RHEUMATOLOGY

Original article

Factors associated with improvement in disease activity following initiation of etanercept in children and young people with Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis: results from the British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology Etanercept Cohort Study

Lianne Kearsley-Fleet¹, Rebecca Davies¹, Mark Lunt¹, Taunton R. Southwood² and Kimme L. Hyrich^{1,3}

Abstract

Objectives. The objectives of this study were to investigate change in disease activity, and explore factors associated with response, in children with JIA over the initial year of etanercept treatment.

Methods. This analysis included children with JIA starting etanercept in the British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology Etanercept Cohort Study. Response was assessed using change in juvenile arthritis disease activity score-71 (JADAS-71), an excellent response (ACR Pedi 90), and achieving minimal disease activity (MDA) at 1 year. Change in JADAS-71 was evaluated over time. Multivariable backward stepwise logistic regression was performed to identify factors associated with ACR Pedi 90 and MDA.

Results. A total of 496 children were included. Over the first year, 17 stopped due to inefficacy, 9 due to adverse events and 7 for other reasons. One child stopped for remission. At 1 year, 74, 69 and 38% reached ACR Pedi 30, 50 and 90, respectively, and 48% had achieved MDA. Independent predictors of achieving ACR Pedi 90 at 1 year included shorter disease duration [odds ratio (OR) 0.91; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.97)], no concurrent oral corticosteroid use (OR 0.48; 95% CI: 0.29, 0.80) and history of uveitis (OR 2.26; 95% CI: 1.08, 4.71). Independent predictors of achieving MDA at 1 year included younger patients (OR 0.60; 95% CI: 0.38, 0.95), and disease not treated with concurrent oral corticosteroids (OR 0.57; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.93).

Conclusion. Among this real-world cohort of children with severe JIA, a significant proportion of children achieved an excellent ACR Pedi response and MDA within 1 year of starting etanercept, although few clinical factors could predict this outcome.

Key words: Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis, epidemiology, biological therapies, outcome measures, statistics.

Rheumatology key messages

- Half of children with JIA treated with etanercept achieved minimal disease activity by 1 year.
- Children with JIA who did not require corticosteroid treatment were more likely to achieve excellent response while receiving etanercept.
- Younger children with JIA were more likely to achieve excellent response on etanercept than older children.

¹Arthritis Research UK Centre for Epidemiology, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester, ²Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Institute of Child Health, Birmingham Children's Hospital - NHS Trust and University of Birmingham, Birmingham and ³NIHR Manchester Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and University of Manchester Partnership, Manchester, UK

Submitted 20 April 2015; revised version accepted 1 December 2015

Correspondence to: Kimme L. Hyrich, Arthritis Research UK Centre for Epidemiology, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Institute of Inflammation and Repair, The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Room 2.800 Stopford Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. E-mail: kimme.hyrich@manchester.ac.uk

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Rheumatology.

Introduction

JIA affects approximately 1 in 1000 children in the UK [1], with many continuing to have considerable disability related to prolonged active disease into adulthood [2]. First line therapy for children with polyarticular JIA usually includes the synthetic DMARD (sDMARD) MTX. For children who do not respond or are intolerant of MTX, biologic DMARD therapies can be prescribed. TNF was the first validated cytokine to be used as a biologic target for inflammatory arthritis [3], and etanercept was the first of these biologic anti-TNF therapies to be licensed in Europe for children with JIA [4].

Many studies looking at response to anti-TNF therapy in adults with RA have demonstrated that lower disease activity measures, lesser disability and concurrent MTX use at treatment start are associated with good treatment response, or remission [5–10]. However, similar studies in children with JIA are limited. Within small groups of children, disease outcomes and quality of life have been shown to improve on treatment with etanercept [11–13]. A common finding in the literature is that children with JIA with lower disease severity at the start of etanercept treatment are more likely to respond [14, 15].

