



Vitamin D Level and Vitamin D Receptor Genetic Variation Were Involved in the Risk of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Case-Control Study

Ru Zhang^{1†}, Minxian Wang^{1†}, Min Wang¹, Liuxin Zhang², Yajie Ding¹, Zongzhe Tang¹, Zuqiang Fu³, Haozhi Fan⁴, Wei Zhang⁵ and Jie Wang^{1*}

¹ Department of Fundamental and Community Nursing, School of Nursing, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ² Department of Nursing, The Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ³ Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ⁴ Department of Information, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ⁵ Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Shanghai, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stephen Atkin, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Bahrain

Reviewed by:

Maximilian Zeyda, Medical University of Vienna, Austria Ilaria Barchetta, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

*Correspondence:

Jie Wang wangjienjmu@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Systems Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 02 February 2021 Accepted: 20 July 2021 Published: 06 August 2021

Citation:

Zhang R, Wang M, Wang M, Zhang L, Ding Y, Tang Z, Fu Z, Fan H, Zhang W and Wang J (2021) Vitamin D Level and Vitamin D Receptor Genetic Variation Were Involved in the Risk of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Case-Control Study. Front. Endocrinol. 12:648844. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.648844 **Background:** It has been demonstrated that vitamin D receptor (*VDR*), a key gene in the metabolism of vitamin D (VD), may affect the development of Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by regulating VD level and its biological effects.

Objectives: To investigate the effects of serum VD level, *VDR* variation, and a combination of *VDR* SNP and environmental behavior factor on the risk of NAFLD.

Methods: A total of 3023 subjects from a community in Nanjing were enrolled, including 1120 NAFLD cases and 1903 controls. Serum $25(OH)D_3$ levels were measured and eight single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *VDR* gene were genotyped.

Results: Logistic regression analyses indicated that VD sufficiency and VD insufficiency were significantly associated with a low risk of NAFLD (all *P*<0.05; all *P*_{trend} <0.05, in a locus-dosage manner). After adjusting for gender and age, *VDR* rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A alleles were all reduced the risk of NAFLD (all *P*_{FDR}=0.136, in dominant model; *P*_{trend} =0.039, combined effects in a locus-dosage manner). The protective effects of two favorable alleles were more evident among subjects ≤40 years, non-hypertension, non-hyperglycemia and non-low high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (all *P*<0.05). The area under the receiver operating curve of the combination of *VDR* SNP and exercise time for assessing NAFLD risk was slightly higher than that of only including exercise time or neither (all *P*<0.05).

Conclusion: High serum VD levels and VDR variants (rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A) might contribute to a low risk of NAFLD in Chinese Han population. The inclusion of VDR SNP and exercise time could improve the efficiency in assessment of NAFLD risk, which might provide a novel perspective for early screening and preventing NAFLD.

Keywords: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), vitamin D (VD) deficiency, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3, vitamin D receptor (VDR), genetic variation, risk assessment

INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), as the most prevalent liver disease worldwide, comprises a wide disease spectrum ranging from steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and NASH-related cirrhosis (1, 2). The reported prevalence reaches 10-30% in the United States, Europe and Asia (3, 4). Most patients with NAFLD are asymptomatic, and only few may complain of nonspecific symptoms, like discomfort, fatigue, and vague right upper abdominal pain (5), but NAFLD can increase the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) as well as cardiovascular disease (6), and NASH may develop into fibrosis, even causing cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (7). There are currently no approved therapies for NAFLD (8, 9), and it is still necessary to explore the potential influencing factors affecting the development and progression of NAFLD.

Many factors are associated with NAFLD including insulin resistance (IR), metabolic syndrome and genetic variation (10, 11). Studies have increasingly found that vitamin D (VD) can affect the development of NAFLD by regulating IR (12), immune inflammation (13), lipid metabolism and target gene expression (14). Vitamin D deficiency is implicated in NAFLD etiology (15, 16), insulin resistance (17), visceral obesity, and metabolic syndrome (18). A meta-analysis found that compared to controls, patients with NAFLD had a lower level of VD (0.36 ng/mL) and were 1.26 times more likely to be VD-deficient (19). A population-based case-control study in China found that low serum VD was associated with advanced liver fibrosis in NAFLD patients (20). However, another systematic review and metaanalysis suggested that serum VD level might not change with NAFLD histologic severity (21). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in Italy suggested that although high-dose oral VD supplementation could increase the serum VD level, no effect was shown on hepatic steatosis or metabolic/cardiovascular parameters in T2DM patients with NAFLD (22). Thus, the relationship between VD and NAFLD was controversial.

VD must be transported, hydroxylated and finally combined with vitamin D receptor (VDR) to accomplish its biological roles (23). Serum VD may produce different biological effects due to genetic heterogeneity, which may help to explain why some people are prone to NAFLD, while others are not (even if they have metabolic syndrome components). Thus, exploring the influence of genetic variation on NAFLD related to VD metabolism is necessary. *VDR*, a key gene in VD metabolic pathway and a member of steroid/thyroid hormone receptor family (24), transcriptionally activates target genes after binding to vitamin D responsive elements localized in the promoter regions (25). These target genes mediate various NAFLDassociated processes, including lipid and glucose metabolism, cholesterol efflux and bile acid homeostasis, hepatic fibrogenesis, cellular differentiation, apoptosis and immune response (26, 27).

Notably, NAFLD is considered a complex disease trait such that interactions between the environment and a susceptible genetic host background influence disease development and progression (2). Studying data suggests that genetic background may influence the response to lifestyle modification. For example, reduction in liver fat and liver enzyme levels in response to weight loss was larger in subjects homozygous for the PNPLA3 I148M variant compared to non-carriers (28, 29). However, there is little information available on the association between environmental behaviors and *VDR* polymorphisms in the development and progression of NAFLD. Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the effects of serum VD level, *VDR* variation, and a combination of *VDR* SNP and environmental behavior factor on the risk of NAFLD.

