

Citation: Wisnewski AV, Liu J, Lucas C, Klein J, Iwasaki A, Cantley L, et al. (2022) Development and utilization of a surrogate SARS-CoV-2 viral neutralization assay to assess mRNA vaccine responses. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262657. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657

Editor: Paulo Lee Ho, Instituto Butantan, BRAZIL

Received: August 19, 2021

Accepted: January 3, 2022

Published: January 18, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Wisnewski et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> information files.

Funding: funding received from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 5T03OH008607-15, to Dr. Carrie A Redlich. . .we also confirm that the "The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Development and utilization of a surrogate SARS-CoV-2 viral neutralization assay to assess mRNA vaccine responses

Adam V. Wisnewski¹*, Jian Liu¹, Carolina Lucas², Jon Klein², Akiko Iwasaki^{2,3}, Linda Cantley¹, Louis Fazen¹, Julian Campillo Luna¹, Martin Slade¹, Carrie A. Redlich¹

1 Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, 2 Department of Immunobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, 3 Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, Maryland, United States of America

* adam.wisnewski@yale.edu

Abstract

Background

Tests for SARS-CoV-2 immunity are needed to help assess responses to vaccination, which can be heterogeneous and may wane over time. The plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) is considered the gold standard for measuring serum neutralizing antibodies but requires high level biosafety, live viral cultures and days to complete. We hypothesized that competitive enzyme linked immunoassays (ELISAs) based on SARS-CoV-2 spike protein's receptor binding domain (RBD) attachment to its host receptor, the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 receptor (ACE2r), would correlate with PRNT, given the central role of RBD-ACE2r interactions in infection and published studies to date, and enable evaluation of vaccine responses.

Methods and results

Configuration and development of a competitive ELISA with plate-bound RBD and soluble biotinylated ACE2r was accomplished using pairs of pre/post vaccine serum. When the competitive ELISA was used to evaluate N = 32 samples from COVID-19 patients previously tested by PRNT, excellent correlation in IC₅₀ results were observed (r_s = .83, *p* < 0.0001). When the competitive ELISA was used to evaluate N = 42 vaccinated individuals and an additional N = 13 unvaccinated recovered COVID-19 patients, significant differences in RBD-ACE2r inhibitory activity were associated with prior history of COVID-19 and type of vaccine received. In longitudinal analyses pre and up to 200 days post vaccine, surrogate neutralizing activity increased markedly after primary and booster vaccine doses, but fell substantially, up to <12% maximal levels within 6 months.

Conclusions

A competitive ELISA based on inhibition of RBD-ACE2r attachment correlates well with PRNT, quantifies significantly higher activity among vaccine recipients with prior COVID (vs.

those without), and highlights marked declines in surrogate neutralizing activity over a 6 month period post vaccination. The findings raise concern about the duration of vaccine responses and potential need for booster shots.

Introduction

Tests to evaluate SARS-CoV-2 immunity are need as vaccine responses and/or protection from prior infection can wane over time [1]. One surrogate of SARS-CoV-2 immunity is serum viral neutralizing antibodies, which are easy to access (venipuncture) and purify (centrifugation) and are stable if kept sterile and frozen [2–4]. Traditional tests for quantitating SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies (plaque reduction neutralization test or PRNT) are however, laborious, require biosafety level 3 (BSL3) working conditions due to use of live virus, and take several days to obtain results [5]. Rapid, less laborious tests for evaluating SARS-CoV-2 immunity will be especially helpful in better understanding the protection afforded by SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, including risk factors for suboptimal responses, and duration of the response.

The majority of neutralizing antibodies during natural SARS-CoV-2 infection target the receptor binding domain (RBD) of virus spike protein [6], which attaches to angiotensin converting enzyme 2 receptor (ACE2r) on airway epithelial cells to enter the host [7]. A number of monoclonal human antibodies that bind the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD, but not all, are capable of inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro [7, 8]. Two RBD-specific human monoclonal antibodies have been developed for passive immunization therapy and shown positive therapeutic effects when administered early in the course of COVID-19 [9, 10].

