

Genetically induced redox stress occurs in a yeast model for Roberts syndrome

Michael G. Mfarej and Robert V. Skibbens 🝺 *

Department of Biological Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015, USA

*Corresponding author: 111 Research Dr., B-217, Bethlehem, PA 18015, USA. Email: rvs3@lehigh.edu

Abstract

Roberts syndrome (RBS) is a multispectrum developmental disorder characterized by severe limb, craniofacial, and organ abnormalities and often intellectual disabilities. The genetic basis of RBS is rooted in loss-of-function mutations in the essential *N*-acetyltransferase ESCO2 which is conserved from yeast (Eco1/Ctf7) to humans. ESCO2/Eco1 regulate many cellular processes that impact chromatin structure, chromosome transmission, gene expression, and repair of the genome. The etiology of RBS remains contentious with current models that include transcriptional dysregulation or mitotic failure. Here, we report evidence that supports an emerging model rooted in defective DNA damage responses. First, the results reveal that redox stress is elevated in both *eco1* and cohesion factor *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutant cells. Second, we provide evidence that Eco1 and cohesion factors are required for the repair of oxidative DNA damage such that *ECO1* and cohesin gene mutations result in reduced cell viability and hyperactivation of DNA damage checkpoints that occur in response to oxidative stress. Moreover, we show that mutation of *ECO1* is solely sufficient to induce endogenous redox stress and sensitizes mutant cells to exogenous genotoxic challenges. Remarkably, antioxidant treatment desensitizes *eco1* mutant cells to a range of DNA damaging agents, raising the possibility that modulating the cellular redox state may represent an important avenue of treatment for RBS and tumors that bear *ESCO2* mutations.

Keywords: reactive oxygen species; Eco1/ESCO2; cohesin; DNA damage; Roberts syndrome

Introduction

Roberts syndrome (RBS) (MOM #268300, MIM #269000) is a severe autosomal recessive disorder characterized by phocomelia (flipper-like appendages), microcephaly, cleft palate, syndactyly, intellectual disabilities, seizures, abnormalities in the heart, urinary, genital, and alimentary tract, spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and possible early mortality (Van Den Berg and Francke 1993; Vega *et al.* 2005). To date, no cure exists for RBS and treatment is limited to modalities that partially improve quality of life for affected individuals (Van Den Berg and Francke 1993; Gordillo *et al.* 1993).

The sole genetic basis for RBS resides in loss of function mutations in ESCO2, which encodes a highly conserved (Eco1/Ctf7 in budding yeast) N-acetyltransferase (Skibbens *et al.* 1999; Tóth *et al.* 1999; Bellows *et al.* 2003; Hou and Zou 2005; Schüle *et al.* 2005; Gordillo *et al.* 2008). ESCO2/Eco1 acetylates a host of targets *in vivo*, most notably a DNA-binding multiprotein complex termed cohesin (Ben-Shahar *et al.* 2008; Ünal *et al.* 2008; Zhang *et al.* 2008). Cohesin is composed of structural subunits SMC1A, SMC3, and RAD21 (yeast Smc1, Smc3, and Mcd1, respectively) and auxiliary factors SA1/2 and PDS5A/B (in yeast, Scc3, and Pds5, respectively). Once acetylated, cohesins bind together DNA loci, either between sister chromatids (termed cohesion), or within a DNA molecule to generate DNA loops. Looping appears to occur through DNA extrusion, which promotes chromatin compaction and brings into registration various DNA elements (promoters, enhancers, and insulators) through which gene transcription is regulated (Kim *et al.* 2008; Rao *et al.* 2014; Banerji *et al.* 2016, 2017; Gassler *et al.* 2017; Wutz *et al.* 2017; Guo *et al.* 2018; Davidson *et al.* 2019; Kim *et al.* 2019; Golfier *et al.* 2020). ESCO2dependent acetylation of cohesin occurs once the cohesin holocomplex is deposited onto DNA by the ATP-dependent loader NIPBL/MAU2 (yeast Scc2/Scc4) (Ciosk *et al.* 2000; Ben-Shahar *et al.* 2008; Ünal *et al.* 2008; Zhang *et al.* 2008).

ESCO2, and cohesin, function in a variety of cellular processes that include gene transcription, chromosome condensation, sister chromatid cohesion (SCC), and damage-induced cohesion (DIC). The function of the ESCO2/Eco1 family of acetyltransferases crosses all portions of the cell cycle: functioning during G1 to regulate transcription, S phase to promote SCC and chromosome condensation, and G2/M phases in which DIC promotes sister chromatid interactions during homologous recombination (HR) that occurs in response to DNA damage (Guacci et al. 1997; Michaelis et al. 1997; Losada et al. 1998; Skibbens et al. 1999; Tóth et al. 1999; Losada and Hirano 2001; Ivanov et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2002; Ström et al. 2004, 2007; Ünal et al. 2004, 2007; Heidinger-Pauli et al. 2010; Mönnich et al. 2011; Bose et al. 2012; Lu et al. 2014; Banerji et al. 2016, 2017). An early model of RBS focused on mitotic failure based on the initial characterization of Ctf7/Eco1 in yeast as a cohesion factor (Skibbens et al. 1999; Tóth et al. 1999)

Received: August 02, 2021. Accepted: November 01, 2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and findings in vertebrate cells that loss of ESCO2 function coincided with mitotic failure (stemming from SCC defects) and apoptosis, which likely precedes loss of progenitor stem cell populations (Mönnich et al. 2011; Morita et al. 2012; Whelan et al. 2012; Percival et al. 2015). However, a large body of work supports the model that RBS arises instead from transcriptional dysregulation. For instance, knockdown of ESCO2 results in zebrafish fin regeneration defects that occur independent of increased cell apoptosis (Banerji et al. 2016). Moreover, target genes downstream of ESCO2 (and cohesin) indeed function in bone growth and their exogenous expression suppresses phenotypes that otherwise arise from ESCO2 or cohesin (SMC3) reduction (Banerji et al. 2016, 2017). Early studies further linked cohesin reduction to rDNA defects (Skibbens et al. 2010), the first study to conceptually link cohesinopathies [RBS and Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CdLS)] to ribosomopathies (Treacher-Collins syndrome, Blackfan Anemi, Schwann-Diamond syndrome, etc.). Elegant studies document that rDNA transcription defects result in reduced ribosome biogenesis and translation (Bose et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2014). Importantly, many of the developmental defects that arise in zebrafish esco2 hypomorphs can be reduced simply through modulating translation through mTOR pathways (Xu et al. 2013, 2016). These findings, and others obtained from zebrafish, tissue culture cells, and tumor models (Leem et al. 2011; Mönnich et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2018) support a transcriptional dysregulation model of RBS. In this light, it is not surprising that CdLS, which arises through cohesin and cohesin regulator mutations, also results from transcription dysregulation and can occur in the absence of mitotic failure (Rollins et al. 1999; Ren et al. 2008; Kawauchi et al. 2009; Rhodes et al. 2010; Dorsett 2010, 2011; Mönnich et al. 2011; Deardorff et al. 2012; Dorsett and Merkenschlager 2013; Dowen et al. 2013; Nolen et al. 2013; Remeseiro et al. 2013; Schaaf et al. 2013; Skibbens et al. 2013; Zuin et al. 2014; Mannini et al. 2015; Yuan et al. 2015; Banerji et al. 2016, 2017; Boyle et al. 2017; Boudaoud et al. 2017; Luna-Peláez et al. 2019; Gudmundsson et al. 2019; De Koninck et al. 2020; Dorval et al. 2020). The extent to which deficits in other ESCO2 functions, beyond transcription regulation, contribute to RBS birth defects remains unknown.

ESCO2 and cohesin are both critical for DNA damage repair. While there are examples that mutation of DNA damage defense genes leads to severe birth defects (e.g., Ataxia Telangiectasia, Bloom syndrome, Fanconi Anemia, Cockayne syndrome, etc.), a DNA damage model for RBS has been minimally explored. A DNA damage model of RBS is supported by findings that RBS cell lines exhibit increased sensitivity to a battery of genotoxins that include the alkylating agent mitomycin C, ionizing radiation, the topoisomerase I inhibitor camptothecin, and the topoisomerase II inhibitor etoposide (Gentner et al. 1985, 1986; Burns and Tomkins 1989; Van Den Berg and Francke 1993; Gordillo et al. 2008; van der Lelij et al. 2009; McKay et al. 2019). Moreover, altered ESCO2 and cohesin activity are tightly correlated with tumorigenesis (Zou et al. 1999; Oikawa et al. 2004, 2008; Ryu et al. 2007; Shepard et al. 2007; Barber et al. 2008; Solomon et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2011; Thol et al. 2014; Bailey et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2018; Guo et al. 2018; Wang and Liu 2020). Therefore, it becomes important to test whether ESCO2 mutations, by themselves, could induce DNA damage and/or upregulate ROS pathways and thus contribute to RBS phenotypes. Here, we test the extent to which RBS can be considered, in part, a disease caused by a defective DNA damage response (DDR). We use a budding yeast model to focus in part on oxidative DNA damage induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and paraquot.

