A meta-analysis of single base-pair substitutions in translational termination codons ('nonstop' mutations) that cause human inherited disease

Stephen E. Hamby, ¹ Nick S.T. Thomas, ² David N. Cooper² and Nadia Chuzhanova^{1*}

¹School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, NG11 8NS, UK ²Institute of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK **Correspondence to:* Tel: +44 (0) 0115 848 8304; E-mail: nadia.chuzhanova@ntu.ac.uk

Date received (in revised form): 2nd March 2011

Abstract

'Nonstop' mutations are single base-pair substitutions that occur within translational termination (stop) codons and which can lead to the continued and inappropriate translation of the mRNA into the 3'-untranslated region. We have performed a meta-analysis of the 119 nonstop mutations (in 87 different genes) known to cause human inherited disease, examining the sequence context of the mutated stop codons and the average distance to the next alternative in-frame stop codon downstream, in comparison with their counterparts from control (nonmutated) gene sequences. A paucity of alternative in-frame stop codons was noted in the immediate vicinity (0-49 nucleotides downstream) of the mutated stop codons as compared with their control counterparts $(p = 7.81 \times 10^{-4})$. This implies that at least some nonstop mutations with alternative stop codons in close proximity will not have come to clinical attention, possibly because they will have given rise to stable mRNAs (not subject to nonstop mRNA decay) that are translatable into proteins of near-normal length and biological function. A significant excess of downstream in-frame stop codons was, however, noted in the range 150-199 nucleotides from the mutated stop codon ($p = 8.55 \times 10^{-4}$). We speculate that recruitment of an alternative stop codon at greater distance from the mutated stop codon may trigger nonstop mRNA decay, thereby decreasing the amount of protein product and yielding a readily discernible clinical phenotype. Confirmation or otherwise of this postulate must await the emergence of a clearer understanding of the mechanism of nonstop mRNA decay in mammalian cells.

Keywords: human inherited disease, stop codon, 3'-untranslated region, nonstop mutation, nonstop mRNA decay

Introduction

There are currently in excess of 60,000 missense and nonsense mutations (in nearly 4,000 different genes) listed in the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD) that are known to cause, or to be associated with, human inherited disease.¹ In addition, there are 119 examples of mutations (in 87 different genes) that occur within stop codons, a category of mutation which therefore constitutes $\sim 0.2\%$ per

cent of codon-changing mutations.¹ Such lesions have been termed 'nonstop', 'nostop' or 'readthrough' mutations on the basis that the loss of the normal translational termination (stop) codon is likely to lead to continued translation of the mRNA further downstream into the 3'-untranslated region (UTR).

Although many authors tacitly assume that the normal open reading frame will simply be extended

Hamby et al.

until the next in-frame stop codon is encountered, too few human nonstop mutations have so far been characterised to allow any general conclusions to be drawn as to their likely phenotypic consequences at either the mRNA or the protein level. In three reported cases, however (namely, those nonstop mutations in the gene encoding ribosomal protein S19 [RPS19], causing Diamond–Blackfan anaemia,² the F10 gene causing factor X deficiency³ and the foxhead box E3 [FOXE3] gene causing anterior segment dysgenesis⁴), the levels of the mutant mRNA transcripts were found to be dramatically lower than those of their wild-type counterparts. By contrast, the mRNA level associated with a nonstop mutation in the 3-beta-hydroxy-delta-5-steroid dehyrogenase (HSD3B2) gene causing adrenal hyperplasia was found to be near normal, although both HSD3B2 enzymatic activity and antigen (associated with a predicted 467 amino-acid protein, extended by 95 residues beyond the wild-type length) were found to be dramatically reduced.⁵ Similarly, in the case of a nonstop mutation in the thymidine phosphorylase (TYMP) gene responsible for mitochondrial neurogastrointestinal encephalomyopathy, the mRNA level was not found to be reduced, even although the thymine phosphorylase protein product it encoded was undetectable.⁶

In yeast, nonstop mRNAs generated from mRNAs lacking translational termination codons are recognised, by the protein Ski7, on ribosomes that have become stalled at the 3' ends of the mRNAs; these RNAs are then targeted for exosome-mediated degradation.⁷⁻⁹ While this process of 'nonstop mRNA decay' is fairly effective at removing nonstop mRNAs, any protein products generated by translation of residual nonstop mRNAs are degraded by the proteasome.^{10, $\overline{11}$} Although few such studies have so far been attempted in mammalian cells, the expression level of nonstop mRNAs generally appears unaltered while ribosome stalling at the 3' end of the elongated nonstop mRNA blocks translation before the completion of synthesis of full-length polypeptides. 12-14

Precisely how nonstop mRNA decay impacts upon naturally occurring human nonstop mutations

is unknown but, as is clear from the five disease-associated examples mentioned above, the evidence acquired to date suggests that this may be a gene- and mutation-dependent process.¹⁵ Thus, although not uncommon. remarkably little is as vet known about the nature and consequences of this type of mutation. In this paper, we report a first meta-analysis of naturally occurring nonstop mutations causing human inherited disease. With a view to exploring the various possible factors that could impact upon the likelihood of a given nonstop mutation coming to clinical attention, we have performed an analysis of the sequence context of the mutated stop codons and the average distance to the next in-frame downstream stop codon in comparison with control (non-mutated) gene sequences.

Methods

Mutation and control datasets

A total of 119 naturally occurring nonstop mutations from 87 human genes (Supplementary Table S1) were identified from the HGMD.¹ The majority of these nonstop mutations were single examples identified in specific genes but 18 genes harboured a total of 50 examples of this type of lesion. Since the multiple inclusion of identical sequences flanking mutated stop codons would have introduced considerable bias into the subsequent analysis, only one mutation per gene was considered in the analysis of the sequence context.

A control dataset was established which comprised 1,692 genes listed in the HGMD (for which both coding and 3'-UTRs were obtainable from Ensembl [Build 37] but for which no termination codon [nonstop] mutations have so far been recorded). Data from the Transterm database (http://uther.otago.ac.nz/Transterm.html),¹⁶ representing a total of 29,210 stop codons associated with annotated human genes, were used as genome-wide controls.

Analysis of nonstop mutations

The relative frequency of each type of stop codon (ie TAG, TAA and TGA) in the mutated (nonstop mutation-bearing) sequences and non-mutated wild-type control gene sequences was assessed. Stop codons harbouring single and multiple mutations were examined separately.

To detect any bias in the pattern of stop codon mutability, the mutability of the dinucleotides within a pentanucleotide spanning the stop codon and including one flanking nucleotide on either side was assessed. The number of mutations occurring in each of the 12 possible dinucleotides (note that four dinucleotides [CC, CA, CG and TC] cannot occur in conjunction with any stop codonspanning pentanucleotide and were therefore omitted) was counted. In the HGMD control dataset, one nucleotide position within each stop codon was randomly mutated and the numbers of mutations in each possible dinucleotide were then counted. Statistical significance was determined using Fisher's exact test with a Bonferroni correction being applied to allow for multiple testing.

Since the identity of the nucleotides immediately flanking the stop codon may influence the susceptibility of the stop codon to mutation, the frequencies of each DNA base in each of the six positions upstream and downstream of the normally used stop codon were obtained for both the mutated sequences and the controls. The expected frequency E of the DNA bases at each position was calculated based on the probability of observing this nucleotide in the HGMD control sequences:

$$E_{ij} = \frac{F_{ij}N_m}{N_c}$$

where E_{ij} is the expected frequency of the base $I = \{A,C,G,T\}$ at position j, F_{ij} is the observed frequency of base i at position j in the HGMD control dataset, N_m is the total number of mutated sequences and N_c is the number of sequences in the HGMD control dataset. Under the assumption that the data follow a binomial distribution, we considered that an increase or decrease in the observed frequency of a particular nucleotide in a specified position was statistically significant if the corresponding p value was <0.01. In addition, to investigate whether any particular stop codon (ie TGA,

TAG or TAA) was associated with any specific flanking nucleotides, we placed both the mutated and control sequences into separate datasets for each of the three stop codons and repeated the above analysis for each of the new datasets.

Determining the distance to the next downstream in-frame stop codon

The distance to the next downstream stop codon in the required reading frame is likely to determine the length of any extended protein product. For each mutated (nonstop mutation-bearing) DNA sequence and each sequence in the HGMD control dataset, we therefore determined the distance to the next in-frame stop codon downstream. Sequences in the HGMD control dataset, for which the next downstream stop codon was beyond the 3'-UTR sequence available from Ensembl, were not used in this analysis. Distances between 0 and 500 base pairs (bp) from the original stop codon were divided into 'bins', each 50 bp long, the final bin containing all sequences where the distance was greater than 500 bp. The number of sequences which fell into each bin was recorded for both the mutated sequences and the HGMD control sequences. The same procedure was repeated for those sequences with single mutations and for those sequences harbouring two or more mutations. To assess the statistical significance of our findings, we employed Fisher's exact test using a Bonferroni correction to allow for multiple testing. p values of < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Using the same method as for the original stop codons, we also investigated the frequency of occurrence of specific nucleotides surrounding the next in-frame stop codon downstream. It is possible that at least a proportion of these downstream in-frame stop codons are associated with naturally occurring splice isoforms of the gene,¹⁷ and might therefore possess comparable sequence characteristics to the stop codons involved in the mutational events. The flanking sequence may also affect the likelihood of a mutation coming to clinical attention.

