



PATTERNING

Fishing for ancestry

The same genes and signalling pathways control the formation of skin appendages in both fish and land animals.

HANNAH BRUNSDON AND E ELIZABETH PATTON

Related research article Aman AJ, Fulbright AN, Parichy DM. 2018. Wnt/β-catenin regulates an ancient signaling network during zebrafish scale development. *eLife* **7**:e37001. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.37001

he tough scales found on alligators, the colourful feathers of peacocks and the thick fur of polar bears are all examples of skin appendages – self-contained mini-organs that form a barrier between an organism and its environment (*Chuong et al., 2013*). These skin appendages are essential for providing protection, retaining heat, sensing movement, attracting mates and, in some animals, flying. How these immensely complex and diverse structures have evolved, and how they develop, has fascinated researchers for decades.

Due to the sheer variety of skin appendages in both living and fossilised animals, it is still unclear whether they evolved independently or from a common ancestor (Dhouailly et al., 2017). However, as some of the earliest processes involved in the development of feathers and hair are very similar, the basic genetic mechanisms underlying their formation could have shared evolutionary origins (Musser et al., 2015). Indeed, researchers recently found that the cell signalling events involved in the development of scales in reptiles and the events regulating the patterning of appendages in birds and mammals have many similarities (Di-Poi and Milinkovitch, 2016). For example, slightly altering gene expression in reptile scales or the scaly foot of a chicken can lead to the formation of feather buds and primitive feathers respectively, akin to those found in dinosaurs (**Wu et al.**, **2018**).

Therefore, it seems likely that the skin appendages of reptiles, birds and mammals – collectively known as amniotes – have a shared evolutionary origin. Fish scales appear to be different in that they are made of bone instead of keratin, and also derive from a different layer of skin. However, is it possible that amniotes and fish share a common, ancestral skin appendage that existed even further back in time than was previously thought? Now, in eLife, Andrew Aman, Alexis Fulbright and David Parichy of the University of Virginia report the results of experiments on zebrafish which suggest that this might be the case (**Aman et al., 2018**).

Through beautifully detailed live imaging of developing scales and the manipulation of key signalling pathways during their development, Aman et al. were able to study how zebrafish scales form and arrange themselves correctly on the skin. The first hint that appendage patterning in fish is similar to that of amniotes came from close observation of scale development, which occurred sequentially in a hexagonal grid pattern to cover the fish, a patterning strategy shared with the land animals.

Aman et al. then investigated whether the cell signalling mechanisms underpinning these morphological events might also be conserved at the molecular level. In all amniote species investigated so far, the earliest signalling event in appendage formation is the activation of the Wnt signalling pathway, which regulates many aspects of cell development and behaviour. Using genetically modified fish to highlight Wntsignalling cells, it was possible to identify clusters of cells congregating at sites that would later form scales. Aman et al. showed that Wnt

© Copyright Brunsdon and Patton. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.





signalling and the subsequent activation of another signalling pathway, the Eda pathway, were both necessary for the scales to develop, and that blocking either pathway caused a complete loss of scale formation. This skin appendage loss is consistent with the one observed in fish, bearded lizards, chicks, mice and humans with defective Eda signalling (*Harris et al.,* 2008; Sennett and Rendl, 2012; Drew et al., 2007; Di-Poi' and Milinkovitch, 2016).

Next, Aman et al. disrupted combinations of these and other signalling systems known to be involved in the development of skin appendages in amniotes, to expand our knowledge of appendage development. These experiments revealed that, similar to birds and mammals, another signalling system that is important for the development and repair of cells, the fibroblast growth factor system, was required for the scales to differentiate further (*Mandler and Neubüser, 2004; Huh et al., 2013*). Moreover, the researchers discovered a new role for another well-known signalling system, the Sonic hedgehog system, which instructed the top layer of the skin to fold over the scales, similar to the skin movements that occur as hair follicles develop (**St-Jacques et al., 1998**). These similarities hint at the existence of a single, ancient origin of appendage patterning in vertebrates (**Figure 1**).