In addition to prior studies that document ROS sensitivities in cells deficient in cohesin function (Ren 2005; Ren et al. 2008; Cukrov et al. 2018), this work on Eco1 provides novel insights into the role that cohesion pathways play in the defense against redox stress and highlights a novel mechanism of dysregulated endogenous ROS that contributes to reduced cell vitality in the absence of Eco1.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and cell cycle synchronization methods

In all experiments log phase cultures were used in an actively growing state by either growth overnight in YPD at 23°C with subculturing on day 2 followed by growth at 23°C for an additional ~6 h. Or, cells on day 2 of growth were subcultured in YPD for overnight growth at 23°C to be used for experiments on day 3. Hydroxyurea (HU) (Sigma) was prepared at a stock concentration of 2 M in sterile water. Zeocin (Invitrogen) was added from a stock concentration at 100 mg/ml as prepared by the supplier. H_2O_2 (Sigma) was added from a stock concentration of either 9.8 M when preparing plates or from a 50-mM stock in sterile PBS when treating liquid cultures. Paraquat (PQ) (Sigma) was prepared at a stock concentration of 100 mM in sterile water. N-acetylcysteine (Sigma) was prepared at a stock concentration of 1 M in sterile 4% DMSO.

Drug-sensitivity assays

For drop-tests, cultures were normalized to $OD_{600} = 0.1$ and diluted 10-fold six times in YPD. Four microliters of all seven dilutions were plated on YPD or YPD + drug and grown at the indicated temperatures for 2–4 days in the dark. Plates containing drug were prepared by adding the indicated drugs directly to the cooled agar before pouring. Plates were incubated in dark conditions to dry and used for experiments 1 day after pouring to preserve drug activity in the media.

For survival assays, cultures were normalized to $OD_{600} = 1.0$ in PBS. Cells were then either left untreated or treated with H_2O_2 for 1 h at 30°C with continuous mixing. The cells were then washed two times with PBS and serially diluted 10-fold five times. Two hundred to 250 µl of the lowest dilution were plated on YPD and survival was determined by counting colony-forming units after 2–4 days of growth at 23°C. For experiments involving N-acetylcysteine treatment, N-acetylcysteine was added to a final concentration of 25 mM to cells suspended in PBS and incubated with continuous mixing for 2 h at 23°C. Then, cells were washed in PBS two times before H_2O_2 treatments as described above.

Western blot analysis

Whole cell extracts were made by TCA precipitation as previously described (Tong and Skibbens 2014). Rad53 and phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) detection was carried out as previously described (Mfarej and Skibbens 2020).

Quantitative growth rate and cell cycle progression analyses

For growth rate analyses, cultures were normalized to $OD_{600} =$ 1.0 in PBS. Cells went either untreated or treated with H_2O_2 for 1 h at 30°C with continuous mixing. Cells were then washed two times with PBS, resuspended in YPD and diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.1$. Cells were incubated with continuous mixing at 23°C for 6 h with OD_{600} readings being taken at the indicated time points.

ROS labeling analyses

Cells were normalized to $OD_{600} = 1.0$ in PBS and then went either untreated or treated with 1 mM H₂O₂ diluted in PBS for 1 h with continuous mixing at 30°C. Cells were then washed two times with PBS. 2',7'-Dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA; ThermoFisher) was added to cells from a 1 mg/ml stock in DMSO to a final concentration of 5–10 µg/ml and incubated for 0.5–2 h at 23°C with continuous mixing. Dihydroethidium (DHE; ThermoFisher) was added to cells from 1 mg/ml stock in DMSO to a final concentration of 5 µg/ml and incubated for 2 h at 23°C with continuous mixing. Following dye incubations, cells were washed two times with PBS then used for analyses through either fluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry.

For fluorescence microscopy analysis, cells were seeded on poly-L-lysine-coated microscope slides prior to imaging [Nikon Eclipse E800, Hamatsu microscope equipped with a cooled CD (Coolsnapfx, Photometrics) and IPLab software (Scanolytics)]. All images within one biological replicate were taken using the same exposure time and a cell-sized ROI. Quantifications were performed with ImageJ by measuring the integrated density across the total surface area of each cell that was located fully in the field of view. For images where one measurement per cell could not be accurately taken due to high number of cells in the field of view, at least 100 cells were measured. For each image, 10-100 background fluorescence measurements were taken using the same cell-sized ROI and the average background intensity subtracted from the average cell fluorescence intensities to yield the normalized average cell fluorescence intensity for each image. All of the image quantifications for each sample were then averaged to obtain the average normalized fluorescence intensity for that population under the indicated treatment conditions. Fold changes in fluorescence were determined by dividing treated/ mutant samples by the WT untreated sample.

For flow cytometry analyses, no dye controls consisted of an aliquot of cells from untreated samples that went for 2 h during the H₂DCFDA incubation in PBS without labeling dye. The samples were then washed as previously described. Background levels in no dye controls were measured using the FITC channel on a BD Canto II flow cytometer with a threshold of 300, a low flow rate and counting 10,000 events. The voltage level was chosen in order to restrict the fluorescence peak to 10^2 on the x-axis. All subsequent measurements in dye-treated samples were assayed using the same settings established for the no-dye controls.

Results

Eco1 and function are critical during redox stress

Eco1 and cohesin function are required for DIC in response to DSBs (Ström *et al.* 2004, 2007; Ünal *et al.* 2004, 2007). The ironresponsive transcription factor Yap5, which protects against metal-catalyzed oxidation reactions, regulates ECO1 expression in response to iron stress and DNA damage (Pimentel *et al.* 2012; Mfarej and Skibbens 2020). These findings suggest that Eco1dependent cohesion establishment also may be important during oxidative stress. Note that *eco1* W216G is homologous to the mutation in ESCO2 that results in RBS in humans (Gordillo *et al.* 2008) while RAD21 (MCD1 *in yeast*) mutation gives rise to CdLS (Deardorff 2012; Minor 2014). Both *eco1* W216G and *mcd1*-1 alleles produce cell temperature-sensitive growth and exhibit defects in the DDR (Ünal *et al.* 2007; Lu *et al.* 2010; McAleenan *et al.* 2012). We first confirmed the temperature sensitivity of *eco1* W216G and *mcd1*-1 mutant strains and then further identified an intermediate temperature (33°C for eco1 W216G, 31°C for mcd1-1) in which mutant cell growth appears sensitized (Figure 1A). All remaining experiments were performed at these experientially derived allele-sensitizing temperatures. Next, eco1 W216G and *mcd*1-1 mutant cells, each with a matched wildtype control strain, were exposed to rich media plates or rich medium that contained either zeocin (a DSB inducer) or HU (a replication fork staller). In the absence of exogenous genotoxic agents, wildtype cells exhibit robust growth at 33°C with the eco1 W216G mutant strain exhibiting only moderately reduced growth at 33°C (Figure 1, A and B). eco1 W216G mutant cells, however, exhibited severely reduced growth on plates supplemented with zeocin and were near-inviable on plates supplemented with HU (Figure 1B). These findings greatly extend prior reports that the eco1 W216G allele renders cells sensitive to bleomycin and X-ray irradiation (Lu et al. 2010) in that HU sensitivity is unique from these genotoxic agents which induce DSBs. Additionally, while mcd1-1 cells exhibit reduced growth at 31°C, mcd1-1 cell growth is almost completely abolished when grown on plates supplemented with zeocin or HU (Figure 1B). Similar sensitivity to HU was observed in other ts cohesion mutant strains bearing the smc3-42 mutant allele (Supplementary Figure S1A) and the scc2-4 mutant allele (Supplementary Figure S1B).

