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#### Abstract

Cryptography is commonly used to secure communication and data transmission over insecure networks through the use of cryptosystems. A cryptosystem is a set of cryptographic algorithms offering security facilities for maintaining more cover-ups. A substitution-box (Sbox) is the lone component in a cryptosystem that gives rise to a nonlinear mapping between inputs and outputs, thus providing confusion in data. An S-box that possesses high nonlinearity and low linear and differential probability is considered cryptographically secure. In this study, a new technique is presented to construct cryptographically strong $8 \times 8$ S-boxes by applying an adjacency matrix on the Galois field $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$. The adjacency matrix is obtained corresponding to the coset diagram for the action of modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on a projective line $P L\left(F_{7}\right)$ over a finite field $F_{7}$. The strength of the proposed $S$-boxes is examined by common S-box tests, which validate their cryptographic strength. Moreover, we use the majority logic criterion to establish an image encryption application for the proposed S-boxes. The encryption results reveal the robustness and effectiveness of the proposed S-box design in image encryption applications.


## 1. Introduction

The significance of information security is expanding with time and the areas of communication and data transformation are becoming more and more complicated. It has now become very imperative to secure the transformation of essential data across insecure networks. The cryptographic algorithms provide the security and protection of the critical data and information getting transferred over insecure channels [1]. A block cipher is one of the most critical components of cryptography. Shannon [2] introduced the notion of modern cryptography in 1949. The block ciphers such as Data Encryption Standard (DES) [3] and Advanced

Encryption Standard (AES) [4] rely on Shannon's principle of confusion and diffusion [1, 5], where AES is the standard encryption technique approved by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Confusion refers to the practice of making the correlation between the ciphertext and the key as intricate and complex as possible so that no one can understand the key by knowing the ciphertext. On the other hand, diffusion is the process of dissipating the influence of one plaintext bit on multiple ciphertext bits to obscure the statistical redundancies of the plaintext. Substitution-box (S-box) is the only dynamic component of the block ciphers that provides confusion through nonlinear mapping of inputs and outputs to adhere to the drill of encryption. The strength of an encryption method depends on the strength of the S-box $[6,7]$, which is evaluated using the NIST criteria. The nonlinearity of an S-box causes uncertainty in the output, which offers resistance against linear and differential cryptanalysis attacks [8]. An S-box design that yields high nonlinearity and low linear and differential probability is critical for a cryptosystem.

Many researchers laid their potential to design secure and reliable S-boxes. Rijndael proposed an algebraic S-box, called AES S-box [4], which is an integral part of the AES algorithm. Cui et al. [9] designed an improved AES S-box called Affine-Power-Affine (APA). In APA, the algebraic expression complexity of the AES S-box is increased from 9 to 253 terms while keeping the complexity of inverse S-box the same, i.e., 255. In [10], the authors presented Gray Sbox for AES, which is generated by the addition of binary Gray code transformation to the standard AES S-box. The authors in [11] presented an improved AES S-box in which they enhanced the complexity of AES S-box algebraic expression with terms increasing from 9 to 255 and algebraic degree invariable. The improved AES S-box is capable of resisting against differential cryptanalysis with high dependable security. The authors in [12] modified the affine transformation of the AES S-box to minimize the time complexity of AES. In [13], the authors proposed a new S-box based on fractional linear transformation on the Galois field GF $\left(2^{8}\right)$, which can create confusion in the data. A few research studies [14-16] also put emphasis on using Cellular Automata (CA) for designing dynamic S-boxes and attained comparable cryptographic properties. In [17], the authors proposed two different S-boxes for AES by modifying the affine transformation matrices. In $[18,19]$, the authors proposed a variable and dynamic S-box mapping for AES using different irreducible polynomials. Thus, a different Sbox is generated in each round of AES, which enhances the security of AES but only generates a limited number of S-boxes. The research works in [20-25] proposed key-dependent S-boxes based on secret keys for enhancing the security of AES.

Algebraic properties like group and rings [13, 26, 27], cubic fractional transformation [28], and Elliptic curve [29] have also been utilized to strengthen the differential probability of an Sbox. In [30], Gaussian distribution and linear fractional transformation are used to design an S-box. The authors applied the Box-Muller transform, polarization decision, and central limit algorithm for generating the S-box. In [31], the authors proposed a method that generates highly non-linear $n \times n$ S-boxes (where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ ). The authors used heuristic optimization to obtain the best S-box. Hussain et al. [32] developed a new construction method of S 8 S-boxes by using the action of the symmetric group $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ on AES S-box that gives 40320 new S-boxes with the same strength as AES S-box. In [33], the authors proposed a hybrid scheme based on chaotic map and affine transformation to generate multiple S-boxes using rotational matrices. The research work in [34] utilized a chaotic map along with algebraic groups to proposed another hybrid method for generating non-linear S-boxes. A. Razzaq et al. [35] presented a new scheme for S-box design, which is based on the coset graphs and symmetric groups. Their proposed S-boxes attain strong cryptographic properties. In [36], the authors proposed an Sbox design method based on single expression algebra to reduce the computational complexity of S-box design. Many researchers have utilized S-boxes in image encryption applications as
well [37-41]. In [42], the authors proposed a novel method for image encryption in the Fresnelet domain. The proposed algorithm is dependent on the Fresnelet transform-based image decomposition along with an algebraic S-box. In [43], Shah et al. endorsed a standard norm to evaluate the fundamental types of S-boxes and analyze their competency for image encryption applications. Xiangjun et al. [44] presented a novel technique for color image encryption, which is based on coupled-map lattices (CML) and a fractional-order chaotic system.

In this study, we proposed a novel and efficient technique for designing $8 \times 8 \mathrm{~S}$-boxes based on the action of modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on a projective line $P L\left(F_{7}\right)$ over a finite field $\mathrm{F}_{7}$. For this purpose, we draw a coset diagram for the action of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\operatorname{PL}\left(F_{7}\right)$ and form its adjacency matrix [45]. Then, we apply the adjacency matrix on Galois field $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$ elements using a set of different transformations to obtain bijective S-boxes. We inspect the cryptographic strength of the proposed S-boxes based on the NIST criteria using algebraic analyses such as nonlinearity, strict avalanche criterion, bit independent criterion, differential approximation probability, and linear approximation probability. Moreover, we utilized the proposed S-boxes for image encryption and perform statistical analyses on plain and encrypted images based on majority logic criterion [43, 46].

