Research Paper

Detection of a major QTL related to smut disease resistance inherited from a Japanese wild sugarcane using GRAS-Di technology

Makoto Umeda*†1), Takeo Sakaigaichi1,2), Minoru Tanaka1,3), Yusuke Tarumoto1), Katsuki Adachi1,4) , Taiichiro Hattori1,5), Michiko Hayano1,6), Hiroyuki Takahashi1), Yasuaki Tamura1), Tatsuro Kimura7) and Masaaki Mori†8)

- ¹⁾ NARO Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, Tanegashima Sugarcane Breeding Site, 1742-1 Anno, Nishinoomote, Kagoshima 891-3102, Japan
- ²⁾ NARO Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, Miyakonojo Research Station, 6651-2 Yokoichi-cho, Miyakonojo, Miyazaki 885-0091, Japan
- 3) *NARO Central Region Agricultural Research Center, Tsukuba Headquarters*, 2-1-18 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8666, Japan
- 4) *Kubota Corporation, Agri-Solution Department*, 1-2-47 Shikitsuhigashi, Naniwa-ku, Osaka 556-8601, Japan
- 5) *NARO Kyushu Okinawa Agricultural Research Center, Headquarters*, 2421 Suya, Koshi, Kumamoto 861-1192, Japan
- 6) *NARO Institute for Agro-Environmental Science*, 3-1-3 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8604, Japan
- 7) *Toyota Motor Corporation, Agriculture & Biotechnology Business Division*, 1 Toyota-cho, Toyota, Aichi 471-8571, Japan
- 8) *Toyota Motor Corporation, Environmental Affairs Division*, 1-4-18 Koraku, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8701, Japan

Smut disease of sugarcane causes considerable yield losses and the use of resistant varieties is the best control practice. Our group identified a Japanese wild sugarcane with highly smut disease resistance named 'Iriomote8'. In this study, we conducted QTL analysis for smut disease resistance using a mapping population derived from a resistant variety 'Yaenoushie', in which resistance is inherited from 'Iriomote8'. We identified 4813 non-redundant markers using GRAS-Di technology and developed a linkage map of mapping parents. We evaluated smut disease resistance of the mapping population by the inoculation test. Consequently, a large number of clones did not show the disease symptoms and the distribution of smut disease incidence tended to be "L shaped". Composite interval mapping detected an identical QTL for indices of smut disease incidence with a markedly high LOD score (26.6~45.6) at the end of linkage group 8 of 'Yaenoushie'. This QTL explained approximately 50% of the cases of smut disease incidence. In the mapping population, there were no correlations between the indices of smut disease incidence and other agronomic traits. In conclusion, this QTL could be used for marker-assisted selection to significantly improve smut disease resistance without negative effects on other agronomic traits.

Key Words: smut disease resistance, *Saccharum spontaneum*, QTL analysis, GRAS-Di technology.

Introduction

Sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) is the 19th largest crop in the world based on harvested area, and eighty percent of sugar consumed in the world is produced from sugarcane (FAO 2020). Sugarcane is used in the production of sugar as well as various products such as bioethanol and rum from cane juice, and paper from bagasse. In Japan, sugarcane is mainly cultivated in the south west islands of Kagoshima and Okinawa Prefectures, and it is a major crop in this

region. Smut disease of sugarcane is caused by the fungus *Sporisorium scitamineum* (previously called *Ustilago scitaminea*) and found in most sugarcane producing region in the world. Smut disease is mainly characterized by the development of a whip-like structure (**Supplemental Fig. 1**), which causes considerable yield loss and reduction in cane quality. Estimates of economic losses from smut disease ranged from negligible to 75% (Carvalho *et al.* 2016, Sundar *et al.* 2012). In Japan, smut disease has been found in agricultural fields since 1930. From the 1930s to 1970s, smut disease was not observed as a result of the spread of resistant varieties, 'POJ2725' and 'POJ2878'. However, smut disease recurred in 'NCo310' at Ishigaki Island in 1972 (Yamauchi 1973) and was found throughout Okinawa Prefecture in 2001 because of the spread of the susceptible variety 'Ni9' (Teramura *et al.* 2018). 'NCo310'

Communicated by Donghe Xu

Received October 23, 2020. Accepted February 23, 2021.

First Published Online in J-STAGE on June 19, 2021.

^{*}Corresponding author (e-mail: umedam461@affrc.go.jp)

[†]  These authors contributed equally to this work

and 'Ni9' show high yields in ratoon crop. There are no effective fungicides that affect after smut infection and the use of resistant varieties is the best control practice. For this reason, it is necessary to develop a variety that has both smut disease resistance and high productivity. In the sugarcane breeding program of Kyushu Okinawa Agricul‐ tural Research Center NARO (KARC/NARO), smut dis‐ ease resistance is evaluated by the artificial inoculation test (the wounding method). Due to limitation in the size of the test plots and the need to prepare many buds, only about 100 clones per year can be evaluated at later selection stages. An effective method of evaluating smut disease resistance at earlier selection stages, such as markerassisted selection (MAS), is necessary to accelerate the breeding of smut disease resistant varieties.

Reliable markers that are tightly linked to genes or genomic regions controlling target traits are necessary for MAS. However, understanding the quantitative traits and mapping their loci in the sugarcane genome are challenging because of the highly polyploid and heterozygous charac‐ teristics of the genome $(2n = 100$ to 130). Modern sugarcane varieties derive from several interspecific crosses performed a century ago with the aim of incorporating the disease resistance, hardiness and tillering ability of wild species (*Saccharum spontaneum*, $2n = 5x = 40$ to 16x = 128) into the sweet and thick noble cane (*Saccharum officinarum*, 2n = 8x = 80) (Berding and Roach 1987, D'Hont *et al.* 1996). These interspecific crosses produced the heterozygous characteristic of sugarcane genome. There are previous reports on the detection of major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) related to disease resistance and the devel‐ opment of molecular markers for sugarcane breeding. Brown rust disease resistance gene, *Bru1* is the first major gene identified in sugarcane (Daugrois *et al.* 1996). Several molecular markers linked to *Bru1* were developed using the genetic map of the donor variety 'R570' (Asnaghi *et al.* 2004, Costet *et al.* 2012a). Yellow spot disease resistance (Aljanabi *et al.* 2007), yellow leaf disease resistance (Costet *et al.* 2012b) and orange rust disease resistance (Yang *et al.* 2018) are the other traits of which major QTLs were detected in sugarcane.

