



Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy influences the rate of conversion in patients with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Case series



W.E. Abdelrahim ^a, Kamal E. Elsiddig ^{b, *}, A.A. Wahab ^c, M. Saad ^c, H. Saeed ^c, E.A.G. Khalil ^d

^a Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Nile Valley University, Atbara, Sudan

^b Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Sudan

^c Department of Surgery, Atbara Teaching Hospital, Atbara, Sudan

^d Department of Clinical Pathology & Immunology, Institute of Endemic Diseases, University of Khartoum, Sudan

H I G H L I G H T S

- Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SLC) is the safest procedure of choice for difficult cases of the elderly and prolonged symptoms.
- Postoperative retained stones were statistically significant in subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
- The conversion rate of 5.5% was recorded.
- Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy is feasible and safe for patients with obscure Calot's especially those with acute cholecystitis in meager resource settings.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received 18 August 2016

Received in revised form

24 April 2017

Accepted 30 April 2017

Keywords:

Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy
Calot's triangle
Sudan

A B S T R A C T

Objectives: This study aimed to show that subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SLC) is a safe procedure that reduces the rate of conversion in patients with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomies in resource-meagre settings.

Patients and methods: Following informed consent, patients with gallstones reporting to Atbara Medical Centre, Atbara, Northern Sudan from February 2012 to July 2013 were managed laparoscopically except those with choledocholithiasis. SLC was done for patients with difficult cholecystectomy and obscured Callot's triangle. Clinical presentation, duration of symptoms, ultrasound findings, frequency of conversion to open operation, frequency of difficult cholecystectomy, operation duration and numbers/types of complications were recorded. Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS.

Results: One hundred and nine patients with a median age of 48 years, F:M ratio of 9 and mean duration of symptoms of 14.8 ± 12.9 months were enrolled. A quarter (29/109, 26.6%) had acute cholecystitis, 13% had difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. SLC was done for 16.2%. Retained stones were statistically significant in patients who underwent subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy ($p = 0.02$) with a conversion rate of 5.5%.

Conclusion: SLC is feasible, safe and can reduce the rate of conversion for patients with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Sub-total laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not a substitute to conversion and in difficult conditions it is not a failure for the surgeon but a wisdom.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy remains the gold standard procedure in treating patients with gallstone disease [1]. Observation of every step in the procedure with application of standard measures is mandatory. Adequate skills and learning curve considerations should be undertaken to reduce the risk of complications [2].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: walide45@yahoo.com (W.E. Abdelrahim), elzakikamal@gmail.com (K.E. Elsiddig), walaeltahir@hotmail.com (A.A. Wahab), zahimer93@gmail.com (M. Saad), Mawaheb129@yahoo.com (H. Saeed), eltahir@iend.org (E.A.G. Khalil).

Laparoscopy encourages more patients who are expecting cosmeses and early return to work to undergo surgery. Recently, there was a trend to operate in the initial admission of acute phase of cholecystitis [3,4]. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy indications have increased substantially to include: patients with liver cirrhosis, old patients and patients with absolute contraindication to open surgery [5]. Difficult cholecystectomy could be anticipated pre-operatively in elderly patients, patients with long duration of symptoms, male patients, concomitant diseases like portal hypertension, ultrasound findings and history of choledocholithiasis. This makes subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SLC) a safe alternative with added advantages of avoiding common bile duct injury and liver bed bleeding [6–8]. SLC is indicated in patients with obscure anatomy in Calot's triangle, dilated cystic duct, very short cystic duct and in patients with Merizi syndrome. However, complications of SLC include biliary leakage in a minority and retained common bile duct stones [9,10]. Four different variants of SLC have emerged since its introduction as a new technique in 1993 [11]. SLC differs from a conventional cholecystectomy in the location of the trans-section at the gallbladder neck or Hartmann's pouch with the remnant gallbladder pouch left behind. The first method basically involves excising most of the gallbladder's anterior wall, leaving part of the posterior wall attached to the liver and the remainder of the gallbladder stump left open (method A). Method B is similar to method A except that the gallbladder stump is closed. The third method (method C) differs from methods A and B, because it includes resection of both the anterior and posterior gallbladder walls. In method C the pouch is closed and drains are not used routinely compared to other methods. Method D resembles method C except that the pouch is left open with a drain is put close to it [11,12]. The conversion to open surgery is part of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to insure maximum patient safety. Conversion rate is usually between 5 and 7%, decreasing in many centres with accumulating experience in laparoscopic surgery. The main cause of conversion is obscure anatomy that is usually seen in more than half (55.3%) of procedures, followed by adhesion in 26%, bleeding in 8%, suspicion of choledocholithiasis in 4.3% and failure of progression in 5.3%. Conversion rate has never been affected by timing of surgery in acute cholecystitis [13]. SLC has been introduced recently in Atbara, a provincial town in Northern Sudan. This study aims to test safety, feasibility of SLC in resource-meagre settings (see Fig. 1).

