# PORTLAND PRESS

### **Review Article**

### Multilayered control of chromosome replication in Caulobacter crescentus

Antonio Frandi and Dustine Collier

Department of Fundamental Microbiology, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Quartier UNIL/Sorge, Lausanne CH 1015, Switzerland Correspondence: Justine Collier (justine.collier@unil.ch)



The environmental Alphaproteobacterium Caulobacter crescentus is a classical model to study the regulation of the bacterial cell cycle. It divides asymmetrically, giving a stalked cell that immediately enters S phase and a swarmer cell that stays in the G1 phase until it differentiates into a stalked cell. Its genome consists in a single circular chromosome whose replication is tightly regulated so that it happens only in stalked cells and only once per cell cycle. Imbalances in chromosomal copy numbers are the most often highly deleterious, if not lethal. This review highlights recent discoveries on pathways that control chromosome replication when Caulobacter is exposed to optimal or less optimal growth conditions. Most of these pathways target two proteins that bind directly onto the chromosomal origin: the highly conserved DnaA initiator of DNA replication and the CtrA response regulator that is found in most Alphaproteobacteria. The concerted inactivation and proteolysis of CtrA during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition license cells to enter S phase, while a replisome-associated Regulated Inactivation and proteolysis of DnaA (RIDA) process ensures that initiation starts only once per cell cycle. When Caulobacter is stressed, it turns on control systems that delay the G1-to-S phase transition or the elongation of DNA replication, most probably increasing its fitness and adaptation capacities.

### Introduction

DNA replication is controlled with exquisite precision in all cell types to ensure that each daughter cell inherits one copy of complete chromosome(s) following each division event. Control mechanisms largely focus on the initiation step of the replication process, when the replisome is assembled onto DNA. In nearly all bacteria, the highly conserved initiator of chromosome replication is DnaA [1,2]. It typically binds to several DnaA boxes located on the chromosomal origin and oligomerizes to open the DNA double helix at an AT-rich region within the origin. It also interacts with helicases to load them onto the DNA. Subsequently, the replicative DNA polymerase and its  $\beta$ -sliding clamp (DnaN) are recruited onto leading and lagging strands to initiate bi-directional and processive DNA replication. The proper timing of chromosome replication is highly dependent on controlling the levels, the activity and the availability of DnaA in bacteria. The analysis of a variety of bacteria now reveals to which extent control mechanisms are conserved, or not, in different bacterial classes or species [3,4]. It also shows that bacteria often control the DNA replication process to modulate their proliferation in response to environmental cues directly connected to their biological niche, through the regulation of DnaA or of other more specific regulators.

Caulobacter crescentus (henceforth Caulobacter) is an aquatic Alphaproteobacterium that emerged as a powerful model system to study the regulation of the bacterial cell cycle. This bacterium divides asymmetrically at the end of each cell cycle giving daughter cells with distinct developmental and replicative fates (Figure 1) [5]. The first one is a replication incompetent (G1-phase) swarmer cell, while the second one is a replication competent (S-phase) stalked cell. To initiate the replication of its unique circular chromosome, the swarmer cell must first differentiate into a stalked cell. This relatively slow growing bacterium, compared with the most studied Escherichia coli model system, never displays

Received: 12 October 2018 Revised: 11 December 2018 Accepted: 12 December 2018

Version of Record published: 9 January 2019






Figure 1. Graphical representation of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle and of the abundancy of the DnaA and CtrA main regulators of the initiation of chromosomal replication.

In swarmer cells, the initiation of chromosomal replication is inhibited by high levels of CtrA~P (red color) binding to the chromosomal origin of replication (*Cori*, blue circle). During the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition, CtrA~P is proteolyzed at the cell pole where the stalk will be built. Coincidentally, DnaA is synthesized and binds to ATP. DnaA-ATP (green color) binds to the *Cori* and is active to initiate replication. As soon as DNA replication has started, HdaA is recruited to the replisome and activated to stimulate to conversion of DnaA-ATP into DnaA-ADP (blue color) and DnaA proteolysis. This Regulated Inactivation of DnaA (RIDA) process prevents re-initiation during the same cell cycle. In early predivisional cells, CtrA is re-synthesized and phosphorylated, but gets efficiently dephosphorylated and proteolyzed in the swarmer cell compartment of late pre-divisional cells to inhibit the initiation of DNA replication in the swarmer progeny. Although this was not directly demonstrated, CtrA~P may form a gradient in pre-divisional cells, being the most abundant at the flagellated cell pole even before cell compartmentalization, due to polarized upstream regulators of CtrA phosphorylation and degradation [83].

more than two replication forks at work within the same cell and over-initiation events are severely deleterious [6]. It is relatively easy to isolate nearly pure populations of swarmer cells from mixed populations of *Caulobacter* cells, facilitating studies on the regulation of the timing of DNA replication during the bacterial cell cycle.

This review focuses on recently discovered mechanisms that control the replication of the chromosome of *Caulobacter* cultivated in favorable or less-favorable growth conditions, with particular emphasis on the regulation of the DnaA initiator and of the CtrA inhibitor of chromosome replication that are both highly conserved in *Alphaproteobacteria*.