Smut disease resistance is thought to involve internal and external disease resistance mechanisms controlled by several small-effect QTLs. External resistance is evaluated by the dipping method in which buds are immersed briefly in a suspension of teliospores. Smut infection into the meristem in the bud occurs between 6 and 36 hours after teliospore deposition on buds (Alexander and Ramakrishnan 1980). In the case of external resistance mechanisms, hyphae of *S. scitamineum* cannot penetrate through the bud scale because host plants modify the cell wall structure and component, such as the accumulation of lignin and phenolic compounds in epidermis (Marques *et al.* 2018). Internal resistance is expressed after *S. scitamineum* penetrates through the bud scale and is governed by several defense responses induced in sugarcane. Internal resistance is eval-

uated by the wounding method (Burner *et al.* 1993, McNeil *et al.* 2018). The dipping method is not effective for the detection of marker-trait associations because disease incidence is very low (Raboin *et al.* 2003). Therefore, we focused on internal resistance evaluated by the wounding method.

Many studies have been carried out to elucidate the inter‐ nal resistance mechanism. Lloyd and Pillay (1980) found that the rate of colonization by *S. scitamineum* in sugarcane tissue correlated with the resistance ratings using microscopy. Carvalho *et al.* (2016) observed that *S. scitamineum* showed intercellular growth in a resistant variety (SP80-3280) and intense intracellular growth in a suscepti‐ ble clone (IAC66-6) using GFP-tagged strains. To evaluate plant defense responses, Que *et al.* (2014) compared gene expression following wounding inoculation between 'Yacheng05-179' (resistant variety) and 'ROC22' (suscep‐ tible variety), and clarified that the largest difference occurred at 48 hours after inoculation compared with 24 and 120 hours after inoculation. Differentially expressed genes were involved in plant hormone signal transduction, flavonoid biosynthesis, plant-pathogen interaction, cell wall fortification pathway and other resistance-associated metabolic pathways. The accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant tissue is known to contribute to pathogen growth inhibition. Peters *et al.* (2017) observed that a resistant variety (SP80-3280) accumulated ROS in buds at 72 hours after inoculation. Because the sugarcane response to smut infection is diverse and the sugarcane genome is complex, no major QTLs associated with smut disease resistance have been detected.

KARC/NARO conserves approximately 200 accessions of Japanese *Saccharum spontaneum* collected by NARO Genebank project. Our group identified highly smut disease resistant accessions within this collection (Sakaigaichi *et al.* 2018) and developed mapping populations using the identi‐ fied resistant accessions. Toyota Motor Corporation invented Genotyping Random Amplicon Sequence-Direct (GRAS-Di) technology, which enables rapid and repro‐ ducible genotyping of sugarcane (Enoki 2019, Enoki and Takeuchi 2018). In this study, we conducted QTL analysis for smut disease resistance using GRAS-Di technology and the mapping population derived from a resistant variety 'Yaenoushie', in which resistance is inherited from the resistant accession 'Iriomote8'.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

The mapping population consisted of 154 clones derived from a 'Yaenoushie' × 'KY08-129' cross (**Fig. 1**). 'Yaenoushie' is a highly smut disease resistant variety for forage use derived from a 'NiF8' \times 'Iriomote8' cross (Sakaigaichi *et al.* 2019). 'Iriomote8' (175508, Genebank Project, NARO) is a *S. spontaneum* accession collected from Iriomote Island, Okinawa, Japan and acted as the

Fig. 1. The pedigree record of the mapping population.

donor of the smut disease resistance of 'Yaenoushie'. 'KY08-129' is a clone derived from a 'NiTn18' × 'NiN24' cross. 'NiF8', 'NiTn18' and 'NiN24' are Japanese sugarcane varieties developed by KARC/NARO. In the preliminary study, smut disease resistance of 'Yaenoushie' and 'KY08-129' was evaluated as highly resistant and moderately resistant, respectively. We extracted DNA from the mapping population and mapping parents using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and obtained geno‐ type data using GRAS-Di technology (Toyota Motor Cor‐ poration, Japan). In the first step of GRAS-Di technology, arbitrary fragments of each sample were amplified by ran‐ dom primers. Details of the PCR procedure are described in Hosoya *et al.* (2019). PCR products were sequenced as 100 bp paired-end reads using a next generation sequencer (HiSeq 4000, Illumina, USA). We constructed two linkage maps for each mapping parent using single-dose markers, as the number of sugarcane chromosome is not constant and there is no reference genome for sugarcane. Singledose markers are known to be effective in the genetic map construction of polyploid species (Yang *et al.* 2017). For example, if dominant single-dose markers are present in one parent, these markers segregate at a 1:1 ratio in the progeny. We detected genetic polymorphisms found only in one place in the genome and in only one parent using GRAS-Di software (Toyota Motor Corporation, Japan) and generated 29,380 dominant single-dose markers. We elimi‐ nated markers with missing data and integrated multiple markers showing the same genotype data into one marker. Ultimately, we identified 4813 non-redundant markers. We constructed the linkage map of 'Yaenoushie' using 2936 markers and 'KY08-129' using 1877 markers with the linkage mapping program AntMap ver. 1.2 (Iwata and Ninomiya 2006). Genetic distances between markers were computed using the Kosambi mapping function with the program.

