Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Bone Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bonr

# Glucocorticoid receptor-mediated *cis*-repression of osteogenic genes requires BRM-SWI/SNF<sup>\*</sup>

Michael J. Pico<sup>a</sup>, Sharareh Hashemi<sup>a</sup>, Fuhua Xu<sup>a</sup>, Kevin Hong Nguyen<sup>a</sup>, Robert Donnelly<sup>b</sup>, Elizabeth Moran<sup>a,\*</sup>, Stephen Flowers<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Orthopaedics, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New, Jersey, Newark, NJ 07103

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New, Jersey, Newark, NJ 07103

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 July 2016 Received in revised form 26 July 2016 Accepted 29 July 2016 Available online 29 July 2016

Keywords: BRM SWI/SNF Glucocorticoids Glucocorticoid receptor Osteoblasts Osteogenesis Bglap Per3 FasL Mesenchymal stem cells

#### ABSTRACT

Glucocorticoids are an effective therapy for a variety of severe inflammatory and autoimmune disorders; however, the therapeutic use of glucocorticoids is severely limited by their negative side effects, particularly on osteogenesis. Glucocorticoids regulate transcription by binding to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which then binds the promoters of target genes to induce either activation or repression. The gene activation effects of nuclear hormone receptors broadly require the cooperation of the chromatin remodeling complex known as SWI/SNF, which is powered by an ATPase core. The well-studied SWI/SNF ATPase, BRG1, is required for gene activation by a spectrum of nuclear hormone receptors including GR. However, glucocorticoid-induced side effects specifically related to impaired osteogenesis are mostly linked with GR-mediated repression. We have considered whether cisrepression of osteogenic genes by GR may be mediated by a distinct subclass of SWI/SNF powered by the alternative ATPase, BRM. BRM does not have an essential role in mammalian development, but plays a repressor role in osteoblast differentiation and favors adipogenic lineage selection over osteoblast commitment, effects that mirror the repressor effects of GR. The studies reported here examine three key GR cis-repression gene targets, and show that GR association with these promoters is sharply reduced in BRM deficient cells. Each of these GR-targeted genes act in a different way. Bglap encodes osteocalcin, which contributes to normal maturation of osteoblasts from committed pre-osteoblasts. The Per3 gene product acts in uncommitted mesenchymal stem cells to influence the osteoblast/adipocyte lineage selection point. Fas ligand, encoded by FasL, is a means by which osteoblasts can modulate bone degradation by osteoclasts. Repression of each of these genes by glucocorticoid favors bone loss. The essential role of BRM in cooperation with GR at each of these control points offers a novel mechanistic understanding of the role of GR in bone loss

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Glucocorticoids (GCs) are an effective therapy for a variety of severe inflammatory and autoimmune disorders; however, the use of glucocorticoids at pharmacological doses is severely limited by their negative side effects, particularly on osteogenesis (for recent reviews, see Moutsatsou et al., 2012; Manolagas 2013; Henneicke et al., 2014; Frenkel et al., 2015; Hartmann et al., 2016). Physiological levels of

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Member, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, 205 South Orange Avenue, Newark, NJ 07103, USA.

glucocorticoids promote skeletal growth and development, but pharmacological doses can cause osteoporosis, with fractures occurring in 30–50% of patients receiving extended GC therapy (reviewed in Hartmann et al., 2016). Glucocorticoids affect multiple cell types that impinge on bone formation and remodeling, but disruption of GC signaling in a cell-specific manner indicates that direct action of GCs on osteoblasts is the main basis for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis (O' Brien et al., 2004; reviewed in Henneicke et al., 2014 and Hartmann et al., 2016).

Glucocorticoids regulate expression of target genes by binding to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR). Upon binding, the GR translocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, where it homodimerizes and can bind to glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) in the promoters of target genes. Binding directly to positive GREs leads to upregulation of gene expression, whereas binding to negative GREs (nGREs) leads to transcriptional repression (reviewed in Moutsatou et al., 2012). The glucocorticoid receptor may also influence gene expression indirectly by binding to other DNA-bound transcription factors. By means of these

2352-1872/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





CrossMark

<sup>☆</sup> Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01GM073257 (EM). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Support was also provided by a Translational Research Award from the Foundation of UMDNJ (now NJ Health Foundation). We also gratefully acknowledge the support of Fred F. Buechel, MD.
\* Corresponding author at: Department of Orthopaedics, New Jersey Medical School,

E-mail address: moranel@njms.rutgers.edu (E. Moran).

effects on gene expression – direct and indirect, positive and negative – the glucocorticoid receptor mediates increased transcription of antiinflammatory genes and decreased expression of pro-inflammatory genes. The anti-inflammatory effects are believed to arise from indirect repression of transcription factors that promote inflammation, as well as direct activation of anti-inflammatory gene expression.

