# Comparison of the Growth of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*, *L. paracasei* and *L. plantarum* on Inulin in Co-culture Systems

## Risa TAKAGI<sup>1</sup>, Yuji TSUJIKAWA<sup>1</sup>, Ryohei NOMOTO<sup>1</sup> and Ro OSAWA<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bioresource Science, Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, 1–1 Rokko-dai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

Received April 7, 2014; Accepted May 26, 2014; Published online in J-STAGE June 14, 2014

Lactobacillus delbrueckii TU-1, which apparently takes intact inulin into its cells and then degrades it intracellularly, was co-cultured *in vitro* with *L. paracasei* KTN-5, an extracellular inulin degrader; or *L. plantarum* 22A-3, a strain that is able to utilize fructose but not inulin; or both in order to prequalify inulin as a prebiotic agent *in vivo*. When *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 was co-cultured with *L. paracasei* KTN-5 on fructose or inulin, the growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on fructose was much lower than that of *L. paracasei* KTN-5. These results suggest that *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 and *L. paracasei* KTN-5 were efficient at utilizing inulin and fructose, respectively. When *L. plantarum* 22A-3 was co-cultured with *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on inulin, the growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 was co-cultured with *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on inulin, the growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 was co-cultured with *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on inulin, the growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 was co-cultured with *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, suggesting that the fructose moiety that *L. paracasei* KTN-5 released temporarily into the medium was "scavenged" by *L. plantarum* 22A-3. Thus, *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 were then cultured altogether on inulin. The growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 was unaffected but that of *L. paracasei* KTN-5 was markedly suppressed. This evidence suggests that prebiotic use of inulin supported the selective growth of intracellular inulin degraders such as *L. delbrueckii* rather than extracellular inulin degraders such as *L. paracasei* in the not such as *L. paracasei* in the host microbiota.

Key words: Lactobacillus delbrueckii, Lactobacillus paracasei, inulin, co-culture system

#### INTRODUCTION

The *Lactobacillus* species are among the industrially important lactic acid bacteria, which are found in fermented food products and can provide many beneficial effects to their hosts as probiotic bacteria [1, 2]. For example, strains of *L. delbrueckii* that are generally found in dairy products such as yogurt have been shown to have probiotic effects including antioxidative effects, immunostimulatory activity, and antitumor activity in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies [3–5]. However, these beneficial effects may be transient because up to 100 trillion intestinal commensal microbes usually prevent probiotic bacteria from establishing themselves in the intestinal environment [6, 7]. To overcome this limitation, the intake of prebiotics in addition to probiotic bacteria

has been reported to be effective [8].

Prebiotics are nondigestible food ingredients that are not hydrolyzed by human digestive enzymes but are utilized by a limited number of bacteria in the colon and beneficially affect the host by improving the consistency of gastrointestinal microbiota [9, 10]. The majority of candidate prebiotics are nondigestible oligosaccharides such as lactulose, raffinose, fructo-oligosaccharides, lactosucrose, xylo-oligosaccharides, and isomaltooligosaccharides, but they also include highly polymerized saccharides such as resistant starch, pectin, and inulin [10]. In particular, the effects of prebiotics on inulin have been well studied. Inulin is a linear D-fructose polymer linked by beta-(2-1)-glycosidic bonds, with a terminal glucose moiety that is linked by an alpha-(1-2)glycosidic bond [11]. The beta-(2-1)-glycosidic bond of inulin, including the first glucose-fructose bond, is not hydrolyzed to a great extent by any mammalian digestive enzymes [12]. Inulin extracted from chicory, which is a root vegetable, varies in the number of D-fructose units from a minimum of 2 to a maximum of 60. This relatively unprocessed inulin has a degree of polymerization (DP) ranging from 2 to approximately 60, and the average DP

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Mailing address: Ro Osawa, Kobe University, 1–1 Rokko-dai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan. Phone & Fax: +81-78-803-5804. E-mail: tamie@opal.kobe-u.ac.jp

<sup>©2014</sup> BMFH Press

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/</a>>.