Evaluation of smut disease resistance

Smut disease resistance of the mapping population was evaluated by the smut inoculation test in 2018 and 2019. Teliospores were collected by Okinawa Prefectural Agri‐ cultural Research Center (Itoman, Okinawa, Japan) in the year before the experiments. They were homogenized before storage and checked for viability before inoculation. The race of smut pathogen thought to be identical throughout the south west islands of Japan, based on the reactions of several indicating varieties (Yamauchi 1989). Smut inoc‐ ulation was conducted using the pin-prick wounding method described in Shih *et al.* (1981). We prepared 40 buds per clone in 2018, 20 buds per clone in 2019, and pricked 6 holes at the base of each bud using injection needles (NN-2538R, Terumo, Japan). Subsequently, a 10% $w⁻¹$ suspension of teliospores was applied around the pricked points using brush. After inoculation, the buds were kept in the dark for three days. The air temperature and humidity were controlled at approximately 30°C and 100%RH. We planted the inoculated buds in cell trays (#50, Tokan Kosan, Japan) filled with culture soil and grew them in a greenhouse from April to November 2018 and from May to November 2019 (approximately 200 days) (**Supple‐ mental Fig. 1**). The condition in the greenhouse during the test was maintained at high temperature and high humidity suitable for smut development. We counted the number of whip-developed plants every two weeks and calculated the percentage of whip emergence by the following formula.

Percentage of whip emergence $(\%)$ = Number of whipdeveloped plants/Number of germinated plants \times 100

In this study, we used another index of smut disease incidence, the percentage of infected plants, calculated by the following formula.

Percentage of infected plants $(\%)$ = Number of infected plants/Number of germinated plants \times 100

We detected the smut infection of each plant by PCR using primers, UscITS1 (5ʹ-AGGTGTGGCTCGCACCT GTCTA-3ʹ)/UscITS2 (5ʹ-ATCCTCACCACCAAAGTCCT GA-3ʹ) specific to *S. scitamineum* (Sugisawa *et al.* 2002). We sampled leaves from the middle part of each plant at the inoculation test plot from 10 to 13 July 2018 (approximately 80 days after inoculation). We did not sample in 2019 because the growth of the plants was delayed due to a delay in inoculation date. We extracted crude lysate for each sample using Lysis Buffer for PCR (Takara Bio, Japan), which were subsequently used as templates for PCR. The reaction mixture consisted of 12.5 μL of Gflex PCR buffer (Takara Bio, Japan), 0.5 μL of Gflex DNA Polymerase (Takara Bio, Japan), 0.5 μL of each primer, 9.0 μL of sterile distilled water, and 2.0 μL of lysate of each sample. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 25 μL. The PCR conditions were as follows. The initial denaturation was 94°C for 1 min. Thermal cycling con‐ sisted of 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 sec, annealing and extension at 68°C for 1 min. The final extension was 68°C for 4 min. We detected PCR products using a microchip electrophoretic machine (MCE-202 MultiNA, Shimadzu, Japan).

QTL analysis for smut disease resistance

We conducted composite interval mapping using the 'qtl'

package in R 3.5.1. We distributed pseudo markers at 1 cM intervals. We set the number of marker covariations and a window size at 12 and 10 cM, respectively. To obtain the logarithm of the odds (LOD) score threshold ($P \le 0.05$), 1000 permutations were run by randomly shuffling the trait values.

Evaluation of agronomic traits

To evaluate agronomic traits of the mapping population, we cultivated them in the experimental field of Tanegashima Sugarcane Breeding Site of KARC/NARO (30°43ʹN, 131 \degree 04′E, altitude: 45 m) from February 2017 to January 2019. The individual plot size was 1.65 m^2 (1.5 m long \times 1.1 m width of row) and plant density was twelve plants per plot $(7.27 \text{ plants} \text{ m}^{-2})$. We planted one-bud stem cuttings and applied chemical fertilizer as a basal dressing $(7.2 \text{ g N m}^{-2}, 12.0 \text{ g P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ m}^{-2}, 6.0 \text{ g K}_2\text{O m}^{-2})$ on 24 February 2017. Subsequently, we applied chemical fertilizer as top dressings for plant canes $(4.5 \text{ g N m}^{-2}, 4.5 \text{ g K}, O \text{ m}^{-2})$ on 18 May and 14 June 2017. In order to evaluate ratoon canes, we removed all parts of the plant above ground by a cane harvester and applied chemical fertilizer as a basal dressing $(7.2 \text{ g N m}^{-2}, 12.0 \text{ g P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ m}^{-2}, 6.0 \text{ g K}_2\text{O m}^{-2})$ on

12 April 2018. Subsequently, we applied chemical fertilizer as a top dressing for ratoon canes (9.0 g N m^{-2}) , $9.0 \text{ g K}₂$ O m ⁻²) on 13 June 2018. We counted the number of stalks in each plot in January 2018 and October 2018. We measured the stalk height in July 2017, and the Brix value in January 2018 and January 2019 using a hand refractome‐ ter (Master, Atago, Japan). We performed Shapiro-Wilk test and correlation analysis for phenotype data using R 3.5.1.

Results

Phenotypic evaluation

The frequency distributions of measured traits in the mapping population are shown in **Fig. 2**. We performed the Shapiro-Wilk test to confirm whether the distributions were normal. The frequency distributions of smut disease incidence were distinct from the normal distribution at a 0.1% level, and tended to be "L shaped" with a large number of resistant clones on the left tail and a small number of susceptible clones spread along the right tail. The frequency distributions of number of stalks of plant canes and brix value of plant canes were distinct from the normal distribution at a 5% level. The frequency distributions of temporary

Fig. 2. The frequency distributions of measured traits in the mapping population. Black triangles (▼) indicate positions of 'Yaenoushie'. White triangles (∇) indicate positions of 'KY08-129'. * and *** indicate that the distribution is significantly distinct from the normal distribution at 5% and 0.1% level, respectively.

a The underlined values indicate Spearman's rank correlation coefficients. The other values indicate Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficients.

b *** indicates a significant correlation at a 0.1 % level.

stalk height of plant canes, number of stalks of ratoon canes and brix value of ratoon canes each followed normal distri‐ butions.