### The origin of replication of the *Caulobacter crescentus* chromosome

The origin of replication of the *Caulobacter* chromosome (*Cori*) was mapped years ago at a chromosomal locus located close to the *hemE* and overlapping the *CCNA\_00001* (*duf299*) open reading frames (ORF) (Figure 2) [6–8]. It carries DNA motifs with affinity for several known regulators of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle, including the initiator DnaA, the response regulator CtrA, the nucleoid associated proteins IHF (Integration host Factor) and GapR, and the DNA methyltransferase (MTase) CcrM [6,7,9]. As assumed in nearly all bacterial species, DnaA, and several of the DnaA boxes found on the origin, are indispensable for the initiation of chromosome replication in *Caulobacter* [7,10]. DnaA binds to seven DnaA boxes on the *Cori*; five of these are low-affinity W-boxes and two are moderate-affinity G-boxes (Figure 2) [7]. Although one of the W-box overlaps with a DNA motif that can be methylated by the DNA MTase CcrM (Figure 2), it appears that methylation of the *Cori* by CcrM is not required for DNA replication in *Caulobacter*, since the chromosome content of  $\Delta ccrM$  cells is identical with that of wild-type cells [11,12]. Also, consistent with this finding, a homolog of the



Figure 2. Organization of the Caulobacter chromosomal origin of replication (Cori).


The *Cori* carries two classes of DnaA binding sites: G-boxes (G1 and G2; orange arrows) of moderate affinity and W-boxes (W1–W5; green arrows) of low affinity. Note that the G2 box overlaps one of the CtrA binding sites (red squares), suggesting that DnaA-ATP may compete with CtrA when binding to the *Cori*. The *Cori* also carries an IHF binding site (blue square) and five GANTC sites (fuchsia color; –CH<sub>3</sub>) that are methylated by the CcrM DNA methyltransferase. IHF may promote the opening of the DNA double helix at the AT-rich region (purple box) when DnaA-ATP is bound to the *Cori*. In addition, the transcriptional start sites (TSS; represented by a thin black arrow) for three RNAs are located in the *Cori*: the *duf299 (CCNA\_00001)* and *hemE* mRNAs and the *CCNA\_R0094* small non-coding RNA. Transcription starting at these TSS might influence initiation.

methylation-dependent DNA binding protein SeqA, which controls replication initiation in E. coli [3], cannot be found in the Caulobacter proteome. The relatively low affinity of DnaA for the Cori DnaA boxes might be connected with the existence of CtrA, which inhibits the initiation, supposedly through a direct competition with DnaA when binding to the Cori. Indeed, five CtrA binding sites are found on the Cori and one of them overlaps one of the two moderate-affinity DnaA G-boxes (Figure 2). The ctrA gene is essential for the survival of Caulobacter and partial loss of CtrA function leads to premature initiation of DNA replication in swarmer cells [13,14]. Notably, an IHF binding site overlaps one of the CtrA binding sites (Figure 2) [15], indicating that IHF may promote the disassembly of CtrA on the Cori during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition [16]. Targeted mutagenesis experiments eliminating the CtrA binding sites on the Cori, however, showed that the inhibition of DNA replication by CtrA is dispensible when Caulobacter is cultivated in minimal medium [17]. In addition to DnaA, CtrA and IHF, the GapR protein shows affinity for Cori [9]. GapR is a newly discovered and conserved nucleoid associated protein that appears as critical for chromosome replication and growth in Caulobacter [9,18,19], most probably by promoting the ability of topoisomerases to relax positive supercoils that accumulate ahead of the replication fork [20]. Considering that GapR is more abundant in stalked than in swarmer cells [9], it might also promote the binding of DnaA to the Cori, although this was not tested directly. Finally, the presence of two ORFs [21] and of a gene transcribed into a small non-coding RNA [22,23] in the Cori region (Figure 2) suggests that the transcription of these elements could potentially interfere with or promote the opening of the DNA double helix for initiation. Consistent with this possibility, early findings showed that transcription from one of the hemE promoters is required for chromosome replication in Caulobacter [24].

### **CtrA** restricts the initiation of chromosome replication to stalked cells

CtrA is a conserved response regulator, which is found in most *Alphaproteobacteria* [25]. It needs to be phosphorylated to be active and to inhibit the initiation of DNA replication [13]. Logically, very strict regulatory mechanisms control the levels of active CtrA~P (Figures 1 and 3A), to ensure that chromosome replication can still start once per cell cycle and specifically during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition of *Caulobacter* or in the stalked progeny following cell division. CtrA~P is very abundant in swarmer cells, where it binds to the *Cori* with high affinity to maintain cells in G1 phase, and in pre-divisional cells, where it plays essential roles in the regulation of gene expression (Figure 1) [26,27]. Following cytoplasmic compartmentalization in late pre-divisional cell, the levels of CtrA become highly dissimilar: the flagellated compartment of the cell contains ~22,000 molecules of CtrA [28], while the stalked compartment contains undetectable levels of CtrA. These strong temporal and spatial variations are mostly dependent on the activity of the essential CckA histidine kinase/phosphatase, which is at the top of a phosphorelay controlling CtrA phosphorylation and proteolysis (Figure 3A) [5,6]. Indeed, although *ctrA* transcription varies significantly during the cell cycle, due to tight control by the GcrA epigenetic regulator [29,30], by the CtrA-associated SciP regulator [31,32] and by self-regulation [33], this temporal regulation is not required for the control of the initiation of replication since the constitutive transcription of *ctrA* does not lead to replication defects [26]. Still, sufficient transcription of *ctrA* 








