

## Sequence analysis

# Cas-analyzer: an online tool for assessing genome editing results using NGS data

Jeongbin Park<sup>1,†</sup>, Kayeong Lim<sup>2,3</sup>, Jin-Soo Kim<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Sangsu Bae<sup>1,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea, <sup>2</sup>Center for Genome Engineering, Institute for Basic Science, Seoul, South Korea, <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea and, <sup>4</sup>Research Institute for Convergence of Basic Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Division of Theoretical Bioinformatics, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany  
Associate Editor: Alfonso Valencia

Received on May 31, 2016; revised on August 12, 2016; accepted on August 22, 2016

## Abstract

**Summary:** Genome editing with programmable nucleases has been widely adopted in research and medicine. Next generation sequencing (NGS) platforms are now widely used for measuring the frequencies of mutations induced by CRISPR-Cas9 and other programmable nucleases. Here, we present an online tool, Cas-Analyzer, a JavaScript-based implementation for NGS data analysis. Because Cas-Analyzer is completely used at a client-side web browser on-the-fly, there is no need to upload very large NGS datasets to a server, a time-consuming step in genome editing analysis. Currently, Cas-Analyzer supports various programmable nucleases, including single nucleases and paired nucleases.

**Availability and Implementation:** Free access at <http://www.rgenome.net/cas-analyzer/>.

**Contact:** [sangsubae@hanyang.ac.kr](mailto:sangsubae@hanyang.ac.kr) or [jskim01@snu.ac.kr](mailto:jskim01@snu.ac.kr)

**Supplementary information:** [Supplementary data](#) are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

## 1 Introduction

Programmable nucleases such as zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs), transcription-activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), and RNA-guided endonucleases derived from CRISPR-Cas9/Cpf1 systems, which are adaptive immune responses in bacteria and archaea, are widely used for genome editing in many research fields including biology, biotechnology, agriculture, and medical science (Kim and Kim, 2014). The type II Cas9 nuclease from *Streptococcus pyogenes* (SpCas9) was the first CRISPR nuclease used for genome editing (Cho *et al.*, 2013; Cong *et al.*, 2013; Jinek *et al.*, 2013; Mali *et al.*, 2013); since that time, various orthogonal Cas9 nucleases such as StCas9 (Cong *et al.*, 2013), NmCas9 (Hou *et al.*, 2013) and SaCas9 (Ran *et al.*, 2015) have been developed. Recently, putative type V Cpf1 nucleases from *Acidaminococcus* and *Lachnospiraceae* were reported to mediate efficient genome editing in human cells (Kim *et al.*, 2016a; Zetsche *et al.*, 2015) and mice (Hur *et al.*, 2016; Kim *et al.*, 2016b). Moreover, dimeric CRISPR nucleases such as RNA-guided nickases (Cho *et al.*, 2014; Ran *et al.*, 2013) and RNA-guided FokI nucleases (Tsai *et al.*, 2014), or biochemical

improvement of wild-type SpCas9 (Kleinstiver *et al.*, 2016; Slaymaker *et al.*, 2016) have been developed for genome editing to reduce off-target effects.

Programmable nucleases introduce DNA double-strand breaks at user-defined target sites in the genome, ultimately inducing targeted gene knockout or knock-in via the cell's own repair systems [error-prone non-homologous end joining or homology-directed repair (HDR) in the presence of a DNA template, respectively]. The induced mutation rates in cells can be estimated in a straightforward manner by using Surveyor nuclease (Perez *et al.*, 2008), the T7 endonuclease I (T7E1) assay (Kim *et al.*, 2009), polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Zhu *et al.*, 2014) or droplet digital PCR (Nelson *et al.*, 2016). However, these methods do not allow analysis of mutant sequences and are limited by relatively poor sensitivity. Recently we and other groups have used targeted deep sequencing to detect programmable nuclease-induced mutations with high sensitivity and precision and to analyze mutation patterns (Baek *et al.*, 2016).

However, analysis of next generation sequencing (NGS) data is difficult for many researchers. Although a few web-based tools such as



*Conflict of Interest:* none declared.

## References

- Baek, K. *et al.* (2016) DNA-free two-gene knockout in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 30620.
- Cho, S.W. *et al.* (2013) Targeted genome engineering in human cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **31**, 230–232.
- Cho, S.W. *et al.* (2014) Analysis of off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas-derived RNA-guided endonucleases and nickases. *Genome Res.*, **24**, 132–141.
- Cong, L. *et al.* (2013) Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems. *Science*, **339**, 819–823.
- Güell, M. *et al.* (2014) Genome editing assessment using CRISPR Genome Analyzer (CRISPR-GA). *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2968–2970.
- Hou, Z. *et al.* (2013) Efficient genome engineering in human pluripotent stem cells using Cas9 from *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **110**, 15644–15649.
- Hur, J.K. *et al.* (2016) Targeted mutagenesis in mice by electroporation of Cpf1 ribonucleoproteins. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **34**, 807–808.
- Jinek, M. *et al.* (2013) RNA-programmed genome editing in human cells. *eLife*, **2**, e00471.
- Kim, D. *et al.* (2016a) Genome-wide analysis reveals specificities of Cpf1 endonucleases in human cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **34**, 863–868.
- Kim, H.J. *et al.* (2009) Targeted genome editing in human cells with zinc finger nucleases constructed via modular assembly. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 1279–1288.
- Kim, H. and Kim, J.S. (2014) A guide to genome engineering with programmable nucleases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **15**, 321–334.
- Kim, Y. *et al.* (2016b) Generation of knockout mice by Cpf1-mediated gene targeting. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **34**, 808–810.
- Kleinstiver, B.P. *et al.* (2016) High-fidelity CRISPR–Cas9 nucleases with no detectable genome-wide off-target effects. *Nature*, **529**, 490–495.
- Mali, P. *et al.* (2013) RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science*, **339**, 823–826.
- Nelson, C.E. *et al.* (2016) In vivo genome editing improves muscle function in a mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Science*, **351**, 403–407.
- Perez, E.E. *et al.* (2008) Establishment of HIV-1 resistance in CD4+ T cells by genome editing using zinc-finger nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **26**, 808–816.
- Pinello, L. *et al.* (2016) Analyzing CRISPR genome-editing experiments with CRISPResso. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **34**, 695–697.
- Ran, F.A. *et al.* (2013) Double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR Cas9 for enhanced genome editing specificity. *Cell*, **154**, 1380–1389.
- Ran, F.A. *et al.* (2015) In vivo genome editing using *Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9. *Nature*, **520**, 186–191.
- Rice, P. *et al.* (2000) EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. *Trends Genet.*, **16**, 276–277.
- Slaymaker, I.M. *et al.* (2016) Rationally engineered Cas9 nucleases with improved specificity. *Science*, **351**, 84–88.
- Tsai, S.Q. *et al.* (2014) Dimeric CRISPR RNA-guided FokI nucleases for highly specific genome editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **32**, 569–576.
- Xue, L.J. and Tsai, C.J. (2015) AGESeq: analysis of genome editing by sequencing. *Mol. Plant*, **8**, 1428–1430.
- Zetsche, B. *et al.* (2015) Cpf1 Is a Single RNA-Guided Endonuclease of a Class 2 CRISPR–Cas System. *Cell*, **163**, 759–771.
- Zhu, X. *et al.* (2014) An efficient genotyping method for genome-modified animals and human cells generated with CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 6420.