Mapping the Pareto Optimal Design Space for a Functionally Deimmunized Biotherapeutic Candidate



Regina S. Salvat¹, Andrew S. Parker^{2[¤]}, Yoonjoo Choi², Chris Bailey-Kellogg^{2*}, Karl E. Griswold^{1,3*}

1 Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 2 Department of Computer Science, Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America, 3 Program in Molecular and Cellular Biology, Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States of America

Abstract

The immunogenicity of biotherapeutics can bottleneck development pipelines and poses a barrier to widespread clinical application. As a result, there is a growing need for improved deimmunization technologies. We have recently described algorithms that simultaneously optimize proteins for both reduced T cell epitope content and high-level function. *In silico* analysis of this dual objective design space reveals that there is no single global optimum with respect to protein deimmunization. Instead, mutagenic epitope deletion yields a spectrum of designs that exhibit tradeoffs between immunogenic potential and molecular function. The leading edge of this design space is the Pareto frontier, i.e. the undominated variants for which no other single design exhibits better performance in both criteria. Here, the Pareto frontier of a therapeutic enzyme has been designed, constructed, and evaluated experimentally. Various measures of protein performance were found to map a functional sequence space that correlated well with computational predictions. These results represent the first systematic and rigorous assessment of the functional penalty that must be paid for pursuing progressively more deimmunized biotherapeutic candidates. Given this capacity to rapidly assess and design for tradeoffs between protein immunogenicity and functionality, these algorithms may prove useful in augmenting, accelerating, and de-risking experimental deimmunization efforts.

Citation: Salvat RS, Parker AS, Choi Y, Bailey-Kellogg C, Griswold KE (2015) Mapping the Pareto Optimal Design Space for a Functionally Deimmunized Biotherapeutic Candidate. PLoS Comput Biol 11(1): e1003988. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988

Editor: Becca Asquith, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

Received May 6, 2014; Accepted October 14, 2014; Published January 8, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Salvat et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by NIH grant R01-GM-098977 to CBK and KEG. RSS was supported in part by a Luce Foundation Fellowship and in part by a Thayer Innovation Program Fellowship from the Thayer School of Engineering. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: KEG and CBK are Dartmouth faculty and co-members of Stealth Biologics, LLC, a Delaware biotechnology company. They acknowledge that there is a potential conflict of interest related to their association with this company, and they hereby affirm that the data presented in this paper is free of any bias. This work has been reviewed and approved as specified in these faculty members' Dartmouth conflict of interest management plans. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

* Email: cbk@cs.dartmouth.edu (CBK); karl.e.griswold@dartmouth.edu (KEG)

¤ Current address: Zettics, Concord, Massachusetts, United States of America

Introduction

Therapeutic proteins are revolutionizing disease therapy across a broad range of indications and illnesses, and biotherapeutic sales are an increasingly important part of the pharmaceuticals market [1,2]. However, these powerful drugs suffer from their own limitations, which, if addressed, could accelerate the pace of biotherapeutic development and approval. A relatively unique risk factor for protein therapeutics is their inherent potential to induce anti-drug immune responses in human patients [3,4,5]. These undesirable immune reactions can compromise drug efficacy or cause more serious adverse events [6,7].

In a healthy human immune system, all extracellular proteins are sampled by antigen presenting cells (APCs). Once internalized by APCs, a protein is cleaved into small peptide fragments, putative immunogenic segments are loaded into the groove of class II major histocompatibility complex proteins (MHC II), and the complexes are trafficked to the APC surface. True immunogenic peptides, termed T cell epitopes, facilitate the formation of ternary MHC II-peptide-T cell receptor complexes with surface receptors of cognate CD4+ T cells [8]. This critical molecular recognition event initiates a signaling cascade that drives stimulation of helper T cells, maturation of B cells, and ultimately production of circulating antibodies that bind to and clear the foreign therapeutic protein. Detailed knowledge of this process enables protein deimmunization via mutation of key residues in immunogenic epitopes, a methodology commonly known as T cell epitope deletion.

There exist in the literature numerous examples of successful T cell epitope deletion projects. To date, the majority of these efforts have relied on time, labor, and resource intensive experimental strategies. Experimentally driven approaches entail dividing the target protein's primary sequence into a large panel of overlapping peptides, synthesizing those peptides, and using them for exhaustive epitope mapping with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and/or purified human MHC II proteins [9,10,11,12,13,14]. To circumvent the considerable effort and expense required for experimental epitope mapping, a wide range epitope of prediction tools may be accessed [15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23]. In some recent cases, such tools

Author Summary

Protein therapeutics have created a revolution in disease therapy, providing improved outcomes for prevalent illnesses and conditions while at the same time vielding treatments for diseases that were previously intractable. However, this powerful class of drugs is subject to their own unique challenges and risk factors. In particular, the biological origins of therapeutic proteins predispose them towards eliciting a detrimental immune response from the patient's own body. Therefore, fully capitalizing on the medicinal reservoir of natural and engineered proteins will require efficient, effective, and broadly applicable deimmunization technologies. We have developed deimmunization algorithms that simultaneously optimize therapeutic candidates for both low immunogenicity and high-level activity and stability. Here, we combine computational modeling and experimental analysis to show that the process of protein deimmunization manifests inherent tradeoffs between immunogenic potential and biomolecular function. Our experimental results demonstrate that dual objective optimization allows us to assess and design for these tradeoffs, thereby enabling facile construction of deimmunized variants that span a broad range of immunogenicity and functionality performance parameters. Thus, we can rapidly map the design space for deimmunized drug candidates, and we can use this information to guide selection of engineered proteins that are most likely to meet performance benchmarks for a given clinical application.

have been leveraged to good effect in deimmunizing therapeutic candidates [24,25].

Identification and mapping of T cell epitopes is a relatively mature field, but selection of mutations that simultaneously delete epitopes and maintain protein function remains a challenging task. Experimentally driven deimmunization typically relies on alanine scanning or similar empirical strategies to select epitope deleting mutations. While Cantor et al. employed epitope prediction to rapidly identify immunogenic regions of asparaginase, their selection of deimmunizing yet function-preserving mutations required construction of large combinatorial protein libraries and implementation of a sophisticated ultra-high throughput screen [24]. As an alternative to the above methods, bioinformatics tools are increasingly used to filter prospective deimmunizing mutations for those least likely to disrupt protein structure and function [12,26], however these in silico analyses of a mutation's structural and functional consequences have historically been applied *post hoc*. Any such sequential application of computational tools fails to consider the combined effects of all mutations on immunogenicity and function, thereby precluding a global approach to protein deimmunization. Thus, while T cell epitope deletion is a well validated methodology, the success, efficiency, and general utility of the approach would be enhanced by bringing to bear more advanced protein engineering and design technologies.

The next generation of protein deimmunization tools have seamlessly integrated immunoinformatic epitope prediction with *in silico* analysis of the functional consequences associated with prospective deimmunizing mutations [27,28,29,30]. By packaging both design objectives in a single optimization algorithm, these technologies enable global protein design and deimmunization on a highly compressed time scale. The first two iterations of these novel algorithms, Dynamic Programming for Deimmunizing Proteins (DP²) and Integer Programming for Immunogenic Proteins (IP²), have undergone preliminary experimental validation with Enterobacter cloacae P99 Beta-lactamase (P99BL) [25,31], a biotherapeutic that has been deimmunized previously using conventional experimentally-driven techniques [9]. Here we deimmunize the P99BL target using a more advanced extension of IP², embodied in the protein design algorithm "Protein Engineering Pareto Frontier" (PEPFR) [32]. Whereas IP² samples a subset of the designs that optimally balance the immunogenicity and functionality objectives, PEPFR generates the entire set of Pareto optimal variants, i.e. all enzymes whose predicted immunogenicity and functionality are not simultaneously dominated by any other single design. Eighteen of these Pareto optimal variants have been produced and subjected to a rigorous experimental analysis of key performance parameters. This combined computational and experimental analysis of increasingly aggressive plans has provided new insights into the inherent tradeoffs linking the target enzyme's sequence, function, and immunogenic potential. As a whole, this work outlines a design-based approach to functional deimmunization of biotherapeutic candidates.

Results

Computational Design

Whereas previous computationally-driven deimmunization of P99βL had targeted eight common MHC II alleles [25,31], here we optimized against only four alleles (DRB1*0101, 0401, 0701, and 1501) for which MHC II-peptide binding experiments had been fully optimized [33]. Analysis with the ProPred epitope prediction tool [20] revealed that putative immunogenic peptides were broadly distributed throughout the sequence, with several discrete regions exhibiting numerous overlapping and promiscuous epitopes, e.g. proximal to residues 14, 105, 210, 235, and 334 (Fig. 1). While our prior P99βL deimmunization efforts had focused on validation of our protein optimization algorithms, the objective of the current study was a systematic analysis of the sequence-function-immunoreactivity tradeoffs that are inherent to the deimmunization process.

