## **Original Article** | Gastrointestinal Imaging

https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2017.18.4.674 pISSN 1229-6929 · eISSN 2005-8330 Korean J Radiol 2017;18(4):674-681



# The Blood Oxygenation T<sub>2</sub>\* Values of Resectable Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinomas as Measured by 3T Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Association with Tumor Stage

Yu-lian Tang, MS<sup>1, 2</sup>, Xiao-ming Zhang, MD<sup>1, 2</sup>, Zhi-gang Yang, MD<sup>3</sup>, Yu-cheng Huang, MS<sup>1, 2</sup>, Tian-wu Chen, MD<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yan-li Chen, MS<sup>1, 2</sup>, Fan Chen, MS<sup>1, 2</sup>, Nan-lin Zeng, MS<sup>1, 2</sup>, Rui Li, MD<sup>1, 2</sup>, Jiani Hu, PhD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong 637000, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong 637000, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201, USA

**Objective:** To explore the association between the blood oxygenation  $T_2^*$  values of resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinomas (ESCCs) and tumor stages.

**Materials and Methods:** This study included 48 ESCC patients and 20 healthy participants who had undergone esophageal  $T_2^*$ -weighted imaging to obtain  $T_2^*$  values of the tumors and normal esophagi. ESCC patients underwent surgical resections less than one week after imaging. Statistical analyses were performed to identify the association between  $T_2^*$  values of ESCCs and tumor stages.

**Results:** One-way ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls tests revealed that the  $T_2^*$  value could differentiate stage T1 ESCCs (17.7  $\pm$  3.3 ms) from stage T2 and T3 tumors (24.6  $\pm$  2.7 ms and 27.8  $\pm$  5.6 ms, respectively; all  $p_s < 0.001$ ). Receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis showed the suitable cutoff  $T_2^*$  value of 21.3 ms for either differentiation. The former statistical tests demonstrated that the  $T_2^*$  value could not differentiate between stages T2 and T3 (24.6  $\pm$  2.7 ms vs. 27.8  $\pm$  5.6 ms, respectively, p > 0.05) or between N stages (N1 vs. N2 vs. N3: 24.7  $\pm$  6.9 ms vs. 25.4  $\pm$  4.5 ms vs. 26.8  $\pm$  3.9 ms, respectively; all  $p_s > 0.05$ ). The former tests illustrated that the  $T_2^*$  value could differentiate anatomic stages I and II (18.8  $\pm$  4.8 ms and 26.9  $\pm$  5.9 ms, respectively) or stages I and III (27.3  $\pm$  3.6 ms). ROC analysis depicted the same cutoff  $T_2^*$  value of 21.3 ms for either differentiation. In addition, the Student's *t* test revealed that the  $T_2^*$  value could determine grouped T stages (T0 vs. T1-3: 17.0  $\pm$  2.9 ms vs. 25.2  $\pm$  6.2 ms; T0-1 vs. T2-3: 17.3  $\pm$  3.0 ms vs. 27.1  $\pm$  5.3 ms; and T0-2 vs. T3: 18.8  $\pm$  4.2 ms vs. 27.8  $\pm$  5.6 ms, all  $p_s < 0.001$ ). ROC analysis indicated that the  $T_2^*$  value could detect ESCCs (cutoff, 20 ms), and discriminate between stages T0-1 and T2-3 (cutoff, 21.3 ms) and between T0-2 and T3 (cutoff, 20.4 ms).

**Conclusion:** The  $T_2^*$  value can be an additional quantitative indicator for detecting ESCC except for stage T1 cancer, and can preoperatively discriminate between some T stages and between anatomic stages of this tumor.

Keywords: Esophagus; Neoplasm; Carcinoma; Squamous cell; Magnetic resonance; Staging

Received May 29, 2016; accepted after revision November 22, 2016.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81571645), the Sichuan Province Special Project for Youth Team of Science and Technology Innovation (grant no. 2015TD0029), and The Construction Plan for Scientific Research Team of Sichuan Provincial Colleges and Universities (grant no. 15TD0023).