The rest of the paper organization is as follows. Section 2 explains the proposed method for S-box construction. Section 3 provides a discussion and comparison of the cryptographic strength of the proposed S-boxes. Section 4 discusses the application of the proposed S-boxes in image encryption along with its results. Finally, Section 5 concludes the findings of this research paper.

## 2. Proposed method for S-box design

The proposed method for S-box construction is shown in Fig 1, which consists of four key steps. First, we perform an action of the modular group or projective special linear group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on a projective line $\operatorname{PL}\left(F_{7}\right)$ over a finite field $F_{7}$ to yield a permutation group $G$. After that, we draw a coset diagram for the permutation group $G$ obtained corresponding to the action of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $P L\left(F_{7}\right)$. Then, we generate an adjacency matrix corresponding to the obtained coset diagram. Finally, we use this adjacency matrix and apply an affine transformation on the Galois field elements followed by the addition of an 8-bit number to generate the final S-box.

The following sections briefly explain the key steps involved in the proposed Sbox construction methodology.

### 2.1. Action of modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on projective line $\operatorname{PL}\left(F_{7}\right)$

The modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a group comprises of all linear transformations $\rightarrow \frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$, where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are some integers satisfying the relation $a d-b c=1 . \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by linear fractional transformations $x: z \rightarrow \frac{-1}{z}$ and $y: z \rightarrow \frac{z-1}{z}$, which satisfy the relations $x^{2}=y^{3}$ $=1$. Eq (1) gives the finite representation of the modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. A projective line over a Galois field $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ adds an extra point $\infty$ to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and is represented by $\operatorname{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. Hence, a projective line $\mathrm{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{7}\right)$ over a field $\mathrm{F}_{7}$ contains eight points, which give rise to a coset diagram having eight vertices. Eq (2) defines a projective line $\operatorname{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{7}\right)$ over a finite field $\mathrm{F}_{7}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})=<x, y: x^{2}=y^{3}=1>  \tag{1}\\
P L\left(F_{7}\right)=F_{7} \cup \infty=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6, \infty\} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The action of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\operatorname{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{7}\right)$ yields a permutation group $G$, which is generated by $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$


Fig 1. Proposed methodology for S-box design.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.g001
and $\bar{y}$ given below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=(0 \infty)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6)(23)(45)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \bar{y}=(0 \infty 1)(246)(3)(5)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2. Generation of coset diagram for permutation group G

After generating the permutation group $G$, we first draw a coset diagram using permutations $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\bar{y}$. A coset diagram is a graphical way of representing the permutation action of a finitelygenerated group [45]. Fig 2 shows the coset diagram obtained for the permutation group G. Since, $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ are of order 2 and 3 respectively, therefore, the generator $\bar{x}$ is denoted by an edge and the generator $\bar{y}$ is represented by a triangle. The vertices of the triangle are permuted counterclockwise and fixed points of $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ are denoted by heavy dots in the coset diagram.

### 2.3. Adjacency matrix generation for coset diagram

Next, we generate an adjacency matrix $M$ from the coset diagram for the action of the $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\operatorname{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{7}\right)$. The adjacency matrix for a directed graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$, where V is the set of vertices and $E$ is the set of edges, has a value 1 in its ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ )th position if there exists an edge from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$, where $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ is an arbitrary listing of the vertices of the directed graph [47]. If we consider $\mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]$ as the adjacency matrix for the directed graph, then $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is defined as given below in Eq (3).

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \text { is an edge of } G  \tag{3}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the coset diagram shown in Fig 2, the vertices are labeled as $0,1,2,3,4,5,6$, and $\infty$. It can be seen from the figure that there exists an edge from 0 to $\infty$, therefore in the adjacency


Fig 2. Coset diagram corresponding to the permutation group G.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.g002
matrix, the entry of the 1 st row and $8^{\text {th }}$ column is taken as 1 and all the remaining entries are set equal to zero in the 1 st row. Similarly, in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row of the adjacency matrix, the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ elements are 1 because there exists an edge from 1 to 0 and 1 to 6 . All other entries are equal to zero in this row. In the same way, by filling up the remaining entries in the matrix, we form an adjacency matrix M as given below.

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

This adjacency matrix $M$ is used in the later stage to generate the proposed S-boxes.

### 2.4. Affine mapping of Galois field using adjacency matrix

By applying the obtained adjacency matrix M on Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$, the obtained results are not distinctive. As the S-box elements must be unique, hence to attain the unique outcomes,
we apply a transformation $T$ on $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$. In this aspect, we propose a set of transformations $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$, which is applied on the Galois field GF $\left(2^{8}\right)$ elements to generate multiple S-boxes, as shown in Eq (4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{Mt}_{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{r}(\bmod 256)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}$ represent the element of the Galois field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$ in 8-bit binary form with $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots, 255, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ represents a set of eight different transformations with $k=1,2, \ldots, 8$, and $I_{k}$ represents eight different sets of integer(s) given as: $I_{1}=\{1,2,3, \ldots, 128\}, I_{2}=\{2,4,6, \ldots, 128\}, I_{3}=$ $\{4,8,12, \ldots, 128\}, \mathrm{I}_{4}=\{8,16,24, \ldots, 128\}, \mathrm{I}_{5}=\{16,32,48, \ldots, 128\}, \mathrm{I}_{6}=\{32,64,96,128\}, \mathrm{I}_{7}=\{64,128\}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{8}=\{128\}$. For $k=8$, we get $T_{8}\left(t_{n}\right)=M t_{n}+t_{n+128}(\bmod 256)$ from Eq (4). Similarly, taking $k=7$ provides us $T_{7}\left(t_{n}\right)=M t_{n}+t_{n+64(\bmod 256)}+t_{n+128}(\bmod 256)$.

