A literature review of quality, costs, process-associated with digital pathology

Yoo Jung Kim¹, Eul Hee Roh², Sangchan Park^{2,*}

¹Department of Health Services Management, Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea ²Department of Business Administration, College of Management, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

Digital pathology incorporates the acquisition, management, sharing, and interpretation of pathological information, including slides and data, in a digital environment. Digital slides are created using a scanning device to capture a high-resolution image on glass slides for analysis on a computer or a mobile device. Though digital pathology has drastically grown over the last 10 years and has created opportunities to support specialists, few have attempted to address its full-scale implementation in routine clinical practice. To incorporate new technologies in diagnostic processes, it is necessary to study their application, the value they provide to specialists, and their effects on improvements across the entire workflow, rather than studying a particular element. In this study, we aimed to identify what have the current digital pathology systems

INTRODUCTION

The need for efficient resource distribution and innovative technology to provide quality care is emerging, with an aging population and an increase in chronic diseases (Kairy et al., 2009). It is clear that the adoption of new technology is a major driver of health care quality innovation, but policymakers must reconcile the adaptation to innovative treatments and their affordability, while providing incentives for innovation (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2017). Applying new technologies to the job is a burden not only on the institutions' decision-makers, but also on employees. Decision-makers want to reduce the burden economically while maintaining the benefits of innovation, and employees expect new technologies to help them without disrupting their existing workflow (Davenport and Kalakota, 2019).

Received: December 2, 2020 / Accepted: January 8, 2021

contributed to the pathological and diagnostic process. We retrieved articles published between 2010 and 2020 from the databases PubMed and Google Scholar. We explored how digital pathology systems can better utilize existing medical data and new technologies within the current diagnostic workflow. While the evidence concerning the efficacy and effectiveness of digital pathology is mounting, high-quality evidence regarding its impact on resource allocation and value for diagnosis is still needed to support clinical diagnosis and policy decision-making.

Keywords: Digital pathology, Workflow, Quality, Artificial intelligence, Computational pathology

Digital pathology incorporates the acquisition, management, sharing, and interpretation of pathological information, including slides and data, in a digital environment. Digital pathology refers to converting and storing a pathology slides into the digital images using a digital scanner, and performing a pathological diagnosis using these digital images. Digital slides are created using a scanning device that digitally captures a high-resolution image of the contents on glass slides for analysis on a computer or mobile device (Digital Pathology Association, 2020).

Though digital pathology has drastically grown over the last 10 years and has created opportunities to support specialists, few have attempted to address its full-scale implementation in routine clinical practice (Ho et al., 2014). In the 1990s, the commercialization of scanner equipment capable of digitizing pathological images improved research across the field of digital pathology. The ability to process, analyze, and store large amounts of data

^{*}Corresponding author: Sangchan Park i https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4488-3258 Department of Business Administration, College of Management, Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyungheedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Korea Email: sangchan@khu.ac.kr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

through scanners allows for creating digitized pathological images as whole-slide images (WSIs) (Hartman et al., 2017).

For using new technologies to be used in diagnosis, it is necessary to study their application, value to specialists, and effects of improvement across the entire workflow rather than simply studying a single element. The purpose of this study was to outline and elaborate the proposed values of implementing the digital pathology system by exploring in the latest research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We reviewed literature on this topic published between 2010 and 2020 from the public databases PubMed and Google Scholar (Table 1). For the present study, we defined digital pathology as using WSI for remote consulting, diagnosis, teaching, and image analysis. We searched articles published in English on PubMed. The keywords used included digital pathology and workflow.

The selection criteria were as follows: (a) We included only case studies that included implementing digital pathology compo-

