Factors Controlling the Redox Potential of ZnCe₆ in an Engineered Bacterioferritin Photochemical 'Reaction Centre'

Abdullah Mahboob¹*, Serguei Vassiliev¹, Prashanth K. Poddutoori², Art van der Est², Doug Bruce¹

1 Department of Biological Sciences, Brock University, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada, 2 Department of Chemistry, Brock University, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada

Abstract

Photosystem II (PSII) of photosynthesis has the unique ability to photochemically oxidize water. Recently an engineered bacterioferritin photochemical 'reaction centre' (BFR-RC) using a zinc chlorin pigment (ZnCe₆) in place of its native heme has been shown to photo-oxidize bound manganese ions through a tyrosine residue, thus mimicking two of the key reactions on the electron donor side of PSII. To understand the mechanism of tyrosine oxidation in BFR-RCs, and explore the possibility of water oxidation in such a system we have built an atomic-level model of the BFR-RC using ONIOM methodology. We studied the influence of axial ligands and carboxyl groups on the oxidation potential of ZnCe₆ using DFT theory, and finally calculated the shift of the redox potential of ZnCe₆ in the BFR-RC protein using the multi-conformational molecular mechanics–Poisson-Boltzmann approach. According to our calculations, the redox potential for the first oxidation of ZnCe₆ in the BFR-RC protein is only 0.57 V, too low to oxidize tyrosine. We suggest that the observed tyrosine oxidation in BFR-RC could be driven by the ZnCe₆ di-cation. In order to increase the efficiency of tyrosine oxidation, and ultimately oxidize water, the first potential of ZnCe₆ would have to attain a value in excess of 0.8 V. We discuss the possibilities for modifying the BFR-RC to achieve this goal.

Citation: Mahboob A, Vassiliev S, Poddutoori PK, van der Est A, Bruce D (2013) Factors Controlling the Redox Potential of ZnCe₆ in an Engineered Bacterioferritin Photochemical 'Reaction Centre'. PLoS ONE 8(7): e68421. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421

Editor: Mark Isalan, Center for Genomic Regulation, Spain

Received February 28, 2013; Accepted May 30, 2013; Published July 30, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Mahboob et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by Discovery and Equipment grants from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. It was made possible by the facilities of the Shared Hierarchical Academic Research Computing Network (SHARCNET) and Compute/Calcul Canada. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: am03ph@brocku.ca

Introduction

The water splitting reaction of photosynthesis has been the most influential biologically catalyzed reaction on earth. Acquiring the ability to use water as a source of electrons about 2.5 billion years ago allowed oxygenic photosynthesis to power a massive increase in the diversity and numbers of aerobic life forms. Photosystem II (PSII) is the pigment-protein complex embedded in the thylakoid membranes of plant chloroplasts and cyanobacteria that catalyses the light induced oxidation of water and reduction of plastoquinone in oxygenic photosynthesis [1,2]. This catalytic function is performed by light driven electron transfer (ET) reactions through redox cofactors of the PSII reaction center. Oxidation of water by PSII requires several essential cofactors: a photoactive strongly oxidizing pigment (P680), a redox-active tyrosine (Y_Z) and the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) containing four high-valence manganese ions bound by μ -oxo bridges and a calcium ion [2,3]. Electronic excitation of P680 and subsequent electron transfer to the primary pheophytin electron acceptor forms the strongly oxidizing P680*+ cation radical ($E_{\rm m}{\sim}1.12$ V) which then oxidizes Yz. Subsequently, Yz oxidizes the OEC where water oxidation occurs after the accumulation of four oxidation equivalents in the Mn₄CaO₅ cluster according to the S-cycle proposed by Joliot and Kok [4,5]. The coupling of water oxidation to photochemistry in PSII was a crucial milestone in the evolution of life allowing for the essentially unlimited conversion of sunlight energy to chemical potential energy which now powers most life on earth. The successful mimicking of this reaction in an artificial system could form the basis of a clean alternative energy source. Recently, bacterioferritin (cytochrome b1, BFR) was used as a protein scaffold for constructing a linear electron pathway that mimics some of the electron transfer components within PSII [6].

Ferritins are the principal iron storage proteins in most living organisms [7-9]. The protein BFR is a robust iron storage bacterial protein that forms a homodimer, with each subunit (~18.5 kDa) being composed of an antiparallel, four-helical bundle [10-12] (Fig. 1). The homodimers self-assemble into a dodecamer that forms a spherically shaped protein shell surrounding an internal cavity encapsulating an iron core composed of ferric hydroxyphosphate micelles [10]. Each BFR subunit binds 2 iron ions (Fe²⁺) and contains seven tyrosine residues [10,12]. The BFR dimer binds one b-type heme at the interface between subunits [11]. In each subunit three tyrosines are located close to both metal ions and the heme (Fig. 1). Thus, a protein scaffold of BFR is suitable for constructing a photoactive reaction center mimicking the electron transfer reactions of PSII. A prototype of such a 'reaction center' (BFR-RC) has been created by replacing the heme with a photoactive zinc-chlorin- e_6 (ZnCe₆) pigment and the iron ions with Mn(II) ions [6]. It has been found that the bound ZnCe₆ species are capable of initiating electron



Figure 1. Atomic level model of the *E. coli* **bacterioferritin.** A - homodimer showing two identical subunits each hosting two manganese ions and ZnCe₆ bound at the interface of the subunits. B - manganese binding site. C - ZnCe₆ in its binding site. The ensemble of carboxylic acid conformations used to compute pKas is shown in yellow. Model is based on the X-ray diffraction structure PDB ID: 3E1M. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g001

transfer upon illumination, oxidizing a tyrosine residue and the bound manganese Mn(II) ions [6].

