Heliyon 6 (2020) e03988

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon

journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

Electrocoagulation treatment of high saline oily wastewater: evaluation and optimization



Helivon

Forat Yasir AlJaberi^{a,*}, Shaymaa A. Ahmed^b, Hasan F. Makki^b

^a Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Al-Muthanna University, Al-Muthanna, Iraq
^b Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Chemical engineering Environmental chemical engineering Chemical reaction engineering Electrochemical engineering Adsorption Water treatment Real oily saline wastewater TDS TSS HCO3 CL Ca Electrocoagulation reactor Optimization

ABSTRACT

The present work provides to treat real oily saline wastewater released from drilling oil sites by the use of electrocoagulation technique. Aluminum tubes were utilized as electrodes in a concentric manner to minimize the concentrations of 113400 mg TDs/L, 65623 mg TSs/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO₃/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L presented in real oily wastewater under the effect of the operational parameters (the applied current and reaction time) by making use of the central composite rotatable design. The final concentrations of TDS, TSS, HCO₃, Cl, and Ca that obtained were 93555 ppm (17.50%), 11011 ppm (83.22%), 189ppm (60.38%), 80000ppm (22%), and 4200 ppm (25%), respectively, under the optimum values of the operational parameters (1.625 Amps and 40 min). In spite of the low removal percentages of some pollutants, the present study proved the ability of this novel designed reactor for treating high saline real oily wastewater in accordance with the operational parameters. This prove the capability of the use of it as a pre-treatment of other conventional methods.

1. Introduction

Ecological pollution caused by oily wastewater produced from several industrial activities, such as the drilling oil sites, petroleum refineries, and petrochemical industries constitutes, is a serious threat to the environment [1]. The drilling oil sites contain numerous types of pollutants that may be categorized into organic (petroleum hydrocarbons) and inorganic compounds such as oil content, COD, BOD, TOC, turbidity, TDS, TSS, cyanide, ammonia and heavy metals [2]. They differ in their concentration according to the locations of the crude oil wells and their depth (1–40000 ppm) [3, 4]. The percentage of oily wastewater amounts presented in produced crude oil ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 [5].

High saline oily wastewater that containing high amounts of total dissolved solids (TDS) and total suspended solids (TSS), is discharged from several industrial activities into the water resources and soils without using any pre-treatment which causes severe environmental calamities [6, 7].

In order to overcome this kind of pollution, several techniques had been employed for treating saline oily wastewater [2, 8, 9, 10] such as the conventional technologies like gravity sedimentation and dewatering, but the biological treatment methods are limited in application because the high salinity wastewater (>1% salt) which leads to loss of cell activity [6]. The performance of any treatment method is characterized by the removal efficiency, the time required to accomplish the treatment, secondary contamination produced, and the cost of construction, operating and maintenance [3], but they possess some notable drawbacks that minimize their efficiencies and applications [2, 11]. Therefore, an effective treatment method should be employed to overcome the disadvantages of using conventional treatment technologies and recovery of water under contingent limits [11].

Among conventional treatment techniques, the electrochemical technique had achieved an essential gain in oily wastewater treatment due to its unique advantages such as simple design and operation, cost-effective, and high efficiency [8, 12]. This technique contains several categories such as electro-oxidation, electro-Fenton and electro-coagulation [13].

Electrocoagulation is a type of electrochemical processes which considered as an effective method for the treatment of oily wastewater

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03988

Received 16 January 2020; Received in revised form 28 March 2020; Accepted 12 May 2020

2405-8440/© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail address: furat_yasir@yahoo.com (F.Y. AlJaberi).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the electrocoagulation process.

because it possesses many merits such as simple apparatus, less reaction time, no chemicals added and low consumption of energy and electrodes [2, 4, 14] beside that, its ability to be employed as a pre-treatment method or in hybrid systems with other treatment methods such as adsorption and ionic exchange processes [1, 5]. In contrast to other methods of wastewater treatment, the electrocoagulation technique requires only simple equipment and less time of treatment, but the mechanism of oil removal from oily wastewater is complicated owing to their physicochemical parameters [3].