Discovering such conserved gene regulatory networks in the zebrafish – an organism that is so readily amenable to high-resolution imaging and genetic manipulation – is very exciting. In amniotes, these fleeting events mainly occur in the womb or egg, which makes it difficult to collect stage-matched animals and use live imaging – a challenge in identifying key signalling processes (**Sennett and Rendl, 2012**).

If we could further untangle the contribution of various genes involved in the development of skin appendages, we might be able to apply this knowledge to tissue engineering (by, for example, regulating hair patterning on skin grafts). Also, by identifying new genes or functions of genes in appendage development, zebrafish could help us understand and ultimately treat skin disorders in humans.

Hannah Brunsdon is in the MRC Human Genetics Unit & Cancer Research UK Edinburgh Centre, MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom Hannah.Brunsdon@igmm.ed.ac.uk

b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8363-6500

E Elizabeth Patton is in the MRC Human Genetics Unit & Cancer Research UK Edinburgh Cancer, MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom e.patton@igmm.ed.ac.uk

b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2570-0834

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist. **Published** 02 August 2018

References

Aman AJ, Fulbright AN, Parichy DM. 2018. Wnt/βcatenin regulates an ancient signaling network during zebrafish scale development. *eLife* **7**:e37001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37001, PMID: 30014845

Chuong CM, Yeh CY, Jiang TX, Widelitz R. 2013. Module-based complexity formation: periodic patterning in feathers and hairs. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology* **2**:97–112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.74, PMID: 2353 9312

Dhouailly D, Godefroit P, Martin T, Nonchev S, Caraguel F, Oftedal O. 2017. Getting to the root of scales, feather and hair: As deep as odontodes? *Experimental Dermatology*. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/exd.13391, PMID: 28603898

Di-Poï N, Milinkovitch MC. 2016. The anatomical placode in reptile scale morphogenesis indicates shared ancestry among skin appendages in amniotes. *Science Advances* **2**:e1600708. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600708, PMID: 28439533

Drew CF, Lin CM, Jiang TX, Blunt G, Mou C, Chuong CM, Headon DJ. 2007. The Edar subfamily in feather placode formation. *Developmental Biology* **305**:232–245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.02.011, PMID: 17362907

Harris MP, Rohner N, Schwarz H, Perathoner S, Konstantinidis P, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 2008. Zebrafish eda and edar mutants reveal conserved and ancestral roles of ectodysplasin signaling in vertebrates. *PLoS Genetics* **4**:e1000206. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000206, PMID: 18833299

Huh SH, Närhi K, Lindfors PH, Häärä O, Yang L, Ornitz DM, Mikkola ML. 2013. Fgf20 governs formation of primary and secondary dermal condensations in developing hair follicles. *Genes & Development* **27**: 450–458. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.198945. 112, PMID: 23431057

Mandler M, Neubüser A. 2004. FGF signaling is required for initiation of feather placode development. *Development* **131**:3333–3343. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.01203, PMID: 15201222

Musser JM, Wagner GP, Prum RO. 2015. Nuclear βcatenin localization supports homology of feathers, avian scutate scales, and alligator scales in early development. *Evolution & Development* **17**:185–194. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ede.12123, PMID: 25 963196

Sennett R, Rendl M. 2012. Mesenchymal-epithelial interactions during hair follicle morphogenesis and cycling. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 23: 917–927. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2012. 08.011, PMID: 22960356

St-Jacques B, Dassule HR, Karavanova I, Botchkarev VA, Li J, Danielian PS, McMahon JA, Lewis PM, Paus R, McMahon AP. 1998. Sonic hedgehog signaling is essential for hair development. *Current Biology* **8**: 1058–1069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822 (98)70443-9, PMID: 9768360

Wu P, Yan J, Lai YC, Ng CS, Li A, Jiang X, Elsey RM, Widelitz R, Bajpai R, Li WH, Chuong CM. 2018. Multiple regulatory modules are required for Scale-to-Feather conversion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**:417–430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msx295, PMID: 29177513