

Regulation of the DNA damage response by ubiquitin conjugation

Kerstin Brinkmann^{1,2†}, Michael Schell^{2,3}, Thorsten Hoppe^{2,3*} and Hamid Kashkar^{1,2*}

¹ Centre for Molecular Medicine Cologne and Institute for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ² Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ³ Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

In response to DNA damage, cells activate a highly conserved and complex kinase-based signaling network, commonly referred to as the DNA damage response (DDR), to safeguard genomic integrity. The DDR consists of a set of tightly regulated events, including detection of DNA damage, accumulation of DNA repair factors at the site of damage, and finally physical repair of the lesion. Upon overwhelming damage the DDR provokes detrimental cellular actions by involving the apoptotic machinery and inducing a coordinated demise of the damaged cells (DNA damage-induced apoptosis, DDIA). These diverse actions involve transcriptional activation of several genes that govern the DDR. Moreover, recent observations highlighted the role of ubiquitylation in orchestrating the DDR, providing a dynamic cellular regulatory circuit helping to guarantee genomic stability and cellular homeostasis (Popovic et al., 2014). One of the hallmarks of human cancer is genomic instability (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Not surprisingly, deregulation of the DDR can lead to human diseases, including cancer, and can induce resistance to genotoxic anti-cancer therapy (Lord and Ashworth, 2012). Here, we summarize the role of ubiquitin-signaling in the DDR with special emphasis on its role in cancer and highlight the therapeutic value of the ubiquitin-conjugation machinery as a target in anti-cancer treatment strategy.

Keywords: DNA damage, apoptosis, ubiquitylation, genotoxic anti-cancer therapy, p53, Bcl-2

Ubiquitin—Small Molecule Generating a Broad Range of Cellular Actions

Ubiquitin (Ub) is an essential, highly conserved, 76 residue protein that is ubiquitously expressed in cells. It can be found either in a free form or covalently attached to a target protein (Schlesinger et al., 1975; Hershko et al., 1983; Ciechanover et al., 1985; Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998). Ub acts as a versatile cellular signal that controls a wide range of biological processes, including protein degradation, DNA repair, endocytosis, autophagy, transcription, immunity and inflammation. Ub, E1-, E2-, and E3-enzymes are successively required to target a certain substrate for degradation. Ub is attached to specific substrates in a three-step mechanism, with distinct enzymes catalyzing each step (**Figure 1**). In a first activating step, Ub becomes covalently conjugated to the side chain of an E1-cysteine via its carboxy-terminal (C-terminal) glycine in an ATP-dependent reaction. Activated Ub is then transferred to an E2-enzyme (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme) via a thioester-bond between the C-terminal glycine residue of Ub and an E2 internal cysteine. Finally, Ub-bound E2 interacts with an E3 Ub ligase that catalyzes Ub transfer from E2 to a specific target protein (Ciechanover et al.,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Christian Reinhardt, Uniklinik Köln, Germany

Reviewed by:

Philipp Kaldis, A*STAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research), Singapore Angelos Constantinou, Institute of Human Genetics, France

*Correspondence:

Thorsten Hoppe and Hamid Kashkar, Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases, University Hospital of Cologne, CECAD Research Center, Joseph-Stelzmann-Str. 26, 50931 Cologne, Germany thorsten.hoppe@uni-koeln.de; h.kashkar@uni-koeln.de

[†]Present Address:

Kerstin Brinkmann, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

> Received: 15 January 2015 Accepted: 23 February 2015 Published: 10 March 2015

Citation:

Brinkmann K, Schell M, Hoppe T and Kashkar H (2015) Regulation of the DNA damage response by ubiquitin conjugation. Front. Genet. **6**:98. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00098



FIGURE 1 UDiquitin conjugation machinery. Ub is attached to specific substrates in a three-step mechanism, with distinct enzymes catalyzing each step. First, Ub gets activated by the Ub-activating enzyme (E1). Next, activated Ub is transferred by one of several dozens of Ub-conjugating enzymes (E2) to one of approximately 500 substrate-specific Ub-ligases (E3s) that finally attaches Ub to the substrate (Pickart, 2001). In some cases, the extension of short ubiquitin chains requires additional elongation factors, termed E4 enzymes. About 100 substrate-specific deubiquitylating enzymes (DUBs)

1984; Scheffner et al., 1995; Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998). This cascade of sequential interactions results in the formation of an isopeptide bond between the C-terminus of Ub and the ε amino group of a lysine residue in the target protein (Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998). In some cases, the extension of short Ub chains requires additional elongation factors, called E4 enzymes. Saccharomyces cerevisiae ubiquitin fusion degradation 2 (Ufd2) is the first discovered E4 enzyme (Koegl et al., 1999; Hoppe, 2005). About 100 substrate-specific deubiquitylating enzymes (DUBs) counteract the activity of Ub-conjugating enzymes (Nijman et al., 2005). The specificity of Ub signaling is achieved by alternative conjugation signals (monoubiquitylation and more complex Ub chains) on alternative substrate sites (Haglund and Dikic, 2005). Diverse chain topologies can specify a variety of different protein fates by providing a platform for the interaction with specific binding partners. These interacting partners depend on Ub binding domains (UBD) or Ub interacting motifs (UIM) to either associate with Ub or to decode ubiquitylated target signals into biochemical cascades (Peng et al., 2003; Komander and Rape, counteract the activity of UB-conjugating enzymes (Nijman et al., 2005). The first Ub is either transferred to a ϵ -NH2 group of a lysine residue (K) of the target protein to generate an isopeptide bond, or in a linear manner to the N-terminal residue of the substrate (Breitschopf et al., 1998; Pickart, 2001). Subsequent Ub addition can occur through isopeptide linkage on all of ubiquitin's seven lysine residues as well as its N-terminal primary amino group, thereby generating a diverse range of chain topologies (Met1-linked, K6, K11, K27, K29, K33, K48, K63 or mixed) that can drive a variety of different protein fates.

2012). For instance, monoubiquitylation plays a role in recognizing DNA double strand breaks (DSBs), K63-linked Ub chains are involved in the generation of signaling platforms during DNA repair (Chen et al., 2005a) and polyubiquitin chains covalently connected via K48 linkages mainly target proteins for degradation by the proteasome (Ciechanover et al., 1984; Thrower et al., 2000) (Figure 1). The ubiquitin/proteasome system (UPS) is one of the main regulators of protein stability and-among multiple cellular pathways-plays an important role in the execution of the DDR. Multiple studies using proteasome-inhibitors validated the UPS as a valuable therapeutic target in cancer (Voges et al., 1999; Orlowski and Kuhn, 2008); however, targeting one of the major cellular pathways governing protein turnover may cause broad and unspecific off-target cellular responses. Accordingly, ongoing efforts aim to identify the specific targets within the UPS system to selectively target the relevant Ub-conjugation process. Hence, novel Ub ligases or DUBs are frequently evaluated as potential specific targets for anti-cancer therapy.

Recognition of DNA Damage Sites

Massive Ub accumulation around sites of DNA damage can be detected as soon as 15s following the damage event (Feng and Chen, 2012). Ubiquitylation of the H2A, H2B, and H2AX histone subunits is one of the initial events promoting the destabilization of the nucleosome (Li et al., 1993; Biswas et al., 2011). CHFR (checkpoint with Forkhead-associated (FHA) and RING finger domain protein), which is recruited to DSBs by PAR (poly (ADP) ribose), regulates the first wave of histone ubiquitylation (Wu et al., 2011a). CHFR ubiquitylates PARP1 (PAR polymerase 1) via K48- (site K88, E2: UbcH5C) and K63-linked (E2: Ubc13) Ub chains, and this ubiquitylation is thought to promote the dissociation of PARP1 from damage sites (Liu et al., 2013). Epigenetic inactivation of CHFR has been described in several types of cancer, including breast cancer (Erson and Petty, 2004), nasopharyngeal carcinoma (Cheung et al., 2005), colorectal cancer (Toyota et al., 2003), head and neck cancer (Toyota et al., 2003), gastric cancer (Satoh et al., 2003), lung cancer (Mizuno et al., 2002), esophageal cancer (Shibata et al., 2002), hepatocellular cancer (Sakai et al., 2005) and Tcell lymphoma (van Doorn et al., 2005). Furthermore, increasing evidence indicate the regulatory impact of Ub on cancerogenesis. Monoubiquitylation of H2A by RNF2-BM1, a member of the Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1), is thought to be important for the transcriptional repression by inhibiting of RNA-PolII-elongation (Zhou et al., 2008). Interestingly, around 15% of H2A has been described to be constitutively ubiquitylated (Levinger and Varshavsky, 1980). RNF2-BM1 is also involved in monoubiquitylation of H2AX at K119 and K120 (E2: UbcH5C), which in turn initiates the recruitment of the apical PI3K-related kinase ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) (Pan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011a). ATM is a protein kinase that phosphorylates several key proteins involved in the DDR. So far, no role for this initial histone ubiquitylation in the recruitment of the functionally related apical kinases ATR (ATM/Rad3-related kinase) or DNA-PK (DNA-dependent protein kinase) has been demonstrated. ATM and ATR transduce the most upstream DDR signal by phosphorylating the checkpoint kinases CHK1/CHK2 and the tumor suppressor protein p53, resulting in cell cycle arrest to allow time for DNA repair, or DDIA after prolonged checkpoint activation, respectively (Shiloh, 2003). Even though the main function of DNA-PK appears to be the induction of cell cycle arrest and DNA repair, specifically the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) repair pathway, DNA-PK has also been reported to phosphorylate p53, thus cooperating with ATM/ATR to induce p53-mediated cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Kim et al., 1999). Notably, the ubiquitin-selective segregase Cdc48/p97/VCP, which is a central regulator of the UPS, influences the DDR by participating in ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of the catalytic subunit of DNA-PK(cs) in eukaryotes (Acs et al., 2011; Meerang et al., 2011; Dantuma and Hoppe, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013). Consequently, the interplay of ubiquitylation and phosphorylation events regulates the association of several DDR proteins, most prominently p53, with regulatory E3 ligases or DUBs.

p53—Signal Transducer from DNA Damage to Cellular Actions

Activated p53 translocates into the nucleus where it induces the transcription of several target genes involved in cell cycle regulation, DNA repair, and apoptosis, including the pro-apoptotic molecule BAX (Miyashita and Reed, 1995) and the BH3-only proteins PUMA (Nakano and Vousden, 2001) and NOXA (Oda et al., 2000), which are central in initiating DDIA (Figure 2). Loss of p53 function is described in over 50% of human cancers and is frequently associated with a poor patient prognosis (Hollstein et al., 1994). The mechanisms by which p53 differentially triggers cell cycle arrest, senescence, and apoptosis are far from being completely understood; however, different post-translational modifications of p53 (e.g., phosphorylation) have been described that either alter its DNA binding capacity directly or that control its association with different binding partners, including transcriptional activators and repressors, thereby affecting the p53-induced transcriptome in response to DNA damage (Aylon and Oren, 2007). Moreover, it appears that p53 has different affinities toward different p53-responsive elements and different levels of p53 protein might fine-tune its promoter choice, thus determining cell fate. Indeed, low p53 levels tend to favor growth arrest, whereas higher levels trigger apoptosis (Laptenko and Prives, 2006). p53 protein stability is efficiently regulated by the UPS and several E3-ligases (Table 1) and DUBs (Table 2) have been reported as its direct regulators. Not surprisingly, most E3s or DUBs that regulate p53 stability are also implicated in cancer and further represent promising targets for anti-cancer therapy.

