Supplementary information

Cryo-EM structures reveal native GABA_A receptor assemblies and pharmacology

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Supplementary Information

Cryo-EM structures reveal native GABA_A receptor assemblies and pharmacology

Chang Sun¹, Hongtao Zhu^{1,2}, Sarah Clark¹, and Eric Gouaux^{1,3}

¹Vollum Institute, Oregon Health and Science University, 3232 SW Research Drive, Portland, Oregon 97239.

²Present address: Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Oregon Health and Science University, 3232 SW Research Drive, Portland, Oregon 97239.

³Correspondence to Eric Gouaux: <u>gouauxe@ohsu.edu</u>

Table of Contents

SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION
$GABA_AR$ subunit assignment based on Cryo-EM density maps
SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS
ISOTOPE-DILUTION QUANTIFICATION OF ALLOPREGNANOLONE USING LC-MS/MS
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES7
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1 DISTINCTIVE N-GLYCOSYLATION AMONG GABA _A R subunits7
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2 INTER-DOMAIN REARRANGEMENTS
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3 PDB 6I53 REPRESENTS A GABA BOUND CONFORMATION9
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4 LIPID-LIKE DENSITIES
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5 MASS-SPEC DETECTION OF THE ENDOGENOUS NEUROSTEROID
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6 PORE PROFILE COMPARISONS
Supplementary Figure 7 GABA binding with partial loop C closure
SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

Supplementary Discussion

GABA_AR subunit assignment based on cryo-EM density maps

GABA_AR subunits are classified into subgroups (α , β , γ , ϱ , δ , ε , π , θ) and based on the established tissue distribution and on our mass spec data, we have restricted our assignment to subunits belonging to the α , β , γ , δ subgroups. These subgroups have distinctive, characteristic glycosylation patterns (**Supplementary Figure 1**). For instance, only α subunits contain glycosylation within the extracellular domain vestibule while β subunits show two notable glycosylation chains at the extracellular domain periphery. These glycosylation structural features can be readily recognized at resolution around 8 Å and can be used to distinguish different GABA_AR subgroups at intermediate resolution.

Within each subgroup, however, distinguishing subunits is more challenging and requires visible difference at the amino acid residue level, typically requiring a resolution of the cryo-EM map at 3 Å or higher. To be certain with assignment, we have examined the density map extensively but limited our assessment to positions where the residue placements are without ambiguity and where the residue sequences are distinctive between subunits (more than 3 carbon atoms or one sulfur atom between different subunits). The resulting evidence is summarized in the **Extended Data Figure 6**, and we provide our work-through of these examples below, with each numbered item below corresponding to one row of cryo-EM density map comparison from **Extended Data Figure 6**.

- 1. The side chain density at position R63 (α 1 numbering before the signal peptide cleavage) strongly supports the subunit identity of chains A and C of the two-Fab as α 1 or α 5 (α 1/ α 5), chain A of the meta-one-Fab as α 1/ α 5, chain C of the meta-one-Fab as α 2/ α 3/ α 4/ α 6, chain A of the ortho-one-Fab as α 2/ α 3/ α 4/ α 6, and chain C of the ortho-one-Fab as α 1/ α 5.
- 2. The side chain density at position M140 supports the subunit identity of chain A and C of the two-Fab as $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$, chain A of the meta-one-Fab as $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$, chain C of the meta-one-Fab as $\alpha 3/\alpha 4/\alpha 5/\alpha 6$, chain A of the ortho-one-Fab as $\alpha 3/\alpha 4/\alpha 5/\alpha 6$, and chain C of the ortho-one-Fab as $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$.
- 3. The side chain density at position L145 strongly supports the subunit identity of all three receptors as $\alpha 1/\alpha 2/\alpha 3/\alpha 5$, but not $\alpha 4/\alpha 6$ for chain A and chain C. Combining these

pieces of side chain information and Fab binding, we assign the chain A of the two-Fab as $\alpha 1$ and the chain C of the two-Fab as $\alpha 1$, the chain A of the meta-one-Fab as $\alpha 1$ and the chain C of the meta-one-Fab as $\alpha 2/\alpha 3$ ($\alpha 3$ has a better overall fit), the chain A of the ortho-one-Fab as $\alpha 2/\alpha 3$ ($\alpha 3$ has a better overall fit) and the chain C of the meta-one-Fab as $\alpha 1$.

