lower urinary tract symptoms in women with multiple sclerosis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2019; 98: 777–782.

- Lúcio A, D'ancona CAL, Perissinotto MC, et al. Pelvic floor muscle training with and without electrical stimulation in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms in women with multiple sclerosis. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs 2016; 43: 414–419.
- 47. Guo Z-F, Liu Y, Hu G-H, *et al.* Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the treatment of patients with poststroke urinary incontinence. *Clin Interv Aging* 2014; 9: 851–856.
- Liu Y, Xu G, Luo M, et al. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation at two frequencies on urinary incontinence in poststroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2016; 95: 183–193.
- 49. McClurg D, Ashe R and Lowe-Strong AS. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation and the treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunction in multiple sclerosis – a double blind, placebo controlled, randomised clinical trial. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2008; 27: 231–237.
- 50. Perissinotto MC, D'Ancona CAL, Lucio A, et al. Transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms and its impact on health-related quality of life in patients with Parkinson disease: a randomized controlled trial. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs 2015; 42: 94–99.
- 51. Tibaek S, Gard G, Dehlendorff C, et al. Can pelvic floor muscle training improve quality of life in men with mild to moderate post-stroke and lower urinary tract symptoms? A randomised, controlled and single-blinded trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2016; 53: 416–425.
- 52. Tibaek S, Gard G and Jensen R. Pelvic floor muscle training is effective in women with urinary incontinence after stroke: a randomised, controlled and blinded study. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2005; 24: 348–357.
- 53. Tibaek S, Jensen R, Lindskov G, et al. Can quality of life be improved by pelvic floor muscle training in women with urinary incontinence after ischemic stroke? A randomised, controlled and blinded study. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2004; 15: 117–123.
- 54. McDonald C, Rees J, Winge K, *et al.* Bladder training for urinary tract symptoms in PD: a randomised controlled trial: 1595. *Mov Disord* 2019; 34: S651.
- 55. Vaughan CP, Burgio KL, Goode PS, et al. Behavioral therapy for urinary symptoms in Parkinson's disease: a randomized clinical trial. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2019; 38: 1737–1744.

- Brusa L, Agrò EF, Petta F, et al. Effects of inhibitory rTMS on bladder function in Parkinson's disease patients. *Mov Disord* 2009; 24: 445–447.
- 57. But I. Conservative treatment of female urinary incontinence with functional magnetic stimulation. *Urology* 2003; 61: 558–561.
- 58. Fujishiro T, Takahashi S, Enomoto H, et al. Magnetic stimulation of the sacral roots for the treatment of urinary frequency and urge incontinence: an investigational study and placebo controlled trial. J Urol 2002; 168: 1036–1039.
- Suzuki T, Yasuda K, Yamanishi T, et al. Randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled evaluation of the effect of functional continuous magnetic stimulation in patients with urgency incontinence. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2007; 26: 767–772.
- Yamanishi T, Homma Y, Nishizawa O, et al. Multicenter, randomized, sham-controlled study on the efficacy of magnetic stimulation for women with urgency urinary incontinence. Int J Urol 2014; 21: 395–400.
- 61. But I, Faganelj M and Sostaric A. Functional magnetic stimulation for mixed urinary incontinence. *J Urol* 2005; 173: 1644–1646.
- 62. Chen R, Classen J, Gerloff C, *et al.* Depression of motor cortex excitability by low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 1997; 48: 1398–1403.
- Ferreira APS, Pegorare AB, Salgado PR, *et al.* Impact of a pelvic floor training program among women with multiple sclerosis: a controlled clinical trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2016; 95: 1–8.
- 64. Giuseppe PG, Pace G and Vicentini C. Sexual function in women with urinary incontinence treated by pelvic floor transvaginal electrical stimulation. *J Sex Med* 2007; 4: 702–707.
- 65. Birder L, de Groat W, Mills I, *et al.* Neural control of the lower urinary tract: peripheral and spinal mechanisms. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2010; 29: 128–139.
- Walter JS, Wheeler JS, Robinson CJ, et al. Inhibiting the hyperreflexic bladder with electrical stimulation in a spinal animal model. *Neurourol* Urodyn 1993; 12: 241–252.
- Kammerer-Doak D, Rizk DE, Sorinola O, et al. Mixed urinary incontinence: international urogynecological association research and development committee opinion. Int Urogynecol J 2014; 25: 1303–1312.

- Messelink E. The overactive bladder and the role of the pelvic floor muscles. *BJU Int* 1999; 83: 31–35.
- Amaro JL, Gameiro MO and Padovani CR. Effect of intravaginal electrical stimulation on pelvic floor muscle strength. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2005; 16: 355–358.
- Correia GN, Pereira VS, Hirakawa HS, et al. Effects of surface and intravaginal electrical stimulation in the treatment of women with stress urinary incontinence: randomized controlled trial. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2014; 173: 113–118.
- Bent A, Sand P, Ostergard D, et al. Transvaginal electrical stimulation in the treatment of genuine stress incontinence and detrusor instability. Int Urogynecol J 1993; 4: 9–13.
- Faraone SV. Interpreting estimates of treatment effects: implications for managed care. *P T* 2008; 33: 700–711.
- Shen S-X and Liu Y. A retrospective study of neuromuscular electrical stimulation for treating women with post-stroke incontinence. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*) 2018; 97: e11264.
- 74. Voorham JC, De Wachter S, Van den Bos TWL, et al. The effect of EMG biofeedback assisted pelvic floor muscle therapy on symptoms of the overactive bladder syndrome in women: a randomized controlled trial. Neurourol Urodyn 2017; 36: 1796–1803.
- Smith A, Bevan D, Douglas HR, et al. Management of urinary incontinence in women: summary of updated NICE guidance. BMJ. 2013; 347: f5170.
- Burgio KL. Behavioral treatment options for urinary incontinence. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 126: S82–S89.
- 77. Burgio KL. Influence of behavior modification on overactive bladder. *Urology* 2002; 60: 72–76.
- Shamliyan TA, Kane RL, Wyman J, et al. Systematic review: randomized, controlled trials of nonsurgical treatments for urinary incontinence in women. Ann Intern Med 2008; 148: 459–473.
- 79. Tapia CI, Khalaf K, Berenson K, et al. Healthrelated quality of life and economic impact of urinary incontinence due to detrusor overactivity associated with a neurologic condition: a systematic review. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2013; 11: 13.
- 80. Winter Y, von Campenhausen S, Popov G, *et al.* Social and clinical determinants of quality of life in Parkinson's disease in a Russian cohort study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2010; 16: 243–248.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/taj

SAGE journals