The mechanism of the light activated electron transfer observed in BFR-RC, however, remains poorly understood. It is not clear whether photooxidation of tyrosine and the bound manganese are sequential or independent events. One of the central questions key to understanding ET pathways, overall efficiency and limitations of BFR-RC is the unknown value of the oxidation potential of the ZnCe₆ cation radical in BFR-RC. The E_m of ZnCe₆ provides the driving force for oxidation of cofactors and its value will determine which mechanisms of tyrosine oxidation are possible and whether this BFR-RC may eventually be able to oxidize water. To our knowledge the oxidation potential of ZnCe6 has not been measured experimentally in either solvent or protein. The present article investigates the oxidation and reduction potentials of ZnCe₆ in solution and in BFR-RC with a combination of experimental and computational methods. Our focus is on understanding factors influencing the redox potential of ZnCe₆ within BFR-RC with an aim to identify possible means of controlling it.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of ZnCe₆

ZnCe₆ was prepared by metallating chlorin e₆ with Zn(OAc)₂ by standard methods [13]. Typically, 40 mg (0.067 mmol) of chlorin e₆ and 37 mg (0.20 mmol) of Zn(OAc)₂ were stirred at room temperature in a CHCl₃/CH₃OH (8/4 ml) solvent mixture. The reaction was monitored by absorption spectroscopy. A red shift of the Q_X absorption band from 661 to 640 nm accompanied incorporation of zinc. We also confirmed formation of ZnCe₆ by observing the disappearance of the free base pigment protons by NMR spectroscopy. After completion of metallation the solvents were evaporated and the residue was washed with water and cooled methanol to get spectroscopic grade pure compound. The yield of reaction was 39 mg (89%).

Electrochemical measurements

Differential pulse voltammetric measurements were performed in pyridine and DMSO containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammoniumhexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) on a BAS Epsilon electrochemical analyzer (working: Pt, auxiliary electrodes: Pt wire; reference electrode: Ag). The pulse width, period and amplitude used were 50 ms, 200 ms and 50 mV, respectively. Sample concentration was 1 mM and ~100 fold excess of N-methylimidazole was added to prevent aggregation between ZnCe₆ molecules. Resultant solutions were purged with nitrogen gas for 10 min prior to the scan. The ferrocene/ferrocenium couple was used to calibrate the redox potential values. All experiments were performed at 296 K. The use of water as solvent for electrochemical measurements was avoided to eliminate reactions of cations and di-cations with water and any changes in E_m which could arise from ionization of ZnCe₆ carboxylic acid groups.

Spectroelectrochemical measurements were performed at a platinum mesh electrode in a thin layer spectroelectrochemical cell (0.5 mm). Potentials were applied and monitored using the same potentiostat as for the differential pulse voltammetric measurements. Absorption spectra were recorded with an Ocean Optics USB650 Red Tide spectrometer.

Calculation of the shifts to the redox potential of ZnCe₆ due to coordination to axial ligands, ring substituent groups, and dielectric constant

To calculate the shift to the redox potential due to axial ligation for the $ZnCe_6^+/ZnCe_6$ couple, we performed computations using density functional theory (DFT). The B3-LYP functional with the LanL2TZ+ basis set for the Zn atom and 6-311G+** for C, H, N and O were used. Gas-phase zero-point energies, thermal corrections, and entropic corrections were calculated using standard formulas for the statistical thermodynamics of an ideal gas using optimized geometries and scaled by 0.9613 B3-LYP/6-31G*/LanL2TZ+ frequencies [14]. Solvation energies of the studied species in the various solvents were calculated using the solvation model SM8 [15] at the B3-LYP/6-31G* level of theory. In all solvation energy calculations the LanL2TZ+ basis set was used for Zn.

Modeling BFR-RC structure

The X-ray bacterioferritin structure, (PDB ID: 3E1M), from *E. coli* with a resolution of 2.7 Å was used as a starting point for calculations. The structure was modified to match an engineered BFR-RC described in [6,16]. Two surface exposed histidines (H46, H112) were mutated to arginines, the heme was replaced with photoactive $ZnCe_6$ and iron ions were replaced with Mn(II) ions.

In BFR protein the heme binds in a symmetrical hydrophobic pocket located on a twofold axis between symmetry related subunits. As the structure of $ZnCe_6$ is similar to the structure of btype heme (ZnCe₆ has formyl, acetyl and propyl groups at positions 13, 15 and 17 and saturated ring IV while heme has propyl groups at 13 and 17 and all rings unsaturated) we placed $ZnCe_6$ in the same position and orientation as heme in the BFR. After initial placement of ZnCe₆ in its binding pocket, conformations of the three carboxylic groups of ZnCe₆ were optimized using a Monte-Carlo search as implemented in MCCE. The highest occupancy conformers were then used as a starting structure for a complete energy minimization of the whole BFR-RC with constrained backbone atoms which was then followed by a short 10-ps unconstrained molecular dynamics run. AMBER version 10 [17] was used for molecular mechanics computations. The "pairwise" generalized Born implicit solvent model [18] was used in these simulations. Initially we used standard ionization states for all aminoacids, and all 3 carboxylic groups of ZnCe6 were protonated. The final RMSD of the protein backbone between the original PDB ID: 3E1M structure and BRF-RC was 0.15 Å. Next we performed ONIOM QM/MM geometry optimization of the

whole protein with $ZnCe_6$ and its methionine ligands treated quantum mechanically at the B3LYP/6-31g* level using Gaussian 09 [19]. In QM/MM optimized structures both methionine ligands were almost equidistant from Zn. The equilibrium distances between Zn and S were found to be 2.80/2.85 Å. The QM/MM optimized structures of neutral and radical ZnCe₆ with its 2 methionine ligands were used to refine atom-centered point charges obtained from the initial model. The optimized structure of the BFR-RC with bound ZnCe₆ is available in PDB format in supporting **Model S1**.