ROS are a source of free radical electrons that cause oxidative damage to macromolecules including lipids, proteins, and DNA (reviewed in Cooke et al. 2003). Although oxidative DNA damage was once understood to be repaired mainly through baseexcision repair (BER) and nucleotide-excision repair (NER) pathways (Gellon et al. 2001; reviewed in Melis et al. 2013), studies in both budding yeast and mammalian cell lines highlight the importance of the HR pathway in promoting genome integrity in response to ROS (Otterlei et al. 2000; Winn et al. 2003; Salmon et al. 2004; Yi et al. 2016; Choi et al. 2018; Novarina et al. 2020). Despite the importance of Eco1 in HR (Ström et al. 2007; Ünal et al. 2007; Lu et al. 2010; Lyons et al. 2013; Mfarej and Skibbens 2020a), few reports exist regarding the role of Eco1 function in ROS response pathways (Xu et al. 2013, 2016; Cukrov et al. 2018). To further test if Eco1 and cohesins co-functions are important during redox stress, we tested eco1 W216G, mcd1-1, smc3-42, and scc2-4 mutant sensitivity to H₂O₂, which induces oxidative stress. The results reveal that eco1 W216G, mcd1-1, smc3-42, and scc2-4 mutant cells are highly sensitive to H₂O₂ compared with wildtype cells (Figure 1C; Supplementary Figures S1, A and B, and S2), providing evidence for the importance of cohesion pathways in the defense against oxidative stress. In parallel, we tested eco1 W216G and mcd1-1 mutant strain sensitivity to the superoxide anion inducer PQ which generates reductive stress. Interestingly, while eco1 W216G mutant cells exhibit severely compromised growth in the presence of PQ (Figure 1C), mcd1-1 mutant cells are only mildly sensitive to PQ (Figure 1C). These results suggest that Eco1 function may be required for a broader range of redox stresses compared with cohesin.

Oxidative stress hyperactivates DNA damage checkpoints in the absence of Eco1

If oxidative stress induces DNA damage, then the slowed growth rate exhibited by *eco1* mutant cells might be due to hyperactivation of DNA damage checkpoints. To test this prediction, log-phase WT and *eco1* W216G cells were exposed to 0.25 mM H_2O_2 or 0.5 mM H_2O_2 for 1 h at 30°C, washed and resuspended in drug-free media. Cell density (OD₆₀₀) was then measured over a 6-h time course. The results show that *eco1* W216G cells exhibit approximately a 35% reduction in growth rate when exposed to



Figure 1 Eco1- and cohesin-dependent DNA repair pathways promote cell growth in response to redox stress; (A–C) 10-fold serial dilutions of ECO1, eco1 W216G, MCD1, and mcd1-1 mutant strains at the indicated conditions. Cells seeded on drug-treated plates were tested at a single semipermissive temperature as indicated. Representative results shown from a total of $N \ge 2$ biological replicates. Plates were imaged after two days of growth at the indicated temperatures.

medium containing 0.5 mM H_2O_2 , relative to the growth rate of ECO1 cells (Figure 2, A and B). We reasoned that the reduced growth rate of eco1 W216G cells, in the presence of 0.5 mM H_2O_2 , may result from hyperactivation of a DNA damage checkpoint. To test this possibility, we assessed the phosphorylation state of Rad53, which becomes phosphorylated in response to DNA damage (Allen *et al.* 1994; Sun *et al.* 1998). In the absence of H_2O_2 , Rad53 remained unphosphorylated in both wildtype and *eco1* W216G mutant cells. As expected, wildtype cells exposed to 0.5 mM H_2O_2 contained phosphorylated Rad53, but this level of H_2O_2 was only sufficient to induce roughly 50% of Rad53 phosphorylation. In contrast, all of Rad53 appeared phosphorylated in eco1 W216G mutant cells in response to 0.5 mM H_2O_2 (Figure 2C).

ECO1 mutation elevates endogenous ROS levels

DNA damage upregulates endogenous ROS pathways which in turn are capable of further damaging DNA and other cellular macromolecules (Evert *et al.* 2004; Rowe *et al.* 2008; Kang *et al.* 2012; Rowe *et al.* 2012; Marullo *et al.* 2013; Yi *et al.* 2016; Choi *et al.* 2018). Given *eco1* W216G mutant cell hypersensitivity to ROS and genotoxic agents, we wondered whether cells with reduced Eco1 function might exhibit increased ROS—even in the absence of exogenous stresses. To test this hypothesis, we quantified ROS levels in eco1 W216G and mcd1-1 mutant cells. Log phase eco1 W216G and mcd1-1 mutant strains, and matched wildtype strains, were treated with $1\,\text{mM}$ H₂O₂ for 1h at 30°C, washed and then incubated in the presence of H₂DCFDA. H₂DCDFA is a cell permeable nonfluorescent reagent, that upon oxidation by ROS and reactive nitrogen species, fluoresces and becomes trapped in the cell (reviewed in Kalyanaraman et al. 2012). Importantly, eco1 W216G mutant cells produced an H₂DCFDA signal over two times greater than matched wildtype cells, in the absence of H₂O₂-induced stress when ROS levels were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 3, A and B; Supplementary Figure S3A). Similarly, increased H2DCFDA fluorescence levels in eco1 W216G cells were also detected when measured by flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S4, A-C). mcd1-1 mutant cells exhibited over a 2.5-fold increase in H₂DCFDA signal, compared with matched control wildtype cells, in the absence of H₂O₂-induced stress although this increase was below the threshold of statistical significance (Figure 3, A and B; Supplementary Figure S3A). Thus, reduction in Eco1/cohesin pathways are solely sufficient to upregulate endogenous levels of ROS, consistent with prior findings (Xu et al. 2013; Cukrov et al. 2018). Given that eco1 and mcd1 mutant cells induce



Figure 2 Oxidative stress results in hyperactivation of DNA damage checkpoints in an Eco1 mutant. (A, B) Growth curve of WT and eco1 W216G strains. Log-phase cells were either treated or untreated with 0.25 mM H_2O_2 or 0.5 mM H_2O_2 at 30°C for 1 h, washed and then diluted to OD = 0.1 in drug-free YPD. Cells grew for 6 h at 23°C with OD measurements taken every 3 h. N = 2 biological replicates. Error indicates standard error of the mean. (C) Western blot analysis of Rad53 phosphorylation in response to oxidative stress in WT and eco1 W216G strains. Log-phase cells were either treated or untreated or untreated with 0.5, 1, or 2 mM H_2O_2 at 30°C for 1 h prior to sample harvesting and subsequent western blot. PGK is used as a loading control.



Figure 3 Eco1 and cohesin mutation causes endogenous ROS overproduction. (A and C) Fluorescence micrographs of ECO1, eco1 W216G, MCD1, and mcd1-1 strains following incubation in either H₂DCFDA or DHE for 2 h at 23°C prior to imaging. (B and D) Quantifications of the data in (A and C), respectively. Biological replicates $N \ge 4$. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using one-tailed, Student's T-test ($\alpha \le 0.05$) for H₂DCFDA staining and two-tailed Student's T-test ($\alpha \le 0.05$) for DHE staining.

ROS in the absence of exogenous stress, it became important to test the ability to increase ROS production upon H_2O_2 exposure.

Neither *eco1* W216G or *mcd1-1* mutant cells, however, increased ROS levels in response to H_2O_2 treatment beyond that induced by the mutation alone (Supplementary Figures S4, A–C and S5, A–C). This is likely due to ROS levels that remain higher

in eco1 W216G and mcd1-1, with or without H_2O_2 , than respective wildtype strains exposed to H_2O_2 (Figure 3, A and B; Supplementary Figure S5, A and B).

H₂DCDFA does not react with superoxide anions (reviewed in Kalyanaraman *et al.* 2012). Thus, we repeated the above experiments, but this time incubating cells with DHE, which specifically

reacts with superoxide anions to produce a fluorescent and cellimpermeable marker (reviewed in Kalyanaraman et al. 2012). Results obtained from DHE in untreated cells were overall consistent with those obtained using H2DCFDA. For instance, eco1-W216G exhibited increased superoxide anion levels, relative to matched controls, in the absence of H₂O₂-induced stress (Figure 3, C and D; Supplementary Figure S4B). mcd1-1 mutant cells also exhibited an increase DHE intensity, but below the threshold of statistical significance (Figure 3, C and D; Supplementary Figure S4B). DHE staining, in response to H₂O₂ treatment, also revealed notable differences from H2DCFDA results. For instance, the DHE signal was not significantly different between ECO1 and eco1 W216G in the presence of H2O2-induced stress (Supplementary Figure S5, D-F). eco1 mutant cells in fact appear to have slightly diminished fluorescent intensity, although this difference was not statistically significant from ECO1 wildtype samples (Supplementary Figure S5, D-F). Moreover, DHE signal in the MCD1 or mcd1-1 strains did not change in response to H₂O₂ stress although DHE fluorescence levels remained higher in the mcd1-1 relative to wildtype controls in response to H_2O_2 (Supplementary Figure S5, D-F).

