

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Geriatric Nursing 44 (2022) 8-14

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Geriatric Nursing

journal homepage: www.gnjournal.com

Featured Article

Social participation and depressive symptoms among older adults during the Covid-19 pandemic in Serbia: A cross-sectional study



GERIATRIC

URSING

Nataša Egeljić-Mihailović, MSc^{a,b}, Nina Brkić-Jovanović, PhD^c, Tatjana Krstić, PhD^c, Dragana Simin, PhD^d, Dragana Milutinović, PhD^{d,*}

^a University Clinical Center of the Republic of Srpska, Dvenaest beba bb street, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

^b Faculty of Medicine, University of Banja Luka, Save Mrkalja 14, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

^c Department of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Hajduk Veljkova 3, Novi Sad, Serbia

^d Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Hajduk Veljkova 3, Novi Sad, Serbia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 October 2021 Received in revised form 14 December 2021 Accepted 16 December 2021 Available online 21 December 2021

Keywords: Older adults Social participation Depression Covid-19 pandemic

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the predictors and level of social participation and depressive symptoms within the context of isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic and investigate if the residence and type of housing had a moderating role in the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms.

Methods: This cross-sectional, comparative, and correlational study was conducted on a sample of 299 participants. Research instruments used in this study included: *socio-demographic* questionnaire, the Geriatric Depression Scale, the Maastricht Social Participation Profile, and Mini-Mental State Exam.

Results: Social participation was found to contribute negatively to symptoms of depression (β = -0.245, p < .01), with a higher level of depression being found in older adults living in nursing homes (β = -0.152, p < .05).

Conclusions: The study confirmed a strong relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms in the COVID-19 pandemic.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Modern society has seen an increase in the population of older adults worldwide. The extension of life expectancy and the growth of the population of older adults have led to morbidity and mortality change in the 21st century.¹ Old age represents a vital predictor of mental health. Therefore, its threat is not limited to the physical effects of aging, but it also creates challenges that affect psychological and social well-being. Disability due to various comorbidities and lack of personal and financial autonomy are further factors contributing to the increased prevalence of psychiatric conditions in older adults.²

The most frequent problem in the mental health domain in older adults is the onset of geriatric depression.³ According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than 15% of people over the age of 60 suffer from mental disorders, with depression being the most common.⁴ Aging itself is not a sufficient cause for increased depression rates. Depression has become a significant problem due to its close relationship with different age-related factors, such as retiring from work, disability, pain, cognitive impairment, low sense of

E-mail address: dragana.milutinovic@mf.uns.ac.rs (D. Milutinović).

control over one's life, perception of one's health as being poor, chronic illnesses, functional impairment and increased mortality, which all lead to an elevated risk of depression in elderly.^{5,6}

The incidence of depression rises with age so that one of every ten people aged 65-84 experiences a major depressive episode in their life.⁶ Depression occurs much more frequently in older adults whose physical ability steadily declines and those who are ever less able to participate in everyday activities.⁷ Research on the mental health of older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic indicates a more severe experience of anxiety and depression.^{8,9}

Social participation is one of the crucial protective factors in preventing depression.¹⁰ It is defined as "connecting with people and the community" and is strongly linked to the health and well-being of older individuals.¹⁰ It can be regarded as one of the cornerstones for preserving the quality of life of older adults and their psychological welfare and satisfaction with life.¹¹ Social participation has a positive effect on the physical activity of older adults, the formation of new and maintenance of old social networks, and consequently, on adequate social support.¹² One critical mechanism through which social participation may exert protective effects against depression is social support.^{12,13}

Involving older adults in various activities allows them to create social relationships and engage in emotional exchange, which results



^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia.

in higher levels of connectedness and lower levels of loneliness.¹⁰ Emotional social support has been identified as adequate protection against depression, which can be even more significant than instrumental support.¹⁰ Less social participation and social interaction in old age have been linked to higher depressive symptoms.¹⁴ Social interaction gives people a sense of belonging and social identity, and opportunities to participate in various activities.¹⁴ According to the stress-buffering model, positive social support can buffer the harmful effects of stressful life events on depressive symptoms among older adults.¹⁵