Derivation of the atomic partial charges for ZnCe₆

The atomic partial charges for $ZnCe_6$ were obtained using the two stage RESP formalism [20], with a weighting factor of 0.0005/0.001 from a wavefunction computed at the HF/6-31G* level for H, N, C, O, and HF/LanL2TZ+ for Zn. Schematic diagram of $ZnCe_6$ is shown in **Fig. S1**, atomic point charges for ZnCe₆ are available in **Table S1**. QM calculations were done with the Gaussian09 package [19].

Computation of protonation pattern and shift of the redox potential of ZnCe₆ in BFR-RC

MCCE2.4 [21] was used to predict the ionization state of each protein residue and cofactor as a function of E_h and pH. The algorithm performs Monte-Carlo sampling of multiple aminoacid side chain geometric and ionization conformations, where conformer energies include electrostatic and van der Waals terms. Before sampling, rotamers of aminoacid side chains were generated using 60 degree increments for each rotatable bond, while conformers of carboxylic acid groups of ZnCe₆ were generated in 14 degrees increments. Pairs of conformers with clashes not exceeding 5 kcal/mol had their positions optimized. Finally, a genetic algorithm was used to optimize side chain conformers [22]. Electrostatic conformer-conformer pairwise interactions and reaction field energy for each conformer were computed by solving the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation using the Delphi program [23]. The dielectric constant was set to 4 inside the protein and 80 in the solvent. PARSE [24] radii and charges were used in Poisson-Boltzmann calculations for all elements except Zn. For Zn, a radius of 1.47 Å was used [25]. To obtain the E_m of ZnCe₆ in BRF-RC the shift of the redox potential in the protein was added to the E_m of the reference model system.

In calculations of the shift of E_m in a protein environment several factors were considered: (i) the desolvation energy difference arising from moving the cofactor from water into protein , where the protein volume is simply considered as dielectric medium $\Delta\Delta G_{desolv}$, (ii) the electrostatic and VDW nonelectrostatic interaction of the cofactor with the protein backbone ΔG_{bkbm} , and (iii) the mean field pairwise interaction between the cofactor and side chains of residues in the protein in the distribution derived by Monte Carlo sampling ΔG_{res}^{mfe} . The difference in each energy term is between the oxidized and the reduced cofactor. Details of the calculations of these factors are described in [26].

In continuum electrostatics calculations $ZnCe_6$ and side chains of its methionine axial ligands were represented as a single residue with an oxidized or reduced conformer. The backbone atoms of the axial ligands remained as part of the protein backbone. QM treatment of the complex of $ZnCe_6$ ' (ZnCe₆ without carboxylic groups) with 2 methionine axial ligands yielded $E_{m(sol)}$ of 0.58 mV (see Results section 3.3) which was used as the reference for MCCE calculations. The three carboxylic groups of $ZnCe_6$ were treated as independently ionizable groups.



Figure 2. A: Differential pulse voltammogram of $ZnCe_6$ in DMSO with 0.1 M TBAPF₆. [$ZnCe_6$] = 1 mM. Voltammogram of the solvent is shown by dotted line. B: Spectral changes during the oxidation of $ZnCe_6$ at 0.54 V. See Results for details.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g002

Results

Oxidation and reduction potentials of ZnCe₆ in solution

ZnCe₆ has low solubility in most organic solvents. We were able to measure its redox potential in only two solvents (pyridine and DMSO). Although we observed oxidation waves in both solvents, only with N-methylimidazole were two clear waves visible. Voltammograms measured in DMSO without N-methylimidazole showed multiple redox waves (data not shown), suggesting that under these conditions several different aggregation states of ZnCe₆ co-existed in the sample. Aggregation occured most likely due to the coordination of Zn by Lewis base atoms of the substituent carboxyl groups. These aggregates can be broken down by addition of stronger Lewis bases such as N-methylimidazole. Indeed, after addition of N-methylimidazole to the ZnCe₆/DMSO solution, two distinctive redox couples appeared in the oxidative scan. The major oxidation peaks were centered at 0.54 and 1.01 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) (Fig. 2A). In addition a smaller and broader feature was observed at 0.8 V. The spectral changes obtained during oxidation of ZnCe₆ at 0.54 V are shown in Fig. 2B. The Q_Y band of the neutral

Table 1. Oxidation potentials of the ZnCe₆ and ZnCe₆ without carboxylic acids in continuum solvents with different values for the dielectric constant.

Axial ligand	ZnCe ₆ w/o carboxylic groups			ZnCe ₆		
	Gas (ε = 1)	DMSO (ε = 46.8)	Water (ε=78.4)	Gas (E = 1)	DMSO (ε=46.8)	Water (ε = 78.4)
No	1.54	0.44	0.51	1.59	0.55	0.64
2 Met	1.32	0.35	0.48	1.36	0.47	0.62
Imidazole	1.15	0.36	0.44	1.20	0.48 (0.54 ^{<i>a</i>})	0.57

^a- experimentally measured value.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.t001

compound at 640 nm decreased in intensity while a new absorption band grew at around 800 nm. These spectral features can clearly be assigned to a chlorin π cation radical. The difference between the first and the second oxidation of methylimidazole - coordinated zinc porphyrins has been reported to be in the range of 0.46–0.66 V [27]. In our voltammogram the peak centered at 1.01 V, matching this difference, was assigned to a two electron oxidation of ZnCe₆. There was one redox couple in the reductive scan at -1.26 V tentatively assigned to the formation of the ZnCe₆ anion radical (**Fig. 2**).