Subsequently, we evaluated the relationship between measured traits of the mapping population (**Table 1**). There were significant correlations among the indices of smut disease incidence $(P < 0.001)$. On the other hand, there were no significant correlations between indices of smut disease incidence and other agronomic traits.

Construction of linkage maps and QTL analysis for smut disease resistance

We constructed a linkage map of 'Yaenoushie' using 2936 markers. These markers coalesced into 117 linkage groups (**Table 2**). The cumulative length of the linkage map and the average marker density were 6784 cM and 2.4 cM, respectively. We constructed a linkage map of 'KY08-129' using 1877 markers. These markers coalesced into 123 linkage groups (**Table 3**). The cumulative length of the linkage map and the average marker density were 5160.3 cM and 2.9 cM, respectively. We conducted com‐ posite interval mapping to identify QTLs for smut disease resistance using the linkage map of the parents, indices of smut disease resistance and polymorphism information of 4813 markers. Consequently, the identical LOD peak located at the end of linkage group 8 of 'Yaenoushie' was detected for three indices of smut disease incidence (**Fig. 3**). The highest LOD scores for percentage of infected plants in 2018, percentage of whip emergence in 2018 and percentage of whip emergence in 2019 were 45.6, 42.0 and 26.6, respectively (**Table 4**). This QTL explained 54% of infected plants in 2018, 53% of whip emergence in 2018 and 28.8% of whip emergence in 2019. The additive effects of this QTL for percentage of infected plants was –41.4%, while the percentage of whip emergence was -37.0% in 2018 and -16.6% in 2019. Half clones in the mapping population showed the genotype of 'Yaenoushie' at this QTL, and their percentages of whip emergence were 0% except for 3 clones.

In order to confirm if the QTL detected in the linkage map of 'Yaenoushie' is inherited from 'Iriomote8', we compared the genotype data of flanking markers among 'Yaenoushie', 'Iriomote8' and 'NiF8' (**Table 5**). Conse‐ quently, 'Yaenoushie' and 'Iriomote8' showed same geno‐ types, which were different from 'NiF8'.

Discussion

Construction of a high-density genetic map in sugarcane

Development of genetic maps in sugarcane is challenging mainly due to its highly polyploid and heterozygous characteristic. With the progress of genotyping technology, several genetic maps for sugarcane have been constructed (Aitken *et al.* 2014, Balsalobre *et al.* 2017). Yang *et al.* (2017) constructed the highest density linkage maps in sugarcane for QTL analysis using single-dose markers generated by genotyping-by-sequencing method. Singledose markers effectively circumvent the complexity of polysomic inheritance. In this study, we constructed genetic maps of mapping parents, 'Yaenoushie' and 'KY08-129', using single-dose markers generated by GRAS-Di technol‐ ogy. This is the first report of linkage map construction in sugarcane using GRAS-Di technology. The number of linkage group of 'Yaenoushie' and 'KY08-129' were 117 and 123, respectively (**Tables 2**, **3**). This is highly consistent with the number of chromosomes of the sugarcane varieties previously reported $(2n = 100-130)$. The average marker densities of our linkage maps were 2.4 cM for 'Yaenoushie' and 2.9 cM for 'KY08-129'. Although the average marker density of the high-density linkage maps of Yang *et al.* (2017) was 1.7 cM, our linkage maps showed a higher marker density compared to previous studies.

Detection of a major QTL related to smut disease resis‐ tance in sugarcane

There is a lack of reports on the detection of major QTLs

Table 2. Marker distribution in the linkage map of 'Yaenoushie'

Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)	Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)	Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)
$\,1$	11	61.0	41	37	89.9	81	31	91.3
$\boldsymbol{2}$	45	84.5	42	$40\,$	87.1	82	28	99.4
\mathfrak{Z}	22	80.6	43	$\,$ $\,$	34.0	83	23	30.4
$\overline{4}$	9	33.4	44	41	90.6	84	$\,$ $\,$	14.9
5	41	85.4	45	$22\,$	85.4	85	36	91.8
$\sqrt{6}$	47	94.0	46	8	30.1	86	$22\,$	85.1
$\boldsymbol{7}$	48	97.1	47	33	82.8	87	\mathfrak{Z}	7.1
$\,$ $\,$	33	83.8	48	$\overline{4}$	8.4	$88\,$	36	75.1
$\boldsymbol{9}$	47	84.0	49	$\boldsymbol{7}$	8.4	89	$30\,$	51.7
10	44	89.4	50	59	98.3	90	\mathfrak{g}	30.9
11	14	60.1	51	50	87.3	91	5	10.4
12	45	84.1	52	14	26.5	92	5	22.4
13	48	97.1	53	43	77.6	93	27	98.0
14	58	90.5	54	49	102.2	94	17	44.7
15	47	84.6	55	$11\,$	57.4	95	12	30.7
16	47	97.7	56	$\,$ $\,$	23.5	96	21	49.9
17	43	89.8	57	34	86.8	97	$\mathfrak z$	5.2
18	26	76.3	58	$22\,$	44.8	98	16	67.0
19	35	93.5	59	$\boldsymbol{7}$	32.9	99	$\,$ $\,$	21.0
20	34	94.2	60	39	88.1	100	5	8.4
$21\,$	44	81.4	61	39	88.8	101	$\overline{4}$	23.1
22	38	80.9	62	47	88.5	102	$\sqrt{ }$	27.4
23	35	72.7	63	23	97.8	103	9	9.7
24	25	47.2	64	$18\,$	49.1	104	$\,$ $\,$	14.2
25	$\overline{9}$	40.7	65	13	45.2	105	$10\,$	12.3
26	28	74.2	66	$\sqrt{5}$	3.2	106	$\overline{9}$	14.9
27	27	98.3	67	$17\,$	66.7	107	$\overline{3}$	9.7
28	34	72.0	68	36	86.0	108	$\overline{4}$	6.5
29	38	53.6	69	43	85.4	109	$11\,$	12.9
30	54	93.1	70	$\,$ $\,$	8.4	110	6	6.5
$31\,$	42	96.7	$71\,$	$\sqrt{5}$	7.1	$111\,$	$\mathfrak z$	1.3
32	52	94.6	72	24	44.4	112	13	40.8
33	46	92.5	$73\,$	$22\,$	65.4	113	$\boldsymbol{7}$	22.5
34	36	86.2	74	43	92.6	114	$\overline{4}$	18.0
35	25	42.6	75	33	96.7	115	$\overline{3}$	$7.1\,$
36	48	91.1	76	$42\,$	87.4	116	5	13.6
37	12	29.9	77	$\boldsymbol{7}$	15.5	117	$\overline{3}$	1.3
38	38	71.3	78	30	92.3	Total	2936	6784.0
39	25	76.2	79	40	87.5			
40	41	92.8	80	10	8.4			