Previous studies have been contradictory regarding older adults' level of social support. Some of them¹⁶ indicate lower social support in nursing homes, in contrast to research that revealed higher social support for older adults due to the many potential social partners¹⁷. Research highlights various factors on which the level of social support depends, on the vital role of family size, connections, and family structure as a source of support in reducing depressive symptoms among older adults living in nursing homes¹⁸ and those living in their homes¹⁹. People who have a small family size, live with their spouse, or in a private home, contact their children frequently, and take part in more social activities tend to have a low level of depressive symptoms.¹⁹ Another risk factor that determines the severity of depression is living in rural areas; however, the residence type does not buffer the effects of social support on depressive symptoms.¹⁹

During the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, as a protective measure, the Serbian government-imposed limitations of movements and social contacts on adults over the age of 65, while those who resided in nursing homes spent most of their time in total isolation. According to studies conducted in other countries, these measures lead to lower social participation and loneliness in the elderly.²⁰ The subjective sense of loneliness and isolation has been linked to numerous problems in older adults' mental and physical health, the most common of which being higher rates of depression and higher mortality.²¹

Therefore, the study aimed to determine the predictors and level of social participation and depressive symptoms in older adults in the situation of isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic and whether decreased possibility for social participation increased depression.

The following research hypotheses from this conceptual framework were proposed:

(1) Higher level of social participation would reduce the level of depression symptoms among older adults during the Covid-19 pandemic in Serbia; (2) Gender, age, type of residence, place of residence, income, education, and marital status would be significantly associated with social participation and depressive symptoms in older adults; (3) Type of residence would moderate the relationship between social participation and depression symptoms in older adults during the Covid-19 pandemic in Serbia, and (4) Place of residence would serve as a moderator between social participation and depression symptoms of older adults during the Covid-19 pandemic in Serbia. Therefore, we hypothesize that the evidence of (3) and (4) would indicate that living in one's own home, in rural arias, will be a protective factor for older people with reduced social participation (due to a pandemic) from developing depressive symptoms.

Methods

Research design

A cross-sectional, comparative, and correlational study design using a self-assessment survey with a convenience sample of Serbian older adults. The STROBE Statement – Checklist for cross-sectional studies was used (Appendix A).

Sample and setting in research

The convenience sample comprised of 299 older adults (aged 60 and over), out of whom 110 (36.8%) resided in nursing homes and 189 (63.2%) lived in their own homes. Of the total sample, 173 (57.9%) participants lived in urban and 126 (42.1%) in rural areas.

The inclusion criteria were: over 60 years of age, intact orientation to space, time, and person, and a signed consent form for participation. Before completing the questionnaire, a cognitive screening was conducted using the Mini-Mental State Exam. Respondents who had a score of 25 or more were included in the study.

The exclusion criteria were: older adults with poor health, disoriented, persons with whom it was impossible to establish good collaboration, older adults unwilling to participate, and failing to comply. Also excluded are older adults with impaired vision, impaired hearing, speech and language disorders, psychiatric illness, and older adults suffering from or being treated for malignant diseases. To examine these issues, we used existing medical data owned by the respondents or that exist in the documentation of the nursing home. Considering the number of potential predictors for conducting hierarchical regression analysis²², as well as data about the population size of older adults²³ that satisfy requirements of our study at a significance level of α = 0.05, power 95% and small effect size of 0.20, a minimum sample size of 192 subjects were needed for this study.

Data collection

The data were collected using paper and pencil techniques from March to May 2020, while movement restrictions were still in place. Researchers distributed self-reported questionnaires to two nursing homes and two retirees' associations to assess older adults. The total number of residents in the two homes was 462, of which 321 accepted to participate in the study, and 22 residents were excluded from the study due to the low score on the Mini-Mental State Exam. All older adults who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study. After receiving participants' verbal informed consent, researchers personally handed out and collected the questionnaires. There was no time limit for filling in questionnaires, and, if needed, every participant was offered help with writing the answers. In addition, all of the researchers were tested for COVID-19 beforehand to ensure the safety of all participants.

Instruments

Research instruments used in this study included: socio-demographic questionnaire (SDQ), the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), the Maastricht Social Participation Profile (MSPP), and Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE).

Socio-demographic questionnaire (SDQ) included basic information such as gender, year of birth, place of residence (town/village), type of residence (nursing home/one's own house), income, education, and marital status. SDQ also included questions on the existence of chronic diseases and disabilities.