Given the capacity of RBD-specific antibodies to block a critical primary step of infection (viral attachment to host ACE2r) [7] and their clinical efficacy in treatment [10, 11], in vitro assays that measure this activity may serve as surrogates of viral immunity and provide a measure of effective vaccine responsiveness. Competitive ELISAs that measure serum antibodies capable of blocking RBD-ACE2r binding have recently been described using samples from COVID-19 patients and correlate with live virus neutralization [12–14]. However, studies of surrogate neutralization assays in assessing vaccine responses have been more limited [15, 16].

The present investigation evaluated different competitive ELISA formats for quantifying human serum levels of antibodies that block ACE2r-RBD binding. Assay development with commercially available reagents was guided by empirical data using paired pre/post vaccine serum samples. The results of the optimized competitive ELISA were compared with previously published plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) results using live viral SARS--CoV-2, on N = 32 samples from non-hospitalized, mild/asymptomatic COVID-19 patients [17]. The ACE2r-RBD competitive ELISA was then used to measure surrogate neutralizing activity post vaccination in N = 41 individuals with distinct clinical profiles (history of COVID-19, vaccine type) compared to N = 14 recovered COVID-19 patients without vaccination. Changes in surrogate neutralizing activity over time up to 6 months post COVID-19 mRNA vaccination were also measured in serial samples from a limited number (N = 4) of subjects. The results are discussed along with recently published data and concerns regarding the durability of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine responses and the need for booster doses over time [1, 18, 19].

Materials and methods

Human subjects

The study used de-identified serum samples from prior studies [17, 20, 21] and new samples obtained from ongoing clinical studies. Subjects provided demographic information (age, race, sex) and 3cc of blood by venipuncture using vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson; Franklin Lake, NJ); serum was separated and stored frozen at -80°C until tested by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The studies were reviewed, and ethical approval was given by the Yale University Institutional Review Board (IRB), protocols # 2000027810, 2000029735, and 2000027806. The Yale University IRB waived requirement for obtaining written consent from the participants given the research presented no more than minimal risk of harm and involved no procedures for which written consent is normally required outside of the research context. All participants provided informed verbal consent. The investigators explained the study to the subjects verbally, providing all pertinent information (purpose, procedures, risks, benefits, alternatives to participation, etc.), and allowed ample opportunity to ask questions. Following verbal explanation, the subject was provided with a study information sheet (written summary and secure web link) and afforded sufficient time to consider whether or not to participate in the research. After allowing the subject time to read the study information sheet, the investigators answered any additional questions before obtaining verbal agreement to participate in the research. The participants verbal agreement was noted and the time of entry into the study.

ACE2r-RBD binding and competition ELISA

Ninety six well Nunc Maxisorp flat-bottom plates were coated with 50 µL/well of murine gamma-immunoglobulin constant region (mFc) dimerized SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike Protein RBD (mFc-RBD) from Sino Biological (Chesterbrook, PA), catalog number: 40592-V05H, at 2 µg/mL in 1 x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 4°C overnight. Plates were blocked with 200 µL of 3% nonfat milk-PBS for 60 min at room temperature. Initial studies were performed with 2-100 ng/mL of human ACE2r labeled with biotin and detected with streptavidin-HRP (described below) to determine the linear range of the assay and an optimal concentration for inhibition assays. Subsequent competitive ELISAs were performed by preincubating wells with positive control, negative control, and experimental serum samples titrated 2-fold from 1:10 to 1:1600 in sample dilution buffer, which consisted of 0.05% Tween 20 in 1% milk-PBS. 50 µL each of the diluted controls and serum samples were added/well and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes on a shaker. Subsequently, 50 μ L of a human ACE2r labeled with biotin was added (40 ng/mL based on linear range in titration studies) and incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes on a shaker. After 5 washes with 0.05% Tween 20-PBS, 75 µL of streptavidin-HRP from Thermo-Fisher (Waltham, MA), catalog number N100 was added to each well and incubated for 60 minutes at room temperature. After 5 final washes, 100 µL of TMB substrate reagent from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ), catalog #555214 was added to each well for ~15 minutes at room temperature, and reactions were terminated by addition of 50 µL of 2N HCl to each well. Plates were read at 450/655 nm.