ROS contribute to genotoxicity in the absence of Eco1

Our findings that mutation of either ECO1 or MCD1 is solely sufficient to increase endogenous ROS levels, in the absence of exogenous oxidative stress, suggests strategies through which mutant cell phenotypes could be ameliorated. To test this, we used the ROS-scavenging antioxidant *N*-acetyl cysteine (NAC) (Aruoma et al. 1989; Carter et al. 2005; Reliene et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2015). Log-phase ECO1, eco1 W216G, MCD1, and mcd1-1 mutant cell cultures were split with half untreated and the other half pretreated with 25 mM NAC for 2 h at 23°C. Cells were then washed, resuspended in PBS and either remained untreated or exposed to 1 mM H_2O_2 at 30°C for an additional 1 h (Figure 4A). The resulting cultures were then plated onto fresh medium, maintained at 23°C and viability assessed 48–96 h later. All viability data are normalized to the untreated cultures.

We reasoned that, if NAC neutralizes exogenous H_2O_2 that generates oxidative stress, then cells pretreated with NAC should reduce the genetically induced ROS load and promote increased cell viability after subsequent oxidative stress. Following a 1-h treatment with 1 mM H_2O_2 , ECO1, eco1 W216G, MCD1, and mcd1-1 cells exhibited reductions (roughly 40%, 75%, 30%, and 65%) in cell viability (Figure 4A). When pretreated with NAC, however, both eco1 W216G and mcd1-1 cells exhibited a significant increase in cell viability (Figure 4A). While matched wildtype controls appeared to benefit from NAC pretreatment, the results were not statistically significantly which highlights the effects of reduced cohesin function in promoting ROS production (Figure 4A).

The increase in mutant cell viability, when pretreated with NAC and subsequently followed by a short exposure to H_2O_2 , suggested that increased endogenous ROS levels might play a key role in *eco1* W216G and *mcd1-1* decreased resistance to DNA damage. To formally test whether reducing ROS levels through NAC would protect cells against exogenous DNA damage, we supplemented rich medium with HU or zeocin as well as NAC. Note that these genotoxic agents produce DNA damage defects that do not directly rely on ROS. As before, the results show that both *eco1*



Figure 4 Rescue of Eco1 and cohesin mutation-associated defects with antioxidant treatment. (A) Quantification of ECO1, *eco*1W216G, MCD1, and *mcd*1-1 strain viability at 2–4 days following exposures to either no drug or 25 mM NAC at 23°C for 2 h followed by subsequent incubations at 30°C for 1 h either with or without 1 mM H₂O₂. Percent viability was determined by averaging the ratios of viability (drug-treated colonies/untreated colonies) across at least $N \ge 4$ biological replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using one-tailed, Student's T-test ($\alpha \le 0.05$); (B and C) 10-fold serial dilutions of ECO1, *eco*1-W216G, MCD1, and *mcd*1-1. Each yeast strain was grown for 2 days at the indicated temperatures on YPD or YPD supplemented with either 25 mM NAC, 10 µg/ml zeocin, 100 mM HU, NAC + zeocin, or NAC + HU.

W216G and mcd1-1 cells exhibit hypersensitivity to HU and zeocin (Figure 4, B and C). When medium is supplemented with NAC, in addition to either zeocin or HU, *eco1* W216G mutant cells exhibited reduced genotoxic sensitivity and a partial rescue of growth defects (Figure 4B). NAC supplementation similarly provided a growth benefit to mcd1-1 mutant cells simultaneously exposed to zeocin. This protection, however, did not extend to mcd1-1 mutant cells concurrently exposed to NAC and HU (Figure 4C).

Discussion

Oxidative stress is implicated in aging and numerous human maladies that include neurodegeneration, heart disease, and cancer (reviews: Finkel and Holbrook 2000; Cooke et al. 2003; Barnham et al. 2004; Valko et al. 2006). Oxidative reactive species damage proteins, lipids, and produce mutagenic DNA adducts such as modified bases, apurinic/apyrimidinic sites, single strand breaks (SSBs), and DSBs (reviewed in Kryston et al. 2011). NER repair and BER are considered primary defenses against oxidative DNA damage. The first major revelation of the current study is that Eco1 and cohesin function as physiologically relevant defenses against oxidative stress. Our studies extend prior work that demonstrated functional overlap between HR and oxidative stress regulators (Yi et al. 2016; Choi et al. 2018; Novarina et al. 2020). The current study thus provides strong support for a model that Eco1/cohesin are important regulators of HR during oxidative stress (Xu et al. 2013, 2016; Cukrov et al. 2018). Given the variety of mutagenic modifications induced by oxidative DNA damage, one limitation of this study is that the specific types of oxidative DNA damage repair that Eco1 and cohesin promote remain unknown. It is known, however, that DIC plays a critical

role during DSB repair. Future studies may provide important insights as to the physiological contributions that Eco1/cohesin provide to repair other oxidative lesions (*e.g.*, base modifications, SSBs, etc.). While DIC is likely one pathway through which Eco1/ cohesin resolve oxidative stress, we further note that Eco1/cohesin are critical regulators of gene transcription and that this parallel pathway may be, in parallel, important in oxidative stress responses.

What is the mechanism of Eco1/cohesin sensitivity to DNA damage? DIC is required for bringing sister chromatids into close physical proximity to promote strand invasion reactions during high fidelity HR (Birkenbihl and Subramani 1992; Kadyk and Hartwell 1992; Sjögren and Nasmyth 2001; Ström et al. 2004, 2007; Ünal et al. 2004, 2007). DNA damage, however, also induces ROS upregulation, providing a feedback mechanism that activates repair processes. The second major revelation of the current work is that Eco1/cohesin regulates endogenous ROS levels during DNA damage. ROS are upregulated in the cell in response to a variety of stresses, including DNA damage, which functions to post-translationally modify DDR regulators like Yap1 in budding yeast and ATM in mammals through cysteine side chain oxidation (Moye-Rowley et al. 1989; Coleman et al. 1999; Delaunay et al. 2000; Delaunay et al. 2002; reviewed in Rodrigues-Pousada et al. 2004; Guo et al. 2010). In cells with functional DIC, endogenous sources of DNA damage are repaired through NER, BER, and HR pathways—each of which keeps ROS levels in check (Figure 5A). In the absence of DIC via ECO1 or cohesin mutation, ROS are upregulated and background levels of oxidative stress produce increased genotoxic stress (Figure 5B). In combination with other studies that implicate deficiencies in Esco2 or cohesins in driving elevated ROS levels (Xu et al. 2013, 2016; Cukrov et al. 2018), our findings raise important implications



Figure 5 A model for the role of Eco1 in the defense against ROS-induced stress. (A) Eco1 function supports DNA repair which is sufficient to defend against sources of genotoxicity and in turn regulates endogenous ROS levels. (B) In the absence of Eco1 function, compromised DNA repair functions lead to an accumulation of DNA damage and increased ROS. ROS dysregulation contributes in a feed-forward circuit to further increase DNA damage levels. ROS may also result in global protein oxidation and perhaps enhance transcriptional, translational and chromosome cohesion defects associated with Eco1 mutation.

regarding the etiology of RBS. For example, elevated ROS levels present in cells deficient in Esco2 and cohesin functions are likely to incur additional levels of macromolecular damage to DNA, proteins, and lipids. This model suggests that RBS cytotoxicity may arise through several synergistic ROS-dependent mechanisms that include oxidation of DNA and proteins that may contribute to defects in transcription, translation, and SCC (Figure 5B) (reviewed in Mfarej and Skibbens 2020b).

