A National Survey on the characteristics of Iranian General Practitioners and Their Preferred Specialty: A Need to Transition toward Preventive Medicine

Abstract

Background: The shortage and unbalanced distribution of physicians has adverse effects on the provision of equitable services at all levels of health care and especially at the prevention and primary care levels. The choice of specialty can seriously change the structure and composition of the physician workforce. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the relationship between background characteristics of Iranian general practitioners' (GPs) and their preferred specialty fields. Methods: In this mixed-method study, first, 12 medical doctors participated in a nominal group technique to determine the most important background characteristics that affect GPs' preferences for specialty selection. In the second phase, we conducted a survey among 680 GPs in six provinces from different geographic regions. We gathered data using a researcher-made checklist and analyzed them using an adjusted multivariate regression. Results: The adjusted analysis showed that being female, being married, being in an older age group, having children, graduation from universities located in the provincial centers, and decision for living and practicing in the less-populated areas were significantly associated with the Iranian GPs' preferences for non-surgical specialties. Conclusions: This study provided evidence that could inform national health workforce policy-makers to avoid unbalanced distribution of physicians and accordingly to ensure the provision of equitable services at all levels of healthcare and especially at the primary care level. Other effective factors on the selection of specialty should be evaluated at the national level using specific surveys and econometrics studies such as discrete selection experiment to move toward preventive medicine.

Keywords: Career, choice, factors, medical, preventive medicine, socioeconomic, specialty

Introduction

Provision of health services at the right time, in the right place, and with the desired quality is one of the most important objectives of health systems.^[1] All activities performed to achieve this goal pave the way for equitable access to health services at all levels of care.^[2] The success of health systems in this area depends largely on the health workforce.^[3] An adequate number of the health workforce that is well trained and equitably distributed is of utmost importance to ensure the success of health systems in achieving their fundamental goals such as universal health coverage.^[4]

The shortage and imbalance of the health workforce and especially physicians has severely affected the performance of health systems in some countries.^[5] This situation is worse in developing countries due to

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@ wolterskluwer.com

the persistent underlying problems.^[6] One factor that can seriously change the structure and composition of the physician workforce is the choice of specialty.^[7] The selection of specialty can lead to an unbalanced distribution of physicians in various specialty fields and across different geographical regions^[8, 9] and thus may restrict access to the necessary services at different levels of health care.^[10,11]

The tendency toward particular types of medical specialty could result in impaired access to services in other fields. For example, preference for hospital-related specialties such as surgical careers may cause a shortage of physician workforce in other areas, particularly in primary health care specialties.^[5] On the other hand, some studies indicated that prolonged exposure of medical students to primary health care fields resulted in a decreased preference for the selection of surgical specialties.

How to cite this article: Sarikhani Y, Bastani P, Bayati M. A national survey on the characteristics of Iranian general practitioners and their preferred specialty: A need to transition toward preventive medicine. Int J Prev Med 2021;12:84.

Yaser Sarikhani, Peivand Bastani¹, Mohsen Bayati¹

Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, 'Health Human Resources Research Center, School of Management and Information Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Address for correspondence: Prof. Peivand Bastani, Almas Building, Health Human Resources Research Center, Alley 29, Qasrodasht Ave, School of Management and Information Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. E-mail: bastanip@sums.ac.ir



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Accordingly, this has restricted provision of services at the second and third levels of health care.^[12-15]

Identifying factors related to the choice of specialty is necessary to provide the essential evidence for physician workforce policy and planning.^[16] This information could inform policies for the provision of equitable service at all levels of health care.^[17,18] Studies have reported various factors as influential determinants of specialty selection. Career-related factors,^[19-21] demographic and background characteristics,^[22-24] personal aspects,^[20,23,24] and educational factors^[23,24] are among the leading effective factors reported.

Iran, as a middle-income developing country, faces chronic challenges regarding the shortage and unbalanced distribution of the physician workforce.^[25] Statistics show that in July 2020, there were 88040 general practitioners and 51399 specialist physicians with professional qualification license in Iran.^[26] At the same time, national reports indicated that the physician-to-population ratio in Iran was 1.6 per 1000, while this ratio should be at least 2.5.^[27] It is also reported that the composition of physicians in terms of different specialty fields has an unbalanced distribution in the country.^[28-30]

Due to the significant impact of specialty choice on the potential of a health system in providing adequate and appropriate health services, factors that influence the choice of specialty as a career should be investigated based on the specific context of each country.^[10] Up to the best of our knowledge, no comprehensive study in Iran has examined the effect of contextual variables on the choice of specialty. Therefore, in this national survey, we aimed to investigate the association between background characteristics of Iranian general practitioners (GPs) and their preferred specialty fields that are categorized as surgical and non-surgical ones.