**Corresponding author:** Tian-wu Chen, MD, Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, 63# Wenhua Road, Nanchong 637000, China.

<sup>•</sup> Tel: (86817) 2262236 • Fax: (86817) 2222856 • E-mail: chentw@aliyun.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://

creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Esophageal carcinoma is the eighth most common malignancy in the world. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) accounts for more than 90% of these, and is the predominant histological subtype (1-5). Surgery for stage I esophageal cancers, neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) or chemoradiotherapy (CRT) followed by surgery for stages II/III (except for T4) diseases, and preoperative CRT for inoperable esophageal cancers are considered the current standard treatments for esophageal cancer in clinical settings (6-8). One study (7) revealed that the survival outcomes were better for patients with stage III resectable esophageal carcinomas who underwent NAC followed by surgery than for those who underwent CRT. However, for stages I, II, and IV disease, the survival outcomes were not significantly different between the treatments. Hence, the accurate staging of esophageal cancer prior to treatment is extremely important for selecting the appropriate treatment strategy (9).

The current practice quidelines for accurately staging esophageal cancer before treatment include computed tomography and endoscopic ultrasonography. However, computed tomography and endoscopic ultrasonography cannot identify the histologic layers of the esophageal wall (10, 11). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can overcome the limitation of these other modalities and can demonstrate the histologic layers of the esophageal wall. With the development of advanced techniques, such as faster scanning, respiratory and cardiac gating, and use of surface coils, the diagnostic efficacy of MRI for T staging has improved considerably (12, 13). The relatively new MRI technique of the multi-echo gradient recalled echo (GRE)  $T_2^*$ -weighted imaging ( $T_2^*WI$ ) sequence requires a highly uniform magnetic field, and can improve the detection rate of small lesions. Additionally, T<sub>2</sub>\* values can indirectly reflect changes in tissue biochemical components, such as the amounts of oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin in the vessels and their surrounding tissues (14, 15). The published literatures (16-18) have reported that there is a linear relation between the transverse relaxation rate and the deoxyhemoglobin concentration. This technique has been applied to evaluate tissue oxygenation in hepatocellular carcinomas, renal carcinomas, breast cancers and prostate cancers (19-22). Therefore, we hypothesize that T<sub>2</sub>\* values could reflect the contents of oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin in ESCC. To our knowledge, GRE T<sub>2</sub>\*WI has not been used to evaluate tissue



oxygenation in esophageal carcinomas. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the association of the  $T_2^*$  values of resectable ESCCs with tumor stages.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Participants

The Institutional Ethics Committee of our hospital approved this prospective study, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant before the study.

From July 2014 to February 2015, 50 patients with endoscopic biopsy-proven ESCCs were enrolled in our study according to the following inclusion criteria: 1) patients had adequate cardiac and pulmonary functions, and could perform breath holding during the GRE  $T_2$ \*WI scans, 2) patients did not receive any tumor-related treatments, such as radiation therapy or chemotherapy, prior to the MRI scans, and 3) patients desired to undergo surgical resection. The initial number of patients with biopsy-confirmed ESCCs was 50. Two of these were excluded from the study because: 1) the tumor was unable to be resected because of the contraindication for surgery (n = 1); or 2) the MR images were of poor quality, or tumors were too small to draw the region of interest (ROI) of 35-45 mm<sup>2</sup> when we reviewed the  $T_2$ \*WI data (n = 1). Ultimately, 48 patients (31 males and 17 females; age range, 48-78 years; mean age, 62.5 years) were enrolled in our study.

Additionally, a group of 20 randomly selected, consecutive healthy volunteers (13 males and 7 females; age range, 49–73 years; mean age, 60.9 years) were included in this study. These served as the reference group from which benchmarks were obtained according to the following inclusion criteria: the volunteers had no esophageal diseases, no acute infections, and no histories of malignant cancer. In addition, the patients with ESSCs and the normal volunteers were from the same area where our hospital was located.