Table 1 shows the process of generating the S -box elements using transformation $T_{8}$ (i.e., for $k=8$ ). Likewise, other transformations (i.e., $T_{1}$ to $T_{7}$ ) can be applied on the Galois field $G F$ $\left(2^{8}\right)$ elements to generate more S-boxes. $T_{7}\left(t_{n}\right)=M t_{n}+t_{n+64(\bmod 256)}+t_{n+128}(\bmod 256)$. Tables $2-$ 9 present the proposed S-boxes generated as a result of applying transformations $T_{1}$ to $T_{8}$ on the Galois field $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$ elements, respectively, using the proposed scheme.

The subscript $\mathbf{d}$ represents a number in decimal form, whereas the superscript $\mathbf{t}$ donates the transpose of a vector.

## 3. Performance analysis of proposed S-boxes

In this section, we validate the cryptographic strength of the proposed S-boxes (presented as S1-S8 in Tables 2-9, respectively) by commonly used parameters, which include: nonlinearity [48], bit independence criterion (BIC) [13, 49], strict avalanche criterion (SAC) [49], linear and differential approximation probabilities [50]. The nonlinearity of an $n$-variable Boolean function represents the minimum distance of the reference function from the set of all $n$-variable affine functions. Mathematically, the relationship between the nonlinearity of an $n$-variable Boolean function and the Walsh Hadamard transform of that function is defined as $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f})=2^{\mathrm{n}-1}-2^{\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}-1}[48]$. For $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{8}\right)$, the optimal value of nonlinearity is 120 . The BIC quantifies the independence between the avalanche variables. To test this criterion, the variables are compared pairwise to extract knowledge about the independence of these variables. The input bits are complemented individually, and the output vectors are analyzed for independence. The SAC depends upon the variation of the input outcomes and output bits. An S-box satisfies the SAC only if changing a single input bit yields a change in half of the output bits. An ideal S-box has the SAC value equal to one-half, i.e., 0.5 [49]. The linear approximation probability (LAP) identifies the probability of bias for a given S-box, whereas the differential approximation probability (DAP) measures the differential uniformity of an S-box [50]. The

Table 1. Generation of the proposed S-box elements based on a transformation $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{8}}$.

| $\underline{\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \epsilon \boldsymbol{G F}\left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{8}}\right)}$ | $T_{8}\left(t_{n}\right)=M t_{n}+t_{n+128(\bmod 256)}$ | S-box output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{0}=(0)_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(t_{0}\right)=M t_{0}+t_{0+128(\bmod 256)}$ | $[1100110000]^{t}=(27)_{d}$ |
| $t_{1}=(1)_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{t}$ | $T_{\mathbf{8}}\left(t_{1}\right)=M t_{1}+t_{1+128(\bmod 256)}$ | $[0010011111]^{t}=(244)_{d}$ |
| $\underline{t}_{2}=(2)_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{t}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(t_{2}\right)=M t_{2}+t_{2+128(\bmod 256)}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{t}=(248){ }_{d}$ |
| . | . | . |
| . | . | . |
| . | . | . |
| $t_{254}=(254)_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{t}$ | $\left.T_{\mathbf{8}}\left(t_{254}\right)=M t_{254}+t_{254+128(\text { mod }} \mathbf{2 5 6}\right)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{t}=(255)_{d}$ |
| $t_{255}=(255)_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(t_{255}\right)=M t_{255}+t_{255+128(\bmod 256)}$ |  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t001