Results and discussion

Relative frequency of stop codon involvement in nonstop mutation

We have performed a meta-analysis of the 119 nonstop mutations (in 87 different genes) known to cause human inherited disease (Supplementary Table S1) and recorded in the HGMD.¹ HGMD is a comprehensive collection of germline mutations causing (or associated with) human inherited disease and is an invaluable source of data for meta-analyses of human gene mutations.

The termination of synthesis of every human protein is effected by one of three stop codons, TAG, TAA and TGA, listed in increasing order of usage in human genes. We posed the question as to whether one of these stop codons might be more susceptible to mutation, or alternatively might be more likely to come to clinical attention once mutated, than the others. We noted that a majority of the nonstop mutations (57 per cent) in our dataset occurred within TGA codons (Table 1). Since 49.4 per cent and 48.6 per cent of stop codons in the HGMD control gene dataset and human genome dataset, respectively, were of this type, however, this finding did not attain statistical significance (Table 1; p values 0.107 and 0.066, respectively).

The proportion of mutations in the other two types of stop codon was also not significantly different from the corresponding proportions in the set of HGMD control gene sequences (p values, 0.674 for TAA and 0.201 for TAG) and in the human genome at large (p values, 0.753 for TAA and 0.88 for TAG).

The above notwithstanding, we speculated whether TAA codons flanked on the 3' side by A might be hypermutable, since this would in effect constitute a short polyadenine run. It has been reported that bases adjacent to mononucleotide runs in the human genome are characterised by an increased single nucleotide polymorphism frequency.¹⁸ We therefore assessed whether the nucleotide A following the TAA stop codon might influence the mutability of this codon. In agreement with our postulate, the presence of an A adjacent to a TAA stop codon was indeed found to increase the mutability of this codon by 1.4 fold (p = 0.016).

Genes exhibiting an abundance of missense/ nonsense mutations do not harbour a disproportionate number of nonstop mutations

As we have noted above, a total of 18 human genes are known to harbour multiple nonstop mutations. We therefore sought to determine whether this was simply due to a particularly large number of mutations having been reported from these genes. At the time this analysis was performed (October 2010), the HGMD contained mutation data from a total of 2,249 human genes, for which a total of 55,813 missense or nonsense mutations had been reported. No correlation was found, however, between the probability of finding multiple nonstop mutations in a given gene and the total number of missense and nonsense mutations reported for that gene (Pearson's correlation -0.108; p = 0.67). Thus, for example, the largest

Table I.	The proportion	of nonstop mutations	harboured by e	ach type of sto	p codon in mutated	l gene sequences,	HGMD control	gene
sequence	s and the human	i genome at large						

Stop codon type	Proportion of stop codons harbouring nonstop mutations causing human genetic disease $(\%)^a$	Proportion of stop codons in HGMD control gene sequences (%) ^b	Estimated proportion (number) of stop codons in the human genome (%) ^c
TAA	26.05	28.60	27.8 (8106)
TAG	16.81	21.99	23.6 (6901)
TGA	57.14	49.40	48.6 (14203)

^aMutations and sequences were taken from the HGMD.¹

^bThe control dataset comprises 1,692 genes listed in the HGMD but for which no nonstop mutations have been recorded to date.

Based on a total of 29,210 stop codons associated with annotated human genes. Data from the Transterm database (http://uther.otago.ac.nz/Transterm.html)¹⁶

number of missense/nonsense mutations was reported from the F8 gene (1,217) but only one nonstop F8 mutation has been reported. Conversely, no missense/nonsense mutations have been recorded for the HR gene, even though two nonstop mutations have been identified. Hence we may conclude that the observation that some genes harbour multiple nonstop mutations is unrelated to the number of reported missense and nonsense mutations for those genes.

Gene ontology analysis for genes harbouring nonstop mutations

The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; http://david.abcc. ncifcrf.gov/) was used to identify enriched biological themes within the group of 87 genes harbouring either multiple or single nonstop mutations.¹⁹ A total of 13 terms were found to be significantly enriched (p < 0.001, without correction for multesting) (see tiple for single mutations Supplementary Table S2). One of the most significantly enriched terms was 'oxidoreductase' (p =0.005 after Bonferroni correction), which was associated with 11 of the 67 nonstop mutationharbouring genes identified in the DAVID database.²⁰ Six terms were found to be significantly enriched (p < 0.001 without correction for multiple testing) for genes harbouring multiple nonstop mutations (Supplementary Table S3); however, no significant bias in gene function was noted for these genes after correction for multiple testing. A search using all nonstop mutation-containing genes revealed an association with the protein information resource (PIR) term 'deafness' (p = 0.0248), corresponding to six of 86 sequences, although the biological relevance of this observation remains unclear.

Mutability of the DNA sequence encompassing the mutated stop codons

The dinucleotide mutabilities within the pentanucleotides flanking the naturally mutated stop codons and the randomly mutated HGMD control stop codons were calculated in order to determine whether there was any bias in the mutability of the various dinucleotides that occur within the three types of stop codon, taking the flanking nucleotides into consideration. A strong positive correlation was noted between the distributions of mutation-harbouring dinucleotides and randomly simulated mutations within the stop codons of HGMD control sequences (Pearson's correlation r = 0.975; $p = 8.04 \times 10^{-8}$) with respect to the frequencies of 12 dinucleotides. No significant differences were found in dinucleotide-wise comparisons (Table 2), however, indicating that there is no evidence for a nearest nucleotide-directed bias in stop codon mutability.

Sequence context around stop codons that have been subject to nonstop mutations

In eukaryotic cells, the translational efficiency and readthrough potential of the three different stop

Table 2. The proportion of mutations found within dinucleotides in the mutated stop codon-flanking pentanucleotides as compared with randomly generated HGMD controls

Dinucleotide	Occurrence of nonstop mutations in mutated sequence dataset (%)	Occurrence of random mutations within HGMD control sequences (%)	p value (after correction for multiple testing)
AA	25 (21.00)	348 (20.57)	0.907
AC	6 (5.04)	71 (4.196)	0.636
AG	18 (15.13)	303 (17.91)	0.534
AT	16 (13.44)	238 (14.066)	1.0
СТ	23 (19.33)	318 (18.79)	0.903
GG	l (0.84)	35 (2.07)	NA*
GA	32 (26.89)	424 (25.06)	0.663
GC	l (0.84)	25 (1.48)	NA*
GT	21 (17.65)	259 (15.31)	0.511
TT	10 (8.4)	155 (9.16)	1.0
TA	36 (30.25)	606 (35.82)	0.235
TG	49 (41.18)	602 (35.58)	0.236

*Sample size of mutated sequences too small to generate p values. (Note that four dinucleotides (CC, CA, CG and TC) cannot occur in conjunction with any stop codon-spanning pentanucleotide and were therefore omitted from this analysis.) codons have been reported to vary as a consequence of the influence of the surrounding nucleotide sequence.²¹⁻²⁶ With respect to human gene sequences, Ozawa et al. reported that the first three nucleotide positions after the stop codon are highly conserved, with G and A predominating at the +1position, and C at the +4 position.²⁴ Again in the context of human genes, Liu reported a preponderance of C immediately upstream of the stop codon (at position -1) and G or T at position $+1.^{26}$ Our HGMD control dataset exhibits similar sequence characteristics to those stop codon datasets reported by Ozawa et al.²⁴ and Liu.²⁶ This sequence bias flanking human stop codons represents, in effect, a consensus sequence for the translational termination signal that extends beyond the confines of the stop codon itself. With this in mind, we next examined the flanking sequences of the mutated stop codons in order to ascertain whether the local DNA sequence context could influence the likelihood that the associated nonstop mutations would come to clinical attention.

We first examined the frequencies of six nucleotides on either side of the stop codon in both 87 mutated and 1,692 control sequences. When considering the entire stop codon dataset (which includes sequences flanking the TAA, TAG and TGA stop codons on the 5' side at positions -1 to -6, and on the 3' side at positions +1 to +6), we observed a significant paucity in G at the -2 position (p = 0.0063) (Supplementary Table S4). When considering the three types of stop codon separately, there was a significant excess (p =0.0016) of G and a significant paucity of A (p =0.0047) two nucleotides downstream of TAA stop codons (Table 3). Similarly, in the regions flanking TGA stop codons, we noted a significant excess of T at the +6 position (p = 0.0094) (Supplementary Table S5). Although it is conceivable that TAA stop codons with a G at +2 and TGA stop codons with a T at +6 may be more prone to mutate than other sequences, we prefer the alternative explanation, that mutations occurring in TAA and TGA stop codons embedded within these sequence contexts are more likely, for whatever reason, to come to clinical attention. No significant difference was

Table 3. Frequency of nucleotides present in regions flanking the mutated TAA stop codon (N = 40). Position 0, corresponding to the stop codon, is not shown. Nucleotide frequencies that are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison with the HGMD control dataset are shown underlined

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	Т	2	3	4	5	6	
	1.4	12	-	10	10	-	17	,		7	10		
A	14	13	/	10	10	5	17	<u>6</u>	11	/	18	11	
С	7	9	15	10	13	13	9	10	12	13	9	14	
G	8	10	П	5	12	12	П	15	9	9	7	8	
Т	П	8	7	15	10	10	3	9	8	П	6	7	

noted between the flanking regions of mutated and control TAG stop codons (data not shown).

