# Concordance of Alzheimer's Disease-Related Biomarkers Between Intraventricular and Lumbar Cerebrospinal Fluid in Idiopathic Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus

Heikki Lukkarinen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Aleksi Vanninen<sup>a</sup>, Ina Tesseur<sup>b,c,1</sup>, Darrel Pemberton<sup>c</sup>, Peter Van Der Ark<sup>c</sup>, Tarja Kokkola<sup>d</sup>, Sanna-Kaisa Herukka<sup>d</sup>, Tuomas Rauramaa<sup>e</sup>, Mikko Hiltunen<sup>f</sup>, Kaj Blennow<sup>g,h</sup>, Henrik Zetterberg<sup>g,h,i,j,k</sup> and Ville Leinonen<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Institute of Clinical Medicine – Neurosurgery, University of Eastern Finland and Department of Neurosurgery, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland <sup>b</sup>UCB Biopharma SRL, Braine-l'Alleud, Belgium <sup>c</sup> Janssen Research & Development, A division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium <sup>d</sup>Department of Neurology, Kuopio University Hospital and University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland <sup>e</sup>Department of Pathology, Kuopio University Hospital and University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland <sup>f</sup>Institute of Biomedicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland <sup>g</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden <sup>h</sup>Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden <sup>i</sup>Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK <sup>j</sup>UK Dementia Research Institute, UCL, London, UK <sup>k</sup>Hong Kong Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hong Kong, China

Accepted 19 October 2022 Pre-press 15 November 2022

# Abstract.

**Background:** Alzheimer's disease cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers amyloid- $\beta$  1–42 (A $\beta$ <sub>42</sub>), total tau (T-tau), and phosphorylated tau 181 (P-tau<sub>181</sub>) are widely used. However, concentration gradient of these biomarkers between intraventricular (V-CSF) and lumbar CSF (L-CSF) has been demonstrated in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus (iNPH), potentially affecting clinical utility.

Objective: Here we aim to provide conversion factors for clinical and research use between V-CSF and L-CSF.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Heikki Lukkarinen, Department of Neurosurgery, Kuopio University Hospital, P.O. Box 100, FI-70029 KYS, Kuopio, Finland. Tel.: +358 45 895 4260; E-mail: heiluk@uef.fi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Current affiliation<sup>(b)</sup>

**Methods:** Altogether 138 iNPH patients participated. L-CSF samples were obtained prior to shunt surgery. Intraoperative V-CSF samples were obtained from 97 patients. Post-operative follow-up L- and V-CSF (shunt reservoir) samples of 41 patients were obtained 1–73 months after surgery and then after 3, 6, and 18 months. CSF concentrations of  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> were analyzed using commercial ELISA assays.

**Results:** Preoperative L-CSF  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> correlated to intraoperative V-CSF ( $\rho = 0.34-0.55$ , p < 0.001). Strong correlations were seen between postoperative L- and V-CSF for all biomarkers in every follow-up sampling point ( $\rho$ s  $A\beta_{42}$ : 0.77–0.88, T-tau: 0.91–0.94, P-tau\_{181}: 0.94–0.96, p < 0.0001). Regression equations were determined for intraoperative V- and preoperative L-CSF ( $A\beta_{42}$ : V-CSF=185+0.34\*L-CSF, T-tau: Ln(V-CSF)=3.11+0.49\*Ln(L-CSF), P-tau\_{181}: V-CSF=8.2+0.51\*L-CSF), and for postoperative V- and L-CSF ( $A\beta_{42}$ : V-CSF=86.7+0.75\*L-CSF, T-tau: V-CSF=86.9+0.62\*L-CSF, P-tau\_{181}: V-CSF=2.6+0.74\*L-CSF).

**Conclusion:**  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> correlate linearly in-between V- and L-CSF, even stronger after CSF shunt surgery. Equations presented here, provide a novel tool to use V-CSF for diagnostic and prognostic entities relying on the L-CSF concentrations and can be applicable to clinical use when L-CSF samples are not available or less invasively obtained shunt reservoir samples should be interpreted.

Keywords: AB42, biomarkers, idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus, P-tau, T-tau

# INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus (iNPH) is characterized by a triad of gait disturbance, urinary incontinence, and progressive dementia, together with communicating hydrocephalus [1, 2]. It is observed in geriatric patients with a prevalence of 5.9–8.9% in those aged 80 years and older [3, 4]. The natural course of iNPH includes progressive worsening of the symptoms and delay in treatment leads to meager outcome after cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunt surgery [5, 6]. A positive clinical outcome by modified Rankin scale and by iNPH scale is achieved in 69% and 84% cases following surgery [7]. The concomitant neurodegenerative diseases are commonly comorbid to iNPH with the highest prevalence of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [8].

The CSF based amyloid- $\beta$  1-42 (A $\beta_{42}$ ), total tau (T-tau), and phosphorylated tau at threonine 181 (Ptau<sub>181</sub>) have found their standardized role in AD diagnostics. They illustrate the brain parenchyma neurodegenerative processes of amyloid accumulation to extracellular aggregates and intracellular neurofibrillary tangle formation caused by hyperphosphorylated tau. Within iNPH patients, the disease specific pattern of these biomarkers include lower A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentration of CSF in comparison to healthy individuals of similar age [9-12]. Moreover, low T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> can discriminate iNPH from AD [12, 13]. Furthermore, the increased lumbar CSF (L-CSF) T-tau and P-tau181 are suggested for predictors of shunt-non-responsive iNPH [14, 15].

Despite keen research of CSF biomarkers, the composition of CSF throughout the circulating pathways

of brain ventricles, spinal cord, and cortical subarachnoid space, as well as the effect of shunt surgery, is mostly unknown. CSF is not circulating like blood and the composition of CSF proteins is considered to depend on the surrounding tissue [16]. Furthermore, varying biomarker concentrations in CSF of iNPH patients has been reported based on both the timing and location of harvesting of the sample [17]. In addition, the presence of comorbid AD has tendency to alter the composition of CSF biomarkers [17]. The amyloid precursor protein derived proteins A $\beta_{38}$ , A $\beta_{40}$ , A $\beta_{42}$ , and soluble A $\beta$ PP $\alpha$  has been reported to be lower in ventricular CSF (V-CSF) compared to preoperative L-CSF [9, 18-20]. In contrary, the T-tau and P-tau measured higher in intraoperative V-CSF than preoperative L-CSF [9, 18-20]. With trigeminal neuralgia and tension type headache patients the similar trend for T-tau was seen; higher concentration in cisternal CSF [21]. However, the A $\beta_{42}$  did not differ significantly rostro-caudally [21]. When concentrations of A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> were compared in post-traumatic hydrocephalus group, no significant rostro-caudal gradient were found [20]. The knowledge regarding post-shunt surgery rostro-caudal gradient with simultaneous samples of L- and V-CSF is sparse, but alterations in biomarker levels have been seen in longitudinal studies [9, 17, 18]. These together challenged the clinical use of intraventricular and postoperative CSF.