In contrast, the side effects that specifically relate to impaired differentiation and maturation of osteoblasts are mostly linked with GRmediated repression (Moutsatsou et al., 2012). In particular, the glucocorticoid receptor has been shown to target nGREs directly in the promoter of the osteogenic gene, Bglap (Aslam et al., 1995; Shalhoub et al., 1998), which encodes osteocalcin, a key component of bone extracellular matrix and a physiological marker of osteoblast activity. In addition, pharmacological doses of glucocorticoids favor diversion of mesenchymal stem cells to the adipocyte lineage rather than the osteoblast lineage (reviewed in Henneicke et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2016). This includes transactivation effects on pro-adipogenic genes, but glucocorticoid-mediated repression plays an important role as well by targeting inhibitors of adipogenesis. A well-characterized example is the Per3 gene product, which forms an inhibitory complex with the pro-adipogenic transcription factor PPARy, and is repressed (directly or indirectly) with glucocorticoid treatment (Costa et al., 2011).

We have considered whether cis-repression by GR may be mediated by a specific subclass of the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex. Mammalian SWI/SNF is recognized as a necessary effector for the general transcription-activating effects of the nuclear hormone receptor family including GR (King et al., 2012). The complex uses the energy of ATP hydrolysis to remodel chromatin to permit or preclude promoter access for various transcription-regulating factors. Mammalian SWI/SNF exists as subclasses, powered by either of two core ATPases. One is the BRM ATPase, which was named as an analog of the Drosophila protein, brahma. The second is BRG1 (the product of BRM-related gene-1). Though the two ATPases are closely related, their requirement in development is very different. Mice lacking BRG1 die early in embryogenesis (Bultman et al., 2000), while BRM-null mice are viable and fertile and live full life spans (Reyes et al., 1998). Consequently, BRG1 has been widely studied, and among other findings, appears to be essential for the transcription activation effects of nuclear hormone receptors, including the glucocorticoid receptor (Clapier and Cairns 2009, McKenna et al., 1999). On the other hand, the involvement of SWI/SNF in nuclear hormone receptor mediated repression has not been broadly addressed, but evidence outlined below suggests that the BRM ATPase may play a role in GR-mediated repression.

Despite the limited role of BRM in development, conservation of this alternative ATPase across all higher eukaryotes argues that BRM enacts biologically significant functions. Evidence that BRM has an important influence on lineage fate comes from studies of gene expression specific to osteoblasts and adipocytes. BRM is not essential for development of either lineage, but BRM depletion in mesenchymal stem cell precursors impairs adipogenic gene expression and favors commitment to the osteoblast lineage. This manifests in BRM-null mice as resistance to agerelated osteoporosis with reduced bone marrow adiposity (Nguyen et al., 2015). BRM depletion releases repression of key osteoblastic genes, including the osteocalcin gene Bglap, whose promoter is occupied directly by BRM-SWI/SNF prior to induction (Flowers et al., 2009). BRM-depleted cells are unable to maintain effective cooccupation of the Bglap promoter by repressor factors that include repressor members of the E2F transcription factor family and their binding partner p130, as well as histone deacetylase-1 (HDAC1) (Flowers et al., 2011). There is a striking correlation between the effects of BRM and the biological effects of glucocorticoids on bone, including the evidence that GR and BRM both target the osteocalcin promoter directly to repress transactivation. Moreover, a recent ChIP-seq approach linked BRM with GR-mediated repression, in direct contrast to the general coactivating role of BRG1 (Engel and Yamamoto, 2011). This analysis was performed in tumor cells, but is likely to be more widely applicable. Given this background, we have investigated the possibility that BRM plays a specific role in GR-mediated repression of osteogenesis.