In pursuit of this goal, we applied the PEPFR protein design algorithm [32] to optimize the two objective functions derived from the IP² deimmunization formulation [28]: a sequence score $(S^{eeq}, materials and methods equation 1)$, capturing the predicted effects of mutations on protein function, and an epitope score $(S^{epi}, materials and methods equation 2)$, capturing the predicted effects of mutations on protein immunogenicity. Both scores are defined such that lower is better (less perturbation to function and reduced immunogenicity, respectively). PEPFR identifies the "Pareto frontier" of the deimmunized design space, comprised of those designs whose sequence and epitope scores are not simultaneously dominated by any other variant (i.e., those designs making the best tradeoffs between the scores). Separate PEPFR runs were performed to identify designs at mutational loads ranging from 1 to 8.

The resulting output was a panel of 18 P99 β L designs that exhibited a range of mutational loads and extents of epitope disruption. A plot of S^{seq} vs. S^{epi} for the 18 protein plans enabled visualization of the objective functions' competing nature (Fig. 2). The overarching goal was reduction of P99 β L epitope score via mutagenic deletion of predicted epitopes; however each deimmunizing mutation incurs an S^{seq} penalty. Any increase above the wild type S^{seq} reflects a putative risk of reduced protein stability and/or function, and therefore mutagenic deimmunization must carefully balance the opposing objective functions. The Pareto frontier analysis (Fig. 2) highlights the relative tradeoffs between predictions of epitope content and biological activity, but the



Fig. 1. Epitope map of P99βL. The P99βL sequence was analyzed using ProPred set to a 5% threshold. Every nonamer peptide was classified as a binder or non-binder of alleles DRB1*0101, 0401, 0701, and 1501. The number of alleles that bind each nonamer peptide (y-axis) is indicated by a bar at the starting position of the peptide (x-axis). The positions of engineered mutations from the deimmunized enzymes are indicated with blue arrows and residue numbers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g001



Fig. 2. Pareto frontier of the P99 β **L deimmunized design space.** The computed *S*^{seq} design parameter is plotted vs. the computed *S*^{epi} design parameter for 19 unique enzyme plans. *S*^{seq} derives from a statistical sequence potential, and is analogous to an energy function such that lower values are better. *S*^{epi} is the total predicted epitope count for each protein. Pareto optimal designs, i.e. those for which no other single design has both better epitope and sequence scores, are indicated with blue circular markers. In orange are three 4-mutation designs that are Pareto optimal at their specific mutational load but are outperformed by designs at higher mutational loads. Wild type P99 β L is indicated with a red square. Mutational loads are indicated adjacent to their cognate markers. For three representative proteins, the epitope content has been mapped onto the P99 β L peptide backbone (PDB ID 1XX2A). Dense regions of overlapping epitopes are shown as thick red tubes, and lower densities are indicated with incrementally thinner tubes colored in a gradient red-orange-yellow-green-blue. Epitope free regions are thin grey tubes. 3-dimensional epitope marks are shown for wild type P99 β L, design 40, and design 82. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g002

Tabl	le 1. Enzym€	s desiç	a suț)d pu	erforn	nance	para	meter	S.																	
Design	1 A13 N14 K21	V25 4	8 G	103 R1	O5 R1	33 F1	49 R2	210 M2	15 N23	12 M23	35 V24:	3 1262	N281	Q333	1334 T	342 Loi	it. <i>S^{ep}</i> ad ^a Prc	-Pred ^b	S ^{epi} IEDB ^c	S ^{epi} NN-Align ^d	Ssed	k _{cat} ^e (s ⁻¹)	К _т , (µM)	k _{cat} /К _m (s ⁻¹ µМ ⁻¹)	т _m (°С)	Glob. Imm. ^f (%)
ŴŢ																0	60		215	162	0	390±20	44±7	9±1	56.61±0.05	100
=															¥	-	59		212	795	0.6	510±90	60 ± 30	9±5	56.27 ±0.04	82
1										Ø						-	57		211	780	1.8	420±40	60±10	7±2	55.97±0.03	82
2K							т			σ						2	55		207	779	3.2	540±70	60 ± 20	9±3	53.14±0.02	62
4L		_					I			Ø					¥	4	53		206	783	5.7	370±30	50土10	8±2	53.56±0.03	55
4M				S			т			σ					¥	4	51		198	781	10.0	450±20	51±4	8.7±0.7	50.15±0.02	48
4N	œ			S				Ø		ø						4	48		204	769	22.8	500±40	50±10	10±2	49.47 ± 0.04	58
40	ш			S				Ø		σ						4	46		195	753	32.2	460±40	50土10	10±3	49.74±0.04	53
4P	۵			S		Ø				Ø						4	44		168	743	98.8	250±20	42±7	6土1	50.77±0.02	4
ŞQ		_		S			т			σ					¥	5	50		200	781	11.9	800±200	80 ± 50	10±6	50.08±0.07	51
5R	œ			S			Т			ø					×	5	48		198	781	17.1	640±60	60 ± 20	11±3	49.95±0.04	4
7S		>		S			т			σ	_				¥	7	46		201	776	21.0	460±80	90±30	5±2	49.2±0.1	51
8T	ш	>					Т		S	ø			¥		×	80	44		198	764	25.3	430±20	53±8	8±1	54.96±0.05	35
8U	ш	>		S			т		s	σ			¥			∞	42		195	758	30.9	700±80	90±20	8±2	51.54±0.05	35
80	ж	>		S				Ø		ø					×	80	40		201	765	41.4	310±50	40 ± 20	8±4	50.01 ±0.05	49
8W	ш	>	۵	S				σ		σ					¥	80	38		191	747	50.8	280±50	40 ± 20	7±4	50.25±0.05	45
8X	ш	>		S				Ø		Ø				D	_	∞	36		186	746	65.5	370±10	54±4	6.8±0.6	48.43 ±0.07	47
87	ш	>	۵	S		σ		Ø		σ				۵		∞	34		162	729	107.6	300±20	55±7	5.4±0.8	47.21±0.05	39
8Z	۵	>		S		Ø		Ø		σ		>				∞	32		163	724	144.8	260±40	50±20	5±2	47.09±0.03	37
^a Mut: ^b Epitu ^c Epitu ^d Epitu ^e Data ^f Glob doi:10	ational load - to ope score comp ope score comp ope score comp a re presented a al Immunoreacti u1371/journal.pcl	tal num uted wi uted wi uted wi as ± SE ivity is c bi.10039	ber of th Pro th NN th NN M fror 388.t00	mutat Pred a B conse Align a n triplic ted as	ions in t a 5% ensus n it a 100 cates m shown	the sp thresho nethod J0 nM t neasure in the	ecified old. De lat a 1 hreshc d in bi materi	design ssigns v 0% thr 1d. Prec iologica als and	/ere bas eshold. /ictions I duplic metho	sed on Predict were r ate. ds, equ	these ε ions w(not use(ation 4	pitope ere not - d for de	predicti used foi sign an	ons. * design d are pr	and are ovided f	provide or refere	ence onl	iference o ly.	-X L							

.



Fig. 3. Detailed view of T cell epitopes targeted for disruption. The four MHC II alleles of interest are shown on the y-axis, and peptide subsequences of P99βL are shown on the x-axis. Deimmunizing mutations are specified above each graphic, and sites of mutation are indicated by asterisks on the x-axis. The precise positions of predicted T cell epitopes in wild type P99βL are indicated by solid black lines. Predicted epitopes in the specified engineered sequence are indicated with hatched orange lines. Overlapping black and orange lines are predicted epitopes not deleted by the specified mutation or mutations. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g003

practical relationship between these mathematical functions is an unknown quantity. Thus, experimental analysis is ultimately required to understand the magnitude of biological activity that is sacrificed per unit immunogenicity.