Regulation of p53 by Ubiquitin Ligases

The E3 ligase MDM2 has been shown to directly target p53 for proteasomal degradation while ATM/ATR-mediated phosphorylation of p53 hampers this interaction (Momand et al., 1992); however, MDM2 only mediates monoubiquitylation of p53, but not its polyubiquitylation, arguing for the involvement of additional Ub ligases (Lai et al., 2001). Interestingly, MDM2 is a transcriptional target of p53 and thus acts in a negative feedback loop. Furthermore, MDM2 itself is also a target of ATM and ATM-dependent phosphorylation of MDM2 precedes p53 accumulation in response to DNA damage (Khosravi et al., 1999). ATM-mediated phosphorylation of MDM2 at \$395 induces MDM2 protein destabilization. One major molecule that has been further implicated in regulating MDM2-mediated p53 proteolysis is MDMX (MDM4). MDMX activity seems to be essential for MDM2-mediated p53 proteolysis by converting MDM2 into an active conformation (Di Conza et al., 2012) and further stimulating MDM2 ligase activity (Linke et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Wade et al., 2012). ATM-mediated MDM2/MDMX phosphorylation disrupts MDM2 oligomerization, thereby inactivating MDM2, leading to p53 stabilization and activation (Cheng and Chen, 2010); however, MDM2 additionally promotes ubiquitylation and degradation of MDMX in response to DNA damage, again acting in a negative feedback loop (de Graaf et al., 2003; Kawai et al., 2003; Pan and Chen, 2003; Pereg et al., 2005; Xia et al., 2008). Additional studies showed that



recruitment of ATM, ATR or DNA-PK to the site of DNA damage is a central event during DDR signaling. ATM and ATR transduce the DDR signal by phosphorylation of the checkpoint kinases CHK1/CHK2, which results in cell cycle arrest and either DNA repair or DDIA (Shiloh, 2003). Moreover, ATM and ATR are directly responsible for the post-translational stabilization and thus accumulation of the tumor supressor p53, a key player in transducing the DDR signal (see below in this figure). ATM directly phosphorylates p53 at residue S15 (Banin et al., 1998) and indirectly through the induction of p53 is believed to be critical for the

MDM2 generates non-degradative polyubiquitin chains indicating an additional function of MDM2/MDMX-ubiquitylation other than the previously demonstrated degradative target ubiquitylation (Badciong and Haas, 2002). An additional factor in the negative feedback loop regulating p53 is the phosphatase Wip1. stabilization of p53. Activated p53 translocates into the nucleus where it induces the transcription of several targets involved in cell cycle regulation, DNA repair or apoptosis, including the pro-apoptotic molecule BAX (Miyashita and Reed, 1995) and the BH3-only proteins PUMA (Nakano and Vousden, 2001) and NOXA (Oda et al., 2000) which in turn induce MOMP either directly or in cooperation with other BH3-only proteins. Anti-apoptotic Bcl-2-family members inhibit apoptosis by antagonizing the induction of MOMP. Upon MOMP, multiple pro-apoptotic molecules are released from the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) to activate aspartate proteases, called caspases, which ultimately coordinate most of the hallmarks of apoptosis and cellular self-destruction.

Wip1 acts as a gatekeeper in this regulatory loop by dephosphorylating and thus stabilizing MDM2, promoting MDM2mediated p53 proteolysis (Lu et al., 2007). Elevated expression of negative regulators of p53-stability is reported for numerous tumors and is strongly associated with a poor patient prognosis.

TABLE 1 | E3 ligases involved in DDIA.

E3 ligase	Target
MDM2	MDMX (de Graaf et al., 2003; Kawai et al., 2003; Pan and Chen, 2003; Pereg et al., 2005; Xia et al., 2008), p53 (Momand et al., 1992)
COP1	p53 (Dornan et al., 2004a)
ARF-BP1/Mule	p53 (Chen et al., 2005b; Zhong et al., 2005; Parsons et al., 2009), ARF-BP1/Mule (Chen et al., 2005b), MCL-1 (Zhong et al., 2005)
PIRH2	p53 (Sheng et al., 2008), CHK2 (Bohgaki et al., 2013), PIRH2 (Logan et al., 2004; Abou Zeinab et al., 2013), p73 (Jung et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011c)
Cul4B	p53 (Nag et al., 2004; Thirunavukarasou et al., 2014)
E6-AP	p53 (Scheffner et al., 1993)
Cul4A-DDB1	p53 (Nag et al., 2004), p73 (Malatesta et al., 2013)
ITCH	p63 (Rossi et al., 2006), p73 (Rossi et al., 2005), tBID (Azakir et al., 2010)
SCF ^{Fbw7}	MCL-1 (Inuzuka et al., 2011; Wertz et al., 2011)
SCF ^{βTrCP}	MCL-1 (Ding et al., 2007), BIM (Dehan et al., 2009)
APC/Cdc20	MCL-1 (Harley et al., 2010)
TRIM17	MCL-1 (Magiera et al., 2013)
SAG/RBX2	BIM (Li et al., 2014)
TRIM2	BIM (Thompson et al., 2011)
Culin/ElonginB-CIS	BIM (Ambrosini et al., 2009)
RNF186	BNip1 (Wang et al., 2013)

TABLE 2 | DUBs involved in DDIA.

DUB	Target
USP7	p53 (Li et al., 2002), ARF-BP-1/Mule (Khoronenkova and Dianov, 2013)
USP4	ARF-BP-1/Mule (Zhang et al., 2011)
USP2a	MDM2 (Stevenson et al., 2007), MDMX (Allende-Vega et al., 2010)
USP10	p53 (Yuan et al., 2010)
USP42	p53 (Hock et al., 2011)
USP29	p53 (Liu et al., 2011)
UCH-L1	*p53 (Li et al., 2010; Xiang et al., 2012), NOXA (Brinkmann et al., 2013)
Otubain 1	*p53 (Sun et al., 2012)
USP9X	MCL-1 (Schwickart et al., 2010)
USP18	*BIM (Santin et al., 2012)

*Indirect stabilization, no direct deubiquitylation reported.

For instance, elevated expression of MDM2 has been identified in breast cancer (Bueso-Ramos et al., 1996), leukemias (Bueso-Ramos et al., 1993), and in lung cancer (Dworakowska et al., 2004). Gene amplifications of MDM2 have been described in 7% of tumors of diverse origin, with the highest frequency observed in soft tissue tumors, osteosarcomas and esophageal carcinomas (Momand et al., 1998). MDM2 is also a substrate for alternative splicing and the production of aberrantly spliced MDM2 RNA is associated with a shortened overall survival of cancer patients (Bartel et al., 2002). Remarkably, a functional interaction of p53/MDM2 is dispensable for embryonic development, whereas it is essential for DDIA, thus emphasizing the potential of the p53/MDM2-interaction as a target in anti-cancer therapy (Zhao et al., 2013).

The E3 ligase COP1 regulates p53 stability in an ATM-dependent manner (Dornan et al., 2004a). Upon DNA

damage, activated ATM phosphorylates COP1 on S387 which in turn stimulates a rapid autodegradation mechanism of COP1 resulting in p53 stabilization (Dornan et al., 2006). COP1 itself is a transcriptional target of p53, thus representing yet another feedback loop for controlling p53 stability (Dornan et al., 2004a). Overexpression of *COP1* has been observed in breast cancer (Dornan et al., 2004b), ovarian adenocarcinoma (Dornan et al., 2004b), gastric cancer (Li et al., 2012; Sawada et al., 2013), and in hepatocellular carcinoma (Lee et al., 2010) and this high expression is mostly associated with a poor prognosis.

p53 stability is also negatively controlled by ARF-BP1/Mule encoded by the *Huwe1* gene, which is a binding partner of the alternative binding frame (ARF) tumor suppressor (Chen et al., 2005b; Zhong et al., 2005; Parsons et al., 2009). ARF-BP-1/Mule activity is limited by self-ubiquitylation and subsequent proteasomal turnover (Chen et al., 2005b). Increased ARF-BP1/Mule degradation causes p53 stabilization, which is antagonized by the DUBs USP7 (Khoronenkova and Dianov, 2013) and USP4 (Zhang et al., 2011). ARF-BP1/Mule was found to be expressed at high levels in lymphoma cell lines (Qi et al., 2012) and in colorectal and breast cancer cells (Xie et al., 2002) and it promotes Myc-driven tumorigenesis (Qi et al., 2012), whereas it suppresses Ras-driven tumorigenesis (Inoue et al., 2013).

Another specific E3 ligase for p53 is PIRH2, which was initially named p27(Kip1) and implicated in cell cycle regulation (Leng et al., 2003). Remarkably, PIRH2 preferentially ubiquitylates the transcriptional active form of p53 (Sheng et al., 2008). Moreover, PIRH2 also regulates the stability of the effector kinase CHK2 (Bohgaki et al., 2013) and phosphorylation of PIRH2 by calmodulin-dependend kinase 2 impairs its ability to ubiquitylate p53 (Duan et al., 2007). Again, PIRH2 levels are regulated by self-ubiquitylation following proteasomal turnover (Logan et al., 2004; Abou Zeinab et al., 2013). *PIRH2* is overexpressed in a variety of tumor cells including hepatocellular carcinoma (Wang et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2012), head and neck cancers (Shimada et al., 2009), clear renal cell carcinoma (Wu et al., 2013), lung cancer (Duan et al., 2004), and prostate cancer (Logan et al., 2006).

A number of additional E3 ligases are reported to regulate p53 degradation, including Cul4B (Thirunavukarasou et al., 2014), E6-AP (Scheffner et al., 1993), and Cul4A-DDB1 (Nag et al., 2004; Thirunavukarasou et al., 2014). Strikingly, regulation of p53 protein is also influenced by the activities of the E4 ligases UBE4B/UFD2a/Ufd2 (Wu et al., 2011b) and CBP (CREBbinding protein)/p300 (E1A binding protein p300) (Shi et al., 2009).

E4 ligases mediate the polyubiquitylation of specific monoubiquitylated substrate proteins, including p53. Recently, CBP and p300 were identified to possess E4 activity and can elongate monoubiquitylated p53 into the cytosolic polyubiquitylated form (Shi et al., 2009). In addition, the E4 ligase UBE4B interacts physically with p53 and MDM2 to polyubiquitylate p53 (Wu et al., 2011b). Consequently, elevated levels of UBE4B are linked to brain tumors and medulloblastoma cell lines. It was further observed that the gene locus of *UBE4B* (1p36.22) is a susceptible candidate locus for hepatitis B virus (HBV) related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), forming a possible link between UBE4B/UFD2 and cancer development and tumor suppression (Zhang et al., 2010; Wu and Leng, 2011; Wu et al., 2011b).

Regulation of p53 by DUBs

So far, several DUBs are known to regulate p53 stability, either directly by deubiquitylation and stabilization of p53 itself, or by regulating its key regulators or binding partners. The ubiquitinspecific protease USP7 (HAUSP-herpes virus associated USP) was initially found to be a specific DUB of p53 and its activity stabilizes p53 protein (Li et al., 2002). However, whereas decreased USP7 expression levels had the expected effect of destabilizing p53, ablation of USP7 expression was found to have the opposite effect, resulting in p53 stabilization (Sheng et al., 2006). This p53 stabilization seems to result from increased ubiquitylation and destabilization of MDM2, the E3 ligase largely responsible for p53 ubiquitylation (Cummins and Vogelstein, 2004; Li et al., 2004; Meulmeester et al., 2005). USP2a has been described as a specific DUB of MDM2 (Stevenson et al., 2007) and MDMX (Allende-Vega et al., 2010) and thereby acts as a negative regulator of p53 stability. USP10 is a cytosolic DUB that specifically deubiquitylates p53, while ATM-mediated phosphorylation results in USP10 stabilization as well as nuclear translocation, resulting in p53 stabilization (Yuan et al., 2010). USP42 and USP29 are DUBs for p53 and improve p53 stability under stress conditions (Hock et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). Similarly, positive regulation of p53 stability has also been described for OTUB1 (Otubain1), which stabilizes p53 indirectly and independently of its catalytic activity by binding the E3 ligase MDM2. This interaction inhibits the cooperation between MDM2 and UbcH5s, the E2 enzyme important for MDM2-mediated p53 ubiquitylation (Sun et al., 2012). UCH-L1 has also been reported to regulate p53 protein stability (Li et al., 2010; Xiang et al., 2012); however, the molecular details are yet not clear.

Examples for DUBs that might antagonize E4 dependent polyubiquitylation are USP47, a regulator of Base Excision Repair (BER) that controls DNA polymerase β and OTUB1, which mediates DNA damage-dependent deubiquitylation of p53/MDM2 in the cytoplasm (Parsons et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012).