METHODS

Participants and Study Design

A total of 3023 subjects from a community in Nanjing (Jiangsu, China) were enrolled in this case-control study during July to September 2018. The NAFLD cases were recruited from those diagnosed based on "Guideline of prevention and treatment for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a 2018 update". NAFLD can be diagnosed if the following items 1-4 coexist with the fifth or sixth item: (1) no drinking or history of overdose drinking (less than 210g/week ethanol for men and 140g/week for women in the past 12 months); (2) excluding drug hepatitis, hepatitis C virus genotype 3 infection, hepatolenticular degeneration and other specific diseases that could result in fatty liver; (3) serum levels of transaminase and γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (γ -GT) increase mildly to moderately (<5 times above the upper normal limit), usually presenting as an increase of alanine aminotransferase (ALT); (4) metabolic syndrome constituents such as visceral obesity, hyperglycemia, blood lipid disorder and hypertension; (5) the result of liver imaging study meets the imaging diagnostic criteria of diffuse fatty liver; (6) the histological findings of liver biopsy meet the pathological diagnostic criteria of fatty liver disease. The non-NAFLD controls were collected from the same community during the study period and randomly assigned to the control group. The constituent ratios of gender and age between cases and controls were considered similar, according the results of frequency-matching.

Excluded were: (1) less than 18 years old; (2) subjects with infection, acute or chronic gastrointestinal diseases, autoimmune diseases or malignant tumors; (3) subjects with history of other viral hepatitis, alcoholic liver disease or primary liver cancer; (4) subjects of excessive drinking (alcohol consumption \geq 30g/d in males and \geq 20g/d in females); (5) subjects who received a liver transplant within the previous year, or had complications of advanced liver disease (varicose veins, ascites, etc.); (6) subjects with drug-induced fatty hepatitis; (7) subjects with history of psychiatric disorders.

By reviewing the literature, we assumed the frequency of gene mutation in the general population was 20%, odds ratio (OR) was 1.5, two-sided test α was 0.05, and power of test (1- β) was 90%. Therefore, the minimum sample size was estimated by NCSS-PASS 11 software (Dawson edition; Kaysville, UT) to be 784. This study had a sample size large enough to guarantee the production of reliable results.

The current study protocol was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Institutional

Ethics Review Committee of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China). Written informed consent was obtained before blood test and genetic analysis.

Collection of Basic Data and Blood Samples

Self-designed questionnaires and an electronic medical record system were used to collect the demographic and clinical characteristics of all participants. 5-mL ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulant venous blood was collected from each subject in the morning after an overnight fast. Within 2 hours, the serum and blood cells in each blood sample were separated and frozen at -80°C until further serological tests and genotyping assays.

Serum 25(OH)D₃ Level Detection

In two groups (gender and age matched at a ratio of 1:1, 336 subjects in the NAFLD group and 336 in the control group), the serum $25(OH)D_3$ level was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Human 25-Dihydroxy vitamin D3 (25(OH)D3) ELISA Kit; Jin Yibai Biological Technology Co., Ltd.; Nanjing, China).

SNP Selection and Genotyping

Target SNPs with potential biological function were selected to better identify the susceptibility sites that affect the development of NAFLD. The selection processes were as follows: (1) Downloading the genotype database of VDR gene in Han Chinese in Beijing (CHB) from the 1000 Genomes Project database (http://asia.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/Info/Index); (2) Importing the genotype database into the Haploview software (version 4.2; Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA). Setting parameters: Hardy-Weinberg P-value cutoff = 0.05; minor allele frequency (MAF) = 0.05; r² threshold = 0.8. At this point, 56 tagging SNPs (tagSNPs) were captured; (3) Searching for literature in which these potential tagSNPs were associated with NAFLD, hypertension, type 2 diabetes, hyperlipidemia, metabolic syndrome, inflammatory diseases or immune-related disorders. Finally, eight disease-related target SNPs of VDR gene were selected, including rs3782905 (C>G), rs3847987 (C>A), rs11574129 (T>C), rs2228570 (C>A), rs11568820 (G>A), rs739837 (G>T), rs7975232 (C>A) and rs11168287 (G>A).

Genomic DNA was isolated from EDTA anticoagulated blood samples using magnetic bead method (blood genomic extraction kit; Pangu Genome Nanotechnology Co., Ltd.; Nanjing, China). The eight SNPs were genotyped using TaqMan allelic discrimination assays on the ABI 9700 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA; catalog numbers: C:_3290647_10, C:_2404006_10, C_175992105_10, C:12060045_20, C:_2880808_10, C:_2404007_10, C:28977635_10, C:_2404006_10). The quality control of experimental data was as follows: (1) blinding was adopted in genotyping, so that all laboratory personnel were unclear about the clinical data of the subjects; (2) 10% of the samples were randomly selected for repeated experiments with a repeatability of 100%. The success rates of genotyping all SNPs were higher than 99% in this study.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were processed using SPSS (version 22.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MedCalc (Version 19.1, Ostend, Belgium). Distributions of demographic and clinical characteristics of two groups were compared using χ^2 -test, student's t test or Mann-Whitney U test, wherever appropriate. Logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for quantifying the association of serum 25(OH)D₃ level with the risk of NAFLD. The correlation of serum 25(OH)D₃ level with VDR SNPs was assessed using general linear regression model adjusted for gender and age. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested using a goodness of-fit χ^2 -test among the control subjects. The relationships between VDR SNPs and the risk of NAFLD were analyzed by dominant model (heterozygote + mutant homozygote VS. wild homozygote), recessive model (mutant homozygote VS. wild homozygote + heterozygote), and additive model (mutant homozygote VS. heterozygote VS. wild homozygote), respectively. For multiple SNPs comparisons, false discovery rate (FDR) correction was used and the $P_{\rm FDR}$ value \leq 0.25 was regarded as modest confidence that the correlation represented a positive result (30). Subgroup analysis was performed for positive SNPs, and Q test was performed to calculate the heterogeneity between subgroups. The area under the receiver operating curves (AUROCs) was performed to assess the predictive power of the combination of positive SNPs, environmental behavior factors and mainly related clinical factors for NAFLD risk. A two-tailed test with a P value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant in all analyses.