To date, four observational studies have looked indepth at factors associated with response in children with JIA treated with etanercept [14-17] with sample sizes ranging from 61 to 863 (supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology Online). These studies have varied to some degree in methodology including definition of the outcome. Three studies explored factors associated with a good response [14, 15, 17]. One of these also explored factors associated with non-response [17], as did a study by Quartier et al. [16]. Factors found to be associated in some, but not all studies, with response included age (better response among younger children), childhood health assessment questionnaire (CHAQ) (better response in those with lower CHAQ at start of etanercept) and JIA ILAR category [18] (lesser response in children with systemic JIA). Most recently, the German BiKeR register studied a large group of children with JIA (n = 863) starting etanercept therapy. They reported a number of factors associated with achieving ACR Pedi 70 response at 6 months; lower CHAQ, higher ESR, no steroid use at start of therapy, non-systemic JIA and younger age [14]. A fifth study looking at treatment survival also found systemic JIA, chronic anterior uveitis (CAU), and inefficacy of MTX to be associated with discontinuation of etanercept therapy [19]. Despite these published studies, there remains no clear consensus on whether clinical factors are associated with response. Replication of work in different cohorts of patients, and different countries, where access to and use of biologic therapies may differ, is important in order to describe and understand the spectrum of response being observed with etanercept. Consistencies in findings, particularly with respect to factors associated with response, may warrant further investigation to understand causal pathways. Therefore the aims of this study were to investigate change in disease activity in children in the UK with severe JIA over the initial

year of treatment with etanercept and explore factors associated with response over this same period.

Methods

Study design

The British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology Etanercept Cohort Study (BSPAR-ETN) is an ongoing national prospective observational study established in 2004. It was approved by the West Midlands Research Ethics Committee with the aim of collecting long-term outcome data on children with JIA starting etanercept treatment. Forty-two UK centres have currently been enrolled in the study. Written informed consent of the parents and patients are provided in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and this includes consent for their data to be used in analyses. This analysis did not require further ethical approval to analyse the data from the BSPAR-ETN.

Data collection

At the start of etanercept treatment, patient information was collected by a consultant or clinical research nurse via a questionnaire. This included patient demographics (age, gender), disease duration, ILAR category, past and current anti-rheumatic therapies including any prior biologics, history of CAU and current disease activity; JIA Core Disease Outcome Variables [20] [active joint count (AJC), limited joint count, ESR, CRP, physician global assessment of disease (PGA), parent/patient global assessment of wellbeing (PtGE), CHAQ] and pain visual analogue scale (VAS). The same data were then collected at followup intervals at 6 and 12 months and then annually thereafter.

Statistical analysis

This analysis was restricted to children treated with etanercept as their first biologic with follow-up records available at baseline and 1 year by 10 September 2014. Only patients who satisfied the ILAR criteria for JIA were included; patients with a missing ILAR were excluded from this analysis. Patients in minimal disease activity (MDA) [21] at start of etanercept therapy were excluded from the analysis as it was not clear whether this related to baseline (e.g. pre-etanercept) or current (post-etanercept) disease activity.

Patients who stopped etanercept therapy within the first year were classified as non-responders, unless they stopped for remission, in which case they were then classified as responders. Response was assessed in three ways: change in juvenile arthritis disease activity score-71 (JADAS-71); ACR Pedi 90; and, MDA at 1 year. Change in JADAS-71 was evaluated over time using a longitudinal linear regression model with random effects. This allowed for within-patient correlation of JADAS-71 over the multiple measurements. JADAS-71 is a composite disease activity score for patients with JIA that allows disease activity to be measured at a single time point, comprising AJC, PGA, PtGE and ESR [22]. The ACR Pedi criteria were originally defined in 1997 [20]. The ACR Pedi 90 is defined as at least a 90% improvement in three of the six JIA core disease outcome variables, with no more than one of the variables worsening by > 30%. As a variable, ACR Pedi 90 allows change in disease activity over time to be assessed; however it does not highlight disease status at a single time point. MDA is a useful concept in clinical and epidemiological research, assessing disease status at a single time point, as it represents a more realistic goal for patients with JIA rather than remission. For patients with persistent oligoarthritis, MDA was defined as $PGA \leq 2.5 \text{ cm}$ and 0 AJC. For all other patients, MDA was defined as $PGA \leq 3.4 \text{ cm}$, $PtGE \leq 2.1 \text{ cm}$ and $AJC \leq 1$. Patients with enthesitis-related arthritis were excluded from the MDA analysis as the score had not been validated in these patients [21].