Study	Study population, study design	Description of program technology used	Outcomes and effectiveness of methodology	Category		
				Quality	Cost	Delivery (process)
Hanna et al. (2020)	108 Cases, comprised of 254 individual parts and 1,196 slides	Telepathology (remote sign out)	Operational feasibility supporting remote review and reporting of pathology specimens, and evaluation of remote access performance and usability for remote sign out.	\checkmark		\checkmark
L'Imperio et al. (2020)	826 Cases (research), the routine renal biopsies collected from 14 different Italian nephrology centers	Digital microscopy	A standard model improved the diagnostic performance and reduced the turnaround-time.	\checkmark		\checkmark
Steiner et al. (2020)	A total of 20 general pathologists reviewed 240 prostate core needle biopsies	A recently developed deep learning model for prostate biopsy grading	Decreases in the mean review time per case, decreases in interobserver variability for Gleason pattern quantitation.	\checkmark		\checkmark
Torres et al. (2020)	Forty patients' core biopsies with a high likelihood of prostate cancer based on magnetic resonance imaging	Direct multiphoton imaging yielded diagnoses	Reduced processing time and reduced processing complexity	\checkmark		\checkmark
Achi et al. (2019)	Hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides of 128 cases including 32 cases for each diagnostic category	Automated lymphoma diagnostic screen	Diagnostic accuracy at 95%.	\checkmark		
Randell et al. (2015)	Nine pathologists participated in a counterbalanced crossover study, viewing axillary lymph node slides	A microscope, a 23-inch 2.3-megapixel single-screen display and a three-screen 11-megapixel display consisting of three 27-in displays	Easier to identify where cancer is located in the initial slide overview, enabling quick location of diagnostically relevant regions of interest.	\checkmark		
Wilbur et al. (2015)	3 Pathologists interpreted and digital slides in sequence or in random order with a minimum of 7 days as a washout period.	Philips digital pathology system	Safety and effectiveness	\checkmark		
Romero Lauro et al. (2013)	A large complex medical organization consisting of 20 hospitals with more than 100 diagnostic anatomical pathologists	The web-based solution which enables telepathology and image viewing	Easy gateway to real-time telepathology consulting and simplified the mechanism to obtain second opinions	\checkmark		
Krupinski et al. (2012)	A set of 250 breast biopsy virtual slide regions of interest (half malignant, half benign) were shown to six pathologists.	A calibration, characterization, and profiling protocol for color-critical medical imaging applications	There was no significant impact on diagnostic accuracy with the color-managed/calibrated display, however, observe a significant impact on interpretation speed.	\checkmark		
Zembowicz et al. (2011)	1,229 Dermatopathology consultations cases	A web-based second opinion consultation software	Web-based communication facilitates rapid turn-around time and reduces costs and barriers to second opinion consultation.	\checkmark	\checkmark	

Table 1. Effects of the application of digital pathology workflow

nents. We selected and analyzed only case studies that involved applying new technology to the pathologic diagnosis workflow. Technical studies of digital pathology systems were excluded from this research. For example, we included studies using artificial intelligence for diagnosis but excluded studies reporting the development of artificial intelligence models from this research. (b) The technologies implementing for diagnostic workflow were included. Case studies not directly related to diagnosis were excluded. For example, laboratory automation and dyeing technology research were excluded.

RESULTS

Digital pathology was used only for educational or consulting purposes until their regulatory approval for clinical employment in routine pathological practice (L'Imperio et al., 2020). In studies in the United States and Europe, the regulatory approval of digital pathology research results has been reported, and the similarity of WSI diagnosis to the pathological diagnosis (using a conventional microscope) has been confirmed (L'Imperio et al., 2020).

The latest papers that discuss the effectiveness of digital pathology applied to diagnosis emphasized the content for workflow implementation and discussed quality and delivery, excluding cost, among the operational performance with respect to quality, cost, delivery. Hospitals that implemented digital pathology earlier have built up second-generation digital pathology and currently integrate artificial intelligence and image analysis (Hanna et al., 2020; Stathonikos et al., 2020).

Pathology departments follow diagnostic procedures that result in a diagnostic report. The report is the results of the final pathology examination, and the quality of the pathological diagnosis is determined by the accuracy, timely delivery, and completeness of the report (Nakhleh, 2006). Moreover, pathological work process involves long laboratory processing times owing to several standardized manual procedures. During the long work process, subsequent processes cannot be conducted until previous ones are complete. In this sense, it is necessary to identify the values provided by implementing a fully digital pathology workflow. (Griffin and Treanor, 2017; Serrano et al., 2010)

DISCUSSION

In this study, we attempted to identify what value has the current digital pathology systems contributed to the pathological and diagnostic processes in the last 10 years. As information technology is a strategic asset in companies (Müller et al., 2012), digital pathology can be deployed as an enabler of process innovation in healthcare. Digital pathology digitizes the existing pathology workflow, and artificial intelligence and algorithms can improve the accuracy and efficiency of pathological diagnosis.

From a process management perspective, it is necessary to establish a structured framework to improve its processes, based on the data, and measure the process performance (Pyon et al., 2009). To successfully implement algorithms into digital pathology not only highly accurate algorithms are required but also their organic integration with existing pathological workflows, user-centered interface design, and interoperability with existing laboratory information and electronic health record systems are required (Guo et al., 2016; Steiner et al., 2020).

Therefore, standardizing procedures and establishing performance measurements are necessary. Quality, cost, and delivery analysis will support process assessment with strategic organizational and operational improvements.

We hope that high-quality evidence regarding the impact on resource allocation and value for diagnosis is discussed to support clinical diagnosis and policy decision-making.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors received no financial support for this article.

REFERENCES

- Achi HE, Belousova T, Chen L, Wahed A, Wang I, Hu Z, Kanaan Z, Rios A, Nguyen AND. Automated diagnosis of lymphoma with digital pathology images using deep learning. Ann Clin Lab Sci 2019;49:153-160.
- Davenport T, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthc J 2019;6:94-98.
- Digital Pathology Association [Internet]. Carmel (IN): Digital Pathology Association; [cited 2020 Dec 12]. Available from: https://digitalpathologyassociation.org/about-digital-pathology.
- Griffin J, Treanor D. Digital pathology in clinical use: where are we now and what is holding us back? Histopathology 2017;70:134-145.
- Guo H, Birsa J, Farahani N, Hartman DJ, Piccoli A, O'Leary M, McHugh J, Nyman M, Stratman C, Kvarnstrom V, Yousem S, Pantanowitz L. Dig-

ital pathology and anatomic pathology laboratory information system integration to support digital pathology sign-out. J Pathol Infor 2016; 7:23.