RESULTS

Basic Characteristics of Participants

The demographic and clinical characteristics of 1120 NAFLD cases and 1903 controls were summarized in **Table 1**. No significant differences were observed in the distribution of gender and age between the two groups (all P>0.05). However, there were significant differences in exercise time, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), glucose (GLU), γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (γ -GT), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), direct bilirubin (DBIL), total bilirubin (TBIL) and serum 25(OH)D₃ levels (all P<0.05).

Association Between Serum 25(OH)D₃ Level and NAFLD Risk

According to the level of serum 25(OH)D₃, 672 participants were divided into three categories: VD deficiency (<20ng/mL), VD insufficiency (20ng/mL-30ng/mL) and VD sufficiency (\geq 30ng/mL) (31). The constituent ratios of three categories were 60.9%

TABLE 1	Distributions and	comparisons of	demographic and	clinical characteristics	between NAFLE) case and control	groups
			0.0.1.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0				3

Variables	NAFLD cases (N=1120)	Controls (N=1903)	$\chi^2/t/Z$	Р
Gender			2.324	0.127 ^a
male	948 (84.6)	1570 (82.5)		
female	172 (15.4)	333 (17.5)		
Age (years)			1.107	0.293 ^a
≤40	596 (53.2)	975 (51.2)		
>40	524 (46.8)	928 (48.8)		
mean ± SD	40.48 ± 8.80	39.89 ± 9.78	-1.649	0.099 ^b
Exercise time (min/week)			8.556	0.003 ^a
<150	622 (58.6)	995 (53.0)		
≥150	440 (41.4)	883 (47.0)		
mean ± SD	144.09 ± 41.77	150.06 ± 43.82	3.609	<0.001 ^b
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.36 ± 2.53	22.80 ± 2.48	-27.235	<0.001 ^b
WC (cm)	88.47 ± 7.85	81.68 ± 7.59	-23.462	<0.001 ^b
SBP (mmHg)	130.58 ± 15.08	124.70 ± 13.70	-10.700	<0.001 ^b
DBP (mmHg)	79.48 ± 10.86	74.81 ± 9.38	-12.134	<0.001 ^b
TG (mmol/L)	1.96 (1.43, 2.69)	1.06 (0.79, 1.41)	-23.408	<0.001 [°]
TC (mmol/L)	4.84 (4.27, 5.42)	4.45 (4.05, 5.03)	-7.804	<0.001 [°]
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.00 (0.85, 1.18)	1.27 (1.07, 1.48)	-18.179	<0.001 [°]
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.82 (2.20, 3.33)	2.63 (2.24, 3.10)	-4.424	<0.001 [°]
GLU (mmol/L)	3.13 (2.39, 5.00)	3.91 (2.32, 4.73)	-5.754	<0.001 [°]
γ-GT (U/L)	35.00 (24.00, 55.00)	17.00 (13.00, 25.00)	-20.121	<0.001 [°]
ALT (U/L)	36.00 (25.00, 54.50)	18.00 (13.25, 25.00)	-19.872	<0.001 [°]
AST (U/L)	24.00 (19.00, 31.00)	19.00 (16.00, 21.00)	-11.789	<0.001 [°]
DBIL (µmol/L)	4.01 (3.21 5.21)	4.20 (3.32, 5.23)	-5.025	<0.001 [°]
TBIL (µmol/L)	13.59 (10.71, 18.39)	13.85 (11.21, 18.21)	-3.267	0.001 °
25(OH)D ₃ (ng/mL) ^d			22.575	<0.001 ª
<20	130 (71.0)	167 (49.7)		
20-30	27 (14.8)	97 (28.9)		
≥30	26 (14.2)	72 (21.4)		
median (IQR)	16.06 (12.21, 20.78)	20.00 (16.84, 26.68)	-7.168	<0.001 [°]

NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high density liptein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-chesterol; GLU, glucose; γ GT, γ glutamyl transpeptidase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TBIL, total bilirubin; 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3; IQR, interquartile range.

 $^{a}\chi^{2}$ -test among two groups.

^bStudent's t test among two groups.

^cMann-Whitney U test among two groups.

 $^{\rm d}{\rm serum}$ 25(OH)D_3 levels were measured in 336 NAFLD cases and 336 controls.

Bold type indicates statistically significant results.

(409/672), 21.4% (144/672), and 17.7% (119/672), respectively. The prevalences of NAFLD in the three categories were 59.2% (242/409), 32.6% (47/144) and 39.5% (47/199), respectively, with significant differences ($\chi^2 = 36.366$, *P*<0.05). In addition, multiple comparisons showed that the prevalence of NAFLD in patients with VD deficiency was significantly higher than that in patients with VD deficiency or VD sufficient (all *P*_{Bonferroni}<0.017), but there was no significant difference in the prevalence of NAFLD between categories with VD insufficiency and VD sufficiency (*P*_{Bonferroni}>0.017) (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Further logistic regression analysis suggested that compared to VD deficiency, VD sufficiency (crude OR=0.450, 95% CI=0.297-0.684, P<0.001) and VD insufficiency (crude OR=0.334, 95%CI=0.224-0.499, P<0.001) were associated with a lower risk of NAFLD, and this association was dose-dependent ($P_{\rm trend}$ <0.001) (model 1). After adjusting for gender, age, BMI and SBP (model 2), the subjects with VD sufficiency (adjusted OR=0.477, 95%CI=0.277-0.821, P=0.008) and VD insufficiency (adjusted OR=0.344, 95%CI=0.206-0.573, P<0.001) all had significantly decreased risk of NAFLD compared with those with VD deficiency, and a significant locus-dosage effect of VD