Table 2. Proposed S-box (S1) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form-Generated with $T_{1}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 244 | 165 | 89 | 22 | 147 | 2 | 105 | 71 | 186 | 163 | 184 | 48 | 30 | 39 | 49 |
| 1 | 164 | 94 | 211 | 143 | 219 | 187 | 7 | 100 | 72 | 46 | 181 | 231 | 132 | 252 | 174 | 154 |
| 2 | 216 | 88 | 200 | 113 | 151 | 65 | 199 | 9 | 224 | 102 | 215 | 67 | 19 | 99 | 189 | 220 |
| 3 | 96 | 138 | 226 | 177 | 82 | 179 | 13 | 59 | 108 | 81 | 230 | 63 | 32 | 50 | 190 | 8 |
| 4 | 104 | 35 | 24 | 79 | 75 | 131 | 153 | 145 | 37 | 26 | 155 | 92 | 85 | 222 | 16 | 120 |
| 5 | 23 | 196 | 52 | 5 | 31 | 208 | 250 | 180 | 202 | 87 | 28 | 198 | 51 | 139 | 109 | 106 |
| 6 | 248 | 95 | 27 | 29 | 93 | 170 | 133 | 58 | 166 | 78 | 124 | 176 | 221 | 157 | 210 | 90 |
| 7 | 218 | 251 | 235 | 175 | 207 | 34 | 66 | 117 | 17 | 209 | 135 | 107 | 21 | 12 | 127 | 253 |
| 8 | 84 | $161$ | 213 | 54 | 125 | 168 | 150 | 61 | 204 | 228 | 4 | 146 | 247 | 98 | 152 | 173 |
| 9 | 242 | 191 | 121 | 233 | 212 | 232 | 169 | 80 | 229 | 238 | 217 | 40 | 255 | 126 | 225 | 171 |
| A | 223 | 68 | 140 | 56 | 10 | 25 | 42 | 160 | 188 | 15 | 97 | 129 | 115 | 118 | 128 | 144 |
| B | 194 | 70 | 77 | 185 | 116 | 243 | 74 | 112 | 130 | 159 | 91 | 36 | 33 | 178 | 110 | 38 |
| C | 246 | 41 | 114 | 192 | 162 | 172 | 14 | 55 | 214 | 249 | 62 | 76 | 148 | 11 | 193 | 203 |
| D | 241 | 134 | 86 | 44 | 60 | 206 | 141 | 239 | 240 | 20 | 237 | 57 | 183 | 156 | 236 | 47 |
| E | 53 | 18 | 1 | 142 | 245 | 83 | 103 | 69 | 158 | 101 | 167 | 122 | 45 | 73 | 136 | 43 |
| $F$ | 201 | 3 | 195 | 64 | 123 | 197 | 119 | 6 | 234 | 227 | 137 | 111 | 182 | 149 | 205 | 254 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t002
mathematical description of the LAP and DAP are given in Eqs (5) and (6) respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L A P=\max _{\Gamma_{x}, \Gamma_{y}}\left|\frac{\#\left\{x \mid x \cdot \Gamma_{x}=S(x) \cdot \Gamma_{y}\right\}}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{y}}$ are input and output masks respectively, x is the set of all probable input values and is the number of S-box elements.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{DAP}(\Delta x \rightarrow \Delta y)=\left[\frac{\{x \in X \mid S(x) \pm S(x \pm \Delta x=\Delta y\}}{2^{n}}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3. Proposed S-box (S2) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form—Generated with $T_{2}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 27 | 142 | 18 | 248 | 210 | 43 | 73 | 221 | 83 | 93 | 133 | 69 | 101 | 166 | 124 | 122 |
| 1 | 52 | 44 | 134 | 23 | 109 | 47 | 156 | 51 | 206 | 31 | 250 | 239 | 20 | 202 | 28 | 57 |
| 2 | 135 | 111 | 227 | 17 | 66 | 6 | 197 | 207 | 149 | 21 | 127 | 254 | 3 | 218 | 235 | 64 |
| 3 | 155 | 76 | 249 | 37 | 153 | 55 | 172 | 75 | 11 | 85 | 16 | 203 | 41 | 104 | 24 | 192 |
| 4 | 68 | 216 | 200 | 56 | 118 | 19 | 189 | 144 | 199 | 160 | 25 | 151 | 215 | 129 | 15 | 224 |
| 5 | 191 | 164 | 211 | 233 | 126 | 132 | 174 | 171 | 7 | 80 | 232 | 219 | 181 | 40 | 238 | 72 |
| 6 | 159 | 108 | 230 | 36 | 243 | 82 | 13 | 112 | 190 | 38 | 178 | 32 | 226 | 185 | 70 | 96 |
| 7 | 228 | 71 | 163 | 146 | 168 | 22 | 2 | 61 | 39 | 173 | 98 | 48 | 165 | 54 | 161 | 0 |
| 8 | 84 | 244 | 89 | 213 | 247 | 30 | 49 | 152 | 105 | 150 | 125 | 147 | 184 | 4 | 204 | 186 |
| 9 | 194 | 138 | 177 | 77 | 33 | 50 | 8 | 110 | 59 | 74 | 116 | 179 | 63 | 91 | 130 | 81 |
| A | 229 | 46 | 231 | 217 | 212 | 187 | 100 | 169 | 154 | 225 | 255 | 252 | 143 | 121 | 242 | 94 |
| B | 188 | 102 | 67 | 97 | 10 | 65 | 9 | 42 | 220 | 128 | 115 | 99 | 113 | 140 | 223 | 88 |
| C | 79 | 114 | 246 | 35 | 120 | 193 | 148 | 222 | 162 | 131 | 145 | 14 | 214 | 26 | 92 | 62 |
| D | 175 | 195 | 201 | 251 | 253 | 205 | 182 | 12 | 123 | 34 | 117 | 119 | 234 | 209 | 107 | 137 |
| E | 198 | 237 | 240 | 87 | 180 | 141 | 60 | 208 | 183 | 139 | 106 | 236 | 241 | 196 | 5 | 86 |
| F | 176 | 167 | 158 | 78 | 58 | 103 | 245 | 170 | 45 | 157 | 90 | 136 | 53 | 95 | 29 | 1 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t003

Table 4. Proposed S-box (S3) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form-Generated with $\mathrm{T}_{3}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 29 | 165 | 95 | 133 | 147 | 93 | 105 | 71 | 176 | 163 | 78 | 210 | 30 | 221 | 49 |
| 1 | 164 | 175 | 211 | 251 | 66 | 187 | 207 | 100 | 72 | 107 | 181 | 209 | 127 | 252 | 21 | 154 |
| 2 | 216 | 79 | 200 | 35 | 153 | 65 | 75 | 9 | 224 | 92 | 215 | 26 | 16 | 99 | 85 | 220 |
| 3 | 96 | 5 | 226 | 196 | 250 | 179 | 31 | 59 | 108 | 198 | 230 | 87 | 109 | 50 | 51 | 8 |
| 4 | 104 | 113 | 24 | 88 | 199 | 131 | 151 | 145 | 37 | 67 | 155 | 102 | 189 | 222 | 19 | 120 |
| 5 | 23 | 177 | 52 | 138 | 13 | 208 | 82 | 180 | 202 | 63 | 28 | 81 | 190 | 139 | 32 | 106 |
| 6 | 248 | 89 | 27 | 244 | 2 | 170 | 22 | 58 | 166 | 184 | 124 | 186 | 39 | 157 | 48 | 90 |
| 7 | 218 | 143 | 235 | 94 | 7 | 34 | 219 | 117 | 17 | 231 | 135 | 46 | 174 | 12 | 132 | 253 |
| 8 | 84 | 142 | 213 | 18 | 103 | 168 | 245 | 61 | 204 | 122 | 4 | 101 | 136 | 98 | 45 | 173 |
| 9 | 242 | 64 | 121 | 3 | 119 | 232 | 123 | 80 | 229 | 111 | 217 | 227 | 205 | 126 | 182 | 171 |
| A | 223 | 192 | 140 | 41 | 14 | 25 | 162 | 160 | 188 | 76 | 97 | 249 | 193 | 118 | 148 | 144 |
| B | 194 | 44 | 77 | 134 | 141 | 243 | 60 | 112 | 130 | 57 | 91 | 20 | 236 | 178 | 183 | 38 |
| C | 246 | 56 | 114 | 68 | 42 | 172 | 10 | 55 | 214 | 129 | 62 | 15 | 128 | 11 | 115 | 203 |
| D | 241 | 185 | 86 | 70 | 74 | 206 | 116 | 239 | 240 | 36 | 237 | 159 | 110 | 156 | 33 | 47 |
| E | 53 | 54 | 1 | 161 | 150 | 83 | 125 | 69 | 158 | 146 | 167 | 228 | 152 | 73 | 247 | 43 |
| $F$ | 201 | 233 | 195 | 191 | 169 | 197 | 212 | 6 | 234 | 40 | 137 | 238 | 225 | 149 | 255 | 254 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t004
where, $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ represents input differential, $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ is output differential, X is the set of all probable inputs, and $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the number of its elements.

