RADIATION ONCOLOGY—CASE OF THE MONTH

First clinical implementation of audiovisual biofeedback in liver cancer stereotactic body radiation therapy

Sean Pollock,¹ Regina Tse,² Darren Martin,² Lisa McLean,² Gwi Cho,² Robin Hill,² Sheila Pickard,² Paul Aston,² Chen-Yu Huang,¹ Kuldeep Makhija,¹ Ricky O'Brien¹ and Paul Keall¹

Radiation Physics Laboratory, Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
Department of Radiation Oncology, Chris O'Brien Lifehouse, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

S Pollock MSc; R Tse MBBS, MMed; D Martin BAppSc (MRS); L McLean MMRS; G Cho MSc; R Hill PhD; S Pickard PgDip Radiotherapy Studies; P Aston BAppSc (MRS); C-Y Huang PhD; K Makhija PGDCA; R O'Brien PhD; P Keall PhD.

Correspondence

Mr Sean Pollock, Room 495, Blackburn Building, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2050, Australia. Email: sean.pollock@sydney.edu.au

Conflict of interest: Paul Keall is one of the inventors of US patent # 7955270 and Paul Keall, Sean Pollock, Ricky O'Brien and Kuldeep Makhija are shareholders of Respiratory Innovations, an Australian company that is developing a device to improve breathing stability. No funding or support was provided by Respiratory Innovations.

Submitted 19 March 2015; accepted 21 June 2015.

doi:10.1111/1754-9485.12343

Introduction

Liver tumours are highly mobile due to their proximity to the thoracic diaphragm. When a patient's breathing motion is irregular, it exacerbates both systematic and random errors which compromise the accuracy of radiation therapy.^{1,2} To reduce these errors, breathing guidance strategies have been investigated to facilitate stable and regular breathing.^{3,4} This study represents a milestone in breathing guidance investigations as it addresses a gap in the literature by assessing the impact of the breathing guidance system, audiovisual biofeedback (AVB), on intra- and inter-fraction liver tumour motion, via fiducial marker surrogacy, in liver cancer patients undergoing stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). The AVB system, shown in Figure 1, utilises audio and visual prompts to guide the patient to breathe regularly. External breathing motion from

Summary

This case report details a clinical trial's first recruited liver cancer patient who underwent a course of stereotactic body radiation therapy treatment utilising audiovisual biofeedback breathing guidance. Breathing motion results for both abdominal wall motion and tumour motion are included. Patient 1 demonstrated improved breathing motion regularity with audiovisual biofeedback. A training effect was also observed.

Key words: abdomen; intervention; physics; radiation oncology imaging; radiation oncology; respiratory.

Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology

the Real-time Position Management (RPM) system (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) of the patient's abdominal wall is shown on the patient display. The marker block moves up as they inhale and down as they exhale. The patient adjusts their breathing such that the marker block stays within the blue region and traces the motion of the waveguide (white wave in Fig. 1).

Case report

Patient 1 was a 65-year-old male with metastatic (recurrent) cholangiocarcinoma and received 36 Gy across 6 fractions using volumetric-modulated arc therapy-based SBRT to a 30 mm solitary lesion in segment 8 of the liver. Due to previous liver resection, this patient had preexisting surgical clips implanted into his liver, which were utilised for image guidance. He had a number of other

654

© 2015 The Authors. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology published by Wiley Publishing Asia Pty Ltd on behalf of The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1. Study setup in the linac bunker with the Real-time Position Management (RPM) marker block and patient display (left). AVB (audiovisual biofeedback) interface (right).

comorbidities including bronchiectasis with impaired pulmonary function and was of Karnofsky performance status 1. Prior to treatment planning, a screening procedure was performed to ensure that the most regular breathing condition (free breathing (FB) or AVB) was utilised throughout the patient's subsequent course of SBRT. Breathing motion was monitored for 4 minutes for each of the breathing conditions FB and AVB; at the 2-minute mark, cone beam CT (CBCT) images were acquired. Determining which breathing condition would be selected was based on the regularity of the 4 minutes of external breathing motion (quantified by the root mean square error (RMSE) in displacement and period); the lower the RMSE, the more regular the breathing motion. Decisions were made in situ using a function within the AVB software. Patient 1's screening procedure yielded the decision to utilise AVB for the remainder of their course of SBRT.

Patient 1's treatment planning and treatment delivery proceeded as per the currently implemented clinical liver SBRT protocol with the addition of the AVB setup (see Fig. 1). CBCT images were acquired prior to treatment delivery on each day of treatment, motion of the surgical clips was extracted from the CBCT projection images utilising a method developed by Fledelius *et al.*,⁵ as a surrogate for tumour motion. Figure 2 and Figure 3 demonstrate the breathing motion results across patient 1's course of radiotherapy. It was also observed that AVB increased the average range of tumour motion from 1.5 cm for FB, to 1.8 cm for AVB.

Discussion

This study reported on the first patient recruited into a clinical trial investigating the use of breathing guidance during a course of liver SBRT planning and treatment





655



Fig. 3. The external motion (top) and tumour (bottom) individual breathing cycles for FB and AVB Decision Sessions (left) and Fraction 6 (right). Unbroken blue lines represent each individual breathing cycle, and the dotted red line is the average cycle.

utilising an initial screening procedure. A training effect was observed, with the patient's breathing motion becoming more regular inter-fractionally, plateauing at peak regularity around Fraction 3. It was also observed that AVB increased breathing amplitude compared with FB. Given that the AVB waveguide peak-to-peak amplitude was set at 1.5 cm and the observed external peakto-peak amplitude was 1.7 cm indicates that Patient 1 'over-shot' the AVB breathing limits. For future patients in this study further attention will be given to managing breathing motion amplitude and patient training.

In conclusion, the first patient recruited into this study yielded the decision to utilise AVB through their course of SBRT. Patient 1 demonstrated good acceptance of the breathing guide in addition to increasingly regular breathing throughout their course of SBRT.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by an NHMRC Australia Fellowship and the Bob and Nancy Edwards Scholarship. The authors thank Julie Baz for reviewing this paper for clarity.

References

- Atkins K, Varchani A, Nam TL, Fuss M, Tanyi JA. Interfraction regional variation of tumor breathing motion in lung stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013; 87: S68–9.
- Persson GF, Nygaard DE, Brink C, Jahn JW, Munck af Rosenschöld P, Specht L *et al*. Deviations in delineated GTV caused by artefacts in 4DCT. *Radiother Oncol* 2010; **96**: 61–6.
- Kim T, Pollock S, Lee D, O'Brien R, Keall P. Audiovisual biofeedback improves diaphragm motion reproducibility in MRI. *Med Phys* 2012; **39**: 6921.
- George R, Chung TD, Vedam SS, Ramakrishnan V, Mohan R, Weiss E *et al*. Audio-visual biofeedback for respiratory-gated radiotherapy: impact of audio instruction and audio-visual biofeedback on respiratory-gated radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006; **65**: 924–33.
- Fledelius W, Worm E, Elstrøm UV, Petersen JB, Grau C, Høyer M *et al*. Robust automatic segmentation of multiple implanted cylindrical gold fiducial markers in cone-beam CT projections. *Med Phys* 2011; **38**: 6351–61.