Materials and Methods

Study setting

This mixed-method study was designed in two sequential phases. In the first phase (qualitative phase), we used a Nominal Group Technique (NGT) to determine the most important background characteristics that affect the choice of specialty. We used the results of the NGT to develop a checklist for the quantitative study. In the second stage, we conducted a questionnaire-based survey among Iranian GPs from March to June 2020. We carried out the survey in the six provinces from different regions of the country, including Tehran in the north, Khorasan Razavi in the northeast, Fars in the south, Kerman in the southeast, Khuzestan in the southwest, and Kermanshah in the west of the country. We selected these provinces with diverse socio-economic contexts to ensure maximum possible variability. The target population in these six provinces was equivalent to 56.1% (number of GPs = 48964) of the national GPs' population at the time of this study (June 2020).

Qualitative phase

In this step, 12 participants from three groups participated in the NGT session. These groups include medical students, recently graduated GPs, and medical residents from Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. We used purposeful convenient sampling to recruit samples from different groups in order to obtain heterogeneous opinions. Because the number of participants in a NGT affects the quality of results, we targeted a sample size of 12 based on the guidelines provided for NGT.[31] In addition, we selected more residents because of their recent experience in the selection of specialty. Willingness to participate in the NGT was considered as an inclusion criterion. We made phone calls to the individuals based on the information provided by the university and asked them to participate in the study. All those who agreed to participate in the study were included in the NGT. The first group consisted of three medical students in the sixth and seventh years of the training course. We selected medical interns because clinical experiences could influence the choice of specialty.^[7] The second group was included four recently graduated GPs who were preparing for the national medical residency exam. We selected those GPs who had almost made decisions about their favorite specialty fields. The last group was comprised of five first-year medical residents who were selected because of their recent experiences in the selection of specialty. Two residents were from surgical fields and three others from non-surgical majors. All participants completed an informed consent form before the study. We held the NGT session at the most convenient time and place for the participants. The NGT session lasted about an hour and a half. We used a five-step process proposed by Potter et al. for NGT, including 1. Introduction, 2. Silent idea generation, 3. Record of ideas, 4. Discussion of ideas, and 5. Rating the ideas.^[31]

In the first step, we explained the objectives of the study and provided detailed information on the process of the NGT to the participants. In the second stage, the research question was presented to the group members. In this regard, we asked the participants: "What are the most important background characteristics influencing the choice of specialty? Please write them down without discussing or consulting with other members". In the third part, one of the authors (as a facilitator) recorded all ideas in a flip board word by word and without any discussion. The recording process continued until all ideas have been recorded. In the fourth step, we asked the group members to discuss those characteristics that were not clear to them. The participants were also requested to suggest new characteristics and combine them into main categories if it was necessary. No characteristic was eliminated in this step. In the last part, we asked participants to prioritize recorded characteristics using a simple scoring technique. Accordingly, after adequate discussions, all participants rated the characteristics between 1 (least important)

and 5 (highest important). Finally, the group selected 12 characteristics with an average score above half of the total score (i.e., 30). We used the items selected in this step to develop a checklist for the quantitative study.

Quantitative phase

The quantitative phase of the study was conducted among Iranian GPs from six provinces. In this regard, we carried out a multistage random cluster sampling. Firstly, we obtained a complete list of GPs in the six provinces from the Medical Council of the Islamic Republic of Iran (IRIMC). In the second stage, geographic regions within each province were clustered based on their population. Thirdly, we divided each cluster into two groups based on gender status. Finally, we performed a proportional random sampling in each gender group. Willingness to attend to a specialty course and preparing to take the medical residency exam were considered as inclusion criteria. The desire to withdraw from the study at any stage of the data collection process was considered as exclusion criteria.

We estimated the sample size according to the calculation of the rule of the thumb. Based on the Sudman Rule, a maximum of 100 sample units would be sufficient per 10,000 target population.^[32] Because the number of GPs in the six provinces was 48964, the recruitment of 480 participants was suffice for this study. However, we targeted a sample size of 680 to improve the power of estimations.

We gathered data using a researcher-made checklist. The checklist consisted of some descriptions about the aim of the study, 12 questions about background characteristics, and one question on GPs' preferred specialty field. For data gathering, we made phone calls to the GPs based on the information provided by IRIMC and determined those who were eligible and accepted to participate in the study. Participants then completed the checklists provided to them by one of the research colleagues. Before gathering the data, all participants completed an informed consent form.

We analyzed data using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). To investigate the univariate association between GPs' background characteristics and their preferred specialty fields, we used odds ratio (OR) and corresponding %95 confidence interval (%95 CI). We also estimated the adjusted ORs using backward multiple logistic regression to control the effect of possible confounders. Variables with a P value of equal to or greater than 0.2 in the univariate analysis were not included in the multivariate model. The significance level considered for all test was less than 0.05.

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences under the code IR.SUMS. REC.1398.940.

Results

Twelve participants attended to the NGT session with a mean age of 26.7 + 4.367 and fifty percent of them were women. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants in the NGT.

During the NGT session, participants provided a comprehensive list of background characteristics regarding the choice of specialty. Thereupon, those characteristics that scored above half of the total score (i.e., 30) were selected by the group. We used the selected characteristics to develop a checklist for the quantitative phase of the study. Table 2 indicates the selected characteristics and their scores.

