Plasmodium vivax Malaria Endemicity in Indonesia in 2010

Iqbal R. F. Elyazar^{1*}, Peter W. Gething², Anand P. Patil², Hanifah Rogayah³, Elvieda Sariwati³, Niken W. Palupi³, Siti N. Tarmizi³, Rita Kusriastuti³, J. Kevin Baird^{1,4}, Simon I. Hay²

1 Eijkman-Oxford Clinical Research Unit, Jakarta, Indonesia, 2 Spatial Ecology and Epidemiology Group, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 3 Directorate of Vector-Borne Diseases, Indonesian Ministry of Health, Jakarta, Indonesia, 4 Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Plasmodium vivax imposes substantial morbidity and mortality burdens in endemic zones. Detailed understanding of the contemporary spatial distribution of this parasite is needed to combat it. We used model based geostatistics (MBG) techniques to generate a contemporary map of risk of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Indonesia in 2010.

Methods: Plasmodium vivax Annual Parasite Incidence data (2006–2008) and temperature masks were used to map *P. vivax* transmission limits. A total of 4,658 community surveys of *P. vivax* parasite rate (*PvPR*) were identified (1985–2010) for mapping quantitative estimates of contemporary endemicity within those limits. After error-checking a total of 4,457 points were included into a national database of age-standardized 1–99 year old *PvPR* data. A Bayesian MBG procedure created a predicted *PvPR*_{1–99} endemicity surface with uncertainty estimates. Population at risk estimates were derived with reference to a 2010 human population surface.

Results: We estimated 129.6 million people in Indonesia lived at risk of *P. vivax* transmission in 2010. Among these, 79.3% inhabited unstable transmission areas and 20.7% resided in stable transmission areas. In western Indonesia, the predicted *P. vivax* prevalence was uniformly low. Over 70% of the population at risk in this region lived on Java and Bali islands, where little malaria transmission occurs. High predicted prevalence areas were observed in the Lesser Sundas, Maluku and Papua. In general, prediction uncertainty was relatively low in the west and high in the east.

Conclusion: Most Indonesians living with endemic *P. vivax* experience relatively low risk of infection. However, blood surveys for this parasite are likely relatively insensitive and certainly do not detect the dormant liver stage reservoir of infection. The prospects for *P. vivax* elimination would be improved with deeper understanding of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (G6PDd) distribution, anti-relapse therapy practices and manageability of *P. vivax* importation risk, especially in Java and Bali.

Citation: Elyazar IRF, Gething PW, Patil AP, Rogayah H, Sariwati E, et al. (2012) *Plasmodium vivax* Malaria Endemicity in Indonesia in 2010. PLoS ONE 7(5): e37325. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325

Editor: Erika Martins Braga, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil

Received February 21, 2012; Accepted April 18, 2012; Published May 17, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Elyazar et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: IE is funded by grants from the University of Oxford - Li Ka Shing Foundation Global Health Program and the Oxford Tropical Network. SIH is funded by a Senior Research Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust (#095066), which also supports PWG. SIH also acknowledges funding support from the RAPIDD program of the Science & Technology Directorate, Department of Homeland Security, and the Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health. APP is funded by a grant from the Wellcome Trust (#091835). HR, ES, NWP, SNT, and RK are funded by the Indonesian Ministry of Health. JKB is funded by a grant from the Wellcome Trust (#B9RJIXO). This work forms part of the output of the Malaria Atlas Project (MAP, http://www.map.ox.ac.uk), principally funded by the Wellcome Trust, U.K. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: iqbal.elyazar@gmail.com

Introduction

Plasmodium vivax malaria is the most widely distributed species of human malaria, threatening nearly 3 billion people in 95 countries ranging from temperate to tropical in the Americas, Africa, and Asia [1,2]. Unlike the other common cause of malaria, *Plasmodium falciparum*, dormant liver stages of *P. vivax* cause relapses of acute malaria [3]. Despite the reputation of *P. vivax* as a benign infection with very low risk of death, contemporary studies demonstrate substantial morbidity [4,5,6,7] and mortality [8,9,10,11] burdens in endemic zones.

Drug resistance and neglect of its research in *P. vivax* exacerbates the threat of this infection. The first line therapy

against acute attack, chloroquine, has failed in Indonesia [12,13] and parts of Oceania [14], and resistance now threatens the Mekong region [15,16,17,18] and the Indian sub-continent [19], where >90% of *P.vivax* malaria occurs [20]. Although several artemisinin combination therapies (ACT) have shown good efficacy against acute *P. vivax* [21], only primaquine can eliminate the hypnozoite reservoir of infection [22,23]. The safety and efficacy of primaquine, especially when used with an ACT, is virtually unknown in 2012 [24]. The distribution of risk of this infection emerges as a vital consideration in developing strategies that may mitigate this potentially serious threat. This may be especially true in places like the vast number of islands scattered within the Indonesian archipelago, and those with very limited resources for dealing with such problems.

Other nations have developed such maps. Brooker *et al.* [25] developed a *P. vivax* map for Afghanistan in 2006 at a spatial resolution of 8×8 km using logistic regression models and malaria surveys from 269 endemic villages. Manh *et al.* [26] derived a *P. vivax* distribution map in Vietnam for 2010 using zero-inflated Poisson regression models in a Bayesian framework from 12 months of *P. vivax* malaria reported cases from 670 districts. Reid *et al.* [27] produced a *P. vivax* prevalence map on Tanna Island, Vanuatu in 2008 at 1×1 km resolution using 220 geo-referenced villages and the Bayesian geostatistical logistic regression model. Dogan *et al.* [28] developed *P. vivax* malaria incidence maps at 0.4×0.4 km resolution in Turkey using malaria data from 81 provinces for over 34 years (1975–2008) using a kriging method.

This report describes the first use of a Bayesian model-based geostatistics (MBG) approach [29] to predict the risk of *P. vivax* malaria in Indonesia in 2010 at a spatial resolution of 1×1 km, using the largest assembled contemporary empirical evidence for any country in Asia. This collaborative effort between the Ministry of Health in the Republic of Indonesia and the Malaria Atlas Project (MAP, http://www.map.ox.ac.uk) aims to equip those responsible for national planning and implementation of malaria control and elimination strategies with an evidence base for the distribution of risk of vivax malaria in Indonesia.