The nucleotide frequencies of the flanking regions of the stop codons that harboured single and multiple mutations were also analysed separately, and compared both with the HGMD control dataset and with each other. Supplementary Table S6 presents the comparison of sequences containing only single mutations with sequences in the HGMD control dataset. These sequences exhibit a significant paucity of G at the -2 (p = 0.0078) and -3 (p = 0.0096) positions relative to the controls. However, no significant difference was apparent between those sequences harbouring multiple mutations and controls (data not shown).

Sequence context around the next in-frame stop codon downstream of the stop codons that have been subject to nonstop mutations

The DNA sequences around the next downstream in-frame stop codon were analysed using the same method as described above. The regions flanking the next in-frame stop codons located downstream of the mutated stop codons were compared with their counterparts in the HGMD control sequences. This analysis was performed for each of the three codon types (TAA, TAG and TGA) separately and for all the mutated stop codons combined. When analysing all downstream in-frame stop codons together, a significant excess of T was observed at the +6 position (p = 0.0051; Supplementary Table S7). When the three types of stop codon were examined separately, the only significant difference noted was in the sequences surrounding the next in-frame TGA stop codons, where an excess of C was found at the +6 position (p = 0.0019; Supplementary Table S8), as compared with the TGA codons in the control dataset. Taken together, these findings suggest that, in general, there is no obvious difference between the sequences surrounding the next downstream in-frame stop codons and their counterparts in the HGMD control sequences. However, it is possible that the nucleotide occurring at position +6 relative to the downstream alternative in-frame stop codon could influence the likelihood that a given nonstop mutation might come to clinical attention.

The distance to the next stop codon is a key determinant of whether a given nonstop mutation will come to clinical attention

We next explored the possibility that the distance from the mutated stop codon to the next in-frame stop codon downstream might influence the likelihood that a given nonstop mutation would come to clinical attention. We reasoned that the greater the distance between the mutated stop codon and the next viable alternative downstream stop codon, the more likely it would be that the mRNA/ protein would be unstable/degraded and hence that the nonstop mutation would give rise to a deleterand clinically observable phenotype. ious Conversely, the presence of an alternative in-frame stop codon in the immediate vicinity of the mutated natural stop codon could yield a nearnormal or at least ameliorated clinical phenotype. Since such phenotypes would be less likely to come to clinical attention, we might therefore expect there to be a paucity of alternative in-frame stop codons in the immediate vicinity of the mutated stop codons as compared with their counterparts derived from the HGMD control sequences. This was, indeed, what was found when mutated and control sequences were compared. Although a relatively strong correlation was noted between the distributions of the distances (Pearson's correlation 0.75; p = 0.008), the number of alternative in-frame stop codons was found to be

significantly lower among the mutated sequences than in the controls, but only in the range 0-49nucleotides downstream of the mutated stop codon $(p = 7.81 \times 10^{-4})$. This implies that at least some stop codon mutations with alternative stop codons 0-49 nucleotides downstream of the mutated stop codon will not have come to clinical attention, possibly because they will have given rise to stable mRNAs that were (i) not subject to nonstop mRNA decay and (ii) consequently translated into proteins of near-normal length and biological function.

Although the number of in-frame stop codons in the HGMD control dataset approximates to a Zipfian distribution, and steadily decreases with increasing distance from the original stop codon (Figure 1), we noted a significant excess (by comparison with the controls) of downstream in-frame stop codons within 150-199 nucleotides of the mutated stop codon ($p = 8.551 \times 10^{-4}$). A significant ($p = 6.558 \times 10^{-6}$) excess of in-frame stop codons within 100-299 nucleotides was also noted as compared with the HGMD controls. One possible explanation could be that the recruitment of these alternative stop codons at an intermediate distance from the mutated stop codon may serve to trigger nonstop mRNA decay, thereby dramatically decreasing the amount of protein product produced and giving rise to a clinical phenotype that is more



sequences.



likely to come to clinical attention. Confirmation or otherwise of this postulate must await the emergence of a clearer understanding of the mechanism of nonstop mRNA decay in mammalian cells.

Figure 2 depicts a comparison of the single (N =69 in 69 genes) and multiple (N = 18 in 18 genes) nonstop mutations with respect to the distribution of distances to the next downstream in-frame stop codon in each sequence. If those nonstop mutations which occurred within sequences lacking alternative in-frame stop codons in the range 0-49 nucleotides from the mutated codon did indeed display an increased likelihood of coming to clinical attention, then we might reasonably expect those sequences harbouring multiple nonstop mutations to exhibit an even greater paucity of alternative downstream in-frame stop codons in this size range relative to those sequences harbouring only one nonstop mutation. Although only 18 sequences harboured multiple nonstop mutations (yielding very small sample sizes in each distance category and precluding formal statistical assessment), only one (corresponding to 5.5 per cent of the total number of multiple nonstop mutations) of these sequences bearing multiple nonstop mutations was characterised by an alternative in-frame stop codon within 50 nucleotides downstream of the mutated stop codon, as opposed

to 21 sequences with single mutations (30.9 per cent of the total number of single nonstop mutations) (Figure 2). This finding is therefore wholly compatible with our postulate that nonstop mutations occurring within DNA sequences lacking alternative in-frame stop codons in the immediate vicinity of the mutated stop codon display an increased likelihood of coming to clinical attention, possibly because the resulting extended mRNAs are more likely to be subject to nonstop mRNA decay.

References

- Stenson, P.D., Mort, M., Ball, E.V., Howells, K. et al. (2009), 'The Human Gene Mutation Database: 2008 update', *Genome Med.* Vol. 1, p. 13.
- Chatr-Aryamontri, A., Angelini, M., Garelli, E., Tchernia, G. et al. (2004), 'Nonsense-mediated and nonstop decay of ribosomal protein S19 mRNA in Diamond-Blackfan anemia', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 24, pp. 526–533.
- Ameri, A., Machiah, D.K., Tran, T.T., Channell, C. *et al.* (2007), 'A nonstop mutation in the factor (F)X gene of a severely haemorrhagic patient with complete absence of coagulation FX', *Thromb. Haemost.* Vol. 98, pp. 1165–1169.
- Doucette, L., Green, J., Fernandez, B., Johnson, G.J. et al. (2011), 'A novel, non-stop mutation in FOXE3 causes an autosomal dominant form of variable anterior segment dysgenesis including Peters anomaly', *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol. 9, pp. 293–299.
- Pang, S., Wang, W., Rich, B., David, R. *et al.* (2002), 'A novel nonstop mutation in the stop codon and a novel missense mutation in the type II 3beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3beta-HSD) gene causing, respectively, nonclassic and classic 3beta-HSD deficiency congenital adrenal hyperplasia', *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* Vol. 87, pp. 2556–2563.
- Torres-Torronteras, J., Rodriguez-Palmero, A., Pinós, T., Accarino, A. et al. (2011), 'A novel nonstop mutation in *TYMP* does not induce nonstop decay in a MNGIE patient with severe neuropathy'. *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 32, pp. E2061– E2068.
- van Hoof, A., Frischmeyer, P.A., Dietz, H.C. and Parker, R. (2002), 'Exosome-mediated recognition and degradation of mRNAs lacking a termination codon', *Science* Vol. 295, pp. 2262–2264.
- Frischmeyer, P.A., van Hoof, A., O'Donnell, K., Guerrerio, A.L. *et al.* (2002), 'An mRNA surveillance mechanism that eliminates transcripts lacking termination codons', *Science* Vol. 295, pp. 2258–2261.
- Schaeffer, D. and van Hoof, A. (2011), 'Different nuclease requirements for exosome-mediated degradation of normal and nonstop mRNAs', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 108, pp. 2366–2371.
- Inada, T. and Aiba, H. (2005), 'Translation of aberrant mRNAs lacking a termination codon or with a shortened 3'-UTR is repressed after initiation in yeast', *EMBO J.* Vol. 24, pp. 1584–1595.
- Wilson, M.A., Meaux, S. and van Hoof, A. (2007), 'A genomic screen in yeast reveals novel aspects of nonstop mRNA metabolism', *Genetics* Vol. 177, pp. 773–784.
- Akimitsu, N., Tanaka, J. and Pelletier, J. (2007), 'Translation of nonSTOP mRNA is repressed post-initiation in mammalian cells', *EMBO J.* Vol. 26, pp. 2327–2338.
- Isken, O. and Maquat, L.E. (2007), 'Quality control of eukaryotic mRNA: Safeguarding cells from abnormal mRNA function', *Genes Dev.* Vol. 21, pp. 1833–1856.
- Akimitsu, N. (2008), 'Messenger RNA surveillance systems monitoring proper translation termination', J. Biochem. Vol. 143, pp. 1–8.