A total of 682 GPs participated in the quantitative study, ranging in age from 26 to 45 years. Slightly more than 53% of respondents were male (N: 362), and 71.4% of them (N: 487) were living in provincial centers. Table 3 indicates the univariate and adjusted association between GPs' background characteristics and their preferred specialty fields. The univariate results showed a significant association between preferences for non-surgical specialties and being female (OR: 1.84, 95% CI: 1.35 to 2.51), being married (OR: 4.85, 95% CI: 3.38 to 6.96), having children (OR: 2.36, 95% CI: 1.59 to 3.49), being in the older age group (OR: 5.11, 95% CI: 3.37 to 7.73), living in areas with a population of more than 100,000 (OR: 2.17, 95% CI: 1.44 to 3.26), living in areas with a population of less than 100,000 (OR: 1.28, 95% CI: 1.13 to 2.95), working in areas with a population more than 100,000 (OR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.06 to 3.04), graduating from universities located in provincial centers (OR: 2.22, 95% CI: 1.45 to 3.41), preference for living in areas with a population more than 100,000 (OR: 10.42, 95% CI: 3.67 to 29.57), and preference for living in areas with a population less than 100,000 (OR: 5.40, 95% CI: 1.85 to 15.82). However, after adjustment, a significant association was not observed for living location and working location.

Finally, the results of Multiple backward logistic regression indicated that female GPs (P < 0.0001), married

Participants	Age*	Gender [†]		Living Location [†]	
		Male	Female	Rural Areas or Small Cities	Big Cities
Medical students	24 (23-25)	1 (33)	2 (67)	2 (67)	1 (33)
Junior Doctors	27 (26-29)	2 (50)	2 (50)	1 (25)	3 (75)
Medical residents	29 (28-30)	3 (60)	2 (40)	2 (40)	3 (60)

*Mean (Range). [†]Frequency (Percent)

Background Characteristics	Dimensions	Total Score (Range	
Gender	Male, Female	55 (3-5)	
Marital status	Married, Single	54 (3-5)	
Having children	Yes, No	52 (3-5)	
Age	26-35, 36-45	49 (2-5)	
Living location	Pop <100000 [†] , Pop>100000, Provincial centers	48 (2-5)	
Spouse job	Physician, Other jobs	45 (2-5)	
Parents job	Physician, Other jobs	44 (2-5)	
Job location	Pop <100000 [†] , Pop >100000, Provincial centers	43 (2-5)	
Family physician	Yes, No	41 (2-5)	
Graduation place	Provincial centers, Other cities	39 (2-5)	
Practice setting	Salaried, self-employed, No job	38 (2-5)	
Preferred living and job location	Pop <100000 [†] , Pop >100000, Provincial centers, Other countries	34 (1-5)	

[†]Living in an area with a population of less than 10,0000

GPs (P < 0.0001), those who had children (P < 0.0001), GPs in the older age group (P: 0.001), GPs graduated from universities located in provincial centers (P: 0.037), those who preferred to live in areas with a population more than 100,000 (P: 0.008), and GPs preferred to live in areas with a population less than 100,000 (P: 0.001) were more likely to prefer non-surgical specialties [Table 4].

Discussions

The choice of specialty has a significant impact on the provision of services at different levels of healthcare, especially at the primary care level. Physician workforce policy should be informed by evidence on the factors influencing the choice of specialty to ensure equitable distribution of services at the prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation levels. In this mixed-method study, we investigated the association between GPs' preferred specialty fields and their background characteristics as important determinants of specialty choice.

The findings of this study indicated that gender was significantly associated with the preferred specialty type, so that female GPs were more likely to prefer non-surgical specialties. This finding is in line with many other studies that showed there is a gender difference in the specialty preferences.[33-35] The results of several studies indicated that female physicians were more inclined to non-surgical careers and particularly primary health care specialties because of different reasons such as lifestyle,^[5,13,36] working conditions,^[37-39] gender-based discriminations, lack of role models,^[40] as well as empathy and people-orientation attitudes.^[35] Generally, gender has been described as a potential deterrent for surgical specialties.[41-43] On the contrary, the study of Scanlan et al. among foundation doctors in the UK suggested that the traditional gender gap in specialty selection may be narrowing.^[44] Furthermore, Baschera women et al. discussed that gender might not be a significant determinant of preference for non-surgical specialties.^[45] It seems that differences and changes in cultural values could explain these discrepancies in

various societies.^[46] It is also suggested that changes in the female-to-male physicians' ratio in some countries may justify the higher rate of females in surgical specialties.^[45] It could be hypothesized that surgical fields in countries such as Iran are male-dominated, which may convince women to select non-surgical specialties.