level on NAFLD risk was also observed (P_{trend} <0.001). When adjusting for the above factors and TG, HDL-C, GLU (model 3), the risk of NAFLD still decreased significantly with the increase of VD level (VD sufficiency vs. VD deficiency: adjusted OR=0.552, 95%CI=0.305-0.998, *P*=0.049; VD insufficiency vs. VD deficiency: adjusted OR=0.298, 95%CI=0.165-0.539, *P*<0.001; P_{trend} =0.005) (**Table 2**).

Associations Between VDR SNPs and Serum 25(OH)D₃ Level

Serum $25(OH)D_3$ levels were Lg transformed into an approximately normal distribution, and SNPs were coded in an additive genetic model. The general linear regression analysis indicated that there were no significant associations between eight *VDR* SNPs and serum 25(OH)D₃ levels after adjusting for gender and age (all *P*>0.05) (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Association Between VDR SNPs and NAFLD Risk

The genotype distributions of the eight SNPs in both groups were shown in **Table 3**. After adjusting for gender and age, logistic

		, , ,		
	serum 25 (OH)D ₃ levels			
	VD deficiency (N=409)	VD insufficiency (N=144)	VD sufficiency (N=119)	
Model 1	1.00 (Ref)	0.334 (0.224, 0.499)	0.450 (0.297, 0.684)	<0.001
Ρ		<0.001	<0.001	
Model 2	1.00 (Ref)	0.344 (0.206, 0.573)	0.477 (0.277, 0.821)	<0.001
Р		<0.001	0.008	
Model 3	1.00 (Ref)	0.298 (0.165, 0.539)	0.552 (0.305, 0.998)	0.005
Р		<0.001	0.049	

TABLE 2 | Logistic regression analysis of the associations between serum 25(OH)D₃ levels and risk of NAFLD.

NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3.

VD deficiency, serum 25(OH)D₃ < 20ng/mL; VD insufficiency, 20ng/mL≤ serum 25(OH)D₃ < 30ng/mL; VD sufficiency, serum 25(OH)D₃ ≥ 30ng/mL.

Model 1, unadjusted; Model 2, adjusted for gender, age, BMI, SBP; Model 3, model 2 with additional adjustment for TG, HDL-C, GLU.

Bold type indicates statistically significant results.

regression analyses showed that *VDR* rs2228570-A variant (AA: adjusted OR=0.782, 95%CI=0.633-0.966, *P*=0.023; dominant model: adjusted OR=0.837, 95%CI=0.710-0.986, *P*=0.034; additive model: adjusted OR=0.883, 95%CI=0.794-0.981, *P*=0.020) and rs11168287-A variant (GA: adjusted OR=0.830, 95%CI=0.707-0.974, *P*=0.022; dominant model: adjusted OR=0.839, 95%CI=0.721-0.976, *P*=0.023) all significantly reduced the risk of NAFLD in different models. Under the premise of FDR threshold \leq 0.25, FDR revealed with modest confidence that the associations between the two SNPs and a low risk of NAFLD were positive (all *P*_{FDR}=0.136, in dominant model; **Supplementary Table 2**).

In addition, the combined effects of *VDR* variants rs2228570 and rs11168287 on the risk of NAFLD were estimated by the number of favorable alleles from the two SNPs, as shown in **Supplementary Table 3**. The subjects were first divided into three groups with "0", "1-2" and "3-4" favorable alleles. Compared with those who had 0 favorable alleles, subjects with "3-4" favorable alleles had significantly decreased risk of NAFLD (adjusted OR=0.750, 95%CI=0.577-0.974, P=0.031) and the more favorable alleles, the lower NAFLD risk, suggesting a significant locus-dosage effect of the combined alleles on NAFLD risk (P_{trend} =0.039). The subjects were then distributed into two groups with "0" and "1-4" favorable alleles, and we found that the presence of "1-4" alleles was related to a 0.798-fold lower risk of NAFLD (adjusted 95% CI=0.644-0.990, P=0.040).

We further performed the stratification analyses to evaluate the combined effects of *VDR* rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A alleles on the risk of NAFLD adjusted with gender and age. As shown in **Supplementary Figure 2**, the combined protective effects of two alleles were more prominent in subjects \leq 40 years (adjusted OR=0.696, 95%CI=0.515-0.940, *P*=0.018), nonhypertension (adjusted OR=0.770, 95%CI=0.602-0.986, *P*=0.038), non-hyperglycemia (adjusted OR=0.791, 95% CI=0.631-0.991, *P*=0.042) and non-low HDL-C (adjusted OR=0.710, 95%CI=0.536-0.942, *P*=0.018). The heterogeneity test discovered no significant differences among all the subgroups (all *P*>0.05).

Influence Factors of NAFLD

A stepwise regression model were performed with gender, age, visceral obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia,

Low HDL-C, ALT, exercise time, rs2228570 and rs11168287. The coding of each variable was described in **Supplementary Table 4**. The results showed that age \leq 40 years (OR=0.716, 95%CI=0.583-0.879, *P*=0.001), exercise time \geq 150 min/week (OR=0.798, 95% CI=0.661-0.963, *P*=0.019) and rs2228570-A (OR=0.768, 95% CI=0.626-0.942, *P*=0.011) were independent protective factors of NAFLD. Conversely, visceral obesity (OR=3.653, 95%CI=2.984-4.471, *P*<0.001), hypertension (OR=1.654, 95%CI=1.290-2.121, *P*<0.001), hypertriglyceridemia (OR=3.455, 95%CI=2.784-4.288, *P*<0.001), Low HDL-C (OR=1.879, 95%CI=1.536-2.299, *P*<0.001) and ALT > 40U/L (OR=2.729, 95%CI=2.060-3.615, *P*<0.001) were independent risk factors of NAFLD (**Table 4**).