The Maastricht Social Participation Profile (MSPP) is intended for persons over 60. MSPP assesses how often people participate socially and how many different types of social participation they were involved in in the past four weeks. It contains 25 items and has three sections: work (9 items), contact with friends (8 items), and contact with family (8 items). All items have the same answer format: (0) never, (1-3) less than once a week, (4-8) once or twice a week, (9+) more than twice a week. Two scores can be calculated for each index: diversity scores and frequency scores. Diversity scores refer to the number of items in the index on which a respondent scored at least one. Frequency scores reflect the mean score of the items in the index. In addition, the total diversity score refers to the number of

10	
Table	1

Socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample (in total and by subsamples).

Sample description	Study sample Total N (%)	Urban N (%)	Rural N (%)	Nursing home N (%)	Own home N (%)
Gender		. ,		c ()	
Male	120 (40.1)	63 (36.4)	57 (45.2)	45 (40.9)	75 (39.7)
Female	179 (59.9)	110 (63.6)	69 (54.8)	65 (59.1)	114 (60.3)
Age (M±SD)		75.7±8.15	71.6 ± 6.48	79.33±7.42.	70.9±6.10
Marital status					
Married	99(33.1)	43 (24.9)	56 (44.4)	10 (9.1)	89 (47.1)
Divorced	10 (3.3)	7 (4)	3 (2.4)	7 (6.4)	3 (1.6)
Widowed	55 (18.4)	30 (17.3)	25 (19.8)	33 (30)	22 (11.6)
In a relationship	110 (36.8)	76 (43.9)	34(27)	41 (37.3)	69 (36.5)
Single	25 (8.4)	17 (9.8)	8 (6.3)	19 (17.3)	6 (3.2)
Education					
Primary school	105 (35.1)	39 (22.5)	66 (52.4)	43 (39.1)	62 (32.8)
High school	115 (38.5)	71 (41)	44 (34.9)	33 (30)	82 (43.4)
College	49 (16.4)	36 (20.8)	13 (10.3)	17 (15.5)	32 (16.9)
University	30 (10.0)	27 (15.6)	3 (2.4)	17 (15.5)	13 (6.9)
Income					
Very good	32 (10.7)	25 (14.5)	7 (5.6)	13 (11.8)	19 (10.1)
Sufficient	139 (46.5)	70 (40.5)	69 (54.8)	40 (36.4)	99 (52.4)
Barely sufficient	71 (23.7)	36 (20.8)	35 (27.8)	22 (20)	49 (25.9)
Insufficient	57 (19.1)	42 (24.3)	15(11.9)	35 (31.8)	22 (11.6)

indices on which a respondent had a score of at least one. Higher scores indicate more diverse or more frequent social participation. Cronbach's α for MSPP in the original study on different groups of respondents was higher than 0.70.²⁴

The Geriatric Depression Scale – GDS is a self-assessment scale that includes 15 items requiring yes/no answers. The items reflect the variability of symptoms linked to depression, including depressive mood, lack of motivation, being oriented toward the past instead of the future, cognitive difficulties, obsessive features, and anxiety.¹⁸ Of the 15 items, 10 indicated the presence of depression when answered positively, while the rest (question numbers 1, 5, 7, 11, 13) indicated depression when answered negatively. Scores of 0-4 are considered normal; 5-8 indicate mild depression; 9-11 indicate moderate depression and 12-15 indicate severe depression. In other studies, the analysis of the reliability of the GDS showed an internal consistency higher than 0.80 (Cronbach's alpha).^{25,26}

Mini-Mental State Exam¹⁶ is used in situations requiring rapid cognitive screening. The reliability of this instrument is good, with Cronbach's alpha over 0.70.²⁷ In this study, it was used to assess which older adults fulfilled the criteria for the study. It contains questions that assess mental orientation to time, space and person, memory, and concentration.

Ethical considerations

The Ethics Committees of the Faculty of Medicine, the University of Novi Sad, Serbia (16.07.2020.01-39/211), approved the implementation of this study and the administration of nursing homes and retiree' associations where the study was conducted. Participation in the study was voluntary. All participants received written information concerning the aims of the study and that completing the set of self-report questionnaires was the expression of consent to participate in the study. Anonymous answers guaranteed data confidentiality.

Data analysis

The IBM[®] SPSS[®] Statistics 20.0 software program was used for analysis. Numerical variables are shown using mean values and standard deviations, and attributive variables using frequencies and percentages. Within comparative statistics, we used Pearson's linear correlation coefficient, the hierarchical linear model, the multiple regression analysis, and the moderation analysis in which categorical variables were coded into dummy variables and models were tested in a two-step procedure. First, the cutoff values of risk probability were calculated at the significance level of 95% (p<0.05) (difference in statistical parameters is significant) and 99% (p<0.01) (difference in statistical parameters is highly significant).