The Electrocoagulation mechanism depends on the redox reactions and the deposition process taking place in the reactor as a result of the passing of electric current through the electrodes that are usually made of aluminum and/or iron (Figure 1).

Metal cations, such as Al^{3+} or Fe^{2+} are released due to the dissolution of the anode, while the hydrogen gas and hydroxyl ions (OH⁻) are generated at the cathode [3, 8]. Electro-coagulants, such as Al(OH)₃, are formed due to the chemical reactions that occur between different ions (Eqs. (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5)) [8].

$$Al_{(S)} \Rightarrow Al^{3+}{}_{(aq)} + 3e^{-}$$
⁽¹⁾

 $2H_2O \Rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$ ⁽²⁾

 $2H_2O + 2e^- \Rightarrow H_{2(g)} + 2OH^-_{(aq)}$ (3)

 $Al^{3+} + 3OH^{-} \Leftrightarrow Al(OH)_{3}$ (4)

$$n \operatorname{Al}(OH)_3 \to \operatorname{Al}_n(OH)_{3n}$$
 (5)

The electro-coagulants formed during the electrocoagulation process, i.e. Al(OH)₃, possess a larger capability than the chemical coagulants for eliminating contaminants from different kinds of wastewaters. Furthermore, they have a larger size than that the size of the chemical coagulants which cause a minimum solubility of products within a specific range of pH, and as a consequence, this will lead to an easy separation [3].

There are numerous designs of electrocoagulation reactors depending on their electrodes metal, shape, and configuration, the mode of operating (batch and continuous), and AC/DC current supplied [14].

The design of the electrocoagulation reactor utilized in this study was invented by the author (Forat Yasir AlJaberi) and described in details in another study [14]. In brief, the reactor involves three aluminum tubes arranged in a monopolar-parallel-concentric mode where the inner and the outer tubes had designed as the anode while the middle one was selected as the cathode.

Based on the literature review, there are several studies concerning the treatment of oily wastewater using electrocoagulation technique [1, 5], but there is no previous one study utilized the present design of the electrocoagulation reactor for the treatment of real saline oily wastewater. According to literature review, several parameters had been investigated by other studies such as pH, conductivity, the distance between electrodes, electrolytes, etc. The present study aims to treat real oily wastewater, therefore pH, conductivity and electrolyte concentration were not taken into consideration but their values had measured as natural. This study intends to employ this novel reactor for minimizing the concentrations of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO₃/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L presented in real high saline oily wastewater discharged from crude oil drilling site located in Basra-Iraq under the influence of the most effective operational variables (the applied current and reaction time) by applying the central composite rotatable design.

2. Materials and experimental work

2.1. Chemicals

Real saline oily wastewater was collected from a drilling site located in West Qurna 1/Basra-Iraq. Its characterization are shown in Table 1 where the collected samples had been preserved using polypropylene containers at 4 $^{\circ}$ C to be treated then by a batch electrocoagulation reactor.

At the end of each run, the analytical determination of TDS, TSS, HCO₃, Cl and Ca ions in the treated samples was carried out according to the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [15].

2.2. Apparatus

The present study utilized an electrocoagulation reactor containing three aluminum tubes arranged in a concentric manner. The outer and the inner tubes had been designed to work as the anode electrode while the tube placed in between them had been used as the cathode electrode. The physical composition of these tubes (Table 2) was analyzed in previous study by the authors [16] using EDS test (Oxford instrument-X-act) which shows that these tubes contain different compounds that may affect the behavior of the treatment process as will showing later.