Effect of axial ligands and carboxyl groups on the oxidation potential of $ZnCe_6$ in solution

To calculate the E_m of $ZnCe_6$ in BFR we needed a reference E_m corresponding to $ZnCe_6$ ligated by methionine without carboxyl groups in water. To obtain this value from the measured E_m of $ZnCe_6$ in DMSO we computed shifts to the E_m due to different solvents, axial ligands and carboxyl groups.

Axial ligands are known to induce changes in the electrochemistry of metalloporphyrins and metallochlorins [27,28]. For example, it was found that upon imidazole ligation the first oxidation potential of zinc porphyrins shifts negatively by 150 mV in CH₂Cl₂ [27]. In contrast the second oxidation potential of complexed zinc porphyrins shifted positively by 50–270 mV when compared with the uncomplexed zinc porphyrins depending on the nature of the substituents [27].

Our DFT calculations showed that N-methylimidazole shifts the first oxidation potential of $ZnCe_6$ in water negatively by 70 mV, while the coordination to 2 methionines had a smaller negative shift of only 20 mV (**Table 1**). This trend is similar to the results of previous computational work on chlorophyll *a* [28].

A second factor affecting the oxidation potential is the nature of ring substituent groups [29,30]. In general, electron withdrawing groups shift the oxidation potential up while electron rich groups shift it down. Protonated carboxyl groups, being electron withdrawing, are expected to up-shift the redox potential. This effect is pH dependent as ionization of carboxyl groups stabilizes the cation radical, and hence will down-shift the redox potential. To estimate the shift of the redox potential of $ZnCe_6$ in BFR-RC protein we treated the carboxyl substituent groups and Znchlorin as separate units, affecting each other via classical electrostatic and VDW interactions. This approach allowed us to sample efficiently multiple conformational and ionization states of carboxylic acids. A similar approach was previously successfully used for calculation of heme redox potentials in different proteins [31]. These calculations require knowledge of the reference redox potential of Zn-chlorin without carboxyl groups in water, while experimentally we measured ZnCe₆ with three substituent carboxylic groups in DMSO. Therefore, to obtain the reference redox potential of Zn-chlorin we estimated differences in redox potential due to solvent and the presence of carboxyl groups computationally.

Our DFT calculations showed that the redox potential of both coordinated and non-coordinated ZnCe_6 changes insignificantly between DMSO and water. This result is consistent with previous experimental and theoretical studies of chlorophyll *a* [32] in solvents with different dielectric constant. However, the attachment of protonated carboxyl groups to Zn-chlorin in different coordination states leads to an increase of the redox potential by 0.13–0.14 V in water.

To estimate the accuracy of our DFT calculations we determined the E_ms of 10 different zinc chlorins and compared them to experimental values [30]. All computed values were systematically lower than the experimental values by 0.1 V. After correction for this systematic shift, the computed E_ms were within 0.03 V of the experimental values (**Fig. S2**). Overall, the reference oxidation potential corresponding to the first oxidation of bismethionine coordinated ZnCe₆ in water without carboxylic groups was estimated to be 0.58 V vs. SHE.

Oxidation potential of ZnCe₆ in BFR-RC

In BFR-RC ZnCe₆ is partially buried in the protein. Our Monte-Carlo simulations estimate that desolvation due to embedding into the protein's lower dielectric increases the E_m of ZnCe₆ by 0.07 V. Another modest increase of the E_m arises from interaction with dipoles of the protein backbone (0.05 V). In

Table 2. Effect of ionization state of three carboxylic groups of ZnCe₆ on its E_m.

	E _{m(sol)}	$\Delta\Delta G_{desolv}$	ΔG_{bkbn}	ΔG^{mfe}_{total}	ΔG_{prot}^{mfe}	$\Delta G^{mfe}_{carboxyls}$	E_m^{mfe}
All 3 titrated	0.58	0.07	0.05	-0.13	-0.10	-0.04	0.57
All 3 neutral	0.58	0.07	0.05	-0.03	-0.12	0.08	0.67
All 3 ionized	0.58	0.07	0.05	-0.22	-0.08	-0.14	0.48

Computed at pH = 6.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.t002

contrast the side chains of aminoacids (including the $ZnCe_6$ carboxylic groups) decrease the redox potential (**Table 2**).

The ionization state of the carboxyl groups is expected to affect the ZnCe₆ redox potential. While neutral carboxyl groups increase E_m by 0.14 V in water (**Table 1**), ionized groups will stabilize the cationic form and shift the E_m down. In addition the redox state of ZnCe₆ is bound to affect the pKas of its carboxyl groups. Oxidized ZnCe₆ will tend to lower the pKas and increase ionization of the carboxyl groups. This would tend to further lower the ZnCe₆ oxidation potential.

The case when all carboxyl groups are protonated before oxidation and remain protonated after oxidation provides an upper bound for the ZnCe₆ redox potential. With pKa values determined in the previous section, the charges of carboxylic groups with neutral ZnCe₆ at pH 6 are: -0.25, -0.56, -0.88 for formyl, acyl and propyl respectively when all carboxylic groups were titrated together. The probability of fully protonated ZnCe₆ (the product of occupancies of all 3 protonated groups) in this case is fairly low (4.4%). However, performing a titration of ZnCe₆ while the propyl group is fully charged decreases the redox potential by only 0.02 V compared to an all-neutral titration. The charges of carboxyl groups with the cation radical of ZnCe₆ at pH 6 increase to -0.93, -0.25, -0.93 for formyl, acyl and propyl respectively. The probability of a fully protonated cationic form of ZnCe₆ is very low. If all carboxylic groups are protonated in both cationic and neutral forms, the ZnCe6 has an Em of 0.67 V (**Table 2**). This result gives an idea of how high the E_m of $ZnCe_6$ in BFR-RC could be if the carboxyl groups were to be replaced by neutral groups. In another extreme, when all carboxyl groups are ionized, $ZnCe_6$ has the lowest E_m of 0.48 V (**Table 2**). Of course the actual value of E_m is somewhere between 0.48 and 0.67 mV. In agreement with upper and lower bound estimates we obtained an E_m of 0.57 V at pH 6 in calculations when the ionization of titratable groups was sampled simultaneously with the redox titration of ZnCe6. Our calculations also showed that in the pH interval from 4 to 8, the E_m decreases by 0.21 V (Fig. 3).