# Objective

Here we aim to enhance the knowledge for rostrocaudal gradient of CSF AD core markers and provide



Fig. 1. Formation of the study cohorts. Flowchart is presenting the formation of the cohorts and cerebrospinal fluid sampling. \* Kuopio iNPH protocol of diagnosis is published previously [22]. iNPH, idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus; CSF cerebrospinal fluid.

a novel tool for interpretation of intraventricular CSF biomarker results within iNPH patients.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study population

In all, 138 patients from Kuopio University Hospital (KUH) region, Kuopio, Finland were diagnosed with probable iNPH by the Relkin criteria and using the KUH iNPH protocol [1, 22]. Ventriculoperitoneal CSF shunt system (Ps Medical Strata II or Miethke ProGAV) was received by all participants. The shunt surgeries were performed from 2009 until 2015 for the 41-patient cohort and from 2018 until 2021 for the 97-patient cohort (Fig. 1). The participants were evaluated at baseline and 3 months postoperatively by iNPH grading scale (Kubo scale, 0–12 points) [23]. The positive outcome was determined with 1-point or more decrease and unimproved less than 1point decrease in the total iNPH grading scale points postoperatively. Furthermore, the 41-patient cohort was assessed repeatedly by iNPH grading scale as presented previously [17]. Prior to the CSF shunt implantation, a brain biopsy was obtained using a previously described protocol [17] and analyzed for A $\beta$ - and tau pathology by neuropathologist.

# CSF sampling and analysis

Lumbar CSF was obtained during the diagnostic tap test (30–40 ml drained) on average 2.7 months

prior to shunt surgery for all participants. Furthermore, intraventricular CSF (10 ml) was collected from 97 patients intraoperatively by draining of the CSF catheter immediately after insertion (Fig. 1). Follow-up CSF collection was performed for the cohort of 41 patients with sampling and analysis protocols described previously [17]. Briefly, parallel L- and V-CSF samples (10 ml) were collected 1-73 months post-surgery and thereafter 3, 6, and 18 months later. All lumbar CSF was collected by the L3/L4 or L4/L5 interspace lumbar puncture using 22gauge needle. Follow-up samples of ventricular CSF were collected by puncturing the CSF shunt reservoir. The samples were retained in 10 ml polypropylene tubes and centrifuged, aliquoted, and frozen in -80°C freezer. Blood contaminated CSF samples were omitted from further analyzing.

The 97-cohort pre- and intraoperative CSF samples were analyzed at the University of Eastern Finland Alzheimer's disease biomarker laboratory, Kuopio, Finland, using standardized protocols of the laboratory. The CSF concentrations of  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> were measured by fully automated Elecsys immunoassays (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocols [24, 25]. The same batch of reagents was used in all samples. The  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> levels from the CSF samples of 41-cohort obtained preand postoperatively, were analyzed at the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory at Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden, using commercial ELISA assays (Innotest) presented previously [17].

All laboratory technicians were board-certified and blinded to the clinical data. Conversion factors established in-house following the methods presented by Willemse et al. [26], were used for A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> values measured by Innotest assays to enable comparison with Elecsys assay results.

# Cerebrospinal fluid shunt in vitro experiment protocol

An *in vitro* experiment was carried to evaluate the effect of CSF shunt system to the CSF  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations. The detailed protocols are presented in the Supplementary section 1. Briefly, the CSF used in this experiment, was obtained by preoperative lumbar punctures. The samples were mixed to establish three mixtures with different base-line concentrations in both protocols implemented. The preservation and overall sampling of the CSF followed similar protocol as described above.

## Protocol 1

All experiments presented here were executed in all three mixtures. At the beginning, two baseline samples were obtained from CSF mixture. In the second phase, CSF mixture was aspirated through the proximal (intracranial) part of the silicon CSF catheter by micropipette (1 ml) and pipetted to the 13 ml polypropene tube (Sarstedt). In the third phase, the CSF shunt (PS Medical Strata II) inflow catheter and valve was filled with CSF mixture and samples (5 ml) were obtained by puncturing the shunt reservoir and aspirating the CSF into the syringe [20 ml, BD Discardit II (Becton Dickinson S.A., Fraga, Spain)] through the 3-way stopcock with 10 cm tubing [Discofix C 10 cm (Braun Medical AG, Escholzmatt, Switzerland)]. Aspirate was then ejected to the 15 ml polypropene tube (Sarstedt). The fourth phase was like third, except the CSF was aspirated (2 ml) directly from the mixture without the CSF shunt in between. All collected samples were further pipetted to the 0.5 ml sampling tubes (Sarstedt).

#### Protocol 2

All experiments presented here were executed in all three mixtures. The protocol began with 0.5 ml baseline samples and ended to the 0.5 ml endpoint samples. Further, we obtained samples of 2, 5, 10, 15, and 20 ml of CSF by the combination of 3way stopcock with 10 cm tubing [Discofix C 10 cm (Braun Medical AG, Escholzmatt, Switzerland)] and syringe [20 ml, BD Discardit II (Becton Dickinson S.A., Fraga, Spain)] and ejected the samples to the 15 ml polypropene tubes (Fisherbrand). Further samples of 0.5 ml from all sample sizes were obtained by micropipette to the sampling tubes of 0.5 ml (Sarst-edt).

The samples collected were further analyzed for  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> by using the fully automated ELISA's at the University of Eastern Finland Alzheimer's disease biomarker laboratory, Kuopio, Finland as described above.

## Statistics

The comparison of biomarker concentrations between cohorts and V- and L-CSF were performed by standard *t*-tests or for the repeated measures by linear mixed effects models. For the comparison of the demographic features between the cohorts, either independent samples *t*-tests or chi-square tests were used. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were used in all correlation analyzes performed. The follow-up samples of 41 patients were pooled per person for correlation analyzes. For supplementary tests, mean concentrations and percentual changes from baseline were calculated.

Furthermore, linear regression model was used for the assessment of the linear dependency between preand intraoperative CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations. In addition, pre- and intraoperative CSF T-tau results were transferred to logarithmic scale (natural logarithm) due to the non-normally distributed results. Similar linear regression analyses were performed for logarithmic T-tau. To further analyze the linear dependency between postoperative L- and V-CSF samples of 41-patient cohort, linear mixed model was performed. In both models, univariate analyses for single biomarkers and multivariate analyses for single biomarkers together with age and sex were computed. In addition, distribution of biomarker values was examined by histograms, boxplots and calculating the kurtosis and skewness of parameters. Over 2.5 standard deviations (SD) data points apart from mean concentrations, were identified as potential outliers. There were two A $\beta_{42}$ , 2 T-tau, and 3 P-tau<sub>181</sub> values in the postoperative Land V-CSF results that diverged from the distribution and exceeded the 2.5 SD criterion and thus were excluded from linear mixed model analyses. Due to the dispersed distribution in the pre- and intraoperative L- and V-CSF results, outliers could not reliably be identified and thus were not excluded. Regression equations were yielded for L- and V-CSF AB42, T-tau,