IC₅₀ calculations

Quantitation of ACE2r binding to RBD was accomplished using a standard curve generated from wells containing known amounts of biotinylated recombinant ACE2r protein (2- fold titration from 1.5–80 ng/mL). ELISA OD values were plotted vs. [ACE2r] and those corresponding to 20 and 40 ng/mL ACE2r (e.g., 50% or 100% of the amount added to "test" wells)

were deduced by linear regression and confirmed to be in a linear range. On the same plate, serum samples serially diluted two-fold starting at 1:10, were tested for their ability to inhibit ACE2r binding according to the formula 100 * [1-(OD 40ng/mL ACE2r + serum/OD 40 ng/mL ACE2r + no serum)]. For each individual, the % inhibition observed at different serum dilutions was plotted vs the log[serum]. The IC₅₀ was defined as the serum concentration that reduced ACE2r binding 50% relative to the input ACE2r concentration (40 ng/mL) and was calculated through non-linear regression with a 3-parameter fit curve using GraphPad Prism v9.1.2 (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA). An example of serum IC₅₀ calculations based on serum titration curves are provided in (S1 Fig).

Assay performance characteristics

Negativity thresholds were established according to published strategies for optimizing and validating viral neutralizing assays based on the mean titer value of negative samples [22]. For the present assay, the limit of detection and quantitation is an IC₅₀ of 1:10, based on the maximum, average and standard deviation of control samples used in development (N = 6 pre-vaccine samples from individuals without COVID-19 and paired post-vaccine samples). Intraassay coefficient of variation (CV; SD/mean × 100) was <10% and inter-assay CV between plates on different days was <15% in 10 replicates with each of N = 6 paired pre/post vaccine samples. The highest IC₅₀ value found in the present study was ~1:800, however, the assay range can be extended by increasing the serum dilution.

PRNT

Methods and data on PRNT of aliquots from the same samples used in this study have been previously published [17, 20].

Statistics and software

All graphs were generated, and statistical analysis performed using Graph Pad Prism v 9.1.2. Spearman rank order correlation was used to determine the association of IC_{50s} from PRNT and competitive ELISA data on paired samples. Significance differences in competitive ELISA data were calculated using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests and by ANOVA following log transformation of log-normal distributed IC_{50} values, with Tukey correction for multiple comparisons. IC_{50} values below the LOD limit were assigned a value of 1 for statistical comparisons requiring log transformation.

Results

Technical problems with competitive ELISA using soluble RBD and plate bound ACE2r

Initially we evaluated competitive ELISAs with plate bound ACE2r and soluble RBD to mimic in vivo biophysics and encountered technical difficulties consistent with cross-linkage of RBD in the soluble phase (see <u>S2</u> and <u>S3</u> Figs). We hypothesize that RBD cross-linkage could be caused by antibodies that bind RBD but do not block ACE2r interaction [23], similar to certain human monoclonal antibodies [8], and consistent with recent findings that anti-RBD response induced by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination are dominated by non-neutralizing antibodies [24].

Competitive ELISA with plate bound RBD and soluble ACE2r

Given technical issues possibly due to RBD cross-linking in competitive (ACE2r binding) ELI-SAs with serum from vaccinated individuals, we designed the reverse competitive ELISA, with plate bound RBD and soluble ACE2r (Fig 1). Competitive ELISAs were developed using murine IgG Fc domain tagged RBD and soluble ACE2r ectodomain tagged with biotin, with detection using streptavidin-labeled peroxidase. The results demonstrate dose dependent binding of ACE2r to plate bound RBD with an EC₅₀ ~40 ng/mL and a wide linear range (Fig 2).

When tested in the competitive ELISA, the inhibitory capacity of serum from N = 6 individuals at a time of their optimal response post vaccination [21] was significantly higher than paired pre-vaccine serum samples, over a range of dilutions (Fig 3). Pre-vaccine samples demonstrated <50% inhibitory capacity at the highest serum concentration tested (1:10), consistent with an IC₅₀ value of 1:10 as a threshold for positivity.