Methods

Assembling a national database of *Plasmodium vivax* Annual Parasite Incidence data

The Sub-Directorate of Malaria Control at the Directorate of Vector-borne Diseases, Indonesia Ministry of Health in Jakarta routinely collected *P. vivax* Annual Parasite Incidence (*Pv*API) at the district level between 2006 and 2008. The reported cases of confirmed *P. vivax* malaria per 1,000 people were computed for each year by district and averaged over the number of reporting years. Each *Pv*API summary estimate was mapped by matching it to its corresponding first (provincial) and second level (district) administrative unit in a geographic information system (GIS; ArcView GIS 9.3, ESRI, 2008).

Assembling a national database of *Plasmodium vivax* malariometric prevalence

The process of assembling community-based survey parasite prevalence data undertaken since 1985 has been described previously [30]. Data searches for P. vivax parasite rate (PvPR) aimed to retrieve data from published and unpublished sources. These searches are an on-going activity of the Malaria Atlas Project (MAP, http://www.map.ox.ac.uk) and were completed for the current iteration on 25 November 2011. The completed database was checked via various levels of exclusion criteria in order to obtain the final input data set for modelling as follows: removing surveys located only to large (>100 km²) and small polygons (>25 km²), removing those surveys that could not be precisely geo-positioned and removing those that could not be temporally disaggregated into independent surveys or for which the survey date was unknown. The entire database was then checked to ensure all survey sites were located precisely on grid squares identified as land and within the border of the country. Finally, the database was checked for any spatio-temporal duplicates. The dataset was then stratified into two regions for descriptive purposes, since western and eastern Indonesia are biogeographically distinct regions of the archipelago, typically demarked by the Wallace Line [31].

Assembling Indonesia human population data

Gridded population counts and population density estimates at 1×1 km spatial resolution for the years 1990, 1995 and 2000, both adjusted and unadjusted to the United Nations' national population estimates were provided by The Global Rural Urban Mapping Project (GRUMP) beta version [32,33]. The adjusted population counts for the year 2000 were projected to 2010 by applying the relevant national urban and rural growth rates by country [34] using methods described previously [35]. The urban growth rates were applied to populations residing within the GRUMP-defined urban extents [33], and the rural rates were applied elsewhere. National 2010 totals were then adjusted to match those estimated by the United Nations [36]. These population counts were then stratified nationally by age group using United Nations-defined [36] population age structures for the year 2010 to obtain population count surfaces for the 0-5 years, 5–14 years and \geq 15 years age groups. This population surface was extracted for Indonesia and aligned to all other spatial data grids used in the analysis.

Defining the limits of Plasmodium vivax transmission

Annual Parasite Incidence data at district level in 33 endemic provinces were sourced to define the spatial limits of P. vivax transmission in 2010. Following previously defined protocols [1], classification of risk based on PvAPI data assigned areas at no risk (zero annual incidence over three years), unstable (mean annual incidence less than 0.1 per 1,000 people per annum) or stable risk (mean annual incidence higher than 0.1 per 1,000 people per annum). These polygon-based data were then rasterised to $1\!\times\!\!1\ km$ spatial grids. A temperature mask was then applied on PvAPI data-defined limits of transmission [29]. This biological mask delineated areas where low temperatures were likely to inhibit parasite development in anopheline vectors [37]. We further modified a decision rule for stable transmission. Within stable transmission limits, pixels predicted with high certainty (probability >90%) of being less than 1% $PvPR_{1-99}$ were downgraded from stable to unstable class. This extremely low prediction was caused by a great abundance of survey data reporting zero prevalence in those areas.

Environmental covariates

A minimal set of covariates were included to inform prediction of the mean function, based on *a priori* expectations of the major environmental factors modulating transmission. These were (i) an indicator variable defining areas as urban or rural based on the GRUMP urban extent product [32,33]; (ii) a long-term average vegetation index product as an indicator of overall moisture availability for vector oviposition and survival [38,39]; and (iii) a *P. vivax* specific index of temperature suitability derived from the same model used to delineate suitable areas on the basis of vector survival and sporogony [37].

Bayesian space-time geostatistical modelling

Bayesian space-time geostatistical modelling for disease prevalence mapping has been fully described [29] and implemented at the national [40] and global scales [29]. The underlying value of $PvPR_{1-99}$ in 2010, $PvPR_{1-99}(x_i)$, at each location x_i was modelled as a transformation $g(\cdot)$ of a spatiotemporally structured field superimposed with unstructured (random) variation $\in(x_i)$. The number of P. vivax positive responses N_i^+ from a total sample of N_i individuals at each survey location was modelled as a conditionally independent binomial variate given the unobserved underlying age-standardized $PvPR_{1-99}$ value [41]. An agestandardisation procedure [42,43] was implemented to allow surveys conducted in participants of any age range to be converted to the epidemiologically informative 1 to 99 year age range using an algorithm based on catalytic conversion models first adapted for malaria by Pull and Grab [44]. This age-standardisation procedure has been previously adopted for *P. falciparum* [29,40], but the model form has been reparameterised using assembled age-stratified PvPR surveys. Each survey was referenced temporally using the mid-point (in decimal years) between the recorded start and end months. The spatio-temporal component was represented by a stationary Gaussian process $f(x_i,t_i)$ with mean μ and covariance defined by a spatially anisotropic version of the space-time covariance function proposed by Stein [45]. A modification was made to the Stein covariance function to allow the time-marginal model to include a periodic component of wavelength 12 months, providing the capability to model seasonal effects in the observed temporal covariance structure. These effects arise when studies performed in different years but during similar calendar months have a tendency to be more similar to each other than would be expected in the absence of seasonality. The mean component μ was modelled as a linear function of a vector of the selected suite of environmental covariates, $k: \mu = \beta_x + \beta k(x)$. The unstructured component $\in(x_i)$ was represented as Gaussian with zero mean and variance V. Bayesian inference was implemented using Markov Chain Monte Carlo to generate 100,000 samples from the posterior distribution of: the Gaussian field $f(x_i, t_i)$ at each data location, the unobserved parameters β_x , β , and V as stated above and further unobserved parameters defining the structure and anisotropy of the exponential space-time covariance function. Distances between locations were computed in greatcircle distance to incorporate the effect of the curvature of the Earth, which becomes important for a nation as large as Indonesia. Samples were generated from the 2010 annual mean of the posterior distribution of $f(x_i, t_i)$ at each prediction location. For each sample of the joint posterior, predictions were made using space-time conditional simulation over the 12 months of 2010 { $t = 2010_{7an}, \dots, 2010_{Dec}$ }. These predictions were made at points on a regular 1×1 km spatial grid. Model output therefore consisted of samples from the predicted posterior distribution of the 2010 annual mean $PvPR_{1-99}$ at each grid location, which were used to generate point estimates. The uncertainty metric was computed by calculating the ratio of posterior distribution interquartile range to its mean. This standardized metric produced an uncertainty index which less influenced by underlying prevalence levels.