Figure 3. Graphical representation of the regulatory circuits controlling CtrA and DnaA activity in *Caulobacter* cells cultivated in optimal (no background color) or stressful (brown background color) growth conditions. Part 1 of 2 (A) Model for the regulatory network controlling the levels and the activity of CtrA. During the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition, the activity of CckA switches from a kinase (CckA<sup>KIN</sup>; red arrows) to a phosphatase (CckA<sup>PHOS</sup>; green arrows), reducing the levels of ChpT~P. In turn, CtrA~P can no more accumulate and gets actively degraded by a CpdR- and PopA/RcdA-dependent ClpXP proteolysis complex, triggering the initiation of DNA replication by DnaA-ATP. When nutrient levels



Figure 3. Graphical representation of the regulatory circuits controlling CtrA and DnaA activity in Caulobacter cells cultivated in optimal (no background color) or stressful (brown background color) growth conditions.

Part 2 of 2 become too limiting, the kinase activity of CckA is probably stimulated in a (p)ppGpp- and MopJ-dependent manner to delay the G1-to-S phase transition. In contrast, membrane stresses appear to promote the phosphatase activity of CckA and a reduction in CtrA~P levels, maybe to delay cell division without inhibiting chromosome replication. (B) Model of the regulatory network controlling the levels and the activity of DnaA. Newly synthesized DnaA associates with ATP, which can then initiate DNA replication once CtrA~P levels are low enough. Immediately after replication has started active DnaA-ATP is converted into inactive DnaA-ADP by the β-clamp binding HdaA protein. This conversion also appears to promote the degradation of DnaA by the Lon protease. This Regulated Inactivation and proteolysis of DnaA (RIDA) process ensures that DNA replication

is needed for the correct control of replication initiation, since a loss of CtrA activity leads to severe replication defects and cell death [13].

cannot re-initiate before the next cell cycle. Another β-clamp binding protein named SocB can inhibit the elongation of DNA replication in response to DNA damage. When *Caulobacter* is exposed to stresses such as nutrient limitations or proteotoxic

stresses, DnaA levels drop due to an inhibition of dnaA translation and/or to a stimulation of DnaA proteolysis.

CckA is the most important regulator of CtrA levels and activity. It acts as a kinase phosphorylating the ChpT phosphotransferase in swarmer and pre-divisional cells, while it acts as a phosphatase in stalked cells (Figure 3A). In turn, phosphorylated ChpT transfers its phosphate group to the two response regulators CtrA and CpdR, activating and stabilizing CtrA [34,35]. The switch in CckA activity from a kinase to a phosphatase mode is controlled by the cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP) second messenger (Figure 3A) [36,37], whose levels rise specifically during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition and in the swarmer compartment of late predivisional cells [38] and which interacts directly with one of the two PAS domains of CckA [39]. In addition, this PAS domain interacts with the DivL pseudokinase in complex with the DivK response regulator to further control the activity of CckA [40-42]. The kinase-to-phosphatase switch in CckA activity dictates when the initiation of chromosome replication can start, so that it takes place specifically during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition or in the newborn stalked cell following division [14]. In addition to inactivating CtrA by de-phosphorylation, this switch also promotes CtrA degradation by the ClpXP protease by de-phosphorylating CpdR (Figure 3A) [34,35]. When CpdR is un-phosphorylated, it associates with ClpX and with a complex composed of the c-di-GMP-stimulated PopA protein and of the RcdA adaptor to turn on CtrA proteolysis at the cell pole [43-47]. Although CtrA degradation takes place at the stalked pole of wild-type cells, recent findings, however, suggest that it can take place even more efficiently in the cytoplasm of cells lacking the PopZ cell pole organizer [48,49]. Once the replication of the chromosome is licensed to start through the strong reduction in CtrA~P levels, DnaA can bind to the Cori to initiate DNA replication.

## **DnaA controls the frequency of the initiation of chromosome replication**

Control of the abundancy of active DnaA ensures that replication can start once, but only once, *per* cell cycle [6,50]. Levels must be high enough in stalked cells, but shut down right after replication initiation to prevent over-initiation events in stalked and early pre-divisional cells, when CtrA~P levels are minimal.

A first level of regulation is through the control of *dnaA* transcription that peaks right before the initiation of chromosome replication during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition [6,51]. Mechanisms controlling *dnaA* transcription are still unclear although the analysis of *dnaA* promoter elements indicates that the efficiency and the timing of *dnaA* transcription may be controlled by a transcriptional activator binding close to a GAGTC motif upstream of the –35 region [52,53]. A second level of regulation is through the inhibition of *dnaA* translation by a long untranslated region (5'UTR) upstream of the translational start codon (Figure 3B), but this mechanism does not appear to control the timing of *dnaA* expression [53]. Importantly, the transcriptional and post-transcriptional controls of DnaA synthesis play only a marginal role in controlling when, and at which frequency, DNA replication can initiate during the *Caulobacter* cell cycle, since the artificial and constitutive expression of *dnaA* does not lead to replication defects in *Caulobacter*. Instead, these mechanisms may be more useful to control DnaA levels in response to environmental signals, rather than to cell cycle cues.

