

Prevalence and risk factors associated with preterm birth in Ardabil, Iran

Rahele Alijahan¹ M.Sc., Sadegh Hazrati² Ph.D., Mehrdad Mirzarahimi³ M.D., Farhad Pourfarzi³ M.D., Peymaneh Ahmadi Hadi⁴ B.Sc.

1. Province Health Center, Ardabil University of Medical Science, Ardabil, Iran.

2. School of Public Health, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran.

3. Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran.

4. District Health Center, Ardabil University of Medical Science, Ardabil, Iran.

Abstract

Background: Preterm birth is a leading cause of perinatal mortality and long-term morbidity as well as the long-term health consequences and cognitive outcomes.

Objective: Present study was conducted to determine prevalence and risk factors associated with preterm birth in Ardabil, Iran.

Materials and Methods: A case control study was conducted between Nov 2010 and July 2011 in all three maternal hospitals in Ardabil. All the live newborns during the study period were investigated. Of 6705 live births during the study period 346 births occurred in <37 weeks were taken as a case and 589 term neonates were taken as a control group. Data were obtained through review of prenatal and hospital delivery records. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis were applied to obtain magnitude of association between independent variables and preterm birth.

Results: The prevalence rate of preterm birth was 5.1%. History of previous preterm birth (OR=12.7, CI: 3.9-40.4, $p<0.001$), hypertension (OR=7.3, CI:2.1-25.4, $p=0.002$), Oligohydramnios (OR=3.9, CI:1.6-9.5, $p=0.002$), spouse abuse (OR=3.7, CI:1.1-11.8, $p=0.024$), preeclampsia (OR=3.6, CI:1.3-10.3, $p=0.014$), premature rupture of membrane (OR=3.1, CI:1.9-4.9, $p=0.000$), bleeding or spotting during pregnancy (OR=2.0, CI:1.0-3.8, $p=0.037$), Hyperemesis Gravidarum (OR=2.0, CI: 1.1-3.8, $p=0.015$), urinary tract infection in 26-30 weeks, (OR=1.8, CI:1.0-3.2, $p=0.04$), diastolic blood pressure ≤ 60 mmg (OR=1.5, CI: 0.99-2.2, $p=0.049$) were determined as significant risk factors for preterm birth.

Conclusion: Early detection and treatment of diseases or disorders among pregnant women especially hypertension, Oligohydramnios, preeclampsia, bleeding or spotting, Hyperemesis Gravidarum, urinary tract infection, and low diastolic blood pressure as well as the improving health care quality delivered to pregnant women may reduce preterm prevalence rate.

Key words: Prevalence, Preterm birth, Risk factors.

Corresponding Author:

Sadegh Hazrati, School of Public Health, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran.

Email: S.hazrati@Arums.ac.ir

Tel: (+98) 4515513775

Received: 4 May 2013

Accepted: 18 September 2013

Introduction

Preterm birth, childbirth occurring at less than 37 completed weeks of gestation, is the direct cause for 24% of neonatal deaths (1, 2). Rates of preterm birth have been reported to range from 5-7% of live births in some developed countries and are estimated to be substantially higher in developing countries (1). Comparing with children born at term, preterm infants face to higher risk of several disabilities including neuro-developmental impairments, gastrointestinal complications, cerebral palsy, sensory deficits, learning disabilities, and

respiratory illness (3). The morbidity associated with preterm birth often extends to later life resulting in physical, psychological, and economic costs (1, 4). The precise role of events linked to an increased risk of preterm birth is unknown (5). However, there have been a number of previous studies attempting to identify risk factors associated with preterm birth in different countries. In a study conducted in Kerman, Iran; history of preterm labor and history of preterm labor in family were identified as significant risk factors for preterm birth (6).

However, maternal job status, educational level, gravidity, history of periodontal infection,

and history of urinary infection have no significant relationships with preterm birth (6). Mahmoodi *et al* found no significant relationships between preterm birth and maternal factors (i.e. age, education, and pre-pregnancy body mass index). However, significant relation was observed between maternal age and premature rupture of membranes (PROM) and PROM was also related to preterm birth significantly (7). Similar study in Yasuj found the risk of preterm labor in women with history of diabetes mellitus, thyroid dysfunction, and cardiac disease to be 2.3 times higher than healthy mothers (8).