Contributions to the E_m shift from individual aminoacids in the BFR-RC are listed in **Table 3**. Seven aminoacids, located close to ZnCe₆, have the largest contributions to the E_m shift (**Fig. 4**). Four of these aminoacids form two pairs of salt bridges between two monomers, while one pair (Asp50-Lys53) forms a salt bridge within a single protein subunit. Although each of these charged aminoacids would have a large effect on E_m if considered separately, their participation in salt bridges neutralizes their



Figure 3. Calculated pH - dependence of the E_m of $ZnCe_6$ in BFR-RC (solid line, slope -46.4, R = 0.994) and in water (dashed line, slope -18.4, R = 0.950). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.q003



Figure 4. Aminoacids contributing the most to the E_m shift of ZnCe₆. Grey spheres represent hydrophobic side chains. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g004

effective charge greatly, thus decreasing their ability to shift the $E_{\rm m}$. Finally a large fraction of the decrease comes from Asn23. This aminoacid decreases $E_{\rm m}$ by -0.02 V in both monomers of the homodimer resulting in total shift of -0.04 V. The two manganese clusters contribute -0.02 mV each, and the longrange electrostatic contributions from the rest of the protein aminoacids decrease the $E_{\rm m}$ by -0.02 mV.

Table 3. Contributions from aminoacid side chains to the shift of $\text{ZnCe}_6 E_m$.

Aminoacid	E _m shift	Aminoacid	E _m shift	Total
Arg30	0.08	Asp56	-0.08	0.00
Lys 33	0.01	Glu 60	-0.02	-0.01
Lys 53	0.03	Asp 50	-0.02	0.01
Asn 23	-0.04			-0.04
Others				-0.05

Computed at pH = 6. Aminoacid pairs participating in salt bridges between chains A and B are shown in bold in the one row. The total E_m shift, computed as sum of both monomers is show.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.t003

Oxidation potentials of tyrosines in BFR-RC

Three tyrosines in BRF-RC are located near ZnCe₆ and the dimetal center. Tyr25, 45 and 58 are found at 3.8/10.6, 13.7/4.5 and 5.8/10.4 Å from the di-metal center/ZnCe₆ respectively. The four other tyrosines are located at the periphery of the protein far from both cofactors. After performing Monte-Carlo sampling of protonated neutral and protonated cationic radical species to determine the redox potential of each tyrosine in BFR-RC we found that Tyr25 has the lowest oxidation potential.

The decrease of Tyr25's oxidation potential arises from the high polarity of its immediate environment which stabilizes the Tyr25 cation radical. The protein environment of Tyr25 is shown in **Fig. 5**. The polarity of the Tyr25 environment is reflected by increase in its pKa of ~ 10 units. Most of this shift is due to Asp90 and Glu47. The presence of these two negatively charged amino acids in the vicinity of Tyr25 destabilizes the deprotonated form of Tyr25, while the positively charged radical is favoured.

Our Monte-Carlo simulations indicated that at pH 8 the Tvr25 oxidation potential is decreased in BFR-RC by 160 mV relative to the tyrosine reference potential of 1380 mV in solution. The oxidation potentials of all other tyrosines in BFR-RC are increased by >250 mV. The largest contributions to the E_m shift of Tyr25 are from Asp90 (-300 mV), the di-metal center with its ligands (-108 mV), Glu47 (-50 mV), Glu44 (30 mV) and Asp50 (-40 mV). Glu44, Glu47 and Asp90 are located approximately equidistantly from Tyr25, but only Asp90 has a large effect on its oxidation potential. This difference is because only Asp90 is fully ionized. Occupancy of the charged species of Glu47 is 0.2, and Glu44 which has the lowest influence on the shift of Tyr25 E_m is neutral. The occupancy of the neutral species of both Glu47 and Glu44 is high because both of them are located in a hydrophobic environment (Leu40, Leu87, Leu134, Trp133). Despite the decreased oxidation potential of Tyr25 in BFR-RC (1220 mV) it is still too high for a cation of ZnCe₆ to oxidize.

Discussion

The E_m of $ZnCe_6$ in DMSO is 0.54 V and our calculations predict that it remains not far from this value when $ZnCe_6$ is embedded in BFR-RC. This E_m value is significantly lower than was anticipated [6], and well below that required to oxidize water.



Figure 5. Details of the protein environment of Tyr25. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068421.g005

It also raises the question of how $ZnCe_6$ oxidizes tyrosine in the BFR-RC, as in all known cases the redox potential of tyrosine in proteins is near 1 V [33–35]. However, light-induced tyrosine oxidation has been observed in BFR-RC [6]. How can tyrosine be oxidized by $ZnCe_6$?