Incrementally enhanced deimmunization, moving from right to left on the Pareto curve (Fig. 2), was realized by three complementary mechanisms. First, increasing mutational loads allowed for simultaneous disruption of multiple, distributed epitope clusters. Compare, for example, design 1I, which targets a single epitope with one mutation, to design 8Z, which targets seven distinct immunogenic regions with eight mutations (Table 1). Second, in some instances accrued mutations were combined in close proximity to better target one particularly immunogenic region. For example, designs 4M through 7S as well as plan 8U encoded the R105S mutation, which was predicted to disrupt three of seven epitopes in a dense cluster centered on position 105 (Fig. 3). The more ambitious designs 8V through 8Z deleted six of these same seven epitopes with the combined G103D+R105S double mutation. The mutational combinations M235Q+V243L and Q333D+I334L were likewise predicted to yield enhanced epitope deletion relative to their single mutation counterparts (Fig. 3). In parallel to escalating mutational loads, a third mechanism for improved epitope deletion was the use of increasingly aggressive individual mutations. In particular, mutation N14R was associated with three designs possessing moderate sequence scores (4N, 5R, and 8V; S^{seq} range of 17.1 to 41.4; Table 1), but it deleted only three of six epitopes in the dense cluster centered on residue 14 (Fig. 3). Mutation A13E, employed by six designs having a S^{seq} range of 25.3 to 107.6, disrupted five of the six epitopes in this cluster. Finally, A13D deleted all six predicted epitopes, but this aggressive substitution contributed to particularly poor overall sequence scores ($S^{seq} = 98.8$ and 144.8 for designs 4P and 8Z, respectively). In aggregate, incremental increases in mutational load and mutational stringency produced a systematic series of deimmunized designs ranging from the wild type $S^{epi} = 60$ to that of variant 8Z ($S^{epi} = 32$), in which almost half of the predicted epitopes were targeted for disruption.

Cloning, Expression, and Purification

Engineered gene constructs were assembled by recursive PCR from overlapping synthetic oligonucleotides, and each gene was modified with a 5'-coding sequence for the OmpA leader peptide and a 3'-coding sequence for a C-terminal hexa-histidine tag. Genes were cloned behind the strong T7 promoter of vector pET26b, and proteins were expressed in the *E. coli* host BL21(DE3) [F⁻ *ompT* hsdS_B (r_B⁻ m_B⁻) gal dcm (DE3)]. Recombinant enzymes were released from the periplasm by osmotic shock and subsequently purified to>95% by immobilized metal affinity chromatography. Yields were 1–30 mg/liter of cell culture, depending on the enzyme variant.

Thermostability Analysis

The relative structural stabilities of the eighteen engineered enzymes were assessed as apparent melting temperatures (T_m), quantified by differential scanning fluorimetry [34]. The T_m's of the eighteen variants ranged from $47.09-56.27^{\circ}$ C, or 83-99% of

the wild-type value (56.61°C) (Table 1). While the observed 9.5°C range in T_m should not be interpreted as insubstantial, it bears noting that none of the engineered variants exhibited significant unfolding at 37°C (S1 Fig.), which is the temperature of ultimate therapeutic relevance.

The incremental manner in which the design series progressively targeted epitopes resulted in extensive mutational overlap between adjacent designs, and insights regarding the destabilizing effects of specific substitutions were obtained by deconvoluting the mutational composition of various constructs. Consider for example the adjacent series 1J, 2K, and 4L. Design 1J encoded only M235Q, which resulted in a negligible 0.64°C reduction in T_m (Table 1). In contrast design 2K, which encoded both M235Q and R210H, exhibited a 2.83°C drop in T_m, indicating that R210H has a significant destabilizing effect, either by itself or in the context of M235Q. The next variant, 4L, revealed that neither V25I nor T342K were destabilizing substitutions, as 4L differed from 2K by only these mutations yet exhibited an equivalent T_m. The permissible natures of V25I and T342K were further corroborated by comparison of $T_{\rm m}\sp{s}$ for 5Q vs. 4M and 1I vs. WT, which differed by the respective single mutations and again possessed essentially the same T_m values.

Separately, the data indicated that substitutions N14R and A13E were interchangeable with respect to structural integrity. In particular, the 4-mutation designs 4N and 4O differed only by N14R and A13E, respectively, and the 8-mutation variants 8V and 8W exhibited the same distinguishing feature. In both cases, the two alternative substitutions yielded essentially equivalent T_m values (49.47 \approx 49.74 $^\circ$ C and 50.01 \approx 50.25 $^\circ$ C, respectively). Moreover, there was evidence that these N-terminal mutations did not further compromise designs already exhibiting moderately decreased stability. For example, 5R differed from 4M by the simple addition of N14R, yet both enzymes showed similar stability ($T_m = 50.15$ and 49.95 $^\circ$ C, respectively).

The most striking observation, however, was the clear bifurcation in T_m values between designs that encoded R105S and those that did not. Without exception, plans bearing R105S possessed T_m 's below 52°C (average $T_m = 49.53$ °C), while variants bearing wild type R105 uniformly exhibited T_m's above 53°C (average $T_m = 55.09^{\circ}$ C). This suggested that R105S was the single most destabilizing mutation from the study, and separate experiments on the R105S point mutant showed that this single mutation substantially reduced protein stability ($T_m = 52.64^{\circ}C$, S1 Table). It seems likely that this effect stems from the fact that R105 resides in the center of a pocket defined in part by D86, D87, D108, and E300 (S2 Fig.). Presumably, R105 electrostatically stabilizes the adjacent acidic residues, and removal of this positive charge by the R105S mutation renders the protein less stable. Interestingly, while the isolated R105S mutation caused a reduction in thermostability, it manifested no substantial effect on catalytic activity (S1 Table), and it provided for a net reduction in peptide interaction with human MHC II proteins (see results below). Thus, the unfavorable consequences of R105S appeared to be confined to structural stability.

Finally, it should be noted that the sequence potential was intended, in part, to quantify the likelihood that mutations or combinations of mutations would maintain P99 β L structural integrity. A plot of S^{seq} vs. apparent T_m yielded the expected inverse relationship, and a linear regression showed that the correlation was highly significant (non-zero slope, P=0.0019) (Fig. 4A). While the sequence potential was not an accurate predictor of individual T_m values (linear R²=0.44), from a global perspective it did effectively capture this aspect of experimental performance.

Kinetic Analysis

A second goal of the sequence potential was to select mutations least likely to disrupt P99 β L activity. To assess mutational effects on molecular function, Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters were quantified using the beta lactam substrate nitrocefin (Table 1). Linear regression of S^{seq} vs. turnover number (k_{cat}) or catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) revealed a highly significant inverse correlation (non-zero slope, P = 0.0098 and 0.0005, respectively), whereas there was not a strong correlation with K_m (Fig. 4B, C, D). Similar to the relationship with T_m , the sequence potential could not be used to predict catalytic proficiency for individual enzymes, but it did accurately reflect the overall trends for measured reaction rates and catalytic efficiency.

The k_{cat} values for individual designs ranged from 65-206% that of wild type P99 β L (average over all variants = 114%). Notably, 11 of 18 variants exhibited faster than wild type maximum reaction velocities. However, the majority of variants (15/18) also experienced an increase in K_m , and as a result the average k_{cat}/K_m for all variants was 88% that of wild type (ranging from 56-121%). In general, wild type or better reaction rates and catalytic efficiencies were maintained up through the two least aggressive 8-mutation plans, 8T and 8U. The two variants with the highest overall k_{cat}/K_m values were designs 5Q and 5R (109% and 121% of wild type, respectively), and in both cases these enhanced efficiencies were driven exclusively by substantial increases in the k_{cat} parameter (206% and 164% wild type, respectively). Together, these observations highlight the fact that the wild type sequence does not represent a global optimum with respect to catalytic conversion of nitrocefin. The functional deimmunization process identified numerous performance enhanced variants, and the high activity observed across the full spectrum of mutational loads underscores the practical utility of the statistical sequence potential. Indeed, even the five most aggressive 8-mutation designs (8V through 8Z) proved to be highly active enzymes, with k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m values that averaged 77% and 71%, respectively, of wild type. The single most deimmunized variant, 8Z, maintained well above 50% wild type rate acceleration and efficiency. Thus, all 18 deimmunized enzymes exhibited activity comparable to naturally evolved biocatalysts.

Peptide Binding

The immunoreactivity of various constructs was assessed by measuring the MHC II binding affinity of their corresponding peptide fragments. These competition immunoassays are a widely recognized metric for assessing immunogenic potential and validating computational predictions [22,25,33,35,36,37,38,39]. Synthetic fragments of wild type P99BL were designed so as to encompass each of the epitopes targeted by the deimmunization algorithm, and corresponding variant peptides were synthesized to represent the deimmunized designs (S2 Table). The affinity of each peptide for human MHC II molecules DRB1*0101, 0401, 0701, and 1501 was measured by competition with known peptide immunogens for each allele. A quantitative comparison of wild type versus variant MHC II binding affinity was used as a proxy measure for the success of epitope deletion (Fig. 5). Peptide affinities are reported as IC_{50} values, and putative epitopes were classified as strong (IC₅₀ $<1 \mu$ M), moderate (1 μ M \leq IC₅₀<10 μ M), weak (10 μ M \leq IC₅₀<100 μ M), or non-binders (IC₅₀ \geq 100 µM).