- Danckwardt, S., Hentze, M.W. and Kulozik, A.E. (2008), '3' end mRNA processing: Molecular mechanisms and implications for health and disease', *EMBO J.* Vol. 27, pp. 482–498.
- Jacobs, G.H., Chen, A., Stevens, S.G., Stockwell, P.A. et al. (2008), Transterm: A database to aid the analysis of regulatory sequences in mRNAs', Nucleic Acids Res. Vol. 37, pp. D72–D76.
- Nakao, M., Barrero, R.A., Mukai, Y., Motono, C. *et al.* (2005), 'Large-scale analysis of human alternative protein isoforms: Pattern classification and correlation with subcellular localization signals', *Nucleic Acids Res.* Vol. 33, pp. 2355–2363.
- Siddle, K.J., Goodship, J.A., Keavney, B. and Santibanez-Koref, M.F. (2011), 'Bases adjacent to mononucleotide repeats show an increased single nucleotide polymorphism frequency in the human genome', *Bioinformatics*, Vol. 27, pp. 895–898.
- Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T. and Lempicki, R.A. (2009), 'Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources', *Nature Protoc.* Vol. 4, pp. 44–57.
- Dennis, G., Jr, Sherman, B.T., Hosack, D.A., Yang, J. et al. (2003), 'DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery', *Genome Biol.* Vol. 4, p. P3.

- McCaughan, K.K., Brown, C.M., Dalphin, M.E., Berry, M.J. et al. (1995), 'Translational termination efficiency in mammals is influenced by the base following the stop codon', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 92, pp. 5431–5435.
- Cassan, M. and Rousset, J.P. (2001), 'UAG readthrough in mammalian cells: Effect of upstream and downstream stop codon contexts reveal different signals'. *BMC Mol. Biol.* Vol. 2, p. 3.
- Namy, O., Hatin, I. and Rousset, J.P. (2001), 'Impact of the six nucleotides downstream of the stop codon on translation termination', *EMBO Rep.* Vol. 2, pp. 787–793.
- Ozawa, Y., Hanaoka, S., Saito, R., Washio, T. et al. (2002), 'Comprehensive sequence analysis of translation termination sites in various eukaryotes', *Gene* Vol. 300, pp. 79–87.
- Cridge, A.G., Major, L.L., Mahagaonkar, A.A., Poole, E.S. et al. (2006), 'Comparison of characteristics and function of translation termination signals between and within prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms', *Nucleic Acids Res.* Vol. 34, pp. 1959–1973.
- Liu, Q. (2005), 'Comparative analysis of base biases around the stop codons in six eukaryotes', *BioSystems* Vol. 81, pp. 281–299.

	nucleotide sequence inal amino-acids	gettee <u>tag</u> eacaetecaectecageaeg	ttc <u>tag</u> = C F *		$\operatorname{cctcc} \underline{\mathbf{tga}} \operatorname{ccccagatggccgggacatg} \operatorname{tcc} \underline{\mathbf{tga}} = P S^*$	cccagtgaagtgtccagaccattgtctt	cag tga = 1 Q *			gag <mark>tga</mark> ccacaggcctcccagccca	$\operatorname{gag} \overline{\operatorname{tga}} = \operatorname{Y} \operatorname{E} *$	gat etag aatttcaggaaggetagaaa tg <u>tag</u> = W M *	ggoc tag gtcctcccacacctgccccc gcc <u>tag</u> = Q A *	Continued
	Flanking I Term	tcgtccaccgcaaatg	tgc		cggcggcccccttccc ccc	gaacacagcctgcca	acc			tctctctcctgcagta	tat	225 tgt 2222 ccctft 5 125 a	tactgcaggcacagca cag	
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	14651470	ATTAAA		19411946 ATTAAA	454459	AAIAAA			Not	identified	34853490 AATAAA 4564-4569 AATAAA 5804-5809 AATAAA 60336044 AATAAA 60436048	1528-1533 AATAAA 1752-1757 AATAAA 1913-1918 1913-1918 1921-1926 AATAAA 1932-1937 1932-1937	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	1378-1380	TAA		1943-1945 TAA	5A TAA 361 422-424 TA				790-792 TAA		3036-3038 TAA	1654-1656 TAA	
	CDS	106-1239	TAG		128-1765 TGA	59-361	TGA			36-578	TGA	1287-2888 TAG	112-1506 TAG	
	A Acc Num (est) Number of Exons	1509bp	7 exons		2257bp 15 exons	473bp	4 exons			807bp	5 exons	6076bp 9 exons	1937bp 16 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN (Long Transcript size	NM_001100.3			NM_000383.2	NM_001643.1				NM_000485.2		NM_000046.2	NM_000048.3	
tabase	e Gene	ACTAI			AIRE	APOA2				APRT		ARSB	ASL	
ene Mutation Da	Chromosom	lq42.13			21q22.3	I q2 I -q23				l 6q24		5911-913	7cen-ql I.2	
luman G	Codon	376			546	78				181		5.34	465	
rded in the F	Amino acid change	Term-Gln	Term-Trp	Term-Tyr	Term-Cys	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Gly	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Ser	Term-Gh	Term-Tyr	
mutations reco	Base change	cTAG-CAG	TAG-TGG	TAGa-TAT	TGAc-TGT	gTGA-AGA	gTGA-CGA	gTGA-GGA	TGA-TCA	TGA-CGA	TGA-TCA	gTAG-CAG	TAG ₈ -TAC	
Nonstop	Gene	ACTAI	ACTAI	ACTA I	AIRE	APOA2	APOA 2	APOA 2	APOA2	APRT	APRT	ARSB	ASL	
Table SI.	Entrez Gene ID	58	58	58	326	336	336	336	336	353	353	<u>-</u>	435	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	gtattcgctgctgtttacat \underline{tag} aaatcacttccagcttacat tta cat $\underline{tag} = L H *$	caggatggaaagcttgggtg <mark>tga</mark> tcttcagtatatgaattacc	tgg gtg $\underline{tga} = W \Upsilon *$	tggagaaggagacatactacta <u>ctga</u> ccccattggaagaagaacca tac tac <u>tga</u> = Ү Ү *	tggatggcacagccgagga <u>gtag</u> gctgacggcgcacctccca gag gag <u>tag</u> = E E *	gggatgaggaggaggagtagttcagtgacttcaggcagggggcc tac atc $\underline{tga} = Y *$	taacgggctttctatttttg \underline{tag} tgttactggctaagtctttg tattttg tag $\underline{tag} = T L^*$	ctatctctttcctgggaat <u>taa</u> actcataagaagcaactca ggg aat <u>taa</u> = G N	agaaacgtagtgaattca <u>taa</u> atggaaggagaagactg aat tca <u>taa</u> = N S *	Continued
	polyA signals AATAA ATTAAA	1364-1369 AATAAA	10215-10220	АТТААА 10514-10519 АТТААА 13129-13134 ААТААА	5195-5200 AATAAA 54345439 AATAAA	3039-3044 ААТААА 3116-3121 ААТААА	3788-3793 ATTAAA 4831-4836 ATTAAA 4892-4897 AATAAA	2379-2384 ААТААА 3220-3225 ААТААА	12271232 AATAAA	5831-5836 ATTAAA 6126-6131 AATAAA 66156620 AATAAA	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	l 233-l 235 TAA	9641-9643	TAG	3277-3280 TAA	2963-2965 TGA	4556.4558 TGA	2375-2377 TAA	1064-1066 TAA	3631-3633 TAG	
	CDS	159-1100 TAG	386-9556	TGA	133-3195 TGA	284-2806 TAG	158-4555 TGA	194-2326 TAG	3-1057 TAA	439-3609 TAA	
	Acc Num st) Number of Exons	1435bp 6 exons	13147bp	63 exons	5496bp 23 exons	3152bp 23 exons	6644bp 21 exons	3260bp 2 exons		??bp ? exons	
	Ref_Seq mRNA (Longe Transcript size	NM_000049.2	NM_000051.3		NM_000702.2	NM_020632.2	NM_000053.2	NM_152618.2	AY358222.I	NM_000388.2	
	Gene	ASPA	ATM		ATP I A 2	АТР6V0А4	ATP 7B	BBS12	CASP12	CASR	
	Chromosome	l7pter-pl3	11q22-q23		Iq2I-q23	7q33-q34	l3q14.3	4q27	11q22.3	3q13	
	Codon	3 <u>1</u> 4	3057		1021	841	1466	11	125	6201	
	Amino acid change	Term-Trp	Term-Gly	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Gln	Term-Arg	Term-Tyr	Term-Arg	Term-GIn	
panu	B ase change	TAG-TGG	gTGA-GGA	TGA-TCA	cTGA-CGA	gTAG-CAG	cTGA-CGA	TAGt-TAC	gTGA-CGA	aTAA-CAA	
. Contir	Gene	ASPA	ATM	ATM	ATPI A2	ATP6 V0A4	ATP7B	BBS12	CASP12	CASR	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	443	472	472	477	50617	540	166379	120329	846	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	ctcagaagacgtcaaacg <u>taa</u> acagctcgaattaagaatatg caa acg <u>taa</u> = Q T $*$	aggtgcaagatacaaggctt tag agagcagcataaatgttgac agg ctt <u>tag</u> = R L *	ttggcccagtctgtttcaaataaatgaactcaatctaaattaa ttc aaa \underline{taa} = F K *	tggccacagagccccccaagtgagtccacacctcactcgcta ccc aag \underline{tga} = P K *	attcctggtcatcggtaaat <u>aa</u> aacaaaggaacttgatgttg ggt aaa $\underline{taa} = G K *$	tggccagcttccccaagatgtgactccagccaatccat aag atg $\underline{tga}=K\;M\; *$	agetgigetteatteetgeteggagaageaegatggtetgge cet gte $\underline{tga}=P~V~*$	ttatgctactagaactgaaagactgataagacattcttg ctg aaa \underline{tga} = L K *	gcctgctggaatcttc <u>taagg</u> gaaggaaggaaggaaggaagaag atc ttc $\underline{taa} = I F *$	Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	1836-1841 ATTAAA 1948-1953 ATTAAA 2382-2387 AATAAA	61086113 AATAAA	4848-4853 AATAAA 4861-4866 AATAAA 5357-5362 5357-5362 53785383 53785383 AATAAA	903908 AATAAA	12671272 AATAAA	1650-1655 AATAAA 1680-1685 AATAAA	1617-1622 ATTAAA 1733-1738 ATTAAA	Multiple polyA sites 10794-10799 AATAAA	2099-2105 AATAAA 2642-2648 ATTAAA	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	1240-1242 TGA	4585-4587 TAA	4585-4587 TAA	905907 TAA	1043-1045 TGA	1169-1171 TAA	1549-1551 TGA	1501-1503 TGA	1852-1854 TAA	
	CDS	466-1062 TAA	133-4575 TAG	472-4572 TAA	71-829 TGA	86-1030 TAA	125-1114 TGA	I-1473 TGA	34-1482 TGA	274-1701 TAA	
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	2422bp 3 exons	6132bp 27 exons	52 exons	921bp 6 exons	l 303bp 9 exons	l 702bp 8 exons	l 473bp 9 exons	10831bp 11 exons	2665bp 8 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN. (Long Transcript size	NM_004064.2	NM_000492.3	NM_000089.3	NM_001887.3	NM_001888.2	NM_000396.2	NM_000769.1	NM_001918.2	NM_001360.2	
	Gene	CDKNIB	CFTR	COLIA2	CRYBB /	CRYM	CTSK	CYP2CI 9	DBT	DHCR7	
	Chromosome	12p13.1-p12	7q31.2	7q22.1	22q12.1	16p13.11-p12.3	1q21	10q24.1-q24.3	lp31	IIqI3.2-qI3.5	
	Codon	66	1481	1277	253	315	330	491	422	476	
	Amino acid change	Term-GIn	Term-Trp	Term-Gin	Term-Arg	Term-Tyr	Term-Trp	Term-Cys	Term-Leu	Term-Gln	
nued	Base change	gTAA-CAA	TAG-TGG	aTAA-CAA	gTGA-CGA	TAAa-TAT	TGAc-TGG	TGAa-TGC	TGA-TTA	cTAA-CAA	
I. Conti	Gene	CDKNIB	CFTR	COLIA2	CRYBB /	CRYM	CTSK	CYP2C19	DBT	DHCR7	
Table S	Entrez Gene ID	1027	120329	080	1378	1414	1428	1513	1557	1629	