in the sugarcane genome. Like most agronomic traits of sugarcane, smut disease resistance is thought to be a quantitative characteristic that is difficult to investigate geneti‐ cally (Schaker *et al.* 2016). Our group identified highly smut disease resistant accessions of *S. spontaneum* collected in Japan, including 'Iriomote8' (Sakaigaichi *et al.* 2018). Using the mapping population derived from a resis‐ tant variety 'Yaenoushie', in which resistance is inherited from 'Iriomote8', we detected a major QTL related to smut disease resistance with a markedly high LOD score (**Fig. 3**, **Table 4**). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the detection of a major QTL related to smut dis‐

ease resistance in sugarcane. The distribution of disease incidence observed in this study tended to be "L shaped" (**Fig. 2**) and was similar to that of other sugarcane popula‐ tions in which other major QTLs of sugarcane were detected (Aljanabi *et al.* 2007, Costet *et al.* 2012b, Daugrois *et al.* 1996). This indicates that there is a possibility of detecting a major QTL in sugarcane from a "L shaped" distribution of phenotype data.

An identical QTL was detected for percentage of whip emergence over two years, although the LOD score declined from 42.0 in 2018 to 26.6 in 2019. Comparing percentage of whip emergence in 2018 and 2019, the

Breeding Science Vol. 71 No. 3 **BS**

Detection of a QTL related to sugarcane smut disease resistance

Table 3. Marker distribution in the linkage map of 'KY08-129'

Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)	Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)	Linkage group number	Number of markers	Total length (cM)
$\mathbf{1}$	44	83.4	42	36	81.1	83	30	68.1
$\sqrt{2}$	21	53.1	43	τ	7.8	84	$\boldsymbol{7}$	16.2
$\overline{3}$	25	69.0	44	30	58.5	85	6	7.8
$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	14	23.9	45	$\sqrt{5}$	23.4	86	$10\,$	22.8
5	24	106.0	46	5	6.5	87	$\overline{9}$	26.6
6	13	23.3	47	$\overline{3}$	1.9	$88\,$	21	62.2
τ	24	89.9	48	39	90.6	89	$12\,$	34.7
$\,$ $\,$	19	24.6	49	14	50.4	90	3	1.3
$\overline{9}$	53	101.8	50	21	72.8	91	$\boldsymbol{7}$	27.3
$10\,$	14	62.6	51	13	25.2	92	$\boldsymbol{7}$	5.8
$11\,$	13	35.6	52	12	14.9	93	10	18.7
$12\,$	21	51.1	53	$\boldsymbol{9}$	35.3	94	24	51.8
13	11	18.8	54	32	98.5	95	\mathfrak{g}	84.0
14	31	96.1	55	$\,$ $\,$	13.6	96	9	26.0
15	13	46.2	56	10	30.4	97	5	9.1
16	19	44.0	57	35	72.5	98	$\overline{3}$	1.9
17	32	79.0	58	36	92.4	99	19	85.9
18	24	86.0	59	12	35.1	100	$\sqrt{6}$	$7.1\,$
19	24	59.0	60	41	76.3	101	11	17.4
20	40	98.8	61	$\boldsymbol{7}$	10.3	102	$\overline{4}$	5.8
21	36	96.2	62	22	57.9	103	8	25.3
22	19	48.9	63	$11\,$	18.8	104	$18\,$	82.8
23	$\overline{4}$	7.8	64	9	38.7	105	$\boldsymbol{7}$	49.1
24	17	51.1	65	12	34.1	106	$\overline{4}$	17.9
25	15	19.4	66	12	20.7	107	11	34.4
26	24	42.0	67	15	53.6	108	11	70.3
27	12	41.7	68	18	42.0	109	$\overline{4}$	2.6
$28\,$	25	99.0	69	$11\,$	29.1	110	6	41.6
29	$11\,$	7.1	70	6	5.8	111	$\overline{4}$	18.9
30	5	29.7	71	11	35.3	112	9	24.1
31	10	34.1	72	10	74.7	113	$\overline{4}$	9.7
32	$\overline{3}$	3.2	73	$\overline{4}$	8.4	114	6	11.6
33	18	57.4	74	37	81.5	115	5	12.3
34	28	88.3	75	11	15.5	116	5	15.5
35	17	42.7	76	13	13.6	117	3	1.9
36	19	51.9	77	33	89.3	$118\,$	$\overline{7}$	15.6
37	23	98.3	78	18	60.6	119	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	3.9
38	26	60.0	79	15	75.0	120	5	12.3
39	24	99.4	$80\,$	12	34.5	121	3	20.3
40	11	21.3	$8\sqrt{1}$	21	65.6	122	$\overline{4}$	35.2
41	24	37.5	82	$\,$ 8 $\,$	25.4	123	3	8.4
						Total	1877	5160.3

disease incidence was lower in 2019 than in 2018 (**Fig. 2**). The most likely reason for this result is the reduction in the number of inoculated buds per clone from 40 buds in 2018 to 20 buds in 2019. Disease incidence can also fluctuate due to environmental conditions. Although future stabilization of the results is required, we believe the QTL detec‐ tion, coupled with a remarkedly high LOD score (26.6 at lowest) at the same position, is a reliable result.