- The side chain density at position A90 (β2 numbering before the signal peptide cleavage) strongly supports the subunit identity of chain B and chain E of all three receptors as β1/β2, but not β3.
- 5. The side chain density at position R193 supports the subunit identity of chain B and chain E of the two-Fab as not $\beta 1$, and chain B and chain E of the meta-one-Fab and ortho-one-Fab as containing $\beta 1$. To conclude, we assign the chain B of the two-Fab as $\beta 2$ and the chain E of the two-Fab as $\beta 2$, the chain B of the meta-one-Fab as $\beta 1/\beta 2$ and the chain E of the meta-one-Fab as $\beta 1/\beta 2$, the chain B of the ortho-one-Fab as $\beta 1/\beta 2$ and the chain E of the ortho-one-Fab as $\beta 1/\beta 2$.
- 6. The side chain density at positions H92 and M95 (γ 2 numbering before the signal peptide cleavage) supports the subunit identity of chain D of all three receptors as γ 2.
- 7. The side chain density at position F115 strongly supports the subunit identity of chain D of all three receptors as not $\gamma 1$ and, therefore, likely to be $\gamma 2$ or $\gamma 3$.
- 8. The side chain density at position Y121 strongly supports the subunit identity of chain D of all three receptors as not γ 3 and, therefore, likely to be γ 1 or γ 2. To conclude, we assign the chain D of the two-Fab as γ 2, the chain D of the meta-one-Fab as γ 2, and the chain D of the ortho-one-Fab as γ 2.
- 9. Consistent with our subunit assignment is the fucose density at the $\alpha 2/3$ subunits of the one-Fab receptors but not at the $\alpha 1$ subunits of the one-Fab receptors or the two-Fab receptor.

Supplementary Methods

Isotope-dilution quantification of allopregnanolone using LC-MS/MS

Neurosteroids (allopregnanolone, epipregnanolone, isopregnanolone, pregnanolone) and isotope-labeled internal standard allopregnanolone-d5 were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada). The O-(3-trimethylammonium-propyl) hydroxylamine quaternary amonoxy (QAO) reagent used for derivatization was in the form of Amplifex Keto reagent kit from AB Sciex (Framingham, MA). Solvents for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis were from VWR (Tualatin, OR).

Neurosteroid stocks and internal standards (INST) were prepared in methanol. Stocks (5 μ L) and the INST allopregnanolone-d5 (5 μ L) were mixed with PBS (95 μ L) to prepare standard samples with final concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 100 ng/ml. All standards and samples were treated with 1000 μ l of acetonitrile, vortexed and mixed using Benchmark Multi-Thermo heat/shaker at 1500 rpm at 22°C for 5 mins, and centrifuged to remove protein at 12,000 g for 5 mins. The supernatant was dried under vacuum and then treated with 75 μ l of derivatization reagent. The keto moiety was derivatized with QAO reagent to form a cationic oxime derivative to enable highly sensitive LC-ESI-MS/MS quantification of neurosteroids. The working derivatization reagent was prepared according to vendor instructions. The derivatized samples were diluted 1:4 with 5% acetic acid in methanol before LC-MS/MS analysis. The supernatant was placed in sample vials for analysis by LC-MS/MS using an injection volume of 5 μ l. The lower limit of quantification of allopregnanolone was 75 pg/ml, with an accuracy of 101% and a precision of 2.2%.

