

Safety regulation of machine learning in cardiac surgery

Zhiwen Wang, Linfeng Wang

School of Nursing, Peking University, Beijing, China

Correspondence to: Zhiwen Wang, PhD. School of Nursing, Peking University, The 38 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100069, China. Email: hezuogongying60@163.com.

Comment on: Miles TJ, Ghanta RK. Machine learning in cardiac surgery: a narrative review. J Thorac Dis 2024;16:2644-53.

Submitted Jun 19, 2024. Accepted for publication Aug 16, 2024. Published online Aug 28, 2024. doi: 10.21037/itd-24-990

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-24-990

When the machine learning techniques applied in the domain of cardiothoracic surgery, safety supervision must be considered. Miles (1) proved the benefits of machine learning technology in its research. But in-depth discussion of the potential risks and challenges has been relatively limited. The current period comes at a time of increasing concerns related to the application of machine learning. Different machine learning techniques have been used in cardiothoracic surgery (Table S1). The public, professionals and regulators are wary of the use of artificial intelligence (AI), especially when it comes to the sensitive healthcare sector. In this background, the attempt to introduce machine learning technology in the field of cardiothoracic surgery must adhere to the highest regulatory standards, combined with the 2024 edition of the Chinese Cardiothoracic Surgery Treatment Standards issued by the Chinese Medical Doctor Association to ensure the reliability and safety of AI-assisted decision-making. We need a safe monitoring frame-work for this. We emphasize the risk-based regulatory framework (2), with appropriate preventive measures to enable responsible innovation. At the same time, the application of machine learning needs to be coordinated with the existing supervision of cardiothoracic surgery to ensure that the two can be effectively combined to avoid duplication of supervision or regulatory gaps. Moreover, in the field of safety and process supervision in the field of machine learning cardiothoracic treatment, the results of validation measures should be focused on (3). Although it is the responsibility of regulators to ensure the safety of the process, the main driver of "white box" access is to improve the interpretability of the process. Safety verification can rely on "black box" evaluation (4), that is, no deep knowledge of the algorithm or dataset is required (5),

only methodological transparency, to ensure the safe use of machine learning in the field of cardiothoracic surgical treatment, while also protecting the incentive to innovate. To establish a coherent and risk-based regulatory framework for the full potential of machine learning in cardiothoracic surgery and to provide appropriate, targeted protections for patient safety (Table S2).

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-24-990/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with

the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Miles TJ, Ghanta RK. Machine learning in cardiac surgery: a narrative review. J Thorac Dis 2024;16:2644-53.
- Bate A, Luo Y. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Safe Medicines. Drug Saf 2022;45:403-5.

Cite this article as: Wang Z, Wang L. Safety regulation of machine learning in cardiac surgery. J Thorac Dis 2024;16(8):5490-5491. doi: 10.21037/jtd-24-990

- Hines PA, Herold R, Pinheiro L, et al. Artificial intelligence in European medicines regulation. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2023;22:81-2.
- 4. Liu X, Glocker B, McCradden MM, et al. The medical algorithmic audit. Lancet Digit Health 2022;4:e384-e397. Correction appears in Lancet Digit Health 2022;4:e405.
- Wang SV, Sreedhara SK, Schneeweiss S; REPEAT Initiative. Reproducibility of real-world evidence studies using clinical practice data to inform regulatory and coverage decisions. Nat Commun 2022;13:5126.