Regulation of the p53 Homologs p63 and p73 by Ubiquitin Ligases

Interestingly, p53 is required for the DDR in certain but not all cell types (Clarke et al., 1993; Lowe et al., 1993; Strasser et al., 1994). Even though the primary role is exerted by p53 itself, the p53 homologs p63 and p73 can substitute for the downstream activities of p53. p63 and p73 share 60% similarity with the p53 DNA binding domain, allowing them to transactivate some of the same target genes. Like p53, p73 proteasomal turnover is regulated by the E3 ligase PIRH2 (Jung et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011c) and also by the E4 ligase UFD2a (Hosoda et al., 2005). Furthermore, p63 and p73 protein stability are directly regulated by the ubiquitin ligase ITCH (Rossi et al., 2005, 2006). p73 is a substrate of the Cul4A-DDB1 Ub ligase complex which monoubiquitylates p73 thereby reducing its transcriptional activity without affecting its turnover (Malatesta et al., 2013). MDM2 also binds p73 without supporting its degradation (Balint et al., 1999). Likewise, MDMX, but not MDM2, has been shown to regulate p63 transactivation potential by inhibiting p63 nuclear localization (Kadakia et al., 2001).

DNA Repair Mechanisms

DSB repair is mediated by two extensively studied major repair pathways that have evolved in eukaryotic cells (Chapman et al., 2012). The error prone NHEJ pathway reunites free DNA ends at DSBs with little or no sequence homology and is responsible for most of the repair events in eukaryotes (Lemmens and Tijsterman, 2010). Repair via NHEJ can be rather inexact because the rejoining of non-complementary DNA ends is subject to end-processing by the nuclease activity of Artemis and DNA-PK(cs), which remove damaged or mismatched nucleotides (Bunting and Nussenzweig, 2013). Accurate ligation depends on the presence of loose complementary cohesive DNA ends and is mediated by the NHEJ repair proteins Ku70/80 and XRCC4-Ligase IV (Dahm-Daphi et al., 2005; Moynahan and Jasin, 2010). A second repair pathway is homologous recombination (HR), which dominates in highly proliferative somatic cells in S- and G2-phase. HR is a high fidelity repair pathway that relies on recombination between undamaged sister chromatids or homologous chromosomes (Clejan et al., 2006). Ubiquitylation of substrate proteins plays an important role in specifying the use of a specific DNA repair pathway, as differential ubiquitylation leads to orchestrated recruitment of specific repair factors such as p53-binding protein 1 (53BP1) or Breast Cancer Susceptibility Gene 1 (BRCA1) (Jackson and Durocher, 2013). 53BP1 accumulation promotes NHEJ activation and HR inhibition, whereas BRCA1 recruitment triggers HR (Yun and Hiom, 2009). Their recruitment to chromatin surrounding DSB sites is controlled by the action of the RINGfinger protein RNF8, which acts as a central E3 ligase in DDR

and exhibits two distinct roles: it catalyzes the ubiquitylation of substrate proteins either via a protein-recruiting K63- or via a destabilizing K48 specific linkage (Lok et al., 2012). Upon DNA damage RNF8 detects motifs in mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 (MDC1) previously phosphorylated by ATM and performs K63-linked monoubiquitylation of histones H2A and H2AX. Histone monoubiquitylation promotes RNF8-dependent recruitment of a second E3 ligase, RNF168, to the damage site, which can identify ubiquitylated RNF8 substrates via its Nterminal ubiquitin-binding domains (Mailand et al., 2007; Doil et al., 2009). Subsequently, polyubiquitylation of H2AX further promotes the recruitment of RNF168 to the damage site, amplifying RNF8-dependent histone ubiquitylation by ubiquitylating other substrate proteins via K63 (Doil et al., 2009; Ramadan and Meerang, 2011). The outcome of RNF8/RNF168-dependent K63-linked ubiquitylation is the generation of a molecular landing platform for the accumulation of checkpoint and DNA repair proteins like BRCA1 or 53BP1; however, 53BP1 itself cannot directly bind to K63 polyubiquitin chains since it lacks any relevant binding site (Al-Hakim et al., 2010). Therefore, other mechanisms for 53BP1 recruitment are necessary. For example, 53BP1 accumulation is promoted by p97 segregase activity that removes the polycomb protein L3MBTL1 from DNA DSBs. p97 binds to ubiquitylated L3MBTL1 and extracts it from chromatin. The displacement of L3MBTL1 unmasks 53BP1 binding sites that can now be occupied (Acs et al., 2011).

In addition, RNF8 also ubiquitylates K48-dependent substrates such as the lysine demethylase JMJD2A (Mallette et al., 2012), the NHEJ repair protein Ku80 (Feng and Chen, 2012), and the DNA polymerase sliding clamp proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), which is involved in DNA synthesis and repair (Zhang et al., 2008). Consequently, these proteins are removed from chromatin for proteasomal degradation.

In accordance with the postulated molecular switch model of PCNA, E4-mediated polyubiquitylation might alter ubiquitindependent signaling fates upon damage induction, possibly in a cell type specific manner (Hoppe, 2005). This regulatory mechanism thereby provides another layer of regulation to fine-tune the highly dynamic cascade of ubiquitylation events during the DDR, which can also be reversed by DUB activity.

Besides K48-linked ubiquitylation, PCNA undergoes a switch mechanism from a mono- to a polyubiquitylated form at position K164, regulating its activity in DNA repair (Hoege et al., 2002). This modification triggers translesion synthesis (TLS), i.e., DNA synthesis across lesions. In addition, other factors are needed to extend the modification by a K63-linked polyubiquitin chain leading to an error-free pathway of damage avoidance (Hoege et al., 2002; Daigaku et al., 2010).

A different ubiquitin chain linkage was reported for the E3 ubiquitin ligase BRCA1, which exhibits tumor-suppressor activities and is crucial for maintaining genomic integrity. As a heterodimer with its binding partner BARD1 it specifically catalyzes the formation of K6-linked polyubiquitin chains on substrates, such as RNA Polymerase II and γ -Tubulin (Wu-Baer et al., 2003; Irminger-Finger and Jefford, 2006).

DDIA

In addition to the activation of DNA repair, multicellular organisms acquired a dynamic safe-guard system involving the apoptotic response to dispose of damaged cells when the extent of damage is beyond the cellular repair capacity (Levine et al., 1997). The decision whether a cell survives or dies upon DNA damage is not yet completely understood, however, as mentioned above, the level of p53 abundance is a key factor in the cellular decision of life or death in response to DNA damage. Similarly, the quality of p53 downstream death signaling-the induction of intrinsic/mitochondrial apoptosis-plays a crucial role in the coordinated cellular death upon DNA damage. Specifically, the expression level of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins, in particular, members of the Bcl-2-family (see below), is decisive for the outcome of the DDR signaling. Furthermore, the nature of the DNA damage, the physiologic status and the origin of the damaged cell may impact on cellular responses to DNA damage. For instance, thymocytes are highly primed to undergo DDIA, whereas primary fibroblasts appear to resist DDIA (Norbury and Zhivotovsky, 2004). Indeed, the capability of the apoptotic machinery in immune cells is central during the cellular differentiation of this tissue. For instance, almost 90% of pre-T- and B-cells undergo apoptosis during maturation. Further, apoptosis triggers the shutdown of the immune response when infection has been overcome (Brinkmann and Kashkar, 2014). In conclusion, several cell types are primed for a rapid induction of apoptosis which is achieved by a "close-to-death" composition of proand anti-apoptotic proteins, in particular, Bcl-2-family members (Letai et al., 2002).

Nevertheless, a tight regulation of the response to DNA damage is obligatory in germ cells and somatic cells. In germ cells, mechanisms for limiting genome alterations are required for faithful propagation of the species, whereas in somatic cells, responses to DNA damage prevent the accumulation of mutations that might lead to altered cellular homeostasis.

Bcl-2 Protein Family—Regulators of Mitochondrial Apoptosis

Mitochondria represent a central regulatory node in the apoptotic machinery through the mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) as the decisive event. Upon MOMP, multiple pro-apoptotic molecules, including cytochrome C are released from the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) to activate aspartate proteases, called caspases, which ultimately coordinate most of the hallmarks of apoptosis and cellular self-destruction. Specifically, cytosolic cytochrome C forms a complex, the apoptosome, with ATP, APAF1, and pro-caspase 9 (pro-casp9), resulting in the activation of caspase 9 (casp9). Casp9 activates the downstream executioner caspase 3 (casp3) which ultimately lead to apoptosis.

Inefficient MOMP has been suggested to be one of the key determinants of therapeutic success of a number of anti-cancer regimens in cancer patients (Adams and Cory, 2007) and members of the Bcl-2 protein family are the key-regulators of this process. The Bcl-2 protein family comprises three classes of member. The first group consists of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein family members, including BCL-2, BCL-xl, BCL-w, A1, and MCL-1, that efficiently inhibit MOMP and block apoptosis. The second group consists of pro-apoptotic members such as BAK, BAX, and BOK, trigger apoptosis by directly promoting MOMP. A third divergent class of BH3-only proteins including BIM, BID, PUMA, BAD, and NOXA regulates the activity of pro-and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins (Adams and Cory, 2007) (Figure 2).

Members of the Bcl-2 protein family share at least one conserved Bcl-2 homology domain (BH domain), which is characterized by several a-helical segments. The BH domain does not possess enzymatic activity but it allows pro- and anti-apoptotic members to bind to and to inhibit each other (Adams and Cory, 1998; Cory and Adams, 2002). Binding affinity assays using BH3only peptides revealed that not all pro- and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins can antagonize each other, but the affinity differs within the family. The BH3-only proteins BIM, BID, PUMA, and BMF can bind and antagonize all anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins. In contrast, BAD can only bind BCL-2, BCL-xl and BCL-w, and NOXA is restricted in binding to MCL-1 and A1. To date, there are two proposed models that explain how the Bcl-2 protein family regulates MOMP: (i) the indirect activator model and (ii) the direct activator-derepressor model. Both models result in the activation of BAX and BAK and the permeabilization of the outer mitochondrial membrane. The indirect activator model postulates that BAX and BAK are bound in a constitutively active state to anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins. Competitive interactions with pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins are sufficient to release active BAX and BAK and induce MOMP. In the direct activator-derepressor model (also called neutralization model), BAX and BAK are activated by the interaction with a subset of BH3-only proteins, such as BID and BIM, called direct activators. In this model, anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins either inhibit MOMP by antagonizing BAX or BAK directly or by sequestering the direct activator BH3-only proteins, thus preventing them from activating BAX or BAK. A second subset of BH3-only proteins, called sensitizers, such as NOXA or BAD, cannot directly activate BAX or BAK but antagonize antiapoptotic Bcl-2 proteins and thereby release BAX and BAK for the activation by direct activator BH3-only proteins (Tait and Green, 2010).

In response to DNA damage activated p53 translocates into the nucleus where it induces transcription of several pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins, including BAX (Miyashita and Reed, 1995), PUMA (Nakano and Vousden, 2001), and NOXA (Oda et al., 2000), which in turn induce MOMP. The transcriptional upregulation of these pro-apoptotic members in response to DNA damage however may not suffice the required pro-apoptotic trigger toward MOMP as this process is tightly regulated by a number of other Bcl-2 members and only the ultimate pro-apoptotic composition of these proteins can efficiently induce cell death (Ni Chonghaile and Letai, 2008). Accordingly, the genes of some BH3-only proteins appear to be constitutively transcribed in cancer cells as reported for BIK or NOXA (Hur et al., 2004; Brinkmann et al., 2013; Dengler et al., 2014). The majority of these cells however resist apoptosis suggesting that the imbalance in Bcl-2 protein family members (e.g., upregulation of anti-apoptotic members or downregulation of BAX/BAK) efficiently counter the pro-apoptotic action of these factors. More strikingly, non-transcriptional regulation of Bcl-2 protein family members turn-over was repeatedly shown to control the apoptotic process under physiological or pathological condition. This enables cells to rapidly respond to stress cues by regulating protein abundance without employing protein *de novo* synthesis.

The clinical successes of proteasome inhibitors for the treatment of cancer have highlighted the therapeutic potential of targeting cellular process governing protein turn-over. Strikingly, the expression levels of a number of Bcl-2 protein family members including NOXA (Qin et al., 2005; Brinkmann et al., 2013), MCL-1 (Adams and Cory, 2007), A1 (Kucharczak et al., 2005), BCL-2 (Dimmeler et al., 1999), BAK (Qin et al., 2005), BIK (Marshansky et al., 2001; Hur et al., 2004), BIM (Nikrad et al., 2005) was altered when the proteasome was inhibited indicating an essential role of the UPS in regulating Bcl-2-family protein abundance. However, a direct regulation of Bcl-2-protein level via the UPS has only been reported for BAX (Chang et al., 1998; Li and Dou, 2000), BIM (Akiyama et al., 2003), BCL-2 (Dornan et al., 2004b), NOXA (Brinkmann et al., 2013), MCL-1 (Zhong et al., 2005), A1 (Kucharczak et al., 2005), and BCL-B (van de Kooij et al., 2013), while the identities of the responsible E3 ligases and DUBs are largely unknown with some exceptions (Tables 1, 2).