#### 2. Results and discussion

## 2.1. BRM depletion blocks glucocorticoid-mediated repression of osteocalcin (Bglap) gene expression

The MC3T3-E1 murine calvarial cell model (Kodama et al., 1981; Sudo et al., 1983; Kartsogiannia and Ng, 2004) was used here to assess the role of BRM in glucocorticoid-mediated repression of gene expression. As a chromatin-remodeling complex, BRM-SWI/SNF acts directly by promoter association. The best-characterized gene target of glucocorticoid-mediated repression in osteoblasts is osteocalcin, a key marker of late-stage osteoblast differentiation. Expression of the osteocalcin-encoding gene (Bglap) is negatively affected by glucocorticoid treatment in vitro (Strömstedt et al., 1991). We showed previously that induction of osteocalcin gene expression is accelerated in BRMdeficient osteoblasts (Flowers et al., 2009), raising the possibility that glucocorticoid-mediated repression of osteocalcin expression may be dependent on BRM. Analysis by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) in parental cells shows typical robust induction of osteocalcin expression at days 7 and 14 post-induction with differentiation medium (Fig. 1A). Treatment with dexamethasone blocks induction almost completely in the parental pre-osteoblasts. However, the BRM-depleted cells show accelerated induction of osteocalcin gene expression that is largely resistant to the suppressive effect of dexamethasone. A parallel experiment comparing cells depleted for the BRG1 ATPase shows BRG1 required for normal transactivation of the osteocalcin gene as expected. Notably, repression of the osteocalcin gene by dexamethasone remains effective in the BRG1-depleted cells, emphasizing that repression via BRM in cooperation with GR is distinct from the activation effect of BRG1.

### 2.2. BRM is required for efficient GR promoter access in the regulation of osteocalcin expression

Very little is known about specific gene targets of GR repression in osteoblasts, but DNAse protection assays indicate GR acts on *Bglap* by direct *cis*-repression (Strömstedt et al., 1991; reviewed in Moutsatsou et al., 2012). The osteocalcin gene is also a direct target of BRM during repression (Flowers et al., 2009), but it is not known whether GR targeting depends on BRM. We addressed this question using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis (Fig. 1B). The results show that GR targets the osteocalcin promoter directly in non-induced MC3T3-E1 pre-osteoblasts when osteocalcin expression is repressed; however, in BRM depleted cells incubated in the same conditions, GR is undetectable at the promoter. The agarose gel images demonstrate the end-point PCR products directly, and quantitative analysis confirms the loss of GR and BRM. As end-point PCR is more informative in this context, this was used in subsequent assays.

In pre-osteoblasts, osteocalcin gene expression is normally repressed, and the action of GR in Fig. 1B is supported by endogenous ligand. The promoter association patterns of GR and BRM were also examined in cells induced to osteoblast differentiation in the presence or absence of exogenous dexamethasone at pharmacological levels (Fig. 1C). By day 14 post-induction in parental cells in the absence of dexamethasone, GR and BRM have both dissociated from the promoter. In the presence of exogenous dexamethasone, GR remains on the promoter at day 14 despite the differentiation-induction signals, and BRM remains present as well, implying that BRM is recruited by GR. In cells depleted of BRM, GR is not detected at the promoter prior to induction, and even exogenously added dexamethasone is not sufficient to establish GR at the promoter.

Because BRM-SWI/SNF acts at the level of transcription, there is a possibility that the failure to detect GR at the promoter in BRM-depleted cells might be caused by loss of expression of the GR-