In other studies conducted in Finland, Italy, Brazil, Ireland, and Taiwan risk factors such as smoking, prim parity, anemia, low socioeconomic status, congenital anomalies, hypertension, fetal growth restriction, infection, spontaneous rupture of membranes, multiple pregnancy, cervical dysfunction, antepartum hemorrhage, stress, and malnutrition were associated with the preterm birth (5, 9-12). Estimation of preterm birth rates is required to implement interventions in order to reduce the risk of premature labor and delivery (1). Prevalence of preterm delivery in different cities of Iran is in a range of 5.6-39.4% (13). The etiology of preterm birth is multi factorial and it is affected by social, psychological, biological and genetic factors. Its prevalence also depends on the geographical and demographic features of the population studied and hence results of studies from one area might not be applicable to another (1, 5). This study was carried out to determine the prevalence and risk factors associated with preterm birth in Ardabil, Iran.

Materials and methods

All pregnant women hospitalized for delivery in all three maternal hospitals of Ardabil (i.e. Alavi, Sabalan and Arta) were included in a case control study conducted from November 2010 to July 2011. Gestational age was estimated using either

the first day of the last normal menstrual period or first trimester obstetric ultrasound for all subjects. Preterm birth, defined as birth occurred in gestational age of less than 37 complete weeks. Termination of pregnancy before 22 weeks of gestation (miscarriage), stillbirth after 22 weeks of gestation, multiple birth, abnormality in uterine, cervical cerclage, women living in other cities, and the women with missing or incomplete prenatal care records were excluded from the study. Including criteria for cases were defined as singleton live births occurred in less than 37 complete weeks. Control group considered as the singleton live births occurred at 37 or more completed weeks of gestation.

Of 6705 live births during the study period 346 births occurred at <37 completed weeks and they were considered as cases. Control group (N=589) was randomly selected from singleton live births occurred at 37 or more completed weeks of gestation. In order to improve the accuracy and compensate of possible sample loss, the number of newborns in the control group was approximately twice the cases. That is, per every birth occurred at <37 completed weeks, if possible the next two newborns with gestational age of 37 or more completed weeks were selected as control. Data were collected retrospectively through review of prenatal and hospital delivery records using a self-designed questionnaire.

The questionnaire contained socio-demographic factors and maternal and prenatal care characteristics of current and previous pregnancies. Socio-demographic factors included: age, education level, social class, maternal occupation, parents' consanguinity, and area of residence (rural or urban). Maternal and prenatal care characteristics in current pregnancy covered body mass index, maternal height, maternal weight gain after 15 weeks of gestation, gestational diabetes, positive Hepatitis B surface antibody (HbsAb) antigen, hypertension in pregnancy, low systolic blood pressure (≤ 100), low diastolic blood pressure (≤ 60), hyperemesis gravidarum, unwanted

pregnancy, pre-pregnancy care, urinary tract infection during pregnancy in 6-10 weeks, urinary tract infection during pregnancy in 26-30 weeks, bleeding or spotting, spouse abuse, ferrous and multivitamin use, preterm rupture of membrane, hospitalization in pregnancy, dental problems, chronic diseases in pregnancy, location of placenta, amniotic fluid volume, taking medicine during pregnancy, hard working in pregnancy, infertility history and treatment, mode of delivery, congenital abnormalities, previous contraceptive method, hemoglobin level less than 11g/d in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy, hemoglobin level less than 10.5 g/d in 26-30 weeks of pregnancy, smoking, opium and alcohol consumption.

Previous pregnancy and reproductive history characteristics included; gravidity, parity, history of abortion, number of previous abortion, interval from previous abortion, birth interval, history of still birth, history of preterm labor, history of neonatal congenital abnormalities, history of term Low Birth Weight infant, and previous caesarian delivery. The term preeclampsia was used for woman with hypertension (i.e. blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg) and proteinuria (i.e. ≥ 300 mg in a 24-hour sample or ≥ 30 mg/dl in a single sample).

Hypertension before pregnancy or experience of hypertension in early stages of pregnancy (before 20 weeks) without proteinuria referred to chronic high blood pressure (14). Urinary tract infection is diagnosed where asymptomatic bacteruria was present on positive urine culture test, spouse abuse included emotional abuse or physical violence, and emotional abuse was defined as repeated yelling, humiliating, and threatening acts by the male partner during pregnancy (14, 15). Physical abuse was considered as one or more acts of physical aggression perpetrated by the male partner during pregnancy, premature rupture of membrane or leakage of amniotic fluid referred to a rupture that occurred before the onset of regular contraction or before 37 weeks of pregnancy (14, 16).

Treatment of infertility referred to the current pregnancy that was the result of infertility treatment methods and maternal chronic diseases were referred to cardiovascular, hepatic, renal, and lung diseases (17). Hypotension was considered as blood pressure equal or less than 100/60 mm/Hg (18). We also defined hypermetric pregnancies as those vomiting in the pregnancy period at a such severity as to require the patient admission to hospital (19). This project (code, 893056) was approved by ARUMS medical research ethics committee and subjects got consent for participation.