The molecular description of Eco1 function is likely still in its infancy. For instance, Eco1 not only acetylates Smc3 (SCC establishment), but also Mcd1 (DIC), Mps3 (nuclear organization), and the inner surface of the PCNA sliding clamp that regulates repair polymerase processivity during HR (Antoniacci et al. 2004; Heidinger-Pauli et al. 2009; Billon et al. 2017). The final revelation of the current study is that Eco1 and cohesin exhibit different redox stress characteristics. For example, both eco1 and mcd1 mutants are sensitive to H₂O₂-induced stress. Between the two, however, strains lacking full Eco1 function appear more sensitive to the superoxide anion generator PQ. This suggests that Eco1-dependent pathways function in response to a wider range of redox stresses than cohesin-dependent pathways and may reflect a broader role for Eco1 in the maintenance of genomic integrity. Similarly, while both eco1 and mcd1 mutant strains exhibit increased endogenous levels of oxidative ROS, eco1 mutant cells exhibit higher levels of superoxide anion in the absence of challenges whereas the mcd1 mutant exhibits higher superoxide anion levels in response to H₂O₂-induced stress. The effects of superoxide anion levels in eco1 and mcd1 mutants is unclear given data that superoxide anion serves as a cell death inducer in response to endogenous DNA damage and UV-C treatment (Rowe et al. 2008, 2012; Marullo et al. 2013). In contrast, other studies suggest that superoxide anions confer protective effects against H₂O₂-induced stress (Thorpe et al. 2013). The higher basal levels of superoxide anion in eco1 mutant cells may explain PQ hypersensitivity of eco1 mutant cells, compared with mcd1 mutant cells.

A failure to modulate the cellular redox state in cells with reduced ESCO2/Eco1 function may expose an Achilles heel in both RBS and cancer. For example, RBS (a.k.a. pseudothalidomide syndrome) is phenocopied by the birth defects caused by exposure to the teratogen thalidomide (Herrmann and Opitz 1977; Waldenmaier et al. 1978; Sherer et al. 1991; Holden et al. 1992). ROS-neutralizing chemicals rescue teratogenic birth defects in the offspring of thalidomide-treated pregnant rabbits by reducing oxidative DNA damage (Parman et al. 1999; Wani et al. 2017). Moreover, genetic modulation of superoxide defense systems in Drosophila oocytes harboring cohesin mutations influences the rate of chromosome mis-segregation errors (Perkins et al. 2016, 2019). These results raise the possibility that increasing antioxidant levels may represent a treatment option to ameliorate the severity of birth defects otherwise present in RBS individuals. Separately, synthetic lethal approaches, through inhibition of either poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) or Wnt signaling, are effective treatments for tumors that exhibit marked changes in ESCO2-dependent pathways (McLellan et al. 2012; reviewed in O'Neil et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2018; Mondal et al. 2019; Waldman 2020; Chin et al. 2020). This raises the possibility that oxidative stress pathways may serve as an additional synthetic lethality target in treating tumors with mutated ESCO2 or cohesin.

Data availability

Strains are available upon request. The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article. A strain table (Supplemental Table 1), figures, and tables with raw and complete images are available at figshare (https://figshare.com/authors/Robert_Skibbens/8138124).

Acknowledgments

We thank the Skibbens lab members for their helpful discussions throughout this process. We also thank Paul Doetsch for his insights regarding the experimental design of our ROS labeling experiments.

Funding

This work was supported by an award to R.V.S. from the National Institutes of Health [R15GM110631] and a Nemes research fellowship award to M.G.M. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Literature cited

- Allen JB, Zhou Z, Siede W, Friedberg EC, Elledge SJ. 1994. The SAD1/RAD53 protein kinase controls multiple checkpoints and DNA damage-induced transcription in yeast. Genes Dev. 8: 2401–2415.
- Antoniacci LM, Kenna MA, Uetz P, Fields S, Skibbens RV. 2004. The spindle pole body assembly component Mps3p/Nep98p functions in sister chromatid cohesion. J Biol Chem. 279:49542–49550.
- Aruoma OI, Halliwell B, Hoey BM, Butler J. 1989. The antioxidant action of N-acetylcysteine: its reaction with hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical, superoxide, and hypochlorous acid. Free Radic Biol Med. 6:592–597.
- Bailey ML, O'Neil NJ, van Pel DM, Solomon DA, Waldman T, et al. 2014. Glioblastoma cells containing mutations in the cohesin component STAG2 are sensitive to PARP inhibition. Mol Cancer Ther. 13:724–732.
- Banerji R, Eble DM, Iovine JM, Skibbens RV. 2016. Esco2 regulates cx43 expression during skeletal regeneration in the zebrafish fin. Dev Dyn. 245:7–21.
- Banerji R, Skibbens RV, Iovine KM. 2017. Cohesin mediates Esco2-dependent transcriptional regulation in a zebrafish regenerating fin model of Roberts syndrome. Biol Open. 6:1802–1813.
- Barber TD, McManus K, Yuen KWY, Reis M, Parmigiani G, et al. 2008. Chromatid cohesion defects may underlie chromosome instability in human colorectal cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 105: 3443–3448.
- Barnham KJ, Masters CL, Bush AI. 2004. Neurodegenerative diseases and oxidative stress. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 3:205–214.
- Bellows AM, Kenna MA, Cassimeris L, Skibbens RV. 2003. Human EFO1p exhibits acetyltransferase activity and is a unique combination of linker histone and Ctf7p/Eco1p chromatid cohesion establishment domain. Nucleic Acids Res. 31:6334–6343.
- Ben-Shahar T, Heeger S, Lehane C, East P, Flynn H, et al. 2008. Eco1-dependent cohesin acetylation during establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. Science. 321:563–566.
- Billon P, Li J, Lambert J-P, Chen Y, Tremblay V, et al. 2017. Acetylation of PCNA Sliding Surface by Eco1 Promotes Genome Stability through Homologous Recombination. Mol Cell. 65:78–90.

- Birkenbihl RP, Subramani S. 1992. Cloning and characterization of *rad21* an essential gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* involved in DNA double-strand-break repair. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:6605–6611.
- Bose T, Lee KK, Lu S, Xu B, Harris B, *et al.* 2012. Cohesin proteins promote ribosomal RNA production and protein translation in yeast and human cells. PLoS Genet. 8:e1002749.
- Boudaoud I, Fournier É, Baguette A, Vallée M, Lamaze FC, et al. 2017. Connected gene communities underlie transcriptional changes in Cornelia de Lange syndrome. Genetics. 207:139–151.
- Boyle MI, Jespersgaard C, Nazaryan L, Bisgaard A-M, Tümer Z. 2017. A novel RAD21 variant associated with intrafamilial phenotypic variation in Cornelia de Lange syndrome—review of the literature. Clin Genet. 91:647–649.
- Burns MA, Tomkins DJ. 1989. Hypersensitivity to mitomycin C cell-killing in Roberts syndrome fibroblasts with, but not without, the heterochromatin abnormality. Mutat Res. 216:243–249.
- Carter CD, Kitchen LE, Au W, Babic CM, Basrai MA. 2005. Loss of SOD1 and LYS7 sensitizes *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to hydroxyurea and DNA damage agents and downregulates MEC1 pathway effectors. Mol Cell Biol. 25:10273–10285.
- Chen H, Zhang L, He W, Liu T, Zhao Y, et al. 2018. ESCO2 knockdown inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in human gastric cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 496:475–481.
- Chin CV, Antony J, Kethamathan S, Labudina A, Gimenez G, et al. 2020. Cohesinn mutations are synthetic lethal with stimulation of WNT signaling, eLife. 9:e61405.
- Choi JE, Heo SH, Kim MJ, Chung WH. 2018. Lack of superoxide dismutase in a rad51 mutant exacerbates genomic instability and oxidative stress-mediated cytotoxicity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Free Radic Biol Med. 129:97–106.
- Ciosk R, Shirayama M, Shevchenko A, Tanaka T, Toth A, et al. 2000. Cohesin's binding to chromosomes depends on a separate complex consisting of Scc2 and Scc4 proteins. Mol Cell. 5:243–254.
- Coleman ST, Epping EA, Steggerda SM, Moye-Rowley WS. 1999. Yap1p activates gene transcription in an oxidant-specific fashion. Mol Cell Biol. 19:8302–8313.
- Cooke MS, Evans MD, Dizdaroglu M, Lunec J. 2003. Oxidative DNA damage: mechanisms, mutation, and disease. FASEB J. 17: 1195–1214.
- Cukrov D, Newman TAC, Leask M, Leeke B, Sarogni P, et al. 2018. Antioxidant treatment ameliorates phenotypic features of SMC1A-mutated Cornelia de Lange syndrome in vitro and in vivo. Hum Mol Genet. 27:3002–3011.
- Davidson IF, Bauer B, Goetz D, Tang W, Wutz G, et al. 2019. DNA loop extrusion by human cohesin. Science. 366:1338–1345.
- Deardorff MA, Wilde JJ, Albrecht M, Dickinson E, Tennstedt S, et al. 2012. RAD21 mutations cause a human cohesinopathy. Am J Hum Genet. 90:1014–1027.
- De Koninck M, Lapi E, Badía-Careaga C, Cossío I, Giménez-Llorente D, et al. 2020. Essential roles of cohesin STAG2 in mouse embryonic development and adult tissue homoeostasis. Cell Rep. 32:108014.
- Delaunay A, Isnard AD, Toledano MB. 2000. H₂O₂ sensing through oxidation of the Yap1 transcription factor. EMBO J. 19:5157–5166.
- Delaunay A, Pflieger D, Barrault MB, Vinh J, Toledano MB. 2002. A Thiol peroxidase is an $\rm H_2O_2$ receptor and redox-transducer in gene activation. Cell. 111:471–481.
- Dorsett D. 2010. Gene regulation: the cohesin ring connects developmental highways. Curr Biol. 20:R886–R888.
- Dorsett D. 2011. Cohesin: genomic insights into controlling gene transcription and development. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 21:199–206.
- Dorsett D, Merkenschlager M. 2013. Cohesin at active genes: a unifying theme for cohesin and gene expression from model organisms to humans. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 25:327–333.