Hamby et al.

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	tcaaggtaaaccccctcctt $\underline{\mathbf{fga}}$ gagccgcagatcccgccccg ccc cct $\underline{\mathbf{fga}} = P \ P^*$	tgggtgaagcccctgcatcc <u>tag</u> attcccccattttgcctct gca tcc $\underline{tag} = A S *$	acctgcttacaatggaatg <u>taa</u> actgcagctagcagtttct gga atg $\underline{taa} = G M *$	ccttggaactggagtacctg <u>taa</u> cagcgctcggcactttgaca tac ctg $\underline{taa} = Y L^*$	gcgaggcacaggacctttac $tgaggggggcactgcagcacct$ ctc tac $tga = LY *$	ggcccttcttcccacagcaa \overline{tag} tccccaatacgtagattttt cag caa $\overline{tag} = Q Q^*$	gcagtgggggttcgggacg <mark>tga</mark> agggccactggtccccaaca	$\operatorname{cgg}\operatorname{acg}\operatorname{tga}=\operatorname{RT}^*$					Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	2547-2553 AATAAA	5251-5256 AATAAA	2307-2312 AATAAA	3014-3020 ATTAAA 3585-3591 AATAAA 3849-3855 AATAAA 4299-4304 AATAAA	7637-7643 AATAAA 8004-8010 AATAAA 8048-8054 AATAAA 9010-9015	1649-1655 AATAAA 1913-1918 AATAAA	4238-4243	AATAAA					
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	2130-2132 TGA	503- 505 TGA	2223-2225 TAA	2435-2437 TGA	7327-7329 TAG	1535-1537 TGA	2759-2761	TAA					
	CDS	71-1585 TGA	243-1418 TAG	333-2186 TAA	641-2419 TAA	172-727 TGA	26-1501 TAG	40-2460	TGA					
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	2566bp 7 exons	5296bp 10 exons	2349bp 13 exons	4326bp 18 exons	9030bp 27 exons	l 949bp 8 exons	4093bp	8 exons					
	Ref_Seq mRN. (Long Transcript size	NM_173660.3	NM_001399.4	NM_004453.2	NM_000503.3	NM_000132.2	NM_005141.2	NM_000142.2						
	Gene	DOK7	EDA	ETFDH	EYAI	β	FGB	FGFR3						
	Chromosome	4p16.2	Xq12	4q32-q35	8q13.3	Xq28	4q28	4p I 6.3						
	Codon	505	392	618	593	2333	462	807						
	Amino acid change	Term-Arg	Term-Gln	Term-Gln	Term-Tyr	Term-Arg	Term-Lys	Term-Arg	Term-Gly	Term-Ser	Term-Leu	Term-Cys	Term-Trp	Term-Cys
nued	Base change	tTGA-CGA	cTAG-CAG	gTAA-CAA	TAAc-TAC	cTGA-CGA	aTAG-AAG	gTGA-AGA	gTGA-GGA	TGA-TCA	TGA-TTA	TGAa-TGC	TGAa-TGG	TGAa-TGT
I. Conti	Gene	DOK7	EDA	ETFDH	EYAI	8	FGB	FGFR3	FGFR3	FGFR3	FGFR3	FGFR3	FGFR3	FGFR3
Table S	Entrez Gene ID	1717	285489	2110	1896	2138	2157	2244	2261	2261	2261	2261	2261	2261

253

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	cactgcaaaaatgctccg tga atctggccaacaagcgcttt gct ccg $\underline{tga} = A P *$	tgagtetgaeggaagegge <u>tga</u> agecetgataacetegeett age gge <u>tga</u> = S G *	tcggaccctgcaactcctat \overline{tag} tatcgcctggttgggcctgg tcc tag tcc tat \overline{tag} = S Y *	cggggctggagcgctacctg <mark>tga</mark> gcctgcgccgcgggcag	tac ctg <u>tga</u> = Y L	acatcaagcettgegtgatgtgaggtgetgecegcegecegecet gtg atg $\underline{tga} = V M *$	tgeteteetggtgeageetetgtgaggetettagaeaggggeea $ m age c ctg tga = S L^*$	taaagctgacaggagtgaa <u>g taa</u> tcatttgagtgcaagaagaa gtg aag $\underline{taa} = \vee K *$	gggagacagcaaccatcgcc tga ccacgccgaccacagggcct	atc gcc <u>tga</u> = I A *	giggetecgeteageteat <u>gagg</u> geacagageatggeet a age tea $\underline{\mathbf{rga}} = \mathbf{SS}^*$	aggogttcacggccagcaagtgagccgctccatcaggggcccg aggogt agg $\underline{rag} = S K *$
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	23602365 ААТААА	2489-2494 AATAAA 2540-2545 AATAAA	Multiple polyA sites ????? AATAAA	1939-1944	AI TAAA 1954-1959 AT TAAA	3218-3223 AATAAA 3301-3306 AATAAA	Not found	575- 58 ATTAAA 2044-2049 AATAAA	1315-1320	AAIAAA	1478-1484 AATAAA	18021807 ААТААА
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	l 205-l 207 TAA	1846-1848 TGA	1723-1725 TAG	1418-1420	IGA	1400-1402 TAG	1684-1686 TAA	1681-1683 TGA	1352-1354	IAA	1475-1477 TAA	1473-1475 TGA
	CDS	209-1051 TAA	2980-1785 TGA	118-1533 TAG	245-1204	TGA	44-1183 TGA	580-1677 TGA	46-1446 TAA	68-1207	IGA	113-1354 TGA	78-1394 TGA
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	2398bp 8 exons	3349bp 4 exons	5181bp 10 exons	2000bp	exon	2579bp 3 exons	l 793bp 3 exons	2095bp 8 exons	1347bp 	II exons	l 522bp 6 exons	1839bp 12 exons
	Ref_Seq mRN. (Long Transcript size	NM_001449.3	NM_024301.3	NM_001460.2	NM_012186.2		NM_001451.2	NM_003923.1	NM_000147.3	NM_000155.2		NM_002049.2	NM_000159.2
	e Gene	FHLI	FKRP	FM02	FOXE3		FOXFI	FOXHI	FUCAI	GALT		GATA I	GCDH
	Chromosome	Xq26	19q13.32	lq23-q25	Ip32		l6q24	8q24.3	1p34	9p13		Xp11.23	19 _P 13.2
	Codon	281	496	472	320		380	366	462	380		4 4	439
	Amino acid change	Term-Glu	Term-Arg	Term-Gln	Term-Arg	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Lys	Term-Arg	Term-Cys	Term-Arg	Term-Trp
nued	Base change	gTAA-GAA	cTGA-AGA	tTAG-CAG	gTGA-CGA	TGA-TCA	gTGA-CGA	gTGA-CGA	gTAA-AAA	cTGA-CGA	TGAc-TGC	aTGA-CGA	TGAg-TGG
I. Conti	Gene	FHLI	FKRP	FM02	FOXE3	FOXE3	FOXFI	FOXHI	FUCAI	GALT	GALT	GATA I	всрн
Table S	Entrez Gene ID	2273	2261	79147	2301	2301	2294	2327	8928	2517	2592	2623	2592