The most important mechanism controlling the frequency of replication initiation is the so-called Regulated Inactivation of DnaA (RIDA) process that appears as essential for the survival of Caulobacter [14,54,55]. This



process restricts the levels of active DnaA by stimulating its ATPase activity, leading to the concerted inactivation and degradation of DnaA (Figure 3B) [6,50]. There are now clear indications that DnaA needs to be associated with ATP to initiate DNA replication [14,54,55], as it is also the case in many other bacterial species [3]. The RIDA process takes place right after the initiation of DNA replication, through a stimulation of the ATPase activity of DnaA by the HdaA protein, preventing lethal over-initiation events. The current model is that HdaA interacts with the  $\beta$ -sliding clamp of the replisome once it is loaded onto the DNA at the onset of DNA replication and that this interaction switches on the activity of HdaA and the subsequent RIDA process [54,56]. In addition, the conversion of DnaA-ATP into DnaA-ADP during the RIDA process leads to a significant destabilization of DnaA at the onset of DNA replication during the swarmer-to-stalked cell transition [57,58]. Two different ATP-dependent proteases can recognize DnaA in Caulobacter (Figure 3B). The first one is ClpAP, which appears to destabilize DnaA independently of the nucleotide bound to it and preferentially under stress conditions, since the ClpS adaptor inhibits this pathway in exponentially growing cells [59]. The second one is Lon [60] and seems to have a preference for DnaA-ADP rather than DnaA-ATP [59], suggesting that it preferentially degrades DnaA during the S phase of the cell cycle when the RIDA process is active. Thus, Lon probably contributes to controlling the intracellular levels of active DnaA as a function of the cell cycle to prevent over-initiation events. As described below, it also plays a key role in adjusting DnaA levels and regulating DNA replication in response to stresses.

### Control of chromosome replication during non-optimal growth conditions

Bacteria must coordinate the replication of their genome with their growth rate. This is all the more important for bacteria that live in oligotrophic environments, like *Caulobacter*, and that are thus frequently exposed to nutrient limitations and environmental stresses.

When exposed to nutrient limitations, *Caulobacter* turns on a so-called stringent response that targets DnaA and CtrA to slow down or arrest the replication of its chromosome. This response is based on the production of guanosine tetra- or penta-phosphate ((p)ppGpp) by the SpoT enzyme [61], in response to carbon or nitrogen starvation [62] or in response to fatty acid depletion (Figure 3A) [63]. Recent findings also showed that SpoT is specifically activated by elements of the nitrogen-related phosphotransferase system (PTS<sup>Ntr</sup>) in response to low glutamine levels [64,65]. The (p)ppGpp alarmone then appears to inhibit DnaA synthesis (Figure 3B) and CtrA degradation (Figure 3A), leading to a severe inhibition of the initiation of chromosome replication [66]. The stabilization of CtrA by (p)ppGpp appears to be dependent on the up-regulation of the conserved MopJ regulator, which targets the DivL-dependent pathway controlling CckA activity (Figure 3A), although this may not be the only pathway involved [67]. The complex regulatory system controlling DnaA levels in response to nutrient limitations appears to include an inhibition of *dnaA* translation (Figure 3B), leading to a rapid clearance of DnaA by the Lon protease [68]. Interestingly, this translational inhibition also takes place in starved Δ*spoT* mutant cells, indicating that other unknown (p)ppGpp-independent pathways are also involved in the regulation of DNA replication in response to low nutrient levels [68].

When exposed to DNA/protein damaging conditions, *Caulobacter* also stops or slows down the replication of its chromosome. Two such regulatory pathways have now been identified (Figure 3B). The first one is based on the detection of unfolded or damaged proteins by the DnaK chaperone, which leads to a stabilization of the  $\sigma^{32}$  heat-shock factor and the consequent activation of *lon* transcription and Lon-mediated degradation of DnaA [60]. Thus, proteotoxic and severe heat-shock stresses block the initiation of DNA replication by DnaA [60,69]. The second one is based on the stabilization of the SocB toxin in response to DNA damage. In turn, SocB can bind to the  $\beta$ -clamp of the DNA polymerase to inhibit the elongation of DNA replication, most probably through a disassembly of the replicative DNA polymerase complex [70].

Surprisingly, Caulobacter adopts a rather opposite strategy when facing stress conditions affecting the integrity of its membrane, such as exposure to ethanol or high salt concentrations in its environment or exposure to mild heat-shocks. Indeed, these stresses promote the phosphatase activity of CckA in a DivL- and c-di-GMP-independent manner, leading a rapid decrease in the levels of active CtrA~P [71]. As a consequence, fewer stressed cells are in G1 phase and cells appear longer with an abnormally high DNA content compared with non-stressed cells. Future work should aim at understanding why the inhibition of CtrA activity provides an advantage to cells facing membrane stresses, while it appears to be deleterious to cells facing nutritional stresses.



### **Perspectives**

This review aimed at summarizing the current view on the control of DNA replication in Caulobacter. Most, although not all, of the pathways regulating chromosome replication target the DnaA initiator or the CtrA response regulator. In fast growing conditions, the tight regulation of their activity is mostly dependent on the RIDA process and on the activity of the CckA kinase/phosphatase. A common point between the RIDA process and the CckA phosphatase is that they promote the inactivation and the proteolysis of their targets (Figure 3). Interestingly, the (p)ppGpp alarmone affects CtrA and DnaA to adjust the length of the G1 phase under fast-growing conditions [72], or to block cells in the G1 phase under stressful conditions [64,65,67]. DnaA synthesis also appears to be regulated by (p)ppGpp and growth conditions [66,68], but mechanisms involved in these control systems are still ill-defined. Interestingly, experimental and computational evidences suggest that up to 10 small non-coding RNAs (sncRNAs) might target the dnaA and hdaA messenger RNAs (Figure 3B) [22,23,73]. Considering that the expression of several of these is cell cycle-regulated or regulated in response to stresses [22,23,74,75], and that the Hfq RNA chaperone is required for metabolic homeostasis [76], it is tempting to predict that translational regulation by sncRNAs might also play an important role in finetuning the levels and the activity of DnaA (Figure 3B). Other unknown pathways are probably involved in adjusting DNA replication with cell growth, most probably by connecting the central metabolism with DNA replication. A recent study, for example, raised the possibility that a member of the Lrp family of transcription factors, named PutR, might connect proline intracellular levels with the elongation of DNA replication and cell division in Caulobacter [77].