Fig. 1. GR-mediated repression of gene targets that regulate osteoblast differentiation and commitment is dependent on BRM. A. Expression of the osteocalcin-encoding gene Bglap in MC3T3-E1 pre-osteoblasts was assessed by qRT-PCR, normalized to expression of Gapdh. Parental MC3T3-E1 cells were induced with differentiation medium in the absence (-) or presence (+) of the glucocorticoid, dexamethasone (Dex) for 14 days, with RNA collected at days 0, 7, and 14. MC3T3-E1-derived lines stably depleted for BRM or BRG1 were treated in parallel. The results are presented relative to expression in non-induced (day 0) parental cells in the absence of dex. Induction of the osteocalcin gene is sharply repressed by dexamethasone, but this effect is largely ineffective in BRM-depleted cells, BRG1-depleted cells show little induction of osteocalcin gene expression, but repression by dexamethasone is still apparent, emphasizing that the cooperative action of BRM with glucocorticoid-mediated repression is distinct from the activation effect of BRG1. All assays were performed in triplicate and the results are the mean of three independent trials ± SEM. B. ChIP analysis by end-point PCR and qPCR, conducted in parental and BRM-depleted MC3T3-E1 cell lines, show the presence of BRM, BRG1, and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) on the osteocalcin gene promoter in parental cells. In contrast, essentially no detectable DNA signal is associated with GR when BRM is deficient, C. ChIP analysis was conducted on the osteocalcin gene in parental and BRM-depleted MC3T3-E1 cells, induced to differentiate in the absence (-) or presence (+) of dexamethasone (Dex). By day 14 post-induction in the absence of dexamethasone, GR and BRM have both dissociated from the promoter. In the presence of exogenous dexamethasone, GR remains on the promoter at day 14 and BRM remains present as well, implying that BRM is recruited by GR. In BRM-depleted cells exogenously added dexamethasone is not sufficient to establish GR at the promoter. D. Expression of the GR-encoding gene Nr3c1 in MC3T3-E1 pre-osteoblasts was assessed by qRT-PCR as described in Panel A. Expression is not affected by dexamethasone treatment or by depletion of BRM. E. ChIP analysis was conducted on the Per3 gene in parental and BRMdepleted C3H10T1/2 cells. GR and BRM associate with the promoter in parental cells, but depletion of BRM shows that BRM is required to maintain detectable GR presence at the promoter. F. Expression of Per3 in parental and BRM-depleted C3H10T1/2 cells was determined by qRT-PCR normalized to Gapdh expression. Assays were performed in triplicate and the results of three independent trials are shown as the mean + SEM.

encoding gene (*Nr3c1*). However, qRT-PCR analysis shows that expression of *Nr3c1* is not significantly affected by depletion of BRM or by induction of differentiation (Fig. 1D). Similar results were shown previously for BRM and BRG1 (Nguyen et al., 2015). The requirement for BRM-SWI/SNF to mediate GR access to the promoter provides a direct biochemical mechanism for the gene expression results in Fig. 1A.

### 2.3. BRM effects are coupled with GR during lineage determination in a mesenchymal stem cell model

BRM and glucocortocoids both play important roles in fate determination in multipotent stem cells. The C3H10T1/2 cell model of mesenchymal stem cells permits examination of whether the roles of GR and BRM overlap during lineage determination, and we have previously characterized a stable BRM-depleted derivative of this cell line (Nguyen et al., 2015). Glucocorticoids cause mesenchymal stem cells to favor adipogenesis over differentiation along the osteoblast lineage (reviewed by Henneicke et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2016). This often involves transactivation of adipogenic genes, but an essential step in advancing mesenchymal stem cells to the adipogenic fate is glucocorticoid-mediated repression of the *Per3* gene, which encodes a strong inhibitor of adipogenesis. (Period 3 was originally classified as a circadian rythmn gene product, but has only minimal effects on circadian rhythmicity; Shearman et al., 2000). Following microarray identification of *Per3* as differentially expressed in C3H10T1/2 cells exposed to glucocorticoids (So et al., 2008), moderate overexpression of *Per3* (*circa* 5-fold) was found to potently inhibit adipocyte differentation in mesenchymal stem cells, while *Per3* knockout mice showed increased adipogenesis (Costa et al., 2011). These authors showed further that the Period 3 protein forms a complex with PPAR<sub>γ</sub> and inhibits PPAR<sub>γ</sub>-mediated transcriptional activation. Thus, glucocorticoids repress *Per3* expression, and repression of *Per3* favors selection of the adipocyte lineage in mesenchymal stem cells. Whether *Per3* is a direct target of GR has not yet been determined.

We used the C3H10T1/2 cell model to ask whether *Per3* is a direct target of GR and/or BRM. ChIP analysis (Fig. 1E) shows that both proteins occupy the promoter, and depletion of BRM shows that BRM is required to maintain GR access to the promoter. To address whether the

effects of BRM deficiency are sufficient to de-repress *Per3*, expression of *Per3* was assessed by qRT-PCR. The results show *Per3* expression elevated nearly 6-fold in the BRM depleted background (Fig. 1F), an expression level similar to that which inhibited adipocyte differentiation in the experiments discussed above (Costa et al., 2011). In summary, glucocorticoids cause mesenchymal stem cells to favor adipogenesis over differentiation to an osteoblast phenotype (Henneicke et al., 2014; Hartmann et al., 2016), in part by mediating repression of *Per3* (Costa et al., 2011). The current results show GR targets the *Per3* promoter directly, and neither GR targeting of *Per3*, nor repression of *Per3*, is maintained in conditions of BRM deficiency.

