

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.e-jds.com

Correspondence



Endoscopically-assisted intraoral marginal mandibulectomy with a cutting guide for mandibular posterior gingival carcinoma



KEYWORDS

Endoscope; Intraoral approach; Mandibular posterior gingival cancer; Marginal mandibulectomy

Marginal mandibulectomy of the posterior mandible is commonly performed in extraoral approaches including submandibular or cheek-splitting transbuccal approach.^{1–5} An intraoral approach can avoid visible skin scars or facial nerve injury, while it has technical difficulties for poor visualization and limited surgical access.^{1,2} The maneuver of a reciprocating saw is difficult in the intraoral approach, and unpredictable mandibular osteotomy may produce sharp line angles that can predispose to postoperative mandibular fractures.¹ As a minimally invasive approach, we reported an endoscopically-assisted intraoral marginal mandibulectomy with a cutting guide for mandibular posterior gingival carcinoma.

A 74-year-old female with right mandibular posterior gingival cancer underwent an endoscopically-assisted intraoral marginal mandibulectomy. A mucosal incision was made with a safety margin of 10 mm. The mucoperiosteal flap was elevated from the mandibular surface on the buccolingual side under direct vision. We used a 30°, 4mm diameter, 18 cm-length endoscope with a tissue retractor (Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) for magnification of the surgical field. Under the endoscopic view, the mandibular neurovascular bundle was ligated and incised at the position of the mandibular foramen (Fig. 1A). A cutting guide which was manufactured based on preoperative surgical simulation was placed on the mandibular lateral surface (Fig. 1B). After the curved osteotomy was performed along the cutting guide with a piezoelectric surgical device (Piezosurgery, Mectron, Italy) under endoscopic view (Fig. 1C), the cutting guide was removed. The intraoral marginal mandibulectomy including the coronoid process was performed completely with an oscillating and reciprocating saw along the guide groove under endoscopic guidance (Fig. 1D). There were no complications such as mandibular fracture (Fig. 1E). Because occult cervical lymph node metastasis occurred 4 months after the marginal mandibulectomy, the modified radical neck dissection was performed. There were no recurrence and further metastasis 10 years after the neck dissection. There was no change of the lip numbness during the postoperative 10-year period.

Posterior marginal mandibulectomy requires an accurate osteotomy with an adequate surgical margin as well as a curved osteotomy with less stress concentration to avoid postoperative mandibular fracture. Therefore, posterior marginal mandibulectomy has been generally performed in extraoral approaches.^{3,4} In contrast, only intraoral approach for posterior marginal mandibulectomy has no visible skin scar or facial nerve injury but is challenging for difficulties with an obstructed view of the surgical site, limited access, and instrumentation such as bone saws.^{2,3} Hirsch and Dierks¹ reported the intraoral approach with a transbuccal technique for posterior marginal mandibulectomy including the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2023.11.022

^{1991-7902/© 2024} Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Figure 1 Endoscopic view and panoramic radiograph. (A) The mandibular neurovascular bundle (arrow) was ligated and incised at the position of the mandibular foramen under the endoscopic view. (B) A cutting guide which was manufactured based on preoperative surgical simulation was placed on the mandibular lateral surface. (C) The curved osteotomy was performed along the cutting guide with a piezoelectric surgical device under endoscopic view. (D) The curved osteotomy was performed completely with an oscillating saw along the guide groove under endoscopic guidance. (E) Postoperative panoramic radiograph.

coronoid process. The shank of a reciprocating saw blade is passed through a percutaneous stab incision from inside to outside, and the handpiece is then attached to the blade extraorally. The smooth-curved osteotomy is performed after the protection of lingual soft tissues, but the poor visualization of the surgical site may cause unpredictable osteotomy with the reciprocating saw. Therefore, the use of an endoscope can allow good illumination and better surgical view in the intraoral approach.² Because the endoscopically-assisted intraoral marginal mandibulectomy with a cutting guide can be performed safely and accurately without visible skin scar and facial nerve injury, this endoscopically-assisted intraoral approach may be an alternative to extraoral approach.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

- Hirsch DL, Dierks EJ. Use of a transbuccal technique for marginal mandibulectomy: a novel approach. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:1849-51.
- Shudo A. Endoscopically assisted marginal mandibulectomy using an intraoral approach alone for squamous cell carcinoma of the posterior mandibular gingiva: a technical note. *Craniomaxillofacial Trauma Reconstr* 2022;15:175–83.
- **3.** Ohba S, Yamashita H, Takashi I, Asahina I. Marginal mandibulectomy for lower gingival carcinoma with a cheeksplitting transbuccal approach and reconstruction by buccal fat pad flap: a case report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;71: e143-6.
- Abe A, Kurita K, Hayashi H, Ito Y. Cheek-splitting technique for marginal mandibulectomy: a novel approach. J Clin Exp Dent 2019;11:e675–8.

5. Petruzzelli GJ, Knight FK, Vandevender D, Clark JI, Emami B. Posterior marginal mandibulectomy in the management of cancer of the oral cavity and oropharynx. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2003;129:713–9.

Toshinori Iwai^{*} Satomi Sugiyama Senri Oguri Kenji Mitsudo Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery/ Orthodontics, Yokohama City University Hospital, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan *Corresponding author. Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery/Orthodontics, Yokohama City University Hospital, 3-9 Fukuura, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 236-0004, Japan.

E-mail address: iwai104@yokohama-cu.ac.jp (T. Iwai)

Received 27 November 2023 Final revision received 27 November 2023 Available online 8 December 2023