In PSII there are three possible mechanisms for the oxidation of tyrosine in the redox reaction between P680 and Mn-cluster (reviewed in [36]):

Reaction 1.
$$Y^- \to Y^+ e^-, E_{m(sol)}^{Y/Y^-} = +680 \text{ mV}$$

Reaction 2.
$$YH \rightarrow Y^+H^+ + e^-, E_{m(sol)}^{Y/YH} = +970 \text{ mV}$$

Reaction 3.
$$YH \rightarrow YH^+ + e^-, E_{m(sol)}^{YH^+/YH} = +1380 \text{ mV}$$

Where species of tyrosine are denoted as: YH, protonated neutral; YH^+ , protonated cationic radical; Y^- , deprotonated anion; Y, deprotonated neutral radical. These standard potentials were measured for N-acetyl-_L-tyrosinamide in aqueous solutions [36,37]. In aqueous solution, the pathway of tyrosine oxidation depends predominantly on pH. In a protein, these reactions can also be controlled by hydrogen bonds or electrostatic interactions of tyrosine with its local environment.

Our computations suggest that none of the above reactions could be driven by photooxidation of $ZnCe_6$. Reaction 1 requires the redox active tyrosine to be ionized. Tyrosine ionization can only occur if positive charges near the tyrosine significantly lower its pKa. None of the tyrosines in BFR-RC satisfy this requirement.

Reaction 2 requires a somewhat higher oxidation potential as compared to reaction 1. In solution Tyr is oxidized by this mechanism at +970 mV. Reaction 2 is proton-coupled and requires a suitable proton acceptor e.g. a nearby His, able to bind the proton released by tyrosine. None of the tyrosines in BFR-RC have suitable His proton acceptors nearby. However, we cannot exclude that water hydroxyls in specific hydrogen bond networks may accept protons from tyrosine.

In solution reaction 3 occurs at +1380 mV, in PSII the calculated E_m for this reaction is raised to +1576 mV [35]. We estimated that Tyr25 has the lowest oxidation potential of all tyrosines in BFR-RC, its E_m is around 1220 mV. Our calculations indicate that the single oxidized ZnCe₆ with oxidation potential of 570 mV at pH 6 would not be capable of tyrosine oxidation. Considering that a tyrosine cation radical EPR signal in BFR-RC has been observed after a prolonged exposure to saturating light or several saturating laser flashes [16,38] we suggest that a photogenerated ZnCe₆ di-cation [39] may be responsible for oxidizing tyrosine. The ZnCe₆ di-cation, having a solution value of 1022 mV, would have a sufficiently high E_m to oxidize tyrosine in BFR-RC after taking protein effects into account.

It is clear from our results that the relatively low oxidation potential of $ZnCe_6$ limits its use in the construction of a BFR-RC that would eventually be capable of oxidizing water. What factors could help increase the oxidation potential of $ZnCe_6$? Oxidation potentials of reaction center chlorophylls in photosynthetic organisms span a wide range from only 500 mV for P700 in PSI [40] and P870 in purple bacteria [41] to 1,100–1,200 mV for P680 in PSII [42,43]. Several major factors give rise to the differences in E_m [44]. Most of the difference in E_m between reaction centers of PSI and PSII originates from the protein atomic charges and charges of cofactors. Together they up-shift the E_m of P_{D1} in PSII by 325 mV, but, in contrast, shift the E_m of P_A in PSI down by -125 mV [44].

One of the major factors raising the E_m of P680 in PSII is the unique Mn_4Ca cluster, bearing a large positive charge. This cluster alone shifts the E_m of P_{D1} in PSII up by 214 mV [44]. The BFR-RC has two di-manganese centers composed of Mn(II) ions. Each of the di-manganese centers shifts the E_m of $ZnCe_6$ up by 85 mV. However, this effect in BFR-RC is compensated by the charged ligands to the manganese which down-shift the E_m by -90 mV. The combined effect of all aminoacid sidechains was found to shift the E_m of BFR-RC down by about -92 mV, not as much as the sidechains of PSI lacking the Mn_4Ca cluster. It is likely, however, that this down-shift would become smaller and maybe even turn into an up-shift with photooxidation of Mn(II) ions and formation of μ -oxo bridges.

Another major factor contributing to the increase of the E_m in P680 in PSII is its position close to the luminal edge of two transmembrane α -helixes (helixes $d_{D1/D2}$ providing axial His ligands to $P_{D1/D2}$). The protein backbone dipole of helix d shifts the E_m of P_{D1} in PSII by about 95 mV. The corresponding helix in PSI (helix j) shifts the E_m of P_A down by 28 mV [44]. The organization of helices coordinating ZnCe₆ in the BRF-RC is more similar to PSI than to PSII which is reflected by similar contributions from the backbones (40 mV).

The choice of $ZnCe_6$ for the role of photoactive pigment is attractive because of its availability and solubility in water, however, our work demonstrated that this pigment is not capable of providing sufficient oxidative power for the water splitting reaction. One of the problems is the detrimental effect of ionized carboxyl groups on the redox potential. Our calculations indicate that a simple replacement of these groups with neutral groups would increase the E_m of $ZnCe_6$ by 130 mV. Even higher potentials may be achieved by replacement of the acidic groups with basic groups.

Axial ligands to Zn are another factor known to affect redox potentials. For example, it has been shown that Met-Met coordination increases the potential of heme by about 200 mV compared to His-Met ligated heme [45]. In this aspect BFR-RC already has the best axial ligand, and replacing Met with His in BRF-RC would likely shift the potential down.