#### 2.4. GR promoter access to FasL and inhibition of FasL expression is BRMdependent

Direct action of glucocorticoids on osteoblasts appears to be the main basis for GC-induced osteoporosis (O'Brien et al., 2004; reviewed in Henneicke et al., 2014), but osteoblasts also have indirect effects on osteoclasts (the cells that resorb bone). Among the signaling molecules expressed by osteoblasts is the Fas ligand, encoded by the FasL gene. Binding of secreted Fas ligand to receptor-bearing cells activates apoptotic functions. FasL expression has mostly been studied in (activated) T cells, where expression is strongly inhibited by glucocorticoids and the FasL promoter is targeted directly by GR for repression (Baumann et al., 2005; Novac et al., 2006). FasL is also expressed by osteoblasts, and because osteoclasts express Fas ligand receptors and are susceptible to Fas ligand-induced apoptosis, expression of FasL by osteoblasts is a means by which osteoblasts can decrease osteoclast numbers (Krum et al., 2008). Glucocorticoid-mediated repression of FasL expression in osteoblasts would permit increased osteoclast numbers and excessive bone resorption (Fig. 2A).

We examined FasL expression in differentiating MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts, asking whether the level of expression is affected by BRM depletion (Fig. 2B). This analysis shows that FasL expression increases dramatically during osteoblast differentiation, and that induction is sharply inhibited when the cells are grown in the presence of dexamethasone. Parallel analysis in BRM-depleted cells shows robust induction of FasL expression, and strong resistance to the inhibitory effect of dexamethasone. ChIP assays were performed to assess promoter occupation (Fig. 2C). These assays show the presence of GR on the FasL promoter in differentiating parental cells treated with pharmacological levels of dexamethasone, but no detectable presence of GR on the promoter in BRM-deficient cells treated in parallel. Together these results indicate that GR, in the presence of exogenous glucocorticoid signals, acts directly in cis to inhibit expression of FasL. This disruption to a normal feedback mechanism by which osteoblasts can potentially limit the activity of osteoclasts is highly dependent on BRM.

#### 2.5. BRM depletion blocks the negative effect of glucocorticoids on osteoblast differentiation to a mineralization phenotype

These studies identify BRM as a required factor for direct GRmediated *cis*-repression at promoters whose gene products favor osteoblastogenesis. GR presumably has additional direct and indirect repression targets in osteoblasts and osteoblast precursors that are not yet known. If repression of any critical glucocorticoid target were independent of BRM, glucocorticoids would be expected to prevent osteoblast maturation even in BRM-deficient cells. Therefore, to assess the overall biological significance of BRM with respect to the glucocorticoid effect in osteoblasts we asked whether BRM-depleted cells are able to achieve the extracellular matrix mineralization phenotype of a mature osteoblast in the presence of dexamethasone. The mineralization end-point can be detected by biological staining with Alizarin Red. This assay (Fig. 2D) verifies that dexamethasone at the pharmacological concentrations used here impairs progression of pre-osteoblasts to the mineralization phenotype. Alizarin Red staining in parental cells not exposed



Fig. 2. GR-mediated repression of gene targets that can act in trans on osteoclasts is dependent on BRM. A. The schematic image shows the potential effect of FasL expression by osteoblasts. Because osteoclasts express Fas ligand receptors they are susceptible to Fas ligand-induced apoptosis. Expression of FasL by osteoblasts is a means by which osteoblasts can signal a decrease in osteoclast numbers (Krum et al., 2004). Repression of FasL expression could therefore increase bone resorption. Figure adapted from http://www.iofbonehealth.org/introduction-bone-biology-all-about-our-bones (accessed on May 31, 2016). B. Expression of FasL in MC3T3-E1 pre-osteoblasts was assessed by qRT-PCR normalized to Gapdh expression. Parental MC3T3-E cells and the corresponding BRM-depleted cell line were induced with differentiation medium in the absence (-) or presence (+) of dexamethasone for 14 days. The results are presented relative to expression in non-induced (day 0) parental cells. Normal induction of FasL gene expression is sharply repressed by dexamethasone, but repression is ineffective in BRM-depleted cells. Assays were performed in triplicate and the results are an average of three independent trials, shown as the mean  $\pm$  the range. C. ChIP analysis was conducted on the FasL gene in parental and BRM-depleted MC3T3-E1 cells induced to differentiate in the presence of dexamethasone. GR associates with the promoter in parental cells, but depletion of BRM shows that BRM is required to maintain detectable GR presence at the promoter. D. Parental and BRM-depleted MC3T3-E1 cell lines were induced to differentiate in parallel for the time intervals indicated in the absence (-) or presence (+) of dexamethasone, and stained with Alizarin Red S, which reveals the presence of calcium-containing compounds in the cell matrix, indicative of mineralization. The plates shown are representative of the results from three independent induction trials.