The current study revealed that being married and having children were associated with non-surgical specialty preferences. These results confirm findings of other studies reported that marital status^[47-49] and child-rearing status^[38,50,51] are background factors that can significantly explain physicians' preferences for specialty selection. Some review studies reported that married physicians^[52-54] and those who have children^[55,56] were more likely to choose specialties that ensure work-life balance. A review study conducted by Puertas et al. indicated that being married and work-family balance are two correlated factors that directly affect the selection of primary care specialties.^[5] Most studies have analyzed the impact of work-life balance on the choice of specialty concerning gender.^[38,57,58] However, findings of the current study using multivariate analysis showed that marital status and having children were independently associated with the preferred specialty. These findings are consistent with the results of some studies that reported the importance of work-life balance is increasing for men as well.^[59-61] It is suggested that these discrepancies may be due to generational changes and cultural differences.[37,62-64]

We found that GPs in the older age group were more likely to prefer non-surgical specialties. Studies have shown conflicting results regarding the effect of age on the choice of specialty. However, the majority of studies have pointed to age as an effective determinant. Although some studies have not reported a relationship between age and the choice of specialty,^[65,66] many other studies indicated that age is associated with the specialty preferences. ^[36,67-69] It seems that these discrepancies may be related to the different samples and specialty fields investigated

		ed associations between GPs' bac			
Characteristics	Preferred specialty field		P^{\dagger}	OR (95% CI) [‡]	OR (95% CI)§
<u></u>	Surgical	Non-surgical			
Gender	005 (50 4)*	105 (11.0)	.0.0001		
Male	237 (59.4)*	125 (44.2)	< 0.0001	1	
Female	162 (40.6)	158 (55.8)		1.84 (1.35-2.51)	4.77 (2.63-8.65)
Marital status					
Married	193 (48.4)	232 (82.0)	< 0.0001	4.85 (3.38-6.96)	5.79 (3.96-9.21)
Single	206 (51.6)	51 (18.0)		1	1
Having children					
Yes	87 (45.1)	153 (65.9)	< 0.0001	2.36 (1.59-3.49)	4.44 (2.06-9.56)
No	106 (54.9)	79 (34.1)		1	1
Age					
26-35	361 (90.5)	184 (65.0)	< 0.0001	1	1
36-45	38 (9.5)	99 (35.5)		5.11 (3.37-7.73)	2.90 (1.55-5.42)
Living location					
Pop <100,000	38 (9.5)	40 (14.1)	< 0.0001	1.82 (1.13-2.95)	0.51 (0.09-2.89)
Pop >100,000	52 (13.0)	65 (23.0)		2.17 (1.44-3.26)	0.87 (0.25-2.93)
Provincial centers	309 (77.4)	178 (62.9)		1	1
Spouse job	. ,				
Physician	94 (48.7)	120 (51.7)	0.5300	1.28 (0.77-1.65)	
Other jobs	99 (51.3)	112 (48.3)		1	
Parents job					
Physician	36 (9.0)	16 (5.7)	0.1000	0.60 (0.32-1.12)	0.73 (0.24-2.18)
Other jobs	363 (91.0)	267 (94.3)		1	1
Job location	()				
Pop <100,000	82 (25.8)	66 (28.6)	< 0.0001	1	1
Pop >100,000	38 (11.9)	55 (23.8)		1.79 (1.06-3.04)	0.58 (0.18-1.80)
Provincial centers	198 (62.3)	110 (47.6)		0.69 (0.46-1.02)	0.45 (0.19-1.07)
Family physician	190 (02.5)	110 (17.0)		0.09 (0.10 1.02)	0.15 (0.15 1.07)
Yes	98 (30.8)	62 (26.8)	0.3100	0.82 (0.56-1.19)	
No	220 (69.2)	169 (73.2)	0.5100	1	
Graduation place	220 (0).2)	109 (75.2)		1	
Provincial centers	306 (76.7)	249 (88.0)	< 0.0001	2.22 (1.45-3.41)	0.38 (0.17-0.89)
Other cities	93 (23.3)	34 (12.0)	<0.0001	1	1
Practice setting	<i>J</i> ³ (25.5)	54 (12.0)		1	1
Salaried	179 (44.9)	144 (50.9)	0.2900	1	
			0.2900	1.25 (0.83-1.89)	
Self-employed No job	139 (34.8) 81 (20.3)	87 (30.7) 52 (18.4)		0.97 (0.62-1.51)	
Preferred living and job location	01 (20.3)	52 (10.4)		0.97 (0.02-1.31)	
	10 (1 9)	28(0.0)	<0.0001	5 10 (1 95 15 97)	21 72 (2 22 102 95
Pop <100,000	19 (4.8)	28 (9.9)	< 0.0001	5.40 (1.85-15.82)	21.73 (2.33-102.85)
Pop >100,000	19 (4.8)	54 (19.1)		10.42 (3.67-29.57)	6.77 (1.29-35.45)
Provincial centers	339 (85.0)	195 (68.9)		2.10 (0.84-5.29)	1.73 (0.41-7.29)
Other countries (Migration)	22 (5.5)	6 (2.1)		1	1