Statistical analysis

Chi-square, univariate and multivariate logistic regression were applied to analyze data using SPSS version 16. Chi-square was employed to compare distribution of variables between the groups, univariate analysis was applied to evaluate associations between independent variables and preterm birth. In order to highlight important risk factors for preterm birth, multivariate regression analysis was conducted and all the variables displaying significant relationships with preterm birth in the univariate analysis were entered into the model (Forward Wald Model). For the logistic regression, results are reported as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) along with P values. SPSS version 16 was used to conduct these statistical analyses.

Results

Out of 6705 live newborns delivered, 346 (5.1%) were preterm. Among the variables considered as socioeconomic characteristics, only social class of parents was significantly correlated with preterm delivery (OR= 1.4, CI: 1.0-1.8, $p=0.016$). The results for statistical analysis of maternal and prenatal care characteristics of the subjects in current pregnancy in relation with preterm birth are summarized in table I.

The odds of delivering a preterm birth was significantly high among the women with short

stature, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, hypertension, positive HBS antigen, diastolic hypotension, hyperemesis gravid arum, urinary tract infection in 6-10 weeks and 26-30 weeks of gestation, spotting or bleeding, suffering from spouse abuse, preterm rupture of membrane, hospitalization during pregnancy, chronic disease, Oligohydramnios, taking medicine during pregnancy, and women involved in heavy physical works. Comparing to control group, although the differences were not statistically significant, we observed higher prevalence rates of preterm birth in women with; BMI 19/8> Kg/m², weight gain of less than 2500 g/ week after the 15th week of pregnancy, low systolic blood pressure, polyhydramnion, pregnancy through In vitro fertilization (IVF), pregnancy through ovular stimulator drugs consumption and congenital abnormalities (Table I).

Other variables studied associated with no increase in the rate of preterm births. Smoking, alcohol consumption, and opiate use were extremely rare and that they were excluded from statistical analyses. The results

obtained for univariate analysis of preterm deliveries in relation with previous pregnancy and reproductive characteristics of the subjects are shown in table II. Women with previous experience of abortion, a birth interval of less than 3 years, history of preterm birth, and women with history of low birth weight delivery significantly were more likely to deliver preterm infant. We observed incidence rates of preterm birth to be higher in women with three or more parity, experiencing three or more previous abortions, history of still birth, and history of delivering term low birth weight, however, the differences were not statistically significant (Table II). Based on the results obtained from multivariate analysis; history of preterm birth, hypertension during pregnancy, oligohydramnios, spouse abuse, preeclampsia, premature rupture of membrane, bleeding or spotting during pregnancy, hyperemesis gravid arum, urinary tract infection in 26-30 weeks of pregnancy, middle and low social class, and diastolic hypotension were identified as significant risk factors for preterm birth delivery (Table III).

Table I. Univariate analysis of maternal and prenatal care characteristics in current pregnancy

	Preterm n (%)	Term n (%)	Total n (%)	OR (95%CI)	p-value
Body mass index					
19/8>	39 (12.5)	51 (9.3)	90 (10.4)	1.27 (0.80-2.01)	0.305
19/8-26	158 (50.5)	263 (47.8)	421 (48.8)	1 (ref)	ref
26/1-29/9	67 (21.4)	143 (26.0)	210 (24.3)	0.78 (0.54-1.10)	0.165
30≤	49 (15.7)	93 (16.9)	142 (16.5)	0.87 (0.58-1.30)	0.518
Maternal height					
155>	101 (30.9)	140 (24.7)	241 (27.0)	1.36 (1.00-1.84)	0.046
155≤	226 (69.1)	426 (75.3)	652 (63.0)	1 (ref)	
Maternal weight gain after 15 weeks of gestation.					
<2500 gr/week	12 (3.9)	10 (1.8)	22 (2.5)	2.20 (0.94-5.10)	0.06
2500≤ gr/ week	298 (96.1)	547 (98.2)	845 (97.5)	1 (ref)	
Gestational diabetes					
Yes	15 (4.4)	11 (1.9)	26 (2.8)	2.41 (1.09-5.32)	0.029
No	323 (95.6)	572 (98.1)	895 (97.2)	1 (ref)	
Positive HBS antigen					
Yes	6 (2.6)	2 (0.5)	8 (1.2)	5.10 (1.14-28.50)	0.035
No	229 (97.4)	436 (99.5)	665 (98.8)	1 (ref)	
Hypertension in pregnancy					
Hypertension	17 (5.0)	8 (1.4)	25 (2.7)	4.0 (1.71-9.40)	0.001
Preeclampsia	28 (8.2)	12 (2.0)	40 (4.3)	4.40 (2.20-8.81)	0.000
No hypertension	298 (86.9)	566 (96.6)	864 (93.0)	1 (ref)	ref