The samples with INST were analyzed using a Sciex 4000 QTRAP hybrid/triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Foster City, CA) with electrospray ionization (ESI) in the positive mode. The mass spectrometer was interfaced to a Shimadzu HPLC system (Columbia, MD) with SIL-20AC XR auto-sampler, LC-20AD XR LC pumps, and CTO-20AC column oven. Compounds were quantified with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) and the MS/MS transitions used were optimized for sensitivity by infusion of pure derivatized compounds with method settings. The transition used for quantification of QAO-allopregnanolone was m/z 433.3>126.1 with m/z 433.3>374.3 used for peak qualification to ensure method specificity. The transition used for quantification of QAO-allopregnanolone-d5

5

was m/z 438.3>126. Allopregnanolone was separated from interferents using a Luna 5u C8(2) 50x2 mm column (Phenomenex) kept at 35 °C using a column oven. The gradient mobile phase was delivered at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min and consisted of two solvents: solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile). The initial concentration of solvent B was 20%, followed by a linear increase to 60% B in 10 min, then to 95% B in 0.1 min, held for 3 minutes, decreased back to starting 20% B over 0.1 min, and then held for 2 min. The retention time was 3.99 min for allopregnanolone and pregnanolone, 3.64 min for isopregnanolone, and 3.61 min for epipregnanolone. Data were acquired using Analyst 1.6.2 and analyzed with MultiQuant 3.0.3 software.

To further distinguish allopregnanolone and pregnanolone, a different HPLC condition was used. In this case, a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 100x2.1 mm 2.7um column (Agilent) was kept at 35 °C using a column oven. The gradient mobile phase was delivered at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min (0–5.9min), 0.2ml/min (6.0–8.9min), and 0.4ml/min (9–15min), and consisted of two solvents, A: 0.1% formic acid in water, B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The initial concentration of solvent B was 30%, followed by a linear increase to 52% B in 6.5 min, held for 2.5min, then to 95% B in 0.1 min, held for 2.9 minutes, decreased back to starting 30% B over 0.1 min, and then held for 2.9 min. The retention time for allopregnanolone was 6.4 min, pregnenolone was 6.2 min, and 3 α -allopregnanolone-d5 was 6.3 min.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1 | Distinctive N-glycosylation among GABA_AR subunits