2. Patients and methods

This prospective, case series was registered at Research Registry [[ResearchRegistry.com](https://www.researchregistry.com), UIN Walid1518]. Following informed consent, consecutive patients who were diagnosed with cholecystitis and referred to Atbara Medical Centre, Nile State Ministry of Health from February 2012 to July 2013. Patients with choledocholithiasis were not enrolled, but referred for management by ERCP first. Demographic, clinical and follow up data was recorded in hospital record sheets. Patients with acute cholecystitis were operated on at initial admission regardless of the time of presentation even those with palpable gallbladder, except when there were absolute contraindications like pancreatitis or obstructive jaundice. At anaesthesia induction, ceforuxime 1.5 g and Ondansetron were used. Heparin was reserved for patients with risks of thrombo-embolic disease [obese, history previous DVT and prolonged preoperative admission]. The first 11 mm trans-umbilical port was placed via open technique through an umbilical incision to create pneumoperitoneum. The other three ports were placed under direct vision. An 11 mm port at the epigastrium and two 5.5-mm ports: one placed at the mid-clavicular line, approximately two finger breadths below the costal margin and the other was placed at the mid-axillary line two finger breadths below the costal margin

according to baseball diamond concept. The flow rate was kept at a minimum of around 1.5 L/minute. In difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy a window was created between the liver and the gallbladder away from Calot's triangle then an intra-corporeal knot using silk suture was applied at a convenient site (neck of Hartmann's pouch to achieve subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The gall bladder anterior and posterior walls were successfully excised completely in many cases. The cystic artery was usually dissected and clipped, drains were used whenever indicated. The umbilical incision was closed using prolene # 2.

The results of this work has been reported in line with the PROCESS criteria [14].

3. Results

One hundred and nine patients were recruited with a mean age of 47.6 ± 13.59 years and male female ratio of 1:9. The mean duration of symptoms was 14.6 ± 13.59 months. About a quarter (27%, 29/109) had acute cholecystitis, 21% (23/109) were difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomies. SLC was performed in 16.2% (17/109) of cases.

Diabetes mellitus and gallbladder wall thickness were not statistically different in patients with difficult cholecystectomy compared to total laparoscopic cholecystectomy (TLC) cases ($p = 0.9$). In addition, difficult cholecystectomy (acute cholecystitis), prolonged symptoms were also not significantly different. Difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy (DLC) associated variables like old age, sex, recurrent symptoms; previous abdominal surgeries were not significant (Table 1). The study patients were categorized depending on the procedure performed: the SLC group (16.2%, 17/109) and the TLC (78.9%, 86/109). About Forty per cent (7/17) of patients who underwent SLC, presented with acute cholecystitis. Retained stones were reported in 1 patient (1/17, 5.8%) 3 months after surgery in the SLC group and was managed by ERCP. This was significantly different from the TLC group ($P = 0.02$). Port hernia was seen in a minority of patients (1/17, 3%) in the same group, with no significant difference from the TLC group ($p = 0.2$). The mean duration of hospital stay was similar for SLC and TLC groups ($p = 0.07$) Table 2.

The conversion rate was 5.5%, while the time of conversion was in the first 10 min of operation for the 5 converted cases and 30 min in a patient with intra-hepatic course of gall bladder. Obscure anatomy was reported in 3.6% (4/109) of study patients. All converted surgeries ended up with subtotal cholecystectomy, except in one patient whose gall bladder was frozenly attached to liver. Her histology was negative for malignancy. This patient developed gallstone ileus 4 months later and was subjected to laparotomy. Patients' age was strongly correlated to conversion ($p = 0.013$), while male sex, gall bladder wall thickness; diabetes mellitus and duration of symptoms correlated poorly to conversion ($p = 0.38, 0.07, 0.99$) Table 3.