All the patients with ESCCs underwent magnetic resonance scans one week before the surgery. The ESCC patients did not receive any tumor-related treatments during the interval between the MRI and their surgery. The healthy volunteers also underwent magnetic resonance scans. Immediately after the ESCC resection surgery, the surgically removed tumor tissues were sent to the pathology department for histological examination. The TNM staging was based on surgical and postoperative pathological staging. According to the current revised TNM system (the depth of primary Korean Journal of Radiology

tumor invasion, the regional lymph node involvement number and distant metastasis), the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) in 2010 (23), and the seventh edition of the AJCC/Union for International Cancer Control, cancer staging of the esophagus (24) was introduced as the criterion for staging ESCC. The corresponding patient distributions are illustrated in Table 1. All the 10 patients with T1-staged ESCCs plus one case of T2-staged cancer were determined as anatomic stage I as the standard control group to distinguish the anatomic stages. Additionally, the T3-staged ESCCs received adjuvant radiation therapy or chemotherapy after surgery.

#### **Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocol**

T2\*-weighted imaging of ESCCs and normal esophagi was performed with a GE Signal 3T scanner (Discovery MR 750, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). To maintain the ratio of the scanning segments of the normal esophagi in the reference group to the anatomic distribution of the

Table 1.	Patient	Distributions	of Esophageal	Squamous Cell
Carcinor	na			

Carcinoma	n (%)				
Primary tumor (T)					
Tx	0				
T1	10 (20.8)				
T2	8 (16.7)				
Т3	30 (62.5)				
Regional lymph nodes (N)					
Nx	0				
NO	34 (70.8)				
N1	8 (16.7)				
N2	5 (10.4)				
N3	1 (2.1)				
Distant metastasis (M)					
MO	48 (100)				
M1	0				
Histologic grade (G)					
Gx	0				
G1	21 (43.8)				
G2	22 (45.8)				
G3	5 (10.4)				
Location of primary cancer site					
Upper esophagus	6 (12.5)				
Middle esophagus	41 (85.4)				
Lower esophagus	1 (2.1)				
Anatomic stage					
I	11 (22.9)				
II	26 (54.1)				
III	11 (23)				

ESCCs, 3 healthy participants randomly underwent T<sub>2</sub>\*WI of the upper thoracic portion of the esophagus, 16 healthy participants randomly received T<sub>2</sub>\*WI of the mid-thoracic portion, and the remaining 1 healthy participant underwent T<sub>2</sub>\*WI of lower thoracic portion. MRI was performed on each participant after overnight fasting. The scanning sequences included GRE T<sub>2</sub>\*WI and conventional T1- and T2-weighted imaging. Subsequently, 15 mL gadolinium diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid (Gd-DTPA; Magnevist, Bayer Healthcare, Berlin, Germany) was intravenously injected at a dose of 2.5 mL/s for a total of 0.2 mmol per kg of body weight via a pressure injector (Spectris MR Injection System, Medrad, Warrendale, PA, USA). This was followed by a 20-mL saline solution flush for the axial T1WI triphasic dynamic contrast-enhanced scans. The GRE T<sub>2</sub>\*WI sequence was completed with respiratory gating according to the following scanning parameters: repetition time of 41.8 ms, echo time of 1.5–36 ms, flip angle of 30°, acquisition matrix of 256 x 192 mm, pixel size of 1.6 x 1.6 mm, field of view of 420 x 360 mm, slice thickness of 5 mm, and sampling bandwidth of 83.3 kHz. Additionally, conventional T1- and T2-weighted scans were performed to localize the ESCCs to plan for the T<sub>2</sub>\*WI of the tumors, and axial T1-weighted triphasic dynamic contrast-enhanced scans were performed for the subsequent image analysis.