We further constructed combined factors based on the above factors for assessing NAFLD risk, and the combined factor 1 (a combination of clinical factors) = $(-1.588) + (-0.229) \times age +$ $1.333 \times \text{visceral obesity} + 0.484 \times \text{hypertension} + 1.233 \times$ hypertriglyceridemia + 0.621 × Low HDL-C + 0.939 × ALT. Similarly, combined factor 2 (a combination of clinical factors and exercise time) = $(-1.448) + (-0.254) \times age + 1.294 \times visceral$ obesity + $0.512 \times$ hypertension + $1.222 \times$ hypertriglyceridemia + $0.610 \times \text{low HDL-C} + 0.958 \times \text{ALT} + (-0.220) \times \text{exercise time};$ combined factor 3 (a combination of clinical factors, exercise time and SNP genetic variant) = $(-1.519) + (-0.334) \times age +$ $1.296 \times \text{visceral obesity} + 0.503 \times \text{hypertension} + 1.240 \times$ hypertriglyceridemia + 0.631 × low HDL-C + 1.004 × ALT + $(-0.225) \times$ exercise time + $(-0.264) \times$ rs2228570. In **Figure 1**, the AUROCs of these combined factors were 0.770, 0.774, and 0.780, respectively, and the AUROC of combined factor 3 for assessing NAFLD risk was slightly higher than that of combined factor 2 (0.780 vs. 0.774, 95%CI=0.002-0.011, P=0.007) and combined factor 1 (0.780 vs. 0.770, 95%CI=0.005-0.016, P<0.001).

DISCUSSION

In the current study, our findings suggested that high serum vitamin D level, *VDR* rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A were associated with a decreased risk of NAFLD, and the combination of *VDR* rs2228570 and exercise time was more effective in the risk assessment of NAFLD.

Accumulative evidence suggests that VD deficiency is highly prevalent among the general population in China (32), and low

TABLE 3 Genotype distributions of VDR polymorphisms among the two study groups and association analyses of these eight SNPs and NAFLD.