Evaluating model performance

An empirical model assessment was carried out by first selecting a validation set. Ten percent (n = 445) of the full data points were selected using a spatially de-clustered stratified random sampling algorithm, described previously [29]. Those surveys located outside the stable limits of transmission were excluded from selection. Using the remaining 90% (n = 4,012) of data points the model was then re-run to make predictions at the space-time locations of these held-out data. Model performance was then evaluated using two criteria: the ability of the model to (1) predict point-values of $PvPR_{1-99}$ at validation locations, and (2) to generate credible intervals (CI) that capture appropriately the uncertainty associated with predictions at each location.

The ability of model to predict point-values of $PvPR_{1-99}$ at validation locations was then evaluated by comparing observed values to those predicted (using the posterior mean) by the model at the corresponding locations. Assessment was made using three summary statistics [29,46] including (1) the mean prediction error,

(2) the mean prediction absolute error, and (3) the linear correlation coefficient. The mean prediction error measures the bias of prediction and the mean prediction absolute error measures the accuracy of predictions. The correlation coefficient indicates the linear association between predicted and observed values, which was also visualised using a scatter plot [47].

A sample semi-variogram was calculated from standardised model residuals to assess the presence of residual spatial autocorrelation unexplained by the model output. Standardised Pearson residuals were calculated for each validation location [48,49]. This sample semi-variograms was compared to a Monte Carlo envelope computed from 99 random permutations of the same residual set [50]. Where the semi-variogram of standardized model Pearson residuals lies entirely within this envelope, it can be considered as the absence of spatial structure.

The ability of the model to generate appropriate credible intervals was tested via a coverage plot. Working through 100 progressively narrower credible intervals, from the 99% CI to the 1% CI, each was tested by computing the actual proportion of held-out prevalence observations that fell within the predicted CI. Plotting these actual proportions against each predicted CI level allows the overall fidelity of the posterior probability distributions predicted at the held-out data locations to be assessed.

Measuring area and population at risk

The quantification of areas within no risk, unstable and stable category was undertaken by first projecting the predicted class map from geographic to Mollweide equal area projection in ArcGIS 9.3. The areas covered by each category were then calculated in km². To derive population at risk within each zone, this categorical map was overlaid with the GRUMP-*beta* 2010 gridded population surface using an exact bespoke algorithm written in Fortran90, and the total population living in each risk category was calculated. These totals were further disaggregated by province level.

Results

The spatial limits of Plasmodium vivax transmission

The 2010 *Plasmodium vivax* malaria risk limits in Indonesia are shown in Figure 1. We have estimated that 1.7 million km^2 (89.8%) of a total land area of 1.9 million km^2 were endemic for *P. vivax* malaria (Table 1). These endemic areas, a land area of 0.695 million km^2 (40.7%) were unstable transmission zones and 1.014 million km^2 (59.3%) were stable transmission zones. Stable vivax transmission zones were more common in eastern than western Indonesia (83.5% vs. 33.7%). Further provincial level estimates of areas at risk are provided in Table S1.

Summaries of P. vivax malaria prevalence survey data

A total of 80 different sources from between 1985 and 2010 documented a total of 4,658 independent community surveys of *PvPR* from 33 *P. vivax* malaria endemic provinces (Figure 2). Provinces of Papua/West Papua (n = 1,021), East Nusa Tenggara (n = 734) and Aceh (n = 434) contributed 47% of total data points. After database fidelity checks, a total of 201 survey locations were excluded from modelling because they were polygon data (n = 6), could not be geo-positioned (n = 87), surveys could not be disaggregated temporally (n = 39), were spatio-temporal duplicates (n = 50) or were missing information on the month of survey (n = 19).

Table 2 summarizes the remaining 4,457 data points *PvPR* by region. More *PvPR* surveys were conducted in the eastern region compared to the western region (58% vs. 42%). Over half of the



Figure 1. The spatial limits of *Plasmodium vivax* **defined by Annual Parasite Incidence and the temperature mask.** Areas were defined as stable (dark grey areas, where $PvAPI \ge 0.1$ per 1,000 pa), unstable (medium grey areas, where PvAPI < 0.1 per 1,000 pa), or no risk (light grey, where PvAPI = 0 per 1,000 pa). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.g001

total data points (57.4%) documented the presence of *P. vivax*. In eastern Indonesia, 73.4% of the surveys reported presence records, compared to 35.6% in western region. Mean *Pv*PR was higher in the eastern than the western region (5.6% vs. 1.5%). The great majority of the *Pv*PR data (91.8%) were obtained from unpublished works. Peer-reviewed sources only contributed about 6% of the total data points. A total of 92% of the full number of *Pv*PR records were obtained from direct communication with malaria specialists across Indonesia, the Indonesian National Malaria Control Program and National Health Institute of Research and

Development. Most of the data incorporated resulted from PvPR surveys conducted between 2005 and 2010 (88.4%). The great majority of surveys included an upper age >20 years (94.3%). About seven percent of surveys were geo-positioned by Global Positioning Systems (GPS) whilst over 70% of the survey sites were geo-positioned using a combination of paper source, map and geo-referencing techniques. Surveys with small sample sizes (n<50) represented 8.95% of the total data. The median sample size was 136. The most common sample size in western region was 50–100 people (38.8%) whilst in eastern region was 100–500 people

Table 1. Area and population at risk of Plasmodium vivax malaria in 2010 throughout the Indonesian archipelago.