Table I Contd. Univariate analysis of maternal and prenatal care characteristics in current pregnancy

Low systolic blood pressure (100≥)						
Yes	154 (46.7)	243 (42.9)	397 (44.3)	1.16 (0.88-1.53)		0.267
No	176 (53.3)	324 (57.1)	500 (55.7)	1 (ref)		
Low diastolic blood pressure (60≥)						
Yes	137 (41.5)	197 (34.7)	334 (37.2)	1.33 (1.00-1.76)		0.043
No	193 (58.5)	370 (65.3)	563 (62.8)	1 (ref)		
Hyperemesis gravidarum						
Yes	57 (16.6)	62 (10.5)	119 (12.9)	1.69 (1.14-2.49)		0.008
No	286 (83.4)	526 (89.5)	812 (87.2)	1 (ref)		
Urinary tract infection during pregnancy in 6-10week						
Yes	61 (18.4)	71 (12.5)	132 (14.7)	1.57 (1.08-2.29)		0.016
No	271 (81.6)	498 (87.5)	769 (85.3)	1 (ref)		
Urinary tract infection during pregnancy in 26-30week						
Yes	37 (13.9)	45 (8.9)	82 (10.6)	1.65 (1.04-2.63)		0.033
No	229 (86.1)	461 (91.1)	690 (89.4)	1 (ref)		
Bleeding or spotting						
Yes	55 (16.3)	39 (6.7)	94 (10.2)	2.71 (1.75-4.19)		0.000
No	283 (83.7)	545 (93.3)	828 (89.8)	1 (ref)		
Spouse abuse						
Yes	19 (5.6)	8 (1.4)	27 (2.9)	4.18 (1.81-9.67)		0.001
No	322 (94.4)	568 (98.6)	890 (97.1)	1 (ref)		
Preterm rupture of membrane						
Yes	95 (28.7)	96 (16.6)	191 (21.0)	2.02 (1.46-2.79)		0.000
No	236 (71.3)	483 (83.4)	719 (79.0)	1 (ref)		
Hospitalization in pregnancy						
Yes	61 (18.2)	54 (9.5)	115 (12.7)	2.11 (1.42-3.13)		0.000
No	275 (81.8)	514 (90.5)	789 (87.3)	1 (ref)		
Dental problems						
Yes	136 (43.3)	267 (49.4)	403 (47.1)	0.78 (0.593-1.03)		0.088
No	178 (56.7)	274 (50.6)	452 (52.9)	1 (ref)		
Chronic disease in pregnancy						
Yes	25 (7.3)	15 (2.6)	40 (4.3)	2.98 (1.55-5.74)		0.001
No	319 (92.7)	571 (97.4)	890 (95.7)	1 (ref)		
Location of placenta						
Anterior	132 (46.5)	227 (43.7)	359 (44.7)	1.04 (0.710-1.55)	0.811	0.878 ref 0.127
Posterior	88 (31.0)	164 (31.5)	252 (31.3)	0.96 (0.63-1.46)		
Fundul	56 (19.7)	101 (19.4)	157 (19.5)	1 (ref)		
Lateral	8 (2.8)	28 (5.4)	36 (4.5)	0.51 (0.22-1.30)		
Amniotic fluid volume						
Normal	263 (86.5)	514 (95.4)	777 (92.2)	1 (ref)	ref	0.000 0.685
Oligohydramnios	33 (10.3)	12 (2.2)	45 (5.3)	5.3 (2.73-10.50)		
Polyhydramnion	8 (2.6)	13 (2.4)	21 (2.5)	1.20 (0.49-2.93)		
Taking medicine in pregnancy						
Yes	37 (10.9)	32 (5.5)	69 (7.5)	2.09 (1.27-3.43)		0.003
No	302 (89.1)	547 (94.5)	849 (92.5)	1 (ref)		
Hard working in pregnancy						
Yes	26 (7.7)	24 (4.2)	50 (5.5)	1.90 (1.07-3.38)		0.027
No	310 (92.3)	546 (95.8)	586 (94.5)	1 (ref)		
History of Infertility and treatment method						
IUI	1 (0.3)	2 (0.3)	3 (0.3)	0.86 (0.07-9.57)	0.906	0.155 0.276 0.633 ref
IVF	3 (0.9)	1 (0.2)	4 (0.4)	5.1 (0.53-5.13)		
Ovular stimulator drugs	11 (3.2)	12 (2.0)	23 (2.5)	1.58 (0.69-3.63)		
Non Treated infertile women	1 (0.3)	3 (0.5)	4 (0.4)	0.57 (0.06-5.56)		
Non infertile women	330 (95.4)	571 (96.9)	902 (96.4)	1 (ref)		
Mode of delivery						
Normal delivery	135 (39.0)	194 (32.9)	329 (35.2)	1.30 (0.98-1.71)		0.060
Caesarian delivery	211 (61.0)	395 (67.1)	606 (64.8)	1 (ref)		
Congenital abnormalities						
Yes	18 (5.2)	27 (4.6)	45 (4.8)	1.14 (0.620-2.10)		0.670
No	328 (94.8)	562 (95.4)	890 (95.2)	1 (ref)		

* was considered as reference group in statistical analysis.