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression were performed to identify factors associated with an excellent response (ACR Pedi 90 [20]) and achieving MDA [21] at 1 year. Patients who stopped etanercept due to adverse events or for other reasons were excluded from the prediction model to minimize competing risks, as initial response prior to stopping therapy was not captured. Model building followed a backward stepwise process (twosided 5% significance level). Variables available for selection were chosen a priori for biological value. Multiple imputation was used to account for missing disease activity data, using the ice package in Stata [23], with 20 iterations. Variables included gender, age at start of etanercept, disease duration, discontinuation of etanercept, ILAR category, concomitant oral corticosteroids, concomitant MTX, baseline history of CAU, disease activity measures at start of etanercept and at 1 year (AJC, limited joint count, PGA, PtGE, CHAQ, pain VAS, ESR, CRP, JADAS-71), and MDA at 1 year. All continuous variables were transformed to a normal distribution for the imputation. All analysis was performed in Stata (StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 496 children were included in this analysis with baseline and 1 year follow-up available. The majority of patients were female (67%) and the most common ILAR subtype was polyarthritis RF negative (36%). The median age at the start of treatment was 11.2 [inter-quartile range (IQR): 7.6–13.7] years, with a median disease duration of 3 (IQR: 2–7) years. Over half of the patients were on concomitant MTX (55%), and 28% were using concurrent oral corticosteroids at start of treatment, 56% in children with systemic JIA and 24% in those with non-systemic JIA. Median CHAQ was 1.3 (IQR: 0.6–2.0), and median JADAS-71 was 16.9 (IQR: 12.0–24.5) (Table 1).

Over 1 year of treatment with etanercept

Of the 496 children included in this analysis, 17 (3%) stopped etanercept due to inefficacy, 9 (2%) due to

adverse events and 7 (1%) for other reasons. One child stopped for remission within the first year. At 1 year, 74, 69, 56 and 38% had reached ACR Pedi 30, 50, 70 and 90, respectively, and 48% had achieved MDA. Median JADAS-71 at baseline was 16.6 (IQR: 12.0-24.1). At 1 year this decreased to 3.7 (IQR: 0.6-9.3; P < 0.001). When patients were grouped by ILAR category, JADAS-71 improved after 1 year of treatment in all ILAR subtypes, although this did not reach statistical significance in patients with persistent oligoarticular JIA (Table 2).

Factors associated with response after 1 year of treatment with etanercept

Of the 480 patients included in the univariable analysis (which excluded those who stopped etanercept due to adverse events or for other reasons), factors associated with achieving ACR Pedi 90 at 1 year included younger age, shorter disease duration, disease that did not require concurrent oral corticosteroid treatment and lower CHAQ. Only younger age and no concurrent oral corticosteroid use were associated with achieving MDA at 1 year (Table 3). However, in the multivariable analysis, independent predictors of achieving ACR Pedi 90 at 1 year included shorter disease duration [odds ratio (OR) 0.91; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.97], disease that did not require concurrent oral corticosteroid treatment (OR oral corticosteroid use 0.48; 95% CI: 0.29, 0.80), and history of CAU (OR 2.26; 95% CI: 1.08, 4.71). In addition, younger patients had increased odds of achieving MDA at 1 year compared with older patients (OR 0.60 age ≥ 9 years old compared with <9 years; 95% CI: 0.38, 0.95), as well as those patients with disease that did not require concurrent oral corticosteroid treatment (OR oral corticosteroid use 0.57; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.93). The largest fraction of missing information lay between 0.14 and 0.59 for all odds ratios.

Discussion

This UK study is one of the largest to look at response in children with severe JIA treated with etanercept. This analysis has demonstrated improvement in disease activity of children treated with etanercept with 38% of patients achieving an excellent response and 48% of patients achieving MDA after 1 year. Independent predictors of achieving ACR Pedi 90 at 1 year included shorter disease duration, disease that did not require concurrent oral corticosteroid treatment, and history of CAU. In addition, independent predictors of achieving MDA age and disease that did not require concurrent oral concurrent oral corticosteroid treatment.