Continued

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	tcctgactctcattaggag.c <u>tgag</u> cttcattcattgtgtgtg agg agc <u>tga</u> = R S *	aggeetigtatgetigggeeag <mark>tga</mark> gageagtggeegeaagegeag	ggc cag tga = G Q *	caggaggccaaa <mark>tag</mark> agggatgctaggtg	gcc aaa <u>tag</u> = A K *	tgctgacctccaaataccgt <u>taa</u> gctggagcctcggtagccgt	tac cgt $\overline{taa} = Y R^*$				ccagcagaacctaat $\underline{\mathbf{fga}}_{\mathbf{ga}}$ gact $\underline{\mathbf{gga}}_{\mathbf{ga}}$	ccctgaagtccaagactcagtgatttaaggatgacagagatgt act cag $\underline{tga} = T \ Q^{*}$	ccccatccccgggcaatcca <mark>tga</mark> gcctgtgctgagccccagtg	aat cca $tga = NP *$	atgtcatggagtgcattgag <u>tagg</u> gccggccagtgcaaggcca atg att gag $\underline{tag} = 1 E^*$	Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	Multiple polyA sites 2896-2901 ATTAAA	2724-2729	ATTAAA	4311-4316	ATTAAA 4952-4957 ATTAAA 4956-4961 AATAAA	555-560	AATAAA				18921898 AATAAA	16491654 AATAAA	2145-2150	AATATA	2049-2054 AGTAAA	
	Next STOP codon	1017-1019 TGA	2314-2316	TGA	4151-4153	TGA	557-559 TAA					1778-1780 TAG	1544-1546 TGA	544- 546 TGA 223 -2233 TGA		1563-1565 TAG	
	CDS	162-914 TGA	487-1884	TGA	131-3700	TAG	38-466	TAA				371-1708 TGA	43- 26 TGA	89-2050	TGA	225-1483 TAG	
	Acc Num st) Number of Exons	2941bp 6 exons	2759bp	10 exons	4981bp	19 exons	575bp	3 exons				l 920bp I 4 exons	l 669bp 4 exons	2203bp	4 exons	2073bp 10 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRNA (Longe Transcript size	NM_000161.2	NM_000162.2		NM_005144.3		NM_000517.3					NM_000187.2	NM_000198.2	NM_000203.3		NM_001099856.1	
	Gene	GCHI	GCK		Ħ		HBA2					HGD	HSD3B2	IDUA		IKBKG	
	Chromosome	14q22. 1-q22.2	7p15.3-p15.1		8p21.2		16p13.3					3q13.33	lp13.1	4p16.3		Xq28	
	Codon	251	466		35		142					446	373	654		420	
	Amino acid change	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Leu	Term-Gln	Term-Trp	Term-Tyr	Term-Lys	Term-Gln	Term-Glu	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Cys	Term-Gly	Term-Cys	Term-Trp	
ned	B ase change	cTGA-CGA	gTGA-CGA	TGA-TTA	cTAG-CAG	TAG-TGG	TAAg-TAT	tTAA-AAA	tTAA-CAA	tTAA-GAA	tTAA-TCA	tTGA-CGA	TGAt-TGC	aTGA-GGA	TGAg-TGT	TAG-TGG	
. Contin	Gene	GCHI	GCK	GCK	HR	H	HBA2	HBA2	HBA2	HBA2	HBA2	HGD	HSD3B2	IDUA	IDUA	IKBKG	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	2639	2645	2645	55806		2643	3040	3040	3040	3040	3081	3284	3425	3425	8517	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	tggaaactttaattgttctt $\underline{\mathbf{ga}}$ acagtcaagaaaaacattat tgt tct $\underline{\mathbf{ga}} = C K^*$	tcaccccaagacaagagaat <mark>tag</mark> tattttataggacatgtggc	gag aat <u>tag</u> = E N *	gggaggacaacgccctcttc <u>fga</u> gcggaccgggtgggaatccg cct ctc $\underline{tga} = PL *$	tctactatgccaccgcaagt $\underline{\mathbf{ga}}$ gctacagcttccagccgt tgc aag $\underline{\mathbf{tga}} = C K *$	actgtggagcttccc <u>tgaggg</u> gcccgggcaagtcttg ctt ccc $\underline{tga} = L P *$	ttcccaggaagcttgtattttagagccagggggagctgggcct gra ttt $\underline{tag} = V F *$	gcaacggcatgaacttgggat <u>agg</u> atgcagggccatggaaatg ttg gga $\overline{tag} = L G^*$	acttcggtatcttcaggatg <u>taa</u> cggaataaggatgttttc agg ag $\underline{taa} = R M *$	Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	II31-II36 ATTAAA I440-I445 ATTAAA I664-I669 I664-I669 I785-I790 AATAAA I834-I839 ATTAAA	2142-2147	AATAAA	1554-1559 ATTAAA	4008-4013 AATGAA 4020-4025 AATAAA	4094-4099 ATTAAA 4118-4123 AATAAA	3382-3387 AATAAA	Not found	1796-1801 AATAAA	
	Next STOP codon	TAA	2031-2033	TAG	1839-1841 TAA	3829-3831 TGA	1900-1902 TGA	1645-1647 TAA	1102-1104 TGA	1 7987-1 800 TAA	
	CDS	1874-987 TGA	215-1852	IAG	146-1342 TGA	145-3663 TGA	253-1755 TGA	256-1443 TAG	I-1083 TAG	100-1791 TAA	
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	l 870bp 6 exons	1882bp	2 exons	l 607bp 5 exons	4093bp 23 exons	4143bp ? exons	3419bp 9 exons	III2bp I exon	2329bp 17 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN (Long Transcript size	NM_021999.3	NM_133497.2		NM_032551.4	NM_000228.2	NM_006033.2	NM_000429.2	NM_019888.2	NM_022132.3	
	Gene	ITM2B	KCNV2		KISSIR	LAMB3	LIPG	MATIA	MC3R	MCCC2	
	Chromosome	l3q14.3	9p24.2		19p13.3	lq32	18q21.1	10q22	20q13.2-q13.3	5q12-q13	
	Codon	267	546		399	1173	501	396	361	564	
	Amino acid change	Term-Arg	Term-Tyr	Term-Gln	Term-Arg	Term-Trp	Term-Arg	Term-Tyr	Term-Ser	Term-Gln	
nued	B ase change	tTGA-AGA	tTAG-TAT	tTAG-CAG	cTGA-AGA	TGAt-TGG	cTGA-CGA	TAGa-TAT	TAG-TCG	gTAA-CAA	
I. Conti	Gene	17M2B	KCNV2	KCNV2	KISSIR	LAMB3	LIPG	MATIA	MC3R	MCCC2	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	9445	169522	169522	84634	3914	9388	4143	4159	64087	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	ccgtgaccgagagttagc <u>tga</u> ctttacacggagcggattgc	gtt agc $tga = VS *$			gcttttgggcatccaacagt <u>taa</u> tcacttagtttttagagca aac agt $\underline{taa} = N S *$	cgagagaaacggaggctcca <u>tga</u> ccctgcgtcctgacgccctg gct cca $\underline{tga} = A P *$	agtecetgececetat <u>gagg</u> getecggtageacetgg ccc eta $\underline{t}\underline{g}\underline{a} = P L *$	ctgtgtttttgcagtac \underline{tga} agataacagccagggaggac cag tac $\underline{tga} = Q Y *$	aaatgetetegtacaaagata $ta\underline{a}_{a}$ gteatgtgggeeacaaaga aagaata $t\underline{a}_{a}$	ataattottaaagaaacttt \underline{tag} agatcatctggcaatcgctt aac tto $\underline{tag} = N F *$	Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	1790-1795	AATAAA 7191-7196	TATAAA 7300-7305	AATAAA 9490-9495 AATAAA	1238-1243 ATTAAA 1289-1294 ATTAAA 1299-1304 AATAAA	3833-3838 ?????? 7086-7091 AATAAA	802-805 ACTAAA 836-841 AGTAAA	768-773 ATTAAA 819-824 AATAAA	475- 480 ААТААА 5 4- 5 9 ААТААА	3015-3020 AATAAA 3340-3345 AATAAA 3513-3518 AATAAA	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	1766-1768	TGA			845-847 TGA	2303-2305 TGA	756-758 TGA	554-556 TAA	1 447-1 479 TAA	2322-2324 TAA	
	CDS	227-1687	0241bp 227-1687 • exons TGA			40-793 TAA	185-2155 TGA	144-605 TGA	95-550 TGA	13-1424 TAA	141-2300 TAG	
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	10241bp	4992.2 10241bp 227 4 exons TG			l 347bp 8 exons	7105bp 12 exons	867bp 4 exons	840bp 3 exons	l 555bp 2 exons	3539bp I I exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN/ (Longe Transcript size	NM_004992.2	2 NM_004992.2 10241b; 4 exons			NM_004531.3	NM_005957.3	NM_017838.3	NM_006172.2	NM_000475.3	NM_016817.2	
	Gene	MECP2				MOCS2	MTHFR	NHP2	NPPA	NROBI	OAS2	
	Chromosome	Xq28				24 1	Ip36.3	5q35.3	1p36.21	Xp21.3-p21.2	12q24.2	
	Codon	487				189	657	154	152	471	720	
	Amino acid change	Term-Trp	Term-Arg	Term-Leu	Term-Cys	Term-Tyr	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Glu	Term-Trp	
nued	Base change	cTGA-TGG	cTGA-CGA	cTGA-TTA	cTGA-TGC	TAAt-TAC	TGA-TCA	aTGA-AGA	cTGA-CGA	aTAA-GAA	TAG-TGG	
. Conti	Gene	MECP2	MECP2	MECP2	MECP2	MOCS2	MTHFR	NHP2	NPPA	NROBI	0AS2	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	5080	5080	5080	5080	4338	4524	55651	4878	061	4939	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	aagctetteateaggagaaat <u>taa</u> attaagtgagtaaaaattet gag aaa <u>taa</u> = E K *	ageteceagaagectaaattit <u>tga</u> tgitgitgitgitgeteaga aaa ttt $\underline{tga} = K F *$	aatactggccaagattacag <u>taa</u> aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaggaaaggaaa tta cag taa = L Q *	gacagaaagtaactttagca <u>taa</u> aataacttcttttgattt tta gca $taa = L A *$	tagtgaagagcagtatgttc <mark>tga</mark> tctggaatcctgcggcggcg	arg trc <u>tga</u> = M F *	tctatgagagacttgcacct <u>taa</u> ctctgggacctgggccca gca cct $\underline{taa} = A P *$	ggaaaaggaattcttaaggcatcttttctctgcttat aat tct $\underline{taa} = N A *$	Continued
	poly A signals AATAAA ATTAAA	3046-3051 AATAAA	1365-1370 AATAAA 1622-1627 AATAAA	2269-2274 ATTAAA 2495-2500 AATAAA	4261-4266 AATAAA 4356-4361 AATAAA	1452-1457	AATAAA 1766-1771 AATAAA 1798-1803 ATTAAA 1861-1866 1861-1866	1405-1410 ATTAAA 2412-2417 ATTAAA 3438-3443 AATAAA	2636-2641 ATTAAA 2735-2740 ATTAAA 3289-3294 AATAAA	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	2975-29771 TGA	1319-1321 TAA	1821-1823 TAA	4030-4032 TGA	1426-1428	TGA	1021-1023 TAA	2217-2219 TAA	
	CDS	56-2938 TGA	2151279 ТGA	513-1781 TAA	97-3948 TAA	361-1305	TGA	154-939 TAA	147-2177 TAA	
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	5864bp 31 exons	l 647bp I 0 exons	281 6bp 15 exons	4390bp 24 exons	3033bp	3 exons	3482bp 7 exons	3309bp 15 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN (Long Transcript size	NM_015560.1	NM_000531.4	NM_000280.2	NM_000466.2	NM_003924.2		NM_018129.2	NM_000313.1	
	Gene	OPAI	OTC	PAX6	PEXI	PHOX2B		OdNA	PROSI	
	Chromosome	3q28-q29	Xp21.1	11p13	7q21.2	4p12		17q21.32	3q H.2	
	Codon	196	355	423	1284	315		262	636	
	Amino acid change	Term-Tyr	Term-Trp	Term-Leu Term-Tyr	Term-Gln	Term-Trp	Term-Cys	Term-GIn	Term-Tyr	
ned	Base change	TAAa-TAC	TGAt-TGG	TAA-TTA TAA-TAT	aTAA-CAA	TGAt-TGG	TGAt-TGC	tTAA-CAA	TAAg-TAT	
. Contin	Gene	OPAI	отс	PAX6 PAX6	PEXI	PHOX2B	PHOX2B	OdNd	PROSI	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	4976	5009	5080 5080	5189	8929	8929	55 163	5627	