Competitive ELISA vs. PRNT

We next evaluated the competitive RBD-ACE2r ELISA as a surrogate neutralizing assay with N = 32 serum samples from non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients. The samples demonstrated varying capacity to block biotinylated ACE2r binding to plate-bound RBD across a range of concentrations, from which IC₅₀ values were calculated and compared with those obtained by PRNT using live SARS-CoV-2 [17, 20]. As shown in Fig 4, the IC_{50s} for serum inhibition of RBD-ACE2r binding showed highly significant (p < 0.0001) correlation (r_s = 0.83) with corresponding IC₅₀ values from titrated PRNT studies.

Surrogate neutralizing antibodies after infection vs. vaccination or both

We subsequently tested the neutralizing capacity of serum from N = 54 individuals with 4 distinct profiles of infection and vaccination as described in Table 1. The subjects included COVID-19 patients without vaccination, COVID-19 subjects with vaccination, individuals without prior COVID-19, vaccinated with either Moderna or Pfizer-BioNTech mRNA vaccines, and paired baseline samples before COVID infection and or vaccination available for some subjects. The data demonstrate significant differences in IC_{50} values of serum samples depending on type of vaccine received and prior history of COVID-19 infection (Fig 5). The highest IC₅₀ values were found among vaccinated individuals with prior COVID-19, with mean values significantly higher than those who received Pfizer-BioNTech (or had COVID-19 but no vaccine). Vaccinated subjects (Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech) had significantly higher mean IC₅₀ values than those with prior COVID-19, but no vaccination. Differences in RBD-A-CE2r inhibitory activity were observed across a range of serum dilutions, suggesting a single serum dilution (rather than titration) may be sufficient for assessing vaccine responses (S4 Fig). The observed heterogeneity in serum surrogate neutralizing activity among subjects within groups is likely due to multiple variables such as age or underlying medical conditions [25].

Surrogate neutralizing antibodies over time post vaccination in individuals without COVID-19

We used the surrogate neutralization assay to evaluate serum samples collected pre-vaccine and serially over time up to 6 months after the 1st dose of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. Samples were from 4 subjects whose time course of serum IgA and IgG levels have recently been published [21]. As show in Fig 6, the IC₅₀ for serum competition of RBD-ACE2r binding increased



Fig 1. Competitive ELISA to detect antibodies that block SARS-CoV-2 spike-RBD binding to human ACE2r. A surrogate assay for neutralizing capacity is depicted with plate bound RBD-murine IgG Fc and soluble ACE2r.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g001



Fig 2. Soluble human ACE2r binding to plate bound SARS-CoV-2 antigen receptor binding domain (RBD). Biotin labeled human ACE2r (varying concentration in ng/mL on X-axis) was diluted in buffer or 1/20 serum (see key) and binding to RBD was quantified by ELISA (OD Y-axis) using HRP-labeled streptavidin.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g002



Fig 3. Surrogate SARS-COV-2 neutralization assays. Competitive RBD-ACE2r ELISAs with paired pre/post COVID-19 vaccine serum at different concentrations (X-axis) from 6 different subjects without prior COVID-19 before vaccine (black dashed lines) or day 7–10 post full vaccination (red solid lines). ELISA OD values reflecting RBD-ACE2r binding are shown on Y-axis. Subject 1 (square), subject 2 (inverted triangle), subject 3 (circle), subject 4 (triangle), subject 5 (octagon), subject 6 (diamond).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g003

significantly after vaccination and remained near peak levels for approximately 50 days in all 4 subjects. However, a substantial proportion of neutralizing activity was lost by 200 days after (the 1^{st}) vaccination, with <18% maximal levels remaining on average (range 11–27%).