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants

The sample included 120 (40.1%) male and 179 (59.9%) female participants, the average age being 74.0 years (SD=7.76), in the range from 60 to 92 years of age. More than two-thirds of the participants were married (33.1%) or in a relationship (36.8%) and completed primary (35.1%) or high school (38.5%) education. In addition, nearly half of all participants (46.5%) assessed their income as being sufficient to fulfill their needs, while 23.7% assessed their income as barely sufficient for their needs. Other socio-demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Reliability of the research instruments

The research instruments were shown to have a satisfactory level of reliability in terms of internal consistency determined by Cronbach's alpha coefficients. Namely, Cronbach's α for MSPP in this study was 0.79. Cronbach's α for the GDS was 0.82, while the reliability of the Mini-Mental State Examination showed an internal consistency of 0.76.

Descriptive statistics and correlation coefficients MSSP and GDS

Table 2. shows average scores on MSPP and GDS for the entire sample as well as subsamples of older adults on their type and place of residence. MSPP has a range of 0 to 3, with average scores being 1.03 (SD=0.55) for the entire sample, 0.71 (SD=0.43) for the sample of older adults living in a nursing home, and 1.21 (SD=0.52) for the subsample of older adults living at home. The average scores on GDS were 6.61 (SD=2.17) out of 15 for our entire sample, 7.54 (SD=2.11)

Table 2

Average scores on MSPP and GDS scales.

	Total range	Total	Nursing home	Own home	Urban	Rural
	Min - Max	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
MSPP	0 - 3	1.03 (0.55)	0.71 (0.43)	1.21 (0.52)	0.93 (0.48)	1.15 (0.61)
GDS	0 - 15	6.61 (2.17)	7.54 (2.11)	6.07 (2.02)	6.98 (2.09)	6.09 (2.18)

Table 3

Predictors of social participation and depressive symptoms.

Criterion	Social participation (R = 0.556**)			Depressive symptoms (R = 0.376**)		
Variable (ref. group)	B (S.E)	β	р	B (S.E)	β	р
Gender (male)	.009 (.057)	.008	.874	.187 (.252)	.042	.457
Age	020 (.004)	282	.000	001 (.019)	005	.943
Type of residence (nursing home)	.307 (.070)	.271	.000	-1.239 (.309)	276	.000
Place of residence (urban)	.074 (.064)	.067	.248	648 (.282)	148	.022
Income (very good)	082 (.030)	138	.007	.115 (.134)	.049	.392
Education (primary school)	.099 (.030)	.174	.001	350 (.134)	155	.009
Marital status (single)	.010 (.020)	.027	.614	059 (.087)	040	.496

**p < .01

for a sample of older adults living in nursing homes, and 6.07 (SD=2.02) for those living at home.

On the sample of older adults living in nursing homes, the correlation between social participation and depressive symptoms was -0.224 (p < .01). In contrast, this correlation was -0.202 (p < .01) on a subsample of older adults living at home.

Older adults in urban areas had an average score of 0.93 (SD =0.48), and those living in rural areas were 1.15 (SD = 0.61) on the scale of social participation. Concerning depressive symptoms, urban dwellers scored 6.98 (SD = 2.09) on average, and those living in rural areas 6.09 (SD = 2.18). Correlation between social participation and depressive symptoms on a subsample from urban population was -0.362 (p < .01), and -0.225 (p < .01) for those from the rural population.

Predictors of social participation and depressive symptoms

Multiple regression analysis was performed to determine predictors of social participation and depressive symptoms (Table 3). Categorical variables were pre-coded into dummy variables, and reference groups are listed in Table 3.

About predicting social participation, the model is statistically significant in total (R = .556, p < .01), and it explains approximately 31% of social participation variance. Significant predictors of social participation were: age (β = -.282, p <.01), type of residence (β = .271, p <.01), income (β = -.138, p <.05) and education (β =.174, p < .05). Social participation declined with age. Older adults living in their own homes scored higher on the scale of social participation than those living in nursing homes. Older adults with very good or sufficient income had a higher level of social participation than those assessing their income as barely sufficient or insufficient. Older adults with primary school education scored lower on the social participation scale than those with higher levels of education.