Hard St2500C-CC 756 (80.3) 1328 (71.1) 1.00 (Pel) CG 286 (27.1) 475 (25.4) 1.087 (0.52, 1.282) 0.319 Dominant model 0.940 (0.75, 1.244) 0.897 (0.22, 1.282) 0.319 Recessive model 0.940 (0.75, 1.244) 0.897 (0.75, 1.244) 0.847 (0.75, 1.244) 0.847 (0.75, 1.244) Additve model 1.05 (0.93, 1.123) 0.724 0.940 (0.75, 1.252) 0.442 Additve model 1.05 (0.93, 1.133) 0.724 0.960 (0.75, 1.553) 0.721 Dominant model 1.057 (0.17, 1.503) 0.844 0.741 0.960 (0.22, 1.244) 0.422 Recessive model 1.057 (0.17, 1.503) 0.844 0.960 (0.22, 1.242) 0.900 (0.32, 1.137) 0.744 TC 311 (28.4) 669 (0.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 TC 314 (28.7) 1.240 (0.77, 1.983) 0.303 0.346 TC 314 (28.4) 669 (0.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 TC 314 (28.4) 669 (0.2) 0.979 (0.551, 1.127) 0.760 CC 34 (3.1	SNP	NAFLD cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	P ^a
CG 298 (27, 1) 475 (25, 4) 0.097 (0.022, 1.282) 0.319 GG 39 (3.6) 65 (3.5) 0.090 (0.725, 1.244) 0.870 Dominant model 0.094 (0.752, 1.244) 0.675 0.588 Addhe model 1.015 (0.000, 1.133) 0.794 rs8347987-CC 673 (6.5) 1172 (82,7) 1.00 (9.072, 1.543) 0.774 CA 377 (38,4) 619 (53,1) 1.005 (0.072, 1.543) 0.781 CA 377 (38,4) 619 (63,2) 1.007 (0.72, 1.543) 0.781 Dominant model 1.005 (0.017, 1.282) 0.442 0.437 (0.715, 1.503) 0.848 Addhe model 1.005 (0.02, 1.244) 0.438 0.937 (0.715, 1.503) 0.848 Addhe model 1.005 (0.02, 1.147) 0.438 0.947 (0.781, 0.00) 0.347 CC 331 (28,4) 126 (67.2) 1.00 (Pel) 0.797 (0.851, 1.197) 0.368 CC 331 (28,4) 138 (0.60) 0.337 0.597 (0.71, 1.893) 0.338 Dominant model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 0.578 (0.71, 1.893)	rs3782905-CC	756 (69.3)	1328 (71.1)	1.00 (Ref)	
GG 39 (3.6) 65 (3.7) 0.96 (0.773, 1.24) 0.870 Boensake model 0.94 (0.752, 1.173) 0.586 Recesske model 1.05 (0.96), 1.333 0.794 rs384 7987-CC 673 (61.5) 1172 (62.7) 1.00 (Fe) CA 372 (34.0) 161 (9.33.1) 1.05 (0.907, 1.25.2) 0.42 AA 46 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1.06 (0.02, 1.13) 0.648 Deminant model 1.037 (0.715, 1.533) 0.848 0.428 Actitive model 1.037 (0.715, 1.533) 0.848 0.428 TC 311 (28.4) 569 (60.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 CC 34 (3.1) 42 (8.2) 1.212 (0.77, 1.863) 0.347 TC 311 (28.4) 569 (60.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 CA 357 (48.8) 9.33 (40.6) 1.212 (0.77, 1.863) 0.347 TC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Fe) C CA 537 (48.8) 9.33 (40.6) 0.837 (0.710, 0.896) 0.0342 CA 555	CG	296 (27.1)	475 (25.4)	1.087 (0.922, 1.282)	0.319
Dominant model	GG	39 (3.6)	65 (3.5)	0.980 (0.773, 1.244)	0.870
Recessive model 1.015 (0.099, 1.132) 0.744 rs3847987-CC 673 (61.5) 1172 (62.7) 1.00 (Pe0) CA 372 (34.0) 619 (35.1) 1.056 (0.072, 1.54.3) 0.442 A4 49 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1.066 (0.072, 1.54.3) 0.442 CA 372 (34.0) 619 (35.1) 1.056 (0.072, 1.54.3) 0.442 Additive model 1.037 (0.715, 1.503) 0.848 Additive model 0.436 Ca 311 (28.4) 569 (30.2) 0.224 (0.73, 1.090) 0.347 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.77, 1.839) 0.338 Additive model 1241 (0.77, 1.339) 0.338 0.348 0.346 (0.806, 1.111) 0.507 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.77, 1.839) 0.338 0.338 0.338 0.338 0.348 0.349 0.346 (0.806, 1.117) 0.506 0.477 (0.339) 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.349 0.34	Dominant model			1.061 (0.909, 1.238)	0.455
Additye model U15 (0.093, 1.133) 0.794 CA 372 (34.0) 619 (33.1) 1.065 (0.907, 1252) 0.442 CA 372 (34.0) 619 (33.1) 1.065 (0.907, 1252) 0.442 CA 49 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1.068 (0.912, 1.241 0.428 Caditye model 1.056 (0.927, 1.532) 0.842 Recessive model 1.056 (0.927, 1.532) 0.842 Additye model 1.056 (0.728, 1.090) 0.347 CG 314 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.024 (0.788, 1.090) 0.347 CG 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.024 (0.778, 1.930) 0.338 Pominart model 0.946 (0.866, 1.111) 0.501 0.347 CA 357 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.246 (0.850, 1.1127) 0.789 CA 257 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.246 (0.830, 1.118) 0.097 CA 357 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.463 (0.725, 1.028) 0.097 CA 257 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.457 (0.751, 1.028) 0.097 CA 257 (48.8) 933 (Recessive model			0.940 (0.752, 1.175)	0.586
rs3847967-CC 973 (61.5) 1172 (62.7) 1.00 (Pef) CA 372 (24.0) 619 (33.1) 1.065 (0.907, 1.252) 0.442 AA 49 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1.006 (0.272, 1.543) 0.761 Deminant model 1.005 (0.912, 1.243) 0.488 Addite model 1.005 (0.912, 1.243) 0.488 Addite model 1.007 (0.718, 1.503) 0.848 Addite model 1.007 (0.718, 1.503) 0.347 TC 311 (28.4) 659 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.000) 0.347 TC 311 (28.4) 659 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.000) 0.347 TC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.77, 1.833) 0.338 Addite model 0.979 (0.851, 1.117) 0.769 Deminant model 0.979 (0.851, 1.117) 0.769 Addite model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 Addite model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 Addite model 0.983 (0.76, 0.883 (0.76, 0.983, 0.986) 0.023 Deminant model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 Addite model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 Addite model 0.983 (0.76, 0.883 (0.75, 1.028) 0.099 Ad 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.782 (0.833, 0.966) 0.023 Deminant model 0.857 (0.716, 0.966) 0.034 Recessive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.966) 0.034 Recessive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.966) 0.034 Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.178) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.983 (0.764, 0.803, 1.168) 0.020 rs1156820-03G 372 (33.9) 556 (31.7) 1.00 (Pef) CA 550 (60.1) 551 (50.5) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.864, 1.044) 0.113 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 TT 34807-03G 566 (51.7) 381 (0.76, 0.833 (0.864, 1.044) 0.113 C176 (4.030, 1.343) 0.686 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 C106 (0.803, 1.433) 0.686 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 C1078 (0.803, 1.143) 0.048 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 C1078 (0.803, 1.143) 0.048 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.162) 0.580 C1078 (0.803, 1.143) 0.048 Addite model 0.919 (0.824, 1.162) 0.580 C1078 (0.803, 1.143) 0.048 Addite model 0.000 (0.77, 0.974) 0.028 Addite model 0.057 (0.834, 1.162) 0.585 C1078 (0.838, 1.168) 0.0776 (0.927 A 486 (6.45) 790 (8.89) 1.00 (Pef) CA 486 (6.45) 790 (8.89) 1.00 (Pef) CA 486 (6.45) 790 (Additive model			1.015 (0.909, 1.133)	0.794
CA 372 (34.0) 619 (31) 1.065 (0.071, 1.252) 0.442 AA 49 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1.065 (0.071, 1.252) 0.442 Deminent model 1.065 (0.071, 1.253) 0.848 Recessive model 1.065 (0.021, 1.163) 0.848 Additive model 1.060 (0.221, 1.163) 0.848 Recessive model 1.006 (0.221, 1.163) 0.848 TC 311 (28.4) 659 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.060) 0.347 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.777, 1.803) 0.338 Dominant model 0.946 (0.306, 1.111) 0.501 0.363 (0.728, 1.028) 0.039 Recessive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.788 0.783 0.786 0.338 Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.788 0.039 0.228 0.039 0.283 0.796 0.039 Recessive model 0.837 (0.716, 0.0866) 0.034 0.283 (0.749, 0.9691) 0.0097 Additive model 0.837 (0.716, 0.0866) 0.034 0.838 (0.749, 0.961) 0.637 (0.716, 0.0966) 0.034<	rs3847987-CC	673 (61.5)	1172 (62.7)	1.00 (Ref)	