Evaluation of smut infection as an index of internal smut disease resistance

There are several reports on the evaluation of smut dis‐ ease resistance in sugarcane by detecting the pathogen, *S. scitamineum*. Dalvi *et al.* (2012) detected smut infection in cane tops at 2 months after smut inoculation using PCR amplification and indicated that there was a high correla‐ tion between smut disease incidence in the field and PCR detection. Su *et al.* (2016) compared the copy number of *S. scitamineum* in inoculated buds by real-time PCR analysis

Fig. 3. LOD score profiles of linkage group 8 of 'Yaenoushie'.

and reported that the order of the amount of smut pathogens among varieties was similar to that of the resistant classifi‐ cation in the field. In this study, we detected smut infection in leaves at approximately 80 days after smut inoculation using PCR amplification. The percentage of infected plants was significantly correlated with percentage of whip emergence evaluated at approximately 200 days after smut inoculation (**Table 1**), and the identical QTL was detected for indices of smut disease incidence (**Fig. 3**). These results suggest that the detected OTL could be related to smut disease resistance during the early post-infection phase.

Smut infection into the bud meristem occurs between 6 and 36 hours after the teliospores deposition on buds (Alexander and Ramakrishnan 1980). Hyphae penetrates several bud scales to invade the bud meristem. External resistance is caused by differences in bud scale structure. In this study, we eliminated this resistance by inoculation with injection needles and evaluated internal resistance. Internal resistance is expressed after the pathogen penetrates through the bud scale. Que *et al.* (2014) compared gene expression following the wounding inoculation between 'Yacheng05-179' (resistant variety) and 'ROC22' (suscep‐ tible variety), and clarified that the largest difference occurred at 48 hours after inoculation compared with 24 and 120 hours after inoculation. Differentially expressed genes were involved in plant hormone signal transduction, flavonoid biosynthesis, plant-pathogen interaction, cell wall fortification pathway and other resistance-associated

Table 4. Detected QTL related to smut disease resistance

Traits Linkage group Position (cM) Flanking marker LOD scores PVE*^a* $(\%)$ Additive effects Threshold LOD scores Genotype Percentage of infected plants in 2018 8 83.8 AMP0007142 45.6 54.0 –41.4 11.6 Yaenoushie Percentage of whip emergence in 2018 8 83.8 AMP0007142 42.0 53.0 –37.0 11.0 Yaenoushie Percentage of whip emergence in 2019 8 83.8 AMP0007142 26.6 28.8 –16.6 11.3 Yaenoushie

a PVE indicates the percentage of phenotype variance explained by each QTL.

a H indicates the presence of marker. A indicates the absence of marker.

metabolic pathways. McNeil *et al.* (2018) conducted a similar analysis at 48 hours after inoculation using several vari‐ eties and detected differentially expressed genes involved in phenylpropanoid pathway, cell wall biosynthesis, plant hormone signal transduction and disease resistance genes. The accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant tissue is known to contribute to pathogen growth inhi‐ bition. Peters *et al.* (2017) observed that a resistant variety (SP80-3280) accumulated ROS in buds at 72 hours after inoculation. Su *et al.* (2016) suggested that smut disease resistance can be evaluated by measuring the activity of enzymes scavenging ROS. To elucidate the smut disease resistance mechanism inherited from 'Iriomote8', it is nec‐ essary to investigate the defense response of the resistant progeny from 0 to 80 days after inoculation. Furthermore, if the causal gene of the detected QTL is identified, it could be possible to clarify the key factors for smut disease resistance in sugarcane.

Smut disease resistance inherited from Japanese wild sugarcane

In this study, we detected a QTL related to smut disease resistance inherited from a Japanese *S. spontaneum* 'Iriomote8'. Smut disease resistant characteristics of *S. spontaneum* have been reported previously. Burner *et al.* (1993) evaluated the smut disease resistance of a United Sates Department of Agriculture germplasm collection using the wounding method and reported that there are several accessions of *S. spontaneum* that are highly resistant to smut disease. Modern sugarcane varieties are derived from a few interspecific hybridizations performed a century ago between *S. officinarum* and *S. spontaneum* (Berding and Roach 1987). Rody *et al.* (2019) compared the resistance gene analogs between different varieties showing different

smut disease resistances. Their result indicated that smut disease resistance genes in modern sugarcane varieties originated in chromosome 5 of the ancestral *S. spontaneum* genotype. Zhang *et al.* (2018) published the genome of *S. spontaneum* 'AP85-441' $(2n = 4x = 32)$ generated from a culture of 'SES208' $(2n = 8x = 64)$. They confirmed that 80% of 361 putative disease resistance genes were located in the genome of 'AP85-441'. Taking the above into consideration, the high smut disease resistant characteristic of *S. spontaneum* might not be specific to Japanese accessions. To identify the causal gene of smut disease resistance analyzed in this study, a homology search between the genome of 'AP85-441' and the linkage map of 'Iriomote8' will be an effective tool. We are developing reliable DNA markers linked to the identified QTL to conduct MAS for smut disease resistance in our sugarcane breeding program. Confirmation of the existence of these DNA markers in our germplasm collection is also an important future considera‐ tion.

In conclusion, we detected a QTL for smut disease resistance inherited from a Japanese wild sugarcane, 'Iriomote8', with a markedly high LOD score. Furthermore, there were no correlations between smut disease resistance and other agronomic traits in the mapping population. This QTL could be used for MAS to obtain significant improve‐ ments in smut disease resistance without negative effects on other agronomic traits.