Previous data showed that the stability of the anti-apoptotic BCL-2 protein is regulated through ubiquitylation which is in turn controlled by its phosphorylation (Breitschopf et al., 2000a; Basu and Haldar, 2002). Specifically, MAP kinase-mediated BCL-2 phosphorylation was shown to block BCL-2 ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation (Dimmeler et al., 1999). Furthermore, BCL-2 turn-over is inhibited by its direct S-nitrosylation (Azad et al., 2006; Chanvorachote et al., 2006). These data showed that BCL-2 undergoes S-nitrosylation by endogenous nitric oxide (NO) in response to multiple apoptotic stimuli. S-nitrosylation of BCL-2 in turn inhibits its proteasomal degradation.

The level of MCL-1 protein is regulated by the action of at least five distinct E3-ligases, namely ARF-BP1/Mule (Zhong et al., 2005), SCF^{Fbw7} (Inuzuka et al., 2011; Wertz et al., 2011), SCF^{β TrCP} (Ding et al., 2007), APC/Cdc20 (Harley et al., 2010), Trim17 (Magiera et al., 2013), and the DUB USP9X (Schwickart et al., 2010). Whether different E3-ligases are engaged in different cellular action and in response to different stimuli is not determined. Independently, ubiquitylation of MCL-1 has been mainly considered as a regulatory circuit controlling its abundance. Not surprisingly, dysregulation of MCL-1 ubiquitylation and turn-over have been repeatedly associated with cancer and cancer chemoresistance (Schwickart et al., 2010; Wertz et al., 2011). Mule-dependent MCL-1 ubiquitylation is enhanced by NOXA, which targets Mule to MCL-1 and competes with USP9X in MCL-1 binding (Gomez-Bougie et al., 2011). These data suggest that NOXA, in addition to the functional antagonization of MCL-1, controls MCL-1 turn-over by regulating the physical interaction of MCL-1 with ubiquitin conjugation/deconjugation machinery.

Independent of its own inherent pro-apoptotic activity, the critical role of NOXA in regulating MCL-1 is a unique property of this protein among other BH3-only protein family members. NOXA was initially identified as a primary p53-responsive gene,

providing the first evidence for the transcriptional regulation of NOXA in response to genotoxic stress (Oda et al., 2000). In addition to transcriptional regulation, NOXA stability is controlled by post-translational mechanisms. In particular, ubiquitylation of NOXA has recently been shown to be involved in the regulation of NOXA protein turn-over and thereby influences cellular stress responses (Baou et al., 2010; Dengler et al., 2014). Interfering with this process, dysregulation of NOXA ubiquitylation has been shown to be an efficient strategy of some tumor cells in order to resist the genotoxic chemotherapy (Brinkmann et al., 2013). Specifically, these data showed that NOXA was strongly ubiquitylated in some tumor samples. The elevated NOXA ubiquitylation and reduced stability was a result of epigenetic silencing of NOXA-specific DUB, UCH-L1, which directly deubiquitylates and stabilizes NOXA (Brinkmann et al., 2013). Furthermore, NOXA can be degraded by an ubiquitin-independent mechanism suggesting that the disruption of 26S proteasome function by various mechanisms triggers the rapid accumulation of NOXA based on the capability of NOXA to act as a sensor of 26S proteasome integrity (Craxton et al., 2012).

Ubiquitin-dependent degradation of BIM is regulated by the E3 ligases SAG/RBX2 (Li et al., 2014), TRIM2 (Thompson et al., 2011), Cullin/ElonginB-CIS (Ambrosini et al., 2009), and SCF^{β TrCP} (Dehan et al., 2009) while phosphorylation through different kinases including ERK1/2, MAPK and the cell cycle kinase Aurora A precedes its turnover (Ley et al., 2003; Ramesh et al., 2008; Dehan et al., 2009; Wiggins et al., 2010). USP18 has also been shown to be involved in regulating the stability of BIM upon cytokine-induced cell death. Specifically, USP18 inhibition in INS-1E cells enhanced BIM expression level in untreated and IFN γ -treated conditions (Santin et al., 2012).

Analysis of BAX stability in human prostate adenocarcinoma showed that BAX is highly instable and the reduced BAX protein levels was associated with increased Gleason scores of prostate cancer (Chang et al., 1998; Li and Dou, 2000). These results identified the UPS-mediated BAX degradation as a novel survival mechanism in tumor cells and suggested that a selective targeting of this pathway should provide a unique approach for treatment of human cancers, especially those overexpressing BCL-2 (Chang et al., 1998; Li and Dou, 2000).

The stability of the BH3-only protein BNip1 is regulated via the action of the E3 ligase RNF186. BNip1 co-localizes with RNF186 at the ER and is poly-ubiquitylated by RNF186 through K29 and K63 linkage *in vivo*. This modification promotes BNip1 transportation to mitochondria but has no influence on its protein level (Wang et al., 2013).

Extrinsic apoptotic cascade results in the proteolytic activation of BID by caspase-8 (Luo et al., 1998). The COOH-terminal cleavage fragment of BID (truncated BID, tBID) becomes localized to mitochondrial membranes and triggers the release of cytochrome c. Truncated BID was shown to be ubiquitylated and subsequently degraded by the 26 s proteasome which is believed to control the extent of apoptosis in living cells (Breitschopf et al., 2000b). Further analyses identified the ubiquitin ligase ITCH, as a specific ubiquitin ligase of tBID which was not able to use intact BID as a substrate and initiate its proteasomal degradation (Azakir et al., 2010). The N-terminal cleavage product of BID has also been shown to be a substrate of unconventional ubiquitylation and degradation as the acceptor site are neither lysines nor Nterminus (Tait et al., 2007). Acceptor sites reside predominantly but not exclusively in helix 1, which is required for ubiquitylation and degradation of tBID-N. Rescue of tBID-N from degradation blocked BID's ability to induce mitochondrial outer membrane permeability but not mitochondrial translocation of the cleaved complex.

The increasing number of ubiquitin-conjugation events, regulating the abundance or function of Bcl-2 protein family members, is a strong indication of the central role of Ub in DDIA and provides at the same time a promising therapeutic target for cancer treatment.

Exploiting Ubiquitin-Signaling in DDR as a Therapeutic Target in Cancer

The ultimate central goal of conventional cancer therapy is the effective elimination of tumors by invoking DDIA. Since the balance of protein abundance and functionality are decisive for DDR outcomes, it is not surprising that deregulation of ubiquitinsignaling pathways is intimately associated with tumorigenesis and therapy resistance. Accumulating recent evidence conclusively identified ubiquitin-signaling as a valuable target in DDR and cancer chemoresistance. The majority of these efforts focused on the regulation of p53 as one of the central determinants of DDR outcomes. Accordingly, an increasing number of specific regulators of p53 have been identified and evaluated as therapeutic targets. RITA (reactivation of p53 and induction of tumor cell apoptosis) is a small molecule that blocks p53/MDM2 interaction (Issaeva et al., 2004); however, it appeared to be rather unspecific since its pro-apoptotic capacity was described to be p53-independent in several tumors, including myelomas (Surget et al., 2014) and additional data indicated that RITA cannot inhibit this interaction in vitro (Krajewski et al., 2005). Nutlins are also described to block the interaction of p53 and MDM2 (Vassilev, 2004). These molecules activate the p53 pathway and suppress tumor growth in vitro and in vivo in tumor xenograft models of solid and hematologic tumors (Vassilev et al., 2004; Tovar et al., 2006; Sarek and Ojala, 2007). MI-63 and MI-219 are small molecules also designed to block the interaction between p53/MDM2 and early preclinical evaluations demonstrated p53mediated cell cycle arrest or apoptosis in tumor xenograft models upon treatment with Mi-219 (Shangary et al., 2008). P28 is a peptide fragment derived from azurin, a redox protein secreted from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which stabilizes p53 by blocking its interaction with COP1 (Yamada et al., 2013a,b). The first preclinical trials demonstrated inhibition of tumor growth in xenograft models of p53 positive solid tumors (Jia et al., 2011).

Conclusion

Tumor cell resistance to genotoxic chemotherapy poses a significant challenge in the treatment of cancer patients. As already discussed, protein ubiquitylation is central to the orchestration of the DDR and impacts on susceptibility to conventional

genotoxic chemotherapy. Recent studies using proteasomeinhibitors validated the UPS as a therapeutic target in cancer and provided an impetus to promote the development of effective novel drugs that more specifically interfere with the ubiquitin-conjugating machinery. Thus, a better understanding of the specific link between the DDR and the ubiquitinconjugating machinery will undoubtedly identify novel targets involved in cancer and will promote the development of new therapeutic strategies to overcome cancer chemoresistance. In line with this notion, based on its ability to inhibit apoptosis, the Bcl-2 protein family has garnered the most attention as a promising therapeutic target in cancer. Accordingly, efforts have lately been focused on the development of drugs targeting Bcl-2 proteins with considerable therapeutic success (Brinkmann and Kashkar, 2014). In view of the fact that the acquired imbalance of Bcl-2 proteins is involved in cancer together with our increasing knowledge about the central role

References

- Abou Zeinab, R., Wu, H., Sergi, C., and Leng, R. P. (2013). Residues 240-250 in the C-terminus of the Pirh2 protein complement the function of the RING domain in self-ubiquitination of the Pirh2 protein. *PLoS ONE* 8:e82803. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082803
- Acs, K., Luijsterburg, M. S., Ackermann, L., Salomons, F. A., Hoppe, T., and Dantuma, N. P. (2011). The AAA-ATPase VCP/p97 promotes 53BP1 recruitment by removing L3MBTL1 from DNA double-strand breaks. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18, 1345–1350. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2188
- Adams, J. M., and Cory, S. (1998). The Bcl-2 protein family: arbiters of cell survival. Science 281, 1322–1326. doi: 10.1126/science.281.5381.1322
- Adams, J. M., and Cory, S. (2007). The Bcl-2 apoptotic switch in cancer development and therapy. Oncogene 26, 1324–1337. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc. 1210220
- Akiyama, T., Bouillet, P., Miyazaki, T., Kadono, Y., Chikuda, H., Chung, U. I., et al. (2003). Regulation of osteoclast apoptosis by ubiquitylation of proapoptotic BH3-only Bcl-2 family member Bim. *EMBO J.* 22, 6653–6664. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg635
- Al-Hakim, A., Escribano-Diaz, C., Landry, M. C., O'Donnell, L., Panier, S., Szilard, R. K., et al. (2010). The ubiquitous role of ubiquitin in the DNA damage response. DNA Repair (Amst). 9, 1229–1240. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2010.09.011
- Allende-Vega, N., Sparks, A., Lane, D. P., and Saville, M. K. (2010). MdmX is a substrate for the deubiquitinating enzyme USP2a. Oncogene 29, 432–441. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.330
- Ambrosini, G., Seelman, S. L., and Schwartz, G. K. (2009). Differentiation-related gene-1 decreases Bim stability by proteasome-mediated degradation. *Cancer Res.* 69, 6115–6121. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3024
- Aylon, Y., and Oren, M. (2007). Living with p53, dying of p53. *Cell* 130, 597–600. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.005
- Azad, N., Vallyathan, V., Wang, L., Tantishaiyakul, V., Stehlik, C., Leonard, S. S., et al. (2006). S-nitrosylation of Bcl-2 inhibits its ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation. A novel antiapoptotic mechanism that suppresses apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 34124–34134. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M602551200
- Azakir, B. A., Desrochers, G., and Angers, A. (2010). The ubiquitin ligase Itch mediates the antiapoptotic activity of epidermal growth factor by promoting the ubiquitylation and degradation of the truncated C-terminal portion of Bid. *FEBS J.* 277, 1319–1330. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010. 07562.x
- Badciong, J. C., and Haas, A. L. (2002). MdmX is a RING finger ubiquitin ligase capable of synergistically enhancing Mdm2 ubiquitination. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 49668–49675. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M208593200
- Balint, E., Bates, S., and Vousden, K. H. (1999). Mdm2 binds p73 alpha without targeting degradation. Oncogene 18, 3923–3929. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.12 02781

of ubiquitin-conjugation governing Bcl-2 abundance and function support the idea that cancer-treatment may strongly benefit from novel therapeutic protocols targeting ubiquitin-regulation of Bcl-2 family.