4. Discussion

Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy is a safe alternative procedure to conversion in dealing with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomies. Contra-indications for laparoscopy nowadays are similar to or almost the same as for open surgery, so more patients with relatively high risk of DC [portal hypertension, old patients and those for urgent surgery in acute cholecystitis] pose a challenge to laparoscopic surgeon. Nowadays, there is accumulating skills to deal with difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy with results close to that of conversion. The most feared complication in laparoscopic cholecystectomy is common bile duct (CBD) injuries and their catastrophic sequels [15–19]. In SLC the




Fig. 1. The rate of subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute, chronic and acute-on-chronic cholecystitis.

Table 1

Patients' baseline characteristics.

	SLC		Total percentage	P value
	Yes	No		
Male sex (%)	0.9	10.1	11	0.4
Age M (years)				0.5
Duration of symptoms (Months)	14.7			0.1
Diabetes mellitus (%)	2.7	13.8	16.5	0.9
Wall thickness% (>4-mm)	10	53	630	0.9
Acute cholecystitis %	6.4	17.4	23.8	0.3

SLC = Subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Significance was taken as *p* values of <0.05.

Table 2

Rate of Post-operative complications.

	SLC		Total No.	P value
	Yes	No		
Post operative complication	2	15	17	0.07
Infection	0	17	17	0.7
Hernia	1	16	17	0.2
Retained stones	1	0	–	0.02
Biliary leak	0	17	–	0.7
Hospital stay mean (Hours)	35.4	–	–	0.07

Table 3

Factors associated with Conversion.

Variables	Conversion		<i>p</i> value
	Yes	No	
Age mean (48 years)	12	0	0.01
Duration of symptoms (14.7months)			0.4
Wall thickness (4 mm)			0.07
Diabetes mellitus	1	17	0.99
Acute cholecystitis	1	28	0.35

Significance was taken as *p* values < 0.05.

dissection usually starts away from obscured Calot's anatomy. Hence this complication is rarely seen in SLC. Due to the high risk of

retained stones due to redundant stump of cystic duct left behind in difficult types of cases, including Merizi type of cholecystitis managed by SLC, ERCP must be used as complementary to surgery [20]. In this study the use of SLC as an alternative procedure can be justified: firstly conversion *per se* doesn't improve the quality of anatomy identification of Calot's structure and that the majority of converted cases end up with subtotal cholecystectomy. Secondly, the rate of conversion is similar in elective and urgent operations. Since only a small percentage of acute cholecystitis operated on, converted the majority of patients undergo SLC. Thirdly, the time of conversion in this study was less than 20 min from initiation of laparoscopic surgery, which clearly demonstrated that the intra-operative judgment can safely triage patients for SLC and conversion. The follow up period is short in this study, since there is no agreed follow up paradigm to assess the risks for retained stones and the criteria for re-operating on patients with post cholecystectomy syndrome caused by redundant stump. Another constraint of this study is the small number of cases. A larger number of patients is needed to adequately assess the safety of this procedure. It has to be clearly stated that sub-total laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not a substitute to conversion and in difficult conditions it is not a failure for the surgeon but a wisdom.

In conclusion sub-total laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SLC) is feasible, safe and can greatly influence the conversion rate in patients with difficult cholecystectomies in resource-meagre settings.

Ethical approval

The study proposal was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan.

Funding

The study received no funding from any organization or academic body.

Author contribution

KAE, WE, AAW, MS and HS have contributed the management of the patient. KAE, WE and EAGK have contributed to writing,

revising and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Guarantor

Dr Kamal elzaki Elsiddig, Associate Professor of Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan.

Consent

Written informed consent has been obtained from all participating individuals before enrolment.

Registration of research studies

UIN Walid1518 at www.ResearchRegistry.com.