Author Contribution Statement

T.S., M.T. and Y.T. developed the plant material and designed the study. M.U. obtained phenotype data. T.K. and M.M. obtained genotype data. M.U., T.K. and M.M. conducted the data analysis. A.K., T.H., M.H., H.T. and Y.T. provided scientific advice to the corresponding author. M.U. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Okinawa Prefectural Agricultural Research Center for transferring teliospores used in this study. We appreciate the technical support of Y. Oitate, M. Habu, H. Nagano, M. Nishikawa, K. Matsugami, T. Miike, M. Kubo and contract staff of Tanegashima sugarcane breeding site including N. Takei.

Literature Cited

- Aitken, K.S., M.D. McNeil, S. Hermann, P.C. Bundock, A. Kilian, K. Heller-Uszynska, R.J. Henry and J. Li (2014) A comprehensive genetic map of sugarcane that provides enhanced map coverage and integrates high-throughput Diversity Array Technology (DArT) markers. BMC Genomics 15: 152.
- Alexander, K.C. and K. Ramakrishnan (1980) Infection of the bud, establishment in the host and production of whips in sugarcane smut (*Ustilago scitaminea* Syd.) of sugarcane. Proceedings of the International Society of Sugarcane Technologists 17: 1452–1455.
- Aljanabi, S.M., Y. Parmessur, H. Kross, S. Dhayan, S. Saumtally, K. Ramdoyal, L.J.C. Autrey and A. Dookun-Saumtally (2007) Identi‐ fication of a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) for yellow spot (*Mycovellosiella koepkei*) disease resistance in sugarcane. Mol. Breed. 19: 1–14.
- Asnaghi, C., D. Roques, S. Ruffel, C. Kaye, J.-Y. Hoarau, H. Télismart, J.C. Girard, L.M. Raboin, A.M. Risterucci, L. Grivet *et al.* (2004) Targeted mapping of a sugarcane rust resistance gene (*Bru*1) using bulked segregant analysis and AFLP markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 108: 759–764.
- Balsalobre, T.W.A., G.S. Pereira, G.R.A. Margarido, R. Gazaffi, F.Z. Barreto, C.O. Anoni, C.B. Cardoso-Sliva, E.A. Costa, M.C. Mancini, H.P. Hoffmann *et al.* (2017) GBS-based single dosage markers for linkage and QTL mapping allow gene mining for yield-related traits in sugarcane. BMC Genomics 18: 72.
- Berding, N. and B.T. Roach (1987) Germplasm collection, Maintenance, and Use. *In*: Heinz, D.J. (ed.) Sugarcane Improvement Thorough Breeding, Elsevier, pp. 143–210.
- Burner, D.M., M.P. Grisham and B.L. Legendre (1993) Resistance of sugarcane relatives injected with *Ustilago scitaminea*. Plant Dis. 77: 1221–1223.
- Carvalho, G., M.C. Quecine, D.P. Longatto, L.P. Peters, J.R. Almeida, T.G. Shyton, M.M.L. Silva, G.S. Crestana, S. Creste and C.B. Monteiro-Vitorello (2016) *Sporisorium scitamineum* colonisation of sugarcane genotypes susceptible and resistant to smut revealed by GFP-tagged strains. Ann. Appl. Biol. 169: 329–341.
- Costet, L., L.L. Cunff, S. Royaert, L.-M. Raboin, C. Hervouet, L. Toubi, H. Telismart, O. Gersmeur, Y. Rousselle, J. Pauquet *et al.* (2012a) Haplotype structure around *Bru*1 reveals a narrow genetic basis for brown rust resistance in modern sugarcane cultivars. Theor. Appl. Genet. 125: 825–836.
- Costet, L., L.-M. Raboin, M. Payet, A. D'Hont and S. Nibouche (2012b) A major quantitative trait allele for resistance to the *Sugarcane yellow leaf virus* (*Luteoviridae*). Plant Breed. 131: 637–640.
- Dalvi, S.G., V.C. Vasekar, A. Yadav, P.N. Tawar, G.B. Dixit, D.T. Prasad and R.B. Deshmukh (2012) Screening of promising sugarcane somaclones for agronomic traits, and smut resistance using PCR amplification of inter transcribed region (ITS) of *Sporisorium scitaminae*. Sugar Tech 14: 68–75.
- Daugrois, J.H., L. Grivet, D. Roques, J.Y. Hoarau, H. Lombard, J.C. Glaszmann and A. D'Hont (1996) A putative major gene for rust resistance linked with a RFLP marker in sugarcane cultivar 'R570'. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92: 1059–1064.
- D'Hont, A., L. Grivet, P. Feldmann, S. Rao, N. Berding and J.C. Glaszmann (1996) Characterisation of the double genome structure of modern sugarcane cultivars (*Saccharum* spp.) by molecular cytogenetics. Mol. Gen. Genet. 250: 405–413.
- Enoki, H. and Y. Takeuchi (2018) New genotyping technology, GRAS-Di, using next generation sequencer. Proceedings of the Plant and Animal genome conference XXVI: P0153.
- Enoki, H. (2019) The construction of pseudomolecules of a commercial strawberry by DeNovoMAGIC and new genotyping technology, GRAS-Di. Proceedings of the Plant and Animal genome conference XXVII.
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (2020) FAOSTAT. *In*: [http://apps.fao.org/faostat/en/#home.](http://apps.fao.org/faostat/en/#home)
- Hosoya, S., S. Hirase, K. Kikuchi, K. Nanjo, Y. Nakamura, H. Kohno and M. Sano (2019) Random PCR-based genotyping by sequenc‐ ing technology GRAS-Di (genotyping by random amplicon sequencing, direct) reveals genetic structure of mangrove fishes.

Mol. Ecol. Resour. 19: 1153–1163.