*Number (Percent), [†]Using Chi-square test, [‡]Univariate odds ratio and corresponding 95% confidence interval, [§]Adjusted odds ratio and corresponding 95% confidence interval using multiple logistic regression, ^{II}The variable is not included in the adjusted model due to the amount of *P* value in the univariate analysis

in various studies. It is also suggested that generational changes in the attitudes and values of individuals may explain the differences.^[36] Consistent with the result of our research, Bland *et al.*^[70] and Puertas *et al.*^[5] found in their review studies that age (and specifically being older) is an effective factor in the selection of primary care specialties. It could be concluded that because older physicians may place more importance to the personal life, flexibility of

work schedules, and work-life balance, they would prefer to choose non-surgical specialties.^[36,71]

The present study indicated that preference for particular specialty had a significant association with the physicians' graduation place. Therefore, those who graduated from universities located in the provincial centers were more likely to prefer non-surgical specialties. This finding is in line with other studies reported that place of graduation

Table 4: Adjusted associations between GPs' background				
characteristics and preferred specialty field using				
backward logistic regression				

Background Characteristics	OR (95% CI)*	Р
Gender		
Male	1	
Female	4.81 (2.68-8.64)	< 0.0001
Marital status		
Married	4.97 (2.73-9.12)	< 0.0001
Single	1	
Having children		
Yes	3.49 (1.74-7.00)	< 0.0001
No	1	
Age		
26-35	1	
36-45	2.76 (1.49-5.11)	0.0010
Graduation place		
Provincial centers	0.43 (0.19-0.95)	0.0370
Other cities	1	
Preferred living & job location		
Pop <100000	20.06 (3.42-117.72)	0.0010
Pop >100000	7.88 (1.69-36.61)	0.0080
Provincial centers	1.78 (0.44-7.09)	0.4130
Other countries (Migration)	1	

*Adjusted odds ratio and corresponding 95% confidence interval using backward logistic regression

is associated with the selection of specialty. Kim *et al.* reported in their cross-sectional study in Korea that medical students from metropolitan medical schools were less likely to follow a non-surgical specialty.^[72] A qualitative study by Farahmand *et al.* in Iran showed that the place of graduation is a determinant of selecting emergency medicine.^[73] It seems that university norms,^[74] the content of the curriculum and its focus on specific fields,^[5] the influence of educational environments,^[75] and educational experiences^[7] are some components that could explain the effect of different universities on the development of preferences toward particular specialty fields.

Finally, this study showed that non-surgical specialties were more likely to be chosen by GPs who wanted to live and work in sparsely populated cities compared to those physicians who interested to immigrate to other countries or planned to live and practice in provincial centers. Plenty of studies reported that future living and practice location is an effective factor in the choice of specialty.^[20,76-78] Two review studies reported that interest in living in less-populated areas is an effective factor in the preferences of primary care specialties such as family physician.^[5,74] Furthermore, Ricketts et al. reported that primary care physicians were less likely to immigrate to other countries.^[79] It could be assumed that the possibility of more specialized practice, potential for connection with the academic medical centers,^[80] and better job opportunities are some causes that explain the relationship between GPs' preference for surgical specialties

Strengths and limitations of the study

In this study, we used a mixed-method design that could provide a more depth insight into the topic. Another strength of the current study is that we conducted the survey in different geographical parts of the country to ensure the generalizability of the results. The main limitation of this study is that we focused only on the background characteristics, and therefore, career-related factors and other personal aspects such as personality traits, economic interests, cultural values, as well as personal attitudes were not considered. It is noteworthy that because of the importance of background characteristics and due to the lack of a comprehensive study in the country, we aimed to investigate the association between these factors and the preference for particular specialty fields. It is evident that the impact of other determinants should be investigated through studies specific to each factor. In this regard, preference elicitation studies such as discrete choice experiment could be used to analyze the importance of career-related features. Moreover, specific qualitative and cross-sectional studies are required to explore the effect of other personal determinants.

Conclusions

This mixed-method study indicated that being female, being married, being in an older age group, having children, graduation from universities located in the provincial centers, and decision for living and practicing in the less-populated areas were significantly associated with the Iranian GPs' preferences for non-surgical specialties. This evidence could inform national health workforce policy makers to avoid imbalanced distribution of physicians across different specialty fields and accordingly to ensure the provision of equitable services at all levels of health care and especially at the prevention and primary care levels. Other factors that affect physicians' preferences in choosing a specialty should be evaluated at the national level using qualitative approaches, factor-specific cross-sectional surveys, and econometrics studies such as discrete selection experiment.

Acknowledgments

This article was extracted from a Ph.D. dissertation written by Yaser Sarikhani in the field of Health Services Management, which was approved and financially supported by Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran (Grant No. 98.01.07.20054).

Financial support and sponsorship

This article was financially supported by Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

The article was approved by ethical committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences under the code IR.SUMS. REC.1398.940.