Table 1. Bacterial strains used in this study

Strain	Origin	
Lactobacillus delbrueckii TU-1	Yogurt commercially available in Japan	
Lactobacillus paracasei KTN-5	Fermented milk product commercially available in Japan	
Lactobacillus plantarum 22A-3	Nukaduke (rice bran pickling) of eggplants	

#### is approximately 12 [11].

Meanwhile, certain Lactobacillus strains, including strains of L. paracasei and L. delbrueckii, are able to grow in *in vitro* inulin monoculture systems [13–15]. Recently, Tsujikawa et al. [16] provided evidence to suggest that L. paracasei strains degrade inulin extracellularly to release free molecules of fructose and sucrose that can be subsequently transported into cells to be metabolized. whereas L. delbrueckii strains take up intact inulin into cells and then degrade it intracellularly. If the above hypothesis is accurate, it would be interesting to see which species has an ecological advantage when both species are grown on inulin together. Furthermore, the growth of L. paracasei rather than L. delbrueckii may be suppressed by the presence of any fructose-utilizing concomitant bacteria. Therefore, in this study, we examined the ability of L. delbrueckii to compete for inulin with L. paracasei, an avid fructose-utilizing but not inulin-utilizing strain of L. plantarum or both in co-culture systems.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Bacterial strains used

The bacterial strains used in this study were *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 (Table 1). It should be noted that these 3 *Lactobacillus* strains were isolated in our laboratory from dietary fermented food products that were commercially available in Japan and that their taxonomic identities were confirmed by our species-specific quantitative PCR assay, which will be described later. All bacterial isolates were stored in de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until used.

#### Media and substrates used

Modified MRS medium [17], which was without glucose and supplemented with 0.5 g/L L-cysteine hydrochloride (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan), hereafter referred to as the mMRS medium, was used as the basal fermentation medium throughout this study. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.5 before sterilization (121°C for 15 min).

Glucose, fructose, or inulin was added to mMRS as the

sole carbon source (2%, wt/vol). In all cases, these sugars were sterilized through membrane filtration using Millex<sup>®</sup> syringe filter units (pore size, 0.45  $\mu$ m; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) and were added aseptically to the sterile mMRS medium. All of these sugars were purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan). The DP of inulin (Wako) is reported to vary between 3 and 60 according to the information supplied by the manufacturer.

#### Fermentation experiments

To perform mono- and co-culture fermentations, the strains were anaerobically subcultured twice in MRS broth, and the cultures obtained after 6 hr of anaerobic growth at 37°C were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 15 min. After centrifugation, the bacterial pellet was washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) [0.8% NaCl, 0.02% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.115% Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.4)] and resuspended in PBS until its copy number of the 16S rRNA gene in the bacterial genome reached  $10^8$  copies/ml, which was followed by the spotting of 50 µL of this suspension on 5 mL of MRS or mMRS containing glucose, fructose, or inulin (2%, wt/vol at final concentration). Incubations of the media were performed anaerobically at 37°C in an anaerobic jar (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical, Tokyo, Japan) for up to 48 hr during which approximately 500 µL of the culture was withdrawn at 0, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 48 hr after incubation. It should be noted that it was difficult to adjust the cell numbers of the initial inoculum of the L. delbrueckii strain by the plate count method because its cells were tightly bound in a chain formation and could not be separated to single cells even by a rigorous vortexing to be spread on a plate. We thus adjusted the initial cell numbers of the stains to be equivalent to each other in terms of the copy number of the 16S rRNA genes.

#### DNA preparations

Whole genomic DNA from each culture was prepared essentially following the method of Marmur et al. [18]. Briefly, a 200  $\mu$ l aliquot of each culture was transferred to sterile bead-beating tubes containing 300 mg of glass beads (0.1 mm). This was added to approximately 500  $\mu$ L of TE-saturated phenol, 250  $\mu$ L of lysis buffer, and 50  $\mu$ L of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate. After

