



Draft Genome Sequences of Two *Clostridium botulinum* Group II Strains Carrying Phage-Like Plasmids

Brigitte Cadieux,^a [®]Opeyemi U. Lawal,^b Jean-Guillaume Emond-Rheault,^c Julie Jeukens,^c Luca Freschi,^c Irena Kukavica-Ibrulj,^c Roger C. Levesque,^c John W. Austin,^d Lawrence Goodridge^b

^aDepartment of Food Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Food Safety and Quality Program, McGill University, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada ^bCanadian Research Institute for Food Safety, Department of Food Science, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada ^cInstitut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada ^dBureau of Microbial Hazards, Health Products and Food Branch, Food Directorate, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

ABSTRACT *Clostridium botulinum* is responsible for botulism, a potentially lethal foodborne intoxication. Here, we report the draft genome sequences of *C. botulinum* group II strains 202F (serotype F) and Hazen (serotype E). The genomes share many similarities, including multiple mobile genetic elements.

C lostridium botulinum is an anaerobic, Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium that produces the neurotoxin that causes botulism (1, 2). Exposure to the neurotoxin occurs mainly through the ingestion of contaminated food but can also occur through bacterial colonization of wounds or injection of the toxin for cosmetic or therapeutic purposes (1). Based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing and their physiological differences, *C. botulinum* strains are divided into four groups (I to IV) (3), with most cases of foodborne botulism being associated with groups I and II (4, 5). Here, the draft genome sequences of two *C. botulinum* group II strains are presented.

The *C. botulinum* strains 202F and Hazen were originally isolated from marine sediments in California, USA, in 1965 (6), and salmon in Nova Scotia, Canada, in 1932 (7), respectively. The isolates were identified as *C. botulinum* and serotyped as previously described (6, 8). They were grown on a McClung-Toabe agar base containing 0.5% Bacto yeast extract (Becton, Dickinson and Company, MD, USA) and 5% egg yolk suspension for 2 to 3 days at 35°C in anaerobic conditions (9). DNA was extracted using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA libraries were generated using the KAPA HyperPrep kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, USA). Paired-end (2 × 300-bp) sequencing was performed using the Illumina MiSeq instrument. The sequence reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.33 (10). Reads with a per-base Phred score above 20 in at least 100 bp were assembled *de novo* using the A5 pipeline (11). Annotation was performed using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline v6.1 (12). Plasmids and prophages were identified using MOB-suite v3.0 (13) and PHASTER (14), respectively. The homology between sequences was determined using BLAST v2.9.0 (15). Default parameters were used for all tools.

Sequencing of strain 202F yielded 845,112 raw reads and 34 contigs with $30 \times$ median coverage, while 629,334 raw reads and 80 contigs with $25 \times$ median coverage were obtained for strain Hazen. The 202F and Hazen genomes comprise 3,829,425 bp and 3,821,401 bp, respectively, and both have 27.2% G+C content. Strain 202F contained 3,496 protein-coding sequences and 121 RNAs, while Hazen had 3,422 protein-coding sequences and 120 RNAs. A comparative analysis between the previously sequenced 202F genome (GenBank accession number CP006903.1) (2) and that obtained in this study showed 99% nucleotide sequence homology. A mobilizable plasmid (3.5 kb, contig 25) with 99% sequence homology to the *Francisella* sp. strain MA067296 plasmid (CP016929.1) was

Editor David Rasko, University of Maryland School of Medicine

© Crown copyright 2022. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Lawrence Goodridge, goodridl@uoguelph.ca. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 7 February 2022 Accepted 3 May 2022 Published 18 May 2022 identified in 202F. Another plasmid (40.1 kb, contig 16) with 99% sequence homology to *C. botulinum* pCBI (CP006904.1) (2) was also present in this strain. This plasmid was identified using PHASTER as an intact phage, indicative of a putative phage-like plasmid. Additionally, two prophages (17 kb, contig 3; 28.8 kb, contig 5) were identified. For Hazen, three prophages (35.5 kb, contig 19; 39.1 kb, contig 6; 43 kb, contig 32) were identified, in addition to one probable phage (57.1 kb, contig 2) that carried essential plasmid genes (e.g., *parA*, *parB*) indicative of a putative phage-like plasmid.

Data availability. The genome sequences of *C. botulinum* strains 202F and Hazen have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession numbers NPMX0000000.1 and NPMY00000000.1 for the contigs and SRA accession numbers SRR17916278 and SRR17916277 for the raw reads, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the EcoGenomics Analysis Platform at IBIS, Université Laval, and the members of the Food Safety and Quality Program at McGill University.

REFERENCES

- Rao AK, Sobel J, Chatham-Stephens K, Luquez C. 2021. Clinical guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of botulism, 2021. MMWR Recomm Rep 70: 1–30. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr7002a1.
- Smith TJ, Hill KK, Xie G, Foley BT, Williamson CHD, Foster JT, Johnson SL, Chertkov O, Teshima H, Gibbons HS, Johnsky LA, Karavis MA, Smith LA. 2015. Genomic sequences of six botulinum neurotoxin-producing strains representing three clostridial species illustrate the mobility and diversity of botulinum neurotoxin genes. Infect Genet Evol 30:102–113. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.12.002.
- Hill KK, Smith TJ, Helma CH, Ticknor LO, Foley BT, Svensson RT, Brown JL, Johnson EA, Smith LA, Okinaka RT, Jackson PJ, Marks JD. 2007. Genetic diversity among botulinum neurotoxin-producing clostridial strains. J Bacteriol 189:818–832. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01180-06.
- Leclair D, Fung J, Isaac-Renton JL, Proulx J-F, May-Hadford J, Ellis A, Ashton E, Bekal S, Farber JM, Blanchfield B, Austin JW. 2013. Foodborne botulism in Canada, 1985–2005. Emerg Infect Dis 19:961–968. https://doi .org/10.3201/eid1906.120873.
- Carter AT, Peck MW. 2015. Genomes, neurotoxins and biology of Clostridium botulinum Group I and Group II. Res Microbiol 166:303–317. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2014.10.010.
- Eklund MW, Poysky FT, Wieler DI. 1967. Characteristics of Clostridium botulinum type F isolated from the Pacific Coast of the United States. Appl Microbiol 15:1316–1323. https://doi.org/10.1128/am.15.6.1316-1323.1967.
- Hazen E. 1938. Incitants of human botulinism. Science 87:413–414. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.87.2262.413.

- Hazen EL. 1937. A strain of B. Botulinus not classified as type A, B, or C. J Infect Dis 60:260–264. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/60.3.260.
- Leclair D, Pagotto F, Farber JM, Cadieux B, Austin JW. 2006. Comparison of DNA fingerprinting methods for use in investigation of type E botulism outbreaks in the Canadian Arctic. J Clin Microbiol 44:1635–1644. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.5.1635-1644.2006.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Tritt A, Eisen JA, Facciotti MT, Darling AE. 2012. An integrated pipeline for de novo assembly of microbial genomes. PLoS One 7:e42304. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042304.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- Robertson J, Nash JHE. 2018. MOB-suite: software tools for clustering, reconstruction and typing of plasmids from draft assemblies. Microbial Genomics 4:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000206.
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16–W21. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.