- Hanna MG, Reuter VE, Ardon O, Kim D, Sirintrapun SJ, Schüffler PJ, Busam KJ, Sauter JL, Brogi E, Tan LK, Xu B, Bale T, Agaram NP, Tang LH, Ellenson LH, Philip J, Corsale L, Stamelos E, Friedlander MA, Ntiamoah P, Labasin M, England C, Klimstra DS, Hameed M. Validation of a digital pathology system including remote review during the COVID-19 pandemic. Mod Pathol 2020;33:2115-2127.
- Hartman DJ, Pantanowitz L, McHugh JS, Piccoli AL, OLeary MJ, Lauro GR. Enterprise implementation of digital pathology: feasibility, challenges, and opportunities. J Digit Imaging 2017;30:555-560.
- Ho J, Ahlers SM, Stratman C, Aridor O, Pantanowitz L, Fine JL, Kuzmishin JA, Montalto MC, Parwani AV. Can digital pathology result in cost savings? A financial projection for digital pathology implementation at a large integrated health care organization. J Pathol Inform 2014;5:33.
- Kairy D, Lehoux P, Vincent C, Visintin M. A systematic review of clinical outcomes, clinical process, healthcare utilization and costs associated with telerehabilitation. Disabil Rehabil 2009;31:427-447.
- Krupinski EA, Silverstein LD, Hashmi SF, Graham AR, Weinstein RS, Roehrig H. Observer performance using virtual pathology slides: impact of LCD color reproduction accuracy. J Digit Imaging 2012;25:738-743.
- L'Imperio V, Brambilla V, Cazzaniga G, Ferrario F, Nebuloni M, Pagni F. Digital pathology for the routine diagnosis of renal diseases: a standard model. J Nephrol 2020 Jul 18 [Epub]. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40620-020-00805-1.
- Müller S, Møller E, Nygaard T. IT-enabled process innovation: a literature review. In: AMCIS 2012 Proceedings; 2012 Aug 9-12; Seattle, Washington. Americas Conference on Information Systems 2012;4:2716-2733.
- Nakhleh RE. What is quality in surgical pathology? J Clin Pathol 2006;59: 669-672.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). New health technologies: managing access, value and sustainability [Internet]. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2017 [2020 Dec 12]. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1787/9789264266438-en.

- Pyon C U, Lee MJ, Park SC. Decision support system for service quality management using customer knowledge in public service organization. Expert Syst Appl 2009;36:8227-8238.
- Randell R, Ambepitiya T, Mello-Thoms C, Ruddle RA, Brettle D, Thomas RG, Treanor D. Effect of display resolution on time to diagnosis with virtual pathology slides in a systematic search task. J Digit Imaging 2015;28:68-76.
- Romero Lauro G, Cable W, Lesniak A, Tseytlin E, McHugh J, Parwani A, Pantanowitz L. Digital pathology consultations-a new era in digital imaging, challenges and practical applications. J Digit Imaging 2013; 26:668-677.
- Serrano L, Hegge P, Sato B, Richmond B, Stahnke L. Using LEAN principles to improve quality, patient safety, and workflow in histology and anatomic pathology. Adv Anat Pathol 2010;17:215-221.
- Stathonikos N, Nguyen TQ, van Diest PJ. Rocky road to digital diagnostics: implementation issues and exhilarating experiences. J Clin Pathol 2020 Sep 28; jclinpath-2020-206715 [Epub]. https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2020-206715.
- Steiner DF, Nagpal K, Sayres R, Foote DJ, Wedin BD, Pearce A, Cai CJ, Winter SR, Symonds M, Yatziv L, Kapishnikov A, Brown T, Flament-Auvigne I, Tan F, Stumpe MC, Jiang PP, Liu Y, Chen PC, Corrado GS, Terry M, Mermel CH. Evaluation of the use of combined artificial intelligence and pathologist assessment to review and grade prostate biopsies. JAMA Network Open 2020;3:e2023267.
- Torres R, Olson E, Homer R, Martin DT, Levene MJ, Perincheri S, Sprenkle PC, Humphrey PA. Initial evaluation of rapid, direct-to-digital prostate biopsy pathology. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2020 Sep 29 [Epub]. https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2020-0037-OA.
- Wilbur DC, Brachtel EF, Gilbertson JR, Jones NC, Vallone JG, Krishnamurthy S. Whole slide imaging for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 immunohistochemistry interpretation: accuracy, precision, and reproducibility studies for digital manual and paired glass slide manual interpretation. J Pathol Inform 2015;6:22.
- Zembowicz A, Ahmad A, Lyle SR. A comprehensive analysis of a webbased dermatopathology second opinion consultation practice. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2011;135:379-383.