Target bacteria	Primer	Amplicon size (bp)	Standard bacterium	Reference
Lactobacillus delbrueckii	F: 5'-GGRTGATTTGTTGGACGCTAG-3' R: 5'-GCCGCCTTTCAAACTTGAATC-3'	138	Lactobacillus delbrueckii TU-1	[19]
Lactobacillus paracasei	F: 5'-GCACCGAGATTCAACATGG-3' R: 5'-GGTTCTTGGATYTATGCGGTATTAG-3'	117	Lactobacillus paracasei KTN-5	[19]
Lactobacillus plantarum	F: 5'-TTTGAGTGAGTGGCGAACTG-3' R: 5'-CCAAAAGTGATAGCCGAAGC-3'	145	Lactobacillus plantarum 22A-3	This study

Table 2. Primers, amplicon sizes and standard bacterium used in quantitative PCR detection of target bacteria

centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 5 min, the upper layer was removed to a new tube. Four hundred microliters of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was then added to the tube and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 min. The upper aqueous layer was carefully collected in a new tube. This sample was shaken in a FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP Biomedicals SARL, Illkirch, France) for 30 s at maximum speed. DNA was precipitated by adding 275  $\mu$ L of isopropyl alcohol and a 1/10 volume of 3 M sodium acetate, which had been placed in the tube at -20°C for 10–15 min. The pellet was washed with 70% ice-cold ethanol by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 5 min, and DNA was dried under vacuum. DNA was subsequently dissolved again in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0).

#### Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

In order to quantify the growth of each strain in the cocultures, we initially used the plate count method on our co-cultures but we found it extremely difficult to assign any colonies formed on the plates of mixed species cocultures to the species by their appearance. Quantification of the L. delbrueckii, L. paracasei, and L. plantarum 16S rRNA genes was therefore performed by quantitative PCR using a TP700 Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System Lite (Takara, Ohtsu, Japan). Primer pairs targeting a partial 16S rRNA gene for L. delbrueckii, L. paracasei [19] and L. plantarum were designed in this study and used for the qPCR assay (Table 2). The PCR conditions for L. delbrueckii and L. paracasei were as follows: 95°C for 10 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min; those for L. plantarum were as follows: 95°C for 1 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. To check the specificity of the amplifications, a melting curve was obtained by performing the following cycle: a denaturation step at 95°C for 15 sec, a 1°C increase in temperature every 20 sec starting at 60°C and ending at 95°C and a final step at 95°C for 15 sec. Standard curves for absolute quantification in the cultures were prepared using  $10^2 - 10^6$  copies of the PCR fragment of the 16S rRNA gene. The correlation coefficients for all the standard curves were above 0.99. For each assay, 1  $\mu$ L of DNA solution was added to 9  $\mu$ L of a PCR mixture containing 5  $\mu$ L of THUNDERBIRD<sup>TM</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup> qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), 3.6  $\mu$ L of distilled water, and 200 nM of each primer.

# Sugar degradation analysis by thin-layer chromatography (TLC)

To substantiate the observed difference in fructose and inulin utilization by the strains, TLC (Merck silica gel 60 plate) was performed on the spent cultures (approximately 2  $\mu$ L each) of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 grown in mMRS containing 2% fructose or inulin for 24 hr, which were spotted along with solutions of fructose and inulin standard (2%, wt/ vol) onto different lanes of a TLC plate. The plates were developed in 1-butanol:2-propanol:ethanol:water (3:2:3:4) solvent. The spots were visualized by spraying the plates with *p*-anisaldehyde (containing acetic acid and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) ethanol solution (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan) and heating them at 160°C for several minutes.

#### RESULTS

# Monocultures of L. delbrueckii TU-1, L. paracasei KTN-5, and L. plantarum 22A-3 in mMRS supplemented with glucose, fructose, or inulin

All 3 strains grew well on glucose or fructose (Fig. 1A, 1B); in particular, the growth levels of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on both the sugars were higher than those of the other 2 strains. In addition, *L. paracasei* KTN-5 and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 reached a stationary phase in approximately 12 hr, whereas *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 reached a stationary phase in approximately 24 hr (Fig. 1A, 1B). *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 grew faster on inulin (Fig. 1C) than on glucose or fructose (Fig. 1A, 1B), and the growth reached a stationary phase in approximately 6 hr; on the other hand, *L. paracasei* KTN-5 (Fig. 1C) showed a comparable growth pattern



Fig. 1. Growth curves of the mMRS medium supplemented with a 2% (wt/vol) concentration of glucose (A), fructose (B), or inulin (C) and without the sugars (D).
•, Growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1; □, growth of *L. paracasei* KTN-5; ▲, growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

on glucose or fructose (Fig. 1A, 1B). The growth level of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on inulin (Fig. 1C) decreased to less than 1/10-fold of its level of growth on glucose or fructose (Fig. 1A, 1B) and was comparable to that of its growth without the sugars (Fig. 1D).