Discussion

The present study builds upon published data developing surrogate SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assays based on the binding of RBD to ACE2r, a critical step in the viral life cycle. We



Fig 4. Correlation between PRNT and competitive ELISA. Each symbol (N = 32) represents the reciprocal IC_{50s} from paired samples from the same subject tested in competitive ELISAs (Y-axis) and PRNT (X-axis). Note axes and trend line fitted to log scale.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g004

Group	Age (years) (mean ± SD)	N	Vaccine/COVID-19 Status	Days after COVID-19 (mean ± SD)	Days after Vaccine (mean ± SD)	Mean* 1/IC ₅₀ (95% CI)
А	55 ± 11	16	Pre-Vaccine / Pre-COVID- 19	-	-	1
В	43 ± 11	13	COVID-19	132 ± 43	-	12 (2-21)
С	65 ± 11	14	Pfizer-BioNTech	-	45 ± 26	56 (27-87)
D	64 ± 7	14	Moderna	-	55 ± 34	112 (63–160)
Е	54 ± 12	14	COVID-19 + Vaccine	127 ± 63	30 ± 26	195 (65–325)

Table 1. Description of sub	jects with and without	COVID-19 and/or mRNA vaccin
-----------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

 * p < 0.02 between all groups except Moderna vs. COVID-19 + vaccine, and Moderna vs. Pfizer

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.t001

expanded upon this assay's use assessing vaccine responses using well defined clinical samples, including available baseline samples from subjects prior to vaccination and/or COVID infection. We encountered technical issues in our initial assay design, which we hypothesize was due to vaccine-induction of antibodies that bind (cross-link) RBD but do not interfere with ACE2r interactions. To overcome these technical issues, we utilized the reverse formatted competitive ELISA (plate bound RBD-soluble ACE2r) to measure serum antibodies with neutralizing potential. The data demonstrate significant differences in surrogate neutralizing



Fig 5. Inhibition of ACE2r binding to RBD by serum from different groups of individuals. The ability of different groups of individuals serum (described in Table 1) to inhibit ACE2r binding to RBD was measured by competitive ELISA and calculated reciprocal IC_{50} values are shown Y-axis. Data are from subject groups in Table 1 as labeled (N = 13 to 16 subjects/group). Each symbol represents a different person. Mean IC_{50} values are highlighted by bar and shown in Table 1 with 95% CI and *p* value for groups with significant differences.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g005



Fig 6. Changes in serum surrogate neutralizing activity over time after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination. The IC_{50} values for serial samples collected pre and up to 200 days post vaccination were calculated using the RBD-ACE2r competitive ELISA assay. Each symbol is a different individual previously described in Wisnewski et al Plos One 20201 [21]. Arrows on X-axis indicate timing of booster shots for subjects that received Pfizer-BioNTech (square), or Moderna (circle, triangle and inverted triangle). Note all samples were tested in duplicate and %CV < 10%.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262657.g006

activity of individuals vaccinated after having had COVID-19 vs. unvaccinated subjects with prior COVID-19, and subjects that received different vaccines (Pfizer-BioNTech vs. Moderna). The data also demonstrate a peak and slow decline in surrogate neutralizing antibodies from day 35 to 200 post initial vaccine dose.

The technical problems we encountered in competitive ELISAs with soluble RBD and plate bound ACE2r have not been reported to the best of our knowledge. However, some research use only kits for measuring RBD-ACE2r inhibiting antibodies are designed with plate bound RBD and soluble human ACE2r, without obvious explanation for this "reversed" orientation in regard to in vivo biophysics (e.g., cell surface bound ACE2r and soluble RBD). Recent work by Fenwick et al [26] in hospitalized COVID-19 patients using a soluble ACE2r-based binding format similar to the present study found strong correlations with PRNT, but did not study vaccinated individuals. The present data extend accumulating evidence that SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing capacity serum can be accomplished with competition assays based on soluble phase ACE2r attachment to immobilized spike or its RBD, and further demonstrate the utility of the approach in monitoring vaccine responses.

The present studies were performed with RBD encoded by the Wuhan-Hu-1 strain upon which the current mRNA vaccines are based, however RBDs and whole spike protein for the alpha, beta, gamma, delta, and omicron variants are currently available commercially and could be readily incorporated into the current competitive ELISA. A range of serum dilutions were tested in order to understand the dynamic range of the assay and to calculate IC₅₀ values comparable to PRNT results. However, future screening of vaccine responses among large numbers of samples, might be effectively accomplished by measuring inhibition at a single (1:25) dilution, which we found most strongly correlated (r_s .76, p < 0.0001) with IC₅₀ calculations.