	iking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	pacetgratgect <u>ga</u> ecgritteectgectectget tat gee $\underline{taa} = Y A^*$	igaggcatt <u>ga</u> aattttcagcaggagccttc agg cat <u>tga</u> = R H	caatgttcat <u>taaa</u> aatatccaagatttaaatg gtt cat <u>taa</u> = $V H *$	gttgatit <u>taa</u> gcaaaagcatccaagaaaa gga ttt <u>taa</u> = G F *	tggccccggcc <mark>taa</mark> gacctgcctaggactctgtg	ccg gcc <u>taa</u> = P A *	gegaccatat <u>tga</u> aattecteageagtggecea cca tat <u>tga</u> = Р Ү	Continued
	lyA Flan nals AAA AAA	266 atcgggagg AA 279 AA	309 ttcacg AA	841 tgggatto AA	487 atttggct AA 495 541 541 601 601 AA	244 cgagccagg	511 85 664 86 86 87 87 87	666 aatcrgttrg AA 078 897 897 453 453 453 AA 596 AA	
	po sigi AAT ATT	7261-7 AATA 7274-7 AATA	1304-1 AATA	5836-5 AATA	482- 490- 490- 536- 536- 596- 596-	1239-1	AATG 1506-1 1506-1 1659-1 1659-1 1659-1 2563-2 2563-2 2563-2 ATTA	2761-2 ATTA 3073-3 3073-3 3073-3 3892-3 3892-3 3892-3 4183-4 4183-4 4148-4 4148-4 4591-4 4591-4	
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	7243-7245 TGA	1013-1015 TGA	4522-4524 TGA	1416-1418 TGA	1293-1295	TAA	1853-1855 TAG	
	CDS	115-7122 TGA	323-856 TGA	388-4326 TAA	87-1340 TAA	96-1142	AAT	7-1776 TGA	
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	7311bp 43 exons	1331bp 5 exons	5891bp 25 exons	l 635bp 9 exons	2768bp	5 exons	9 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRN4 (Longe Transcript size	NM_006445.3	NM_198965.1	NM_005732.2	NM_020485.3	NM_000539.2		NM_001024630.2	
	Gene	PR PF8	РТНЦН	RAD50	RHCE	RHO		RUNX2	
	Chromosome	17p13.3	12p12.1-p11.2	5q3 I	1p36.11	3q21-q24		6p.2.1	
	Codon	2336	178	1313	4 8	349		522	
	Amino acid change	Term-Arg	Term-Trp	Term-Tyr	Term-Tyr	Term-Gln	Term-Glu	Term.Ser	
nued	Base change	cTGA-CGA	TGAa-TGG	TAAa-TAT	ТАА ₆ -ТАС	cTAA-CAA	сТАА-GAA	TGA-TCA	
. Conti	Gene	PRPF8	РТНLН	RAD50	RHCE	RHO	RHO	RUNX2	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	10594	5744	11101	6066	6010	6010	860	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	gagtatatgaccccagggcc <u>tgag</u> acctgcaggatcaggttag agg gcc <u>tga</u> = R A *	atgtctgcctgaagcccca <u>tga</u> agaaaaaaaaacaccttgt gcc cca $\underline{tga} = A P *$	geggaggeeetet <u>ga</u> eeegeegeageee ggg ete <u>tga</u> = G L *		ctatgcgtacacttgcatcc cga aagtgggttcgggaggtttc gca tcc $\underline{tga} = A S *$	gggcctgagtcct <u>gaa</u> ccagagaggactgg aag tcc <u>tga</u> = K S *	atacgacactgtcccggccct <mark>ta.a</mark> agggggccctgtcgccacca	cgg ccc taa = K r ≈	cccttattccattcattt $t\underline{taa}$ aggaaccaaattaaaagga atc ttt $\underline{taa} = F^* $	Continued
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	1940-1945 AATAAA	738-743 AATAAA 1036-1041 AATAAA	2486-2491 ATTAAA	Not found	2719-2724 AATAAA 3014-3019 AATAAA 3038-3043 3038-3043 3056-3071 3066-3071 3066-3071 3029-3234 AATAAA	1897-1902 AATAAA 1965-1670 ATTAAA 2092-2097 AATAAA	2840-2845	AAIAAA 2846-2851 ATTAAA	846-851 ATTAAA 1235-1240 ATTAAA 2426-2431 ATTAAA	
	Next STOP codon	1830-1832 TGA	766-768 TAA	1712-1715 TAG	433- 436 TAG	2691-2693 TAA	1398-1400 TAA	1935-1937	164	918-920 TAA	
	CDS	192-1694 TGA	346-732 TGA	692-1570 TGA	692-1369 TGA	TGA	402-1316 TGA	279-1679	IAA	72836 TAA	
	A Acc Num st) Number of Exons	l 984bp 8 exons	2507bp 4 exons	3757bp 6 exons	1951bp 6 exons	4930bp 21 exons	2 124bp 7 exons	2882bp	4 exons	2446bp 5 exons	
	Ref_Seq mRNA (Longe Transcript size	NM_000062.2	NM_002351.2	NM_000451.3	NM_006883.2	NM_000441.1	NM_017875.2	NM_006941.3		NM_000348.3	
	Gene	SERPINGI	SH2DIA	SHOXa	ахонг	SL C26A4	SLC25A38	01XOS		SRD5A2	
	Chromosome	llql2-ql3.l	Xq25-q26	Xp22.33		7q31	3p22.1	22q13.1		2p23	
	Codon	479	129	293	226	78	305	467		255	
	Amino acid change	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Trp	Term-Arg	Term-Tyr	Term-Lys	Term-Ser	
panu	Base change	cTGA-AGA	aTGA-AGA	cTGA-CGA	aTGA-CGA	TGAa-TGG	cTGA-CGA	cTAA-TAC	cTAA-AAA	TAA-TCA	
. Contir	Gene	SERPINGI	SH2DIA	SHOX	SHOX	SLC26A4	SLC25A38	SOXIO	sox10	SRD5A2	
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	710	4068	6473	6473	5172	54977	6663	6663	6716	