Area and population at risk	Region		Total			
	Western		Eastern			
	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%
Area (km²)	1,153,945	100.0	748,886	100.0	1,902,831	100.0
No risk	143,050	12.4	50,261	6.7	193,311	10.2
At risk	1,010,895	87.6	698,625	93.3	1,709,520	89.8
Unstable	922,284	53.9	73,035	9.8	695,319	36.5
Stable	388,611	33.7	625,590	83.5	1,014,201	53.3
Population	204,915,987	100.0	27,628,308	100.0	232,544,295	100.0
No risk	96,726,120	47.2	6,157,027	22.3	102,926,147	44.3
At risk	108,146,867	52.8	21,471,281	77.7	129,618,148	55.7
Unstable	96,586,342	47.1	6,176,858	22.4	102,763,200	44.2
Stable	11,560,525	5.7	15,294,423	55.3	26,854,948	11.5

No risk, unstable and stable risk areas correspond to *Pv*API=0 per 1,000 pa, 0<*Pv*API<0.1 per 1,000 pa and *Pv*API≥0.1 per 1,000 pa. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.t001



Figure 2. The distribution of *Plasmodium vivax* **prevalence surveys in Indonesia between 1985 and 2010.** The 4,457 community surveys of *P. vivax* prevalence conducted between 01 January 1985 and 25 November 2011 are plotted. The survey data are shown in white (PvPR=0%), yellow (PvPR>0%-5%) and red (PvPR>5%). Areas were defined as stable (dark grey areas, where $PvAPI\geq0.1$ per 1,000 pa), unstable (medium grey areas, where PvAPI<0.1 per 1,000 pa), or no risk (light grey, where PvAPI=0 per 1,000 pa). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.g002

(48.9%). The most commonly recorded malaria diagnostic technique in these *PvPR* surveys was microscopy method (54%).

The distribution of *P. vivax* malaria surveys was not uniform among the main islands in the archipelago (Figure 2). The islands of Sumatra (western), Papua (eastern) and Lesser Sundas (eastern) were reported as the three richest *Pv*PR data islands with proportions of 32.8%, 22.4% and 19.1%, respectively. Kalimantan was reported as the island with the sparsest *Pv*PR data (3%) followed by Sulawesi (4.6%). In Java, where more districts reported no-risk of vivax malaria, 6.5% of *Pv*PR data were collected between 1985 and 2010.

The spatial distribution of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria endemicity

The continuous predicted surface of *P. vivax* malaria endemicity within the limits of stable transmission is presented in Figure 3. The mean of predicted $PvPR_{1-99}$ was 1.6% with a high degree of heterogeneity ranging from 0.2% to about 11%. In western Indonesia, the predicted *P. vivax* prevalence was uniformly low. Spots of intermediate prevalence $PvPR_{1-99}$ were observed in eastern Kalimantan. High $PvPR_{1-99}$ areas were observed in Lesser Sundas, Maluku and Papua. Uncertainty in predicted $PvPR_{1-99}$ was relatively low in areas with low endemicity and abundance of surveys, such as in parts of Sumatra and Kalimantan (Figure 4). However in areas with high variability of prevalence, such as Papua, certainty of predicted $PvPR_{1-99}$ was relatively lower than other main western islands (Figure 4.).

The estimation of population at risk of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria

Table 1 shows the estimated population at risk of *P. vivax* malaria in Indonesia in 2010. We have estimated that 129.6

million people (55.7%) lived at risk of *P. vivax* transmission. Of these, 102.8 million (79.3%) and 26.8 million (20.7%) inhabited areas of unstable and stable transmission respectively. Further provincial level estimates of population at risk are provided in Table S1.

In the western region, 108.1 million people (52.8%) live at risk of *P. vivax* transmission.

On Java and Bali islands, (representing 7% of the land area of Indonesia) nearly 77 million people lived in areas of *P. vivax* transmission, accounting for 71% of all people at risk in western region. More people in western Indonesia lived in unstable transmission than those of stable transmission (89.3% vs.10.7%). The proportion of the population living in unstable versus stable risk was 99% vs. 1% in Java, 63% vs. 37% in Sumatra and 62% vs. 38% in Kalimantan.

In the eastern region, 21.5 million (77.7%) people live at risk of *P. vivax* transmission.

Less people lived in unstable transmission than stable transmission (28.8% vs. 71.2%). All of 10.8 million people lived at risk of *P. vivax* transmission in Sulawesi, followed by 6.7 million in Lesser Sundas, 1.9 million each in both Maluku and Papua. The proportion of the population living in unstable versus stable risk was 49% vs. 51% in Sulawesi, 8% vs. 92% in Maluku, 9% vs. 91% in Lesser Sundas and 3% vs. 97% in Papua.

Model performance

In predicting point-values of $PvPR_{1-99}$ at validation locations, the mean prediction error was -0.43% (in units of $PvPR_{1-99}$), indicating low bias in predicted PvPR. This value also represented the tendecy to underestimate *P. vivax* prevalence by just under 0.5%. Mean prediction absolute error, which measured the model precision, was estimated at 3.4% $PvPR_{1-99}$. This value represented Table 2. Summary of the most important aspects of the PvPR data by main region.