Received: 31 Jul 20 Accepted: 14 Oct 20 Published: 09 Jul 21

References

- 1. Baicker K, Levy H. Coordination versus competition in health care reform. N Engl J Med 2013;369:789-91.
- Khanassov V, Pluye P, Descoteaux S, Haggerty JL, Russell G, Gunn J, *et al.* Organizational interventions improving access to community-based primary health care for vulnerable populations: A scoping review. Int J Equity Health 2016;15:168.
- WHO. Monitoring the Building Blocks of Health Systems: A Handbook of Indicators and Their Measurement Strategies. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- Campbell J, Buchan J, Cometto G, David B, Dussault G, Fogstad H, *et al.* Human resources for health and universal health coverage: Fostering equity and effective coverage. Bull World Health Organ 2013;91:853-63.
- Puertas EB, Arósquipa C, Gutiérrez D. Factors that influence a career choice in primary care among medical students from high-, middle-, and low-income countries: A systematic review. Rev Panam Salud Pública 2013;34:351-8.
- Al-Shamsi M. Addressing the physicians' shortage in developing countries by accelerating and reforming the medical education: Is it possible? J Adv Med Educ Prof 2017;5:210-9.
- Querido S, van den Broek S, de Rond M, Wigersma L, ten Cate O. Factors affecting senior medical students' career choice. Int J Med Educ 2018;9:332-9.
- Cleland J, Johnston PW, French FH, Needham G. Associations between medical school and career preferences in Year 1 medical students in Scotland. Med Educ 2012;46:473-84.
- 9. Walsh S, Arnold B, Pickwell-Smith B, Summers B. What kind of doctor would you like me to be? Clin Teach 2016;13:98-101.
- Saigal P, Takemura Y, Nishiue T, Fetters MD. Factors considered by medical students when formulating their specialty preferences in Japan: Findings from a qualitative study. BMC Med Educ 2007;7:31.
- Takeda Y, Morio K, Snell L, Otaki J, Takahashi M, Kai I. Characteristic profiles among students and junior doctors with specific career preferences. BMC Med Educ 2013;13:125.
- Erzurum VZ, Obermeyer RJ, Fecher A, Thyagarajan P, Tan P, Koler AK, *et al.* What influences medical students' choice of surgical careers. Surgery 2000;128:253-6.
- Peel JK, Schlachta CM, Alkhamesi NA. A systematic review of the factors affecting choice of surgery as a career. Canadian J Surg 2018;61:58-67.
- Grigg M, Arora M, Diwan AD. Australian medical students and their choice of surgery as a career: A review. ANZ J Surg 2014;84:653-5.
- Delavari S, Arab M, Rashidian A, Nedjat S, Souteh RG. A qualitative inquiry into the challenges of medical education for retention of general practitioners in rural and underserved areas of Iran. J Prev Med Public Health 2016;49:386-93.
- 16. Kaliyadan F, Amin TT, Qureshi H, Al Wadani F. Specialty preferences of 1st year medical students in a Saudi Medical School–Factors affecting these choices and the influence of

gender. Avicenna J Med 2015;5:134-9.

- Chang P-Y, Hung C-Y, Wang K-I, Huang Y-H, Chang K-J. Factors influencing medical students' choice of specialty. J Formos Med Assoc 2006;105:489-96.
- Guraya SY, Almaramhy HH. Mapping the factors that influence the career specialty preferences by the undergraduate medical students. Saudi J Biol Sci 2018;25:1096-101.
- Alawad AAMA, Khan WS, Abdelrazig YM, Elzain YI, Khalil HO, Ahmed OBE, *et al.* Factors considered by undergraduate medical students when selecting specialty of their future careers. Pan Afr Med J 2015;20:102.
- Anand R, Sankaran PS. Factors influencing the career preferences of medical students and interns: A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based survey from India. J Educ Eval Health Prof 2019;16:12.
- Ligia Correia Lima de S, Mendonça VRR, Garcia GBC, Brandão EC, Barral-Netto M. Medical specialty choice and related factors of Brazilian medical students and recent doctors. PLoS One 2015;10:e0133585.
- 22. Dossajee H, Obonyo N, Ahmed SM. Career preferences of final year medical students at a medical school in Kenya--A cross sectional study. BMC Med Educ 2016;16:5.
- Hamid S, Ali Inam SH, Jamil H, Zeb R. Speciality preference with respect to gender among medical students of Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc 2019;69:1190-3.
- Khader Y, Al-Zoubi D, Amarin Z, Alkafagei A, Khasawneh M, Burgan S, *et al.* Factors affecting medical students in formulating their specialty preferences in Jordan. BMC Med Educ 2008;8:32.
- Sajadi HS, Ehsani-Chimeh E, Majdzadeh R. Universal health coverage in Iran: Where we stand and how we can move forward. Med J Islam Repub Iran 2019;33:9.
- IRIMC. Number of Members with Professional Qualification License. Tehran: Islamic Republic of Iran Medical Council; 2020. Available from: https://stats.irimc.org/Members/ ActivePMatabByMCCodeType. [Last accessed on 2020 Mar 06].
- MOHME. There are 6,800 doctors working in rural areas. Iran: Ministry of Health and Medical Education; 2019. Available from: http://behdasht.gov.ir/?siteid=1&pageid=54895&newsview =192341&pro=nobak. [Last accessed on 2020 Sep 02]
- Bayat M, Shokri A, Mirbahaeddin E, Khalilnezhad R, Khatibi SR, Fattahi H, *et al.* Geographic distribution of active medical specialists in Iran: A three-source capture-recapture analysis. Arch Iran Med 2020;23:15-22.
- Ehsani-Chimeh E, Majdzadeh R, Delavari S, Gharebelagh MN, Rezaei S, Rad EH. Physicians' retention rate and its effective factors in the Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J 2018;24.
- Mirmoeini SM, Marashi Shooshtari SS, Battineni G, Amenta F, Tayebati SK. Policies and challenges on the distribution of specialists and subspecialists in rural areas of Iran. Medicina 2019;55:783.
- Potter M, Gordon S, Hamer P. The nominal group technique: A useful consensus methodology in physiotherapy research. NZ J physiother 2004;32:126-30.
- Fredrick W, Omboi B, Francis K. Effects of workplace diversity management on organizational effectiveness: A case study. Eur J Bus Manag . 2011;3:50.
- Fukuda Y, Harada T. Gender differences in specialty preference and mismatch with real needs in Japanese medical students. BMC Med Educ 2010;10:15.
- 34. Lee CW. Gender Difference and specialty preference in medical career choice. Korean J Med Educ 2013;25:15-21.
- 35. Santos MA, Grosseman S, Morelli TC, Giuliano ICB,