The heterogeneity of surrogate neutralizing antibody responses among different subjects in the present study and the decline over time raise several concerns that may be relevant to the increasing numbers of vaccine breakthroughs, which have recently been reported and correlated with neutralizing antibody titers [1]. The current findings that vaccinated individuals

with prior COVID-19 have higher levels of surrogate neutralizing activity than those without prior infection (or those infected without additional vaccination) imply that additional immunity is possible and may be attained via booster shots. Multiple booster doses are not uncommon for other vaccines to important human viruses such as polio, rotavirus, measles, mumps, rubella, and influenza [27–29]. The present data demonstrating a decline in surrogate neutralizing activity over 6 months post-vaccination further raise concern about the durability of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine protection. Use of the present assay may be a feasible approach to assessing SARS-CoV-2 protection in large populations and identifying risk factors for suboptimal response to vaccination, or waning responses over time.

The strengths and weaknesses of this study should be recognized in considering the significance of the findings. The major strength is the assay development with well-defined clinical samples, pre/post vaccine and/or COVID-19, paired data from PRNT, samples from subjects that received different vaccines (Moderna, Pfizer-BioNTech) with different COVID-19 histories, and serial samples up to 6 months post vaccination. The major weakness of the study is the limited number of subjects per clinical group, which limited statistical power. In addition, the study was not designed to detect a correlation between neutralization activity and protection against infection, or involve children, whose immune responses may differ from those of adults. Further studies are urgently needed to better understand the heterogeneity and duration of COVID-19 vaccine-induced serum neutralizing responses in larger populations and their relationship to clinically relevant outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 exposure and infection.

In summary, we developed a surrogate neutralization assay that significantly (p < 0.0001) correlates ($r_s = 0.83$) with IC₅₀ measurements using live viral PRNT. The assay is similar in principle to methodology recently reported by Fenwick et al [26], but can be run with standard microtiter plate technology (vs. fluorescent microbeads) using commercially available reagents, and may provide an economical approach to evaluating vaccine responses and assessing immunity among large populations. Our initial findings using the assay document heterogenous levels of surrogate neutralizing activity among vaccinated subjects and marked declines over a 6 month period. Together the data highlight concern about the duration of vaccine induced protection from SARS-CoV-2 and need for booster shots.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Calculation of $\rm IC_{50}$ based on % inhibition of ACE2r binding at titrated serum dilutions.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. RBD-ACE2r competitive ELISA with plate bound ACE2r. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Schematic depiction of potential RBD cross-linkage in competitive ELSA. (PDF)

S4 Fig. Inhibition of ACE2r binding to RBD by serum (1:25 dilution) from different groups of individuals. (PDF)

S1 Table. Information on study subjects.

(XLSX)

S1 Data. Fig 2 data. (XLSX)

S2 Data. Fig 3 data. (XLSX)
S3 Data. Fig 4 data. (XLSX)
S4 Data. Fig 5 data. (XLSX)
S5 Data. Fig 6 data. (XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carrie A. Redlich.

- **Data curation:** Adam V. Wisnewski, Jian Liu, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Akiko Iwasaki, Linda Cantley, Louis Fazen, Julian Campillo Luna.
- Formal analysis: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Louis Fazen, Martin Slade, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Funding acquisition: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carrie A. Redlich.
- **Investigation:** Adam V. Wisnewski, Jian Liu, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Akiko Iwasaki, Linda Cantley, Louis Fazen, Julian Campillo Luna, Martin Slade, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Methodology: Adam V. Wisnewski, Jian Liu, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Louis Fazen, Julian Campillo Luna, Martin Slade.
- Project administration: Adam V. Wisnewski, Akiko Iwasaki, Linda Cantley, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Resources: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carolina Lucas, Akiko Iwasaki, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Software: Adam V. Wisnewski, Louis Fazen, Martin Slade.
- Supervision: Adam V. Wisnewski, Akiko Iwasaki, Linda Cantley, Julian Campillo Luna, Carrie A. Redlich.