Dationt characteristics		Cohort 07	Cohort 41		
Patient characteristics		n = 97	n=41	р	
Age (y); mean (SD)		74.7 (6.4)	76.4 (5.5)	0.16	
Male sex; $n(\%)$		55 (57)	25 (61)	0.71	
Amyloid pathology; n (%)		46 (47)	28 (68)	0.08	
Tau pathology; n (%)		15 (15)	6 (15)	0.91	
MMSE Baseline; mean (SD)		23.3 (3.9)	23.9 (3.2)	0.42	
Gait velocity Baseline (m/s); mean (SD)		0.8 (0.4)	0.6 (0.3)	< 0.01*	
APOE ε4; n (%)		29 (30)	13 (32)	0.81	
NPHGS Total baseline; mean (SD)		6.0 (2.8)	5.6 (2.5)	0.41	
Biomarkers	Location		Pooled follow-up**		
$\overline{A\beta_{42}}$ (ng/l); mean (SD)	V-CSF	498.2 (245.4)	720.3 (307.7)		
	L-CSF	914.7 (387.0)	824.6 (290.7)		
T-tau (ng/l); mean (SD)	V-CSF	325.9 (233.5)	423.7 (174.3)		
-	L-CSF	167.6 (63.9)	539.1 (274.6)		
P-tau181 (ng/l); mean (SD)	V-CSF	14.8 (7.4)	37.4 (14.3)		
	L-CSF	13.2 (5.9)	46.9 (19.3)		

 Table 1

 Patient's characteristics and biomarker concentrations presented for both cohorts studied

Mean and standard deviations or frequencies are presented for each variable. In the cohort 97, V-CSF refers for intraoperative ventricular CSF and L-CSF refers for preoperative lumbar CSF. In the cohort 41, the V-CSF is CSF collected by shunt reservoir puncture and L-CSF is collected by lumbar puncture during the postoperative follow-up. The *p*-values are calculated to compare main differences between demographic variables. (\*) indicating significant difference. (\*\*) Repeated V- and L-CSF samples of the follow-up were pooled per patient. Y, year; SD, standard deviation; n, number; m/s, meters per second; *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4, apolipoprotein epsilon 4 allele; NPHGS, NPH symptoms grading scale (Kubo scale); Aβ<sub>42</sub>, amyloid-β 1–42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-tau<sub>181</sub>, phosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid.

and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations based on these results. All tests were two-sided and *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. SPSS software 27.00 (IBM Corp., Amonk, NY, USA) for IOS was used for statistical analyses.

## Ethical statement

The study protocol of this study has received the authorization of the regional Ethics Committee of Northern Savo Hospital District, Kuopio, Finland, to proceed. All participants or their caregivers have provided a written informed consent prior to participation. The implementation and governance of this study were performed in accordance with the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# RESULTS

Patient characteristics and biomarker concentrations of both cohorts are presented in Table 1. Longitudinal changes in CSF biomarkers of the 41patient cohort have been reported previously [17]. Altogether, baseline NPH grading scale points were similar across the cohorts (Mean 6.0 for cohort 97 and 5.6 for cohort 41, p = 0.41). The only significant difference was seen in gait velocity as the cohort 97 had 0.2 m/s higher baseline gait velocity (p < 0.01). The male sex was more common in both cohorts (57% in cohort 97 and 61% in cohort 41) and the gender distribution was similar between the cohorts (p = 0.071). The preoperative baseline lumbar CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations were similar in cohorts of 41 and 97 patients (A $\beta_{42}$  p = 0.86, T-tau p = 0.64, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> p = 0.43) (data not shown).

The preoperative lumbar CSF AB42 concentrations were 84% higher than intraoperative ventricular CSF (p < 0.0001) and the median V/L-CSF ratios (VLR) were 0.54 (Q1-Q3:0.40-0.75) (Fig. 2, Table 1). On the contrary, T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations in preoperative lumbar CSF were 49% and 11% lower than seen in intraoperative ventricular CSF (T-tau p < 0.001, P-tau p = 0.027) and had median VLRs of 1.47 (Q1-Q3:1.14-2.68) and 1.01 (Q1-Q3:0.90-1.40) (Fig. 2, Table 1). Pooled postshunt-surgery sample V-CSF concentrations were 12.6% (p < 0.0001), 21.4% (p < 0.0001), and 20.3% (p < 0.0001) lower than in L-CSF for AB<sub>42</sub>, Ttau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> (Table 1). The median VLRs were 0.85 for AB42 (Q1-Q3:0.77-0.95), 0.79 for T-tau (Q1-Q3:0.72-0.92), and 0.77 for P-tau<sub>181</sub> (Q1-Q3:0.68-0.88) (Fig. 2).

Correlations between ventricular and lumbar CSF were examined by Spearman's  $\rho$  (Table 2). In the cohort of 97 patients, preoperative L-CSF A $\beta_{42}$  ( $\rho = 0.54$ ), T-tau ( $\rho = 0.34$ ), and P-tau\_{181} ( $\rho = 0.55$ )



Fig. 2A-C. (Continued)

Fig. 2A-C. Boxplots of ventricular-/lumbar CSF ratios. Box and whiskers plots are presenting ventricular-/lumbar CSF ratios of the biomarkers of  $A\beta_{42}$  (A), T-tau (B), and P-tau<sub>181</sub> (C). Light gray boxplots are illustrating the ratios of intraoperative V-CSF and preoperative L-CSF. White boxplots are presenting the ratios of postoperative V- and L-CSF. Repeated V- and L-CSF samples of the follow-up were pooled per patient before the calculation of the V-/L-CSF ratios.  $A\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1-42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-tau<sub>181</sub>, phosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid.

Table 2 Spearman's rhos (ρ) between intraventricular and lumbar CSF biomarkers

L- & V-CSF	$A\beta_{42}$	T-tau	P-tau181
Cohort 97	0.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.55 <sup>b</sup>
Cohort 41 <sup>a</sup>	0.87 <sup>c</sup>	0.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.91 <sup>c</sup>

Spearman's rank correlation coefficients between V- and L-CSF were calculated for each biomarker in both cohorts of 97 and 41 patients. The samples of the cohort 97 were collected preoperatively (L-CSF) and intraoperatively (V-CSF), and for the cohort 41, postoperatively (parallel V- and L-CSF samples). <sup>a</sup>Repeated V- and L-CSF samples of the follow-up were pooled per patient. <sup>b</sup>p < 0.001 for preoperative L-CSF and intraoperative V-CSF. <sup>c</sup>p < 0.001 for postoperative L- and V-CSF. A $\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1-42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-tau<sub>181</sub>, phosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid.