The designed experiments had been carried out in the batch electrocoagulation reactor shown in Figure 2. The monopolar-parallel concentric electrodes have a total effective area equaling 285cm² where the immersed height of these electrodes was 4cm. These electrodes had connected to a regulated digital DC power supply (0–30 volt and 0–5 Amps) manufactured by SYADGONG company (model 305D-China), where the voltage was maintained constant while the applied current was adjusted to the desired value and the duration of treatment was conducted as designed. The polluted sample was completely agitated using an electromagnetic stirrer (ALFA company: HS-860; 0–1000 rpm) during the treatment process at a constant agitation speed of 200 rpm.

2.3. Experimental design

A statistical technique method of surface response methodology, central composite design (CCD), and a statistical program (Minitab-17) were performed to design the experiments and to predict the impact of

Table 1. Characterization of real saline oily wastewater.

| Parameters | Value |
|-------------------------|--------|
| TDS (mg/L) | 113400 |
| TSS (mg/L) | 65623 |
| HCO ₃ (mg/L) | 477 |
| Cl (mg/L) | 102000 |
| Ca (mg/L) | 5600 |
| Oil content (mg/L) | 523 |
| TOC (mg/L) | 34023 |
| pH | 6.5 |
| Conductivity (ms/cm) | 126 |

Table 2. Characterization of aluminum tubes.

| Compounds | Al | Mg | Fe | Si | С |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Weight % | 85.88 | 0.97 | 0.06 | 0.76 | 12.33 |



Figure 2. Schematic of the electrocoagulation reactor.

the operational variables on the studied responses, the interaction between variables and the nonlinear relationships with responses.

The mathematical correlation between responses and the operational variables is estimated by fitting them into second-order polynomial equation (Eq. (6)) where the indicator of the quality of the obtained model is the higher value of the regression coefficient (R^2) obtained as well as the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) [16, 17]:

$$Y = B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q} B_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{q} B_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij} X_i X_j + \varepsilon$$
(6)

Where Y represents the studied responses; X_1 , X_2 , to X_q are the operational variables; q is the total number of the operational variables; B_o is an intercept regression constant, B_i is the linear regression effect, B_{ii} is the quadratic regression effect and B_{ij} is the interaction regression effect; ε is a random error.

For electrodes, the distance among them is constant due to the novel design of using triple concentric tubes. Thereby, the use of this invented reactor tends the author to choose the most important parameters that extremely impact the treatment process in such type of electrochemical technique. Depending on previous studies, the ranges of the operational parameters (applied current and reaction time) were taken to study all the variations of the behavior of the studied responses as possible [3, 8].

In this study, the operational variables; applied electric current (X_1) and reaction time (X_2) ; had been studied according to the ranges of (0.5–2.0 Amps) and (10–40 min) respectively. Where the mixing speed was fixed at 200 rpm for all experiments.

The total number of experiments (N) designed according to CCD was obtained using the following equation (Eq. (7)):

$$N = q^2 + 2q + n \tag{7}$$

Total 11 runs with 4 factorials, 4 axial and 3 center points (n: number of replicates) were suggested by design experts to optimize the studied responses, that is, TDS and TSS removal. The experimental ranges and levels of the operational variables are listed in Table 3 while the design of experiments according to RSM using CCD with the aid of Minitab program is shown in Table 4.

$$X_{\text{coded}} = \frac{\frac{X_{\text{Real}} - X_{\text{Center}}}{X_{\text{Center}} - X_{\text{min}}}}{\sqrt{q}}$$
(8)

and the rotatability $[\alpha = (2^q)^{0.25}]$ equals ± 1.414 .

The responses of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies (Y) were obtained using Eq. (9) as follows:

$$RE\% = [(C_i - C)/C_i] \times 100$$
(9)

Where C_i and C are the initial and final values of pollutants (mg/l). Each experiment had been repeated with three replications to ensure the accuracy and the average mean values were reported.