Acknowledgments

KB is supported by Köln Fortune Program (123/2014), Faculty of Medicine, University of Cologne and the Deutsche Krebshilfe (Mildred-Scheel-Stiftung 111754). HK is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG (KFO 286, SFB 670, SFB 832, and KA 2853/4-1). TH is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KFO 286, CECAD, FOR885, SFB635, and DIP8 grant 2014376) and the European Research Council (consolidator grant 616499). We apologize for not having cited valuable contributions due to size limitation.

- Banin, S., Moyal, L., Shieh, S., Taya, Y., Anderson, C. W., Chessa, L., et al. (1998). Enhanced phosphorylation of p53 by ATM in response to DNA damage. *Science* 281, 1674–1677. doi: 10.1126/science.281.5383.1674
- Baou, M., Kohlhaas, S. L., Butterworth, M., Vogler, M., Dinsdale, D., Walewska, R., et al. (2010). Role of NOXA and its ubiquitination in proteasome inhibitorinduced apoptosis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. *Haematologica* 95, 1510–1518. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2010.022368
- Bartel, F., Taubert, H., and Harris, L. C. (2002). Alternative and aberrant splicing of MDM2 mRNA in human cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2, 9–15. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(02)00091-0
- Basu, A., and Haldar, S. (2002). Signal-induced site specific phosphorylation targets Bcl2 to the proteasome pathway. *Int. J. Oncol.* 21, 597–601. doi: 10.3892/ijo.21.3.597
- Biswas, M., Voltz, K., Smith, J. C., and Langowski, J. (2011). Role of histone tails in structural stability of the nucleosome. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7:e1002279. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002279
- Bohgaki, M., Hakem, A., Halaby, M. J., Bohgaki, T., Li, Q., Bissey, P. A., et al. (2013). The E3 ligase PIRH2 polyubiquitylates CHK2 and regulates its turnover. *Cell Death Differ*. 20, 812–822. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2013.7
- Breitschopf, K., Bengal, E., Ziv, T., Admon, A., and Ciechanover, A. (1998). A novel site for ubiquitination: the N-terminal residue, and not internal lysines of MyoD, is essential for conjugation and degradation of the protein. *EMBO J.* 17, 5964–5973. doi: 10.1093/emboj/17.20.5964
- Breitschopf, K., Haendeler, J., Malchow, P., Zeiher, A. M., and Dimmeler, S. (2000a). Posttranslational modification of Bcl-2 facilitates its proteasomedependent degradation: molecular characterization of the involved signaling pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20, 1886–1896. doi: 10.1128/MCB.20.5.1886-1896.2000
- Breitschopf, K., Zeiher, A. M., and Dimmeler, S. (2000b). Ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the proapoptotic active form of bid. A functional consequence on apoptosis induction. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 21648–21652. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M001083200
- Brinkmann, K., and Kashkar, H. (2014). Targeting the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway: a preferred approach in hematologic malignancies? *Cell Death Dis.* 5:e1098. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.61
- Brinkmann, K., Zigrino, P., Witt, A., Schell, M., Ackermann, L., Broxtermann, P., et al. (2013). Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase-L1 potentiates cancer chemosensitivity by stabilizing NOXA. *Cell Rep.* 3, 881–891. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.02.014
- Bueso-Ramos, C. E., Manshouri, T., Haidar, M. A., Yang, Y., McCown, P., Ordonez, N., et al. (1996). Abnormal expression of MDM-2 in breast carcinomas. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 37, 179–188. doi: 10.1007/BF01806499
- Bueso-Ramos, C. E., Yang, Y., deLeon, E., McCown, P., Stass, S. A., and Albitar, M. (1993). The human MDM-2 oncogene is overexpressed in leukemias. *Blood* 82, 2617–2623.

- Bunting, S. F., and Nussenzweig, A. (2013). End-joining, translocations and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 13, 443–454. doi: 10.1038/nrc3537
- Chang, Y. C., Lee, Y. S., Tejima, T., Tanaka, K., Omura, S., Heintz, N. H., et al. (1998). mdm2 and bax, downstream mediators of the p53 response, are degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Cell Growth Differ.* 9, 79–84.
- Chanvorachote, P., Nimmannit, U., Stehlik, C., Wang, L., Jiang, B. H., Ongpipatanakul, B., et al. (2006). Nitric oxide regulates cell sensitivity to cisplatin-induced apoptosis through S-nitrosylation and inhibition of Bcl-2 ubiquitination. *Cancer Res.* 66, 6353–6360. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4533
- Chapman, J. R., Taylor, M. R., and Boulton, S. J. (2012). Playing the end game: DNA double-strand break repair pathway choice. *Mol. Cell* 47, 497–510. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.029
- Chen, D., Kon, N., Li, M., Zhang, W., Qin, J., and Gu, W. (2005b). ARF-BP1/Mule is a critical mediator of the ARF tumor suppressor. *Cell* 121, 1071–1083. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.037
- Chen, S., Davies, A. A., Sagan, D., and Ulrich, H. D. (2005a). The RING finger ATPase Rad5p of Saccharomyces cerevisiae contributes to DNA doublestrand break repair in a ubiquitin-independent manner. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 5878–5886. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki902
- Cheng, Q., and Chen, J. (2010). Mechanism of p53 stabilization by ATM after DNA damage. *Cell Cycle* 9, 472–478. doi: 10.4161/cc.9.3.10556
- Cheung, H. W., Ching, Y. P., Nicholls, J. M., Ling, M. T., Wong, Y. C., Hui, N., et al. (2005). Epigenetic inactivation of CHFR in nasopharyngeal carcinoma through promoter methylation. *Mol. Carcinog.* 43, 237–245. doi: 10.1002/mc.20106
- Ciechanover, A., Finley, D., and Varshavsky, A. (1984). Ubiquitin dependence of selective protein degradation demonstrated in the mammalian cell cycle mutant ts85. *Cell* 37, 57–66. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(84)90300-3
- Ciechanover, A., Finley, D., and Varshavsky, A. (1985). Mammalian cell cycle mutant defective in intracellular protein degradation and ubiquitin-protein conjugation. *Prog. Clin. Biol. Res.* 180, 17–31.
- Clarke, A. R., Purdie, C. A., Harrison, D. J., Morris, R. G., Bird, C. C., Hooper, M. L., et al. (1993). Thymocyte apoptosis induced by p53-dependent and independent pathways. *Nature* 362, 849–852. doi: 10.1038/362849a0
- Clejan, I., Boerckel, J., and Ahmed, S. (2006). Developmental modulation of nonhomologous end joining in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 173, 1301–1317. doi: 10.1534/genetics.106.058628
- Cory, S., and Adams, J. M. (2002). The Bcl2 family: regulators of the cellular life-or-death switch. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2, 647–656. doi: 10.1038/nrc883
- Craxton, A., Butterworth, M., Harper, N., Fairall, L., Schwabe, J., Ciechanover, A., et al. (2012). NOXA, a sensor of proteasome integrity, is degraded by 26S proteasomes by an ubiquitin-independent pathway that is blocked by MCL-1. *Cell Death Differ.* 19, 1424–1434. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.16
- Cummins, J. M., and Vogelstein, B. (2004). HAUSP is required for p53 destabilization. *Cell Cycle* 3, 689–692. doi: 10.4161/cc.3.6.924
- Dahm-Daphi, J., Hubbe, P., Horvath, F., El-Awady, R. A., Bouffard, K. E., Powell, S. N., et al. (2005). Nonhomologous end-joining of site-specific but not of radiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks is reduced in the presence of wild-type p53. Oncogene 24, 1663–1672. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208396
- Daigaku, Y., Davies, A. A., and Ulrich, H. D. (2010). Ubiquitin-dependent DNA damage bypass is separable from genome replication. *Nature* 465, 951–955. doi: 10.1038/nature09097
- Dantuma, N. P., and Hoppe, T. (2012). Growing sphere of influence: Cdc48/p97 orchestrates ubiquitin-dependent extraction from chromatin. *Trends Cell Biol.* 22, 483–491. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2012.06.003
- de Graaf, P., Little, N. A., Ramos, Y. F., Meulmeester, E., Letteboer, S. J., and Jochemsen, A. G. (2003). Hdmx protein stability is regulated by the ubiquitin ligase activity of Mdm2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 38315–38324. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M213034200
- Dehan, E., Bassermann, F., Guardavaccaro, D., Vasiliver-Shamis, G., Cohen, M., Lowes, K. N., et al. (2009). BetaTrCP- and Rsk1/2-mediated degradation of BimEL inhibits apoptosis. *Mol. Cell* 33, 109–116. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.12.020
- Dengler, M. A., Weilbacher, A., Gutekunst, M., Staiger, A. M., Vohringer, M. C., Horn, H., et al. (2014). Discrepant NOXA (PMAIP1) transcript and NOXA protein levels: a potential Achilles' heel in mantle cell lymphoma. *Cell Death Dis.* 5, e1013. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.552

- Di Conza, G., Mancini, F., Buttarelli, M., Pontecorvi, A., Trimarchi, F., and Moretti, F. (2012). MDM4 enhances p53 stability by promoting an active conformation of the protein upon DNA damage. *Cell Cycle* 11, 749–760. doi: 10.4161/cc.11.4.19208
- Dimmeler, S., Breitschopf, K., Haendeler, J., and Zeiher, A. M. (1999). Dephosphorylation targets Bcl-2 for ubiquitin-dependent degradation: a link between the apoptosome and the proteasome pathway. J. Exp. Med. 189, 1815–1822. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.11.1815
- Ding, Q., He, X., Hsu, J. M., Xia, W., Chen, C. T., Li, L. Y., et al. (2007). Degradation of Mcl-1 by beta-TrCP mediates glycogen synthase kinase 3-induced tumor suppression and chemosensitization. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27, 4006–4017. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00620-06
- Doil, C., Mailand, N., Bekker-Jensen, S., Menard, P., Larsen, D. H., Pepperkok, R., et al. (2009). RNF168 binds and amplifies ubiquitin conjugates on damaged chromosomes to allow accumulation of repair proteins. *Cell* 136, 435–446. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.041
- Dornan, D., Bheddah, S., Newton, K., Ince, W., Frantz, G. D., Dowd, P., et al. (2004b). COP1, the negative regulator of p53, is overexpressed in breast and ovarian adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res.* 64, 7226–7230. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2601
- Dornan, D., Shimizu, H., Mah, A., Dudhela, T., Eby, M., O'Rourke, K., et al. (2006). ATM engages autodegradation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase COP1 after DNA damage. Science 313, 1122–1126. doi: 10.1126/science.1127335
- Dornan, D., Wertz, I., Shimizu, H., Arnott, D., Frantz, G. D., Dowd, P., et al. (2004a). The ubiquitin ligase COP1 is a critical negative regulator of p53. *Nature* 429, 86–92. doi: 10.1038/nature02514
- Duan, S., Yao, Z., Hou, D., Wu, Z., Zhu, W. G., and Wu, M. (2007). Phosphorylation of Pirh2 by calmodulin-dependent kinase II impairs its ability to ubiquitinate p53. *EMBO J.* 26, 3062–3074. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601749
- Duan, W., Gao, L., Druhan, L. J., Zhu, W. G., Morrison, C., Otterson, G. A., et al. (2004). Expression of Pirh2, a newly identified ubiquitin protein ligase, in lung cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 96, 1718–1721. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djh292
- Dworakowska, D., Jassem, E., Jassem, J., Peters, B., Dziadziuszko, R., Zylicz, M., et al. (2004). MDM2 gene amplification: a new independent factor of adverse prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Lung Cancer* 43, 285–295. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2003.09.010
- Erson, A. E., and Petty, E. M. (2004). CHFR-associated early G2/M checkpoint defects in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Carcinog.* 39, 26–33. doi: 10.1002/mc.10161
- Feng, L., and Chen, J. (2012). The E3 ligase RNF8 regulates KU80 removal and NHEJ repair. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 201–206. doi: 10.1038/ nsmb.2211
- Gomez-Bougie, P., Menoret, E., Juin, P., Dousset, C., Pellat-Deceunynck, C., and Amiot, M. (2011). Noxa controls Mule-dependent Mcl-1 ubiquitination through the regulation of the Mcl-1/USP9X interaction. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 413, 460–464. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.08.118
- Haglund, K., and Dikic, I. (2005). Ubiquitylation and cell signaling. *EMBO J.* 24, 3353–3359. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600808
- Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144, 646–674. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- Harley, M. E., Allan, L. A., Sanderson, H. S., and Clarke, P. R. (2010). Phosphorylation of Mcl-1 by CDK1-cyclin B1 initiates its Cdc20-dependent destruction during mitotic arrest. *EMBO J.* 29, 2407–2420. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.112
- Hershko, A., and Ciechanover, A. (1998). The ubiquitin system. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 67, 425–479. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.425
- Hershko, A., Heller, H., Elias, S., and Ciechanover, A. (1983). Components of ubiquitin-protein ligase system. Resolution, affinity purification, and role in protein breakdown. J. Biol. Chem. 258, 8206–8214.
- Hock, A. K., Vigneron, A. M., Carter, S., Ludwig, R. L., and Vousden, K. H. (2011). Regulation of p53 stability and function by the deubiquitinating enzyme USP42. *EMBO J.* 30, 4921–4930. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.419
- Hoege, C., Pfander, B., Moldovan, G. L., Pyrowolakis, G., and Jentsch, S. (2002). RAD6-dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. *Nature* 419, 135–141. doi: 10.1038/nature00991
- Hollstein, M., Rice, K., Greenblatt, M. S., Soussi, T., Fuchs, R., Sorlie, T., et al. (1994). Database of p53 gene somatic mutations in human tumors and cell lines. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 3551–3555.
- Hoppe, T. (2005). Multiubiquitylation by E4 enzymes: 'one size' doesn't fit all. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 30, 183–187. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2005.02.004