Table II. Univariate analysis of previous pregnancy and reproductive characteristics

		Preterm n (%)	Term n (%)	Total n (%)	OR (95%CI)	p-value
Gravidity	Prim gravid	158 (46.1)	279 (47.6)	437 (47.0)	1 (ref)	0.649
	Multi gravid	185 (53.9)	307 (52.4)	492 (53.0)	1.0 (0.81-1.39)	
Parity	0	178 (51.7)	297 (50.7)	475 (51.1)	1 (ref)	0.413
	1	103 (29.9)	195 (33.3)	298 (32.0)	0.88 (0.65-1.19)	
	2	45 (13.1)	72 (12.3)	117 (12.6)	1.0 (0.68-1.58)	
	3≤	18 (5.2)	22 (3.8)	40 (4.3)	1.36 (0.71-3.61)	
History of abortion	Yes	69 (20.0)	80 (13.6)	149 (16.0)	1.58 (1.11-2.25)	0.011
	No	276 (80.0)	507 (86.4)	783 (84.0)	1 (ref)	
Number of previous abortion	3>	337 (98.0)	581 (99.3)	918 (98.8)	1 (ref)	0.08
	3≤	7 (2.0)	4 (0.7)	11 (1.2)	3.0 (0.87-10.38)	
Interval from previous abortion	1>	23 (35.9)	27 (38.0)	50 (37.0)	0.73 (0.29-1.86)	0.522
	1-3	26 (40.6)	31 (43.7)	57 (42.2)	0.72 (0.29-1.81)	
	>3	15 (23.4)	13 (18.3)	28 (20.7)	1 (ref)	
Birth interval	>1	8 (5.4)	4 (1.4)	14 (2.8)	4.50 (1.33-15.4)	0.016
	1-3	48 (32.2)	63 (22.7)	111 (26.0)	1.7 (1.10-2.70)	
	>3	93 (62.4)	211 (75.9)	304 (71.2)	1 (ref)	
History of still birth	Yes	9 (2.6)	10 (1.7)	19 (2.0)	1.54 (0.620-3.83)	0.351
	No	335 (97.4)	574 (98.3)	909 (98.0)	1 (ref)	
History of preterm labor	Yes	25 (7.2)	7 (1.2)	32 (3.4)	6.4 (2.75-15.0)	0.000
	No	320 (92.8)	577 (98.8)	897 (96.6)	1 (ref)	
History of neonatal congenital abnormalities	Yes	5 (1.5)	9 (1.5)	14 (1.5)	0.94 (0.33-2.83)	0.913
	No	338 (98.5)	572 (98.5)	910 (98.5)	1 (ref)	
History of term low birth weight infant	Yes	8 (2.3)	6 (1.0)	14 (1.5)	2.2 (0.78-6.64)	0.129
	No	336 (97.7)	576 (99.0)	912 (98.5)	1 (ref)	
Previous caesarian delivery	Yes	81 (23.5)	136 (23.3)	217 (23.4)	1.0 (0.73-1.38)	0.958
	No	264 (76.5)	447 (76.7)	711 (76.6)	1 (ref)	

Table III. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors associated with preterm birth

Risk factors	Preterm			Term
	OR	CI	p-value	
History of preterm birth	12.7.	3.9-40.4,	0.000	1
Hypertension during pregnancy	7.3,	2.1-25.4	0.002	1
Oligohydramnios	3.9	1.6-9.5	0.002	1
Spouse abuse	3.7	1.1-11.8	0.024	1
Preeclampsia	3.6	1.3-10.3	0.014	1
Premature rupture of membrane	3.1	1.9-4.9	0.000	1
Bleeding or spotting during pregnancy	2.0	1.0-3.8	0.037	1
Hyperemesis Gravid arum	2.0	1.1-3.8	0.015	1
Urinary tract infection in 26-30weeks of pregnancy	1.8	1.0-3.2	0.044	1
Middle and low social class	1.6	1.0-2.3	0.021	1
Diastolic blood pressure ≤60 mmg (Diastolic Hypotension)	1.5	0.99-2.2	0.049	1