Younger age has been described previously with respect to response in children with JIA treated with etanercept by the Dutch ABC register [15], in a study from Italy [17] and in the German BiKeR register [14] (Table 1). The German BiKeR study, on a large number of children (n = 863), was the only study to highlight concomitant corticosteroid use as a negative predictor of good response on etanercept [14]. Disease duration and history of CAU TABLE 1 Characteristics of children with JIA starting treatment with etanercept (N = 496), including measures at 1 year

Characteristics	Baseline	1 year
Female, n (%)	332 (67), [N = 496]	
Age, median (IQR), years	11.2 (7.6–13.7) [N = 496]	
Disease duration, median (IQR), years	3 (2–7) [N = 488]	
Concurrent MTX use, n (%)	275 (55) [N = 496]	207 (42) [N = 496]
Oral steroid use, n (%)	141 (28) [N = 496]	105 (23) [N = 466]
Systemic arthritis only, n (%)	41 (56)	25 (37)
Excluding systemic arthritis, n (%)	100 (24)	80 (20)
History of chronic anterior uveitis, n (%)	48 (10) [N = 496]	
ILAR subgroup, n (%)	[N = 496]	
Systemic arthritis ^a	73 (15)	
Persistent oligoarthritis	12 (2)	
Extended oligoarthritis	81 (16)	
Polyarthritis RF negative	181 (36)	
Polyarthritis RF positive	43 (9)	
PsA	36 (7)	
Enthesitis-related arthritis	35 (7)	
Undifferentiated arthritis	35 (7)	
Disease activity, median (IQR)		
Active joint count (0-72)	6.0 (3.0–12.0) [N = 445]	0.0 (0.0–2.0) [N=451]
Limited joint count (0-72)	5.0 (2.5–11.0) [N = 428]	1.0 (0.0–5.0) [N = 435]
Physician global of disease (0-10 cm)	4.2 (2.7–6.0) [N = 305]	0.9 (0.0-2.0) [N = 344]
Parent/patient global of well-being (0-10 cm)	5.0 (2.6-7.0) [N = 330]	1.3 (0.2–3.9) [N=349]
Childhood health assessment questionnaire (0-3)	1.3 (0.6–2.0) [N=243]	0.4 (0.0–1.1) [N = 341]
Pain VAS (0-10 cm)	4.8 (2.7–7.0) [N = 296]	1.6 (0.2–4.0) [N = 333]
ESR, mm/h	20.0 (7.0-47.0) [N = 395]	7.0 (4.0–17.0) [N = 345]
CRP, mg/l	11.0 (5.0-42.0) [N = 390]	5.0 (3.0-7.0) [N = 348]
Juvenile arthritis disease activity score-71	16.9 (12.0-24.5) [N = 218]	3.8 (0.8–9.0) [N = 237]

^a22 (38%) of the 58 systemic patients with available data reported active extra-articular systemic features at start of etanercept therapy. n: number; N: number of available records; IQR: interquartile range.

TABLE 2 Disease activity measures after 1 year of etanercept treatment in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis, by ILAR subgroup (n = 496), using imputed data

JIA subtype	JADAS-71, median (IQR) Baseline	JADAS-71, median (IQR) 1 year	ACR Pedi 30, %	ACR Pedi 50, %	ACR Pedi 70, %	ACR 9 Pedi 90,	MDA ^a , % % [n = 461]
All patients (n = 496)	16.6 (12.0-24.1)	3.7 (0.6–9.3)**	74	69	56	38	48
Systemic (n = 73)	22.3 (15.5–33.8)	5.3 (1.1-11.9)**	69	64	48	27	37
Persistent oligoarticular (n = 12)	7.2 (3.8–10.2)	3.1 (0.7–5.6)	80	78	70	62	74
Extended oligoarticular (n = 81)	14.6 (9.0–19.2)	3.7 (1.0-8.0)**	76	70	58	38	46
Polyarticular RF negative (n = 181)	17.0 (11.7–24.6)	3.2 (0.7–9.3)**	76	71	60	39	51
Polyarticular RF positive $(n = 43)$	17.3 (13.8–22.9)	6.3 (0.7–13.5)**	62	56	43	37	39
Psoriatic (n = 36)	17.5 (12.4–24.8)	6.1 (1.0–14.3)*	60	58	50	39	48
Enthesitis-related (n = 35)	17.2 (12.4-22.0)	3.0 (0.5-5.9)**	89	84	68	45	-
Undifferentiated (n = 35)	17.1 (11.6–28.5)	1.7 (0.04-6.7)**	83	79	60	45	56