### **Image Analysis**

The original MRI data were used to measure the  $T_2^*$ values of the ESCCs or the normal esophageal walls on the workstation (GE Advantage Workstation Version 4.4–09, Sun Microsystems, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The contrast-enhanced T1WI was analyzed first to identify the hemorrhagic and necrotic regions of the ESCCs. Subsequently, R<sub>2</sub>\* maps were constructed, and the T<sub>2</sub>\* values of ESCCs and the normal esophageal walls were automatically determined by the software. Two experienced radiologists, including an experienced radiologic professor (the corresponding author, who has more than 17 years of experience in abdominal radiology) and a radiology resident (the first author, who has 2 years of experience in radiology), were blinded to the subjects' information and analyzed the MRI images. Three circular ROIs with approximately equal areas were independently and manually outlined in one maximal slice of the ESCC until three consecutive maximal slices of this tumor were covered (3 ROIs per slice, 9 ROIs per patient), and the T<sub>2</sub>\* values of each ROI were recorded independently by the two radiologists. Each ROI of approximately 35-45

mm<sup>2</sup> in area was drawn by avoiding the hemorrhagic and necrotic regions as much as possible (Fig. 1). When the tumor was too small to draw a ROI of approximately 35–45 mm<sup>2</sup>, a ROI was manually drawn as large as possible within the tumor on the magnified image, including images of T1 stage esophageal cancer. The estimate of the T<sub>2</sub>\* value for each slice was obtained from the averaged T<sub>2</sub>\* values of the three ROIs in each slice. The representative T<sub>2</sub>\* values of the three slices were then averaged to obtain a final estimate of the ESCC T<sub>2</sub>\* value for the data analysis. The T<sub>2</sub>\* values of the normal esophageal wall were obtained from the healthy volunteers in a similar manner via the acquisition of the T<sub>2</sub>\* values of the ESCCs except that each ROI drawn on the magnified image was approximately 3–5 mm<sup>2</sup> in area.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software (version 13.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics included the means and standard deviations of the  $T_2^*$  parameters. Differences were considered significant when the p values were below 0.05.

The agreement of each  $T_2^*$  parameter from the two independent observers' measurements was assessed



with Bland-Altman statistics. The intraclass correlation coefficients and their 95% confidence intervals were used to assess the levels of agreement. When the intraclass correlation coefficients were greater than 0.99, and the mean differences of the replicated measurements were close to zero, good agreement between the replicated measurements was considered to have been obtained (25). If the intraclass correlation coefficient was less than 0.99 for any participant, the measurement of the  $T_2^*$  parameter was repeated by the observers, and the mean of the four measurements was used as the final result for the further analysis.

Because the  $T_2^*$  parameters were normally distributed, the independent samples Student's *t* test was used to compare the  $T_2^*$  values between the ESCCs and the normal esophageal walls and between the grouped T-stages. The one-way ANOVA test and the Student-Newman-Keuls test for pairwise multiple comparisons were conducted to determine the associations between the  $T_2^*$  values of the ESCCs and all clinical factors, such as the T and N stages and the anatomic stage of the ESCC. If a significant difference in the  $T_2^*$  values between any two stages was demonstrated based on the results of the one-way ANOVA test together with the Student-Newman-Keuls test for pairwise multiple



#### Fig. 1. Representative T<sub>2</sub>\* and T2-weighted images of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

 $T_2^*$  weighted imaging ( $T_2^*WI$ ) (**A-C**) and T2-weighted imaging (**D-F**) of stages T1, T2, and T3 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in 62-year-old male, 67-year-old female and 57-year-old male, respectively. Regions of interest are drawn on  $T_2^*WI$  within each tumor to obtain  $T_2^*$  value.



comparisons, and the independent samples Student's t test, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of the T<sub>2</sub>\* value was performed using the MedCalc statistical software (version 13.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc.) for the detection and staging of the ESCCs. In detail, ROC analyses of the T<sub>2</sub>\* parameters with significant differences were performed between the normal esophageal walls and the ESCCs to detect these tumors, between the stages T0–1 and stages T2–3, and between the stages T0–2 and stage T3 to determine the grouped stages, and between stages T1 and T2, and between stages T1 and T3, to aid in the T staging of the ESCCs. Using the anatomic staging system, the significant differences in the T<sub>2</sub>\* parameters between stages I and II or III were also examined by ROC analyses.