to dexamethasone is readily observable by day 21; however, the dexamethasone-treated cells show only weak staining even at day 28. BRM-depleted MC3T3-E1 cells were previously shown to undergo enhanced mineralization (Flowers et al., 2009). Results here show that this enhanced mineralization phenotype is maintained or even height-ened in the presence of dexamethasone. The robust mineralization phenotype implies that the critical repressive effect of glucocorticoids on osteoblast maturation are largely relieved when BRM is depleted.

#### 2.6. Conclusions

Pharmacological levels of glucocorticoids exert their negative effects on skeletal integrity through multiple pathways. The three direct GR targets examined here each act in a different way. Osteocalcin functions in normal maturation of osteoblasts from committed pre-osteoblasts. The Per3 gene product acts in uncommitted mesenchymal stem cells to influence the osteoblast/adipocyte lineage selection point. Fas ligand is a means by which osteoblasts can modulate bone degradation by osteoclasts. Repression of these genes by glucocorticoid signals would favor bone loss because pre-osteoblasts would not mature properly. while fewer mesenchymal stem cells would commit to the osteoblast lineage, and rising numbers of osteoclasts would degrade more bone. The essential role of BRM in promoter targeting by GR at each of these control points reveals an intimate functional association between GRmediated repression and BRM-SWI/SNF in osteogenesis. BRM may be a potential therapeutic target for blocking the negative effects of glucocorticoids on bone. In addition, because BRM-SWI/SNF acts at the level of chromatin remodeling, BRM deficiency releases multiple repressors other than GR from osteogenic genes (Flowers et al., 2009, 2011) and reduces age-related osteoporosis (Nguyen et al., 2015), so targeting BRM could be beneficial in helping to replace bone loss in general, even subsequent to glucocorticoid treatment.

#### 3. Materials and methods

#### 3.1. Materials

Puromycin, Alizarin Red S and dexamethasone were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO); fetal bovine serum (FBS) from Atlanta Biologicals; Trizol from Thermo Fisher (Waltham MA).

#### 3.2. Cell lines and culture

Culture and differentiation of low passage MC3T3-E1 preosteoblasts by exposure to differentiation-induction medium containing ascorbic acid and  $\beta$ -glycerol phosphate were described previously (Beck et al., 2001). Generation of the MC3T3-E1-derived BRM-deleted cell line BRM.Seq1.GG5 and the BRG1-depleted cell line BRG1.D16, as well as staining with 0.1% Alizarin Red to reveal mineralization were also described previously (Flowers et al., 2009). For glucocorticoid treatment, cell medium was supplemented with dexamethasone at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ M per ml; the medium was changed every 3–4 days and supplemented with fresh dexamethasone at each change for the duration of the experiments. Propagation of C3H10T1/2 cells and characterization of the BRM-depleted line CD606 derived from the C3H10T1/2 cell line were reported earlier (Nguyen et al., 2015).

#### 3.3. RNA expression

RNA expression was evaluated by quantitative reverse transcription and PCR (qRT-PCR). Each assay was performed in triplicate and the results shown are an average of at least three independent trials. The qRT-PCR methodology and the primers for *Gapdh* and *Bglap* (osteocalcin) were described previously (Flowers et al., 2009). The *FasL* and *Nr3c1* (GR) primer sequences were designed by Origene (Rockville, MD); the *Per3* primer sequences were taken from Costa et al. (2011); the sequences are:

FasL	Forward 5'-GAA GGA ACT GGC AGA ACT CCG T-3'
	Reverse 5'-GCC ACA CTC CTC GGC TCT TTT T-3'
Nr3c1 (GR)	Forward 5'-TGG AGA GGA CAA CCT GAC TTC C-3'
	Reverse 5'-ACG GAG GAG AAC TCA CAT CTG G-3'
Per3	Forward 5'-GTG ACA GCA GAG TCC CAT GA-3'
	Reverse 5'-CAC TGC CAT CTC GAG TTC AA-3'