The adsorption process occurring through the electrocoagulation treatment technology is depending on the formation of the electrocoagulants as a result of redox reactions which is enormously monitored by the reaction time and the applied current according to Faraday's law shown in Eq. (10) which determines the theoretical amount of metal ions released from the electrodes [3].

$$n_{\text{theo}}(g) = I. t. M / Z. F$$
 (10)

Where I is the applied current in (Amps.), t is the reaction time in (second), M is the molecular weight of electrodes metal in (g/mol.), Z is the number of electrons presented in the reaction (for Al is 3), and F is Faraday's constant (96485.34 Columb/mol.).

3. Results and discussion

Table 5 provides the obtained results of the experimental and predicted values of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies, and the theoretical consumption of the tubular electrodes. The observed TDS and TSS removal values vary between 12.50-16.84% and 65.77–82.08% which are in good agreement with their predicted values as revealed in Figure 3.

3.1. Mathematical correlation of the studied responses

Based on experimental results, the mathematical correlations (Eqs (11) to (14)) shown in Table 6 were developed in terms of coded and real factors revealing the interactions between the operational variables to obtain TDS and TSS removal efficiencies:

Table 3. Experimental ranges and levels of the operational variables.

| Real Variables (Xi) | Coded Variables | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Axial point (-α) Lower level | | Centre point | Upper level | Axial point (α) | | |
| | -1.414 | -1 | 0 | +1 | 1.414 | | |
| X1: Applied current (Amps.) | 0.5 | 0.72 | 1.25 | 1.78 | 2.0 | | |
| X ₂ : Reaction time (min.) | 10 | 14 | 25 | 36 | 40 | | |

Where the real values of the operational variables (X_{Real}) were estimated from the following equation (Eq. (8)).

Table 4. Design of experiments.

| Run | X ₁ : Coded value | X ₂ : Coded value | X ₁ : Applied Current (Amps.) | X ₂ : Reaction time (min) |
|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | -1 | -1 | 0.72 | 14 |
| 2 | -1 | 1 | 0.72 | 36 |
| 3 | 1 | -1 | 1.78 | 14 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1.78 | 36 |
| 5 | 0 | -1.414 | 1.25 | 10 |
| 6 | 0 | 1.414 | 1.25 | 40 |
| 7 | -1.414 | 0 | 0.50 | 25 |
| 8 | 1.414 | 0 | 2.00 | 25 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 25 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 25 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 25 |

Table 5. Results of the studied variables.

| Operational variables | | m _{theo} (g) Actual values | | | Predicted Values | | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Run | X ₁ : Applied Current (Amps.) | X ₂ : Reaction time (min) | | TDS removal (%) | TSS removal (%) | TDS removal (%) | TSS removal (%) |
| 1 | 0.72 | 14 | 0.058 | 14.67 | 66.94 | 14.12 | 65.80 |
| 2 | 0.72 | 36 | 0.143 | 15.40 | 76.15 | 15.01 | 75.02 |
| 3 | 1.78 | 14 | 0.143 | 16.12 | 72.51 | 15.62 | 71.29 |
| 4 | 1.78 | 36 | 0.355 | 16.84 | 82.08 | 16.49 | 80.88 |
| 5 | 1.25 | 10 | 0.070 | 14.67 | 67.76 | 15.23 | 68.94 |
| 6 | 1.25 | 40 | 0.280 | 16.12 | 81.08 | 16.46 | 82.24 |
| 7 | 0.50 | 25 | 0.070 | 13.23 | 65.77 | 13.71 | 66.89 |
| 8 | 2.00 | 25 | 0.280 | 15.40 | 73.69 | 15.82 | 74.92 |
| 9 | 1.25 | 25 | 0.175 | 12.50 | 75.56 | 12.74 | 75.37 |
| 10 | 1.25 | 25 | 0.175 | 12.50 | 75.33 | 12.74 | 75.37 |
| 11 | 1.25 | 25 | 0.175 | 13.23 | 75.21 | 12.74 | 75.37 |



Figure 3. Predicted and actual value for (a) TDS removal % and (b) TSS removal %.