- Dorval S, Masciadri M, Mathot M, Russo S, Revencu N, *et al.* 2020. A novel RAD21 mutation in a boy with mild Cornelia de Lange presentation: further delineation of the phenotype. Eur J Med Genet. 63:103620.
- Dowen JM, Bilodeau S, Orlando DA, Hübner MR, Abraham BJ, et al. 2013. Multiple structural maintenance of chromosome complexes at transcriptional regulatory elements. Stem Cell Rep. 1: 371–378.
- Evert BA, Salmon TB, Song B, Jingjing L, Siede W, et al. 2004. Spontaneous DNA damage in Saccharomyces cerevisiae elicits phenotypic properties similar to cancer cells. J Biol Chem. 279:22585–22594.
- Finkel T, Holbrook NJ. 2000. Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. Nature. 408:239–247.
- Gassler J, Brandão HB, Imakaev M, Flyamer IM, Ladstätter S, et al. 2017. A mechanism of cohesin-dependent loop extrusion organizes zygotic genome architecture. EMBO J. 36:3600–3618.
- Gellon L, Barbey R, Kemp A, Thomas D, Boiteux S. 2001. Synergism between base excision repair, mediated by the DNA glycosylases Ntg1 and Ntg2, and nucleotide excision repair in the removal of oxidatively damaged DNA bases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Gen Genomics. 265:1087–1096.
- Gentner NE, Smith BP, Norton GM, Courchesne L, Moeck L. 1986. Carcinogen hypersensitivity in cultured fibroblast strains from Roberts syndrome patients (Abstract). Proc Can Fed Biol Sci. 29:144.
- Gentner NE, Tomkins DJ, Paterson MC. 1985. Roberts syndrome fibroblasts with heterochromatin abnormality show hypersensitivity to carcinogen-induced cytotoxicity (Abstract). Am J Hum Genet. 37:A231.
- Golfier S, Quail T, Kimura H, Brugués J. 2020. Cohesin and condensing extrude DNA loops in a cell cycle-dependent manner. eLife. 9: e53885.
- Gordillo M, Vega H, Jabs EW. 1993. Roberts Syndrome. Gene Reviews. Seattle, WA: University of Washington.
- Gordillo M, Vega H, Trainer AH, Hou F, Sakai N, *et al.* 2008. The molecular mechanism underlying Roberts syndrome involves loss of ESCO2 acetyltransferase activity. Hum Mol Genet. 17:2172–2180.
- Guacci V, Koshland D, Strunnikov A. 1997. A direct link between sister chromatid cohesion and chromosome condensation revealed through the analysis of MCD1 in S. *cerevisiae*. Cell. 91:47–57.
- Gudmundsson S, Annerén G, Marcos-Alcalde I, Wilbe M, Melin M, et al. 2019. A novel RAD21 p.(Gln592del) variant expands the clinical description of Cornelia de Lange syndrome type 4 – review of the literature. Eur J Med Genet. 62:103562.
- Guo X, Huang B, Pan Y, Su S, Li Y. 2018. ESCO2 inhibits tumor metastasis via transcriptionally repressing MMP2 in colorectal cancer. Cancer Manag Res. 10:6157–6166.
- Guo Z, Kozlov S, Lavin MF, Person MD, Paull TT. 2010. ATM activation by oxidative stress. Science. 330:517–521.
- Heidinger-Pauli JM, Mert O, Davenport C, Guacci V, Koshland D. 2010. Systemic reduction of cohesin differentially affects chromosome segregation, condensation, and DNA repair. Curr Biol. 20:957–963.
- Heidinger-Pauli JM, Ünal E, Koshland D. 2009. Distinct targets of the Eco1 acetyltransferase modulate cohesion in S phase and in response to DNA damage. Mol Cell. 34:311–321.
- Herrmann J, Opitz JM. 1977. The SC phocomelia and the Roberts syndrome: nosologic aspects. Eur J Pediatr. 125:117–134.
- Holden KR, Jabs EW, Sponseller PD. 1992. Roberts/Pseudothalidomide syndrome and normal intelligence: approaches to diagnosis and management. Dev Med Child Neurol. 34:534–539.
- Hou F, Zou H. 2005. Two human orthologues of Eco1/Ctf7 acetyltransferases are both required for proper sister-chromatid cohesion. Mol Biol Cell. 16:3908–3918.

- Ivanov D, Schleiffer A, Eisenhaber F, Mechtler K, Haering CH, et al. 2002. Eco1 is a novel acetyltransferase that can acetylate proteins involved in cohesion. Curr Biol. 12:323–328.
- Kadyk LC, Hartwell LH. 1992. Sister chromatids are preferred over homologs as substrates for recombinatorial repair in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 132:387–402.
- Kalyanaraman B, Darley-Usmar V, Davies KJA, Dennery PA, Forman HJ, et al. 2012. Measuring reactive oxygen and nitrogen species with fluorescent probes: challenges and limitations. Free Radic Biol Med. 52:1–6.
- Kang MA, So EY, Simons AL, Spitz DR, Ouchi T. 2012. DNA damage induces reactive oxygen species generation through the H2AX-Nox1/Rac1 pathway. Cell Death Dis. 3:e249.
- Kawauchi S, Calof AL, Santos R, Lopez-Burks ME, Young CM, et al. 2009. Multiple organ system defects and transcriptional dysregulation in the *Nipble*⁺/⁻ mouse, a model of Cornelia de Lange syndrome. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000650.
- Kim B-J, Kang K-M, Jung SY, Choi H-K, Seo J-H, et al. 2008. Esco2 is a novel corepressor that associates with various chromatin modifying enzymes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 372:298–304.
- Kim S, Xu B, Kastan MB. 2002. Involvement of the cohesin protein, Smc1, inn Atm-dependent and independent responses to DNA damage. Genes Dev. 16:560–570.
- Kim Y, Shi Z, Zhang H, Finkelstein JJ, Yu H. 2019. Human cohesin compacts DNA by loop extrusion. Science. 366:1345–1349.
- Kryston TB, Georgiev AB, Pissis P, Georgakilas AG. 2011. Role of oxidative stress and DNA damage in human carcinogenesis. Mutat Res. 711:193–201.
- Leem Y, Choi HK, Jung SY, Kim BJ, Lee KY. 2011. Esco2 promotes neuronal differentiation by repressing Notch signaling. Cell Signal. 23:1875–1884.
- Liu Y, Xu H, Jeught KV, Li Y, Liu S, *et al.* 2018. Somatic mutation of the cohesin complex subunit confers therapeutic vulnerabilities in cancer. J Clin Invest. 128:2951–2965.
- Losada A, Hirano T. 2001. Intermolecular DNA interactions stimulated by the cohesin complex in vitro: implications for sister chromatid cohesion. Curr Biol. 11:268–272.
- Losada A, Hirano M, Hirano T. 1998. Identification of *Xenopus* SMC protein complexes required for sister chromatid cohesion. Genes Dev. 12:1986–1997.
- Lu S, Lee KK, Harris B, Xiong B, Bose T, *et al.* 2014. The cohesin acetyltransferase Eco1 coordinates rDNA replication and transcription. EMBO Rep. 15:609–617.
- Lu S, Goering M, Gard S, Xiong B, McNairn AJ, et al. 2010. Eco1 is important for DNA damage repair in S. cerevisiae. Cell Cycle. 9: 3335–3347.
- Luna-Peláez N, March-Díaz R, Ceballos-Chávez M, Guerrero-Martínez JA, Grazioli P, et al. 2019. The Cornelia de Lange syndrome-associated factor NIPBL interacts with BRD4 ET domain for transcription control of a common set of genes. Cell Death Dis. 10:548.
- Lyons NA, Fonslow BR, Diedrich JK, Yates JR, Morgan DO. 2013. Sequential primed kinases create a damage-responsive phosphodegron on Eco1. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 20:194–203.
- Mannini L, Lamaze FC, Cucco F, Amato C, Quarantotti V, et al. 2015. Mutant cohesin affects RNA polymerase II regulation in Cornelia de Lange syndrome. Sci Rep. 5:16803.
- Marullo R, Werner E, Degtyareva N, Moore B, Altavilla G, et al. 2013. Cisplatin induces a mitochondrial-ROS response that contributes to cytotoxicity depending on mitochondrial redox status and bioenergetic functions. PLoS One. 8:e81162.
- McAleenan A, Cordon-Preciado V, Clemente-Blanco A, Liu I-C, Sen N, et al. 2012. SUMOylation of the $\alpha\text{-}kleisin$ subunit of cohesin is