In the TLC analysis, a dark oval-shaped fructose spot was seen in the upper part of the chromatograph in mMRS with fructose that was not incubated with any strain (Fig. 2A and 2B, lane 1). The spent culture of each of the 3 strains grown in mMRS containing 2% fructose for 24 hr (Fig. 2A, lane 2) showed fructose spots. However, the darkness intensity of L. delbrueckii TU-1 was slightly less than that of the reference fructose spot, whereas those of L. paracasei KTN-5 and L. plantarum 22A-3 (Fig. 2A, lanes 3 and 4) were much less than that of the reference fructose spot (Fig. 2A, lane 1). mMRS with inulin that was not incubated with any strain (Fig. 2B, lane 5) showed a markedly extended spot covering a wide area and a dark oval-shaped non-migrating inulin spot far below the fructose spot. The spent culture of L. delbrueckii TU-1 grown in mMRS containing 2% inulin for 24 hr (Fig. 2B, lanes 2) showed an inulin spot,

but the darkness intensity decreased. The spent culture of *L. paracasei* KTN-5 grown in mMRS containing 2% inulin for 24 hr (Fig. 2B, lane 3) showed an inulin spot with a decreased darkness intensity as well as a dark oval-shaped spot in the upper part of the chromatograph. However, the spent culture of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 inoculated in mMRS containing 2% inulin for 24 hr (Fig. 2B, lane 4) showed an intact inulin spot.

# *Co-culture of L. delbrueckii TU-1 and L. paracasei KTN-5 in mMRS supplemented with fructose or inulin*

In the co-culture of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 and *L. paracasei* KTN-5 on fructose (Fig. 3A), *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 did not grow well, and the growth was suppressed compared with that in the monoculture on fructose (Fig. 1B) but was comparable with that without the sugars (Fig. 1D); on the other hand, *L. paracasei* KTN-5 showed a growth pattern comparable with that in the monoculture on fructose (Fig. 1B). In contrast, in the co-culture on inulin (Fig. 3B), *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 showed a comparable growth pattern to that of its monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C), whereas *L. paracasei* KTN-5 did not grow well; its growth was



Fig. 2. Thin-layer chromatography analysis of the sugar compositions of the spent cultures of the *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 in the mMRS medium supplemented with a 2% (wt/vol) concentration of fructose (A) or inulin (B) after 24 hr of incubation. Strains were grown and harvested as follows: (A) Lane 1, fructose (2%, wt/vol); lane 2, *L. delbrueckii* TU-1; lane 3, *L. paracasei* KTN-5; and lane 4, *L. plantarum* 22A-3. (B) Lane 1, fructose (2%, wt/vol); lane 2, *L. delbrueckii* TU-1; lane 3, *L. paracasei* KTN-5; lane 4, *L. plantarum* 22A-3; and lane 5, inulin (2%, wt/vol).



Fig. 3. Growth curves of a co-culture of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 and *L. paracasei* KTN-5 in the mMRS medium with a 2% (wt/vol) concentration of fructose (A) or inulin (B).

•, Growth of L. delbrueckii TU-1; , growth of L. paracasei KTN-5. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

slower than that in monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C).

# *Co-cultures of L. plantarum 22A-3 with L. delbrueckii TU-1 or with L. paracasei KTN-5 in mMRS supplemented with inulin*

In the co-culture of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 and *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on inulin (Fig. 4A), *L. plantarum* 22A-3 did not grow well, and the growth level was comparable with that of monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C)

as well as without the sugars (Fig. 1D). *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 grew well and showed a growth almost comparable with that in monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C). In the coculture of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 and *L. paracasei* KTN-5 (Fig. 4B), the growth level of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 was much higher than in monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C) and was comparable with that of monoculture on fructose (Fig. 1B); on the other hand, the growth level of *L. paracasei* KTN-5 decreased to less than 1/10-fold its



Fig. 4. Growth curves of a co-culture of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 with *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 (A) or with *L. paracasei* KTN-5 (B) in the mMRS medium with a 2% (wt/vol) concentration of inulin.
, Growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1; □, growth of *L. paracasei* KTN-5; ▲, growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

growth when monocultured on inulin (Fig. 1C).