Whereas, to predicting depressive symptoms, the model is also statistically significant (R = .376, p < .01) and explains approximately 14% of depressive symptoms variance. Significant predictors of depressive symptoms were: type of residence (β = -.276, p <.01), place of residence (β = -.248, p <.05), and education (β = -.155, p < .05). Older adults living in nursing homes scored higher on the depressive symptoms scale than those living in their own homes. Also, the older adults in urban areas scored higher on the depressive symptoms scale than those living in rural areas. Older adults with

primary school education scored higher on this scale than those with higher educational levels so that we saw a decline in depressive symptoms with the rise of the education level.

Analysis of moderating effects of the type and place of residence

In order to check if the type of residence moderated the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms, a hierarchical regression analysis was performed (Table 4). The type of residence was coded as a dummy variable, whereas living in a nursing home was coded as 0, and living at home as 1. The model was statistically significant in the first block of hierarchical regression analysis (R = 0.335, p < .01). Social participation was found to contribute negatively to symptoms of depression ($\beta = -0.245$, p < .01), with a higher level of depression being found in participants living in nursing homes (β = -0.152, p < .05). In the second block of hierarchical analysis, the interaction between social participation and type of residence was introduced. The change that occurred in the model by adding this interactive effect was not statistically significant $(\Delta R^2 = 0.002, p > .05)$. In the second block the main effect of social participation (β = -0.308, p < .01) and the main effect of the type of residence (β = -0.141, p < .05) were registered as significant predictors, whereas their interaction was not statistically significant (β = 0.073, p > .05). We conclude that although it has a significant main effect, the type of residence does not moderate the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms.

In order to check the moderating role of the place of residence in the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms, a procedure was also performed by hierarchical regression

Table 4

Moderating effects of the type of residence in the relationship between depression and social participation.

	R	$\Delta R2$	В	S.E.	β	р
First block	.335	.112				
Social participation			245	.060	245	.000
Type of residence			315	.124	152	.012
Second block	.338	.002				
Social participation			308	.102	308	.003
Type of residence			292	.128	141	.023
Interaction			.096	.126	.073	.447

Table 5

Moderation effects of the place of residence in the relationship between depression and social participation.

	R	ΔR^2	В	S.E.	β	р
First block	.305	.93				
Social participation			305	.056	305	.000
Place of residence			008	.112	004	.941
Second block	.312	.005				
Social participation			363	.073	363	.003
Place of residence			009	.112	004	.937
Interaction			.138	.113	.089	.222

analysis in two blocks (Table 5), the same as in checking whether the type of housing moderated the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms. In the first block, social participation stood out as a significant predictor ($\beta = -.305$, p < .01), while the place of residence did not contribute to explaining depressive symptoms. After introducing the interaction in the second block, the main effect of social participation remained significant ($\beta = -.363$, p < .01), whereas neither a significant main effect of the place of residence ($\beta = -.004$, p > .05) nor the interaction between social participation and place of residence was registered ($\beta = .089$, p > .05). The residence did not moderate the relationship between social support and depressive symptoms.

Discussion

Various aspects of the life of older adults, as well as their needs, change with age. The quality and quantity of social networks and social participation activities also change. Apart from age, social participation is affected by personal factors (gender, health, income, and education), contextual factors (social support, physical limitations, and inclusion possibilities), and common events typical of old age, particularly nursing or loss of a spouse.²⁸ Isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic brought new and unexpected challenges to the older adults and other limited ways and possibilities for social participation.¹⁷

Our study aimed to determine the predictors and level of social participation and depressive symptoms in older adults in the situation of isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic in Serbia and check whether reduced possibilities for social participation increased depression. Social participation represents a vital resource that affects individuals in different domains. Reduced or absent social relationships among older adults are considered an essential public health issue since they are known to increase the risk of depression. Studies that investigated depression among older adults have found that reduced social participation, social networks, and social support are strongly associated with an increased risk of depression.²⁹ Research has shown that older adults tend to participate less in social activities than other age groups and that this type of limited participation has a significant effect on their physical and mental health.³⁰ In the pandemic situation, the level of loneliness is even more pronounced.²¹ Our results showed low social participation and pronounced depressive symptoms in older adults in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The results of our study confirmed a negative contribution of social participation to depressive symptoms, which is similar to the results had been obtained by other studies.^{28,31}

These results were expected if we considered that social participation, defined as "social distribution of individual resources" through active involvement in collective social activities such as religious activities, sporting, cultural and political events, is crucial for older adults considering their social ties reduced after retiring.²⁹