It is noteworthy that DnaA and CtrA are also important transcription factors [27,78]. The impact of the RIDA process on the control of DnaA-regulated genes has not been investigated in detail, but preliminary findings suggest that the nucleotide binding to DnaA might also influence the transcription of a subset of these genes and chromosome segregation [55,79]. This might be used by *Caulobacter* to coordinate DNA replication with other processes required for cell cycle progression.

Finally, it is also interesting to mention that while the regulation of DnaA appears to be a widespread mechanism used by most bacteria to control chromosome replication, the involvement of CtrA in replication control is restricted to only a subset of them. Indeed, although CtrA is well conserved in *Alphaproteobacteria*, its regulon appears to evolve rapidly [80–82]. Then, determining whether DNA replication is under negative control in other *Alphaproteobacteria* and understanding the associated mechanisms could provide interesting new information on the evolution of complex networks controlling chromosome replication in bacteria.

#### **Funding**

The Swiss National Science Foundation provided financial support (SNSF fellowships CRSII3\_160703 and 31003A\_173075).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Giulia Cheloni for the critical reading of this manuscript. We apologize to authors not cited in this short mini-review, which mostly focuses on articles published over the last five years.

#### **Competing Interests**

The Authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

#### References

- 1 Katayama, T., Ozaki, S., Keyamura, K. and Fujimitsu, K. (2010) Regulation of the replication cycle: conserved and diverse regulatory systems for DnaA and oriC. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 163–170 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2314
- 2 Katayama, T., Kasho, K. and Kawakami, H. (2017) The DnaA cycle in Escherichia coli: activation, function and inactivation of the initiator protein. Front. Microbiol. 8, 2496 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02496
- 3 Skarstad, K. and Katayama, T. (2013) Regulating DNA replication in bacteria. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **5**, a012922 https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012922
- 4 Heinrich, K., Leslie, D.J. and Jonas, K. (2015) Modulation of bacterial proliferation as a survival strategy. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **92**, 127–171 https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aambs.2015.02.004
- 5 Collier, J. (2016) Cell cycle control in Alphaproteobacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 30, 107–113 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.01.010
- Collier, J. (2012) Regulation of chromosomal replication in Caulobacter crescentus. Plasmid 67, 76–87 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2011.12.007
- 7 Taylor, J.A., Ouimet, M.C., Wargachuk, R. and Marczynski, G.T. et al. (2011) The *Caulobacter crescentus* chromosome replication origin evolved two classes of weak DnaA binding sites. *Mol. Microbiol.* **82**, 312–326 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07785.x