*Co-culture of L. delbrueckii TU-1, L. paracasei KTN-5, and L. plantarum 22A-3 in mMRS supplemented with inulin* 

In the triple co-culture of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on inulin (Fig. 5), *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 grew well, but the growth level was slightly decreased compared with its growth when monocultured on inulin (Fig. 1C) as well as when co-cultured with *L. paracasei* KTN-5 or *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on inulin (Fig. 3B, 4A). The growth of *L. paracasei* KTN-5 (Fig. 5) decreased markedly to less than 1/10-fold of its growth in the monoculture on inulin (Fig. 1C), and it showed a growth pattern comparable with that when co-cultured with *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on inulin (Fig. 4B).

#### DISCUSSION

Our TLC analysis confirmed that *L. paracasei* KTN-5 degraded inulin to release free molecules of fructose extracellularly as reported by Tsujikawa et al. [16]. By contrast, *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 did not release any fructose molecules in the culture medium, suggesting that the strain is capable of taking up intact inulin into its cells and subsequently degrading it intracellularly. Therefore, we speculated that *L. paracasei* had to take 2 steps to utilize inulin, an initial step of degrading inulin to fructose and a subsequent step of transporting the released fructose molecules inside of its cells, while *L. delbrueckii* only took one step to transport the entire inulin molecule into its cells. This in turn suggested that *L. paracasei*. This was realized in our co-culture experiments of *L. delbrueckii* 



Fig. 5. Growth curve of a co-culture of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, *L. paracasei* KTN-5, and *L. plantarum* 22A-3 in the mMRS medium with a 2% (wt/vol) concentration of inulin.
•, Growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1; □, growth of *L. paracasei*

KTN-5;  $\blacktriangle$ , growth of *L. plantarum* 22A-3. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

TU-1 and *L. paracasei* KTN-5, in which the growth of *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 on inulin was markedly higher than that of *L. paracasei* KTN-5, whereas that on fructose was much lower than that of *L. paracasei* KTN-5, suggesting that *L. delbrueckii* TU-1 was more efficient at utilizing inulin and that *L. paracasei* KTN-5 was more efficient at utilizing fructose. This was also confirmed by our TLC analysis on fructose and inulin. Meanwhile, our TLC analysis also indicated that *L. plantarum* 22A-3 could utilize fructose but not inulin.

To investigate the influence of *L. plantarum* 22A-3 on the growth of *L. paracasei* KTN-5 or *L. delbrueckii* TU-1, we co-cultured *L. plantarum* 22A-3 with

145

L. paracasei KTN-5 or L. delbrueckii TU-1. In the co-culture experiment of L. plantarum 22A-3 with L. paracasei KTN-5 on inulin, L. plantarum 22A-3 grew as well as in monoculture on fructose. However, L. plantarum 22A-3 was unable to utilize inulin, and the growth of L. paracasei KTN-5 greatly decreased when compared with monoculture on inulin or co-culture with L. delbrueckii TU-1 on inulin. This suggests that L. paracasei KTN-5 released fructose into the medium following extracellular inulin degradation and that L. plantarum 22A-3 then used the released fructose; L. plantarum 22A-3 was "scavenging" the free fructose molecules that were produced by L. paracasei KTN-5, which were accumulating temporarily outside its cells. A similar finding was reported in a co-culture study in which Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron degraded inulin and released free fructose into the medium, thereby promoting concomitant bifidobacteria that were not able to degrade inulin themselves [20]. Meanwhile, in the co-culture experiment of L. plantarum 22A-3 with L. delbrueckii TU-1 on inulin, the growth of L. delbrueckii TU-1 was comparable to its growth when monocultured on inulin, and that of L. plantarum 22A-3 was comparable to its growth when monocultured without the sugars. This evidence suggests that L. delbrueckii TU-1 did not produce extracellular fructose from inulin; thus, L. plantarum 22A-3 could not "exploit" L. delbrueckii TU-1 for free fructose. A similar case was observed in a co-culture study of Roseburia inulinivorans and Bifidobacterium longum on inulin in which an inulindegrading R. inulinivorans strain did not promote the growth of a non-inulin-degrading *B. longum* strain [21].