(all p < 0.001) correlated to intraoperative V-CSF. Furthermore, strong correlations were seen for A $\beta_{42}$ ( $\rho = 0.77-0.88$ , mean  $\rho = 0.83$ ), T-tau ( $\rho = 0.91-0.94$ , mean  $\rho = 0.92$ ) and P-tau\_{181} ( $\rho = 0.94-0.96$ , mean  $\rho = 0.94$ ) between simultaneous postoperative L- and V-CSF samples of 41-patient cohort throughout the follow-up (all p < 0.0001). In addition, no correlations were seen between the waiting time for surgery and the intraoperative ventricular CSF A $\beta_{42}$  ( $\rho = 0.01$ , p = 0.89), T-tau ( $\rho = -0.06$ , p = 0.60), and P-tau\_{181} ( $\rho = -0.01$ , p = 0.90) concentrations (data not shown).

Linear regression models were carried out to investigate the relationship for intraoperative V-CSF and preoperative L-CSF, patient age and gender (Table 3, Fig. 3A-C). Fitted model functions are yielded and presented in Tables 3 and 4 and Fig. 3. In the univariate model (p < 0.001, F = 38.8,  $R^2 = 0.29$ ) for A $\beta_{42}$ , L-CSF significantly predicted V-CSF (B = 0.34, C.I. 0.23–0.45, *p* < 0.001) (Fig. 3A). Multivariate model for V-CSF AB42, consisted of age, gender, and L-CSF was statistically significant (p < 0.001, F = 13.1) and explained 30% of variance ( $R^2 = 0.30$ ). L-CSF AB<sub>42</sub> was significant predictor (B = 0.34, C.I. 0.23-0.46, p < 0.001); however, age (B = -3.2, C.I. -9.8-3.4, p = 0.33) and male gender (B = -0.06, C.I. -86.8-86.7, p = 0.99) were non-significant predictors of V-CSF. Due the nonnormally distributed concentrations of preoperative and intraoperative CSF T-tau, logarithmic correc-

tion was carried. The univariate linear regression model ( $R^2 = 0.08$ , F = 7.9, p = 0.006) with Ln(Ttau L-CSF), predicted significantly (B=0.49, C.I.)0.15-0.84, p = 0.006) Ln(T-tau V-CSF) (Fig. 3B). The multivariate regression model including age, gender, and Ln(T-tau L-CSF), was significant as well ( $R^2 = 0.09$ , p = 0.01). The L-CSF Ln(T-tau) was significant (B = 0.48, C.I. 0.11–0.84, p = 0.01), and both age (B = 0.00, C.I. -0.02-0.02, p = 0.96) and gender (B = 0.10, C.I. -0.13-0.34, p = 0.38) were non-significant predictors of V-CSF Ln(T-tau). The univariate model (F = 18.8, p < 0.001) of preoperative L-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> (B = 0.51, C.I. 0.27–0.74, p < 0.001), explained 17% of the V-CSF variance ( $R^2 = 0.17$ ) (Fig. 3C). In multivariate model ( $R^2 = 0.19$ , F = 7.0, p < 0.001) with predicting variables of age (B = 0.08, C.I. -0.15-0.30, p=0.51), gender (B=2.0, C.I. -0.86-4.79, p = 0.17) and L-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> (B = 0.47, C.I. 0.23-0.71, p<0.001) only L-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> was significant predictor for V-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub>.

Furthermore, linear mixed effects modelling was performed to determine linear dependency for the postoperative V-CSF and L-CSF (Table 3, Fig. 4A-C). Fitted model equations are presented in Tables 3 and 4. For A $\beta_{42}$ , L-CSF values (B=0.75, C.I. 0.63–0.88, p < 0.001) predicted V-CSF values significantly (pseudo  $R^2 = 0.28$ ) (Fig. 4A). In the multivariate model (pseudo  $R^2 = 0.29$ ) including L-CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , age, and gender, the regression equation was nearly concordant to univariate model. The L-CSF A $\beta_{42}$  (B = 0.71, C.I. 0.58–0.84, p < 0.001) and patient age in years (B = -9.2, C.I. -17.4 - -1.1, p = 0.027) were significant predictors of V-CSF A $\beta_{42}$ and patient gender was found to be non-significant (B = 24.3, C.I. -57.9 - 106.5, p = 0.55). With T-tau, postoperative V-CSF values were significantly predicted by postoperative L-CSF T-tau (B = 0.62, C.I. 0.55-0.68, p < 0.001) in the univariate model (pseudo  $R^2 = 0.32$ ) (Fig. 4B). In the multivariate model (pseudo  $R^2 = 0.33$ ), only L-CSF T-tau (B = 0.62, C.I. 0.55-0.69, p < 0.001) was a significant predictor of V-CSF, as the patient age (B = 0.53, C.I. -3.5-4.6, p = 0.79) and gender (B = 12.5, C.I. -28.9-53.9, p = 0.54) were non-significant. Similarly, postoperative L-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> (B=0.74, C.I. 0.69–0.78,

Preoperative L	-CSF and intraoperative V-CSF						V-CSF = Constant + Slope*L-CSF
Univariate:	Regression coefficient	C.I. (95%)	р	Constant	C.I. (95%)	R <sup>2</sup>	Function
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	0.34	0.23-0.45	< 0.001	185.4	76.4-294.4	0.29	185.4+0.34*L-CSF
T-tau	0.36	-0.39-1.1	ns.	268	134-402	0.01	
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	0.51	0.27-0.74	< 0.001	8.2	4.8-11.6	0.17	8.2+0.51*L-CSF
Ln(T-tau)	0.49	0.15-0.84	0.006	3.1	1.3-4.9	0.08	3.11+0.49*Ln(L-CSF)
Multivariate: Ag	ge and Sex included						
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	0.34	0.23-0.46	< 0.001	426.5	-88.0-941.0	0.30	426.5+0.34*L-CSF -3.2*Age -0.06*Male
Age	-3.2	-9.8-3.4	ns.				
Sex (male)	-0.06	-86.8-86.6	ns.				
Ln(T-tau)	0.48	0.11-0.84	0.012	3.1	1.1-5.1	0.09	3.1 + 0.48*Ln(L-CSF) + 0.11*Male
Age	0.00	-0.02-0.02	ns.				
Sex (male)	0.11	-0.01-0.34	ns.				
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	0.47	0.23-0.71	< 0.001	2.0	-14.6-18.6	0.19	2.0 + 0.47*L-CSF + 0.08*Age + 1.97*Male
Age	0.08	-0.15-0.30	ns.				
Sex (male)	1.97	-0.86-4.79	ns.				
Postoperative I	and V-CSF						
Univariate:	Regression coefficient	C.I. (95%)	р	Constant	C.I. (95%)	pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	Function
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	0.75	0.63-0.88	< 0.001	86.7	-20-194	0.28	86.7+0.75*L-CSF
T-tau	0.62	0.55-0.68	< 0.001	86.9	48.7-125.0	0.32	86.9+0.62*L-CSF
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	0.74	0.69-0.78	< 0.001	2.64	-0.06-5.34	0.45	2.64+0.74*L-CSF
Multivariate: Ag	ge and Sex included						
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	0.71	0.58-0.84	< 0.001	813.9	162.2-1465.6	0.29	813.9+0.71*L-CSF-9.2*Age+24.3*Male
Age	-9.2	-17.4-(-1.1)	0.027				
Sex (male)	24.3	-57.9-106.5	ns.				
T-tau	0.62	0.55-0.69	< 0.001	40.7	-264.7-346.1	0.33	40.7+0.62*L-CSF+0.53*Age+12.5*Male
Age	0.53	-3.5-4.6	ns.				
Sex (male)	12.5	-28.9 - 53.9	ns.				
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	0.74	0.69-0.78	< 0.001	16.3	-8.8-41.4	0.46	16.3+0.74*L-CSF-0.17*Age-2.0*Male
Age	-0.17	-0.50-0.16	ns.				
Sex (male)	-2.0	-5.4-1.4	ns.				