Discussion

The prevalence of preterm birth found in current study (i.e. 5.1%) is appreciably lower than the rates reported for African (12.6%), Asian (9.8%), and some European countries (6.7%) as well as the figures reported for Vietnam (i.e. 11.8%) but is higher than rate of

4.4% reported for Italy (1, 5, 20). However, the rate of preterm birth found in present study is similar to those of studies conducted in Islamic Republic of Iran (i.e. Qom 5.6% and Mashhad 6.1%) (13). The low rate of preterm birth found in present study might reflect success of different programs introduced by Iranian Health Ministry to improve health

service quality delivered to pregnant women including pre-pregnancy and pregnancy health care in the last decade.

Experience of previous preterm birth was identified as the most significant risk factor for preterm birth with odds ratio of 12.7. This is in line with the findings of studies where women with previous preterm delivery were at increased risk for their next pregnancy (5, 6, 20, 21). The recurrence risk in women with a previous preterm delivery ranges from 15% to more than 50% depending on the number and gestational age of previous deliveries (4). The mechanism for this has not been well understood, however, the likelihood of such experience among the women with prior spontaneous labor as well as those with inducing preterm birth is rising.

Persisting or recurrent intrauterine infection during several pregnancies along with the disorders associated with preterm birth (e.g. gestational diabetes, hypertension, and obesity) that tend to last from one pregnancy to the next, might explain many repetitive spontaneous and induced preterm births (4). Other important risk factors were hypertension and pre-eclampsia that increased the risk of preterm birth by 7.3 and 3.6 folds, respectively.

Hypertension increases resistance of uterine vessels and reduce uteroplacental fluid, which in turn causes intrauterine growth restriction (22). Moreover, the high rate of disorders like placenta abruption and pre-eclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction among women with hypertension may results in surgical operations and preterm birth (14). Although the difference was not statistically significant; Renzo *et al* reported the likelihood of preterm birth to be 2.6 times greater among women with chronic hypertension (5). Various factors including fetal abnormalities, hypertension, pre-eclampsia, blood transfusion between twins, and chronic leakage of amnionite in ruptured areas of the membrane may lead to Oligohydramnios (14). Some reports have estimated the likelihood of preterm birth to be 3-10 times higher in women with Oligohydramnios (12, 14).

This figure for present study was 3.9. preterm rupture of membrane is the most common cause of Oligohydramnios (14). Similar to present study preterm rupture of membrane has been reported to be related significantly to preterm birth (7). Odds ratio of preterm birth in women experiencing physical and emotional abuse was 3.4 that agrees well with previous report where an odds ratio of 2.4 was observed for such women (23). Researchers investigating this issue found inconsistent results. Rodrigues *et al* reported that 24% of the women experiencing spouse abuse and 8% of the women without history of such abuse had preterm birth (24).

Similarly, another study found these figures to be 5.3% among women experiencing violence and 1.2% within those without such experiences (25). However, other studies have reported no significant relationship between domestic violence and preterm birth (26-28). Women experiencing spouse abuse may also have conditions such as sexually transmitted diseases, vaginal bleeding, depression, and stress that increase level of inflammatory factors and consequently leading to preterm birth (4, 16). In addition, physical harassment may result in injuries of placenta, preterm rupture of the membrane or the release of prostaglandins (16).

Hyperemesis gravidarum occurs in approximately 0.3-2% of pregnancies (29). Some studies have not found hyperemesis to be a risk factor for preterm delivery (19, 30). However, similar to our findings, others reported that the risk of prematurity to increase 1.3 times in women who suffered from hyperemesis gravidarum (31, 32). Vaginal bleeding in pregnancy increased the odds of delivering immature babies up to 2.2 times, which is in agreement with the findings where the risk of preterm birth was higher in women with bleeding or spotting during their pregnancy (33, 34). The reason for vaginal bleeding during the first half of pregnancy is unknown in most cases. However, those with bleeding in second half of pregnancy have placenta abruption or placenta previa (22). Vaginal bleeding as an indication of serious consequences of pregnancy may cause fetal

or maternal emergencies leading to induced preterm birth.

The infection of the urinary system is the most prevalent bacterial infections occurred during pregnancy (14). Similar to our results, Schieve *et al* has considered urinary system infection as a risk factor for premature birth (35). Infection may raise release of inflammatory chemokine's and cytokines such as interleukins and tumor necrosis factors. Microbial Endotoxins and proinflammatory cytokines stimulate the production of prostaglandins (other inflammatory mediators) and matrix-degrading enzymes that finally result in stimulation of uterine contractions, preterm rupture of the membrane, and preterm birth (14).