In the triple co-culture experiment of L. delbrueckii TU-1, L. paracasei KTN-5, and L. plantarum 22A-3 on inulin, the growth of L. delbrueckii TU-1 was relatively unaffected by the presence of L. plantarum 22A-3, but that of L. paracasei KTN-5 was markedly suppressed. These results suggest that even in the presence of fructoseutilizing concomitant bacteria, L. delbrueckii TU-1 had an ecological advantage as compared with L. paracasei KTN-5 on inulin. In an actual intestinal environment, monosaccharides such as glucose and fructose are subject to fermentation by a large number of intestinal bacteria [22], and the competition with concomitant intestinal bacteria for such sugars would be therefore more pronounced than what we observed in the coculture experiment. Based on this, we can speculate that the prebiotic use of inulin supports the selective growth of intracellular inulin degraders such as L. delbrueckii but not that of extracellular inulin degraders such as L. paracasei.

In various studies that have used in vitro gut simulation models and clinical in vivo trials with human volunteers, it has been demonstrated that inulin has the ability to selectively increase the number of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and Lactobacillus spp. [23–25], including species of B. adolescentis and L. gasseri [26, 27]. In addition, it is well known that inulin utilization by lactic acid bacteria is species- and even strain-dependent [28]. One of the explanations for this species specificity may be that these strains of the species, the growth of which is promoted by inulin have an ability to degrade inulin intracellularly rather than extracellularly. To evaluate the possibility that the growth of intracellular inulin-degrading bacteria such as L. delbrueckii rather than extracellular inulindegrading bacteria such as L. paracasei is promoted when inulin is administered as a prebiotic supplement, further studies that use in vitro gut simulation models and in vivo trials are needed.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology, Creation of Innovation Centers for Advanced Interdisciplinary Research Areas (Innovative BioProduction Kobe), and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

#### REFERENCES

- Kailasapathy K, Chin J. 2000. Survival and therapeutic potential of probiotic organisms with reference to *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium* spp. Immunol Cell Biol 78: 80–88. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Isolauri E, Sütas Y, Kankaanpää P, Arvilommi H, Salminen S. 2001. Probiotics: effects on immunity. Am J Clin Nutr 73 Suppl: 444S–450S. [Medline]
- Kitazawa H, Harata T, Uemura J, Saito T, Kaneko T, Itoh T. 1998. Phosphate group requirement for mitogenic activation of lymphocytes by an extracellular phosphopolysaccharide from *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus*. Int J Food Microbiol 40: 169–175. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Guglielmotti DM, Marco' MB, Golowczyb M, Treinherimer JA, Quiberoni AL. 2007. Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* strains and their phage resistant mutants. Int Dairy J 17: 916–925. [CrossRef]
- Makino S, Ikegami S, Kume A, Horiuchi H, Sasaki H, Orii N. 2010. Reducing the risk of infection in the elderly by dietary intake of yoghurt fermented with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* OLL1073R-1. Br J Nutr 104: 998–1006. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 6. Bouhnik Y, Pochart P, Marteau P, Arlet G, Goderel

I, Rambaud JC. 1992. Fecal recovery in humans of viable *Bifidobacterium* sp ingested in fermented milk. Gastroenterology 102: 875–878. [Medline]