As the research on S-box construction is becoming increasingly vital, numerous researchers have designed tools for testing S-box performance [51-53], which are based on the NIST criteria. These tools provide ease to the researchers in testing and verifying the S-box parameters. For validating the proposed S-boxes in our paper, we utilized the S-box testing tool presented by the authors in [51]. Table 10 summarizes the numerical values of the performance metrics obtained for the proposed S-boxes and compares these results with those obtained for some well-known S-boxes.

Table 5. Proposed S-box (S4) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form—Generated with $T_{4}$.

|  | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 0 | 29 | 165 | 95 | 22 | 58 | 2 | 170 | 124 | 186 | 166 | 184 | 210 | 30 | 221 | 49 |
| $\boldsymbol{1}$ | 164 | 175 | 211 | 251 | 219 | 117 | 7 | 34 | 135 | 46 | 17 | 231 | 127 | 252 | 21 | 154 |
| $\boldsymbol{2}$ | 216 | 79 | 200 | 35 | 151 | 145 | 199 | 131 | 155 | 102 | 37 | 67 | 16 | 99 | 85 | 220 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 96 | 5 | 226 | 196 | 82 | 180 | 13 | 208 | 28 | 81 | 202 | 63 | 109 | 50 | 51 | 8 |
| $\boldsymbol{4}$ | 104 | 113 | 24 | 88 | 75 | 9 | 153 | 65 | 215 | 26 | 224 | 92 | 189 | 222 | 19 | 120 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 23 | 177 | 52 | 138 | 31 | 59 | 250 | 179 | 230 | 87 | 108 | 198 | 190 | 139 | 32 | 106 |
| $\boldsymbol{6}$ | 248 | 89 | 27 | 244 | 93 | 105 | 133 | 147 | 163 | 78 | 71 | 176 | 39 | 157 | 48 | 90 |
| $\boldsymbol{7}$ | 218 | 143 | 235 | 94 | 207 | 100 | 66 | 187 | 181 | 209 | 72 | 107 | 174 | 12 | 132 | 253 |
| $\boldsymbol{8}$ | 84 | 142 | 213 | 18 | 125 | 69 | 150 | 83 | 167 | 228 | 158 | 146 | 136 | 98 | 45 | 173 |
| $\boldsymbol{9}$ | 242 | 64 | 121 | 3 | 212 | 6 | 169 | 197 | 137 | 238 | 234 | 40 | 205 | 126 | 182 | 171 |
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ | 223 | 192 | 140 | 41 | 10 | 55 | 42 | 172 | 62 | 15 | 214 | 129 | 193 | 118 | 148 | 144 |
| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | 194 | 44 | 77 | 134 | 116 | 239 | 74 | 206 | 237 | 159 | 240 | 36 | 236 | 178 | 183 | 38 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ | 246 | 56 | 114 | 68 | 162 | 160 | 14 | 25 | 97 | 249 | 188 | 76 | 128 | 11 | 115 | 203 |
| $\boldsymbol{D}$ | 241 | 185 | 86 | 70 | 60 | 112 | 141 | 243 | 91 | 20 | 130 | 57 | 110 | 156 | 33 | 47 |
| $\boldsymbol{E}$ | 53 | 54 | 1 | 161 | 245 | 61 | 103 | 168 | 4 | 101 | 204 | 122 | 152 | 73 | 247 | 43 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}$ | 201 | 233 | 195 | 191 | 123 | 80 | 119 | 232 | 217 | 227 | 229 | 111 | 225 | 149 | 255 | 254 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t005

Table 6. Proposed S-box (S5) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form—Generated with $\mathrm{T}_{5}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 29 | 165 | 95 | 22 | 58 | 2 | 170 | 71 | 176 | 163 | 78 | 48 | 90 | 39 | 157 |
| 1 | 235 | 94 | 218 | 143 | 66 | 187 | 207 | 100 | 135 | 46 | 17 | 231 | 127 | 252 | 21 | 154 |
| 2 | 216 | 79 | 200 | 35 | 151 | 145 | 199 | 131 | 224 | 92 | 215 | 26 | 19 | 120 | 189 | 222 |
| 3 | 52 | 138 | 23 | 177 | 250 | 179 | 31 | 59 | 28 | 81 | 202 | 63 | 109 | 50 | 51 | 8 |
| 4 | 104 | 113 | 24 | 88 | 75 | 9 | 153 | 65 | 37 | 67 | 155 | 102 | 85 | 220 | 16 | 99 |
| 5 | 226 | 196 | 96 | 5 | 13 | 208 | 82 | 180 | 230 | 87 | 108 | 198 | 190 | 139 | 32 | 106 |
| 6 | 248 | 89 | 27 | 244 | 93 | 105 | 133 | 147 | 166 | 184 | 124 | 186 | 221 | 49 | 210 | 30 |
| 7 | 211 | 251 | 164 | 175 | 7 | 34 | 219 | 117 | 181 | 209 | 72 | 107 | 174 | 12 | 132 | 253 |
| 8 | 84 | $142$ | 213 | 18 | 125 | 69 | 150 | 83 | 204 | 122 | 4 | 101 | 247 | 43 | 152 | 73 |
| 9 | 195 | 191 | 201 | 233 | 119 | 232 | 123 | 80 | 137 | 238 | 234 | 40 | 205 | 126 | 182 | 171 |
| A | 223 | 192 | 140 | 41 | 10 | 55 | 42 | 172 | 188 | 76 | 97 | 249 | 115 | 203 | 128 | 11 |
| B | 86 | 70 | 241 | 185 | 141 | 243 | 60 | 112 | 237 | 159 | 240 | 36 | 236 | 178 | 183 | 38 |
| C | 246 | 56 | 114 | 68 | 162 | 160 | 14 | 25 | 214 | 129 | 62 | 15 | 148 | 144 | 193 | 118 |
| D | 77 | 134 | 194 | 44 | 74 | 206 | 116 | 239 | 91 | 20 | 130 | 57 | 110 | 156 | 33 | 47 |
| E | 53 | 54 | 1 | 161 | 245 | 61 | 103 | 168 | 158 | 146 | 167 | 228 | 45 | 173 | 136 | 98 |
| $F$ | 121 | 3 | 242 | 64 | 169 | 197 | 212 | 6 | 217 | 227 | 229 | 111 | 225 | 149 | 255 | 254 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t006