However, some studies found no significant correlations between preterm birth and urinary infection (6, 35-37). Similar to recent findings low socioeconomic status was found to be an important factor affecting preterm deliveries (9, 20, 21). This might be attributed to the fact that low income women normally suffer from nutritional deficiency, insufficient health care, low education, drug abuse, cigarettes and alcohol consumption, domestic violence, and stressful life (11, 22). However, a study performed in 1997 found no association between socioeconomic status and preterm birth (38).

Maternal hypotension during pregnancy (often defined as blood pressure $\leq 110/60$), may be associated with reduced utero-placental perfusion, prematurity, and low birth weight (39). Studies characterizing relationships between maternal hypotension and prematurity have reported inconsistent results (18, 39, 40). In our study maternal diastolic hypotension increased the risk of preterm delivery by a factor of 1.5. Treatment of hypertensive pregnant women may improve the placental perfusion and fetal outcomes (41).

The data for some variables were collected based on the medical records of the subjects and that; there might be a potential risk for quality of recorded data. Other limitation was that, where the mothers did not remember the date of the first day of last menstrual period

accurately, we calculated gestational age by obstetric ultrasound taken in first trimester. To insure the accuracy of data the obstetric ultrasound should be performed by the same person and the same machine for all participants; while it was not possible in our study.

Conclusion

History of preterm birth, hypertension and pre-eclampsia, preterm rupture of the membrane, oligohydramnios, spotting and bleeding, urinary infection, hyperemesis gravid arum, and low social status and low diastolic blood pressure were identified as the most important risk factors for preterm birth. Identifying pregnant women at the risk of preterm delivery and providing quality healthcare may decrease the rate of preterm birth and its consequences.

Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge financial support of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences (ARUMS) for this work.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Beck S, Wojdyla D, Say L, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Requejo JH, et al. The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010; 88: 31-38.
2. Sonkusare S, Rai L, Naik P. preterm birth: mode of delivery and neonatal outcome. *Med J Malaysia* 2009; 64: 303-306.
3. Modarres SZ, Amooian B, Movahed SB, Mohamadi M. periodontal health in mothers of preterm and term infants. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 46: 157-161.
4. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Jams JD, Rometo R. epidemiology and cause of preterm birth. *Lancet* 2008; 371: 75-83.
5. Renzo GCD, Giardina I, Rosati A, Clerici G, Torricelli M, Petraglia F, maternal risk factors for preterm birth: a country-based population analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol* 2011; 3: 1-5.
6. Mirzaie F, Mohammad-Alizadeh S. Contributing Factors of preterm delivery in parturient in a