а				b			
	1	30 1	4 <u>0</u>			11	o
	a GBRA1 MOUSE	GKKSVA H NM	TMPNKLL	α	GBRA1 MOUSE	KFKGPMTV	L R L NNLMA
	GBRA2 MOUSE	GKKSVA H NM	T MP N KLL		GBRA2 MOUSE	KFKGPMNI	L R L NNLMA
	GBRA3 MOUSE	G K K S V A H N M	T TP N KLL		GBRA3 MOUSE	KFDGPMKI	L P L NNLLA
	GBRA4 MOUSE	G K K S V S H N M	TAPNKLF		GBRA4 MOUSE	KYDGPIEI	L R L NNMMV
	GBRA5 MOUSE	GKKSIA H NM	T TP N KLL		GBRA5 MOUSE	RFKGPMQR	L P L NNLLA
	GBRA6_MOUSE	GKKSIA H NM	TPNKLF		GBRA6 MOUSE	KFKGPAEI	L S L NNLMV
	β GBRB1 MOUSE	D K K S F V H G V	T VK N RMI	β	GBRB1 MOUSE	SYSGIPLN	L T L D N R V A
	GBRB2 MOUSE	D K K S F V H G V	T VK N RMI		GBRB2_MOUSE	SYNVIPLN	LT LDNRVA
	GBRB3 MOUSE	D K K S F V H G V	T VK N RMI		GBRB3_MOUSE	AYSGIPLN	L T L D N R V A
	γ GBRG1_MOUSE	SRKSDA H WI	TTPNRLL	γ	GBRG1 MOUSE	KFNSTMKV	LMLNSNMV
	GBRG2 MOUSE	SKKADA H WI	T TP N RML		GBRG2 MOUSE	KFNSTIKV	LRLNSNMV
	GBRG3 MOUSE	SKTAEA H WI	TTPNQLL		GBRG3 MOUSE	RFNSTMKI	LTLNSNMV
	δ GBRD_MOUSE	AKSAWF H DV	TVENKLI	δ	GBRD_MOUSE	SYNHTNET	LGLDSRFV
с				d			
•		18	ò			220	230
	a GBRA1 MOUSE	DF P M D AHÂ C I	P L KFG SY	α	GBRA1 MOUSE	YDLLGQTŸ	DSGIVQS.S
	GBRA2 MOUSE	DFPMDAHSC	P L KFG SY		GBRA2 MOUSE	YDLLGQSI	GKETIKS.S
	GBRA3 MOUSE	DF P M D VHA C	P L K F G S Y		GBRA3 MOUSE	YDLLGHVV	GTEIIRS.S
	GBRA4 MOUSE	DF P M D GHA C	P L K F G S Y		GBRA4 MOUSE	YDLIGQTV	SSETIKS.I
	GBRA5_MOUSE	DF P M D AHA C	P L KFG SY		GBRA5 MOUSE	YHLMGQTV	GTENIST.S
	GBRA6_MOUSE	NF P M D GHA C	P L K F G S Y		GBRA6_MOUSE	YDLIGQTV	SSETIKS.N
	β GBRB1_MOUSE	R Y P L D E Q <mark>N C</mark>	T LEIESY	β	GBRB1_MOUSE	FSIVDYKM	VSKKVEF.T
	GBRB2_MOUSE	R Y P L D E Q <mark>N C</mark>	T LEIESY		GBRB2_MOUSE	FSIVDYKL	ITKKVVF.S
	GBRB3_MOUSE	RY P L D EQ NC	T L E I E S Y		GBRB3_MOUSE	FSIVEHRL	VSRNVVF.A
	γ GBRG1_MOUSE	NF P M D EHS C	P L EFS SY	γ	GBRG1_MOUSE	FAFVGLRN	STEISHT.I
	GBRG2_MOUSE	NF P M D EHS C	P L EFS SY		GBRG2_MOUSE	FSFVGLRN	TTEVVKT.T
	GBRG3_MOUSE	NFPMDAHAC	PLTFSSY		GBRG3_MOUSE	FDFMGLRN	TTEIVTT.S
	6 GBRD_MOUSE	KY PLD EQE C I	MLDLE SY	0	GBRD_MOUSE	FTITSYRF	TTELMNFKS
е							
		6 Q	7 <u>0</u>				
	a GBRA1_MOUSE	PGLGERVTÊ	VKTDIFV				
	GBRA2_MOUSE	PGLGDSITE	VFTNIYV				
	GBRA3 MOUSE	PGLGDAVTE	VKTDIYV				
	GBRA4 MOUSE	P GF G GPVTE	VKTDIYV				
	GBRA5_MOUSE	P GL G ERITQ	VRTDIYV				
	GBRA6_MOUSE	P GF G GAVTE	νκτριγν				
	β GBRB1_MOUSE	P D F G G P P V D	VGMRIDV				
	GBRB2_MOUSE	P DF G GPPVA	VGMNIDI				
	GBRB3_MOUSE	P DF G GPPVC	VGMNIDI				
	γ GBRG1_MOUSE	P DI G VRPTV	IETDVYV				
	GBRG2_MOUSE	PDIGVKPTL	IHTDMYV				
	GBRG3_MOUSE	PDIGIKPTV	TDVDIYV				
	O GBRD_MOUSE	PGI G GAPV <mark>N</mark>	VALALEV				

Supplementary Figure 1. N-glycosylation unique to a subgroup of GABA_AR subunits. Sequences of the murine $\alpha/\beta/\gamma/\delta$ subunits are aligned, and sequence alignments surrounding the N-glycosylation that has been verified with structure studies^{1.4} are shown (a sequence window of 16-amino acid residue), with the α subunit position shown in **a**, β subunit positions shown in **b** and **c**, γ subunit position shown in **d**, and δ subunit position shown e. Sequence alignment was performed with Clustal Omega⁵ and rendered with ESPript⁶. Strictly conserved residues across all subunits are shown in bold. Consensus Nglycosylation motifs (Asn-X-Ser/Thr, X denotes an amino acid residue other than proline) are boxed in blue, while the positions of the glycosylated Asn are marked with a star symbol on the top of the sequence alignments. Residue numberings shown are based on the murine $\alpha 1$ subunit before the signal peptide cleavage.