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate linear regression and linear mixed effect models for A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub>

Univariate and multivariate linear regression and linear mixed effect models for  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> V-CSF predicted by L-CSF and in multivariate by L-CSF, age, and gender are presented. Regression coefficients and constants with the confidence intervals of each model are presented on rows. Further, the model coefficient of determinations or pseudo coefficient of determinations are presented. Yielded equations of each significant model are presented in the "Function" column and are formatted as estimating the V-CSF concentrations of the biomarker included into the model. *p*-value column indicating the significance of each predicting variable in the model.  $A\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1–42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-Tau<sub>181</sub>, hyperphosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid; B, Regression coefficient; C.I., Confidence interval; R<sup>2</sup>, Coefficient of determination; Ln, natural logarithm transferred variable; ns., non-significant *p*-value.



Fig. 3A-C. (Continued)

Fig. 3A-C. Scatterplots of pre- and intraoperative  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> in L- and V-CSF. Scatterplots of V- and L-CSF values of the biomarkers  $A\beta_{42}$  (A), T-tau (B), and P-tau<sub>181</sub> (C) and linear trendlines are illustrating the linear dependency of intraoperative V- and preoperative L-CSF. Mean confidence intervals (95%) are drawn for linear trendlines. Regression equations of the linear univariate regression models are presented at upper right corner of the figure. T-tau values are presented at natural logarithmic scale due the non-normally distributed values.  $A\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1–42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-Tau<sub>181</sub>, hyperphosphorylated tau at theonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF lumbar cerebrospinal fluid; Ln, natural logarithm transferred variable;  $R^2$ , Coefficient of determination.

Table 4

	Functions for estimated L-CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau <sub>181</sub> by V-CSF					
Preoperative	e L-CSF and intraoperative	V-CSF				
Univariate	Estimated L-CSF=	Multivariate	Estimated L-CSF=			
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	(V-CSF-185.4)/0.34	Αβ <sub>42</sub>	(V-CSF-426.5+3.2*Age+0.06*Male)/0.34			
Ln(T-tau)	(Ln(V-CSF)-3.11)/0.49	Ln(T-tau)	(Ln(V-CSF)-3.1-0.11*Male)/0.48			
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	(V-CSF-8.2)/0.51	P-tau <sub>181</sub>	(V-CSF-2.0-0.08*Age-1.97*Male)/0.47			
Postoperativ	e L- and V-CSF					
Univariate	Estimated L-CSF=	Multivariate	Estimated L-CSF=			
Αβ <sub>42</sub>	(V-CSF-86.7)/0.75	Αβ <sub>42</sub>	(V-CSF-813.9+9.2*Age-24.3*Male)/0.71			
T-tau	(V-CSF-86.9)/0.62	T-tau	(V-CSF-40.7-0.53*Age-12.5*Male)/0.62			
P-tau <sub>181</sub>	(V-CSF-2.64)/0.74	P-tau <sub>181</sub>	(V-CSF-16.3+0.17*Age+2.0*Male)/0.74			

The fitted model functions are transferred to estimate L-CSF values of  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub>, based on the V-CSF values, or V-CSF, age (years) and gender. Different functions are yielded for pre- and intraoperative L- and V-CSF as well as for postoperative V- and L-CSF  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub>.  $A\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1–42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-Tau<sub>181</sub>, hyperphosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid; Ln, natural logarithm transferred variable.

p < 0.001) predicted simultaneous V-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> values significantly (pseudo R<sup>2</sup> = 0.45) (Fig. 4C). Further, the age (B = -0.17, C.I. -0.50-0.16, p = 0.30) and gender (B = -2.0, C.I. -5.4-1.4, p = 0.24) were non-significant and L-CSF P-tau<sub>181</sub> (B = 0.74, C.I. 0.69-0.78, p < 0.001) significant predictors of V-CSF (pseudo R<sup>2</sup> = 0.46).

The in vitro experiment conducted with the protocol 1, revealed minor variation in AB42 concentrations (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1A). In phases 3 and 4, the mean  $A\beta_{42}$  values were most decreased in comparison to the baseline (Phase 3:11%, Phase 4:22%). In the protocol 2, the sample size dependent changes were seen in A $\beta_{42}$  concentrations. Lower concentrations (mean decrease of 2 ml samples: 13%) were seen when sample size was less than 5 ml (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Figure 1D). However, in larger sample sizes of 10-20 ml the difference came irrelevant in comparison to the baseline samples. In both protocols implemented, T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> were relatively stable and showed no sample size dependent decrease (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, Supplementary Figure 1B, C, E, F).

#### DISCUSSION

Here we studied the core AD biomarkers of  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> in the iNPH patients CSF. This study provides a comprehensive insight to the CSF AD-core marker composition dynamics that varies by the location and harvesting moment of the sample. The key findings are the established rostro-caudal gradients and fitted linear models for A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> between [1] pre- and intraoperative L- and V-CSF and [2] postoperative L-CSF and V-CSF.

We consider our results of decreased  $A\beta_{42}$  and somewhat increased T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> between pre- and intraoperative CSF to support the findings reported previously (Table 1) [9, 18-20]. However, the linearity between intraoperative V-CSF samples and preoperative L-CSF samples for T-tau and P $tau_{181}$  is somewhat weaker than we expected. The reason behind the rather exponential increase of Ttau is probably a immediate trauma caused by surgical insertion of the intraventricular CSF catheter trough brain parenchyma [27, 28]. In the study obtaining brain interstitial fluid by microdialysis [29], similar pattern was seen for T-tau, as the insertion resulted high T-tau concentrations that decreased over the collection period of 24 h. Further, the studies comparing T-tau in preoperative tap-test L-CSF and in intraoperative V-CSF report 2 to 6-fold higher concentration in V-CSF [9, 18, 19]. Other studies comparing the first and last fractions of lumbar tap-test CSF [19, 30], only found significant ratio of 1.2 between the last/first fraction of CSF T-tau [19]. However, these results do not completely exclude the chance that fur-



Fig. 4A-C. (Continued)

ther draining of CSF would result similar gradients as reported in studies comparing L-CSF and intraoperative V-CSF. As expected, our P-tau<sub>181</sub> results had similar trend as T-tau. These findings are presenting the potential challenges in the interpretation of CSF T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> harvested during surgical procedure.