^aPatients with enthesitis-related arthritis were excluded from the MDA response. *P < 0.05. **P < 0.001. n: number; JADAS: juvenile arthritis disease activity score; MDA: minimal disease activity.

are novel findings in the current study for patients achieving ACR Pedi 90 at 1 year. The association with disease duration may reflect the presence of more chronic joint limitations or pain that may be less responsive to antiTNF therapies. This association between disease duration prior to treatment and greater response on biologic therapy may be an important observation as it is something that clinicians can aim to shorten, and recent treatment TABLE 3 Univariable and multivariable analysis of factors associated with an excellent response and minimal disease activity 1 year after commencing etanercept

	ACR Pedi 90 at	t 1 year(N = 480)		Minimal disease activity at 1 year ^a (N = 447)		
Baseline characteristics at start of etanercept therapy	Univariable analysis, odds ratio (95% CI)	Multivariable analysis, odds ratio (95% Cl)	Univariable analysis, odds ratio (95% CI)	Multivariable analysis, odds ratio (95% CI)		
Female	0.97 (0.63, 1.49)		1.05 (0.67, 1.63)			
Age, years	0.96 (0.91, 1.01)		0.95 (0.90, 1.00)			
Aged ≥9 years old	0.62 (0.41, 0.96)*		0.64 (0.41, 0.99)*	0.60 (0.38, 0.95)*		
Disease duration, years	0.93 (0.87, 0.98)*	0.91 (0.85, 0.97)*	0.97 (0.92, 1.04)			
Systemic arthritis	0.56 (0.29, 1.07)		0.63 (0.35, 1.11)			
Concurrent oral corticosteroid use	0.52 (0.31, 0.85)*	0.48 (0.29, 0.80)*	0.61 (0.38, 0.98)*	0.57 (0.35, 0.93)*		
Concurrent MTX use	1.27 (0.85, 1.92)		1.14 (0.75, 1.73)			
History of uveitis	2.02 (0.99, 4.10)	2.26 (1.08, 4.71)*	2.08 (0.88, 4.88)			
Disease activity						
Active joint count (0-72)	0.98 (0.96, 1.01)		0.98 (0.96, 1.00)			
Limited joint count (0-72)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)		0.99 (0.96, 1.01)			
Physician global assessment of disease (0-10 cm)	1.00 (0.91, 1.10)		0.91 (0.82, 1.00)			
Parent/patient global assessment of well-being (0-10 cm)	0.97 (0.89, 1.07)		0.90 (0.81, 1.00)			
Childhood health assessment questionnaire (0-3)	0.70 (0.51, 0.95)*		0.72 (0.51, 1.02)			
Pain VAS (0-10 cm)	0.95 (0.87, 1.03)		0.90 (0.82, 1.00)			
ESR, mm/h	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)		1.00 (0.99, 1.00)			
CRP, mg/l	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)		1.00 (0.99, 1.00)			
Juvenile arthritis disease activity score-71	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)		0.98 (0.96, 1.00)			

^aPatients with enthesitis-related arthritis were excluded from the minimal disease activity response. *P < 0.05.

strategy trials have shown the benefit of early treatment in JIA [11, 24].

The finding that presence of CAU predicted good clinical response is interesting but may also be counterintuitive given the evidence that suggests etanercept is no better than placebo at preventing relapse of CAU [25, 26]. However, this study defined response as improvements in articular symptoms only and it is possible that the articular disease was more responsive or perhaps less severe in children with a history of CAU. In addition, a previous study looking at treatment survival found CAU to be associated with discontinuation of etanercept therapy [19]. However, this outcome often cannot differentiate between stopping treatment for non-response compared with reasons of safety. There was no difference in the current study between baseline JADAS-71 of patients with and without CAU. However, there were differences in proportion of CAU patients between ILAR categories; as expected, fewer systemic JIA patients had CAU (4%), and a higher proportion of oligoarticular persistent (25%) and extended (20%) had CAU. Although this may not be an indication to choose etanercept among children with this history, it may indicate that the arthritis itself may respond well to etanercept therapy in this subgroup. Increasingly there is a trend to use alternative anti-TNF therapies in this population for the concerns regarding eye disease and a similar analysis of articular response should be undertaken [27].