required for DNA damage-induced cohesion. Curr Biol. 22:1564–1575.

- McKay MJ, Craig J, Kalitsis P, Kozlov S, Verschoor S, et al. 2019. A Roberts syndrome individual with differential genotoxin sensitivity and a DNA damage response defect. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 103:1194–1202.
- McLellan JL, O'Neil NJ, Barrett I, Ferree E, van Pel DM, et al. 2012. Synthetic lethality of cohesins with PARPs and replication fork mediators. PLoS Genet. 8:e1002574.
- Melis JPM, Steeg H, Luijten M. 2013. Oxidative DNA damage and nucleotide excision repair. Antioxid Redox Signal. 18:2409–2419.
- Mfarej MG, Skibbens RV. 2020a. DNA damage induces Yap5-dependent transcription of ECO1/CTF7 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS One. 15:e0242968.
- Mfarej MG, Skibbens RV. 2020b. An ever-changing landscape in Roberts syndrome biology: implications for macromolecular damage. PLoS Genet. 16:e1009219.
- Michaelis C, Ciosk R, Nasmyth K. 1997. Cohesins: chromosomal proteins that prevent premature separation of sister chromatids. Cell. 91:35–45.
- Minor A, Shinawi M, Hogue JS, Vineyard M, Hamlin DR, *et al.* 2014. Two novel RAD21 mutations in patients with mild Cornelia de Lange syndrome-like presentation and report of the first familial case. Gene. 537:279–284.
- Mondal G, Stevers M, Goode B, Ashworth A, Solomon SA. 2019. A requirement for STAG2 in replication fork progression creates a targetable synthetic lethality in cohesin-mutant cancers. Nat Commun. 10:1686.
- Mönnich M, Kuriger Z, Print CG, Horsfield JA. 2011. A Zebrafish model of Roberts syndrome reveals that Esco2 depletion interferes with development by disrupting the cell cycle. PLoS One. 6:e20051.
- Morita A, Nakahira K, Hasegawa T, Uchida T, Taniguchi Y, et al. 2012. Establishment and characterization of Roberts syndrome and SC phocomelia model medaka (Oryzias latipes). Dev Growth Differ. 54:588–604.
- Moye-Rowley WS, Harshman KD, Parker CS. 1989. Yeast YAP1 encodes a novel form of the jun family of transcriptional activator proteins. Genes Dev. 3:283–292.
- Nolen LD, Boyle S, Ansari M, Pritchard E, Bickmore WA. 2013. Regional chromatin decompaction in Cornelia de Lange syndrome associated with NIPBL disruption can be from cohesin and CTCF. Hum Mol Genet. 22:4180–4193.
- Novarina D, Janssens GE, Bokern K, Schut T, van Oerle NC, *et al.* 2020. A genome-wide screen identifies genes that suppress the accumulation of spontaneous mutations in young and aged yeast. Aging Cell. 19:e13084.
- Oikawa K, Akiyoshi A, Tanaka M, Takanashi M, Nishi H, et al. 2008. Expression of various types of alternatively spliced WAPL transcripts in human cervical epithelia. Gene. 423:57–62.
- Oikawa K, Ohbayashi T, Kiyono T, Nishi H, Isaka K, et al. 2004. Expression of a novel human gene, Human Wings Apart-Like (hWAPL), is associated with cervical carcinogenesis and tumor progression. Cancer Res. 64:3545–3549.
- O'Neil NJ, van Pel DM, Hieter P. 2013. Synthetic lethality and cancer: cohesin and PARP at the replication fork. Trends Genet. 29: 290–297.
- Otterlei M, Kavli B, Standal R, Skjelbred C, Bharati S, *et al.* 2000. Repair of chromosomal abasic sites *in vivo* involves at least three different repair pathways. EMBO J. 19:5542–5551.
- Parman T, Wiley MJ, Wells PG. 1999. Free radical-mediated oxidative DNA damage in the mechanism of thalidomide teratogenicity. Nat Med. 5:582–585.

- Percival SM, Thomas HR, Amsterdam A, Carroll AJ, Lees JA, et al. 2015. Variations in dysfunction of sister chromatid cohesion in esco2 mutant zebrafish reflect the phenotypic diversity of Roberts syndrome. Dis Model Mech. 8:941–955.
- Perkins AT, Das TM, Panzera LC, Bickel SE. 2016. Oxidative stress in oocytes during midprophase induces premature loss of cohesion and chromosome segregation errors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 113: E6823–E6830.
- Perkins AT, Greig MM, Sontakke AA, Peloquin AS, McPeek MA, et al. 2019. Increased levels of superoxide dismutase suppress meiotic segregation errors in ageing oocytes. Chromosoma. 128:215–222.
- Pimentel C, Vicente C, Menezes RA, Caetano L, Carreto L, *et al.* 2012. The role of the Yap5 transcription factor in remodeling gene expression in response to Fe bioavailability. PLoS One. 7:e37434.
- Rao S, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, et al. 2014. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell. 159:1665–1680.
- Reliene R, Pollard JM, Sobol Z, Trouiller B, Gatti RA, et al. 2009. N-acetyl cysteine protects against ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage but not against cell killing in yeast and mammals. Mutat Res. 665:37–43.
- Remeseiro S, Cuadrado A, Kawauchi S, Calof AL, Lander AD, *et al.* 2013. Reduction of Nipl impairs cohesin loading locally and affects transcription but not cohesion-dependent functions in a mouse model of Cornelia de Lange syndrome. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1832:2097–2102.
- Ren Q, Yang H, Gao B, Zhang Z. 2008. Global transcriptional analysis of yeast cell death induced by mutation of sister chromatid cohesin. Comp Funct Genomics. 2008:634283.
- Ren Q, Rosinski M, Conrad MN, Dresser ME, Guacci V, et al. 2005. Mutation of the cohesin related gene PDS5 causes cell death with predominant apoptotic features in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during early mitosis. Mutat Res. 570:163–173.
- Rhodes JM, Bentley FK, Print CG, Dorsett D, Misulovin Z, *et al.* 2010. Positive regulation of c-Myc by cohesin is direct, and evolutionarily conserved. Dev Biol. 344:637–649.
- Rodrigues-Pousada CA, Nevitt T, Menezes R, Azevedo D, Pereira J, et al. 2004. Yeast activator proteins and stress response: an overview. FEBS Lett. 567:80–85.
- Rollins RA, Morcillo P, Dorsett D. 1999. Nipped-B, a Drosiphila homologue of chromosomal adherins, participates in activation by remote enhancers in the cut and ultrabithorax genes. Genetics. 152:577–593.
- Rowe LA, Degtyareva N, Doetsch PW. 2008. DNA damage-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) stress response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Free Radic Biol Med. 45:1167–1177.
- Rowe LA, Degtyareva N, Doetsch PW. 2012. Yap1: a DNA damage responder in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mech Ageing Dev. 133: 147–156.
- Ryu B, Kim DS, Deluca AM, Alani RM. 2007. Comprehensive expression profiling of tumor cell lines identifies molecular signatures of melanoma progression. PLoS One. 2:e594.
- Salmon TB, Evert BA, Song B, Doetsch PW. 2004. Biological consequences of oxidative stress-induced DNA damage in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:3712–3723.
- Schaaf CA, Kwak H, Koenig A, Misulovin Z, Gohara DW, et al. 2013. Genome-wide control of RNA polymerase II activity by cohesin. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003382.
- Schüle B, Oviedo A, Johnston K, Pai S, Francke U. 2005. Inactivating mutations in ESCO2 cause SC phocomelia and Roberts syndrome: no phenotype-genotype correlation. Am J Hum Genet. 77: 1117–1128.