On the other hand, we assume that a waiting time for shunt surgery can cumulate, e.g., the periventricular ischemic damage, as worse outcomes have been reported with prolonged waiting time [6], and therefore potentially cause discrepancy to interpretation of the intraoperative CSF biomarkers. However, we could not find correlation for shunt surgery waiting time and V-CSF A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, or P-tau<sub>181</sub> measured intraoperatively. After all, this was not surprising as our median waiting time was rater short (2.0 months, interquartile range 1.1–3.5 months) in the cohort of 97 patients.

Furthermore, simultaneous postoperative V- and L-CSF biomarkers are largely unstudied scheme due to the ethically challenging study implementation. Our results suggest a transition of T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> VLRs as the postoperative gradient is 0.77-0.79, respectively (Fig. 2). Somewhat supporting results of T-tau VLR being under 1 between postoperative shunt reservoir V-CSF and L-CSF, have been reported previously [9]. For  $A\beta_{42}$ , we observed approximating concentrations in V- and L-CSF as the VLR converted from 0.54 to 0.85, and this was mainly driven by the increased concentration of V-CSF AB42 postoperatively. Contrary to Craven et al. [9], our  $A\beta_{42}$  in V-CSF measured lower than in L-CSF. This difference might derive from the rather small number of patients in the postoperative CSF comparison of the previous publication. We consider this  $A\beta_{42}$  change to represent beneficial shunt response and improved homeostasis maintenance of brain parenchyma, driven by increased A $\beta_{42}$ excretion to CSF. For the reason of the VLR transition to less than 1 postoperatively in T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub>, we suggest the sampling modality of the shunt reservoir puncture. It can be considered as non-traumatic draining of CSF, as no direct harm is caused to brain parenchyma. Therefore, potentially

more reliable results are received. Other explanations for this gradient transition seen with T-tau and Ptau<sub>181</sub>, might be caused either by the altered CSF flow resulting from CSF shunt [31] or inhibition of the fundamental NPH pathology that is not yet completely understood. We have also reported that A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> do not remain stable post-operative [17]. However, the VLRs of the parallel samples in every biomarker do maintain the ratio and rostro-caudal gradient throughout the follow-up.

Reasons behind the rostro-caudal gradient of proteins in CSF are somewhat hypothesized, and the composition of CSF is suggested to alter due the protein origin, molecular mass, and CSF-dynamic disorders. The brain parenchyma derived proteins should be enriched in ventricular, and blood derived in lumbar CSF [16]. The albumin and blood derived IgG, IgA, and IgM quantities have been reported to decrease when further draining lumbar CSF of iNPH patients [32] and in healthy controls [33]. With central nervous system specific proteoglycans, neurocan, and brevican, no significant ventriculo-lumbar gradient were seen pre- or postoperatively [34]. However, this assumption is not met completely in our results with iNPH patients, as the post-operative  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> VLRs are all less than 1. Contrary, the ratios seen between preoperative lumbar and intraoperative ventricular CSF for T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> are largely inclined towards high rostral concentration (Fig. 2B, C), which supports the traditional theory about the influence of protein origin.

The role of altered hydrodynamics is also a potential confounding factor for interpretation of biomarker ratios. Naturally, the CSF shunt surgery alters the CSF drainage as well as modifies the hydrostatic pressure affecting the natural CSF flow. Further, unoperated iNPH patients have been found to have different flow pattern of CSF, as re-directed aqueductal flow, and significant extra-cranial CSF productions have been suggested [35, 36]. In addition, the pathophysiology of iNPH itself has been suggested to originate from the malfunction of arachnoid granules, that potentially further modifies the CSF composition. Other iNPH pathological mechanism led from the hydrodynamics is the glymphatic

Fig. 4A-C. Scatterplots of postoperative  $A\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> in V- and L-CSF. Scatterplots of V- and L-CSF values of the biomarkers  $A\beta_{42}$  (A), T-tau (B), and P-tau<sub>181</sub> (C) and linear trendlines are illustrating the linear dependency of postoperative V- and L-CSF. Mean confidence intervals (95%) are drawn for linear trendlines. Regression equations of the linear mixed effects models are presented at upper right corner of the figure.  $A\beta_{42}$ , amyloid- $\beta$  1-42; T-tau, total tau protein; P-Tau<sub>181</sub>, hyperphosphorylated tau at threonine 181; V-CSF, ventricular cerebrospinal fluid; L-CSF, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid.

pathway defect. Approximately 20% of CSF drainage to the systemic circulation is derived from the glymphatic system, and for iNPH patients, the glymphatic pathway has been reported to potentially be impaired by decreased aquaporin-4 density and tracer clearance in MRI imaging [37].

Furthermore, the dilution effect of increased ventricular volume or CSF production rate has been discussed as a reason for altered CSF AD biomarker compositions. In the study regarding disproportionately enlarged subarachnoid-space hydrocephalus (DESH) patients, a subset was noted to have low P-tau<sub>181</sub> and AB<sub>42</sub> and to associate for higher DESHscore [38], implying CSF-dynamics disorders to potentially dilute biomarker concentrations. However, a study conducted with healthy volunteers found no correlation between AD core biomarkers and ventricular volume nor the intracranial pressure and CSF production rate [39]. In a recent genome wide meta-analysis, a link between CSF P-tau181, lateral ventricle volume and the genes of GMNC and C16orf95 was established, implying causative relationship for these phenomena [40].

Furthermore, to our knowledge the direct effect of the CSF shunt as such to the biomarker concentrations, has not been studied previously. It can be hypothesized that CSF shunt may affect to the biomarker concentrations, e.g., due to the absorption of CSF shunt material or the different protocol used during the harvesting. Hence, we conducted additional in vitro experiment with two protocols to evaluate these potential confounding factors affecting the usage of ventricular CSF and to fully mimic the sampling procedures of intraventricular CSF (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Based on our results the A $\beta_{42}$  has slight tendency to absorb to polypropene syringe. However, the impact of this phenomenon becomes insignificant in larger sample sizes of over 5 ml. There was also a trend for  $A\beta_{42}$  to decrease between baseline and endpoint samples. Further, the changes of concentrations caused by the sample size and protocol became irrelevant when compared to the endpoint values rather than baseline. For T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub>, no relevant changes were seen neither in shunt system protocol nor in the sample size protocol. This further strengthens the reliability of T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> concentrations measured from V-CSF.

Previously, we found several fold increases in T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub>, post-operatively, both in ventricular and lumbar CSF [17]. In A $\beta_{42}$  there was just a moderate continuous decrease. However, further study is needed to fully understand this longitudinal

phenomenon caused by CSF shunt. Understanding more of this could also open a window to find shunt malfunction by biomarkers. In addition, the further information would be crucially important to evaluate value of AD biomarker values taken after surgery when attempt to indicate AD comorbidity. So far, we rely more on to prognostic value of brain biopsy than the post-operative follow-up CSF biomarker values.