The current findings are consistent with studies of treatment response to anti-TNF therapy in adults with RA. It has been reported that males, younger age, lower disease activity measures (i.e. DAS28 and HAQ), concomitant MTX use, no corticosteroid use and fewer previous DMARDs are all associated with good treatment response after at least 6 months of anti-TNF therapy [5-10, 28]. Concomitant MTX use is repeatedly reported to be associated with a good response in adult literature, particularly in studies specifically comparing etanercept and MTX in combination vs etanercept alone [7, 8, 29]. This superiority of etanercept and MTX combination has also been noted in the paediatric population (OR 2.1; 95% CI: 1.2, 3.5) [30], particularly in the RF negative JIA population (OR 2.0; P=0.03) [31]. However, none of the previous studies, nor the current study, have identified concurrent MTX as a predictor of good response in patients treated with etanercept. It is possible that children with JIA may benefit differently to MTX in comparison with RA patients, as has been shown in adults with psoriatic arthritis, where the benefits of concurrent MTX are less clear [32]. Alternatively, a reason for these observed differences may be the vast differences in sample sizes, thus giving varying power to identify factors associated with

outcomes. Different outcome measures in RA and JIA may be another reason MTX is not seen as a predictor in paediatric research. However, the benefit in adults with RA have been seen across the spectrum of measures (EULAR response, remission, drug survival), and across the various paediatric studies many outcomes measures have also been investigated. Finally, reasons for the benefit of MTX co-therapy in RA are poorly understood but increasing data may support a role for non-response related to immunogenicity, which may develop differently in JIA (although the data to support this case in adults for etanercept (as opposed to monoclonal antibodies) are less convincing). The Dutch ABC register also identified fewer previous DMARDs to be associated with excellent response in patients treated with etanercept; however, the current study did not have the appropriate data to incorporate this into the model [15].

In various studies investigating efficacy of etanercept according to JIA subtype, systemic JIA has been associated with a poorer response: not achieving ACR Pedi 30 after 1 year (P < 0.01) [16], not achieving ACR Pedi 50 or discontinuing etanercept due to inefficacy by 15 months (P=0.01) [15], and not achieving ACR Pedi 70 after 6 months (P < 0.001) [14]. Systemic JIA has also been noted to influence the rate of inactive disease: 29.6% in systemic JIA, 54.1% in non-systemic JIA patients [17]. However, in the current study, systemic JIA did not emerge as an independent predictor of response, although there was a weak trend for patients with systemic JIA to have a lower proportion of patients achieving higher ACR Pedi response compared with other subtypes (not statistically significant), but the proportion achieving ACR Pedi 30 responses was similar. While only 73 (15%) patients in this cohort had systemic JIA, this percentage is very similar to the other JIA studies referenced. However, we did not have enough power to conduct a separate analysis in just the systemic JIA cohort. As systemic JIA was not selected in the final model it is possible the study is underpowered to draw any conclusions regarding systemic JIA as a response predictor. The register also did not capture complete details of response among extra-articular manifestations of disease in children with systemic JIA, and therefore, although their joints may have improved to some degree, there may have been other features of disease that did not.

When investigating factors associated with response it is important to differentiate between those that specifically predict response to drug, and those that are prognostic factors for outcome regardless of treatment. Currently, etanercept is the only biologic therapy to be investigated in children with JIA. Therefore, the observed factors of nonresponse are assumed to be predictors. As more data become available on other biologics (using registers such as the Biologics for Children with Rheumatic Diseases study [33], or ABC [15]), if the same factors predict nonresponse in these biologics, it may be that they indicate a more resistant disease phenotype rather than anything specific to etanercept. A study has successfully predicted ACR Pedi 70 MTX non-response using multidrug resistance protein 1 [34]. Within the ABC register cohort (n = 153), no association was found between the presence of anti-carbamylated protein antibodies and ACR Pedi 30 response or reaching inactive disease at 15 months after starting anti-TNF treatment [35]. Similar larger studies could be undertaken in children specifically on etanercept to minimize exposure to treatment long-term.