A large fraction of the E_m decrease observed in BFR-RC comes from interaction with the two Asp23 residues. Interestingly, this polar aminoacid is located in the hydrophobic region of the ZnCe₆ binding pocket. In addition, this aminoacid is conserved among ferritins from several organisms (PDB ID: 2FKZ, 3E1M, 3IS8, 3FVB) suggesting that it serves to regulate heme potential. Replacing it with an aliphatic residue is expected to eliminate this negative effect. Another potential modification is replacement

References

- 1. Barber J (2003) Photosystem II: the engine of life. Q Rev Biophys 36: 71-89.
- Wydrzynski T, Satoh K (2005) Photosystem II: The Light-Driven Water: Plastoquinone Oxidoreductase Series: Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration, vol 22. Dordrecht: Springer. 786 p.
- Umena Y, Kawakami K, Shen JR, Kamiya N (2011) Crystal structure of oxygen-evolving photosystem II at a resolution of 1.9 angstrom. Nature 473: 55-U65. DOI 10.1038/nature09913.
- Joliot P, Barbieri G, Chabaud R (1969) A new model of photochemical centers in system-2. Photochem Photobiol 10: 309.
- Kok B, Forbush B, McGloin M (1970) Cooperation of charges in photosynthetic O2 evolution. 1. A linear four step mechanism. Photochem Photobiol 11: 457– 475.
- Conlan B, Cox N, Su JH, Hillier W, Messinger J, et al. (2009) Photo-catalytic oxidation of a di-nuclear manganese centre in an engineered bacterioferritin 'reaction centre'. Biochim Biophys Acta 1787: 1112–1121. DOI 10.1016/ j.bbabio.2009.04.011.
- Andrews SC, Robinson AK, Rodriguez-Quinones F (2003) Bacterial iron homeostasis. Fems Microbiology Reviews 27: 215–237.

of Asp50. In native BFR Asp50 forms a salt bridge with Lys53. Replacement of Asp50 with a polar or even basic aminoacid would break this salt bridge without affecting the interaction between the two monomers. This would facilitate the interaction of Lys53 with the carboxylic acids of $ZnCe_6$ potentially neutralizing the effect of their negative charge.

In summary we have determined a number of protein structural factors contributing to the redox potential of $ZnCe_6$ in BFR-RC, and by emulating some of the features of PSII it may be possible to raise the potential by several hundred millivolts, but not likely all the way up to the 1.2 V required to oxidize water.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic diagram of atom names for ZnCe₆. (TIF)

Figure S2 Experimental vs. calculated E_m 's of Znchlorin derivatives. DFT systematically underestimates values by 0.1 V, but after correction for this systematic shift all computed values are within 20 mV from measured. Experimental E_m 's of the following Zn-chlorins were used: ZnC, ZnC - $A^3M^{10}A^{13}$, ZnC $-M^{10}$, ZnC $-T^5$, ZnC $-P^{15}$, ZnC -OP, ZnC $-T^5M^{10}P^{15}$, ZnC $-P^{10}$, ZnC $-P^3M^{10}$ from [1] and ZnCe₆ from the current work. [1] H.L. Kee, C. Kirmaier, G. Tang, J.R. Diers, C. Muthiah, M. Taniguch, J.K. Laha, M. Ptaszek, J.S. Lindsey, D.F. Bocian, D. Hoten, Effects of substituents on synthetic analogs of chlorophylls. Part 2: Redox properties, optical spectra and electronic structure, Photochem. Photobiol. 83 (2007) pp. 1125–1143.

(TIF)

Table S1 Atomic charges for neutral and oxidized ZnCe₆. The charges were derived using RESP-A1 procedure (HF/6-31G*, Connolly surface, 2 RESP stages, qwt=0.0005/0.001). Cavity radii for Poisson-Boltzmann electro-statics computations: Zn = 1.47, C = 1.7, O = 1.4, N = 1.5, H = 1.0. PARSE charges for -COOH groups were used for computation of pKas. (DOCX)

Model S1 The QM/MM optimized structure of the BFR-RC with bound $ZnCe_6$.

(PDB)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AM SV. Performed the experiments: AM SV PP. Analyzed the data: AM SV PP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DB AVDE. Wrote the paper: AM SV DB.

- Andrews SC (2010) The Ferritin-like superfamily: Evolution of the biological iron storeman from a rubrerythrin-like ancestor. Biochim Biophys Acta 1800: 691–705. DOI 10.1016/j.bbagen.2010.05.010.
- Carrondo MA (2003) Ferritins, iron uptake and storage from the bacterioferritin viewpoint. EMBO J 22: 1959–1968.
- Dautant A, Meyer JB, Yariv J, Precigoux G, Sweet RM, et al. (1998) Structure of a monoclinic crystal form of cytochrome b1 (bacterioferritin) from E-coli. Acta Crystallographica Section D-Biological Crystallography 54: 16–24.
- Frolow F, Kalb AJ, Yariv J (1994) Structure of A Unique Twofold Symmetrical Heme-Binding Site. Nature Structural Biology 1: 453–460.
- Crichton RR, Declercq JP (2010) X-ray structures of ferritins and related proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1800: 706–718. DOI 10.1016/j.bbagen. 2010.03.019.
- 13. Smith K (1975) Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins. Amsterdam: Elsevier. 179 p.
- Merrick JP, Moran D, Radom L (2007) An evaluation of harmonic vibrational frequency scale factors. J Phys Chem A 111: 11683–11700. DOI 10.1021/ jp073974n.