Total records of input data set	Western	Eastern	Total	Percentage
	(n = 1,886)	(n = 2,571)	(n = 4,457)	(100%)
Number selected for model				
Population sample size	426,341	955,469	1,381,810	
Number of PvPR>0	672	1,886	2,558	57.39
Mean (standard deviation) PvPR (%)	1.49 (3.97)	5.57 (9.27)	3.84 (7.76)	
Median (range) <i>Pv</i> PR (%)	0 (0–45.9)	1.87 (0-86.1)	0.62 (0-86.1)	
Primary source of <i>Pv</i> PR data				
Peer reviewed sources	104	156	260	5.83
Unpublished work	1,688	2,405	4,093	91.83
Reports _†	94	10	104	2.34
Source of spatial coordinates				
Personal communication	35	39	74	1.66
GPS	129	165	294	6.60
Encarta	235	329	564	12.65
Combination	1,333	1,817	3,150	70.68
Other digital gazettes	112	161	273	6.12
Paper source	4	1	5	0.11
Мар	38	59	97	2.18
Time period				
1985–1989	99	11	110	2.47
1990–1994	58	60	118	2.65
1995–1999	35	60	95	2.13
2000–2004	81	115	196	4.39
2005–2010	1,613	2,325	3,938	88.36
Upper age sampled				
≤10	17	40	57	1.28
>10 and ≤15	70	10	80	1.79
>15 and ≤20	-	117	117	2.63
>20	1,799	2,404	4,203	94.30
Diagnostic method				
Microscopy	1,064	1,336	2,400	53.85
RDT	822	1,235	2,057	46.15
Denominator				
1–49	272	127	399	8.95
50–100	732	507	1,290	28.94
101–500	572	1,258	1,779	39.91
>500	310	679	989	22.19
Median (Inter Quartile Range; IQR)	95 (65–321)	197 (100–538)	136 (83–450)	

[†]Ministry of Health reports, theses and other unpublished sources.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.t002

the variance between predicted and observed endemicity in each pixel, which is probably due to heterogenity of prevalence in shortrange areas or sparsity of data points. The correlation coefficient between predicted and observed values was 0.58, indicating strong linear agreement (see also the corresponding scatter plot, Figure 5A). The semi-variograms of the standardized model Pearson residuals lie entirely within Monte Carlo envelope (Figure 5B) which indicated no significant spatial structure. Figure 5C shows the coverage plot comparing predicted to actual credible intervals. The plotted line is close to the ideal 1:1 line throughout the range indicating that predicted credible intervals provided an appropriate measure of model uncertainty.

Discussion

This report describes the spatial limits and level of endemicity of *Plasmodium vivax* in Indonesia. The continous surface *P. vivax* malaria endemicity maps at 1×1 km spatial resolution were generated from an evidence base of nearly 4,500 independent estimates of *P. vivax* malaria prevalence across this archipelago and the use of a Bayesian model-based geostatistical spatial-temporal



Figure 3. The *Plasmodium vivax* **malaria** $PvPR_{1-99}$ **endemicity map.** Model-based geostatistical point estimates of the annual mean $PvPR_{1-99}$ for 2010 within the stable spatial limits of *P. vivax* malaria transmission, displayed as a continuum of light green to red from 0% to 7% (see map legend). Areas within the stable limits in Figure 1 that were predicted with high certainty (>0.9) to have $PvPR_{1-99}$ less than 1% were classified as unstable areas (shaded medium grey areas). The rest of the land area was defined as unstable risk (medium grey areas, where PvAPI < 0.1 per 1,000 pa) or no risk (light grey, where PvAPI = 0 per 1,000 pa). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.g003



Figure 4. The uncertainty map of predicted $PvPR_{1-99}$ **within the stable transmission areas.** These values indicate the uncertainty of prediction by using the ratio of posterior inter-quartile range to the posterior mean prediction at each pixel. Large values indicate greater uncertainty. Smaller values indicate higher degree of certainty in the prediction. The rest of the land area was defined as unstable risk (medium grey areas, where PvAPI < 0.1 per 1,000 pa) or no risk (light grey, where PvAPI = 0 per 1,000 pa). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.g004



Actual % within interval

Figure 5. Evaluation of model performance. (A) Scatter plot of actual versus predicted point-values of PvPR1-99. (B) Sample semivariogram of standardized model Pearson residuals estimated at discrete lag and a Monte Carlo envelope (dashed line) representing the range of values expected by chance in the absence of spatial autocorrelation. (C) Probability-probability plot comparing predicted credible intervals with the actual percentage of true values lying inside those intervals. In the top and bottom plots the 1:1 line is also shown (dashed line) for reference. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037325.g005

platform, similar to that applied for P. falciparum [29,40]. These estimates of area and population at risk of P. vivax represent improved and updated estimates from those made for 2009 [1]. The detection of *P. vivax* using the Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDTs) accounted for 46% of assembled malaria prevalence surveys. RDTs are known to be less sensitive than expert microscopy and molecular detection, especially at low parasite densities, which tends to result in higher false-negative rates and, thus, lower observed PvPR [51,52,53,54]. However, precise quantitative adjustments for these factors are not readily available and we have not assessed the impact of this low sensitivity of RDTs on our endemicity estimates.

Indonesian challenges to control and elimination

Options for malaria preventive measures [55] to reduce the risk of P. vivax malaria in Indonesia are limited. No contemporary work has demonstrated the impact of district or nationwide implementation of larvicides, larvivorous fish, or source reduction by environmental management upon malaria transmission. Low coverage rates of insecticide-treated nets (ITN) and their usage, low proportions of houses with screening in endemic zones, variable practices in personal protection represent obstacles to efforts to eliminate malaria transmission in Indonesia. The challenge is further complicated by the unusually diverse mix of 20 Anopheles vectors with varying bionomics [56] and interspersed distributions, as recently shown by comprehensive distribution maps of dominant malaria vectors [57]. Another important problem is the availability of reliable diagnostics, which may currently identify fewer than 20% of malaria attacks [12]. A clinical diagnosis in Indonesia prompts therapy with chloroquine or sulfadoxine-pyramethamine, despite widespread resistance to these drugs by both P. falciparum and P. vivax malaria [12]. Indonesian authorities acknowledge diagnostics as their primary challenge in malaria control.

In the context of controlling or, especially eliminating endemic P. vivax, chemotherapeutic attack on the hypnozoite reservoir of infection may be a key consideration. However, the only drug available for this purpose, primaquine, threatens to potentially seriously harm patients with G6PDd [58]. Laboratory screening of those at risk of this harm is not currently practical as part of routine care in Indonesia. The G6PDd prevalences were documented between 1-8% in this archipelago [59,60,61,62,63]. Although most authoritative agencies recommend a daily dose of primaquine of 0.5 mg/kg for 14 days [64], especially in Southeast Asia [65,66], this regimen is relatively threatening without G6PDd screening, and the Indonesian authorities thus recommend 0.25 mg/kg for 14 days [67]. Even this lower dose, however, is potentially dangerous and many providers in Indonesia may be reluctant to prescribe it, much less encourage patients to be fully adherent. It may thus be appreciated that G6PDd constitutes a very significant challenge to the Indonesian authorities striving to achieve their declared elimination goals [68].