This study was on the largest cohort of JIA patients treated with etanercept in the UK, and it is the second largest study of response in patients with JIA treated with etanercept to be conducted. However, as is the case with most observational studies that obtain data from a real-world clinical setting, there were missing disease activity data at each time point. Multiple imputation was used to account for this. Data on previous DMARDs were not collected and therefore could not be accounted for in the analysis, although a majority of current patients in the UK will proceed directly to a biologic following lack of response to MTX. The duration of follow-up included in this analysis was relatively short (1 year), with respect to disease outcomes. The results cannot be used to comment on longer term sustainability of response. An analysis to investigate longer-term (i.e. 5 years) remission statistics of children, including into adulthood, would be important to inform patients, families and their physicians on longer-term outcomes with therapy.

Conclusion

In conclusion, among this real-world cohort of children with severe JIA, a significant proportion of children achieved an excellent ACR Pedi and MDA response score within 1 year of starting etanercept, although few clinical factors could predict this outcome. The finding of a greater response in younger children and those with a history of CAU warrants further investigation and may relate to differences in disease phenotype, drug pharmacokinetics or adherence. Despite these positive outcomes, only one child in the study stopped etanercept therapy within 1 year due to remission.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the patients, rheumatology and research nurses and clinicians who have helped support this study, the BSPAR consensus Group for prescription of biologics in children (Original Chair Dr Richard Hull) and the BSPAR Clinical Affairs Committee for their generous support in establishing this register. We also acknowledge the Arthritis Research UK Centre for Epidemiology for database support. This report includes independent research supported by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Unit Funding Scheme. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health. The BSPAR-ETN study is funded by a research grant to the University of Manchester from BSPAR. BSPAR has received restricted income from Pfizer. This income finances a wholly separate contract between BSPAR and the University of Manchester who provide

and oversee the data collection, management and analysis of the data. The principal investigators and their team have full academic freedom and are able to work independently of pharmaceutical industry influence. All decisions concerning analyses, interpretation and publication are made autonomously of any industrial contribution.

L.K.-F. and K.L.H. were the lead authors and take overall responsibility for the full manuscript. All the other authors assisted in the analysis, writing and approval of the manuscript.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any funding bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this manuscript.

Disclosure statement: K.L.H. has received honoraria from Pfizer and Abbvie. T.R.S. reports medical education grants from Pfizer Pharmaceutical Company, unrelated to the present manuscript. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *Rheumatology* Online.

References

- Symmons DP, Jones M, Osborne J et al. Pediatric rheumatology in the United Kingdom: data from the British Pediatric Rheumatology Group National Diagnostic Register. J Rheumatol 1996;23:1975-80.
- 2 Packham JC, Hall MA. Long-term follow-up of 246 adults with juvenile idiopathic arthritis: functional outcome. Rheumatology 2002;41:1428–35.
- 3 Taylor PC, Feldmann M. Anti-TNF biologic agents: still the therapy of choice for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatology 2009;5:578–82.
- 4 European Medicines Agency/Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. Enbrel. Summary of opinion (post authorisation). 2012. www.ema.europe.eu/docs/ en-GB/document_library/summary_of_opinion/human/ 000262/wc500129073.pdf (15 November 2015, date last accessed).
- 5 Atzeni F, Antivalle M, Pallavicini FB *et al*. Predicting response to anti-TNF treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Autoimmun Rev 2009;8:431–7.
- 6 Hetland ML, Christensen IJ, Tarp U et al. Direct comparison of treatment responses, remission rates, and drug adherence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with adalimumab, etanercept, or infliximab: results from eight years of surveillance of clinical practice in the nationwide Danish DANBIO registry. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:22–32.
- 7 Hyrich KL, Watson KD, Silman AJ, Symmons D; British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Predictors of response to anti-TNF-alpha therapy among patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Rheumatology 2006;45:1558–65.