Validation: Adam V. Wisnewski, Jian Liu, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Carrie A. Redlich.

- Visualization: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carolina Lucas, Louis Fazen, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Writing original draft: Adam V. Wisnewski, Carrie A. Redlich.
- Writing review & editing: Adam V. Wisnewski, Jian Liu, Carolina Lucas, Jon Klein, Akiko Iwasaki, Linda Cantley, Louis Fazen, Julian Campillo Luna, Martin Slade, Carrie A. Redlich.

References

- Bergwerk M, Gonen T, Lustig Y, Amit S, Lipsitch M, Cohen C, et al. Covid-19 Breakthrough Infections in Vaccinated Health Care Workers. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa2109072</u> PMID: 34320281
- Addetia A, Crawford KHD, Dingens A, Zhu H, Roychoudhury P, Huang ML, et al. Neutralizing Antibodies Correlate with Protection from SARS-CoV-2 in Humans during a Fishery Vessel Outbreak with a High Attack Rate. J Clin Microbiol. 2020; 58(11). Epub 2020/08/23. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.02107-20 PMID: 32826322.

- Jin P, Li J, Pan H, Wu Y, Zhu F. Immunological surrogate endpoints of COVID-2019 vaccines: the evidence we have versus the evidence we need. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy. 2021; 6 (1):48. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00481-y PMID: 33531462
- Chia WN, Zhu F, Ong SWX, Young BE, Fong S-W, Le Bert N, et al. Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody responses and duration of immunity: a longitudinal study. The Lancet Microbe. 2021; 2(6): e240–e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00025-2 PMID: 33778792
- Bewley KR, Coombes NS, Gagnon L, McInroy L, Baker N, Shaik I, et al. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody by wild-type plaque reduction neutralization, microneutralization and pseudotyped virus neutralization assays. Nature Protocols. 2021; 16(6):3114–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-021-00536-y</u> PMID: 33893470
- Piccoli L, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Czudnochowski N, Walls AC, Beltramello M, et al. Mapping Neutralizing and Immunodominant Sites on the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain by Structure-Guided High-Resolution Serology. Cell. 2020; 183(4):1024–42.e21. Epub 2020/09/30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2020.09.037 PMID: 32991844.
- Yang J, Petitjean SJL, Koehler M, Zhang Q, Dumitru AC, Chen W, et al. Molecular interaction and inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 binding to the ACE2 receptor. Nature Communications. 2020; 11(1):4541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18319-6 PMID: 32917884</u>
- 8. Graham C, Seow J, Huettner I, Khan H, Kouphou N, Acors S, et al. Neutralization potency of monoclonal antibodies recognizing dominant and subdominant epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 Spike is impacted by the B.1.1.7 variant. Immunity. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2021.03.023 PMID: 33836142
- Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Wloga E, et al. Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science. 2020; 369(6506):1010. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1126/science.abd0827 PMID: 32540901
- Deb P, Molla MMA, Saif-Ur-Rahman KM. An update to monoclonal antibody as therapeutic option against COVID-19. Biosafety and Health. 2021; 3(2):87–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsheal.2021.02.</u> 001 PMID: 33585808
- Chen P, Nirula A, Heller B, Gottlieb RL, Boscia J, Morris J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody LY-CoV555 in Outpatients with Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020; 384(3):229–37. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2029849 PMID: 33113295
- Tan CW, Chia WN, Qin X, Liu P, Chen MIC, Tiu C, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test based on antibody-mediated blockage of ACE2–spike protein–protein interaction. Nature Biotechnology. 2020; 38(9):1073–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0631-z PMID: 32704169
- Abe KT, Li Z, Samson R, Samavarchi-Tehrani P, Valcourt EJ, Wood H, et al. A simple protein-based surrogate neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. JCI Insight. 2020; 5(19):e142362. https://doi.org/10. 1172/jci.insight.142362 PMID: 32870820.
- Valcourt EJ, Manguiat K, Robinson A, Chen JC-Y, Dimitrova K, Philipson C, et al. Evaluation of a commercially-available surrogate virus neutralization test for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease. 2021; 99(4):115294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2020.115294 PMID: 33387896
- Krüttgen A, Lauen M, Klingel H, Imöhl M, Kleines M. Two novel SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization assays are suitable for assessing successful immunization with mRNA-1273. J Virol Methods. 2022; 299:114297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2021.114297 PMID: 34563583
- Bradley T, Grundberg E, Selvarangan R, LeMaster C, Fraley E, Banerjee D, et al. Antibody Responses after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021; 384 (20):1959–61. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2102051 PMID: 33755375
- Zell J, Klein J, Lucas C, Slade M, Liu J, Iwasaki A, et al. Associations of SARS-CoV-2 serum IgG with occupation and demographics of military personnel. PLoS One. 2021; 16(8):e0251114. Epub 2021/08/ 31. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251114 PMID: 34460832.
- Maxmen A. COVID boosters for wealthy nations spark outrage. Nature. 2021. Epub 2021/08/01. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02109-1 PMID: 34331049</u>.
- 19. Pfizer and BioNTech Provide Update on Booster Program in Light of the Delta-Variant [Internet]. 2021. https://cdn.pfizer.com/pfizercom/2021-07/Delta_Variant_Study_Press_Statement_Final_7.8.21.pdf? IPpR1xZjlwvaUMQ9sRn2FkePcBiRPGqw
- Lucas C, Klein J, Sundaram ME, Liu F, Wong P, Silva J, et al. Delayed production of neutralizing antibodies correlates with fatal COVID-19. Nature Medicine. 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01355-0 PMID: 33953384</u>
- Wisnewski AV, Campillo Luna J, Redlich CA. Human IgG and IgA responses to COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. PLoS One. 2021; 16(6):e0249499. Epub 2021/06/17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0249499 PMID: 34133415.