3.2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is essential for estimating the quality of the model fitted; therefore, it was performed as a tool of analysis for fitting the function to the data that may conduct misleading results then the required models cannot be described adequately.

Table 7 provides the analysis of variance for TDS and TSS removal efficiencies where the values of Prob (P) less than 0.050 mean that the model terms are significant, but the regression model is classified as insignificant when their values are larger than 0.100. In the present study, The values of F are 10.56 and 24.65 for TDS and TSS, respectively, which indicate that the estimated models are significant. Moreover, the high values of the regression coefficients for both responses implies that

Table 6. Coded and real mathematical correlations for the studied responses and their regression coefficients.

| Responses | terms | Mathematical correlations | R ² (Adjusted) | R ² |
|--------------------------------|-------|---|---------------------------|----------------|
| TDS Removal: Y _{TDSR} | Coded | $Y_{TDSR}^{coded}\% = 12.743 + 1.489 X_1 + 0.875 X_2 + 2.023 {X_1}^2 + 3.104 {X_2}^2 - 0.005 X_1 X_2 \ (11)$ | 0.8272 | 0.9136 |
| | Real | $Y_{TDSR}{}^{exp}\% = 24.180 - 7.574 X_1 + 0.648 X_2 + 3.596 X_1{}^2 + 0.0138 X_2{}^2 - 0.0004 X_1 X_2 (12)$ | | |
| TSS Removal: Y _{TSSR} | Coded | $Y_{TSSR}^{coded}\% = 75.366 + 5.676 X_1 + 9.405 X_2 - 4.465 X_1{}^2 + 0.227 X_2{}^2 + 0.18 X_1 X_2 (13)$ | 0.9220 | 0.9610 |
| | Real | $Y_{TSSR}^{exp}\% = 46.33 + 24.79 X_1 + 0.373 X_2 - 7.93 X_1{}^2 + 0.001 X_2{}^2 + 0.016 X_1 X_2 (14)$ | | |

Table 7. ANOVA results for TDS and TSS removal efficiencies (Bolded numbers mean insignificant effect).

| Source | Degree of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | F-Value | P-Value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---|---------|---------------------|
| TDS removal | | | | | |
| Model | 10 | 23.5.014 | 0.4061 | 10.57 | 0.011 (significant) |
| X1 | 1 | 4.4385 | 4.4385 | 10.93 | 0.021 |
| X2 | 1 | 1.5317 | 1.5317 | 3.77 | 0.109 |
| X_1^2 | 1 | 5.7776 | 5.7776 | 14.23 | 0.013 |
| X_{2}^{2} | 1 | 13.5941 | 13.5941 | 33.47 | 0.002 |
| X1*X2 | 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.994 |
| TSS removal | | | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | | |
| Model | 10 | 284.197 | 2.2158 | 24.65 | 0.002 (significant) |
| X1 | 1 | 64.4146 | 64.4146 | 29.07 | 0.003 |
| X2 | 1 | 176.8828 | 176.8828 | 79.83 | 0.0003 |
| X_{1}^{2} | 1 | 28.128 | 28.128 | 12.69 | 0.016 |
| X22 | 1 | 0.0726 | 0.0726 | 0.033 | 0.863 |
| X ₁ *X ₂ | 1 | 0.0324 | 0.0324 | 0.015 | 0.908 |



Figure 4. The effect of the applied current on the removal efficiencies of (a) TDS and (b) TSS of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO3/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater (reaction time = 25 min).

these models are significant and are in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R^2 values. Thereby, the correlations of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies will be as follows (Eqs. (15) and (18)) after omitting effects that having (P-Value) larger than 0.05 (**Bolded** values in Table 7):

| | $Y_{TDSR}^{coded} \% =$ | $12.73 + 0.980 X_1$ | $+ 1.981 X_1^2 +$ | $3.103 X_2^{2.}$ | (15) |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|