- Hosoda, M., Ozaki, T., Miyazaki, K., Hayashi, S., Furuya, K., Watanabe, K., et al. (2005). UFD2a mediates the proteasomal turnover of p73 without promoting p73 ubiquitination. *Oncogene* 24, 7156–7169. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208872
- Hu, L., Liu, M., Chen, L., Chan, T. H., Wang, J., Huo, K. K., et al. (2012). SCYL1 binding protein 1 promotes the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of Pirh2 and has tumor-suppressive function in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 33, 1581–1588. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgs162
- Hur, J., Chesnes, J., Coser, K. R., Lee, R. S., Geck, P., Isselbacher, K. J., et al. (2004). The Bik BH3-only protein is induced in estrogen-starved and antiestrogenexposed breast cancer cells and provokes apoptosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 2351–2356. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0307337101
- Inoue, S., Hao, Z., Elia, A. J., Cescon, D., Zhou, L., Silvester, J., et al. (2013). Mule/Huwe1/Arf-BP1 suppresses Ras-driven tumorigenesis by preventing c-Myc/Miz1-mediated down-regulation of p21 and p15. *Genes Dev.* 27, 1101–1114. doi: 10.1101/gad.214577.113
- Inuzuka, H., Shaik, S., Onoyama, I., Gao, D., Tseng, A., Maser, R. S., et al. (2011). SCF(FBW7) regulates cellular apoptosis by targeting MCL1 for ubiquitylation and destruction. *Nature* 471, 104–109. doi: 10.1038/nature09732
- Irminger-Finger, I., and Jefford, C. E. (2006). Is there more to BARD1 than BRCA1? Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 382–391. doi: 10.1038/nrc1878
- Issaeva, N., Bozko, P., Enge, M., Protopopova, M., Verhoef, L. G., Masucci, M., et al. (2004). Small molecule RITA binds to p53, blocks p53-HDM-2 interaction and activates p53 function in tumors. *Nat. Med.* 10, 1321–1328. doi: 10.1038/nm1146
- Jackson, S. P., and Durocher, D. (2013). Regulation of DNA damage responses by ubiquitin and SUMO. Mol. Cell 49, 795–807. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.01.017
- Jia, L., Gorman, G. S., Coward, L. U., Noker, P. E., McCormick, D., Horn, T. L., et al. (2011). Preclinical pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and toxicity of azurinp28 (NSC745104) a peptide inhibitor of p53 ubiquitination. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 68, 513–524. doi: 10.1007/s00280-010-1518-3
- Jiang, N., Shen, Y., Fei, X., Sheng, K., Sun, P., Qiu, Y., et al. (2013). Valosincontaining protein regulates the proteasome-mediated degradation of DNA-PKcs in glioma cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 4, e647. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.171
- Jung, Y. S., Qian, Y., and Chen, X. (2011). The p73 tumor suppressor is targeted by Pirh2 RING finger E3 ubiquitin ligase for the proteasome-dependent degradation. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 35388–35395. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.261537
- Kadakia, M., Slader, C., and Berberich, S. J. (2001). Regulation of p63 function by Mdm2 and MdmX. DNA Cell Biol. 20, 321–330. doi: 10.1089/10445490152122433
- Kawai, H., Wiederschain, D., Kitao, H., Stuart, J., Tsai, K. K., and Yuan, Z. M. (2003). DNA damage-induced MDMX degradation is mediated by MDM2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 45946–45953. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M308295200
- Khoronenkova, S. V., and Dianov, G. L. (2013). USP7S-dependent inactivation of Mule regulates DNA damage signalling and repair. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 1750–1756. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1359
- Khosravi, R., Maya, R., Gottlieb, T., Oren, M., Shiloh, Y., and Shkedy, D. (1999). Rapid ATM-dependent phosphorylation of MDM2 precedes p53 accumulation in response to DNA damage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96, 14973–14977. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.26.14973
- Kim, S. T., Lim, D. S., Canman, C. E., and Kastan, M. B. (1999). Substrate specificities and identification of putative substrates of ATM kinase family members. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 37538–37543. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.53.37538
- Koegl, M., Hoppe, T., Schlenker, S., Ulrich, H. D., Mayer, T. U., and Jentsch, S. (1999). A novel ubiquitination factor, E4, is involved in multiubiquitin chain assembly. *Cell* 96, 635–644. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80574-7
- Komander, D., and Rape, M. (2012). The ubiquitin code. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 203–229. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328
- Krajewski, M., Ozdowy, P., D'Silva, L., Rothweiler, U., and Holak, T. A. (2005). NMR indicates that the small molecule RITA does not block p53-MDM2 binding *in vitro*. *Nat. Med.* 11, 1135–1136. doi: 10.1038/nm1105-1135
- Kucharczak, J. F., Simmons, M. J., Duckett, C. S., and Gelinas, C. (2005). Constitutive proteasome-mediated turnover of Bfl-1/A1 and its processing in response to TNF receptor activation in FL5.12 pro-B cells convert it into a prodeath factor. *Cell Death Differ*. 12, 1225–1239. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4401684
- Lai, Z., Ferry, K. V., Diamond, M. A., Wee, K. E., Kim, Y. B., Ma, J., et al. (2001). Human mdm2 mediates multiple mono-ubiquitination of p53 by a mechanism requiring enzyme isomerization. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 31357–31367. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M011517200

- Laptenko, O., and Prives, C. (2006). Transcriptional regulation by p53: one protein, many possibilities. Cell Death Differ. 13, 951–961. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd. 4401916
- Lee, Y. H., Andersen, J. B., Song, H. T., Judge, A. D., Seo, D., Ishikawa, T., et al. (2010). Definition of ubiquitination modulator COP1 as a novel therapeutic target in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 70, 8264–8269. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0749
- Lemmens, B. B., and Tijsterman, M. (2010). DNA double-strand break repair in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Chromosoma* 120, 1–21. doi: 10.1007/s00412-010-0296-3
- Leng, R. P., Lin, Y., Ma, W., Wu, H., Lemmers, B., Chung, S., et al. (2003). Pirh2, a p53-induced ubiquitin-protein ligase, promotes p53 degradation. *Cell* 112, 779–791. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00193-4
- Letai, A., Bassik, M. C., Walensky, L. D., Sorcinelli, M. D., Weiler, S., and Korsmeyer, S. J. (2002). Distinct BH3 domains either sensitize or activate mitochondrial apoptosis, serving as prototype cancer therapeutics. *Cancer Cell* 2, 183–192. doi: 10.1016/S1535-6108(02)00127-7
- Levine, J. S., Koh, J. S., Triaca, V., and Lieberthal, W. (1997). Lysophosphatidic acid: a novel growth and survival factor for renal proximal tubular cells. Am. J. Physiol. 273, F575–F585.
- Levinger, L., and Varshavsky, A. (1980). High-resolution fractionation of nucleosomes: minor particles, "whiskers" and separation of mononucleosomes containing and lacking A24 semihistone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 77, 3244–3248. doi: 10.1073/pnas.77.6.3244
- Ley, R., Balmanno, K., Hadfield, K., Weston, C., and Cook, S. J. (2003). Activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway promotes phosphorylation and proteasomedependent degradation of the BH3-only protein, Bim. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 18811–18816. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M301010200
- Li, B., and Dou, Q. P. (2000). Bax degradation by the ubiquitin/proteasomedependent pathway: involvement in tumor survival and progression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 3850–3855. doi: 10.1073/pnas.070047997
- Li, H., Tan, M., Jia, L., Wei, D., Zhao, Y., Chen, G., et al. (2014). Inactivation of SAG/RBX2 E3 ubiquitin ligase suppresses KrasG12D-driven lung tumorigenesis. J. Clin. Invest. 124, 835–846. doi: 10.1172/JCI70297
- Li, L., Tao, Q., Jin, H., van Hasselt, A., Poon, F. F., Wang, X., et al. (2010). The tumor suppressor UCHL1 forms a complex with p53/MDM2/ARF to promote p53 signaling and is frequently silenced in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 16, 2949–2958. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-3178
- Li, M., Brooks, C. L., Kon, N., and Gu, W. (2004). A dynamic role of HAUSP in the p53-Mdm2 pathway. *Mol. Cell* 13, 879–886. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(04)00157-1
- Li, M., Chen, D., Shiloh, A., Luo, J., Nikolaev, A. Y., Qin, J., et al. (2002). Deubiquitination of p53 by HAUSP is an important pathway for p53 stabilization. *Nature* 416, 648–653. doi: 10.1038/nature737
- Li, W., Nagaraja, S., Delcuve, G. P., Hendzel, M. J., and Davie, J. R. (1993). Effects of histone acetylation, ubiquitination and variants on nucleosome stability. *Biochem. J.* 296(Pt 3), 737–744.
- Li, Y. F., Wang, D. D., Zhao, B. W., Wang, W., Huang, C. Y., Chen, Y. M., et al. (2012). High level of COP1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in primary gastric cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 8, 1168–1177. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.4778
- Linke, K., Mace, P. D., Smith, C. A., Vaux, D. L., Silke, J., and Day, C. L. (2008). Structure of the MDM2/MDMX RING domain heterodimer reveals dimerization is required for their ubiquitylation in trans. *Cell Death Differ*. 15, 841–848. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4402309
- Liu, C., Wu, J., Paudyal, S. C., You, Z., and Yu, X. (2013). CHFR is important for the first wave of ubiquitination at DNA damage sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 1698–1710. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1278
- Liu, J., Chung, H. J., Vogt, M., Jin, Y., Malide, D., He, L., et al. (2011). JTV1 co-activates FBP to induce USP29 transcription and stabilize p53 in response to oxidative stress. *EMBO J.* 30, 846–858. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2 011.11
- Logan, I. R., Gaughan, L., McCracken, S. R., Sapountzi, V., Leung, H. Y., and Robson, C. N. (2006). Human PIRH2 enhances androgen receptor signaling through inhibition of histone deacetylase 1 and is overexpressed in prostate cancer. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26, 6502–6510. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00147-06
- Logan, I. R., Sapountzi, V., Gaughan, L., Neal, D. E., and Robson, C. N. (2004). Control of human PIRH2 protein stability: involvement of TIP60 and the proteosome. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 11696–11704. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M312712200