This study also aimed at verifying if the place of residence (urban/ rural) and type of residence (nursing home/own house) have a moderating role in the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms in older adults. Results indicated that neither the type of residence nor the place of residence moderated the relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms. The relationship between social participation and depression remains the same regardless of a person lives in urban or rural areas or resides in a nursing home or their own house. Isolation and reduced social participation led to more pronounced depressive symptoms in all older adults. For older adults to have a good quality of life, it is essential to ensure active aging and, in the pandemic situation, to promote social interaction in the community and nursing homes alike.³¹

Our study also indicated higher levels of depression in participants living in nursing homes, which emphasizes that this group of elderly requires special mental health care in the COVID-19 pandemic. Depression is widely spread in the population of older adults, especially those living in nursing homes.^{32,33} Although being placed in a nursing home can provide safety and comprehensive care, it also includes adjusting to a completely different environment and can imply dependence, loss, and grieving. New residents of nursing homes can be particularly prone to depression since the act of moving from one's own home can be stressful in its own right and increase the risk of depression³³; the challenges only get more complex in the situation of a pandemic.²⁰

Health decline in older adults living in institutions affects their relationships and everyday interactions and can cause isolation, separation from others, loneliness, and severe manifestations of depression.³⁴ The prevalence of depression in older adults living in nursing homes is almost twice as high as that for the older adults living in the community.³⁵ Although depressive disorders in old age can be prevented, they often go unnoticed in nursing home residents, therefore untreated.³² This all culminates in the pandemic situation and can result in lower quality of life in those affected, poorer physical functioning, premature death, and higher hospitalization rates.⁷

Our results yielded higher scores on the depressive symptoms scale for the older adults living in urban than for those living in rural areas. Although the impact of variations in lifestyles of the older adults residing in urban vs. rural areas on their physical health has been the focus of many studies, far less attention has been paid to the issue of how city life affects the mental health of the elderly. Issues like that are vital if we consider that both the risks and the protective factors change with age.³⁶ Depression in older adults is less influenced by genetic factors and more under social and environmental factors²⁹. Therefore, it is expected that the isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic would have consequences in this area. Residents of rural areas in developed countries have higher depression rates³⁶, linked to the availability of health institutions.³⁷

Studies show that older adults with higher educational levels or higher income have a significantly higher probability of participating in social activities.³⁸ Results of our study align with those findings and point to the negative impact of old age on social participation. Other studies have also confirmed this relationship between old age and social participation.³⁹ We obtained higher scores on the scale of social participation for older adults living at home than for those living in nursing homes. The reason for this finding can be the lack of well-designed activities in nursing homes and an abundance of free time that their residents are unable to fill with constructive activities, making their lives revolve around instrumental activities (feeding, changing, bathing, etc.).

Conclusion

Many studies worldwide have confirmed the negative impact of COVID-19 on mental health.^{20,21} The study we conducted in Serbia included older adults living in different types and places of residence and confirmed a strong relationship between social participation and depressive symptoms in the COVID-19 pandemic. Social and physical distancing negatively affected mental well-being, specifically among

older adults living in a nursing home. This study provides important clinical and public health implications. We established that reduced social participation of the older adults in the pandemic situation leads to depression, which suggests a need for creating and implementing preventive strategies both in clinical and community settings. Furthermore, adaptive strategies using modern technology are needed to reduce loneliness and depression and promote participation when live contact is made impossible.

Limitations

In order to obtain a homogeneous sample, all cognitive impairment older adults were excluded from the study, which certainly is a significant limitation of this study. Only older adults who are cognitively, socially, and functionally preserved participated in this research, so it is impossible to generalize the obtained results to all persons older than 60 years. Also, the authors did not know the real reason for placing the respondents in a nursing home and whether this could affect the research. In the research, we did not have data on the level of social participation and depression in this sample before the Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, we cannot claim that the current situation is a product of isolation due to the

Appendix A

pandemic. In the end, we found a strong link between social participation and higher levels of depressive symptoms, but for a complete understanding of this link in a pandemic situation, additional research is needed.

Ethical considerations

The Ethics Committees of the Faculty of Medicine, the University of Novi Sad, Serbia (16.07.2020.01-39/211), approved the implementation of this study and the administration of nursing homes and retiree' associations where the study was conducted.

Funding source

This research did not receive any special support from financial agencies in the public, commercial or non-profit sector.