High affinity interaction between peptide antigens and class II MHC is a key determinant of subsequent T cell immunogenicity [40,41,42], and a total of four wild type P99 β L peptides were found to possess sub-micromolar IC₅₀'s for one or more of the



Fig. 4. Correlations between computational design parameters and experimentally measured performance metrics. A S^{seq} vs. T_m. B S^{seq} vs. K_m. C S^{seq} vs. k_{cat}. D S^{seq} vs. k_{cat}/K_m. E Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity vs. S^{epi} . (former as defined in equation 4). Pareto optimal enzymes are shown as blue circular markers, sub-optimal 4-mutation variants are shown as orange circular markers, and wild type P99 β L is shown as a red square. Linear regressions are shown along with R² values, and an F test was used to determine statistical significance for non-zero slopes (P values are provided).

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g004

tested alleles. The wild type A13+N14 peptide was a high affinity binder of DRB1*0701 (IC₅₀ = 800 nM), and both A13D and A13E successfully converted this to a weak binding interaction with N14R yielding a moderate binding interaction (Fig. 6). As found in prior studies [25], wild type peptide L149 was bound by all four alleles, and here it was a particularly strong binder of 1501 $(IC_{50} = 300 \text{ nM})$. The L149O mutation reduced 1501 affinity by 40-fold, converting this strong binding interaction to a weak interaction. Wild type peptide I262 also bound all four alleles, and it possessed sub-micromolar affinity for both 0401 and 1501. The I262V mutation yielded a 6-fold reduction in 1501 affinity, thereby converting a strong binder to a moderate binder. In contrast, I262V did not substantially alter affinity for allele 0401, although this outcome was predicted during the design process (Fig. 3). The only other high affinity binding of a wild type peptide was 0101 binding of I48, which, contrary to predictions, was unaffected by the I48V mutation.

A total of 29 peptides, 11 wild type and 18 engineered, were analyzed to produce 116 affinity measurements. Of the 72 pairs of wild type and cognate deimmunized affinities (Fig. 5), there were 16 cases in which the designed mutation reduced MHC II affinity by more than an order of magnitude. There were an additional 11 instances wherein the designed mutation reduced affinity by 5- to 10-fold, and 10 examples of more modest 2- to 5-fold reductions. In aggregate the engineered mutations showed a 37.5% success rate in reducing MHC II binding by 5-fold or more. In contrast, there were only nine total instances in which the designed mutation enhanced MHC II affinity by any measurable degree. In five of those cases, the increase was a modest 2- to 5-fold, and there were no quantified examples of 10-fold or greater increases in affinity.

To correlate the experimentally measured MHC II affinities with the algorithm's binary prediction of peptide binding/nonbinding, a threshold value for experimental "binding" was arbitrarily selected. So as to maintain consistency with our prior work on P99BL, we set the cutoff for experimental binders at an IC₅₀<100 µM, i.e. counting all strong, moderate, and weak binders as defined above. Given this experimental threshold and a ProPred prediction threshold of 5%, the protein design process vielded a 65% positive prediction rate for binders across all four alleles (Fig. 6). Predictions were most accurate for DRB1*0401 (76%) and least accurate for allele 0701 (59%). Overall, we observed a 13% false positive rate and a 22% false negative rate, similar to those we have reported previously [25,31]. Comparable analyses using the newer IEDB consensus [22] and NNAlign [34] prediction methods revealed that, in this instance, no single predictor exhibited dominant accuracy across all four alleles (S3, S4 and S5 Tables). In particular, the ProPred predictor was comparable to the others for the peptides assessed here. As a whole the results show that the IP² deimmunization formulation, implemented through the PEPFR protein design algorithm and using the ProPred epitope predictor, proved to be proficient at identifying high affinity MHC-binding peptides and selecting corresponding disruptive mutations.

To enable comparison of whole protein immunoreactivity, MHC II binding data for individual peptides was integrated across the full length of each enzyme design. For each protein, a categorical immunoreactivity score was obtained by summing the number of strong, moderate, and weak MHC binders across the protein's component peptides (11 peptides • 4 MHC alleles = 44 possible interactions). Consistent with the predicted S^{seq} epitope parameter (Table 1), the design series showed a general trend of



Fig. 5. Peptide binding affinities for human MHC II proteins. IC_{50} values are plotted as cognate wild type and variant pairs, where lower IC_{50} values correspond to higher affinity binding with human MHC II. The slope of the connecting lines are a relative measure of deimmunizing efficacy, where larger positive slopes indicate a greater fold decrease in affinity relative to wild type. Lines with negative slopes indicate a mutation that enhanced MHC II binding. Shading indicates binding strength by category: strong ($IC_{50} < 1 \mu$ M, dark grey), moderate (1μ M \leq IC₅₀<10 μ M, medium grey), weak (10μ M \leq IC₅₀<100 μ M, light grey), or non-binding ($IC_{50} \ge 100 \mu$ M, white).

	#	# Predicte	d Epitope	s	Experimental IC ₅₀			
Peptide:	0101	0401	0701	1501	0101	0401	0701	1501
A13+N14	1	2	2	1	>250	22.94	0.8185	12.1
A13D	0	0	0	0	>250	>250	56.13	61.25
A13E	0	1	0	0	>250	71.12	74.46	>250
N14R	0	1	1	1	170.1	39.15	2.03	3.408
V25	0	1	0	0	4.562	2.774	58.78	5.609
V25I	0	0	0	0	4.463	4.289	21.33	2.16
I48	1	0	1	1	0.793	>250	>250	>250
I48V	0	0	0	0	0.714	73.46	>250	>250
G103+R105	2	2	1	2	>250	>250	20.64	12.27
R105S	1	1	1	1	26.73	>250	>250	69.45
G103D+R105S	0	0	1	0	>250	>250	>250	>250
L149	1	1	1	1	1.636	20.59	3.542	0.2838
L149Q	0	0	0	0	11.77	145.5	25.05	11.81
R210+M215	1	0	1	1	>250	>250	51.14	>250
R210H	1	0	0	0	>250	>250	>250	200.7
M215Q	0	0	0	0	>250	>250	>250	>250
N232+M235+V243	2	2	0	0	1.706	48	>250	4.789
M235Q	0	1	0	0	>250	>250	>250	>250
N232S+M235Q	0	1	0	0	200.6	204.7	94.04	102
M235Q+V243L	0	0	0	0	>250	>250	>250	>250
I262	1	1	2	1	22.17	0.457	1.675	0.3233
I262V	1	1	0	0	>250	0.986	12.77	2
N281	0	1	0	0	85.03	>250	27.44	>250
N281K	0	0	0	0	>250	>250	151.1	>250
Q333+I334	2	2	1	0	5.805	2.57	2.96	1.878
Q333D	1	1	1	0	1.259	7.568	0.8831	6.488
Q333D+I334L	0	1	0	0	7.912	26.78	5.617	10.77
T342	1	2	0	1	6.164	67.52	>250	11.51
T342K	1	1	0	1	28.5	39.8	146	3.66
% Correct Prediction	62.1%	75.9%	58.6%	62.1%				
Overall		64.	7%					

Fig. 6. Epitope predictions, measured IC₅₀ values, and correlations by individual peptide. For each MHC allele, the number of predicted epitopes within a given synthetic peptide is shown on the left, and the measured IC₅₀ values are shown on the right. Peptides were categorized as strong (IC50<1 μ M, red), moderate (1 μ M≤IC50<10 μ M, orange), weak (10 μ M≤IC50<100 μ M, yellow), or non-binding (IC50 ≥100 μ M, white). Positive correlations between epitope prediction and experimental measurements (binding cutoff at 100 μ M) are highlighted in blue on the left. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g006

decreasing experimental immunoreactivity moving from variant 1I to 8Z (Fig. 7). Of the 18 engineered designs, 11 had a net deletion of one or more high affinity interactions, 17 deleted one or more moderate affinity interactions, and 16 deleted one or more weak interactions. Importantly, none of the engineered enzymes suffered

a net increase in total experimental epitopes. In only one case was a design found to have a net addition of epitopes in any single binding category. Namely, 4P possessed one additional weak binder, but at the same time it deleted four moderate and two strong binders, the latter two being most prone to drive a T cell



Fig. 7. Global categorical immunoreactivity for full length protein designs. The binding strength of individual peptides for MHC II alleles DRB1*0101, 0401, 0701, and 1501 were binned as strong ($IC_{50} < 1 \mu$ M, red), moderate (1 μ M \leq IC₅₀<10 μ M, orange), weak (10 μ M \leq IC₅₀<100 μ M, yellow), or non-binding ($IC_{50} \ge 100 \mu$ M, not shown). The counts for each enzyme's constituent peptides were summed and plotted by semiquantitative category (y-axis). The horizontal hatched lines are visual guides for the wild type binding counts in each category. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g007

mediated immune response [40]. Indeed, with respect to deleting moderate and strong binders, design 4P was bested only by 8Z. The latter was the single most aggressive design, and it was in fact found to have the lowest categorical immunoreactivity. Specifically, 8Z yielded a net deletion of three strong binders, four moderate binders, and one weak binder, thereby eliminating a full quarter of all experimentally identified MHC II binders. Considering only the higher affinity peptides (IC₅₀<10 μ M), 8Z benefitted from a 39% reduction in epitope content, similar to the 47% reduction predicted by the deimmunization algorithm. Thus, prediction of epitope disruption was borne out in the overall experimental analysis.