By investigating the results presented in Table 10, it can be stated that the proposed S-boxes achieve high nonlinearity and BIC value (i.e., 112), which is the maximum possible value achieved with any of the existing S-boxes till now. The proposed S-boxes also satisfy the SAC test by achieving a near-optimal value of 0.5 . Furthermore, the maximum value of linear and differential approximation probability for all the proposed S-boxes is 0.0625 and 0.0156 respectively, which is better than or comparable to those obtained for existing S-boxes as shown in Table 10. Overall, the proposed S-boxes yield a high nonlinearity and low linear and differential probability values, thus offering strong resistance against linear and differential cryptanalysis. As a result, we conclude that the proposed S-boxes possess strong algebraic and

Table 7. Proposed S-box (S6) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form—Generated with $T_{6}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 27 | 142 | 54 | 165 | 210 | 43 | 173 | 39 | 83 | 93 | 22 | 168 | 101 | 166 | 71 | 228 |
| 1 | 52 | 44 | 185 | 226 | 109 | 47 | 38 | 190 | 206 | 31 | 82 | 243 | 20 | 202 | 108 | 159 |
| 2 | 72 | 238 | 227 | 17 | 219 | 232 | 197 | 207 | 171 | 174 | 127 | 254 | 233 | 211 | 235 | 64 |
| 3 | 224 | 15 | 249 | 37 | 151 | 25 | 172 | 75 | 144 | 189 | 16 | 203 | 56 | 200 | 24 | 192 |
| 4 | 68 | 216 | 104 | 41 | 118 | 19 | 85 | 11 | 199 | 160 | 55 | 153 | 215 | 129 | 76 | 155 |
| 5 | 191 | 164 | 218 | 3 | 126 | 132 | 21 | 149 | 7 | 80 | 6 | 66 | 181 | 40 | 111 | 135 |
| 6 | 57 | 28 | 230 | 36 | 239 | 250 | 13 | 112 | 51 | 156 | 178 | 32 | 23 | 134 | 70 | 96 |
| 7 | 122 | 124 | 163 | 146 | 69 | 133 | 2 | 61 | 221 | 73 | 98 | 48 | 248 | 18 | 161 | 0 |
| 8 | 84 | 244 | 95 | 53 | 247 | 30 | 157 | 45 | 105 | 150 | 103 | 58 | 184 | 4 | 167 | 176 |
| 9 | 194 | 138 | 196 | 241 | 33 | 50 | 139 | 183 | 59 | 74 | 141 | 180 | 63 | 91 | 237 | 198 |
| A | 137 | 107 | 231 | 217 | 119 | 117 | 100 | 169 | 12 | 182 | 255 | 252 | 251 | 201 | 242 | 94 |
| B | 62 | 92 | 67 | 97 | 14 | 145 | 9 | 42 | 222 | 148 | 115 | 99 | 35 | 246 | 223 | 88 |
| C | 79 | 114 | 140 | 113 | 120 | 193 | 128 | 220 | 162 | 131 | 65 | 10 | 214 | 26 | 102 | 188 |
| D | 175 | 195 | 121 | 143 | 253 | 205 | 225 | 154 | 123 | 34 | 187 | 212 | 234 | 209 | 46 | 229 |
| E | 81 | 130 | 240 | 87 | 179 | 116 | 60 | 208 | 110 | 8 | 106 | 236 | 77 | 177 | 5 | 86 |
| $F$ | 186 | 204 | 158 | 78 | 147 | 125 | 245 | 170 | 152 | 49 | 90 | 136 | 213 | 89 | 29 | 1 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t007

Table 8. Proposed S-box (S7) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form-Generated with $\mathrm{T}_{7}$.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 18 | 248 | 0 | 161 | 73 | 221 | 48 | 98 | 133 | 69 | 61 | 2 | 124 | 122 | 146 | 163 |
| 1 | 134 | 23 | 96 | 70 | 156 | 51 | 32 | 178 | 250 | 239 | 112 | 13 | 28 | 57 | 36 | 230 |
| 2 | 227 | 17 | 72 | 238 | 197 | 207 | 219 | 232 | 127 | 254 | 171 | 174 | 235 | 64 | 233 | 211 |
| 3 | 249 | 37 | 224 | 15 | 172 | 75 | 151 | 25 | 16 | 203 | 144 | 189 | 24 | 192 | 56 | 200 |
| 4 | 104 | 41 | 68 | 216 | 85 | 11 | 118 | 19 | 55 | 153 | 199 | 160 | 76 | 155 | 215 | 129 |
| 5 | 218 | 3 | 191 | 164 | 21 | 149 | 126 | 132 | 6 | 66 | 7 | 80 | 111 | 135 | 181 | 40 |
| 6 | 202 | 20 | 159 | 108 | 31 | 206 | 243 | 82 | 47 | 109 | 190 | 38 | 44 | 52 | 226 | 185 |
| 7 | 166 | 101 | 228 | 71 | 93 | 83 | 168 | 22 | 43 | 210 | 39 | 173 | 142 | 27 | 165 | 54 |
| 8 | 89 | 213 | 1 | 29 | 49 | 152 | 136 | 90 | 125 | 147 | 170 | 245 | 204 | 186 | 78 | 158 |
| 9 | 177 | 77 | 86 | 5 | 8 | 110 | 236 | 106 | 116 | 179 | 208 | 60 | 130 | 81 | 87 | 240 |
| A | 231 | 217 | 137 | 107 | 100 | 169 | 119 | 117 | 255 | 252 | 12 | 182 | 242 | 94 | 251 | 201 |
| B | 67 | 97 | 62 | 92 | 9 | 42 | 14 | 145 | 115 | 99 | 222 | 148 | 223 | 88 | 35 | 246 |
| C | 140 | 113 | 79 | 114 | 128 | 220 | 120 | 193 | 65 | 10 | 162 | 131 | 102 | 188 | 214 | 26 |
| D | 121 | 143 | 175 | 195 | 225 | 154 | 253 | 205 | 187 | 212 | 123 | 34 | 46 | 229 | 234 | 209 |
| E | 91 | 63 | 198 | 237 | 74 | 59 | 180 | 141 | 50 | 33 | 183 | 139 | 138 | 194 | 241 | 196 |
| $F$ | 4 | 184 | 176 | 167 | 150 | 105 | 58 | 103 | 30 | 247 | 45 | 157 | 244 | 84 | 53 | 95 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t008
cryptographic properties, thus capable of demonstrating effective performance in different security applications.