- $Y_{\text{TDSR}}^{\text{exp.}} \% = 24.19 7.57 \text{ X}_1 + 3.596 \text{ X}_1^2 + 0.0138 \text{ X}_2^2$ (16)
- $Y_{TSSR}^{Coded} \% = 75.366 + 5.676 X_1 + 9.405 X_2 4.465 X_1^2$ (17)

 $Y_{TSSR}^{exp.} \% = 46.330 + 24.790 X_1 + 0.373 X_2 - 7.930 X_1^2$ (18)

Considering the obtained results of ANOVA analysis, it can be concluded that these models revealed the effective status of the electrocoagulation process and it can be performed to remove pollutants from oily wastewater.

3.3. Effect of the applied current

The impact of applied current on the studied responses is particularly vital because the electro-coagulants generation and gas bubbles releasing rates will extremely affect the rate of flocs formation and this is depending on the applied current; therefore, it should be considered in each process of electrocoagulation treatment because it control the amount of aluminum ions discharged from electrodes and the release of gases bubbles and as a consequence the formation of flocs [18]. According to the obtained results provided in Table 5, Figure 4 explains the behavior of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies with the applied current along the period of the mean range of the reaction time. The results shown in Table 5 revealed that the removal efficiencies of TDS and TSS raised from 13.23% and 65.77% at 0.5 Amps to about 15.40% and 73.69% at 2.0 Amps, respectively [8], which means that the final TDS and TSS concentrations in the treated water had declined from 113400 and 65623 mg/L to 95940 and 17267 mg/L, respectively. The interpretation of this behavior can be referred to the fact, that is, an increase of the applied current will reduce more of TDS due to the transportation



Figure 5. The aerated foams formed and salts transportation into the cathode surfaces during the treatment of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO3/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater (reaction time = 25 min).



Figure 6. The effect of reaction time on the removal efficiencies of (a) TDS and (b) TSS of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO3/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater (the applied current = 1.25 Amps.).

process of the dissolved salts into the cathode surfaces (Figure 5) [6]. Moreover, TSS pollutant reduction occurred due to the influence of the adsorption process and the floatation of lightweight pollutants towards the surface of the solution as aerated foams (Figure 5) as a result of the effect of fine bubbles released at both electrodes [1]. As observed, an

increase of the concentration of TDS and TSS was occurred due to the uncontrolled releasing of different ions from the electrodes that composite of different compounds (Table 1) as a result of redox reactions occurring through the electrocoagulation treatment [8] where these salts stilling in a suspended status neither adsorbed nor floated [3]. The behavior of these responses shown in Figure 5 are similar to that states by AlJaberi for the removal of oil content and turbidity [8].

3.4. Effect of reaction time

Electrolysis time is an important parameter for the formation of adequate quantity of various ions from electrodes which are required for the generation of adsorbents, such as Al(OH)₃ in case of aluminum electrodes, as well as the discharging of gases bubbles from both electrodes which are essentially provided more assistance to carry the destabilized pollutants toward the surface of the solution by floatation which aid the removal of them. For TDS removal, it decreased along the period of the experiment until it reaches the mean range of the reaction time due to the huge amount of gas bubbles released in the first period of the experiment which impacts the electrodeposition process of the dissolved salts on the surface of the cathode then TDS removal tends to raise as the operation time increases. Meanwhile, the concentration of TSS was sharply minimized as the operation time increases in the case of the mean range of the applied current [6] due to the positive effect of the flotation process of floating suspended solids TSS because of their lightweight in comparison to the dissolved solid TDS. The impact of this variable (10-40 min) on the electrocoagulation removal of TDS and TSS was inspected and it is explained in Figure 6 according to the obtained results in Table 5.