This risk map of P. vivax malaria in Indonesia provides an evidence base which the Indonesian authorities may refer to when developing strategies for the systematic elimination of malaria transmission. The steep challenges imposed by diagnosis, resistance to chloroquine, and the potential harm caused by primaquine may be rationally considered beyond nation-wide solutions. Instead, the resources required to overcome these challenges may be focused upon specific sites where control measures are most needed or where elimination may be realistically within reach. Further, maps of G6PDd prevalence, and some understanding of the distribution of the most vulnerable variants, may also guide balance of risk and benefit with

primaquine strategy, policy and practice brought to bear by the authorities [69].

Further work

The Malaria Atlas Project developed cartographic techniques to estimate clinical burden of P. falciparum malaria by using a continuous relationship model between paired P. falciparum prevalence and clinical incidence [70,71]. A non-parametric Bayesian inference was chosen to define this relationship [71]. Space-time joint simulation was then used to measure uncertainty of these clinical burden estimates [70]. In order to achieve similar estimates for P. vivax, further work is needed to resolve the association between prevalence of P. vivax and clinical incidence. This is especially challenging with the added dimension of relapse and further clinical attacks from a single infectious event. Nonetheless, such estimates constitute vital evidence in rational allocation of limited resources in a nation facing multiple infectious disease threats to the public health.

A glance at the geography of Indonesia reveals vet another challenge faced by the authorities in realizing and maintaining the elimination of malaria from any given island. People from the heavily populated islands of Java and Bali represent a significant proportion of those engaged in the economic development of the many sparsely populated outer islands of the archipelago and it is unknown how many travel back and forth between these islands. These movements incur substantial risk of importing and reestablishing malaria transmission on Java and Bali. MAP and its Indonesian partners will explore techniques to estimate specific patterns and numbers of human movements among the islands in order to identify specific and high priority threats to elimination. The feasibility of such exploration has been facilitated by the advance of geographical information systems, spatial statistics, and anonimized mobile phone records [72,73,74] allowing for the tracking of movement of mobile phones among the communications masts that serve them.

References

- 1. Guerra CA, Howes RE, Patil AP, Gething PW, van Boeckel T, et al. (2010) The international limits and population at risk of Plasmodium vivax transmission in 2009. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 4: 8.
- 2. Baird JK (2008) Real-world therapies and the problem of vivax malaria. N Engl J Med 359: 2601-2603.
- 3 White NJ (2011) Determinants of relapse periodicity in Plasmodium vivax malaria. Malar J 10: 297
- Poespoprodjo JR, Fobia W, Kenangalem E, Lampah DA, Hasanuddin A, et al. 4. (2009) Vivax malaria: a major cause of morbidity in early infancy. Clin Infect Dis 48: 1704-1712.
- Poespoprodjo JR, Fobia W, Kenangalem E, Lampah DA, Warikar N, et al. 5. (2008) Adverse pregnancy outcomes in an area where multidrug-resistant Plasmodium vivax and Plasmodium falciparum infections are endemic. J Infect Dis 46: 1374-1381
- Nosten F, McGready R, Simpson JA, Thwai KL, Balkan S, et al. (1999) Effects 6. of Plasmodium vivax malaria in pregnancy. Lancet 354: 546-549.
- 7. Genton B, D'Acremont V, Rare L, Bae K, Reeder JC, et al. (2008) Plasmodium vivax and mixed infections are associated with severe malaria in children: a prospective cohort study from Papua New Guinea. PLoS Med 5: 6.
- Barcus MJ, Basri H, Picarima H, Manyakori C, Sekartuti, et al. (2007) Demographic risk factors for severe and fatal vivax and falciparum among hospital admissions in Northeastern Indonesian Papua. Am J Trop Med Hyg 77: 984-991.
- Tjitra E, Anstey NM, Sugiarto P, Warikar W, Kenangalem E, et al. (2008) Multidrug-resistant Plasmodium vivax associated with severe and fatal malaria: a prospective study in Papua, Indonesia. PLoS Med 5: 6.
- 10 Baird JK (2007) Neglect of Plasmodium vivax malaria. Trends Parasitol 23: 533 - 539Valecha N, Pinto RG, Turner GD, Kumar A, Rodrigues S, et al. (2009) 11

focusing their resources to where they are most needed and may

be most effectively applied. Maps of endemicity of both important species of parasite, coupled with estimates of population at risk and clinical burden, along with the geographic distribution of G6PDd prevalence and patterns of internal migration compose that envisioned suite.

responsible for malaria contol with evidence-based means of

The maps presented in this report constitute part of a suite of GIS tools aimed at providing the authorities in Indonesia

Supporting Information

Table S1 Areas and population at risk of Plasmodium vivax malaria in Indonesia by province, main islands and region level in 2010. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Conclusions

The national assembly of parasite prevalence surveys was dependent on the generous contributions of data by a large number of people in the malaria research and control communities. These individuals are listed on the MAP website. (http://www.map.ac.uk/acknowledgements/). We thank Katherine Battle and David Pigott for proofreading this paper. The authors additionally acknowledge the support of colleagues from the Sub-Directorate of Indonesian Malaria Control including Achmad Farchanny, Adhi Sambodo, Ali Romzan, Aris Munanto, Budi Pramono, the late Charles Tobing, Nur Asni and Saktiyono. We also thank to Trihono and Suparmi from National Health Institute of Research and Development for their contribution of Basic Health Research data.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: IE SIH. Performed the experiments: PWG AP. Analyzed the data: IE. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: PWG APP. Wrote the paper: IE PWG SIH JKB. Assembled and managed the PvAPI data: HR IE. Provided context regarding the Indonesian malaria control strategy: ES NWP SNT RK. Commented on the final draft of the manuscript: IE PWG APP HR ES NWP SNT RK JKB SIH.