AA 49 (4.5) 79 (4.2) 1000 (0.728, 15.43) 0.761 Demnant model 1.037 (0.715, 1.503) 0.848 Additive model 1.050 (0.321, 1.137) 0.482 Additive model 1.050 (0.321, 1.137) 0.848 Additive model 1.050 (0.321, 1.137) 0.848 TC 311 (28.4) 659 (30.2) 0.2924 (0.783, 1.080) 0.347 CG 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.77, 1.803) 0.338 Demnant model 0.946 (0.806, 1.111) 0.501 Recessive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.803) 0.338 Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 Recessive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 CA 337 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.803 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (2.9) 0.762 (0.833, 0.966) 0.034 Recessive model 0.877 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.876 (0.715, 1.028) 0.099 Additive model 0.876 (0.716, 1.028) 0.083 (0.749, 0.081) 0.081 0.034 Recessive model 0.977 (0.83, 0.755) 0.285 0.9	CA	372 (34.0)	619 (33.1)	1.065 (0.907, 1.252)	0.442
Dominant model 1.066 (0.912, 1.244) 0.428 Recessive model 1.050 (0.921, 1.197) 0.462 Additive model 1.050 (0.921, 1.197) 0.462 Ta 1574129-TT 752 (88.6) 1266 (67.2) 1.00 (Ref) 0.397 TC 311 (28.4) 569 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.397 Dominant model 1.212 (0.777, 1.393) 0.338 Additive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.333) 0.338 Additive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.333) 0.338 Additive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.333) 0.338 FrazzetsG70-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) 0.099 Ad 25 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.752 (0.633, 0.566) 0.023 Additive model 0.657 (0.715, 1.028) 0.039 0.001 0.099 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.039 0.057 0.039 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.039 0.057 0.059 Statissessee model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.059 0.051 0.051 </td <td>AA</td> <td>49 (4.5)</td> <td>79 (4.2)</td> <td>1.060 (0.728, 1.543)</td> <td>0.761</td>	AA	49 (4.5)	79 (4.2)	1.060 (0.728, 1.543)	0.761
Recessive model 1.037 (0.715, 1.503) 0.848 11574129-TT 752 (68.6) 1266 (67.2) 1.00 (Re) TC 311 (28.4) 659 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 TC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 0.1212 (0.777, 1.983) 0.397 Dominant model 0.946 (0.806, 1.111) 0.501 Recessive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 rs2228570-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 0.007 (0.853, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.979 (0.853, 0.966) 0.023 0.037 0.037 (0.700, 0.986) 0.023 Dominant model 0.857 (0.710, 0.986) 0.023 0.097 0.858 (0.740, 0.981) 0.007 Additive model 0.857 (0.710, 0.986) 0.034 0.087 0.715, 1.028 0.097 Additive model 0.857 (0.710, 0.986) 0.034 0.087 0.017 0.007 Additive model 0.857 (0.710, 0.986) 0.037 0.007 0.857 (0.710, 0.986) 0.032 Secossive model 0.971 (0.783, 1.076) 0.825 0.857 (0.710, 0.976)	Dominant model			1.065 (0.912, 1.244)	0.428
Addity emodel 1.050 (0.021, 1.197) 0.461 rs11574129-TT 752 (68.6) 1266 (67.2) 1.00 (Ref) 0.247 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.777, 1.839) 0.337 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.777, 1.839) 0.337 CC 33 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 CA 537 (48.8) 933 (40.6) 0.863 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 Additive model 0.379 (0.761, 0.386) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.866) 0.023 Recessive model 0.837 (0.710, 0.866) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.866) 0.023 Recessive model 0.837 (0.710, 0.866) 0.023 CA 550 (50.1) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Fef) 0.979 Additive model 0.979 (0.83, 1.073) 0.285 0.275 CA 550 (50.1) 596 (31.7) 0.083 (0.64, 1.044) 0.118 Dominant model 0.979 (0.83, 1.075) 0.285 0.275 0.285 Recessive model 0.979 (0	Recessive model			1.037 (0.715,1.503)	0.848
rs1157 128.1129.TT 752 (88.6) 1266 (67.2) 1.00 (Ref) TC 311 (28.4) 569 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.090) 0.347 TC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.777, 1.839 0.397 Dominant model Recessive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 rs222870-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) CA 537 (48.8) 933 (46.6) 0.833 (0.56) 0.023 Dominant model CA 537 (48.8) 933 (46.6) 0.833 (0.57, 1.028) 0.099 AA 252 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.782 (0.633, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model Recessive model 0.837 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.937 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.937 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.937 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.947 (0.804, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 351 (50.6) 0.947 (0.804, 1.014) 0.113 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 93 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 817 (81.7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.007 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 779 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 817 (81.7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.007 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 817 (81.7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.003 (0.57, 1.77) 0.957 AA 188 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.488, 1.474) 0.458 Dominant model 0.006 (0.292, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.179) 0.957 AA 88 (8.0) 1.35 (7.2) 1.118 (0.248, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model CA 446 (40.6) 770 (3.9.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (4.	Additive model			1.050 (0.921, 1.197)	0.462
TC 311 (28.4) 569 (30.2) 0.924 (0.783, 1.000) 0.347 CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.777, 1.933) 0.339 CD 0.946 (0.806, 1.111) 0.501 0.936 (0.806, 1.112) 0.530 Recessive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.933) 0.338 0.937 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 CA 537 (48.6) 933 (49.6) 0.9363 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (2.9) 0.782 (0.83, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.966) 0.039 0.0397 Additwe model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (2.9) 0.782 (0.633, 0.966) 0.023 0.857 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additwe model 0.857 (0.716, 1.028) 0.997 0.485 (0.740, 981) 0.020 ST11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) 0.878 (0.703, 1.075) 0.285 CA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.830, 1.118) 0.519 0.488 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285	rs11574129-TT	752 (68.6)	1266 (67.2)	1.00 (Ref)	