Supplementary Figure 2 | Inter-domain rearrangements

Ortho-one-Fab/Two-Fab comparison

Meta-one-Fab/Two-Fab comparison

Supplementary Figure 2. Inter-domain rearrangements between two-Fab GABA_ARs and the one-Fab GABA_ARs (ortho-one-Fab and meta-one-Fab) from the APG/GABA dataset. The two-Fab $\alpha 1^*$ - $\beta 2^-\alpha 1$ - $\beta 2^*$ - $\gamma 2$ (* denotes the subunit is next to a γ subunit, subunits are counted clockwise when viewed from the extracellular space) is compared with the ortho-one-Fab $\alpha 1^*$ - $\beta 1/2$ - $\alpha 2/3$ - $\beta 1/2^*$ - $\gamma 2$ on the left, and with the meta-one-Fab $\alpha 2/3^*$ - $\beta 1/2$ - $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 1/2^*$ - $\gamma 2$ on the right. The $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2/3$ subunits from the equivalent positions are structurally superimposed (in ChimeraX⁷), either with the extracellular domain (ECD) or the transmembrane domain (TMD). The root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the amino acid backbones are reported for individual domains. For the ECD, the residue ranges are 12 to 221 for $\alpha 1$, 37 to 246 for $\alpha 2/3$ (with residue numbers specific to the $\alpha 3$ subunit). For the TMD, the residue ranges are 222–246, 250–275, 284–309, 390–415 for $\alpha 1$, and 247–271, 275–300, 309–334, 426–451 for $\alpha 2/3$ (with residue numbers specific to the $\alpha 3$ subunit). The higher RMSD of ECD when the structures are aligned with TMD, and vice versa, demonstrates the domain rearrangements between the two-Fab receptors and the one-Fab receptors.



Supplementary Figure 3 | PDB 6153 represents a GABA bound conformation

GABA 6153 GABA/ALP 6HUO

Supplementary Figure 3. Structural comparison between 6153 and 6HUO. The structures ($\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ 6153³ shown in dark gray, $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ 6HUO⁸ shown in gray) are superimposed based on the GABA binding pockets. Specific residues include F65, R67, L118, T130 from the $\alpha 1$ subunit and Y97, E155, S156, Y157, F200, T202, Y205 from the $\beta 3$ subunit. These residues along with the GABA molecules from 6HUO are shown in stick representation. Of note, both the 6153 and the 6HUO structures exhibit a complete loop C closure. Furthermore, the GABA binding pockets in 6153 closely resemble those in 6HUO. The $\beta 3^+/\alpha 1^{*-}$ pocket has a backbone RMSD of 0.23 Å and an all-atom RMSD of 0.37 Å while the $\beta 3^{*+}/\alpha^-$ pocket has a backbone RMSD of 0.29 Å and an all-atom RMSD of 0.25 Å. These findings suggest that the 6153 structure represents a GABA-bound conformation, despite being initially modeled without GABA. Supplementary Figure 4 | Lipid-like densities



Supplementary Figure 4. Lipid-like densities resolved near the allopregnanolone binding pockets. The lipid-like densities were modeled as nine lipid molecules at the $\beta 2/\alpha 1^*$ interface and ten lipid molecules at the $\beta 2^*/\alpha 1$ interface. These modeled molecules include octane, dodecane, and palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphocholine (POPC). These assignments are based on the density shapes and modeling convenience and may not be an accurate representation of their molecular identities. This figure shows the cryo-EM map at a threshold of 3.5 σ . Note one of the acyl chains of the modeled POPC at the upper leaflet is inserted deeply into the anesthetic binding pocket^{3,9} between the TMDs of $\beta 2$ and $\alpha 1$ subunits.