- 8 Marczynski, G.T. and Shapiro, L. (1992) Cell-cycle control of a cloned chromosomal origin of replication from Caulobacter crescentus. J. Mol. Biol. 226, 959–977 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(92)91045-Q
- Taylor, J.A., Panis, G., Viollier, P.H. and Marczynski, G.T. (2017) A novel nucleoid-associated protein coordinates chromosome replication and chromosome partition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 8916–8929 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx596
- Gorbatyuk, B. and Marczynski, G.T. (2001) Physiological consequences of blocked *Caulobacter crescentus* dnaA expression, an essential DNA replication gene. *Mol. Microbiol.* 40, 485–497 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02404.x
- 11 Gonzalez, D., Kozdon, J.B., McAdams, H.H., Shapiro, L. and Collier, J. (2014) The functions of DNA methylation by CcrM in *Caulobacter crescentus*: a global approach. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 3720–3735 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1352
- Mouammine, A. and Collier, J. (2018) The impact of DNA methylation in Alphaproteobacteria. Mol. Microbiol. 110, 1–10 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi. 14079
- 13 Quon, K.C., Yang, B., Domian, I.J., Shapiro, L. and Marczynski, G.T. (1998) Negative control of bacterial DNA replication by a cell cycle regulatory protein that binds at the chromosome origin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 120–125 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.1.120
- Jonas, K., Chen, Y.E. and Laub, M.T. (2011) Modularity of the bacterial cell cycle enables independent spatial and temporal control of DNA replication. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 1092–1101 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.040
- Siam, R., Brassinga, A.K. and Marczynski, G.T. (2003) A dual binding site for integration host factor and the response regulator CtrA inside the Caulobacter crescentus replication origin. J. Bacteriol. 185, 5563–5572 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.18.5563-5572.2003
- Muir, R.E. and Gober, J.W. (2005) Role of integration host factor in the transcriptional activation of flagellar gene expression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, 949–960 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.3.949-960.2005
- 17 Bastedo, D.P. and Marczynski, G.T. (2009) Ctra response regulator binding to the *Caulobacter* chromosome replication origin is required during nutrient and antibiotic stress as well as during cell cycle progression. *Mol. Microbiol.* **72**, 139–154 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06630.x
- Arias-Cartin, R., Dobihal, G.S., Campos, M., Surovtsev, I.V, Parry, B. and Jacobs-Wagner, C. (2017) Replication fork passage drives asymmetric dynamics of a critical nucleoid-associated protein in *Caulobacter. EMBO J.* 36, 301–318 https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201695513
- 19 Ricci, D.P., Melfi, M.D., Lasker, K., Dill, D.L., McAdams, H.H. and Shapiro, L. (2016) Cell cycle progression in *Caulobacter* requires a nucleoid-associated protein with high AT sequence recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, E5952–E5961 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1612579113
- 20 Guo, M.S., Haakonsen, D.L., Zeng, W., Schumacher, M.A. and Laub, M.T. (2018) A bacterial chromosome structuring protein binds overtwisted DNA to stimulate type II topoisomerases and enable DNA replication. Cell 175, 583–597.e23 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.029
- 21 Shaheen, S.M., Ouimet, M.-C. and Marczynski, G.T. (2009) Comparative analysis of Caulobacter chromosome replication origins. Microbiology 155, 1215–1225 https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.025528-0
- 22 Schrader, J.M., Zhou, B., Li, G.-W., Lasker, K., Childers, W.S., Williams, B. et al. (2014) The coding and noncoding architecture of the *Caulobacter crescentus* genome. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004463 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004463
- 23 Zhou, B., Schrader, J.M., Kalogeraki, V.S., Abeliuk, E., Dinh, C.B., Pham, J.Q. et al. (2015) The global regulatory architecture of transcription during the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1004831 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004831
- 24 Marczynski, G.T., Lentine, K. and Shapiro, L. (1995) A developmentally regulated chromosomal origin of replication uses essential transcription elements. *Genes Dev.* **9**, 1543–1557 https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.9.12.1543
- 25 Brilli, M., Fondi, M., Fani, R., Mengoni, A., Ferri, L., Bazzicalupo, M. et al. (2010) The diversity and evolution of cell cycle regulation in alpha-proteobacteria: a comparative genomic analysis. BMC Syst. Biol. 4, 52 https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-4-52
- 26 Domian, I.J., Quon, K.C. and Shapiro, L. (1997) Cell type-specific phosphorylation and proteolysis of a transcriptional regulator controls the G1-to-S transition in a bacterial cell cycle. Cell 90, 415–424 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80502-4
- 27 Laub, M.T., Chen, S.L., Shapiro, L. and McAdams, H.H. (2002) Genes directly controlled by CtrA, a master regulator of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 4632–4637 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.062065699
- 28 Judd, E.M., Ryan, K.R., Moerner, W.E., Shapiro, L. and McAdams, H.H. (2003) Fluorescence bleaching reveals asymmetric compartment formation prior to cell division in *Caulobacter. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 8235–8240 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1433105100
- 29 Holtzendorff, J., Hung, D., Brende, P., Reisenauer, A., Viollier1, P.H., McAdams, H.H. et al. (2004) Oscillating global regulators control the genetic circuit driving a bacterial cell cycle. Science 304, 983–987 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095191
- 30 Fioravanti, A., Fumeaux, C., Mohapatra, S.S., Bompard, C., Brilli, M., Frandi, A. et al. (2013) DNA binding of the cell cycle transcriptional regulator GcrA depends on N6-Adenosine methylation in *Caulobacter crescentus* and other alphaproteobacteria. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003541 https://doi.org/10.1371/iournal.pgen.1003541
- 31 Tan, M.H., Kozdon, J.B., Shen, X., Shapiro, L. and McAdams, H.H. (2010) An essential transcription factor, SciP, enhances robustness of *Caulobacter* cell cycle regulation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 18985–18990 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014395107
- 32 Gora, K.G., Tsokos, C.G., Chen, Y.E., Srinivasan, B.S., Perchuk, B.S. and Laub, M.T. (2010) A cell-type-specific protein-protein interaction modulates transcriptional activity of a master regulator in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Mol. Cell* **39**, 455–467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.06.024
- 33 Domian, I.J., Reisenauer, A. and Shapiro, L. (1999) Feedback control of a master bacterial cell-cycle regulator. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 6648–6653 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.12.6648
- 34 Biondi, E.G. Reisinger, S.J., Skerker, J.M., Arif, M., Perchuk, B.S., Ryan, K.R. et al. (2006) Regulation of the bacterial cell cycle by an integrated genetic circuit. *Nature* **444**, 899–904 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05321
- 35 Iniesta, A.A., McGrath, P.T., Reisenauer, A., McAdams, H.H. and Shapiro, L. (2006) A phospho-signaling pathway controls the localization and activity of a protease complex critical for bacterial cell cycle progression. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 10935–10940 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604554103
- Lori, C., Ozaki, S., Steiner, S., Böhm, R., Abel, S., Dubey, B.N. et al. (2015) Cyclic di-GMP acts as a cell cycle oscillator to drive chromosome replication. *Nature* **523**, 236–239 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14473
- 37 Dubey, B.N., Lori, C., Ozaki, S., Fucile, G., Plaza-Menacho, I., Jenal, U. et al. (2016) Cyclic di-GMP mediates a histidine kinase/phosphatase switch by noncovalent domain cross-linking. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1600823 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600823
- 38 Abel, S., Bucher, T., Nicollier, M., Hug, I., Kaever, V., Abel zur Wiesch, P. et al. (2013) Bi-modal distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP controls cell fate and asymmetry during the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003744 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003744