- Sarzotti-Kelsoe M, Daniell X, Todd CA, Bilska M, Martelli A, LaBranche C, et al. Optimization and validation of a neutralizing antibody assay for HIV-1 in A3R5 cells. J Immunol Methods. 2014; 409:147–60. Epub 2014/03/13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2014.02.013 PMID: 24607608.
- Liu L, Wang P, Nair MS, Yu J, Rapp M, Wang Q, et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. Nature. 2020; 584(7821):450–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-</u> 2571-7 PMID: 32698192
- 24. Amanat F, Thapa M, Lei T, Ahmed SMS, Adelsberg DC, Carreno JM, et al. The plasmablast response to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination is dominated by non-neutralizing antibodies that target both the NTD and the RBD. medRxiv. 2021. Epub 2021/03/25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.07.21253098</u> PMID: 33758878.
- Doria-Rose N, Suthar MS, Makowski M, O'Connell S, McDermott AB, Flach B, et al. Antibody Persistence through 6 Months after the Second Dose of mRNA-1273 Vaccine for Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021; 384(23):2259–61. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2103916 PMID: 33822494
- Fenwick C, Turelli P, Pellaton C, Farina A, Campos J, Raclot C, et al. A high-throughput cell- and virusfree assay shows reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants by COVID-19 convalescent plasma. Science Translational Medicine. 2021:eabi8452. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abi8452</u> PMID: 34257144
- Kaaijk P, Wijmenga-Monsuur AJ, van Houten MA, Veldhuijzen IK, Ten Hulscher HI, Kerkhof J, et al. A Third Dose of Measles-Mumps-Rubella Vaccine to Improve Immunity Against Mumps in Young Adults. J Infect Dis. 2020; 221(6):902–9. Epub 2019/05/22. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz188 PMID: 31112277.
- Burnett E, Lopman BA, Parashar UD. Potential for a booster dose of rotavirus vaccine to further reduce diarrhea mortality. Vaccine. 2017; 35(51):7198–203. Epub 2017/11/25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> vaccine.2017.10.027 PMID: 29169893.
- 29. Leuridan E, Van Damme P. Hepatitis B and the Need for a Booster Dose. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2011; 53(1):68–75. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir270 PMID: 21653306