- Lok, G. T., Sy, S. M., Dong, S. S., Ching, Y. P., Tsao, S. W., Thomson, T. M., et al. (2012). Differential regulation of RNF8-mediated Lys48- and Lys63-based poly-ubiquitylation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 196–205. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr655
- Lord, C. J., and Ashworth, A. (2012). The DNA damage response and cancer therapy. *Nature* 481, 287–294. doi: 10.1038/nature10760
- Lowe, S. W., Schmitt, E. M., Smith, S. W., Osborne, B. A., and Jacks, T. (1993). p53 is required for radiation-induced apoptosis in mouse thymocytes. *Nature* 362, 847–849. doi: 10.1038/362847a0
- Lu, X., Ma, O., Nguyen, T. A., Jones, S. N., Oren, M., and Donehower, L. A. (2007). The Wip1 Phosphatase acts as a gatekeeper in the p53-Mdm2 autoregulatory loop. *Cancer Cell* 12, 342–354. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2007.08.033
- Luo, X., Budihardjo, I., Zou, H., Slaughter, C., and Wang, X. (1998). Bid, a Bcl2 interacting protein, mediates cytochrome c release from mitochondria in response to activation of cell surface death receptors. *Cell* 94, 481–490. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81589-5
- Magiera, M. M., Mora, S., Mojsa, B., Robbins, I., Lassot, I., and Desagher, S. (2013). Trim17-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of Mcl-1 initiate apoptosis in neurons. *Cell Death Differ*. 20, 281–292. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2 012.124
- Mailand, N., Bekker-Jensen, S., Faustrup, H., Melander, F., Bartek, J., Lukas, C., et al. (2007). RNF8 ubiquitylates histones at DNA double-strand breaks and promotes assembly of repair proteins. *Cell* 131, 887–900. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.09.040
- Malatesta, M., Peschiaroli, A., Memmi, E. M., Zhang, J., Antonov, A., Green, D. R., et al. (2013). The Cul4A-DDB1 E3 ubiquitin ligase complex represses p73 transcriptional activity. *Oncogene* 32, 4721–4726. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.463
- Mallette, F. A., Mattiroli, F., Cui, G., Young, L. C., Hendzel, M. J., Mer, G., et al. (2012). RNF8- and RNF168-dependent degradation of KDM4A/JMJD2A triggers 53BP1 recruitment to DNA damage sites. *EMBO J.* 31, 1865–1878. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.47
- Marshansky, V., Wang, X., Bertrand, R., Luo, H., Duguid, W., Chinnadurai, G., et al. (2001). Proteasomes modulate balance among proapoptotic and antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family members and compromise functioning of the electron transport chain in leukemic cells. J. Immunol. 166, 3130–3142. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.166.5.3130
- Meerang, M., Ritz, D., Paliwal, S., Garajova, Z., Bosshard, M., Mailand, N., et al. (2011). The ubiquitin-selective segregase VCP/p97 orchestrates the response to DNA double-strand breaks. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 1376–1382. doi: 10.1038/ncb2367
- Meulmeester, E., Pereg, Y., Shiloh, Y., and Jochemsen, A. G. (2005). ATM-mediated phosphorylations inhibit Mdmx/Mdm2 stabilization by HAUSP in favor of p53 activation. *Cell Cycle* 4, 1166–1170. doi: 10.4161/cc.4.9.1981
- Miyashita, T., and Reed, J. C. (1995). Tumor suppressor p53 is a direct transcriptional activator of the human bax gene. *Cell* 80, 293–299. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90412-3
- Mizuno, K., Osada, H., Konishi, H., Tatematsu, Y., Yatabe, Y., Mitsudomi, T., et al. (2002). Aberrant hypermethylation of the CHFR prophase checkpoint gene in human lung cancers. *Oncogene* 21, 2328–2333. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205402
- Momand, J., Jung, D., Wilczynski, S., and Niland, J. (1998). The MDM2 gene amplification database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 3453–3459. doi: 10.1093/nar/26.15.3453
- Momand, J., Zambetti, G. P., Olson, D. C., George, D., and Levine, A. J. (1992). The mdm-2 oncogene product forms a complex with the p53 protein and inhibits p53-mediated transactivation. *Cell* 69, 1237–1245. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90644-R
- Moynahan, M. E., and Jasin, M. (2010). Mitotic homologous recombination maintains genomic stability and suppresses tumorigenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 196–207. doi: 10.1038/nrm2851
- Nag, A., Bagchi, S., and Raychaudhuri, P. (2004). Cul4A physically associates with MDM2 and participates in the proteolysis of p53. *Cancer Res.* 64, 8152–8155. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2598
- Nakano, K., and Vousden, K. H. (2001). PUMA, a novel proapoptotic gene, is induced by p53. *Mol. Cell* 7, 683–694. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(01) 00214-3
- Ni Chonghaile, T., and Letai, A. (2008). Mimicking the BH3 domain to kill cancer cells. *Oncogene* 27, S149–S157. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.52
- Nijman, S. M., Luna-Vargas, M. P., Velds, A., Brummelkamp, T. R., Dirac, A. M., Sixma, T. K., et al. (2005). A genomic and functional inventory of deubiquitinating enzymes. *Cell* 123, 773–786. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.11.007

- Nikrad, M., Johnson, T., Puthalalath, H., Coultas, L., Adams, J., and Kraft, A. S. (2005). The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib sensitizes cells to killing by death receptor ligand TRAIL via BH3-only proteins Bik and Bim. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 4, 443–449. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-04-0260
- Norbury, C. J., and Zhivotovsky, B. (2004). DNA damage-induced apoptosis. Oncogene 23, 2797–2808. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207532
- Oda, E., Ohki, R., Murasawa, H., Nemoto, J., Shibue, T., Yamashita, T., et al. (2000). Noxa, a BH3-only member of the Bcl-2 family and candidate mediator of p53-induced apoptosis. *Science* 288, 1053–1058. doi: 10.1126/science.288.54 68.1053
- Orlowski, R. Z., and Kuhn, D. J. (2008). Proteasome inhibitors in cancer therapy: lessons from the first decade. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14, 1649–1657. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-2218
- Pan, M. R., Peng, G., Hung, W. C., and Lin, S. Y. (2011). Monoubiquitination of H2AX protein regulates DNA damage response signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 28599–28607. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.256297
- Pan, Y., and Chen, J. (2003). MDM2 promotes ubiquitination and degradation of MDMX. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 5113–5121. doi: 10.1128/MCB.23.15.5113-5121.2003
- Parsons, J. L., Dianova, II, Khoronenkova, S. V., Edelmann, M. J., Kessler, B. M., and Dianov, G. L. (2011). USP47 is a deubiquitylating enzyme that regulates base excision repair by controlling steady-state levels of DNA polymerase beta. *Mol. Cell* 41, 609–615. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.02.016
- Parsons, J. L., Tait, P. S., Finch, D., Dianova, I. I., Edelmann, M. J., Khoronenkova, S. V., et al. (2009). Ubiquitin ligase ARF-BP1/Mule modulates base excision repair. *EMBO J.* 28, 3207–3215. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.243
- Peng, J., Schwartz, D., Elias, J. E., Thoreen, C. C., Cheng, D., Marsischky, G., et al. (2003). A proteomics approach to understanding protein ubiquitination. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 21, 921–926. doi: 10.1038/nbt849
- Pereg, Y., Shkedy, D., de Graaf, P., Meulmeester, E., Edelson-Averbukh, M., Salek, M., et al. (2005). Phosphorylation of Hdmx mediates its Hdm2- and ATMdependent degradation in response to DNA damage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 102, 5056–5061. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0408595102
- Pickart, C. M. (2001). Mechanisms underlying ubiquitination. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 70, 503–533. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.503
- Popovic, D., Vucic, D., and Dikic, I. (2014). Ubiquitination in disease pathogenesis and treatment. *Nat. Med.* 20, 1242–1253. doi: 10.1038/nm.3739
- Qi, C. F., Kim, Y. S., Xiang, S., Abdullaev, Z., Torrey, T. A., Janz, S., et al. (2012). Characterization of ARF-BP1/HUWE1 Interactions with CTCF, MYC, ARF and p53 in MYC-Driven B Cell Neoplasms. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 13, 6204–6219. doi: 10.3390/ijms13056204
- Qin, J. Z., Ziffra, J., Stennett, L., Bodner, B., Bonish, B. K., Chaturvedi, V., et al. (2005). Proteasome inhibitors trigger NOXA-mediated apoptosis in melanoma and myeloma cells. *Cancer Res.* 65, 6282–6293. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0676
- Ramadan, K., and Meerang, M. (2011). Degradation-linked ubiquitin signal and proteasome are integral components of DNA double strand break repair: new perspectives for anti-cancer therapy. *FEBS Lett.* 585, 2868–2875. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.04.046
- Ramesh, S., Qi, X. J., Wildey, G. M., Robinson, J., Molkentin, J., Letterio, J., et al. (2008). TGF beta-mediated BIM expression and apoptosis are regulated through SMAD3-dependent expression of the MAPK phosphatase MKP2. *EMBO Rep.* 9, 990–997. doi: 10.1038/embor. 2008.158
- Rossi, M., Aqeilan, R. I., Neale, M., Candi, E., Salomoni, P., Knight, R. A., et al. (2006). The E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch controls the protein stability of p63. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 12753–12758. doi: 10.1073/pnas.060 3449103
- Rossi, M., De Laurenzi, V., Munarriz, E., Green, D. R., Liu, Y. C., Vousden, K. H., et al. (2005). The ubiquitin-protein ligase Itch regulates p73 stability. *EMBO J.* 24, 836–848. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600444
- Sakai, M., Hibi, K., Kanazumi, N., Nomoto, S., Inoue, S., Takeda, S., et al. (2005). Aberrant methylation of the CHFR gene in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 52, 1854–1857.
- Santin, I., Moore, F., Grieco, F. A., Marchetti, P., Brancolini, C., and Eizirik, D. L. (2012). USP18 is a key regulator of the interferon-driven gene network modulating pancreatic beta cell inflammation and apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis.* 3, e419. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2012.158