Supplementary Figure 5 | Mass-spec detection of the endogenous neurosteroid

Supplementary Figure 5. Mass spectrometry analysis of neurosteroid for the ZOL/GABA sample.a, Standard curve of allopregnanolone quantitation based on isotope dilution. b, Quantitation of neurosteroid in the pentameric sample and lipid stocks (85:15 mixture of POPC with either brain total

lipids from Avanti or bovine brain extracts from Sigma) used for on-column nanodisc reconstitution. Considering the volume, the neurosteroid from the exogenous lipid makes up only 0.3% of the detected neurosteroid in the protein sample. **c**, Chromatographs of the mixed neurosteroid standards under the final LC condition. **d**, Chromatographs of protein sample alone or spiked with different amounts of pregnanolone standard.



Supplementary Figure 6 | Pore profile comparisons

Supplementary Figure 6. Channel pore comparison. a, Shown are pore profiles of selected GABA_AR structures and the two-Fab didesethylflurazepam (DID) structure. From left to right are pore profiles of the two-Fab DID from this study, $\alpha 4$ - $\beta 3$ - $\beta 3$ - $\beta 3$ - δ receptor (subunits are counted clockwise when viewed from the extracellular space) with histamine bound (7QN9)⁴, $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 3$ - $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 3$ - $\beta 3$ receptor with GABA bound (7PBD)¹⁰, $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 3$ - $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 3$ - $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 3$ - $\gamma 2$ receptor with GABA and alprazolam bound (6HUO)⁸, and $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 2$ - $\alpha 1$ - $\beta 2$ - $\gamma 2$ receptor with GABA and diazepam bound (6X3X)⁹. **b**, Pore comparison between open structures from the Cys-loop family. From left to right are pore profiles of glutamate-gated chloride channel bound with ivermectin (3RHW)¹¹, glycine receptor bound with glycine (6PM6)¹², glycine receptor bound with glycine and picrotoxin (6UD3)¹³, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor bound with epibatidine and PNU-120596 (7KOX)¹⁴, and 5-HT₃ receptor bound with serotonin (6DG8)¹⁵, all of which are homopentamers. Pore profiles were calculated using the HOLE¹⁶ program; blue, green, and red spheres define radii of >4 Å, 1.8–4 Å, and <1.8 Å, respectively. Residues at the two constriction gates (9' and -2' with the exception of nAChR and 5-HT₃ Receptor, which have gates at 9' and -1' positions) of the channel are shown, which roughly correspond to the 20 Å and the 5 Å positions in the consolidated plots on the right.



Supplementary Figure 7 | GABA binding with partial loop C closure

Supplementary Figure 7. Structural comparison between previous GABA/benzodiazepine structures and the two-Fab GABA/didesethylflurazepam structure. The structures ($\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ 6HUO⁸ shown in gray, $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ 6X3X° shown in white, two-Fab DID shown in colors) are superimposed based on the GABA binding pockets, including F64, R66, L117, T129 from the $\alpha 1$ subunit and Y97, E155, S156, Y157, F200, T202, Y205 from the $\beta 2/\beta 3$ subunit (residue numberings are based on the native receptor from this work). Hydrogen bonds are shown as black dashed lines while π -cation interactions are shown as blue dashed lines. Compared to previous structures with saturating amounts of GABA, the two-Fab DID structure solved with the endogenous GABA only shows an incomplete loop C closure, a less compact GABA binding pocket, and fewer hydrogen bonds and π -cation interactions between the GABA ligand and the protein matrix. In the meantime, the GABA molecule adopts a less extended conformation in the DID structure.