As noted in Figure 6, when the reaction time increased from 10 min to 40 min, the removal efficiencies of TDS and TSS increased. Table 5 explained that TDS and TSS removal increased from 14.67% and 67.76% at 10 min to about 16.12% and 81.08% at 40 min, respectively by employing the present novel electrocoagulation reactor. Therefore, the higher the reaction time the higher removal efficiencies of these pollutants from the contaminated solution due to the significant actions of adsorption and desorption processes occurred throughout the electrocoagulation cell as the electrolysis time extended [8]. In contrast with the previous parameter, the removal of TDS had minimized at the beginning due to the uncontrolled releasing of different ions and gases from the electrodes as a result of redox reactions which impact the electrodeposition of the dissolved salts, while TSS removal was not altered in its behavior along the period of the experiment which means that the



Figure 7. The optimum values of the operational variables (a) Applied current; (b) Reaction time and (c) the validated values of the studied responses for the treatment of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO3/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater.

applied current could be optimized less than the ultimate range in order to obtain higher removal efficiencies of the studied responses as will explained in the next item.

3.5. Optimization of operating parameters

The optimum values of the operational variables were obtained by using a statistical software program (Minitab-17). Figure 7 explains the results of the D-optimization measurement where the composite desirability (D) equals 1. The optimum values of the applied current and the reaction time had been obtained as 1.625 Amps and 40 min, respectively, which attained 17.50% and 83.22% of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies, respectively. Where the obtained results of optimization are similar to that stated by the authors in a previous study for the removal of oil content and turbidity from oily wastewater [8]. This means that the present design of the electrocoagulation reactor could be used to remove different pollutants from oily wastewater under the optimum values of the operational variables designed. Under the optimum conditions of the operational variables, oil content and ions of HCO₃, Cl and Ca had been declined from 523, 477, 102000 and 5600 mg/L to 82.460, 189, 80000 and 4200 mg/L, i.e. 60.38%, 22% and 25% respectively.

As observed in Figure 7, the increase in the applied current will give a slight increase in the TDS removal but TSS removal will be tended to minimize; therefore, the optimum values of the operational variables should be taken into consideration to attain the highest removal efficiencies and cost-effective process.

The behavior of TDS and TSS removal efficiencies with the theoretical consumption of electrodes is clearly explained in Figure 8 which is



Figure 8. TDS and TSS removal efficiency vs. the theoretical consumption of electrodes for the treatment of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO3/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater.

similar to the behavior of these responses with the studied variables because they are directly proportional with the theoretical consumption of the tubular electrodes according to Eq. (10).

As noticed in Figure 8, an increase of electrodes consumption will provide more of different ions as the applied current is passing through the electrodes along the periods of the experiments. At a low value of the consumption of the electrodes, TDS removal was minimized because gas bubbles had minimized or prevented the formation of the electrocoagulants but that will be more effective in floating of the suspended solids and maximizing TSS removal in a consequence. Therefore, the continuous increment of electrodes consumption will assist the removing of pollutants until it reaches the optimum values of the operating parameters.

4. Conclusion

The present study investigates the treatability of an invented electrocoagulation reactor for the treatment of 113400 mg TDS/L, 65623 mg TSS/L, and the ions of 477 mg HCO₃/L, 102000 mg Cl/L and 5600 mg Ca/L real saline oily wastewater discharged from drilling oil sites (West Qurna 1/Basra-Iraq). The novel reactor attained good removal efficiencies of these pollutants by decreasing their initial concentrations to 93555 ppm (17.50%), 11011 ppm (83.22%), 189 ppm (60.38%), 80000 ppm (22%), and 4200 ppm (25%), respectively under the optimum values of the operational variables (1.625 Amps and 40 min). The mathematical correlations for the studied responses were estimated with a reasonable agreement. The analysis of variance ANOVA and the higher regression coefficients proved the satisfactory adjustment of the second-order polynomial model.

Declarations

Authors contribution statement

F.Y. AlJaberi: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data.

S.A. Ahmed: Analyzed and interpreted the data; Wrote the paper.