## RESULTS

# The Inter-Observer Agreements of the $T_{\rm 2}{}^{\ast}$ Value Measurements

There were good agreements between the two independent observers in terms of the  $T_2^*$  values for the ESCCs and for normal esophagi (Table 2). The intraclass correlation coefficients were greater than 0.99 in 43 patients with ESCCs and in 18 healthy participants, and the first radiologist's measurements were used as the final results for the data analysis. Additionally, the intraclass correlation coefficient was less than 0.99 in 5 patients with ESCCs and in 2 healthy participants. The measurements of

#### Table 2. Inter-Observer Agreements of T<sub>2</sub>\* Value Measurements

the  $T_2^*$  parameters were repeated by the two observers, and the means of the four measurements were used as the final results for the further analysis.

# Analysis of the T<sub>2</sub>\* Values Associated with the T, N and Anatomic Stages

The averaged  $T_2^*$  values of the normal esophageal walls and ESCCs were  $17.0 \pm 2.9$  ms and  $25.2 \pm 6.2$  ms, respectively. There was a significant difference between the normal esophageal walls and the ESCCs (i.e., between stage T0 and stages T1–3, p < 0.001).

The averaged  $T_2^*$  values of the stage T1, T2, and T3 ESCCs were 17.7 ± 3.3 ms, 24.6 ± 2.7 ms, and 27.8 ± 5.6 ms, respectively. Multiple pairwise comparisons revealed that the  $T_2^*$  value aided the differentiation of stage T1 disease from stage T2, and of stage T1 from stage T3 (all  $p_s < 0.001$ ). However, no difference in the  $T_2^*$  values was observed between stages T2 and T3 (p > 0.05). Regarding the grouped T-stages of the ESCCs, there were significance differences in the  $T_2^*$  values between stages T0–1 and T2–3 (17.3 ± 3.0 ms vs. 27.1 ± 5.3 ms, p < 0.001) and between stages T0–2 and T3 (18.8 ± 4.2 ms vs. 27.8 ± 5.6 ms, p < 0.001). In the patients with stage N0–2 ESCC, the averaged  $T_2^*$  values of the stages N0, N1, and N2 of ESCCs were 24.7 ± 6.9 ms, 25.4 ± 4.5 ms, and 26.8 ± 3.9 ms, respectively. The  $T_2^*$  values of N stages were not significantly different (all  $p_s > 0.05$ ).

For the ESCC patients in different anatomic stages, the averaged  $T_2^{*}$  values of the stage I, II, and III tumors

Mean Difference	OF 0/ Inter Observer					
Differences between Two Measurements	95% CI	95% Limits of Agreement	Correlation Coefficient			
$0.01 \pm 0.40$	-0.774-0.794	-1.094-1.114	0.991 (0.976-0.996)			
$0.04 \pm 0.84$	-1.605-1.688	-2.021-2.104	0.993 (0.987–0.996)			
	Mean Difference Differences between Two Measurements 0.01 ± 0.40 0.04 ± 0.84	Mean Difference of Replicated Measurem   Differences between 95% CI   Two Measurements -0.774-0.794   0.01 ± 0.40 -0.774-0.794   0.04 ± 0.84 -1.605-1.688	Mean Difference of Replicated Measurements (Mean ± SD)   Differences between Two Measurements 95% CI 95% Limits of Agreement   0.01 ± 0.40 -0.774-0.794 -1.094-1.114   0.04 ± 0.84 -1.605-1.688 -2.021-2.104			

CI = confidence interval, ESCCs = esophageal squamous cell carcinomas, SD = standard deviation

Table 2 D		na Chavastavistia	malusia of TO*	for Data stine	and Charlen	of Foomboreal Co	warmawa Call Carainama
IdDle 2. h	leceiver operati	ny characteristic i	Analysis of 12	TOT Detecting	i anu stayiny (	or Esophayeat St	juanious cell carcinonia