- 12. Elyazar IRF, Hay SI, Baird JK (2011) Malaria distribution, prevalence, drug resistance and control in Indonesia. Adv Parasitol 74: 41-175
- 13 Baird JK, Basri H, Bangs MJ, Subianto B, Patchen LC, et al. (1991) Resistance to chloroquine by Plasmodium vivax in Irian Jaya, Indonesia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 44: 547-552
- 14. Baird JK (2004) Chloroquine resistance in Plasmodium vivax. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48: 4075-4083.
- 15. Myat-Phone-Kyaw, Myin-Oo, Myint-Lwin, Thaw-Zin, Kyin-Hla-Aye, et al. (1993) Emergence of chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium vivax in Myanmar (Burma). Trans R Soc Trop Med Hgy 87: 687.
- 16. Marlar-Than, Myat-Phone-Kyaw, Aye-Yu-Soe, Khaing-Khaing-Gyi, Ma-Sabai, et al. (1995) Development of resistance to chloroquine by Plasmodium vivax in Myanmar. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hgy 89: 307-308.
- 17. Looareesuwan S, Wilairatana P, Krudsood S, Treeprasertsuk S, Singhasivanon P, et al. (1999) Chloroquine sensitivity of Plasmodium vivax malaria in Thailand. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 93: 225-230.
- 18. Phan GT, de Vries PJ, Tran BQ, Le HQ, Nguyen NV, et al. (2002) Artemisinin or chloroquine for blood stage Plasmodium vivax malaria in Vietnam. Trop Med Int Health 2: 858-864.
- 19. Baird JK (2009) Resistance to therapies for infection by Plasmodium vivax. Clin Micr Rev 22: 508-534.
- Joshi H, Prajapati SK, Verma A, Kang'a S, Carlton JM (2008) Plasmodium vivax in India. Trends Parasitol 24: 228-235
- 21. Douglas NM, Anstey NM, Angus BJ, Nosten F, Price RN (2010) Artemisinin combination therapy for vivax malaria. Lancet Infect Dis 10: 405-416.
- Mueller I, Galinski MR, Baird JK, Carlton JM, Kochar DK, et al. (2009) Key 22. gaps in the knowledge of Plasmodium vivax, a neglected human malaria parasite. Lancet Infect Dis 9: 555-566.
- 23. The malERA Consultative Group on Drugs (2011) A research agenda for Histopathology of fatal respiratory distress caused by Plasmodium vivax malaria. malaria eradication: drugs. PLoS Med 8: 1.

Am J Trop Med Hyg 81: 758-762.

- Baird JK (2011) Resistance to chloroquine unhinges vivax malaria therapeutics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 1827–1830.
- Brooker S, Leslie T, Kolaczinski K, Mohsen E, Mehboob N, et al. (2006) Spatial epidemiology of *Plasmodium vivax*, Afghanistan. Emerg Infect Dis 12: 1600–1602.
- Manh BH, Clements ACA, Thieu NQ, Hung NM, Hung LX, et al. (2010) Social and environmental determinants of malaria in space and time in Vietnam. Int J Parasitol 41: 109–116.
- Reid H, Valley A, Taleo G, Tatem AJ, Kelly G, et al. (2010) Baseline spatial distribution of malaria prior to an elimination programme in Vanuatu. Malar J 9: 150.
- Dogan HM, Cetin I, Egri M (2010) Spatiotemporal change and ecological modelling of malaria in Turkey by means of geographical information systems. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hgy 104: 726–732.
- Gething PW, Patil AP, Smith DL, Guerra CA, Elyazar IRF, et al. (2011) A new world malaria map: *Plasmodium falciparum* endemicity in 2010. Malar J 10: 378.
- Guerra CA, Hay SI, Lucioparedes LS, Gikandi PW, Tatem AJ, et al. (2007) Assembling a global database of malaria parasite prevalence for the Malaria Atlas Project. Malar J 6: 17.
- Wallace AR (1863) On the physical geography of the Malay Archipelago. Journal of the Royal Geography Society of London 33: 217–234.
- 32. CIESIN/IFPRI/WB/CIAT (2007) Global Rural Urban Mapping Project (GRUMP) alpha: Gridded Population of the World, version 2, with urban reallocation (GPW-UR). Available: http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/gpw. Palisades (New York): Center for International Earth Science Information Network, Columbia University/International Food Policy Research Institute/The World Bank/and Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical. Accessed 2011 Nov 25.
- Balk DL, Deichmann U, Yetman G, Pozzi F, Hay SI, et al. (2006) Determining global population distribution: methods, applications and data. Adv Parasitol 62: 119–156.
- U.N.P.D. (2007) World urbanization prospects: population database. http://esa. un.org/unup/. New York: United Nations Population Division. Accessed 2011 Nov 25.
- Hay SI, Noor AM, Nelson A, Tatem AJ (2005) The accuracy of human population maps for public health application. Trop Med Int Health 10: 1073–1086.
- U.N.P.D. (2008) World population prospects: the 2008 revision population database. http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/unpp/panel_population.htm. New York: United Nations Population Division. Accessed 2010 March 11.
- Gething PW, Van Boeckel TP, Smith DL, Guerra CA, Patil AP, et al. (2010) Modelling the global constraints of temperature on transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax*. Parasites & Vectors 9: 2.
- Scharlemann JPW, Benz D, Hay SI, Purse BV, Tatem AJ, et al. (2008) Global data for ecology and epidemiology: a novel algorithm for temporal Fourier processing MODIS data. PLoS ONE 3: 1.
- Hay SI, Tatem AJ, Graham AJ, Goetz SJ, Rogers DJ (2006) Global environmental data for mapping infectious disease distribution. Adv Parasitol 62: 37–77.
- Elyazar IRF, Gething PW, Patil AP, Royagah H, Kusriastuti R, et al. (2011) *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria endemicity in Indonesia in 2010. PLoS ONE 6: 6.
- Diggle PJ, Thomson MC, Christensen OF, Rowlingson B, Obsomer V, et al. (2007) Spatial modelling and the prediction of Loa loa risk: decision making under uncertainty. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 101: 499–509.
- Hay SI, Guerra CA, Gething PW, Patil AP, Tatem AJ, et al. (2009) A world malaria map: *Plasmodium falciparum* endemicity in 2007. PLoS Med 6: 3.
- Smith DL, Guerra CA, Snow RW, Hay SI (2007) Standardizing estimates of the Plasmodium falciparum epidemics in Africa. Malar J 6: 131.
- Pull JH, Grab B (1974) A simple epidemiological model for evaluating the malaria inoculation rate and the risk of infection in infants. Bull World Health Organ 51: 507–516.
- Stein ML (2005) Space-time covariance functions. J Am Stat Assoc 100: 310–321.
- Maekawa T, Sunahara T, Dachlan YP, Yotoranoto S, Basuki S, et al. (2009) First record of *Anopheles balabacensis* from western Sumbawa Island, Indonesia. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 25: 203–2005.
- Cohen J (1988) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd Edition). Routledge Academic. 567 p.
- Clements ACA, Moyeed RA, Brooker S (2006) Bayesian geostatistical prediction of the intensity of infection with *Schistosoma mansoni* in East Africa. Parasitology 133: 711–719.
- Diggle PJ, Moyeed RA, Rowlingson B, Thompson M (2002) Childhood malaria in The Gambia: a case study in model-based geostatistics. Appl Stat 51: 493–506.