H.F. Makki: Conceived and designed the experiments; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

References

- M. Changmai, M. Pasawan, M.K. Purkait, Treatment of oily wastewater from drilling site using electrocoagulation followed by microfiltration, Separ. Purif. Technol. 210 (2019) 463–472.
- [2] C. Li, G. Feng, C. Song, G. Zhong, P. Tao, T. Wang, M. Shao, Improved oil removal ability by the integrated electrocoagulation (EC)- carbon membrane coupling with electrochemical anodic oxidation (CM/EAO) system, Colloids Surf., A 559 (2018) 305–313.
- [3] F.Y. AlJaberi, S.M. Jabbar, N.M. Jabbar, Modeling of adsorption isotherms of oil content through the electrocoagulation treatment of real oily wastewater, AIP Conference Proceedings 2213 (2020), 020041.
- [4] S. Safari, M.A. Aghdam, H.R. Kariminia, Electrocoagulation for COD and diesel removal from oily wastewater, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13 (2015) 231–242.
- [5] I. Kabdaşl, I. Arslan-Alaton, T. Ölmez-Hanc, O. Tünay, Electrocoagulation applications for industrial wastewaters: a critical review, J. Environ. Technol. Rev. 1 (1) (2012) 2–45.
- [6] S. Jorfi, S. Pourfadakari, M. Ahmadi, Electrokinetic treatment of high saline petrochemical wastewater: evaluation and scale-up, J. Environ. Manag. 204 (2017) 221–229.
- [7] L. Yu, M. Han, F. He, A review of treating oily wastewater, Arabian J. Chem. 10 (2017) 1913–1922.
- [8] F.Y. AlJaberi, B.A. Abdul-Majeed, A.H. Hassan, M.L. Ghadban, Assessment of an electrocoagulation reactor for the removal of oil content and turbidity from real oily wastewater using response surface method, Recent innovations in Chemical Engineering 13 (1) (2020) 55–71.
- [9] M.O. Adebajo, R.L. Frost, J.T. Kloprogge, O. Carmody, S. Kokot, Porous materials for oil spill cleanup: a review of synthesis and absorbing properties, J. Porous Mater. 10 (2003) 159–170.
- [10] R. Zheng, Y. Chen, J. Wang, J. Song, X. Li, T. He, Preparation of omniphobic PVDF membrane with hierarchical structure for treating saline oily wastewater using direct contact membrane distillation, J. Membr. Sci. 555 (2018) 197–205.
- [11] É. Fekete, B. Lengyel, T. Cserfalvi, T. Pajkossy, Electrocoagulation: an electrochemical process for water clarification, J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. 6 (1) (2016) 57–65.
- [12] S. Jamaly, A. Giwa, S.W. Hasan, Recent improvements in oily wastewater treatment: progress, challenges, and future opportunities, J. Environ. Sci. 37 (2015) 15–30.
- [13] C. An, G. Huang, Y. Yao, S. Zhao, Emerging usage of electrocoagulation technology for oil removal from wastewater: a review, Sci. Total Environ. 579 (2017) 537–556.
- [14] F.Y. AlJaberi, W.T. Mohammed, Novel method for electrocoagulation removal of lead from simulated wastewater by using concentric tubes electrodes reactor, Desalination Water Treat. 101 (2018) 86–91.
- [15] APHA, in: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater, twentyfirst ed., American Public Health Assolation, Washington, DC, 2005.
- [16] F.Y. AlJaberi, Studies of autocatalytic electrocoagulation reactor for lead removal from simulated wastewater, Int. J. Chem. Environ. Eng. 5 (2018) 6069–6078.
- [17] T.J. Mohammed, H.A. Al-Zuheri, Application of response surface methodology for analysis and optimization of the operational parameters for turbidity removal from oily wastewater by electrocoagulation process, IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 454 (2018) 1–13.
- [18] F.Y. AlJaberi, Operating cost analysis of a concentric aluminum tubes electrodes electrocoagulation reactor, Heliyon 5 (8) (2019), e02307.