T2* Cutoff (ms)	Differentiation	AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity
T stage				
20	T0 vs. T1-3	0.897	0.833	0.900
21.3	T1 vs. T2	0.950	0.875	1.00
21.3	T1 vs. T3	0.947	0.833	1.00
21.3	T0-1 vs. T2-3	0.966	0.842	0.967
20.4	T0-2 vs. T3	0.903	0.967	0.684
Anatomic stage				
21.3	I vs. II	0.867	0.769	0.909
21.3	I vs. III	0.926	1.00	0.909

AUC = area under receiver operating characteristic curve, Stage TO = the normal esophageal wall

were  $18.8 \pm 4.8$  ms,  $26.9 \pm 5.9$  ms, and  $27.3 \pm 3.6$  ms, respectively. The T<sub>2</sub>\* value aided the differentiations of stage I from stage II and of stage I from stage III (all  $p_s < 0.001$ ). However, the T<sub>2</sub>\* value did not aid the differentiation of stage II from stage III disease (p > 0.05).

# ROC Analysis of the T<sub>2</sub>\* Value for the Detection and Staging of ESCCs

Based on the ROC analysis, the sensitivity, specificity, area under the ROC curve (AUC), and the cutoff value of  $T_2^*$  for detecting and staging ESCC are summarized in Table 3. As illustrated in Table 3, the  $T_2^*$  parameters were reliable indicators for detecting ESCCs and aided the ESCC staging.

### **DISCUSSION**

In clinical settings, T1- or T2-staged ESCCs can be treated with endoscopic therapy or with esophagectomy, whereas higher stage lesions require neoadjuvant chemoradiation before or after esophagectomy (26). This study was conducted to explore the associations of the  $T_2^*$  values of resectable ESCCs with the stages to determine whether the  $T_2^*$  value can be used to detect and accurately stage esophageal cancer before treatment.

The study found that the averaged  $T_2^*$  value exhibited increasing trends from stage T0 to T1 and from stage T2 to T3. The potential mechanism for this finding might be the blood oxygenation level. Several previous studies reported that angiogenesis, together with rich oxygen  $(0_2)$ and nutrient supplies play vital roles in tumorigenesis, development and metastasis (27-30). The cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) protein is over-expressed in esophageal cancer. The microvascular density in COX-2-positive cases might be significantly higher than in COX-2-negative cases, and follow an ascending order from carcinomas in situ, to tumors invading the lamina propria mucosae, and tumors invading the muscularis mucosa or deeper cancers (31, 32). The increased number of blood vessels can lead to increased blood flow and blood 0<sub>2</sub> content, whereas the content of deoxyhemoglobin is decreased. This could cause the phase of local magnetic fields to decrease, which ultimately results in the  $T_2^*$  value increasing with the progress of the T stage (33).

However, there was no significant difference in the  $T_2^*$  values between stages T0 and T1 of the ESCCs due to the overlap of this parameter. The potential mechanism for this finding might be a mechanism similar to that of tumor



Korean Journal of Radiology

Clinically, the blood oxygenation parameter  $(T_2^*)$  helped to differentiate ESCC stage T1 from T2 or T3, and the grouped T stages between TO-1 and T2-3, and stages between T0–2 and T3. The  $T_2^*$  value was also able to distinguish anatomic stage I disease from stage II or III disease. As demonstrated by the ROC analysis, the same cutoff  $T_2^*$  value (21.3 ms) aided the differentiation between the T1 stage and the T2 or T3 stages, and between the anatomic stages I and II or III. A possible reason for the same cutoff  $T_2^*$  value being reached might be related to the sample size in the standard control group that was used to obtain the threshold  $T_2^*$  value for the previous discrimination. All the 10 patients with T1-staged ESCCs were used as the standard control group to discriminate the above-mentioned T stages of the ESCCs. The same 10 patients with T1-staged ESCCs plus one case of T2staged cancer were used as the standard control group to distinguish the above anatomic stages. The similar sample sizes of the standard control groups resulted in the same cutoff for the differentiation. However, the AUC, sensitivity and specificity were different for the corresponding differentiations.