As a second measure of whole-protein immunogenic potential, a global quantitative immunoreactivity value was calculated by averaging the numerical IC50's for each enzyme's component peptides. Importantly, the dynamic range for our MHC II binding assay is 10 nM to 250 µM, and many of the binding affinities, particularly for engineered peptides, were found to be too weak for precise quantitation (values>250 µM, Fig. 6). Because these nonbinding peptides are key indicators of reduced immunoreactivity, it was critical that they be factored into the quantitative, wholeprotein score. To do so, we employed equation 4 (see materials and methods). Each enzyme's global immunoreactivity, normalized to 100% for wild type P99BL, is reported in Table 1. Similar to the categorical analysis, there was a general trend towards decreased global immunoreactivity with increasing mutational load and aggressiveness. On this normalized scale, designs 8T and 8U are the most immunotolerant variants, both exhibiting a 65% reduction relative to wild type P99BL immunoreactivity. The most extensively engineered design, 8Z, is also highly immunoevasive, with a 63% reduction compared to wild type. Overall, the global, quantitative immunoreactivity was found to have a highly

significant and surprisingly close correlation with the predicted S^{epi} parameter (linear $\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.64$; non-zero slope P<0.0001; Fig.4E). Thus, S^{epi} offered reasonable predictive power even for individual P99 β L designs. In total, the algorithm successfully incorporated compatible and increasingly effective deimmunizing mutations so as to achieve a systematic reduction in immunogenic potential.

Discussion

Mutagenic deletion of T cell epitopes, which has been successfully implemented with diverse proteins, is a powerful means for deimmunizing biotherapeutics. With very few exceptions, however, published studies of T cell epitope deletion, in full length proteins, have focused on disrupting one or two immunogenic regions [9,10,11,12,14,25,43]. Indeed, there is debate regarding the feasibility of broad, protein-wide epitope deletion, which is complicated by the high degree of MHC II polymorphism in human populations [44]. Thus, while there are many reports of limited but successful T cell epitope deletion, one is left to wonder how many projects might have failed due to the presence of numerous and dispersed immunogenic regions that could not be targeted simultaneously using conventional strategies. To more fully understand this challenge, we have conducted a combined computational and experimental analysis of the immunogenicity and functionality tradeoffs that are inherent to the deimmunization problem.

The studies described here were enabled by an advanced deimmunization algorithm that seamlessly integrates immunogenic epitope prediction with *in silico* analysis of the functional consequences associated with deimmunizing mutations. We combined the IP^2 deimmunization formulation with the PEFFR



Fig. 8. Comparison of computed and experimental Pareto optimal plots. A Pareto plot of computed design parameters S^{seq} vs. S^{epi} . B Experimental analog of the computed Pareto plot. An integrated score for experimentally measured molecular function (equation 3) is plotted vs. a global score for experimentally determined immunoreactivity (equation 4). C Experimental Pareto plot of normalized, reciprocal T_m vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. D Experimental Pareto plot of normalized K_m vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. E Experimental Pareto plot of normalized, reciprocal k_{cat} vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. G Experimental Pareto plot of averaged, normalized, reciprocal k_{cat} and T_m vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. G Experimental Pareto plot of averaged, normalized, reciprocal k_{cat} and T_m vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. H Experimental Pareto plot of averaged, normalized, reciprocal k_{cat}/K_m and T_m vs. Global Quantitative Immunoreactivity. Pareto optimal enzymes are shown as blue circular markers, sub-optimal 4-mutation variants are shown as orange circular markers, and wild type P99 β L is shown as a red square. Note that the computed Pareto plot best captures overall molecular performance, as represented by integrated performance values (e.g. averaging kinetic parameters with thermostability parameters). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003988.g008

optimization algorithm [28,32] to design a suite of 18 Pareto optimal P99 β L variants. Each of these designs optimally balances two objective functions – one modeling immunogenicity and the other functionality – such that no other single variant is predicted to outperform with respect to both design objectives. Inspection of the Pareto optimal designs reveals that, in the context of the mathematical model, there is an inverse relationship wherein ever greater deimmunization is achieved at the expense of progressively reduced function (Fig. 8A). To assess the practical implications of these predicted tradeoffs, we have recombinantly produced all 18 designed enzymes and rigorously characterized their stability, activity, and immunoreactivity with human MHC II proteins. The results of this analysis represent the first systematic assessment of the functional penalty that is paid for pursuing progressively more deimmunized drug candidates.

Our previous work had demonstrated the capacity to design P99 β L variants bearing 1–5 deimmunizing mutations yet retaining wild type or better activity and near wild type stability [25,31]. By more thoroughly mapping the Pareto optimal design space, we

show here that up to seven immunogenic regions can be simultaneously targeted while incurring essentially no loss in molecular stability and function (see design 8T, Table 1). Considering only the most aggressive designs (8T to 8Z), the computational Pareto curve suggested that there would be an accelerating loss of molecular function throughout the series, ultimately resulting in a 6-fold deterioration relative to 8T (Fig. 8A). The experimental analysis verified the predicted trend, revealing that the 8-mutation series did in fact exhibit a systematic reduction in stability and catalytic efficiency. Importantly, however, design 8Z showed a mere 40% reduction in catalytic proficiency relative to 8T. This dramatic difference in the magnitude of ΔS^{seq} versus measured change in molecular function suggests a non-linear relationship between the statistical sequence potential and actual experimental performance. Indeed, a nonlinear correlation is suggested by the above graphical analysis (Fig. 4A, C, D). Thus, it seems likely that more aggressive designs exhibiting even higher S^{seq} penalties might be realized experimentally before reaching the point of diminishing returns. In other

words, it appears we have yet to reach the practical limit of epitope depletion for P99 β L.

While the quantified losses in activity and stability were not as sharp as predicted by the S^{seq} design parameter, there was in fact a general trend towards escalating loss of function with more aggressive deimmunization. To better visualize these real world tradeoffs, we constructed the experimental analog of the Pareto curve (Fig. 8B). This analysis entailed plotting an integrated experimental performance score (averaging the normalized, reciprocal values for T_m, k_{cat}, and k_{cat}/K_m; equation 3) vs. the quantitative global immunoreactivity score (equation 4). The graphical analysis clearly shows that more aggressively deimmunized enzymes sustained progressively greater losses of molecular function. Analogous plots were constructed for various individual performance parameters as well as alternative combinations of these parameters (Fig. 8C-H). It is interesting that the computationally generated Pareto plot most effectively captures the general trends observed with integrated, as opposed to individual, experimental performance measures (compare Fig. 8 panels B, G and H to panels C, D, E and F). This is a notable and advantageous outcome, as biotherapeutic researchers will typically be interested in overall molecular performance as opposed to any single metric (e.g. thermostability, binding affinity, rate acceleration, or catalytic efficiency). As a whole, the parallels between the computational and experimental Pareto plots are striking, and this observation underscores the PEPFR algorithm's capacity to effectively factor in the inherent tradeoffs between immunogenicity and molecular function.