Declaration of Compeitng interest

None.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

	Item No	Recommendation	PageNo
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	1
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	2-3
Objectives Methods	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4-5
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5-6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	6
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	-
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	-
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	-
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	-
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	-
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	-
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	9-10
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	-
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	-
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence inter- val). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	-
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses Discussion	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	11-12
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12-15
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magni- tude of any potential bias	16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	12-16
Generalisability Other information	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	-
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	-

References

- He W, Goodkind D, Kowal P. An Aging World: 2015 International Population Reports. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Publishing Office; 2016.
- Sahni B, Bala K, Kumar T, Narangyal A. Prevalence and determinants of geriatric depression in North India: a cross-sectional study. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2020;9 (5):2332–2336. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc_jfmpc_357_20.
- Sherin Susan Paul N, Ramamurthy PH, Paul B, Saravanan M, Santhosh SR, Fernandes D, et al. Depression among geriatric population; the need for community awareness. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2019;7(1):107–110. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cegh.2018.02.006.
- 4. xxx. World Report on Ageing and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- Guo Q, Bai X, Feng N. Social participation and depressive symptoms among Chinese older adults: a study on rural–urban differences. J Affect Disord. 2018;239:124– 130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.06.036.
- Kouvatsou K, Iliadou M, Kalatzi P, Evanthia S, Christos P, Maria K, et al. Depression among elderly users of open and closed care facilities in a rural region of Greece: an important public health issue. *Mater Socimed*. 2020;32(1):35–40. https://doi. org/10.5455/msm.2020.32.35-40.
- Tesky VA, Schall A, Schulze U, Stangier U, Oswald F, Knopf M, et al. Depression in the nursing home: a cluster-randomized stepped-wedge study to probe the effectiveness of a novel case management approach to improve treatment (the DAVOS project). *Trials*. 2019;20(1):424. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3534-x.
- Meng H, Xu Y, Dai J, Zhang Y, Liu B, Yang H. Analyze the psychological impact of COVID-19 among the elderly population in China and make corresponding suggestions. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;289: 112983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. psychres.2020.112983.
- Parlapani E, Holeva V, Nikopoulou VA, Sereslis K, Athanasiadou M, Godosidis A, et al. Intolerance of uncertainty and loneliness in older adults during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:842. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyt.2020.00842.
- Choi E, Han KM, Chang J, Lee YJ, Choi KW, Han C, et al. Social participation and depressive symptoms in community-dwelling older adults: Emotional social support as a mediator. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;137:589–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpsychires.2020.10.043.
- Minagawa Y, Saito Y. Active social participation and mortality risk among older people in Japan. *Res Aging*. 2015;37(5):481–499. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0164027514545238.
- Glass TA, De Leon CF, Bassuk SS, Berkman LF. Social engagement and depressive symptoms in late life: longitudinal findings. J Aging Health. 2006;18(4):604–628. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264306291017.
- Li C, Jiang S, Li N, Zhang Q. Influence of social participation on life satisfaction and depression among Chinese elderly: social support as a mediator. J Community Psychol. 2018;46(3):345–355. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21944.
- Croezen S, Avendano M, Burdorf A, Van Lenthe FJ. Social participation and depression in old age: A fixed-effects analysis in 10 European countries. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2015;182(2):168–176. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv015.
- Holtfreter K, Reisig MD, Turanovic JJ. Self-rated poor health and loneliness in late adulthood: testing the moderating role of familial ties. Adv Life Course Res. 2015;27:61–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alcr.2015.11.006.
- Seddigh M, Hazrati M, Jokar M, Mansouri A, Bazrafshan MR, Rasti M, et al. A comparative study of perceived social support and depression among elderly members of senior day centers, elderly residents in nursing homes, and elderly living at home. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2020;25(2):160–165. https://doi.org/10.4103/ ijnmr.IINMR_109_18.
- Drageset J, Kirkevold M, Espehaug B. Loneliness and social support among nursing home residents without cognitive impairment: a questionnaire survey. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2011;48(5):611–619. 0.1016/j.ijnurstu.2010.09.008.
- Tengku Mohd TAM, Yunus RM, Hairi F, Hairi NN, Choo WY. Social support and depression among community-dwelling older adults in Asia: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2019;9:(7) e026667. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026667.
- Shang Q. Social support, rural/urban residence, and depressive symptoms among Chinese adults. J Community Psychol. 2020;48(3):849–861. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jcop.22302.

- Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, Miller MA, Dailey NS. Three months of loneliness during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020;293: 113392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113392.
- Luo Y, Hawkley LC, Waite LJ, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness, health, and mortality in old age: a national longitudinal study. Soc Sci Med. 2012;74(6):907–914. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.11.028.
- Riley RD, Snell KI, Ensor J, Burke DL, Harrell FE, Moons KG, et al. Minimum sample size for developing a multivariable prediction model: Part I – continuous outcomes. Stat Med. 2019;38(7):1262–1275. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.7993.
- Babović M, Veličković K, Štefanović S, Todorović N, Vračević M. Socijalna uključenost starijih osoba (65+) u Srbiji. Beograd: Crveni krst Srbije; 2018.
- Mars GMJ, Kempen GIJM, Post MWM, Proot IM, Mesters I, van Eijk JTM. The Maastricht social participation profile: development and clinimetric properties in older adults with a chronic physical illness. *Qual Life Res.* 2009;18(9):1207–1218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-009-9537-4.
- Greenberg SA. How to try this: the geriatric depression scale: short form. *Am J Nurs*. 2007;107(10):60–69. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NAJ.0000292204.52313.f3.
- Siegmund LA, Distelhorst KS, Bena JF, Morrison SL. Relationships between physical activity, social isolation, and depression among older adults during COVID-19: a path analysis. *Geriatr Nurs (Minneap)*. 2021;42(5):1240–1244. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.08.012.
- Upton J. Mini-Mental state examination. In: Marc D. Gellman JRT, ed. Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine. New York, NY: Springer; 2013:80-136. 10.1007/978-1-4419-1005-9.
- Pinto JM, Neri AL. Trajectories of social participation in old age: a systematic literature review. *Rev Bras Geriatr e Gerontol.* 2017;20(2):259–272. https://doi.org/ 10.1590/1981-22562017020.160077.
- Won S, Kim H. Social participation, health-related behavior, and depression of older adults living alone in Korea. Asian Soc Work Policy Rev. 2020;14(1):61–71. https://doi.org/10.1111/aswp.12193.
- Principi A, Galenkamp H, Papa R, Socci M, Suanet B, Schmidt A, et al. Do predictors of volunteering in older age differ by health status? *Eur J Ageing*. 2016;13(2):91– 102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-016-0377-0.
- Egeljić-Mihailović N, Pavlović J, Jović D, Knežević D. Correlation between subjective well-being and quality of life in elderly. *Biomedicinska istraživanja*. 2020;11 (2):126–135. https://doi.org/10.5937/BII2002126E.
- Al-Amer R, Subih M, Aldaraawi H, Randall S, Othman WMM, Salamonson Y. Prevalence of depression and its influence on the quality of life of Jordanians living in residential care facilities. J Nurs Res. 2019;27(6):e54. https://doi.org/10.1097/ INR.00000000000337.
- 33. Iden KR, Engedal K, Hjorleifsson S, Ruths S. Prevalence of depression among recently admitted long-term care patients in Norwegian nursing homes: associations with diagnostic workup and use of antidepressants. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis*ord. 2014;37(3-4):154–162. https://doi.org/10.1159/000355427.
- Ashida T, Kondo N, Kondo K. Social participation and the onset of functional disability by socioeconomic status and activity type: The JAGES cohort study. Prev Med (Baltim). 2016;89:121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.05.006.
- Almomani FM, Bani-Issa W. The incidence of depression among residents of assisted living: Prevalence and related risk factors. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2017;12:1645–1653. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S147436.
- Hoben M, Heninger A, Holroyd-Leduc J, Knopp-Sihota J, Estabrooks C, Goodarzi Z. Depressive symptoms in long term care facilities in Western Canada: A cross sectional study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2019;19(1):335. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1298-5.
- Purtle J, Nelson KL, Yang Y, Langellier B, Stankov I, Diez Roux AV. Urban-rural differences in older adult depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. Am J Prev Med. 2019;56(4):603–613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.11.008.
- Sasaki Y, Shobugawa Y, Nozaki I, Takagi D, Nagamine Y, Funato M, et al. Rural– –urban differences in the factors affecting depressive symptoms among older adults of two regions in Myanmar. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(6):2818. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18062818</u>.
- Goll JC, Charlesworth G, Scior K, Stott J. Barriers to social participation among lonely older adults: The influence of social fears and identity. *PLoS One*. 2015;10: (2) e0116664. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116664.