A strength of this study was that it was possible to compare a series of parallel samples postoperatively. Additionally, our pre- to intraoperative CSF biomarker comparison had a relatively large number of samples. Furthermore, our samples obtained by shunt reservoir puncture were larger than 5 ml, corroborating the reliability of our results. A challenge, however, was the inability to rigorously confine the magnitude of the error for T-tau and P-tau<sub>181</sub> due to the surgical procedure in the intraoperative sampling. This should be considered when interpreting the obtained equations. This kind of sample collection provides a foundation for the subsequent calculation of similar equations for other CSF biomarkers as well.

## Conclusions

A $\beta_{42}$ , T-tau, and P-tau<sub>181</sub> correlate linearly inbetween ventricular and lumbar CSF, correlations that become stronger after CSF shunt surgery. Based on these findings, regression equations of fitted models provide a novel tool to use V-CSF for diagnostic and prognostic entities that rely on lumbar CSF-derived reference limits and/or cut-points. The equations presented here can be applicable to clinical use when lumbar CSF samples are not available or the less invasively obtained shunt reservoir samples should be interpreted.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Marita Parviainen, RN, for assistance and cognitive testing.

The study was funded by Janssen R&D, a division of Janssen Pharmaceutica NV (no specified grant number), Kuopio University Hospital VTR fund (Grant number: 5252614), Academy of Finland (#339767, 338182), Sigrid Juselius Foundation (no specified grant number), the Strategic Neuroscience Funding of the University of Eastern Finland, and the Finnish Cultural Foundation, North Savo Regional Fund (No specified grant number). HL is supported by grants from Maire Taponen Foundation and The Finnish Medical Foundation. HZ is a Wallenberg Scholar supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (#2018-02532), the European Research Council (#681712 and #101053962), Swedish State Support for Clinical Research (#ALFGBG-71320), the Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA (#201809-2016862), the AD Strategic Fund and the Alzheimer's Association (#ADSF-21-831376-C, #ADSF-21-831381-C and #ADSF-21-831377-C), the Olav Thon Foundation, the Erling-Persson Family Foundation, Stiftelsen för Gamla Tjänarinnor, Hjärnfonden, Sweden (#FO2019-0228), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklstrokodowska-Curie grant agreement No 860197 (MIRIADE), the European Union Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND2021-00694), and the UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL (UKDRI-1003). KB is supported by the Swedish Research Council (#2017-00915), the Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA (#RDAPB-201809-2016615), the Swedish Alzheimer Foundation (#AF-930351, #AF-939721 and #AF-968270), Hjärnfonden, Sweden (#FO2017-0243 and #ALZ2022-0006), the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the County Councils, the ALF-agreement (#ALFGBG-715986 and #ALFGBG-965240), and European Union Joint Program for Neurodegenerative Disorders (JPND2019-466-236), and the Alzheimer's Association 2021 Zenith Award (ZEN-21-848495).

Authors' disclosures available online (https:// www.j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/22-0652r1).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: https://dx.doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-220652.

# REFERENCES

- Relkin N, Marmarou A, Klinge P, Bergsneider M, Black PM (2005) Diagnosing idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Neurosurgery* 57, S2-4-S2-16.
- [2] Mori E, Ishikawa M, Kato T, Kazui H, Miyake H, Miyajima M, Nakajima M, Hashimoto M, Kuriyama N, Tokuda T, Ishii K, Kaijima M, Hirata Y, Saito M, Arai H (2012) Guidelines for management of idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus: Second edition. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 52, 775-778.
- [3] Jaraj D, Rabiei K, Marlow T, Jensen C, Skoog I, Wikkelsø C (2014) Prevalence of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Neurology* 82, 1449-1454.

- [4] Andersson J, Rosell M, Kockum K, Lilja-Lund O, Söderström L, Laurell K (2019) Prevalence of idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus: A prospective, population based study. *PLoS One* 14, e0217705.
- [5] Andrén K, Wikkelsø C, Tisell M, Hellström P (2014) Natural course of idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 85, 806-810.
- [6] Andrén K, Wikkelsø C, Hellström P, Tullberg M, Jaraj D (2021) Early shunt surgery improves survival in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Eur J Neurol* 28, 1153-1159.
- [7] Klinge P, Hellström P, Tans J, Wikkelsø C (2012) One-year outcome in the European multicentre study on iNPH. Acta Neurol Scand 126, 145-153.
- [8] Leinonen V, Koivisto AM, Alafuzoff I, Pyykk OT, Rummukainen J, Von Und Zu Fraunberg M, Jskelinen JE, Soininen H, Rinne J, Savolainen S (2012) Cortical brain biopsy in long-term prognostication of 468 patients with possible normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Neurodegener Dis* 10, 166-169.
- [9] Craven CL, Baudracco I, Zetterberg H, Lunn MPT, Chapman MD, Lakdawala N, Watkins LD, Toma AK (2017) The predictive value of T-tau and Aβ1-42 levels in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 159, 2293-2300.
- [10] Jeppsson A, Wikkelsö C, Blennow K, Zetterberg H, Constantinescu R, Remes AM, Herukka SK, Rauramaa T, Nagga K, Leinonen V, Tullberg M (2019) CSF biomarkers distinguish idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus from its mimics. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **90**, 1117-1123.
- [11] Chen Z, Liu C, Zhang J, Relkin N, Xing Y, Li Y (2017) Cerebrospinal fluid Aβ42, t-tau, and p-tau levels in the differential diagnosis of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 14, 1-13.
- [12] Manniche C, Hejl AM, Hasselbalch SG, Simonsen AH (2019) Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus versus Alzheimer's disease and subcortical ischemic vascular disease: A systematic review. J Alzheimers Dis 68, 267-279.
- [13] Jingami N, Asada-Utsugi M, Uemura K, Noto R, Takahashi M, Ozaki A, Kihara T, Kageyama T, Takahashi R, Shi-mohama S, Kinoshita A (2015) Idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus has a different cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profile from alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 45, 109-115.
- [14] Thavarajasingam SG, El-Khatib M, Vemulapalli K V., Iradukunda HAS, Laleye J, Russo S, Eichhorn C, Eide PK (2022) Cerebrospinal fluid and venous biomarkers of shuntresponsive idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 164, 1719-1746.
- [15] Lukkarinen H, Jeppsson A, Wikkelsö C, Blennow K, Zetterberg H (2022) Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers that reflect clinical symptoms in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus patients. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 19, 11.
- [16] Reiber H (2001) Dynamics of brain-derived proteins in cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Chim Acta* 310, 173-186.
- [17] Lukkarinen H, Tesseur I, Pemberton D, Van Der Ark P, Timmers M, Slemmon R, Janssens L, Streffer J, Van Nueten L, Bottelbergs A, Rauramaa T, Koivisto AM, Herukka SK, Korhonen VE, Junkkari A, Hiltunen M, Engelborghs S, Blennow K, Zetterberg H, Kolb HC, Leinonen V (2021) Time trends of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of neurode-

generation in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Alzheimers Dis **80**, 1629-1642.