As a final note, previous engineering of P99 β L with the IP² algorithm had produced higher activity variants than those designed with the earlier DP^2 algorithm [31]. However, the IP^2 designs from the former study were generated after locking down all residues in close proximity the active site. This raised the question of whether or not the combined 1-body + 2-body sequence potential of IP² was in fact more effective than the 1body potential implemented in DP^2 , where mutations to active site residues had been allowed [25]. The Pareto optimal designs from the present study did not benefit from locked active site residues, yet the 4-mutation and 5-mutation designs from this study substantially outperformed previous 2-mutation DP² designs and were largely equivalent to previous 4-mutation and 5-mutation IP² designs in which the active site had been held invariant (S3 Fig.). This result shows that the more advanced sequence potential of IP^2 can in fact generate highly mutated and yet highly active proteins in the absence of detailed structure-function information. Moreover, when residues need not be locked down during the design process, there is greater inherent capacity for epitope deletion.

In conclusion, we have computationally and experimentally mapped the deimmunized Pareto frontier of P99\beta L. The predictions underlying the design process correlated well with experimental analyses of protein function. In particular, we observed that incremental deletion of progressively more T cell epitopes lead to a relative escalation in concomitant loss of function. Thus, the predicted tradeoffs underlying protein deimmunization were borne out in real world analyses. Nonetheless, all 18 of the computationally designed enzymes proved to exhibit reasonable thermostability and impressive activity; not a single design failed to express or function. The most highly engineered enzyme, which incorporated eight mutations targeting seven distinct epitope clusters, was found to have a 39% reduction in high affinity MHC II binding interactions while maintaining well over 50% of the wild type enzyme's catalytic activity. It is therefore evident that we have additional capacity for designing even more extensively deimmunized yet functional $P99\beta L$ variants. If these trends translate to other therapeutic proteins, as anticipated, the integrated design algorithms evaluated here will accelerate identification of engineered variants spanning a broad spectrum of immunogenic potential and biological function. These panels of deimmunized proteins should prove a rich resource from which to select therapeutic candidates that meet diverse clinical needs.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Oligonucleotides for sequencing and standard PCR methods (25 nmol scale, standard desalting) and oligonucleotides for gene synthesis (100 nmol scale, PAGE Purified) were purchased from Integrated DNA Technology (San Diego, CA). Nitrocefin was purchased from Oxoid (Cambridge, UK). Human lysozyme and SYPRO Orange 5000× Protein Stain were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). MicroAmp Fast Optical 0.1 ml 96-Well Plates and MicroAmp Optical Adhesive Film were from Applied Biosystems (Bedford, MA). Restriction enzymes and PCR reagents were purchased from New England BioLabs (Ipswich, MA). Growth media was purchased from Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Plasmid purification kits and Ni-NTA resin were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). PCR cleanup and gel extraction kits were from Zymo Research (Irvine, CA). Peptides derived from P99BL were ordered from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ), and were greater than 85% pure. Biotinylated tracer peptides were purchased from 21st Century Biochemicals (Marlborough, MA). MHC II DR molecules were purchased from Benaroya Research Institute (Seattle, WA), anti-MHC II-DR antibody from Biolegend (San Diego, CA), and DELFIA Eu-labeled Streptavidin was from PerkinElmer (Boston, MA). Unless noted, all other chemicals and reagents were from VWR (Radnor, PA).

Computational Deimmunization

Functionally permissible mutations were identified using an IP² sequence potential, generated essentially as described [28]. Briefly, a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of 94 homologs from Pfam 00144, including the wild type, was constructed by filtering for \geq 30% sequence identity to wild type, $\leq 90\%$ sequence identity to each other, and $\leq 25\%$ gaps. The negative log frequency of each amino acid a at each position i was used to compute positionspecific one-body terms $\phi_i(a)$. Allowed substitutions were constrained to those appearing at or above background amino acid frequencies [45]. Two-body terms $\phi_{i,j}(a,b)$ for pairs of amino acids (a,b) at coupled positions (i,j) were computed as the negative log amino acid frequency of the pair, minus the corresponding onebody terms, which avoids double counting. Only pairs of positions with significant coupling according to a χ^2 -based test were included in the sequence potential. In addition to mutational constraints based on the evolutionary sequence record, prolines and cysteines were neither mutated out of nor substituted into the engineered enzyme variants.

The impact of functionally acceptable mutations on putative T cell epitope content was analyzed with the ProPred epitope predictor set to a 5% threshold. ProPred has been shown to be one of the most accurate MHC II prediction tools in the public space, and readers are referred to the following references for a detailed comparison of different methods [22,46]. The analysis considered MHC II alleles DRB1*0101, 0401, 0701, and 1501, which are common alleles [42] and for which binding assays had been fully optimized [33]. Each nonamer peptide X considered in the optimization (i.e., incorporating a contiguous combination of wild

type residues and allowed substitutions) was classified as either a binder or non-binder of the four target MHC II alleles. The number of binders was summed to generate the nonamer's epitope score e(X). As a comparison, putative epitopes from ProPred predictions were subsequently analyzed using the IEDB consensus [22] and NNAlign [34] prediction methods with binding cutoffs (IEDB 5% or 10%; NNAlign 50 nM or 1000 nM) set to values commonly used in protein immunogenicity prediction [47]. Ultimately, we found that the alternative epitope predictors, when applied to the ProPred based designs, yielded the expected trends of immunogenicity-functionality tradeoffs across the set of 18 P99 β L variants (S4 Fig.).

Given a wild-type sequence and a mutational load, PEPFR identifies each Pareto optimal variant *s* with the specified number of mutations making undominated tradeoffs between the competing objectives of total sequence potential S^{seq} and total epitope score S^{epi} :

$$S^{seq}(s) = \sum_{i} \phi_{i}(s[i]) + \sum_{i,j} \phi_{i,j}(s[i], s[j])$$
(1)

$$S^{epi}(s) = \sum_{i} e(s[i..i+8]) \tag{2}$$

where bracketed expressions indicate selection of the amino acid at the position or the substring of amino acids at the contiguous positions.

Briefly, PEPFR identifies the Pareto frontier of this two-objective space (S^{epi} vs. S^{seq} ; see Fig. 2) by employing a divide-and-conquer algorithm wrapped around an IP²-based variant optimization. Given a region in the objective space (min/max values for the objectives), PEPFR uses a constrained version of IP² to optimize an undominated design in that region. PEPFR then further divides the region into four quadrants around the design and recurses only for the upper-left and lower-right quadrants, as the upper-right is dominated and the lower-left is empty. It thereby finds all and only the Pareto optimal designs, and does so efficiently in that the number of calls to the integer programming optimizer is proportional to the number of Pareto optimal designs. We used PEPFR as described for deimmunization (He et al. 2012), with the underlying integer programming instances optimized by calls to the IBM CPLEX package.

Cloning, Expression, and Purification

Gene synthesis was performed using a two-step process. First, an assembly reaction was performed using fifty-two synthetic oligonucleotides encoding each design with an appended 5'- *ompA* leader sequence and 3' hexa-His coding sequence (sequence GGGSAETVEHHHHHH). The assembled genes were then amplified in a second PCR using external primers. The constructs were then digested using *Xba1* and *HindIII*, ligated into similarly digested pET26b, and electroporated into BL21(DE) *E. coli* cells [F⁻ *ompT* hsdS_B (r_B⁻ m_B⁻) gal dcm (DE3)].

Expression was performed in 200–500 ml of LB medium containing 30 μ g/ml kanamycin (LB-Kan). Expression cultures, from a 1:100 subculture of saturated overnight cultures, were grown with aeration at 37°C in 2 L baffled flasks for an hour and forty five minutes. The temperature was then shifted to 16°C, equilibrated for 15 minutes, and expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Following 12–20 hours of induction at 16°C, osmotic shocktates were prepared using the protocol described

in the Epicentre PeriPreps Periplasting Kit with slight modifications. Briefly, cells were pelleted at 6000g for 10 minutes and resuspended in PeriPreps Periplasting Buffer containing 1.5 μ g/ml human lysozyme. Cells were quenched after a five minute incubation period with ice-cold water, and then incubated on ice for 10 minutes. The periplasmic fraction was collected by spinning the shocktate at 14,000g for 10 minutes and collecting the supernatant.

Proteins were purified from clarified periplasmic fraction using Ni-NTA resin (400 µl bed volume). After the clarified periplasmic fraction was flowed through the resin by gravity, the column was washed 2 times with 1 mL of PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.6 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.7 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4) containing 20 mM imidazole, and the enzyme was eluted with 2 ml of PBS containing 200 mM imidazole. The elution fraction was either dialyzed (10,000 MW cutoff) against 3 changes of 4 L PBS or concentrated and buffer exchanged by centrifugation (10,000 MW cutoff) against 3 washes of 15 mL PBS to a final concentration of 0.5-2 mg/ml protein. Purified protein was stored at 4°C prior to further analysis. All protein preparations were>95% pure, as determined by reverse-phase HPLC analysis (Agilent 1200 Series HPLC) on a Vydac 214TP 180mm C4 column, eluted at 65°C with a gradient of [90% acetonitrile/9.9% water/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid] in [99.9% water/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid] at a flow rate of 1 ml/min.