This study has a limitation of relatively small sample size. However, in spite of the small sample size, some useful information about the associations of  $T_2^*$  values with the occurrences and stages of ESCCs was obtained. Future studies will expand the sample size to confirm these results.

In conclusion, the  $T_2^*$  values of ESCCs can help detect this cancer and can be an additional quantitative indicator for the preoperative stage of this tumor. The cutoff  $T_2^*$  value



of 20 ms aided the detection of ESCCs. The cutoff  $T_2^*$  value of 21.3 ms aided the differentiation of T stages between T1 and T2 or T3, and between the anatomic stages of I and II or III. Regarding the determination of the grouped T-stages of the ESCCs, the cutoff  $T_2^*$  values of 21.3 ms and 20.4 ms aided the discriminations of stage T0–1 from stage T2–3 and of stage T0–2 from stage T3, respectively. This study will be helpful in detecting ESCCs and in staging these tumors for therapeutic decision-making.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Enzinger PC, Mayer RJ. Esophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349:2241-2252
- van Hagen P, Hulshof MC, van Lanschot JJ, Steyerberg EW, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Wijnhoven BP, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2074-2084
- 3. Cao LY, Yin Y, Li H, Jiang Y, Zhang HF. Expression and clinical significance of S100A2 and p63 in esophageal carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:4183-4188
- 4. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011;61:69-90
- 5. Fitzgerald RC. Review article: Barrett's oesophagus and associated adenocarcinoma--a UK perspective. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20 Suppl 8:45-49
- 6. Nomura M, Oze I, Kodaira T, Abe T, Komori A, Narita Y, et al. Comparison between surgery and definitive chemoradiotherapy for patients with resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a propensity score analysis. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2016;21:890-898
- Kitagawa Y. [Therapeutic strategies for advanced respectable esophageal cancer]. Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi 2008;109:333-337
- Fokas E, Rödel C. Definitive, preoperative, and palliative radiation therapy of esophageal cancer. *Viszeralmedizin* 2015;31:347-353
- 9. Ripley RT, Sarkaria IS, Grosser R, Sima CS, Bains MS, Jones DR, et al. Pretreatment dysphagia in esophageal cancer patients may eliminate the need for staging by endoscopic ultrasonography. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101:226-230
- Puli SR, Reddy JB, Bechtold ML, Antillon D, Ibdah JA, Antillon MR. Staging accuracy of esophageal cancer by endoscopic ultrasound: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:1479-1490
- 11. Rice TW. Clinical staging of esophageal carcinoma. CT, EUS, and PET. *Chest Surg Clin N Am* 2000;10:471-485
- Riddell AM, Allum WH, Thompson JN, Wotherspoon AC, Richardson C, Brown G. The appearances of oesophageal carcinoma demonstrated on high-resolution, T2-weighted MRI, with histopathological correlation. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:391-399
- 13. Riddell AM, Hillier J, Brown G, King DM, Wotherspoon AC,

Thompson JN, et al. Potential of surface-coil MRI for staging of esophageal cancer. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006;187:1280-1287