References

- [1] S. Purkayastha, T.S. Tilney, P. Georgiou, T. Athanasiou, P.P. Tekkis, A.W. Darzi, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus mini-laparotomy cholecystectomy: a meta-analysis of randomised control trials, *Surg. Endosc.* 21 (2007) 1294–1300 (PubMed).
- [2] C. Halbert, S. Pagkratis, J.Z. Yang, Z. Meng, M.S. Altieri, P. Parikh, A. Pryor, M. Talamini, D.A. Telem, Beyond the learning curve: incidence of bile duct injuries following laparoscopic cholecystectomy normalize to open in the modern era, *Surg. Endosc.* 30 (2016) 2239–2243 (PubMed).
- [3] T. Iiviluoto, J. Sirén, P. Luukkonen, E. Kivilaakso, Randomised trial of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy for acute and gangrenous cholecystitis, *Lancet* 351 (1998) 321–325 (PubMed).
- [4] Y.J. Kwon, B.K. Ahn, H.K. Park, K.S. Lee, K.G. Lee, What is the optimal time for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in gallbladder empyema? *Surg. Endosc.* 27 (2013) 3776–3780 (PubMed).
- [5] J.W. McGillicuddy, J.J. Villar, V.S. Rohan, S. Bazaz, D.J. Taber, N.A. Pilch, P.K. Baliga, K.D. Chavin, Is cirrhosis a contraindication to laparoscopic cholecystectomy? *Am. J. Surg.* 81 (2015) 52–55 (PubMed).
- [6] M. Rosen, F. Brody, J. Ponsky, Predictive factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, *Am. J. Surg.* 184 (2002) 254–257 (PubMed).
- [7] C.F. Sharp, Z.R. Garza, A.J. Mangram, E.L. Dunn, Partial cholecystectomy in the setting of severe inflammation is an acceptable consideration with few long-term sequelae, *Am. J. Surg.* 75 (2009) 249–252 (PubMed).
- [8] C. Hubert, L. Annet, B.E. van Beers, J.F. Gigot, The “inside approach of the gallbladder” is an alternative to the classic Calot’s triangle dissection for a safe operation in severe cholecystitis, *Surg. Endosc.* 24 (2010) 2626–2632 (PubMed).
- [9] Y. Tian, S.D. Wu, Y. Su, J. Kong, H. Yu, Y. Fan, Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy as an alternative procedure designed to prevent bile duct injury: experience of a hospital in northern China, *Surg. Today* 39 (2009) 510–515 (PubMed).
- [10] A. Bickel, B. Shtamler, Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy, *J. Laparoendosc. Surg.* 3 (1993) 365–367 (PubMed).
- [11] I. Sinha, M. Lawson Smith, P. Safraneck, T. Dehn, T.M. Booth, Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy without cystic duct ligation, *Br. J. Surg.* 94 (2007), 1527–1532. (PubMed).
- [12] D. Henneman, W. da Costa, B.C. Vrouenraets, B.A. van Wagenveld, S.M. Lagarde, Laparoscopic partial cholecystectomy for the difficult gallbladder: a systematic review, *Surg. Endosc.* 27 (2013) 351–358, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2458-2>. Epub 2012 Jul 18. [PubMed].
- [13] J.S. Knight, S.J. Mercer, S.S. Somers, A.M. Walters, S.A. Sadek, S.K.C. Toh, Timing of urgent laparoscopic cholecystectomy does not influence conversion rate, *Br. J. Surg.* 91 (2004) 601–604 (PubMed).
- [14] R.A. Agha, A.J. Fowler, S. Rammohan, I. Barai, Orgill DP and the PROCESS group. The PROCESS statement: preferred reporting of case series in surgery, *Int. J. Surg.* 36 (Pt A) (2016) 319–323 (PubMed).
- [15] E.H. Livingston, R.V. Rege, A nationwide study of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy, *Am. J. Surg.* 188 (2004) 205–211 (PubMed).
- [16] E. Nilsson, C.M. Fored, F. Granath, P. Blomqvist, Cholecystectomy in Sweden 1987–99: nationwide study of mortality and preoperative admissions, *Scan J. Gastroenterol.* 40 (2005) 1478–1485 (PubMed).
- [17] J. Ayerdi, J. Wiseman, K. Gupta, S.C. Simon, Training background as a factor in the conversion rate of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, *Am. J. Surg.* 67 (2001) 780–785 (PubMed).
- [18] C.N. Yeh, M.F. Chen, Y.Y. Jan, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 226 cirrhotic patients, *Surg. Endosc.* 16 (2002) 1583–1587 (PubMed).
- [19] J.P. Dolan, B.S. Diggs, C. Sheppard, J.G. Hunter, Ten-year trend in the national volume of bile duct injuries requiring operative repair, *Surg. Endosc.* 19 (2005) 967–973 (PubMed).
- [20] G. Beldi, A. Glättli, Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy for severe cholecystitis, *Surg. Endosc.* 17 (2003) 1437–1439 (PubMed).