Kinetic Studies

Nitrocefin substrate stock was prepared immediately prior to the experiments by dissolving nitrocefin powder in DMSO to a concentration of 20 mM. Triplicate assays were run in 96-well plate format at 30°C measuring absorbance at 490 nm (Molecular Devices SpectraMax 190 plate reader). Absorbance measurements were converted to micromolar product concentrations using the appropriate molar absorptivity ($\epsilon_M =$ 20,500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The assay buffer was PBS, and each well contained a final enzyme concentration of 50 ng/µl, 0.04% BSA, and nitrocefin at concentrations ranging from 10 µM to 200 µM. Initial reaction rates were plotted against substrate concentration, and Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters were determined by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism v.5 software (La Jolla, CA). Measurements were made in triplicate, and enzymes were purified and assayed in biological duplicate.

Thermostability

Differential scanning fluorimetry was performed essentially as described (Niesen, Berglund et al. 2007) using an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System from Applied Biosystems (Bedford, MA). Proteins and SYPRO Orange were diluted in PBS. Final protein concentrations were 100 μ g/ml and final dye concentrations were 5×. Twenty μ l reactions were performed in 12 replicates. The PCR gradient was run from 25–94°C with a 1 minute equilibration at each degree centigrade. Fluorescence was quantified using the preset TAMRA parameters. Melting temperatures were determined by data analysis with the "DSF Analysis v3.0.xlsx" Excel sheet (ftp://ftp.sgc.ox.ac.uk/pub/biophysics/) and Graph-Pad Prism v.5 software.

Integrated Molecular Performance:

To construct the experimental equivalent of the Pareto optimal plot (Fig. 8), a global molecular performance score was calculated for each individual enzyme using equation 3:

Integrated Performance =
$$\frac{\left(\widetilde{T_m^{-1}} + \widetilde{k_{cat}^{-1}} + \left(\frac{\widetilde{k_{cat}}}{K_m}\right)^{-1}\right)}{3} \quad (3)$$

where " $\widetilde{T_m}^{-1}$ " is the normalized reciprocal T_m value, " $\widetilde{k_{cat}}^{1}$ " is the normalized reciprocal k_{cat} value, and " $\left(\frac{\widetilde{k_{cat}}}{K_m}\right)^{-1}$ " is the normalized reciprocal k_{cat}/K_m value. This integrated performance score effectively averages the normalized reciprocal values for thermal stability, rate acceleration, and catalytic efficiency, yielding the experimental analog of S^{seq} .

MHC Binding Assays

MHC II competition binding assays were performed as described [33]. All data were fit to the one-site log(IC₅₀) model by non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism v.5 software. Global immunoreactivity values were computed for each variant by (i) averaging the IC₅₀ values for all component peptides, (ii) multiplying this figure by the number of peptides with IC₅₀> 250 μ M, (iii) taking the reciprocal of the resulting product, and (iv) taking the ratio of this computed value for a variant to that of wild type P99βL. See equation 4:

Global Immunoreactivity =
$$\frac{1/(\overline{IC}_{50} \times \#Nonbinders)_{mut}}{1/(\overline{IC}_{50} \times \#Nonbinders)_{wt}}$$
(4)

where " \overline{IC}_{50} " is the mean IC₅₀ value averaged over all component peptides having affinities <250 µM, "#Nonbinders" is the total count of component peptides with affinities \geq 250 µM, and the subscripts "mut" and "wt" indicate calculations for mutant and wild type proteins, respectively. This calculation accounted for both the affinity of any quantified binders and the equally important metric of total count for non-binders.

Statistical Analysis

Linear regressions of experimental performance vs. computational predictions employed an F test for statistical significance of non-zero slopes. Significance was determined at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig Melting Profiles from differential scanning fluorimetry. Raw fluorescence vs. temperature data from differential scanning fluorimetry is shown. Increased fluorescence correlates with protein unfolding, and T_m is computed as the mid-point of the low to high transition. Enzyme designs are indicated above each graph. Note that none of the engineered variants exhibits any measurable unfolding at 37°C. (TIF)

S2 Fig Local environment of residue R105. The P99 β L peptide backbone (PDB ID 1XX2A) is rendered as a grey ribbon, and residues of interest are rendered as van der Waals surfaces. Shown are D86, D87, D108, E300, and in the center R105. Carbon is colored cyan, nitrogen blue, oxygen red, and hydrogen white. The cationic residue R105 sits in a pocket lined by the four acidic residues. Mutation R105S removes the putative stabilizing charge of R105 and may drive protein destabilization through electrostatic repulsion of the four highlighted acidic residues. (TIF)

S3 Fig Comparison of current and previous P99βL experimental results. The activity and stability of current Pareto optimal P99BL designs has been compared with that of earlier P99BL designs. Values are normalized to the wild type values from the corresponding article. (A) K_m value. (B) k_{cat} value. (C) k_{cat}/K_m value. (D) Apparent T_m value. Left of the vertical hashed line are designs from the current study. Pareto optimal enzymes are in dark blue, and sub-optimal enzymes are in vellow. Right of the vertical hashed line in green is a 2-mutation enzyme from an earlier experimentally driven deimmunization program [9], in cyan are 2-mutation enzymes from a previous paper employing the DP^2 algorithm [25], and in light blue are 4- and 5-mutation enzymes from a previous paper employing the IP^2 algorithm [31]. Note that 4 and 5-mutation designs from the current study exhibit similar performance to the 4 and 5mutation designs from the earlier IP^2 study, despite the fact that the active site residues were locked down in the earlier study but were allowed to mutate here. Additionally, note that the current Pareto optimal designs generally outperform earlier DP² designs, despite the substantially higher mutational loads of most enzymes from the current study. (TIF)

S4 Fig Predicted sequence scores versus epitope scores using alternative epitope predictors. The IEDB consensus and NNAlign epitope prediction methods were applied to the 18 P99 β L designs generated using the ProPred epitope predictor. The expected tradeoffs between epitope score and sequence score manifest the same general trends as in Figure 2. (A) NNAlign based predictions at a 50 nM threshold, (B) IEDB based predictions at a 5% threshold, (C) NNAlign based predictions at a 1000 nM threshold, (D) IEDB based predictions at a 10% threshold. Designs are indicated by name, and wild type is shown as an open red circle.

(TIF)

S1 Table Performance parameters for R105S point mutant. (DOCX)

S2 Table Synthetic peptides used in MHC II binding studies. (DOCX)

S3 Table Various epitope prediction methods and correlation with experimental binding. (PDF)

S4 Table IEDB consensus predictions and correlation with experimental binding.

(PDF)

S5 Table NNAlign predictions and correlation with experimental binding. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Deeptak Verma, Thomas Scanlon, and Warren Kett for their insights and support, and would like to thank Prof. Margaret Ackerman for providing objective, critical comments on the work and manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RSS ASP CBK KEG. Performed the experiments: RSS ASP YC CBK. Analyzed the data: RSS ASP YC CBK KEG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RSS ASP YC CBK KEG. Wrote the paper: CBK KEG.