The proposed S-box design provides an additional advantage over the standard AES Sbox in the sense that it can generate multiple S-boxes using the single adjacency matrix. If the affine matrix is changed adhering to the defined criteria in our proposed method, a new set of S-boxes can be generated with the same cryptographic strength as AES S-box. In this aspect, the additional cost of generating the affine matrix is trivial, thus the overall complexity per Sbox generation tends to be insignificant. As a result, it can be stated that the proposed Sbox design method is computationally feasible and the obtained S-boxes are cryptographically strong as the AES S-box.

Table 9. Proposed S-box (S8) in $16 \times 16$ matrix form—generated with $\mathrm{T}_{8}$.

|  | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\boldsymbol{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 27 | 244 | 248 | 89 | 133 | 147 | 93 | 105 | 124 | 186 | 166 | 184 | 210 | 30 | 221 | 49 |
| $\boldsymbol{1}$ | 235 | 94 | 218 | 143 | 66 | 187 | 207 | 100 | 135 | 46 | 17 | 231 | 127 | 252 | 21 | 154 |
| $\boldsymbol{2}$ | 24 | 88 | 104 | 113 | 153 | 65 | 75 | 9 | 155 | 102 | 37 | 67 | 16 | 99 | 85 | 220 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 52 | 138 | 23 | 177 | 250 | 179 | 31 | 59 | 28 | 81 | 202 | 63 | 109 | 50 | 51 | 8 |
| $\boldsymbol{4}$ | 200 | 35 | 216 | 79 | 199 | 131 | 151 | 145 | 215 | 26 | 224 | 92 | 189 | 222 | 19 | 120 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 226 | 196 | 96 | 5 | 13 | 208 | 82 | 180 | 230 | 87 | 108 | 198 | 190 | 139 | 32 | 106 |
| $\boldsymbol{6}$ | 165 | 95 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 170 | 22 | 58 | 163 | 78 | 71 | 176 | 39 | 157 | 48 | 90 |
| $\boldsymbol{7}$ | 211 | 251 | 164 | 175 | 7 | 34 | 219 | 117 | 181 | 209 | 72 | 107 | 174 | 12 | 132 | 253 |
| $\boldsymbol{8}$ | 1 | 161 | 53 | 54 | 103 | 168 | 245 | 61 | 167 | 228 | 158 | 146 | 136 | 98 | 45 | 173 |
| $\boldsymbol{9}$ | 195 | 191 | 201 | 233 | 119 | 232 | 123 | 80 | 137 | 238 | 234 | 40 | 205 | 126 | 182 | 171 |
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ | 114 | 68 | 246 | 56 | 14 | 25 | 162 | 160 | 62 | 15 | 214 | 129 | 193 | 118 | 148 | 144 |
| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | 86 | 70 | 241 | 185 | 141 | 243 | 60 | 112 | 237 | 159 | 240 | 36 | 236 | 178 | 183 | 38 |
| $\boldsymbol{C}$ | 140 | 41 | 223 | 192 | 42 | 172 | 10 | 55 | 97 | 249 | 188 | 76 | 128 | 11 | 115 | 203 |
| $\boldsymbol{D}$ | 77 | 134 | 194 | 44 | 74 | 206 | 116 | 239 | 91 | 20 | 130 | 57 | 110 | 156 | 33 | 47 |
| $\boldsymbol{E}$ | 213 | 18 | 84 | 142 | 150 | 83 | 125 | 69 | 4 | 101 | 204 | 122 | 152 | 73 | 247 | 43 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}$ | 121 | 3 | 242 | 64 | 169 | 197 | 212 | 6 | 217 | 227 | 229 | 111 | 225 | 149 | 255 | 254 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t009

Table 10. Numerical results of the S-box testing parameters obtained for our proposals in comparison with the existing S-boxes.

| S-box |  | Nonlinearity | SAC | BIC | LAP | DAP |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed | S1 | 112.0 | 0.4951 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S2 | 112.0 | 0.4951 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S3 | 112.0 | 0.4970 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S4 | 112.0 | 0.5000 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S5 | 112.0 | 0.4995 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S6 | 112.0 | 0.4953 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S7 | 112.0 | 0.4960 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
|  | S8 | 112.0 | 0.4953 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |
| AES [4] | 112.0 | 0.5058 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |  |
| APA [9] | 112.0 | 0.4987 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |  |
| Gray [10] | 112.0 | 0.505 | 111.46 | 0.0664 | 0.0156 |  |
| Zahid et al. [28] | 107.0 | 0.497 | 103.5 | 0.1560 | 0.0390 |  |
| Farwa et al. [41] | 103.5 | 0.5065 | 103.3 | 0.1328 | 0.0468 |  |
| Aboytes et al. [16] | 112.0 | 0.4998 | 112.0 | 0.0625 | 0.0156 |  |
| Khan et al. [30] | 111.0 | 0.5036 | 110.0 | 0.0781 | 0.023 |  |
| Hayat et al. [29] | 100.0 | 0.5007 | 104.1 | 0.0390 | 0.1250 |  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t010