- 14. Tang MY, Chen TW, Zhang XM, Huang XH. GRE T2\*-weighted MRI: principles and clinical applications. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:312142
- 15. Li Y, Song QW, Sun MY, Wang HQ, Wang S, Wei Q, et al. Use of enhanced T2 star-weighted angiography (ESWAN) and R2\* values to distinguish ovarian cysts due to endometriosis from other causes. *Abdom Imaging* 2015;40:1733-1741
- 16. Howe FA, Robinson SP, Rodrigues LM, Stubbs M, Griffiths JR. Issues in GRE & SE magnetic resonance imaging to probe tumor oxygenation. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2003;530:441-448
- 17. Chavhan GB, Babyn PS, Thomas B, Shroff MM, Haacke EM. Principles, techniques, and applications of T2\*-based MR imaging and its special applications. *Radiographics* 2009;29:1433-1449
- Rodrigues LM, Howe FA, Griffiths JR, Robinson SP. Tumor R2\* is a prognostic indicator of acute radiotherapeutic response in rodent tumors. J Magn Reson Imaging 2004;19:482-488
- Zhang LJ, Zhang Z, Xu J, Jin N, Luo S, Larson AC, et al. Carbogen gas-challenge blood oxygen level-dependent magnetic resonance imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma: initial results. Oncol Lett 2015;10:2009-2014
- 20. Li D, Wang X, Wang S, Cheng J. Correlation between BOLD-MRI and HIF expression level in renal carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* 2015;8:13759-13763
- Rakow-Penner R, Daniel B, Glover GH. Detecting blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast in the breast. J Magn Reson Imaging 2010;32:120-129
- Alonzi R, Padhani AR, Maxwell RJ, Taylor NJ, Stirling JJ, Wilson JI, et al. Carbogen breathing increases prostate cancer oxygenation: a translational MRI study in murine xenografts and humans. *Br J Cancer* 2009;100:644-648
- 23. Pennathur A, Gibson MK, Jobe BA, Luketich JD. Oesophageal carcinoma. *Lancet* 2013;381:400-412
- 24. Li Z, Rice TW. Diagnosis and staging of cancer of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction. *Surg Clin North Am* 2012;92:1105-1126
- Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986;1:307-310
- Quint LE, Bogot NR. Staging esophageal cancer. Cancer Imaging 2008;8:S33-S42
- Butler JM, Kobayashi H, Rafii S. Instructive role of the vascular niche in promoting tumour growth and tissue repair by angiocrine factors. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2010;10:138-146
- 28. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011;144:646-674
- 29. Gholamin M, Moaven O, Memar B, Farshchian M, Naseh H, Malekzadeh R, et al. Overexpression and interactions of interleukin-10, transforming growth factor beta, and vascular endothelial growth factor in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *World J Surg* 2009;33:1439-1445
- 30. Wang W, Sun QK, He YF, Ma DC, Xie MR, Ji CS, et al.



Overexpression of periostin is significantly correlated to the tumor angiogenesis and poor prognosis in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* 2014;7:593-601

- 31. Koga T, Shibahara K, Kabashima A, Sumiyoshi Y, Kimura Y, Takahashi I, et al. Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 and tumor angiogenesis in human gastric cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2004;51:1626-1630
- 32. Kumagai Y, Sobajima J, Higashi M, Ishiguro T, Fukuchi M, Ishibashi K, et al. Coexpression of COX-2 and iNOS in angiogenesis of superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Int Surg* 2015;100:733-743
- Pedersen M, Dissing TH, Mørkenborg J, Stødkilde-Jørgensen H, Hansen LH, Pedersen LB, et al. Validation of quantitative BOLD MRI measurements in kidney: application to unilateral ureteral obstruction. *Kidney Int* 2005;67:2305-2312
- 34. Van Meir E. Hypoxia-mediated selection of cells with diminished apoptotic potential to solid tumours. *Neurosurgery*

1996;39:878-879

- 35. He Q, Xu RZ, Shkarin P, Pizzorno G, Lee-French CH, Rothman DL, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging of tumor metabolic markers for cancer diagnosis, metabolic phenotyping, and characterization of tumor microenvironment. *Dis Markers* 2003-2004;19:69-94
- 36. Arakelyan L, Vainstein V, Agur Z. A computer algorithm describing the process of vessel formation and maturation, and its use for predicting the effects of anti-angiogenic and anti-maturation therapy on vascular tumor growth. *Angiogenesis* 2002;5:203-214
- Boucher Y, Jain RK. Microvascular pressure is the principal driving force for interstitial hypertension in solid tumors: implications for vascular collapse. *Cancer Res* 1992;52:5110-5114
- Horsman MR, Overgaard J. The impact of hypoxia and its modification of the outcome of radiotherapy. J Radiat Res 2016;57 Suppl 1:i90-i98