- [18] Jeppsson A, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Wikkelsø C (2013) Idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus pathophysiology and diagnosis by CSF biomarkers. *Neurology* 80, 1385-1392.
- [19] Jingami N, Uemura K, Asada-Utsugi M, Kuzuya A, Yamada S, Ishikawa M, Kawahara T, Iwasaki T, Atsuchi M, Takahashi R, Kinoshita A (2019) Two-point dynamic observation of Alzheimer's disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *J Alzheimers Dis* 72, 271-277.
- [20] Brandner S, Thaler C, Lelental N, Buchfelder M, Kleindienst A, Maler JM, Kornhuber J, Lewczuk P (2014) Ventricular and lumbar cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in patients with normal pressure hydrocephalus and posttraumatic hydrocephalus. J Alzheimers Dis 41, 1057-1062.
- [21] Tarnaris A, Toma AK, Chapman MD, Petzold A, Keir G, Kitchen ND, Watkins LD (2011) Rostrocaudal dynamics of CSF biomarkers. *Neurochem Res* 36, 528-532.
- [22] Junkkari A, Luikku AJ, Danner N, Jyrkkänen HK, Rauramaa T, Korhonen VE, Koivisto AM, Nerg O, Kojoukhova M, Huttunen TJ, Jääskeläinen JE, Leinonen V (2019) The Kuopio idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus protocol: Initial outcome of 175 patients. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 16, 21.
- [23] Kubo Y, Kazui H, Yoshida T, Kito Y, Kimura N, Tokunaga H, Ogino A, Miyake H, Ishikawa M, Takeda M (2007) Validation of grading scale for evaluating symptoms of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 25, 37-45.
- [24] Bittner T, Zetterberg H, Teunissen CE, Ostlund RE, Militello M, Andreasson U, Hubeek I, Gibson D, Chu DC, Eichenlaub U, Heiss P, Kobold U, Leinenbach A, Madin K, Manuilova E, Rabe C, Blennow K (2016) Technical performance of a novel, fully automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay for the quantitation of β-amyloid (1-42) in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Alzheimers Dement* 12, 517-526.
- [25] Lifke V, Kollmorgen G, Manuilova E, Oelschlaegel T, Hillringhaus L, Widmann M, von Arnim CAF, Otto M, Christenson RH, Powers JL, Shaw LM, Hansson O, Doecke JD, Li QX, Teunissen C, Tumani H, Blennow K (2019) Elecsys<sup>®</sup> total-tau and phospho-tau (181P) CSF assays: Analytical performance of the novel, fully automated immunoassays for quantification of tau proteins in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Biochem* **72**, 30-38.
- [26] Willemse EAJ, van Maurik IS, Tijms BM, Bouwman FH, Franke A, Hubeek I, Boelaarts L, Claus JJ, Korf ESC, van Marum RJ, Roks G, Schoonenboom N, Verwey N, Zwan MD, Wahl S, van der Flier WM, Teunissen CE (2018) Diagnostic performance of Elecsys immunoassays for cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in a nonacademic, multicenter memory clinic cohort: The ABIDE project. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 10, 563-572.
- [27] Kruse A, Cesarini KG, Bach FW, Persson L (1991) Increases of neuron-specific enolase, S-100 protein, creatine kinase and creatine kinase BB isoenzyme in CSF following intraventricular catheter implantation. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* **110**, 106-109.
- [28] Pyykkö OT, Lumela M, Rummukainen J, Nerg O, Seppälä TT, Herukka SK, Koivisto AM, Alafuzoff I, Puli L, Savolainen S, Soininen H, Jääskeläinen JE, Hiltunen M,

Zetterberg H, Leinonen V (2014) Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker and brain biopsy findings in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *PLoS One* **9**, 3.

- [29] Herukka SK, Rummukainen J, Ihalainen J, Von Und Zu Fraunberg M, Koivisto AM, Nerg O, Puli LK, Seppälä TT, Zetterberg H, Pyykkö OT, Helisalmi S, Tanila H, Alafuzoff I, Hiltunen M, Rinne J, Soininen H, Jääskeläinen JE, Leinonen V (2015) Amyloid-β and tau dynamics in human brain interstitial fluid in patients with suspected normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Alzheimers Dis 46, 261-269.
- [30] Djukic M, Spreer A, Lange P, Bunkowski S, Wiltfang J, Nau R (2016) Small cisterno-lumbar gradient of phosphorylated Tau protein in geriatric patients with suspected normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 13, 15.
- [31] Ringstad G, Emblem KE, Eide PK (2016) Phase-contrast magnetic resonance imaging reveals net retrograde aqueductal flow in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurosurg 124, 1850-1857.
- [32] Konen FF, Lange P, Wurster U, Jendretzky KF, Gingele S, Möhn N, Sühs K-W, Stangel M, Skripuletz T, Schwenkenbecher P (2022) The influence of the ventricular-lumbar gradient on cerebrospinal fluid analysis in serial samples. *Brain Sci* 12, 410.
- [33] Blennow K, Fredman P, Wallin A, Gottfries CG, Långström G, Svennerholm L (1993) Protein analyses in cerebrospinal fluid. I. Influence of concentration gradients for proteins on cerebrospinal fluid/serum albumin ratio. *Eur Neurol* 33, 126-128.
- [34] Minta K, Jeppsson A, Brinkmalm G, Portelius E, Zetterberg H (2021) Lumbar and ventricular CSF concentrations of extracellular matrix proteins before and after shunt surgery in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Fluids Barri*ers CNS 18, 23.
- [35] Eide PK, Valnes LM, Lindstrøm EK, Mardal KA, Ringstad G (2021) Direction and magnitude of cerebrospinal fluid flow vary substantially across central nervous system diseases. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 18, 16.
- [36] Lindstrøm EK, Ringstad G, Mardal KA, Eide PK (2018) Cerebrospinal fluid volumetric net flow rate and direction in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Neuroimage Clin* 20, 731-741.
- [37] Reeves BC, Karimy JK, Kundishora AJ, Mestre H, Cerci HM, Matouk C, Alper SL, Lundgaard I, Nedergaard M, Kahle KT (2020) Glymphatic system impairment in Alzheimer's disease and idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Trends Mol Med* 26, 285-295.
- [38] Graff-Radford J, Jones DT, Wiste HJ, Cogswell PM, Weigand SD, Lowe V, Elder BD, Vemuri P, Van Harten A, Mielke MM, Knopman DS, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Gunter JL (2022) Cerebrospinal fluid dynamics and discordant amyloid biomarkers. *Neurobiol Aging* 110, 27-36.
- [39] Edsbagge M, Andreasson U, Ambarki K, Wikkelso C, Eklund A, Blennow K, Zetterberg H, Tullberg M (2017) Alzheimer's disease-associated cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers do not correlate with CSF volumes or CSF production rate. J Alzheimers Dis 58, 821-828.
- [40] Jansen IE, van der Lee SJ, Gomez-Fonseca D, de Rojas I, Dalmasso MC, Grenier-Boley B, Zettergren A, Mishra A, Ali M, Andrade V, et al. (2022) Genome-wide meta-analysis for Alzheimer's disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. *Acta Neuropathol* 144, 821-842.