	Flanking nucleotide sequence Terminal amino-acids	tccttactttttcatacagat <u>aa</u> ttatcaccgtttctgctctg tac aga <u>taa</u> = Y R $*$	aagatgatgaatggatgac <mark>tga</mark> gtggctgagttacttgctgc	gat gac <u>tga</u> = D D *		ccaaactccagctgcgcttt \mathbf{tga} gggtctccctcggggaccg gcg ctt $\mathbf{tga} = A L^*$
	polyA signals AATAAA ATTAAA	II140-II145 ATTAAA I414-1419 ATTAAA I894-1899 ATTAAA	1777-1782	AATAAA		2206-2211 AATAAA 3002-3007 ATTAAA
	Next <u>STOP</u> codon	1142-1144 TAA	1805-1807	TAA		1805-1807 TGA
	CDS	116-973 TAA	35-1543	TGA		197-1741 TGA
	A Acc Num est) Number of Exons	7116bp 12 exons	1806bp	12 exons		3020bp 10 exons
	Ref_Seq mRN. (Long Transcript size	NM_152263.2	NM_000377.1			NM_024424.2
	Gene	ТРМЗ	WAS			WTI
	Chromosome	1q21.2	Xp11.4-p11.21			11p13
	Codon	286	503			450
	Amino acid change	Term-Ser	Term-Arg	Term-Arg	Term-Ser	Term-Trp
nued	Base change	TAA-TCA	cTGA-AGA	cTGA-CGA	TGA-TCA	TGA _g -TGG
. Conti	Gene	TPM3	WAS	WAS	WAS	WTI
Table SI	Entrez Gene ID	7170	7454	7454	7454	7490

Category	Term	Count	%	p value	Genes
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Oxidoreductase	П	16.42	2.03E-05	HSD3B2, DBT, GCDH, MTHFR, CYP2C19, DHCR7, FMO2, ETFDH, HGD, PNPO, SRD5A2
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0044271 ~ nitrogen compound biosynthetic process	9	13.43	I.40E-04	MOCS2, OTC, SLC25A38, ATP1A2, ASL, ATP6V0A4, NPPA, ATP7B, GCH1
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0008015 \sim blood circulation	7	10.45	2.41E-04	MTHFR, COLIA2, SERPINGI, CFTR, ATPIA2, NPPA, GCHI
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0003013 \sim circulatory system process	7	10.45	2.41E-04	MTHFR, COLIA2, SERPINGI, CFTR, ATPIA2, NPPA, GCHI
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0050662 \sim coenzyme binding	7	10.5	2.59E-04	DBT, GCDH, FMO2, ETFDH, PNPO, CRYM, GCH I
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Blood coagulation	4	5.97	4.62E-04	FGB, F8, SERPINGI, PROSI
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Flavoprotein	5	7.46	5.00E-04	GCDH, MTHFR, FMO2, ETFDH, PNPO
GOTERM_CC_FAT	GO:0031093 \sim platelet alpha granule lumen	4	5.97	6.78E-04	FGB, F8, SERPING I, PROS I
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0006694 \sim steroid biosynthetic process	5	7.46	6.92E-04	HSD3B2, DHCR7, CFTR, SRD5A2, NR0BI
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0042592 \sim homeostatic process	12	17.91	7.17E-04	PTHLH, SLC26A4, CTSK, CASR, OTC, IKBKG, SLC25A38, LIPG, ATPTA2, ATP6V0A4, RAD50, ATP7B
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0055114 \sim oxidation reduction	П	16.42	7.76E-04	HSD3B2, GCDH, MTHFR, CYP2C19, DHCR7, FMO2, ETFDH, F8, HGD, PNPO, SRD5A2
GOTERM_CC_FAT	GO:0060205 \sim cytoplasmic membrane-bounded vesicle lumen	4	5.97	8.35E-04	FGB, F8, SERPING I, PROS I
GOTERM_CC_FAT	GO:0031983 \sim vesicle lumen	4	5.97	9.52E-04	FGB, F8, SERPING I, PROS I

Table S2. Major enriched (p < 0.001) categories for genes harbouring single mutations in stop codons

Category	Term	Count	%	p value	Genes
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	DNA-binding	8	42.11	9.77E-04	SOX10, PHOX2B, MECP2, PAX6, HR, SHOX, ATM, FOXE3
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Peters' anomaly	2	10.53	0.0047	PAX6, FOXE3
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Transcription regulation	7	36.84	0.0082	SOX10, PHOX2B, MECP2, PAX6, HR, SHOX, FOXE3
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0043565 \sim sequence-specific DNA binding	5	26.32	0.0086	SOX10, PHOX2B, PAX6, SHOX, FOXE3
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0003700 \sim transcription factor activity	6	31.58	0.0089	SOX I O, PHOX2B, PAX6, HR, SHOX, FOXE3
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Transcription	7	36.84	0.0092	SOX10, PHOX2B, MECP2, PAX6, HR, SHOX, FOXE3

Table S3. Major enriched (p < 0.001) categories for genes harbouring multiple mutations in stop codons

Table S4. Frequency of nucleotides present in regions flanking the 87 mutated stop codons. Position 0, corresponding to the stop codon, is not shown. Nucleotide frequencies that are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison to the HGMD control dataset are shown underlined

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3	4	5	6
А	25	25	12	25	29	16	31	20	19	13	28	20
С	18	20	27	26	24	27	15	26	26	25	22	28
G	24	23	28	14	<u>7</u>	24	28	28	19	21	21	19
Т	20	19	20	22	27		20	13	23	28	16	20

Table S5. Frequency of nucleotides present in regions flanking the mutated TGA stop codon (N = 35). Position 0 corresponding to the stop codon is not shown. Nucleotide frequencies that are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison to the HGMD control dataset are shown in bold

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	Т	2	3	4	5	6
А	9	9	4	12	12	9	12	9	8	6	10	6
С	7	8	10	13	10	П	4	12	8	9	8	9
G	12	10	П	7	5	9	13	10	9	9	8	8
т	7	8	10	3	8	6	6	4	10	П	9	12

Table S6. Frequency of nucleotides occurring within regions flanking mutated stop codons harbouring single nonstop mutations. Position 0 corresponding to the stop codon is not shown. Frequencies which are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison with corresponding HGMD controls are shown underlined

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	- I	Т	2	3	4	5	6
А	21	19	П	21	21	14	26	15	16	П	23	16
С	14	17	19	19	19	19	П	19	22	21	18	23
G	19	18	22	<u>9</u>	5	17	21	23	13	14	14	14
т	14	14	16	19	23	18	10	П	17	22	13	15

Table S7. Frequencies of nucleotides flanking the next downstream in-frame stop codon in mutated sequences. Position 0, corresponding to the stop codon, is not shown. Frequencies which are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison with the corresponding HGMD controls are shown underlined

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	Т	2	3	4	5	6
А	9	10	14	16	8	9	13	10	17	14	16	15
С	13	П	7	7	12	17	12	17	П	16	9	15
G	8	15	П	9	8	10	12	12	9	9	10	12
т	16	10	14	15	19	П	П	9	10	8	12	5

Table S8. Frequencies of nucleotides flanking the next downstream in-frame TGA stop codon. Position 0, corresponding to the stop codon, is not shown. Frequencies which are significantly higher/lower (p < 0.01) in comparison with the corresponding HGMD controls are shown in bold

Base	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	Т	2	3	4	5	6
А	4	6	9	9	3	I	5	6	7	6	9	4
С	7	8	4	3	6	11	7	10	8	10	5	12
G	6	8	6	4	5	7	8	4	4	4	4	6
Т	8	3	6	9	П	6	6	6	6	5	7	3