- 1. (2012) Biologic drugs set to top 2012 sales. Nat Med 18: 636-636.
- 2. Aggarwal S (2014) What's fueling the biotech engine 2012 to 2013. Nat Biotech 32: 32–39.
- Baker MP, Reynolds HM, Lumicisi B, Bryson CJ (2010) Immunogenicity of protein therapeutics: The key causes, consequences and challenges. Self Nonself 1: 314–322.
- Barbosa MD (2011) Immunogenicity of biotherapeutics in the context of developing biosimilars and biobetters. Drug Discov Today 16: 345–353.
- De Groot AS, Scott DW (2007) Immunogenicity of protein therapeutics. Trends in Immunology 28: 482–490.
- Schellekens H (2007) Immunogenicity of protein therapeutics, or how to make antibodies without T-cells. Inflammation Research 56: S351–S352.
- Schellekens H (2010) The Immunogenicity of Therapeutic Proteins. Discovery Medicine 49: 560–564.
- Trombetta ES, Mellman I (2005) Cell biology of antigen processing in vitro and in vivo. Annu Rev Immunol 23: 975–1028.
- Harding FA, Liu AD, Stickler M, Razo OJ, Chin R, et al. (2005) A betalactamase with reduced immunogenicity for the targeted delivery of chemotherapeutics using antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy. Mol Cancer Ther 4: 1791–1800.
- Cizeau J, Grenkow DM, Brown JG, Entwistle J, MacDonald GC (2009) Engineering and Biological Characterization of VB6-845, an Anti-EpCAM Immunotoxin Containing a T-cell Epitope-depleted Variant of the Plant Toxin Bouganin. Journal of Immunotherapy 32: 574–584 510.1097/CJI.1090b1013e3181a6981c.
- Mazor R, Vassall AN, Eberle JA, Beers R, Weldon JE, et al. (2012) Identification and elimination of an immunodominant T-cell epitope in recombinant immunotoxins based on Pseudomonas exotoxin A. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109: E3597–E3603.
- Tangri S, Mothe BR, Eisenbraun J, Sidney J, Southwood S, et al. (2005) Rationally Engineered Therapeutic Proteins with Reduced Immunogenicity. J Immunol 174: 3187–3196.
- Warmerdam PAM, Plaisance S, Vanderlick K, Vandervoort P, Brepoels K, et al. (2002) Elimination of a human T-cell region in staphylokinase by T-cell screening and computer modeling. Thrombosis and Haemostasis 87: 666–673.
- Yeung VP, Chang J, Miller J, Barnett C, Stickler M, et al. (2004) Elimination of an Immunodominant CD4+ T Cell Epitope in Human IFN-β Does Not Result in an In Vivo Response Directed at the Subdominant Epitope. The Journal of Immunology 172: 6658–6665.
- Bui HH, Sidney J, Peters B, Sathiamurthy M, Sinichi A, et al. (2005) Automated generation and evaluation of specific MHC binding predictive tools: ARB matrix applications. Immunogenetics 57: 304–314.
- Guan P, Doytchinova IA, Zygouri C, Flower DR (2003) MHCPred: bringing a quantitative dimension to the online prediction of MHC binding. Appl Bioinformatics 2: 63–66.
- Nielsen M, Justesen S, Lund O, Lundegaard C, Buus S (2010) NetMHCIIpan-2.0 - Improved pan-specific HLA-DR predictions using a novel concurrent alignment and weight optimization training procedure. Immunome Res 6: 9.
- Nielsen M, Lundegaard C, Lund O (2007) Prediction of MHC class II binding affinity using SMM-align, a novel stabilization matrix alignment method. BMC Bioinformatics 8: 238.
- Perry LC, Jones TD, Baker MP (2008) New approaches to prediction of immune responses to therapeutic proteins during preclinical development. Drugs R D 9: 385–396.
- Singh H, Raghava GP (2001) ProPred: prediction of HLA-DR binding sites. Bioinformatics 17: 1236–1237.
- Wan J, Liu W, Xu Q, Ren Y, Flower DR, et al. (2006) SVRMHC prediction server for MHC-binding peptides. BMC Bioinformatics 7: 463.
- Wang P, Sidney J, Dow C, Mothe B, Sette A, et al. (2008) A systematic assessment of MHC class II peptide binding predictions and evaluation of a consensus approach. PLoS Comput Biol 4: e1000048.
- De Groot AS, Moise L (2007) Prediction of immunogenicity for therapeutic proteins: State of the art. Current Opinion in Drug Discovery & Development 10: 332–340.
- Cantor JR, Yoo TH, Dixit A, Iverson BL, Forsthuber TG, et al. (2011) Therapeutic enzyme deimmunization by combinatorial T-cell epitope removal using neutral drift. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 1272–1277.

- Osipovitch DC, Parker AS, Makokha CD, Desrosiers J, Kett WC, et al. (2012) Design and analysis of immune-evading enzymes for ADEPT therapy. Protein Eng Des Sel 25: 613–623.
- De Groot AS, Knopp PM, Martin W (2005) De-immunization of therapeutic proteins by T-cell epitope modification. Dev Biol (Basel) 122: 171–194.
- Parker AS, Zheng W, Griswold KE, Bailey-Kellogg C (2010) Optimization algorithms for functional deimmunization of therapeutic proteins. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 180.
- Parker AS, Griswold KE, Bailey-Kellogg C (2011) Optimization of therapeutic proteins to delete T-cell epitopes while maintaining beneficial residue interactions. J Bioinform Comput Biol 9: 207–229.
- Parker AS, Choi Y, Griswold KE, Bailey-Kellogg C (2013) Structure-guided deimmunization of therapeutic proteins. J Comput Biol 20: 152–165.
- Choi Y, Griswold Ke, Bailey-Kellogg C Structure-based redesign of proteins for minimal T-cell epitope content.
- Salvat RS, Parker AS, Guilliams A, Choi Y, Bailey-Kellogg C, et al. (2014) Computationally Driven Deletion of Broadly Distributed T cell Epitopes in a Biotherapeutic Candidate. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences: 1–12.
- He L, Friedman AM, Bailey-Kellogg C (2012) A divide-and-conquer approach to determine the Pareto frontier for optimization of protein engineering experiments. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics 80: 790–806.
- Salvat RS, Moise L, C B-K, Griswold KE (2014) A High Throughput MHC II Binding Assay for Quantitative Analysis of Peptide Epitopes. J Vis Exp 85: e51308.
- Nielsen M, Lund O (2009) NN-align. An artificial neural network-based alignment algorithm for MHC class II peptide binding prediction. BMC Bioinformatics 10.
- Steere AC, Klitz W, Drouin EE, Falk BA, Kwok WW, et al. (2006) Antibioticrefractory Lyme arthritis is associated with HLA-DR molecules that bind a Borrelia burgdorferi peptide. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 203: 961– 971.
- Sturniolo T, Bono E, Ding J, Raddrizzani L, Tuereci O, et al. (1999) Generation of tissue-specific and promiscuous HLA ligand databases using DNA microarrays and virtual HLA class II matrices. Nat Biotech 17: 555–561.
 Moise L, Song C, Martin WD, Tassone R, De Groot AS, et al. (2012) Effect of
- Moise L, Song C, Martin WD, Tassone R, De Groot AS, et al. (2012) Effect of HLA DR epitope de-immunization of Factor VIII in vitro and in vivo. Clinical Immunology 142: 320–331.
- Moise L, McMurry JA, Buus S, Frey S, Martin WD, et al. (2009) In silicoaccelerated identification of conserved and immunogenic variola/vaccinia T-cell epitopes. Vaccine 27: 6471–6479.
 De Groot AS, Martin W (2009) Reducing risk, improving outcomes:
- De Groot AS, Martin W (2009) Reducing risk, improving outcomes: Bioengineering less immunogenic protein therapeutics. Clinical Immunology 131: 189–201.
- Sidney J, del Guercio M-F, Southwood S, Sette A (2002) The HLA Molecules DQA1*0501/B1*0201 and DQA1*0301/B1*0302 Share an Extensive Overlap in Peptide Binding Specificity. The Journal of Immunology 169: 5098–5108.
 Hill JA, Wang DQ, Jevnikar AM, Cairns E, Bell DA (2003) The relationship
- Hill JA, Wang DQ, Jevnikar AM, Cairns E, Bell DA (2003) The relationship between predicted peptide-MHC class II affinity and T-cell activation in a HLA-DR beta 1*0401 transgenic mouse model. Arthritis Research & Therapy 5: R40–R48.
- Southwood S, Sidney J, Kondo A, del Guercio MF, Appella E, et al. (1998) Several common HLA-DR types share largely overlapping peptide binding repertoires. Journal of Immunology 160: 3363–3373.
- Warmerdam PA, Plaisance S, Vanderlick K, Vandervoort P, Brepoels K, et al. (2002) Elimination of a human T-cell region in staphylokinase by T-cell screening and computer modeling. Thromb Haemost 87: 666–673.
- Onda M (2009) Reducing the Immunogenicity of Protein Therapeutics. Current Drug Targets 10: 131–139.
- McCaldon P, Argos P (1988) Oligopeptide Biases in Protein Sequences and Their Use in Predicting Protein Coding Regions in Nucleotide-Sequences. Proteins-Structure Function and Genetics 4: 99–122.
- De Groot AS, Martin W (2009) Reducing risk, improving outcomes: bioengineering less immunogenic protein therapeutics. Clin Immunol 131: 189–201.
- Paul S, Kolla RV, Sidney J, Weiskopf D, Fleri W, et al. (2013) Evaluating the immunogenicity of protein drugs by applying in vitro MHC binding data and the immune epitope database and analysis resource. Clinical & Developmental Immunology 2013: 467852.