Erdmann TR. Empathy differences by gender and specialty preference in medical students: A study in Brazil. Int J Med Educ 2016;7:149-53.

- Shadbolt N, Bunker J. Choosing general practice: A review of career choice determinants. Aust Fam Physician 2009;38:53-5.
- Alers M. Specialty Preferences of Medical Students: Gender and Work-Life Balance. [Doctoral Thesis]. Nijmegen: Radboud University; 2015.
- Van Tongeren-Alers M, Verdonk P, Bor H, Johansson EE, Hamberg K, Lagro-Janssen A. How gender or culture affects first year Dutch and Swedish students' preferences for specialties and work-life issues. Int J Med Educ. 2013;4:214-20.
- Alers M, van Leerdam L, Dielissen P, Lagro-Janssen A. Gendered specialities during medical education: A literature review. Perspect Med Educ 2014;3:163-78.
- Park J, Minor S, Taylor RA, Vikis E, Poenaru D. Why are women deterred from general surgery training? Am J Surg 2005;190:141-6.
- Al-Mendalawi MD. Specialty preferences of Iraqi medical students. Clin Teach 2010;7:175-9.
- 42. Arnold MW, Patterson AF, Tang AL. Has implementation of the 80-hour work week made a career in surgery more appealing to medical students? Am J Surg 2005;189:129-33.
- Day CS, Lage DE, Ahn CS. Diversity based on race, ethnicity, and sex between academic orthopaedic surgery and other specialties: A comparative study. J Bone Joint Surge 2010;92:2328-35.
- 44. Scanlan G, Johnston P, Walker K, Skåtun D, Cleland J. Today's doctors: What do men and women value in a training post? Med Educ 2020;54:408-18.
- 45. Baschera D, O'Donnell Taylor E, Masilonyane-Jones T, Isenegger P, Zellweger R. Are medical students who want to become surgeons different? an international cross-sectional study. World J Surg 2015;39:2908-18.
- Lambert EM, Holmboe ES. The Relationship between Specialty Choice and Gender of U.S. Medical Students, 1990–2003. Acad Med 2005;80:797-802.
- Zolaly MA, Kasim K, Mahmoud MI. Medical career selection among newly graduated physicians in Madinah, KSA. Me Tea 2013;35(Sup1):S63-7.
- Cheema UA, Farman A, Qasim AP. Determinants leading to change in career preferences among medical students. Ann Punjab Med Coll 2017;11:196-201.
- Dastjerdi MV, Mahdian M, Dastjerdi EV, Namdari M. Study motives and career choices of Iranian medical and dental students. Acta Med Iran 2012:417-24.
- Kawamoto R, Ninomiya D, Kasai Y, Kusunoki T, Ohtsuka N, Kumagi T, *et al.* Gender difference in preference of specialty as a career choice among Japanese medical students. BMC Med Educ 2016;16:288.
- Asaad M, Zayegh O, Badawi J, Hmidi Zs, Alhamid A, Tarzi M, et al. Gender differences in specialty preference among medical Students at Aleppo University: a cross-sectional study. BMC Medical Education. 2020;20:184.
- 52. Vanasse A, Orzanco MG, Courteau J, Scott S. Attractiveness of family medicine for medical students: Influence of research and debt. Can Fam Phys 2011;57:e216-27.
- Bennett KL, Phillips JP. Finding, recruiting, and sustaining the future primary care physician workforce: A new theoretical model of specialty choice process. Acad Med 2010;85:S81-8.
- 54. Ibrahim H, Nair SC, Shaban S, El-Zubeir M. Reducing the physician workforce crisis: Career choice and graduate medical education reform in an emerging Arab country. Educ Health

2016;29:82-8.