- Iwata, H. and S. Ninomiya (2006) AntMap: Constructing genetic link‐ age maps using an ant colony optimization algorithm. Breed. Sci. 6: 371–377.
- Lloyd, H.L. and M. Pillay (1980) The development of an improved method for evaluating sugarcane for resistance to smut. Proceedings of South African Sugarcane Technologist's Association 54: 168–172.
- Marques, J.P.R., J.W. Hoy, B. Appezzato-da-Glória, A.F.G. Viveros, M.L.C. Vieira and N. Baisakh (2018) Sugarcane cell wallassociated defense responses to infection by *Sporisorium scitamineum*. Front. Plant Sci. 9: 698.
- McNeil, M.D., S.A. Bhuiyan, P.J. Berkman, B.J. Croft and K.S. Aitken (2018) Analysis of the resistance mechanisms in sugarcane during *Sporisorium scitamineum* infection using RNA-seq and microscopy. PLoS ONE 13: e0197840.
- Peters, L.P., G. Carvalho, M.B. Vilhena, S. Creste, R.A. Azevedo and C.B. Monteiro-Vitorello (2017) Functional analysis of oxidative burst in sugarcane smut-resistant and -susceptible genotypes. Planta 245: 749–764.
- Que, Y., Y. Su, J. Guo, Q. Wu and L. Xu (2014) A global view of tran‐ scriptome dynamics during *Sporisorium scitamineum* challenge in sugarcane by RNA-seq. PLoS ONE 9: e106476.
- Raboin, L.M., J.Y. Hoarau, L. Costet, H. Telismart, J.C. Glaszman and A. D'Hont (2003) Progress in genetic mapping of sugarcane smut resistance. Proceedings of South African Sugarcane Technologist's Association 77: 134–141.
- Rody, H.V.S., R.G.H. Bombardelli, S. Creste, L.E.A. Camargo, M.-A. Van Sluys and C.B. Monteiro-Vitorello (2019) Genome survey of resistance gene analogs in sugarcane: genomic features and differ‐ ential expression of the innate immune system from a smutresistant genotype. BMC Genomics 20: 809.
- Sakaigaichi, T., Y. Terajima, M. Matsuoka, S. Irei, S. Fukuhara, T. Mitsunaga, M. Tanaka, Y. Tarumoto, T. Terauchi, T. Hattori *et al.* (2018) Evaluation of sugarcane smut resistance in wild sugarcane (*Saccharum spontaneum* L.) accessions collected in Japan. Plant Prod. Sci. 22: 327–332.
- Sakaigaichi, T., M. Hayano, T. Terauchi, T. Hattori, M. Umeda, Y. Tarumoto, I. Hattori, M. Tanaka, S. Ishikawa, Y. Terajima *et al.* (2019) New forage sugarcane cultivar "Yaenoushie" with high smut and lodging resistance. Bulletin of the NARO. Agricultural Research for Kyushu Okinawa Region 68: 34–48 (in Japanese with English summary).
- Schaker, P.D.C., A.C. Palhares, L.M. Taniguti, L.P. Peters, S. Creste,

K.S. Aitken, M.-A. Van Sluys, J.P. Kitajima, M.L.C. Vieira and C.B. Monteiro-Vitorello (2016) RNAseq transcriptional profiling following whip development in sugarcane smut disease. PLoS ONE 11: e0162237.

- Shih, S.C., H.T. Cheng, F.Y. Hsia, W.H. Hsieh, P.T. Hwang, T.W. Hung, C.J. Liang, M.C. Liu, C.C. Lo, Y.C. Ma *et al.* (1981) Annual report 1980–81 Taiwan sugar research institute. Taiwan Sugar Research Institute, Tainan, pp. 23–24.
- Su, Y., Z. Wang, L. Xu, Q. Peng, F. Liu, Z. Li and Y. Que (2016) Early selection for smut resistance in sugarcane using pathogen proliferation and changes in physiological and biochemical indices. Front. Plant Sci. 7: 1133.
- Sugisawa, T., M. Okuda, K. Hanada and T. Nakazato (2002) Detec‐ tion of *Ustilago scitaminea* from sugarcane seedlings with lateral shoots. Kyushu Plant Protection Research 48: 14–17 (in Japanese).
- Sundar, A.R., E.L. Barnabas, P. Malathi and R. Viswanathan (2012) A mini-review on smut disease of sugarcane caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum*. *In*: Mworia, J. (ed.) Botany, InTech, pp. 107–128.
- Teramura, K., M. Shimatani, D. Haraguchi, Y. Nikawadori, H. Nakasone, Y. Shimoji, Y. Sunakawa, M. Majikina, H. Ishimine, K. Iyama *et al.* (2018) Distribution and its factors on smut disease of sugarcane caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum* in Miyakojima city, Okinawa Prefecture. Kyushu Plant Protection Research 64: 18–25 (in Japanese).
- Yamauchi, S. (1973) Study about sugarcane smut recurred in Okinawa prefecture. Proceeding of the Association for Plant Protection of Kyushu 19: 59–61 (in Japanese).
- Yamauchi, S. (1989) Studies on the ecology and control of sugarcane smut caused by *Ustilago scitaminea* Syd. Bulletin of the Okinawa agricultural experiment station 3 (in Japanese with English sum‐ mary).
- Yang, X., S. Sood, N. Glynn, M.S. Islam, J. Comstock and J. Wang (2017) Constructing high-density genetic maps for polyploid sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) and identifying quantitative trait loci controlling brown rust resistance. Mol. Breed. 37: 116.
- Yang, X., M.S. Islam, S. Sood, S. Maya, E.A. Hanson, J. Comstock and J. Wang (2018) Identifying quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and developing diagnostic markers linked to orange rust resistance in sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.). Front. Plant Sci. 9: 350.
- Zhang, J., X. Zhang, H. Tang, Q. Zhang, X. Hua, X. Ma, F. Zhu, T. Jones, X. Zhu, J. Bowers *et al.* (2018) Allele-defined genome of the autopolyploid sugarcane *Saccharum spontaneum* L. Nat. Genet. 50: 1565–1573.