- Vivax Malaria Endemicity in Indonesia in 2010
- Diggle PJ, Ribeiro PJ (2007) Model-based geostatistics. Bickel P, Diggle P, Fienberg S, Gather U, Olkin I, et al., editor. New York: Springer. 228 p.
- Ashley EA, Touabi M, Ahrer M, Hutagalung R, Htun K, et al. (2009) Evaluation of three parasite lactate dehydrigenase-based rapid diagnostic tests for the diagnosis of falciparum and vivax malaria. Malar J 8: 241.
- Tjitra E, Suprianto S, Dyer ME, Currie BJ, Anstey NM (1999) Field evaluation of the ICT malaria P.f/P.v immunochromatographic test for detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* in patients with a presumptive clinical diagnosis of malaria in eastern Indonesia. J Clin Microbiol 37: 2412–2417.
- 53. Harris I, Sharrock WW, Bain LM, Gray K, Bobogare A, et al. (2010) A large proportion of asymptomatic *Plasmodium* infections with low and sub-microscopic parasite densities in the low transmission setting of Temotu Province, Solomon Islands: challenges for malaria diagnostics in an elimination setting. Malar J 9: 254.
- 54. Ashton RA, Kefyalew T, Tesfaye G, Counihan H, Yadeta D, et al. (2010) Performance of three multi-species rapid diagnostic tests for diagnosis of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. Malar J 9: 297.
- Baird JK, Schwartz E, Hoffman SL (2007) Prevention and treatment of vivax malaria. Curr Infect Dis Reports 9: 39–46.
- Elyazar IRF, Sinka MJ, Bangs MJ, Gething PW, Tarmizi SN, et al. (2012) The distribution of *Anopheles* and their bionomics in Indonesia. Parasites & Vectors in prep.
- Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Patil AP, et al. (2011) The dominant *Anopheles* vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasites & Vectors 4: 89.
- Baird JK (2011) Radical cure: the case of anti-relapse therapy against all malarias. Clin Infect Dis 52: 621–623.
- Shimizu H, Tamam M, Soemantri S, Ishida T (2005) Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and Southeast Asian ovalocytosis in asymptomatic *Plasmodium* carriers in Sumba island, Indonesia. J Hum Genet 50: 420–424.
- Lie-Injo LE, Poey-Oey HG (1964) Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in Indonesia. Nature 204: 88.
- Matsuoka H, Arai M, Yoshida S, Tantular IS, Pusarawati S, et al. (2003) Five different glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase (G6PD) variants found among 11 G6PD-deficient persons in Flores Island, Indonesia. J Hum Genet 48: 541–544.
- Matsuoka H, Ishii A, Panjaitan W, Sudiranto R (1986) Malaria and glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in North Sumatra, Indonesia. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 17: 530–536.
- Tantular IS, Iwai K, Lin K, Basuki S, Horie T, et al. (1999) Field trials of a rapid test for G6PD deficiency in combination with a rapid diagnosis of malaria. Trop Med Int Health 4: 245–250.
- Baird JK, Surjadjaja C (2011) Consideration of ethics in primaquine therapy against malaria transmission. Trends Parasitol 27: 11–16.
- World Health Organization (2010) Guidelines for the treatment of malaria: Second edition. WC 770: 191.
- Hill DR, Baird JK, Parise ME, Lewis LS, Ryan ET, et al. (2006) Primaquine: Report from CDC expert meeting on malaria chemoprophylaxis I. Am J Trop Med Hyg 75: 402–415.
- Departemen Kesehatan (2007) Buku saku penatalaksanaan kasus malaria. Direktorat Jendral Pengendalian Penyakit dan Penyehatan Lingkungan, Departemen Kesehatan Indonesia. 35 p.
- 68. Baird JK (2011) Eliminating malaria-all of them. Lancet 376: 7-8.
- 69. Howes RE, Piel FB, Patil AP, Nyangiri OA, Gething PW, et al. (2012) A map of G6PD deficiency prevalence and estimates of affected populations in malaria endemic countries. PLoS Med in press.
- Hay SI, Okiro EA, Gething PW, Patil AP, Tatem AJ, et al. (2010) Estimating the global clinical burden of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in 2007. PLoS Med 7: 6.
- Patil AP, Okiro EA, Gething PW, Guerra CA, Sharma SK, et al. (2009) Defining the relationship between *Plasmodium falciparum* parasite rate and clinical disease: statistical models for disease burden estimation. Malar J 8: 186.
- Tatem AJ, Qiu Y, Smith DL, Sabot O, Ali AS, et al. (2009) The use of mobile phone data for the estimation of the travel patterns and imported *Plasmodium falciparum* rates among Zanzibar residents. Malar J 8: 27.
- Zanzibar Malaria Control Program (2009) Malaria elimination in Zanzibar. A feasibility assessment. Zanzibar: Zanzibar Malaria Control Program, Ministry of Health and Social Welfare. 87 p.
- Tatem AJ, Smith DL (2010) International population movements and regional *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria elimination strategies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 12222–12227.