- 55. Alers M, van Leerdam L, Dielissen P, Lagro-Janssen A. Gendered specialities during medical education: a literature review. Perspectives on medical education. 2014;3:163-78.
- Ku MC. When does gender matter? Gender differences in specialty choice among physicians. Work Occup 2011;38:221-62.
- Drinkwater J, Tully MP, Dornan T. The effect of gender on medical students' aspirations: A qualitative study. Med Educ 2008;42:420-6.
- Hamberg K, Johansson EE. Medical students' attitudes to gender issues in the role and career of physicians: A qualitative study conducted in Sweden. Med Teach 2006;28:635-41.
- Heiligers PJ, Hingstman L. Career preferences and the work–family balance in medicine: Gender differences among medical specialists. Soc Sci Med 2000;50:1235-46.
- Halvorsen AJ, Kolars JC, McDonald FS. Gender and future salary: Disparate trends in internal medicine residents. Am J Med 2010;123:470-5.
- 61. Tolhurst HM, Stewart SM. Balancing work, family and other lifestyle aspects: A qualitative study of Australian medical students' attitudes. Med J Aust 2004;181:361-4.
- 62. Dorsey ER, Jarjoura D, Rutecki GW. Influence of controllable lifestyle on recent trends in specialty choice by US medical students. JAMA 2003;290:1173-8.
- Goodyear H, Kennedy C, Wall D. Career choices: Foundation year trainees' views on careers advice and information. Br J Hosp Med 2007;68:211-5.
- Ek EW, Ek ET, Mackay SD. Undergraduate experience of surgical teaching and its influence and its influence on career choice. ANZ J Surg 2005;75:713-8.
- Schiess N, Ibrahim H, Shaban S, Perez MN, Nair SC. Career choice and primary care in the United Arab Emirates. J Grad Med Educ 2015;7:663-6.
- Fischer JP, Clinite K, Sullivan E, Jenkins TM, Bourne CL, Chou C, *et al.* Specialty and lifestyle preference changes during medical school. Med Sci Educ 2019;29:995-1001.
- Zarghami M, Ghafari Saravi V, Khalilian A, Sefidchian A. Motivations influencing the specialty choices of medical school graduates. J Med Educ 2003;3:33-8.
- 68. Kiolbassa K, Miksch A, Hermann K, Loh A, Szecsenyi J, Joos S, *et al.* Becoming a general practitioner-Which factors have most impact on career choice of medical students? BMC Fam Pract 2011;12:25.
- 69. Gibis B, Heinz A, Jacob R, Müller C-H. The career expectations of medical students: Findings of a nationwide survey in Germany. Dtsch Ärztebl Int 2012;109:327-32.
- Bland CJ, Meurer LN, Maldonado G. Determinants of primary care specialty choice: A non-statistical meta-analysis of the literature. Acad Med 1995;70:620-41.
- Ciechanowski PS, Russo JE, Katon WJ, Walker EA. Attachment theory in health care: The influence of relationship style on medical students' specialty choice. Med Educ 2004;38:262-70.
- Kim Y-Y, Kim U-N, Kim YS, Lee J-S. Factors associated with the specialty choice of Korean medical students: A cross-sectional survey. Hum Resour Health 2016;14:45.
- Farahmand S, Aghili SM, Nedjat S, Kia M, Aghili R, Elahi B. Selecting emergency medicine: Rationales from perspective of Iranian residents. Acta Med Iran 2014;52:922-6.
- 74. Senf JH, Campos-Outcalt D, Kutob R. Factors related to the choice of family medicine: A reassessment and literature review. J Am Board Fam Pract 2003;16:502-12.
- Lepièce B, Reynaert C, van Meerbeeck P, Dory V. Social dominance theory and medical specialty choice. Adv Health Sci Educ 2016;21:79-92.

- Azu OO, Naidu E, Naidu J. Choice of speciality amongst first-year medical students in the Nelson R. Mandela School of Medicine, University of KwaZulu-Natal. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med 2013;5:513.
- 77. Boyle V, Shulruf B, Poole P. Influence of gender and other factors on medical student specialty interest. NZ Med J 2014: 12;127:78-87.
- Teitelbaum HS, Ehrlich N, Travis L. Factors affecting specialty choice among osteopathic medical students. Acad Med 2009;84:718-23.
- 79. Ricketts TC. The migration of physicians and the local supply of practitioners: A five-year comparison. Acad Med 2013;88:1913-8.
- 80. Holmes GM, Fraher EP. Developing physician migration estimates for workforce models. Health Serv Res 2017;52:529-45.