- Marenich AV, Olson RM, Kelly CP, Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG (2007) Selfconsistent reaction field model for aqueous and nonaqueous solutions based on accurate polarized partial charges. J Chem Theor Comput 3: 2011–2033.
- Hingorani K, Conlan B, Hillier W, Wydrzynski T (2009) Elucidating Photochemical Pathways of Tyrosine Oxidation in an Engineered Bacterioferritin 'Reaction Centre'. Aust J Chem 62: 1351–1354. DOI 10.1071/ CH09264.
- Case DA, Darden TA, Cheatham TE, Simmerling C, Wang J, et al. (2008) AMBER 10. San Francisco: University of California.
- Hawkins GD, Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG (1996) Parametrized models of aqueous free energies of solvation based on pairwise descreening of solute atomic charges from a dielectric medium. J Phys Chem 100: 19824–19839.
- Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, et al. (2009) Gaussian 09, Revision A.1. Wallingford CT: Gaussian, Inc.
- Bayly CI, Cieplak P, Cornell WD, Kollman PA (1993) A Well-Behaved Electrostatic Potential Based Method Using Charge Restraints for Deriving Atomic Charges - the Resp Model. J Phys Chem 97: 10269–10280.
- Song YF, Mao JJ, Gunner MR (2009) MCCE2: Improving protein pK(a) calculations with extensive side chain rotamer sampling. J Comput Chem 30: 2231–2247.
- Comte P, Vasil'ev S, Houghten S, Bruce D (2011) Genetic algorithm with alternating selection pressure for protein side-chain packing and pK(a) prediction. Biosystems 105: 263–270.
- Nicholls A, Honig B (1991) A Rapid Finite-Difference Algorithm, Utilizing Successive Over-Relaxation to Solve the Poisson-Boltzmann Equation. J Comput Chem 12: 435–445.
- Sitkoff D, Sharp KA, Honig B (1994) Accurate Calculation of Hydration Free-Energies Using Macroscopic Solvent Models. J Phys Chem 98: 1978–1988.
- Tan CH, Yang LJ, Luo R (2006) How well does Poisson-Boltzmann implicit solvent agree with explicit solvent? A quantitative analysis. J Phys Chem B 110: 18680–18687.
- Song YF, Michonova-Alexova E, Gunner MR (2006) Calculated proton uptake on anaerobic reduction of cytochrome c oxidase: Is the reaction electroneutral? Biochemistry 45: 7959–7975. DOI 10.1021/bi052183d.
- Lin CL, Fang MY, Cheng SH (2002) Substituent and axial ligand effects on the electrochemistry of zinc porphyrins. J Electroanal Chem 531: 155–162.
- Heimdal J, Jensen KP, Devarajan A, Ryde U (2007) The role of axial ligands for the structure and function of chlorophylls. J Biol Inorg Chem 12: 49–61.
- Kee HL, Kirmaier C, Tang Q, Diers JR, Muthiah C, et al. (2007) Effects of substituents on synthetic analogs of chlorophylls. Part 1: Synthesis, vibrational properties and excited-state decay characteristics. Photochem Photobiol 83: 1110–1124. DOI 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00150.x.
- Kee HL, Kirmaier C, Tang G, Diers JR, Muthiah C, et al. (2007) Effects of substituents on synthetic analogs of chlorophylls. Part 2: Redox properties,

optical spectra and electronic structure. Photochem Photobiol 83: 1125–1143. DOI 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00151.x.

- Zheng Z, Gunner MR (2009) Analysis of the electrochemistry of hemes with E(m)s spanning 800 mV. Proteins-Structure Function and Bioinformatics 75: 719–734. DOI 10.1002/prot.22282.
- Hasegawa K, Noguchi T (2005) Density functional theory calculations on the dielectric constant dependence of the oxidation potential of chlorophyll: Implication for the high potential of P680 in photosystem II. Biochemistry 44: 8865–8872.
- Moore GF, Hambourger M, Kodis G, Michl W, Gust D, et al. (2010) Effects of Protonation State on a Tyrosine-Histidine Bioinspired Redox Mediator. J Phys Chem B 114: 14450–14457.
- Berry BW, Martinez-Rivera MC, Tommos C (2012) Reversible voltammograms and a Pourbaix diagram for a protein tyrosine radical. Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am 109: 9739–9743.
- Ishikita H, Knapp EW (2006) Function of redox-active tyrosine in photosystem II. Biophys J 90: 3886–3896.
- Tommos C, Babcock GT (2000) Proton and hydrogen currents in photosynthetic water oxidation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1458: 199–219.
- Defelippis MR, Murthy CP, Broiman F, Weinraub D, Faraggi M, et al. (1991) Electrochemical Properties of Tyrosine Phenoxy and Tryptophan Indolyl Radicals in Peptides and Amino-Acid-Analogs. J Phys Chem 95: 3416–3419.
- Conlan B, Wydrzynski T, Hillier W (2007) Designing artificial photosynthesis: Engineering bacterioferritin for light-activated electron transfer. Photosynth Res 91: 281.
- Fajer J, Borg DC, Forman A, Dolphin D, Felton RH (1970) Pi-Cation Radicals and Dications of Metalloporphyrins. J Am Chem Soc 92: 3451-&.
- Brettel K (1997) Electron transfer and arrangement of the redox cofactors in photosystem I. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1318: 322–373.
- Williams JC, Alden RG, Murchison HA, Peloquin JM, Woodbury NW, et al. (1992) Effects of Mutations Near the Bacteriochlorophylls in Reaction Centers from Rhodobacter-Sphaeroides. Biochemistry 31: 11029–11037.
- Rappaport F, Guergova-Kuras M, Nixon PJ, Diner BA, Lavergne J (2002) Kinetics and pathways of charge recombination in photosystem II. Biochemistry 41: 8518–8527.
- Ishikita H, Loll B, Biesiadka J, Saenger W, Knapp EW (2005) Redox potentials of chlorophylls in the photosystem II reaction center. Biochemistry 44: 4118– 4124.
- 44. Ishikita H, Saenger W, Biesiadka J, Loll B, Knapp EW (2006) How photosynthetic reaction centers control oxidation power in chlorophyll pairs P680, P700, and P870. Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am 103: 9855–9860.
- Barker PD, Nerou EP, Cheesman MR, Thomson AJ, deOliveira P, et al. (1996) Bis-methionine ligation to heme iron in mutants of cytochrome b(562) .1. Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization of the electronic properties'. Biochemistry 35: 13618–13626.