- Goldin BR, Gorbach SL, Saxelin M, Barakat S, Gualtieri L, Salminen S. 1992. Survival of *Lactobacillus* species (strain GG) in human gastrointestinal tract. Dig Dis Sci 37: 121–128. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Fuller R, Gibson GR. 1997. Modification of the intestinal microflora using probiotics and prebiotics. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 222: 28–31. [Medline]
- Mitsuoka T, Hidaka H, Eida T. 1987. Effect of fructooligosaccharides on intestinal microflora. Nahrung 31: 427–436. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Roberfroid M. 2007. Prebiotics: the concept revisted. J Nutr 137: S830- S837.
- Kelly G. 2008. Inulin-type prebiotics—a review: part 1. Altern Med Rev 13: 315–329. [Medline]
- Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. 1995. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. J Nutr 125: 1401–1412. [Medline]
- Müller M, Lier D. 1994. Fermentation of fructans by epiphytic lactic acid bacteria. J Appl Bacteriol 76: 406–411. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Müller M, Steller J. 1995. Comparative studies of the degradation of grass fructan and inulin by strains of *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*. J Appl Bacteriol 78: 229– 236. [CrossRef]
- Kunová G, Rada V, Lisová I, Ročková S, Vlková E. 2011. In vitro fermentability of prebiotic oligosaccharides by lactobacilli. Czech J Food Sci 29: S49–S54.
- Tsujikawa Y, Nomoto R, Osawa R. 2013. Comparison of degradation patterns of inulin-type fructans in strains of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* and *Lactobacillus paracasei*. Biosci Microb Food Health 32: 157–165. [CrossRef]
- De Man J, Rogosa M, Sharpe M. 1960. A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. J Appl Bacteriol 23: 130–135. [CrossRef]
- Marmur J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from micro-organisms. J Mol Biol 3: 208–218. [CrossRef]
- Byun R, Nadkarni MA, Chhour KL, Martin FE, Jacques NA, Hunter N. 2004. Quantitative analysis of diverse *Lactobacillus* species present in advanced dental caries. J Clin Microbiol 42: 3128–3136. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 20. Falony G, Calmeyn T, Leroy F, De Vuyst L. 2009. Coculture fermentations of *Bifidobacterium* species and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* reveal a mechanistic

insight into the prebiotic effect of inulin-type fructans. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 2312–2319. [Medline] [CrossRef]

- Scott KP, Martin JC, Chassard C, Clerget M, Potrykus J, Campbell G, Mayer CD, Young P, Rucklidge G, Ramsay AG, Flint HJ. 2011. Substrate-driven gene expression in *Roseburia inulinivorans*: importance of inducible enzymes in the utilization of inulin and starch. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108 Suppl 1: 4672–4679. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 22. Ito H, Takemura N, Sonoyama K, Kawagishi H, Topping DL, Conlon MA, Morita T. 2011. Degree of polymerization of inulin-type fructans differentially affects number of lactic acid bacteria, intestinal immune functions, and immunoglobulin A secretion in the rat cecum. J Agric Food Chem 59: 5771–5778. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 23. Grootaert C, Van den Abbeele P, Marzorati M, Broekaert WF, Courtin CM, Delcour JA, Verstraete W, Van de Wiele T. 2009. Comparison of prebiotic effects of arabinoxylan oligosaccharides and inulin in a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 69: 231–242. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kleessen B, Hartmann L, Blaut M. 2001. Oligofructose and long-chain inulin: influence on the gut microbial ecology of rats associated with a human faecal flora. Br J Nutr 86: 291–300. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 25. Tuohy KM, Finlay RK, Wynne AG, Gibson GR. 2001. A human volunteer study on the prebiotic effects of HP-inulin: faecal bacteria enumerated using fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH). Anaerobe 7: 113–118. [CrossRef]
- Ramirez-Farias C, Slezak K, Fuller Z, Duncan A, Holtrop G, Louis P. 2009. Effect of inulin on the human gut microbiota: stimulation of *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*. Br J Nutr 101: 541–550. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 27. Dewulf EM, Cani PD, Claus SP, Fuentes S, Puylaert PG, Neyrinck AM, Bindels LB, de Vos WM, Gibson GR, Thissen JP, Delzenne NM. 2013. Insight into the prebiotic concept: lessons from an exploratory, double blind intervention study with inulin-type fructans in obese women. Gut 62: 1112–1121. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Makras L, Van Acker G, De Vuyst L. 2005. Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei 8700:2 degrades inulin-type fructans exhibiting different degrees of polymerization. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 6531–6537. [Medline] [CrossRef]