- Sherer DM, Shah YG, Klionsky N, Woods JR Jr. 1991. Prenatal sonographic features and management of a fetus with Roberts-SC phocomelia syndrome (Pseudothalidomide syndrome) and pulmonary hypoplasia. Am J Perinatol. 8:259–262.
- Shepard JL, Amatruda JF, Finkelstein D, Ziai J, Finley KR, et al. 2007. A mutation in separase causes genome instability and increased susceptibility to epithelial cancer. Genes Dev. 21:55–59.
- Sjögren C, Nasmyth K. 2001. Sister chromatid cohesion is required for postreplicative double-strand break repair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Curr Biol. 11:991–995.
- Skibbens RV, Colquhoun JM, Green MJ, Molnar CA, Sin DN, et al. 2013. Cohesinopathies of a feather flock together. PLoS Genet. 9:e1004036.
- Skibbens RV, Corson LB, Koshland D, Hieter P. 1999. Ctf7p is essential for sister chromatid cohesion and links mitotic chromosome structure to the DNA replication machinery. Genes Dev. 13: 307–319.
- Skibbens RV, Marzillier J, Eastman L. 2010. Cohesins coordinate gene transcriptions of related function within Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell Cycle. 9:1601–1606.
- Solomon DA, Kim T, Diaz-Martinez LA, Fair J, Elkahloun AG, et al. 2011. Mutational inactivation of STAG2 causes aneuploidy in human cancer. Science. 333:1039–1043.
- Ström L, Karlsson C, Lindroos HB, Wedahl S, Katou Y, et al. 2007. Postreplicative formation of cohesion is required for repair and induced by a single DNA break. Science. 317:242–245.
- Ström L, Lindroos HB, Shirahige K, Sjögren C. 2004. Postreplicative recruitment of cohesin to double-strand breaks is required for DNA repair. Mol Cell. 16:1003–1015.
- Sun Z, Hsiao J, Fay DS, Stern DF. 1998. Rad53 FHA domain associated with phosphorylated Rad9 in the DNA damage checkpoint. Science. 281:272–274.
- Tang HV, Pan K, Kong KE, Hu L, Chan L, *et al.* 2015. Loss of APD1 in yeast confers hydroxyurea sensitivity suppressed by Yap1p transcription factor. Sci Rep. 5:7897.
- Thol F, Bollin R, Gehlhaar M, Walter C, Dugas M, et al. 2014. Mutations in the cohesin complex in acute myeloid leukemia: clinical and prognostic implications. Blood. 123:914–920.
- Thorpe GW, Reodica M, Davies MJ, Heeren G, Jarolim S, et al. 2013. Superoxide radicals have a protective role during H_2O_2 stress. Mol Biol Cell. 24:2876–2884.
- Tong K, Skibbens RV. 2014. Cohesin without cohesion: a novel role for Pds5 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS One. 9:e100470.
- Tóth A, Ciosk R, Uhlmann F, Galova M, Schleiffer A, *et al.* 1999. Yeast cohesin complex requires a conserved protein, Eco1p(Ctf7), to establish cohesion between sister chromatids during DNA replication. Genes Dev. 13:320–333.
- Ünal E, Arbel-Eden A, Sattler U, Shroff R, Lichten M, *et al.* 2004. DNA damage response pathway uses histone modification to assemble a double-strand break-specific cohesin domain. Mol Cell. 16: 991–1002.
- Ünal E, Heidinger-Pauli JM, Kim W, Guacci V, Onn I, et al. 2008. A molecular determinant for the establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. Science. 321:566–569.
- Ünal E, Heidinger-Pauli JM, Koshland D. 2007. DNA double-strand breaks trigger genome-wide sister chromatid cohesion through Eco1 (Ctf7). Science. 317:245–248.
- Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M. 2006. Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. Chem Biol Interact. 160:1–40.
- Van Den Berg DJ, Francke U. 1993. Roberts syndrome: a review of 100 cases and a new rating system for severity. Am J Med Genet. 47: 1104–1123.

- van der Lelij P, Godthelp BC, van Zon W, van Gosliga D, Oostra AB, et al. 2009. The cellular phenotype of Roberts syndrome fibroblasts as revealed by ectopic expression of ESCO2. PLoS One. 4:e6936.
- Vega H, Waisfisz Q, Gordillo M, Sakai N, Yanagihara I, et al. 2005. Roberts syndrome is caused by mutations in ESCO2, a human homolog of yeast in ECO1 that is essential for the establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. Nat Genet. 37:468–470.
- Waldenmaier C, Aldenhoff P, Klemm T. 1978. The Roberts' syndrome. Hum Genet. 40:345–349.
- Waldman T. 2020. Emerging themes in cohesin cancer biology. Nat Rev Cancer. 20:504–515.
- Wang Q, Liu L. 2020. Establishment of cohesion 1 homolog 2 facilitates cell aggressive behaviors and induces poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Lab Anal. 34:e23163.
- Wani TH, Chakrabarty A, Shibata N, Yamazaki H, Guengerich FP, et al. 2017. The dihydroxy metabolite of the teratogen thalidomide causes oxidative DNA damage. Chem Res Toxicol. 30:1622–1628.
- Whelan G, Kreidl E, Wutz G, Egner A, Peters J-M, *et al.* 2012. Cohesin acetyltransferase Esco2 is a cell viability factor and is required for cohesion in pericentric heterochromatin. EMBO J. 31:71–82.
- Winn LM, Kim PM, Nickoloff JA. 2003. Oxidative stress-induced homologous recombination as a novel mechanism for phenytoin-initiated toxicity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 306:523–527.
- Wutz G, Várnai C, Nagasaka K, Cisneros DA, Stocsits RR, et al. 2017. Topologically associating domains and chromatin loops depend on cohesin and are regulated by CTCF, WAPL, and PDS5 proteins. EMBO J. 36:3573–3599.
- Xu B, Gogol M, Gaudenz K, Gerton JL. 2016. Improved transcription and translation with L-leucine stimulation of mTORC1 in Roberts syndrome. BMC Genomics. 17:25.

- Xu B, Lee KK, Zhang L, Gerton JL. 2013. Stimulation of mTORC1 with L-leucine rescues defects associated with Roberts syndrome. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003857.
- Xu H, Yan Y, Deb S, Rangasamy D, Germann M, et al. 2014. Cohesin Rad21 mediates loss of heterozygosity and is upregulated via Wnt promoting transcriptional dysregulation in gastrointestinal tumors. Cell Rep. 9:1781–1797.
- Xu H, Yan M, Patra J, Natrajan R, Yan Y, et al. 2011. Enhanced RAD21 cohesin expression confers poor prognosis and resistance to chemotherapy in high grade luminal, basal and HER2 breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res. 13:R9.
- Yi DG, Kim MJ, Choi JE, Lee J, Jung J, et al. 2016. Yap1 and Skn7 genetically interact with Rad51 in response to oxidative stress and DNA double-strand break in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Free Radic Biol Med. 101:424–433.
- Yuan B, Pehlivan D, Karaca E, Patel N, Charng W-L, et al. 2015. Global transcriptional disturbances underlie Cornelia de Lange syndrome and related phenotypes. J Clin Invest. 125:636–651.
- Zhang J, Shi X, Li Y, Kim B-J, Jia J, *et al.* 2008. Acetylation of Smc3 by Eco1 is required for S phase sister chromatid cohesion in both human and yeast. Mol Cell. 31:143–151.
- Zou H, McGarry TJ, Bernal T, Kirschner MW. 1999. Identification of a vertebrate sister-chromatid separation inhibitor involved in transformation and tumorigenesis. Science. 285:418–422.
- Zuin J, Franke V, IJcken WF, Sloot A, Krantz ID, et al. 2014. A cohesin-independent role for NIPBL at promoters provides insights in CdLS. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004153.

Communicating editor: G. Brown