- 39 Mann, T.H., Seth Childers, W., Blair, J.A., Eckart, M.R. and Shapiro, L. (2016) A cell cycle kinase with tandem sensory PAS domains integrates cell fate cues. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11454 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11454
- 40 Childers, W.S., Xu, Q., Mann, T.H., Mathews, I.I., Blair, J.A., Deacon, A.M. et al. (2014) Cell fate regulation governed by a repurposed bacterial histidine kinase. *PLoS Biol.* 12, e1001979 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001979
- 41 Childers, W.S. and Shapiro, L. (2014) A pseudokinase couples signaling pathways to enable asymmetric cell division in a bacterium. *Microb. Cell* **2**, 29–32 https://doi.org/10.15698/mic2015.01.184
- 42 Mann, T.H. and Shapiro, L. (2018) Integration of cell cycle signals by multi-PAS domain kinases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E7166–E7173 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808543115
- 43 Smith, S.C., Joshi, K.K., Zik, J.J., Trinh, K., Kamajaya, A., Chien, P. et al. (2014) Cell cycle-dependent adaptor complex for ClpXP-mediated proteolysis directly integrates phosphorylation and second messenger signals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 14229–14234 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1407862111
- 44 Ozaki, S., Schalch-Moser, A., Zumthor, L., Manfredi, P., Ebbensgaard, A., Schirmer, T. et al. (2014) Activation and polar sequestration of PopA, a c-di-GMP effector protein involved in *Caulobacter crescentus* cell cycle control. *Mol. Microbiol.* **94**, 580–594 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12777
- 45 Lau, J., Hernandez-Alicea, L., Vass, R.H. and Chien, P. (2015) A phosphosignaling adaptor primes the AAA+ protease ClpXP to drive cell cycle-regulated proteolysis. *Mol. Cell* **59**, 104–116 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.05.014
- 46 Joshi, K.K., Bergé, M., Radhakrishnan, S.K., Viollier, P.H. and Chien, P. (2015) An adaptor hierarchy regulates proteolysis during a bacterial cell cycle. *Cell* **163**, 419–431 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.030
- 47 Joshi, K.K., Sutherland, M. and Chien, P. (2017) Cargo engagement protects protease adaptors from degradation in a substrate-specific manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* **292**, 10973–10982 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.786392
- 48 Joshi, K.K., Battle, C.M. and Chien, P. (2018) Polar localization Hub protein PopZ restrains adaptor-Dependent ClpXP proteolysis in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J. Bacteriol.* **200** https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00221-18
- 49 Bergé, M. and Viollier, P.H. (2018) End-in-sight: cell polarization by the polygamic organizer PopZ. Trends. Microbiol. 26, 363–375 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.tim.2017.11.007
- 50 Felletti, M., Omnus, D.J. and Jonas, K. (2018) Regulation of the replication initiator dnaA in *Caulobacter crescentus. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene. Regul. Mech.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2018.01.004
- 51 Zweiger, G. and Shapiro, L. (1994) Expression of *Caulobacter* dnaA as a function of the cell cycle. *J. Bacteriol.* **176**, 401–408 https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.176.2.401-408.1994
- 52 Collier, J., McAdams, H.H. and Shapiro, L. (2007) A DNA methylation ratchet governs progression through a bacterial cell cycle. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S.A.* **104**, 17111–6 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708112104
- 53 Cheng, L. and Keiler, K.C. (2009) Correct timing of dnaA transcription and initiation of DNA replication requires trans translation. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 4268–4275 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00362-09
- 54 Collier, J. and Shapiro, L. (2009) Feedback control of DnaA-mediated replication initiation by replisome-associated HdaA protein in *Caulobacter*. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 5706–5716 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00525-09
- 55 Fernandez-Fernandez, C., Gonzalez, D. and Collier, J. (2011) Regulation of the activity of the dual-function DnaA protein in *Caulobacter crescentus*. PLoS ONE **6**, e26028 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026028
- Fernandez-Fernandez, C., Grosse, K., Sourjik, V. and Collier, J. (2013) The beta-sliding clamp directs the localization of HdaA to the replisome in *Caulobacter crescentus. Microbiology* **159**, 2237–2248 https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.068577-0
- 57 Wargachuk, R. and Marczynski, G.T. (2015) The Caulobacter crescentus homolog of DnaA (HdaA) also regulates the proteolysis of the replication initiator protein DnaA. J. Bacteriol. 197, 3521–3532 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00460-15
- 58 Gorbatyuk, B. and Marczynski, G.T. (2005) Regulated degradation of chromosome replication proteins DnaA and CtrA in *Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol.* **55**, 1233–1245 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04459.x
- 59 Liu, J., Francis, L.I., Jonas, K., Laub, M.T. and Chien, P. (2016) ClpAP is an auxiliary protease for DnaA degradation in *Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol.* **102**, 1075–1085 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13537
- Jonas, K., Liu, J., Chien, P. and Laub, M.T. (2013) Proteotoxic stress induces a cell-cycle arrest by stimulating Lon to degrade the replication initiator DnaA. Cell 154, 623–636 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.034
- 61 Lesley, J.A. and Shapiro, L. (2008) Spot regulates DnaA stability and initiation of DNA replication in carbon-starved *Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol.*190, 6867–6880 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00700-08
- 62 Boutte, C.C. and Crosson, S. (2011) The complex logic of stringent response regulation in *Caulobacter crescentus*: starvation signalling in an oligotrophic environment. *Mol. Microbiol.* **80**, 695–714 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07602.x
- 63 Stott, K.V., Wood, S.M., Blair, J.A., Nguyen, B.T., Herrera, A., Mora, Y.G.P. et al. (2015) (P)ppGpp modulates cell size and the initiation of DNA replication in *Caulobacter crescentus* in response to a block in lipid biosynthesis. *Microbiology* **161**(Pt), 553–564 https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000032
- 64 Ronneau, S., Petit, K., De Bolle, X. and Hallez, R. (2016) Phosphotransferase-dependent accumulation of (p)ppGpp in response to glutamine deprivation in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11423 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11423
- 65 Sanselicio, S. and Viollier, P.H. (2015) Convergence of alarmone and cell cycle signaling from *Trans*-encoded sensory domains. *MBio* 6 https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01415-15
- 66 Gonzalez, D. and Collier, J. (2014) Effects of (p)ppGpp on the progression of the cell cycle of Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. 196, 2514–2525 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01575-14
- 67 Sanselicio, S., Bergé, M., Théraulaz, L., Radhakrishnan, S.K. and Viollier, P.H. (2015) Topological control of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle circuitry by a polarized single-domain PAS protein. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7005 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8005
- 68 Leslie, D.J., Heinen, C., Schramm, F.D., Thüring, M., Aakre, C.D., Murray, S.M. et al. (2015) Nutritional control of DNA replication initiation through the proteolysis and regulated translation of dnaA. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005342 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005342
- 69 Schramm, F.D., Heinrich, K., Thüring, M., Bernhardt, J., Jonas, K. and Viollier, P.H. (2017) An essential regulatory function of the DnaK chaperone dictates the decision between proliferation and maintenance in *Caulobacter crescentus*. PLoS Genet. 13, e1007148 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007148