Supplementary References

- 1 Phulera, S., Zhu, H., Yu, J., Claxton, D. P., Yoder, N., Yoshioka, C. & Gouaux, E. Cryo-EM structure of the benzodiazepine-sensitive $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2S$ tri-heteromeric GABA_A receptor in complex with GABA. *eLife* **7**, e39383, (2018).
- 2 Zhu, S., Noviello, C. M., Teng, J., Walsh, R. M., Jr., Kim, J. J. & Hibbs, R. E. Structure of a human synaptic GABA_A receptor. *Nature* **559**, 67–72, (2018).
- Laverty, D., Desai, R., Uchański, T., Masiulis, S., Stec, W. J., Malinauskas, T., Zivanov, J.,
 Pardon, E., Steyaert, J., Miller, K. W. & Aricescu, A. R. Cryo-EM structure of the human α1β3γ2
 GABA_A receptor in a lipid bilayer. *Nature* 565, 516–520, (2019).
- Sente, A., Desai, R., Naydenova, K., Malinauskas, T., Jounaidi, Y., Miehling, J., Zhou, X.,
 Masiulis, S., Hardwick, S. W., Chirgadze, D. Y., Miller, K. W. & Aricescu, A. R. Differential assembly diversifies GABA_A receptor structures and signalling. *Nature* 604, 190–194, (2022).
- 5 Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R., McWilliam, H., Remmert, M., Söding, J., Thompson, J. D. & Higgins, D. G. Fast, scalable generation of highquality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, 539, (2011).
- 6 Robert, X. & Gouet, P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, W320-324, (2014).
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Couch, G. S., Croll, T. I., Morris, J. H. & Ferrin, T. E. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82, (2021).
- 8 Masiulis, S., Desai, R., Uchański, T., Serna Martin, I., Laverty, D., Karia, D., Malinauskas, T., Zivanov, J., Pardon, E., Kotecha, A., Steyaert, J., Miller, K. W. & Aricescu, A. R. GABA_A receptor signalling mechanisms revealed by structural pharmacology. *Nature* 565, 454–459, (2019).
- Kim, J. J., Gharpure, A., Teng, J., Zhuang, Y., Howard, R. J., Zhu, S., Noviello, C. M., Walsh, R. M., Lindahl, E. & Hibbs, R. E. Shared structural mechanisms of general anaesthetics and benzodiazepines. *Nature* 585, 303–308, (2020).
- 10 Kasaragod, V. B., Mortensen, M., Hardwick, S. W., Wahid, A. A., Dorovykh, V., Chirgadze, D. Y., Smart, T. G. & Miller, P. S. Mechanisms of inhibition and activation of extrasynaptic $\alpha\beta$ GABA_A receptors. *Nature* **602**, 529–533, (2022).
- 11 Hibbs, R. E. & Gouaux, E. Principles of activation and permeation in an anion-selective Cys-loop receptor. *Nature* **474**, 54–60, (2011).
- 12 Yu, J., Zhu, H., Lape, R., Greiner, T., Du, J., Lü, W., Sivilotti, L. & Gouaux, E. Mechanism of gating and partial agonist action in the glycine receptor. *Cell* **184**, 957–968, (2021).
- 13 Kumar, A., Basak, S., Rao, S., Gicheru, Y., Mayer, M. L., Sansom, M. S. P. & Chakrapani, S. Mechanisms of activation and desensitization of full-length glycine receptor in lipid nanodiscs. *Nat Commun* 11, 3752, (2020).
- Noviello, C. M., Gharpure, A., Mukhtasimova, N., Cabuco, R., Baxter, L., Borek, D., Sine, S. M. & Hibbs, R. E. Structure and gating mechanism of the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *Cell* 184, 2121–2134, (2021).
- 15 Basak, S., Gicheru, Y., Rao, S., Sansom, M. S. P. & Chakrapani, S. Cryo-EM reveals two distinct serotonin-bound conformations of full-length 5-HT(3A) receptor. *Nature* **563**, 270–274, (2018).
- 16 Smart, O. S., Neduvelil, J. G., Wang, X., Wallace, B. A. & Sansom, M. S. HOLE: a program for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural models. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 354–360, (1996).