- Sarek, G., and Ojala, P. M. (2007). p53 reactivation kills KSHV lymphomas efficiently *in vitro* and *in vivo*: new hope for treating aggressive viral lymphomas. *Cell Cycle* 6, 2205–2209. doi: 10.4161/cc.6.18.4730
- Satoh, A., Toyota, M., Itoh, F., Sasaki, Y., Suzuki, H., Ogi, K., et al. (2003). Epigenetic inactivation of CHFR and sensitivity to microtubule inhibitors in gastric cancer. *Cancer Res.* 63, 8606–8613.
- Sawada, G., Ueo, H., Matsumura, T., Uchi, R., Ishibashi, M., Mima, K., et al. (2013). Loss of COP1 expression determines poor prognosisin patients with gastric cancer. Oncol. Rep. 30, 1971–1975. doi: 10.3892/or.2013.2664
- Scheffner, M., Huibregtse, J. M., Vierstra, R. D., and Howley, P. M. (1993). The HPV-16 E6 and E6- AP complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquitination of p53. *Cell* 75, 495–505. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)90384-3
- Scheffner, M., Nuber, U., and Huibregtse, J. M. (1995). Protein ubiquitination involving an E1-E2-E3 enzyme ubiquitin thioester cascade. *Nature* 373, 81–83. doi: 10.1038/373081a0
- Schlesinger, D. H., Goldstein, G., and Niall, H. D. (1975). The complete amino acid sequence of ubiquitin, an adenylate cyclase stimulating polypeptide probably universal in living cells. *Biochemistry* 14, 2214–2218. doi: 10.1021/bi00681a026
- Schwickart, M., Huang, X., Lill, J. R., Liu, J., Ferrando, R., French, D. M., et al. (2010). Deubiquitinase USP9X stabilizes MCL1 and promotes tumour cell survival. *Nature* 463, 103–107. doi: 10.1038/nature08646
- Shangary, S., Qin, D., McEachern, D., Liu, M., Miller, R. S., Qiu, S., et al. (2008). Temporal activation of p53 by a specific MDM2 inhibitor is selectively toxic to tumors and leads to complete tumor growth inhibition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 105, 3933–3938. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708917105
- Sheng, Y., Laister, R. C., Lemak, A., Wu, B., Tai, E., Duan, S., et al. (2008). Molecular basis of Pirh2-mediated p53 ubiquitylation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 1334–1342. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1521
- Sheng, Y., Saridakis, V., Sarkari, F., Duan, S., Wu, T., Arrowsmith, C. H., et al. (2006). Molecular recognition of p53 and MDM2 by USP7/HAUSP. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 13, 285–291. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1067
- Shi, D., Pop, M. S., Kulikov, R., Love, I. M., Kung, A. L., and Grossman, S. R. (2009). CBP and p300 are cytoplasmic E4 polyubiquitin ligases for p53. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 16275–16280. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0904305106
- Shibata, Y., Haruki, N., Kuwabara, Y., Ishiguro, H., Shinoda, N., Sato, A., et al. (2002). Chfr expression is downregulated by CpG island hypermethylation in esophageal cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 23, 1695–1699. doi: 10.1093/carcin/23.10.1695
- Shiloh, Y. (2003). ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. Nat. Rev. Cancer 3, 155–168. doi: 10.1038/nrc1011
- Shiloh, Y., and Ziv, Y. (2013). The ATM protein kinase: regulating the cellular response to genotoxic stress, and more. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 14, 197–210. doi: 10.1038/nrm3546
- Shimada, M., Kitagawa, K., Dobashi, Y., Isobe, T., Hattori, T., Uchida, C., et al. (2009). High expression of Pirh2, an E3 ligase for p27, is associated with low expression of p27 and poor prognosis in head and neck cancers. *Cancer Sci.* 100, 866–872. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2009.01122.x
- Stevenson, L. F., Sparks, A., Allende-Vega, N., Xirodimas, D. P., Lane, D. P., and Saville, M. K. (2007). The deubiquitinating enzyme USP2a regulates the p53 pathway by targeting Mdm2. *EMBO J.* 26, 976–986. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601567
- Strasser, A., Harris, A. W., Jacks, T., and Cory, S. (1994). DNA damage can induce apoptosis in proliferating lymphoid cells via p53-independent mechanisms inhibitable by Bcl-2. *Cell* 79, 329–339. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90201-1
- Sun, X. X., Challagundla, K. B., and Dai, M. S. (2012). Positive regulation of p53 stability and activity by the deubiquitinating enzyme Otubain 1. *EMBO J.* 31, 576–592. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.434
- Surget, S., Descamps, G., Brosseau, C., Normant, V., Maiga, S., Gomez-Bougie, P., et al. (2014). RITA (Reactivating p53 and Inducing Tumor Apoptosis) is efficient against TP53abnormal myeloma cells independently of the p53 pathway. *BMC Cancer* 14:437. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-437
- Tait, S. W., de Vries, E., Maas, C., Keller, A. M., D'Santos, C. S., and Borst, J. (2007). Apoptosis induction by Bid requires unconventional ubiquitination and degradation of its N-terminal fragment. J. Cell Biol. 179, 1453–1466. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200707063
- Tait, S. W., and Green, D. R. (2010). Mitochondria and cell death: outer membrane permeabilization and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 621–632. doi: 10.1038/nrm2952

- Thirunavukarasou, A., Singh, P., Govindarajalu, G., Bandi, V., and Baluchamy, S. (2014). E3 ubiquitin ligase Cullin4B mediated polyubiquitination of p53 for its degradation. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 390, 93–100. doi: 10.1007/s11010-014-1960-3
- Thompson, S., Pearson, A. N., Ashley, M. D., Jessick, V., Murphy, B. M., Gafken, P., et al. (2011). Identification of a novel Bcl-2-interacting mediator of cell death (Bim) E3 ligase, tripartite motif-containing protein 2 (TRIM2), and its role in rapid ischemic tolerance-induced neuroprotection. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 19331–19339. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.197707
- Thrower, J. S., Hoffman, L., Rechsteiner, M., and Pickart, C. M. (2000). Recognition of the polyubiquitin proteolytic signal. *EMBO J.* 19, 94–102. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.1.94
- Tovar, C., Rosinski, J., Filipovic, Z., Higgins, B., Kolinsky, K., Hilton, H., et al. (2006). Small-molecule MDM2 antagonists reveal aberrant p53 signaling in cancer: implications for therapy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 1888–1893. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507493103
- Toyota, M., Sasaki, Y., Satoh, A., Ogi, K., Kikuchi, T., Suzuki, H., et al. (2003). Epigenetic inactivation of CHFR in human tumors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 7818–7823. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1337066100
- van de Kooij, B., Rooswinkel, R. W., Kok, F., Herrebout, M., de Vries, E., Paauwe, M., et al. (2013). Polyubiquitination and proteasomal turnover controls the anti-apoptotic activity of Bcl-B. *Oncogene* 32, 5439–5448. doi: 10.1038/onc.2013.99
- van Doorn, R., Zoutman, W. H., Dijkman, R., de Menezes, R. X., Commandeur, S., Mulder, A. A., et al. (2005). Epigenetic profiling of cutaneous Tcell lymphoma: promoter hypermethylation of multiple tumor suppressor genes including BCL7a, PTPRG, and p73. J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 3886–3896. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.11.353
- Vassilev, L. T. (2004). Small-molecule antagonists of p53-MDM2 binding: research tools and potential therapeutics. *Cell Cycle* 3, 419–421. doi: 10.4161/cc.3.4.801
- Vassilev, L. T., Vu, B. T., Graves, B., Carvajal, D., Podlaski, F., Filipovic, Z., et al. (2004). *In vivo* activation of the p53 pathway by small-molecule antagonists of MDM2. *Science* 303, 844–848. doi: 10.1126/science.1092472
- Voges, D., Zwickl, P., and Baumeister, W. (1999). The 26S proteasome: a molecular machine designed for controlled proteolysis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 68, 1015–1068. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.68.1.1015
- Wade, M., Li, Y. C., Matani, A. S., Braun, S. M., Milanesi, F., Rodewald, L. W., et al. (2012). Functional analysis and consequences of Mdm2 E3 ligase inhibition in human tumor cells. *Oncogene* 31, 4789–4797. doi: 10.1038/onc.2011.625
- Wang, P., Wu, Y., Li, Y., Zheng, J., and Tang, J. (2013). A novel RING finger E3 ligase RNF186 regulate ER stress-mediated apoptosis through interaction with BNip1. *Cell. Signal.* 25, 2320–2333. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.07.016
- Wang, X., Wang, J., and Jiang, X. (2011). MdmX protein is essential for Mdm2 protein-mediated p53 polyubiquitination. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 23725–23734. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.213868
- Wang, X. M., Yang, L. Y., Guo, L., Fan, C., and Wu, F. (2009). p53-induced RING-H2 protein, a novel marker for poor survival in hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection. *Cancer* 115, 4554–4563. doi: 10.1002/cncr.24494
- Wertz, I. E., Kusam, S., Lam, C., Okamoto, T., Sandoval, W., Anderson, D. J., et al. (2011). Sensitivity to antitubulin chemotherapeutics is regulated by MCL1 and FBW7. *Nature* 471, 110–114. doi: 10.1038/nature09779
- Wiggins, C. M., Johnson, M., and Cook, S. J. (2010). Refining the minimal sequence required for ERK1/2-dependent poly-ubiquitination and proteasome-dependent turnover of BIM. *Cell. Signal.* 22, 801–808. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2010.01.004
- Wu, H., and Leng, R. P. (2011). UBE4B, a ubiquitin chain assembly factor, is required for MDM2-mediated p53 polyubiquitination and degradation. *Cell Cycle* 10, 1912–1915. doi: 10.4161/cc.10.12.15882
- Wu, H., Pomeroy, S. L., Ferreira, M., Teider, N., Mariani, J., Nakayama, K. I., et al. (2011b). UBE4B promotes Hdm2-mediated degradation of the tumor suppressor p53. *Nat. Med.* 17, 347–355. doi: 10.1038/nm.2283
- Wu, H., Zeinab, R. A., Flores, E. R., and Leng, R. P. (2011c). Pirh2, a ubiquitin E3 ligase, inhibits p73 transcriptional activity by promoting its ubiquitination. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 9, 1780–1790. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0157
- Wu, J., Chen, Y., Lu, L. Y., Wu, Y., Paulsen, M. T., Ljungman, M., et al. (2011a). Chfr and RNF8 synergistically regulate ATM activation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18, 761–768. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2078
- Wu, X. R., Sha, J. J., Liu, D. M., Chen, Y. H., Yang, G. L., Zhang, J., et al. (2013). High expression of P53-induced Ring-h2 protein is associated with poor

prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* 39, 100–106. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2012.10.004

- Wu-Baer, F., Lagrazon, K., Yuan, W., and Baer, R. (2003). The BRCA1/BARD1 heterodimer assembles polyubiquitin chains through an unconventional linkage involving lysine residue K6 of ubiquitin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 34743–34746. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C300249200
- Xia, M., Knezevic, D., Tovar, C., Huang, B., Heimbrook, D. C., and Vassilev, L. T. (2008). Elevated MDM2 boosts the apoptotic activity of p53-MDM2 binding inhibitors by facilitating MDMX degradation. *Cell Cycle* 7, 1604–1612. doi: 10.4161/cc.7.11.5929
- Xiang, T., Li, L., Yin, X., Yuan, C., Tan, C., Su, X., et al. (2012). The ubiquitin peptidase UCHL1 induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest and apoptosis through stabilizing p53 and is frequently silenced in breast cancer. *PLoS ONE* 7:e29783. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029783
- Xie, D., Jauch, A., Miller, C. W., Bartram, C. R., and Koeffler, H. P. (2002). Discovery of over-expressed genes and genetic alterations in breast cancer cells using a combination of suppression subtractive hybridization, multiplex FISH and comparative genomic hybridization. *Int. J. Oncol.* 21, 499–507. doi: 10.3892/ijo.21.3.499
- Yamada, T., Christov, K., Shilkaitis, A., Bratescu, L., Green, A., Santini, S., et al. (2013a). p28, a first in class peptide inhibitor of cop1 binding to p53. *Br. J. Cancer* 108, 2495–2504. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.266
- Yamada, T., Das Gupta, T. K., and Beattie, C. W. (2013b). p28, an anionic cellpenetrating peptide, increases the activity of wild type and mutated p53 without altering its conformation. *Mol. Pharm.* 10, 3375–3383. doi: 10.1021/mp400221r
- Yuan, J., Luo, K., Zhang, L., Cheville, J. C., and Lou, Z. (2010). USP10 regulates p53 localization and stability by deubiquitinating p53. *Cell* 140, 384–396. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.032
- Yun, M. H., and Hiom, K. (2009). CtIP-BRCA1 modulates the choice of DNA double-strand-break repair pathway throughout the cell cycle. *Nature* 459, 460–463. doi: 10.1038/nature07955

- Zhang, H., Zhai, Y., Hu, Z., Wu, C., Qian, J., Jia, W., et al. (2010). Genomewide association study identifies 1p36.22 as a new susceptibility locus for hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B virus carriers. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 755–758. doi: 10.1038/ng.638
- Zhang, S., Chea, J., Meng, X., Zhou, Y., Lee, E. Y., and Lee, M. Y. (2008). PCNA is ubiquitinated by RNF8. *Cell Cycle* 7, 3399–3404. doi: 10.4161/cc.7. 21.6949
- Zhang, X., Berger, F. G., Yang, J., and Lu, X. (2011). USP4 inhibits p53 through deubiquitinating and stabilizing ARF-BP1. EMBO J. 30, 2177–2189. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.125
- Zhao, Y., Bernard, D., and Wang, S. (2013). Small molecule inhibitors of MDM2p53 and MDMX-p53 interactions as new cancer therapeutics. *Biodiscovery* 8:4. doi: 10.7750/BioDiscovery.2013.8.4
- Zhong, Q., Gao, W., Du, F., and Wang, X. (2005). Mule/ARF-BP1, a BH3-only E3 ubiquitin ligase, catalyzes the polyubiquitination of Mcl-1 and regulates apoptosis. *Cell* 121, 1085–1095. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.06.009
- Zhou, W., Zhu, P., Wang, J., Pascual, G., Ohgi, K. A., Lozach, J., et al. (2008). Histone H2A monoubiquitination represses transcription by inhibiting RNA polymerase II transcriptional elongation. *Mol. Cell* 29, 69–80. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.11.002

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Brinkmann, Schell, Hoppe and Kashkar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.