## 4. Application of proposed S-box in image encryption

As an application of the proposed S-boxes, we perform image encryption using proposed Sboxes and assess their strength and robustness in image encryption based on the majority logic criterion (MLC) [43, 46]. We take a standard 8-bit Baboon image of size $512 \times 512$ as a plain gray-level image and encrypt this image independently using AES S-box and the proposed Sboxes (i.e., S2, S5, and S7). For this purpose, we substitute every pixel value in the image with the corresponding value in the S-box, which scrambles the visual information in the image and provides image encryption. We perform one round encryption on plain Lena image and carry out some statistical analyses on plain and encrypted images. These analyses include entropy, energy, correlation, contrast, and homogeneity analysis [46]. Table 11 provides a brief description of these statistical parameters, which are computed using a gray-level cooccurrence matrix (GLCM) [46]. The numerical results of these parameters are provided in Table 12.

Table 11. Statistical analysis parameters with description and formulae.

| Statistical <br> Analysisy | Description | Formulae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Entropy | Measures the randomness in an image and provides information <br> about the image texture/gray level | $H=-\sum_{k=0}^{n} p\left(r_{k}\right) \log _{2} p\left(r_{k}\right)$ |
| Energy | Quantifies the energy in an image by using GLCM | $E=\sum_{a} \sum_{b} p^{2}(a, b)$ |
| Correlation | Evaluates the independence between the plain and encrypted <br> images | $K=\sum_{a, b} \frac{(a-\mu a)(b-\mu b) p(a, b)}{\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b}}$ |
| Contrast | Determines the diffusion on an image and identifies the objects in <br> an image | $C=\sum_{a} \sum_{b}(a-b)^{2} p(a, b)$ |
| Homogeneity | Determines the characteristics of the distribution exhibited by the <br> elements in the GLCM with respect to the GLCM diagonal | $H=\sum_{a} \sum_{b} \frac{p(a, b)}{1-\|a-b\|}$ |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t011

Table 12. Comparison of statistical analysis parameters obtained for plain and encrypted Baboon images.

| Statistical Analysis | Plain Image | Encrypted Baboon Image with different S-boxes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AES | S2 | S5 | S7 | S8 |
| Entropy | 7.358 | 7.358 | 7.358 | 7.358 | 7.358 | 7.358 |
| Energy | 0.089 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| Correlation | 0.830 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.026 |
| Contrast | 0.617 | 10.50 | 9.808 | 10.05 | 9.863 | 9.849 |
| Homogeneity | 0.787 | 0.400 | 0.406 | 0.401 | 0.407 | 0.402 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.t012

It can be observed from Table 12 that the proposed S-box (i.e., S2, S5, S7, and S8) provides effective image encryption results and the obtained parameters are mostly comparable to the AES S-box. The entropy value obtained for the encrypted images using the proposed S-boxes is 7.358 , which is near to the ideal value of 8 . As the entropy measures the randomness in an image, hence, the nonlinear substitution of input and output elements in the image amplifies its randomness. The energy measure value of the plain Baboon image is 0.089 . After encrypting this plain image with the proposed S-boxes, we achieve an energy value of 0.016 , which is comparable to the AES S-box energy value. The smaller energy measure indicates the efficient performance of the proposed S-boxes in image encryption. To show the linear independence between the plain and encrypted images, we find out the correlation coefficient between both images. A coefficient value near 0 represents no or weak linear correlation between both images. In the case of image encryption with the proposed S-boxes, the correlation between the plain image and its encrypted form is $0.018,0.011,0.006$, and 0.026 using S2, S5, S7, and S8 S-boxes, respectively, as shown in Table 12. These statistics represent that there is a weak linear correlation among the input and output pixel values. Hence, the proposed S-boxes provide good encryption properties such as confusion and diffusion. Moreover, the proposed S-boxes achieve a high contrast value (more than 9.8). A constant image has a contrast value of 0 . Generally, a high value of contrast means more randomness in the image. Due to the nonlinearity of mapping, the objects in the image are distorted entirely after applying the S-box. That is why the high value of contrast in the encrypted image shows strong encryption. Finally, we perform the homogeneity analysis to measure the closeness of the distributed elements of GLCM to its diagonal. Table 12 also displays the results of this statistical analysis, where the proposed S-boxes achieve an acceptable homogeneity value, which results in the favor of having better encryption. So, overall, the image encryption results obtained for the proposed Sboxes are comparable to the state-of-the-art results as shown in Table 12.

Fig 3 provides a visual demonstration of encrypted images using different S-boxes. It can be observed from the figure that the proposed S-boxes effectively hide the visual information contained in the plain image, which indicates their excellent performance in image encryption. Therefore, we conclude that the proposed S-box design can be successfully utilized for image encryption applications.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we present a novel matrix-based approach for the construction of highly nonlinear S-boxes. For this purpose, we first construct an adjacency matrix of size $8 \times 8$ corresponding to the coset diagram obtained for the action of projective special linear group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on a projective line $\mathrm{PL}\left(\mathrm{F}_{7}\right)$ over a finite field $\mathrm{F}_{7}$. Afterward, we apply this adjacency matrix on the Galois field GF $\left(2^{8}\right)$ using a set of algebraic transformations to generate the final $8 \times 8$ S-boxes. We analyze the algebraic strength of the proposed S-boxes with common S-box tests, which


Fig 3. Image encryption using the proposed S-box design. (a) Plain Baboon color image, (b) Plain Baboon gray-scale image, (c) Encrypted Baboon image using AES S-box, (d) Encrypted Baboon image using proposed S-box (S2), (e) Encrypted Baboon image using proposed S-box (S5), and (f) Encrypted Baboon image using proposed S-box (S7).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241890.g003
validate their cryptographic strength. Furthermore, we also utilize the proposed S-boxes for image encryption and use statistical analyses to investigate the performance of our proposed Sboxes, which demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed S-box design in image encryption applications. In the future, the proposed scheme can be expanded to generate $n \times n$ S-boxes using different action groups and adjacecy matrices of size $n \times n$.
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