#### 3.4. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays

ChIP assays were performed as described previously (Flowers et al., 2014). Individual protein associations were typically analyzed at least twice in independent experiments, and at two discrete time points in differentiating cells. Differentiation was monitored with parallel biological assays. Pre- and post-induction samples were always assayed in parallel. The knockdown lines provide additional controls, particularly for contrasting results. Quantification was performed in triplicate on three independent samples and normalized using the percent input method. The following antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Bio-technology: BRM (sc-6450), BRG1 (sc-10,768), and glucocorticoid receptor (sc-1002). Normal mouse IgG is a component of the EZ ChIP system (Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions: Lake Placid, New York). The primers for *Bglap* (osteocalcin) were described previously (Flowers et al., 2009). The *FasL* primer sequences were taken from Baumann et al. (2005); the sequences of the *FasL* and *Per3* primers used here are:

FasL	Forward 5'-CTG AAG TCA AAC CTA AGG CAG AG-3'
	Reverse 5'-AGG TGC CTA ATT CAG AAG AGT TTG-3'
Per3	Forward 5'-TGG GAG AAA GCA GCA AGT TT-3'
	Reverse 5'-AGT GGC CTG GAA GAC AAC AG-3'
Per3	Forward 5'-TGG GAG AAA GCA GCA AGT TT-3' Reverse 5'-AGT GGC CTG GAA GAC AAC AG-3'

#### Potential conflict of interest

S. Flowers and E. Moran are authors on US Patent 8415316, whose value could be affected by publication.

#### References

- Aslam, F., Shalhoub, V., van Wijnen, A.J., Banerjee, C., Bortell, R., Shakoori, A.R., Litwack, G., Stein, J.L., Stein, G.S., Lian, J.B., 1995. Contributions of distal and proximal promoter elements to glucocorticoid regulation of osteocalcin gene transcription. Mol. Endocrinol. 9 (6), 679–690.
- Baumann, S., Dostert, A., Novac, N., Bauer, A., Schmid, W., Fas, S.C., Krueger, A., Heinzel, T., Kirchhoff, S., Schütz, G., Krammer, P.H., 2005. Glucocorticoids inhibit activationinduced cell death (AICD) via direct DNA-dependent repression of the CD95 ligand gene by a glucocorticoid receptor dimer. Blood 106, 617–625.
- Beck Jr., G.R., Zerler, B., Moran, E., 2001. Gene array analysis of osteoblast differentiation. Cell Growth & Differ. 12, 61–83.
- Bultman, S., Gebuhr, T., Yee, D., La Mantia, C., Nicholson, J., Gilliam, A., Randazzo, F., Metzger, D., Chambon, P., Crabtree, G., Magnuson, T., 2000. A Brg1 null mutation in the mouse reveals functional differences among mammalian SWI/SNF complexes. Mol. Cell 6, 1287–1295.
- Clapier, C.R., Cairns, B.R., 2009. The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 78, 273–304.
- Costa, M.J., So, A.Y., Kaasik, K., Krueger, K.C., Pillsbury, M.L., Fu, Y.H., Ptacek, L.J., Yamamoto, K.R., Feldman, B.J., 2011. Circadian rhythm gene period 3 is an inhibitor of the adipocyte cell fate. J. Biol. Chem. 286 (11), 9063–9070.
- Engel, K.B., Yamamoto, K.R., 2011. The glucocorticoid receptor and the coregulator Brm selectively modulate each other's occupancy and activity in a gene-specific manner. Mol. Cell. Biol. 31 (16), 3267–3276.
- Flowers, S., Nagl Jr., N.G., Beck Jr., G.R., Moran, E., 2009. Antagonistic roles for BRM and BRG1 SWI/SNF complexes in differentiation. J. Biol. Chem. 284 (15), 10067–10075.
- Flowers, S., Beck Jr., G.R., Moran, E., 2011. Tissue-specific gene targeting by the multiprotein mammalian DREAM complex. J. Biol. Chem. 286 (32), 27867–27871.
- Flowers, S., Patel, P.J., Gleicher, S., Amer, K., Himelman, E., Goel, S., Moran, E., 2014. p107dependent recruitment of SWI/SNF to the alkaline phosphatase promoter during osteoblast differentiation. Bone 69, 47–54.
- Frenkel, B., White, W., Tuckermann, J., 2015. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 872, 179–215.
- Hartmann, K., Koenen, M., Schauer, S., Wittig-Blaich, S., Ahmad, M., Baschant, U., Tuckermann, J.P., 2016. Molecular actions of glucocorticoids in cartilage and bone during health, disease, and steroid therapy. Physiol. Rev. 96, 409–447.