- Aakre, C.D., Phung, T.N., Huang, D. and Laub, M.T. (2013) A bacterial toxin inhibits DNA replication elongation through a direct interaction with the beta sliding clamp. *Mol. Cell* **52**, 617–628 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.10.014
- 71 Heinrich, K., Sobetzko, P. and Jonas, K. (2016) A kinase-phosphatase switch transduces environmental information into a bacterial cell cycle circuit. PLoS Genet. 12, e1006522 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006522
- 72 Boutte, C.C., Henry, J.T. and Crosson, S. (2012) Ppgpp and polyphosphate modulate cell cycle progression in Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. 194, 28–35 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05932-11
- 73 Beroual, W., Brilli, M. and Biondi, E.G. (2018) Non-coding RNAs potentially controlling cell cycle in the model *Caulobacter crescentus*: a bioinformatic approach. *Front. Genet.* **9**, 164 https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00164
- 74 Landt, S.G., Abeliuk, E., McGrath, P.T., Lesley, J.A., McAdams, H.H. and Shapiro, L. (2008) Small non-coding RNAs in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol. 68, 600–614 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06172.x
- 75 Lasker, K., Schrader, J.M., Men, Y., Marshik, T., Dill, D.L., McAdams, H.H. et al. (2016) Caulobrowser: a systems biology resource for *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D640–D645 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1050
- 76 Irnov, I., Wang, Z., Jannetty, N.D., Bustamante, J.A., Rhee, K.Y., Jacobs-Wagner, C. et al. (2017) Crosstalk between the tricarboxylic acid cycle and peptidoglycan synthesis in *Caulobacter crescentus* through the homeostatic control of alpha-ketoglutarate. *PLoS Genet.* 13, e1006978 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006978
- 77 Mouammine, A., Eich, K., Frandi, A. and Collier, J. (2018) Control of proline utilization by the Lrp-like regulator PutR in *Caulobacter crescentus. Sci. Rep.* **8**, 14677 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32660-3
- Hottes, A.K., Shapiro, L. and McAdams, H.H. (2005) Dnaa coordinates replication initiation and cell cycle transcription in *Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol.* 58, 1340–1353 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04912.x
- 79 Mera, P.E., Kalogeraki, V.S. and Shapiro, L. (2014) Replication initiator dnaA binds at the *Caulobacter* centromere and enables chromosome segregation. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 16100–5 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418989111
- 80 Pini, F., De Nisco, N.J., Ferri, L., Penterman, J., Fioravanti, A., Brilli, M. et al. (2015) Cell cycle control by the master regulator CtrA in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005232 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005232
- 81 Figueroa-Cuilan, W., Daniel, J.J., Howell, M., Sulaiman, A. and Brown, P.J.B. (2016) Mini-Tn7 insertion in an artificial attTn7 site enables depletion of the essential master regulator ctrA in the phytopathogen agrobacterium tumefaciens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 5015–5025 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01392-16
- 82 Francis, N., Poncin, K., Fioravanti, A., Vassen, V., Willemart, K., Ong, T.A.P. et al. (2017) Ctra controls cell division and outer membrane composition of the pathogen *Brucella abortus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **103**, 780–797 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13589
- 83 Tsokos, C.G. and Laub, M.T. (2012) Polarity and cell fate asymmetry in Caulobacter crescentus. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 15, 744–750 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2012.10.011