- university hospital in Iran. *Saudi Med J* 2007; 28: 400-404.
7. Mahmoodi Z, Hoseini F, Sadeghi Avval Shahr H, Ghodsi Z, Amini L. The Association between Maternal Factors and Preterm Birth and Premature Rapture of Membranes. *J Fam Reprod Health* 2010; 4: 135-139.
 8. Nabavizadeh SH, Malekzadeh M, Mousavizadeh A, Ghaffarian Shirazi HR, Ghaffari P, Karshenas N, et al. Retrospective study of Factors related to preterm labor in Yasuj, Iran. *Int J Gen Med* 2012; 2012: 1013-1017.
 9. Raisanen S, Gissler M, Saari J, Kramer M, Heinonen S, Contribution of risk factors to Extremely, Very and Moderately Preterm Births-Register-Based Analysis of 1,390,742 Singleton Births. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: 1-7.
 10. Passini R, Tedesco R, Marba S, Cecatti J, Guinsburg R, Martinez F, et al. Brazilian multicenter study on prevalence of preterm birth and associated factors. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2010; 10: 1-7.
 11. Murphy D. Epidemiology and environmental factors in preterm labor. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 21: 773-789.
 12. Chin LO C, Hsu JJ, Hsieh CC, Hsieh TT, Hung T. Risk factors for spontaneous preterm delivery before 34 weeks of gestation among Taiwanese women. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 46: 389-393.
 13. Dolatian M, Mirabzadeh A, Forouzan AS, Sajjadi H, Alavi Majid H, Moafi F. Preterm Delivery and Psycho-Social Determinants of Health Based on World Health Organization Model in Iran: A Narrative Review. *Glob J Health Sci* 2013; 5: 52-64.
 14. Cunningham FG, Leveno KJ, Hauth JC, editors. Williams obstetrics. 23th Ed. New York, McGraw Hill; 2010.
 15. Jafari F, Eftekhari H, Pourreza A, Mousavi J. Socio-economic and medical determination of low birth weight in Iran: 20 years after establishment of a primary health care network. *Public Health* 2010; 124: 153-157.
 16. Valladares E, Ellsberg M, Pena R, Hogberg U, Persson LA. Physical partner abuse during pregnancy: a risk factor for low birth weight in Nicaragua. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 100: 700-704.
 17. Vahdaninia M, Sadat S, Montazeri A. Correlation of low birth weight in term pregnancies: are Prospective study from Iran. *BMC pregnancy childbirth* 2008; 8: 1-5.
 18. Warland J, MCcutcheon, Baghurst P. Maternal blood pressure in pregnancy and still birth: a case control study of third trimester still birth. *AM J Perinatal* 2008; 25: 311-317
 19. Tan PC, Jacob R, Quek KF, Omar SZ. Pregnancy outcome in hyperemesis Gravidarum and the effect of laboratory clinical indicators of hyperemesis severity. *J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 33: 457-464.
 20. Nguyen N, Savitz DA, Thorp JM. Risk factors for preterm birth in Vietnam. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2004; 86: 70-78.
 21. Khalajinia Z, Jandaghi G. Maternal risk factors for preterm birth: a country-based population analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2012; 159: 342-346.
 22. Bernabe JV, Soriano T, Albaladejo R, Juarranz M, Calle ME, Martinez D, et al. Risk factors for low birth weight: a review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2004; 116: 3-15.
 23. Coker AL, Sanderson M, Dong B, Partner violence during pregnancy and pregnancy outcomes. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2004; 18: 260-290.
 24. Rodrigues T, Rocha L, Barros H. Physical abuse during pregnancy and preterm delivery. *AM J Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 198: 1-6.
 25. Yost NP, Bloom SL, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. A Prospective observational study of domestic violence during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 106: 61-65.
 26. Schoeman J, Grové DV, Odendaal HJ. Are domestic violence and the excessive use of alcohol risk factors for preterm birth. *J Trop Pediatr* 2005; 51: 49-50.
 27. Grimstad H, Schei B, Backe B, Jacobsen G. Physical abuse and low birth weight: a case-control study. *J Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 104: 1281-1287.
 28. Cokkinides VE, Coker AL, Sanderson M, Addy C, Bethea L. Physical violence during pregnancy: maternal complications and birth outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 93: 661-666.
 29. Dodds L, Fell DB, Joseph KS, Allen VM, Butler B. Outcomes of pregnancies complicated by hyperemesis Gravidarum. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 107: 285-292.
 30. Hallak M, Tsalamandris K, Dombrowski MP, Isada NB, Pryde PG, Evans MI. Hyperemesis Gravidarum: effect on fetal outcome. *J Reprod Med* 1996; 41: 871-874.
 31. Roseboom TJ, Ravelli AC, van der Post JA, Painter RC. Maternal characteristics largely explain poor pregnancy outcome after hyperemesis Gravidarum. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2011; 156: 56-59.
 32. Veenendaal MV, van Abeelen AF, Painter RC, van der Post JA, Roseboom TJ. Consequences of hyperemesis Gravidarum for offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 2011; 118: 1302-1313.
 33. De Sutter P, Bontinck J, Schutysers V, Van der Elst J, Gerris J, Dhont M. First trimester bleeding and pregnancy outcome in singletons after assisted reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 2006; 21: 1907-1911.
 34. Strobino B, Pantel-Silverman J. Gestational vaginal bleeding and pregnancy outcome. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989; 129: 806-815.
 35. Schieve L, Handler A, Hershov R, Persky V, Davis F. Urinary tract infection during pregnancy: its association with maternal morbidity and perinatal outcome. *Am J Public Health* 1994; 84: 806-815.
 36. Zeitlin JA, Ancel PY, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Papiernik E. Are risk factors the same for small for gestational age versus other preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; 185: 208-215.
 37. Krymko H, Bashiri A, Smolin A, Sheiner E, Bar-David J, Shoham-Vardi I, et al. Risk factors for recurrent preterm delivery. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2004; 113: 160-163.
 38. Wildschut HI, Nas T, Golding J. Are socio-demographic factors predictive of preterm birth? A reappraisal of the 1958 British perinatal mortality survey. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1997; 104: 57-63.
 39. Chen A, Basso O. Does low maternal blood pressure during pregnancy increase the risk of perinatal death? *Epidemiology* 2007; 18: 619-622.
 40. Zhang J, Klebanoff MA. Low blood pressure during pregnancy and poor perinatal outcomes: an obstetric paradox. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001; 153: 642-646.
 41. Klosa W, Wilhelm C, Schillinger H, Hillemanns HG. Therapy of hypotension in pregnancy using

norfenorfrine hydrochloride with special reference to the effects on fetal circulation-initial observation. *Z*

Geburtshilfe Perinatol 1992; 196: 21-25.