- Henneicke, H., Gasparini, S.J., Brennan-Speranza, T.C., Zhou, H., Seibel, M.J., 2014. Glucocorticoids and bone: local effects and systemic implications. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 25 (4), 197–211.
- Kartsogiannia, V., Ng, K.W., 2004. Cell lines and primary cell cultures in the study of bone cell biology. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 228, 79–102.
- King, H.A., Trotter, K.W., Archer, T.K., 2012. Chromatin remodeling during glucocorticoid receptor regulated transactivation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1819 (7), 716–726.
- Kodama, H., Amagai, Y., Sudo, H., Kasai, S., Yamamoto, S., 1981. Establishment of a clonal osteogenic cell line from newborn mouse calvaria. Jpn. J. Oral. Biol. 23, 899–901.
- Krum, S.A., Miranda-Carboni, G.A., Hauschka, P.V., Carroll, J.S., Lane, T.F., Freedman, L.P., Brown, M., 2008. Estrogen protects bone by inducing Fas ligand in osteoblasts to regulate osteoclast survival. EMBO J. 27, 535–545.
- Manolagas, S., 2013. Steroids and osteoporosis: the quest for mechanisms. J. Clin. Investig. 123, 1919–1921.
- McKenna, N.J., Xu, J., Nawaz, Z., Tsai, S.Y., Tsai, M.J., O'Malley, B.W., 1999. Nuclear receptor coactivators: multiple enzymes, multiple complexes, multiple functions. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 69 (1–6), 3–12.
- Moutsatsou, P., Kassi, E., Papavassiliou, A.G., 2012. Glucocorticoid receptor signaling in bone cells. Trends Mol. Med. 18 (6), 348–359.
- Nguyen, K.H., Xu, F., Flowers, S., Williams, E.A., Fritton, J.C., Moran, E., 2015. SWI/SNF-mediated lineage determination in mesenchymal stem cells confers resistance to osteoporosis. Stem Cells 33 (10), 3028–3038.
- Novac, N., Baus, D., Dostert, A., Heinzel, T., 2006. Competition between glucocorticoid receptor and NFkappaB for control of the human FasL promoter. FASEB J. 20 (8), 1074–1081.

- O'Brien, C.A., Jia, D., Plotkin, L.I., Bellido, T., Powers, C.C., Stewart, S.A., Manolagas, S.C., Weinstein, R.S., 2004. Glucocorticoids act directly on osteoblasts and osteocytes to induce their apoptosis and reduce bone formation and strength. Endocrinology 145, 1835–1841.
- Reyes, J.C., Barra, J., Muchardt, C., Camus, A., Babinet, C., Yaniv, M., 1998. Altered control of cellular proliferation in the absence of mammalian brahma (SNF2alpha). EMBO J. 17, 6979–6991.
- Shearman, L.P., Jin, X., Lee, C., Reppert, S.M., Weaver, D.R., 2000. Targeted disruption of the mPer3 gene: subtle effects on circadian clock function. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20 (17), 6269–6275.
- Shalhoub, V., Aslam, F., Breen, E., van Wijnen, A., Bortell, R., Stein, G.S., Stein, J.L., Lian, J.B., 1998. Multiple levels of steroid hormone-dependent control of osteocalcin during osteoblast differentiation: glucocorticoid regulation of basal and vitamin D stimulated gene expression. J. Cell. Biochem. 69 (2), 154–168.
- So, A.Y., Cooper, S.B., Feldman, B.J., Manuchehri, M., Yamamoto, K.R., 2008. Conservation analysis predicts *in vivo* occupancy of glucocorticoid receptor-binding sequences at glucocorticoid-induced genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105 (15), 5745–5749.
- Strömstedt, P.E., Poellinger, L., Gustafsson, J.A., Carlstedt-Duke, J., 1991. The glucocorticoid receptor binds to a sequence overlapping the TATA box of the human osteocalcin promoter: a potential mechanism for negative regulation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11 (6), 3379–3383.
- Sudo, H., Kodama, H.A., Amagai, Y., Yamamoto, S., Kasai, S., 1983. In vitro differentiation and calcification in a new clonal osteogenic cell line derived from newborn mouse calvaria. J. Cell. Biol 96, 191–198.