- 8 Iwamoto N, Kawakami A, Fujikawa K et al. Prediction of DAS28-ESR remission at 6 months by baseline variables in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with etanercept in Japanese population. Mod Rheumatol 2009;19:488-92.
- 9 Kristensen LE, Kapetanovic MC, Gulfe A *et al*. Predictors of response to anti-TNF therapy according to ACR and EULAR criteria in patients with established RA: results from the South Swedish Arthritis Treatment Group Register. Rheumatology 2008;47:495-9.
- 10 Mancarella L, Bobbio-Pallavicini F, Ceccarelli F *et al.* Good clinical response, remission, and predictors of remission in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with tumor necrosis factor-alpha blockers: the GISEA study. J Rheumatol 2007;34:1670–3.
- 11 Wallace CA, Giannini EH, Spalding SJ *et al*. Trial of early aggressive therapy in polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:2012–21.
- 12 Lovell DJ, Giannini EH, Reiff A et al. Etanercept in children with polyarticular juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2000;342:763–9.
- 13 Prince FH, Geerdink LM, Borsboom GJ *et al*. Major improvements in health-related quality of life during the use of etanercept in patients with previously refractory juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69: 138–42.
- 14 Geikowski T, Becker I, Horneff G; German BIKER Registry Collaborative Study Group. Predictors of response to etanercept in polyarticular-course juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Rheumatology 2014;53:1245-9.
- 15 Otten MH, Prince FH, Armbrust W *et al*. Factors associated with treatment response to etanercept in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. JAMA 2011;306:2340–7.
- 16 Quartier P, Taupin P, Bourdeaut F et al. Efficacy of etanercept for the treatment of juvenile idiopathic arthritis according to the onset type. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:1093–101.
- 17 Solari N, Palmisani E, Consolaro A et al. Factors associated with achievement of inactive disease in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis treated with etanercept. J Rheumatol 2013;40:192-200.
- 18 Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P et al. International League of Associations for Rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. J Rheumatol 2004;31:390–2.
- 19 Southwood TR, Foster HE, Davidson JE et al. Duration of etanercept treatment and reasons for discontinuation in a cohort of juvenile idiopathic arthritis patients. Rheumatology 2011;50:189–95.
- 20 Giannini EH, Ruperto N, Ravelli A *et al*. Preliminary definition of improvement in juvenile arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1997;40:1202-9.
- 21 Magni-Manzoni S, Ruperto N, Pistorio A et al. Development and validation of a preliminary definition of minimal disease activity in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1120-7.
- 22 Consolaro A, Ruperto N, Bazso A *et al*. Development and validation of a composite disease activity score for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61:658–66.

- 23 Royston P. Multiple imputation of missing values: further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. Stata J 2009;9:466–77.
- 24 Tynjala P, Vahasalo P, Tarkiainen M *et al.* Aggressive combination drug therapy in very early polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (ACUTE-JIA): a multicentre randomised open-label clinical trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1605-12.
- 25 Smith JA, Thompson DJ, Whitcup SM *et al*. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-masked clinical trial of etanercept for the treatment of uveitis associated with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2005;53:18-23.
- 26 Foster CS, Tufail F, Waheed NK *et al.* Efficacy of etanercept in preventing relapse of uveitis controlled by methotrexate. Arch Ophthalmol 2003;121:437-40.
- 27 Otten MH, Anink J, Prince FH *et al*. Trends in prescription of biological agents and outcomes of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: results of the Dutch national Arthritis and Biologics in Children Register. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;74:1379–86.
- 28 Atzeni F, Bongiovanni S, Marchesoni A *et al.* Predictors of response to anti-TNF therapy in RA patients with moderate or high DAS28 scores. Joint Bone Spine 2014;81:37-40.
- 29 Weinblatt ME, Kremer JM, Bankhurst AD et al. A trial of etanercept, a recombinant tumor necrosis factor receptor:Fc

fusion protein, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving methotrexate. New Engl J Med 1999;340:253-9.

- 30 Horneff G, De BF, Foeldvari I *et al.* Safety and efficacy of combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared to treatment with etanercept only in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA): preliminary data from the German JIA Registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:519–25.
- 31 Papsdorf V, Horneff G. Complete control of disease activity and remission induced by treatment with etanercept in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Rheumatology 2011;50:214-21.
- 32 Fagerli KM, Lie E, van der Heijde D et al. The role of methotrexate co-medication in TNF-inhibitor treatment in patients with psoriatic arthritis: results from 440 patients included in the NOR-DMARD study. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:132-7.
- 33 BCRD. Biologics for Children with Rheumatic Diseases (BCRD), 2012. www.bcrdstudy.org (3 December 2015, date last accessed).
- 34 Bulatovic M, Heijstek MW, Van Dijkhuizen EH et al. Prediction of clinical non-response to methotrexate treatment in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:1484-9.
- 35 Muller PC, Anink J, Shi J et al. Anticarbamylated protein (anti-CarP) antibodies are present in sera of juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:2053–5.