CC 34 (3.1) 48 (2.5) 1.212 (0.77, 1.833) 0.397 Dominant model 0.946 (0.806, 1.111) 0.501 Recessive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.789 S7222570-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) CA 537 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.863 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.782 (0633, 0966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.716, 0.986) 0.034 0.037 0.007 Addtive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.039 0.007 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.039 Addtive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.039 0.007 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.097 Addtive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.087 0.097 0.858 (0.794, 0.991) 0.027 S11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) 0.913 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 S11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (51.7) 9.833 (0.794, 0.991) 0.131 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 0.833 (0.	TC	311 (28.4)	569 (30.2)	0.924 (0.783, 1.090)	0.347
Dominant model 0.946 (0.806, 1.111) 0.501 Recessive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.333) 0.338 Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 CA 537 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.883 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 A 225 (20.4) 431 (2.9) 0.782 (0.833, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.986) 0.039 0.099 Accessive model 0.838 (0.774, 0.986) 0.032 Dominant model 0.837 (0.716, 1.028) 0.099 Additive model 0.837 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.957 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.957 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Additive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 T 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.06 (8.93, 1.224) 0.563 T 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.06 (8.93, 1.224) 0.563 T 448 (40.9)	CC	34 (3.1)	48 (2.5)	1.212 (0.777, 1.893)	0.397
Recessive model 1.241 (0.797, 1.933) 0.338 Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 rs222857-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) CA 537 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.863 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.762 (0.633, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.966) 0.034 0.657 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 0.658 (0.740, 0.961) 0.091 Additive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.966) 0.034 0.658 (0.751, 0.28) 0.097 Additive model 0.857 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 0.658 (0.764, 0.981) 0.500 Additive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.054) 0.148 0.759 (0.838, 1.724) 0.583 Additive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.055) 0.917 0.783 0.750 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.025) 0.128 0.533 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540	Dominant model			0.946 (0.806, 1.111)	0.501
Additive model 0.979 (0.851, 1.127) 0.769 rs2228570-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) CA 537 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.838 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.7782 (0633, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.966) 0.033 0.037 Recessive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Statistic model 0.857 (0.716, 0.968) 0.023 Additive model 0.863 (0.794, 0.981) 0.023 Statistic model 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 0.285 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.024) 0.500 Statistic model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 Additive model 1.007 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.450	Recessive model			1.241 (0.797, 1.933)	0.338
rs2228570-CC 339 (30.6) 518 (27.5) 1.00 (Ref) CA 537 (48.8) 933 (49.6) 0.863 (0.725, 10.28) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.762 (0.633, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.034 Recessive model 0.857 (0.716, 0.986) 0.037 Additive model 0.857 (0.715, 10.28) 0.097 rs11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 0.947 (0.833, 1.054) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) 0.135 0.464 GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 0.464 0.464 (0.92) 0.457 Recessive model 1.046 (0.929, 1	Additive model			0.979 (0.851, 1.127)	0.769
CA 537 (48.6) 933 (49.6) 0.863 (0.725, 1.028) 0.099 AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.782 (0.333, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.837 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.837 (0.716, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.838 (0.794, 0.991) 0.020 ST11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) 0.833 (0.64, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.957 (0.715, 1.028) 0.997 0.783, 1.075 0.285 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.188 0.148 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.188 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.183 1.037 0.540 0.553 (0.906, 1.224) 0.550 Cominant model 10.53 (0.990, 1.224) 0.550 0.540 0.553 (0.990, 1.224) 0.550 Cominant model 10.76 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616	rs2228570-CC	339 (30.6)	518 (27.5)	1.00 (Ref)	
AA 225 (20.4) 431 (22.9) 0.782 (0.633, 0.966) 0.023 Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.996) 0.034 Recessive model 0.883 (0.794, 0.981) 0.020 Additive model 0.883 (0.794, 0.981) 0.020 rs11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) 0.583 GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 0.563 CA 486 (40.9) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.877, 1.175) 0.967 CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.877, 1.175) 0.967 Recessive model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 0.428 Cominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770	СА	537 (48.8)	933 (49.6)	0.863 (0.725, 1.028)	0.099
Dominant model 0.837 (0.710, 0.986) 0.034 Recessive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.863 (0.794, 0.981) 0.020 rs11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.225 Recessive model 0.917 (0.783, 1.024) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 963 (52.3) 1.000 (Ref) 0.540 GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.508 1.056 (0.906, 1.243) 0.616 Additive model 1.035 (0.906, 1.243) 0.616 1.076 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 Additive model 1.036 (0.906, 1.243) 0.616 <td< td=""><td>AA</td><td>225 (20.4)</td><td>431 (22.9)</td><td>0.782 (0.633, 0.966)</td><td>0.023</td></td<>	AA	225 (20.4)	431 (22.9)	0.782 (0.633, 0.966)	0.023
Recessive model 0.857 (0.715, 1.028) 0.097 Additive model 0.883 (0.794, 0.991) 0.0007 rs11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) G GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.24) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.026 (0.829, 1.179) 0.457 rs7375232-CC 564 (51.4) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 CA 466 (40.6) 770 (Dominant model		. ,	0.837 (0.710, 0.986)	0.034
Additive model 0.883 (0.794, 0.961) 0.020 rs1158820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.183 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 0.500 Recessive model 1.056 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.006 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.007 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.923 Additive model 1.000 (Ref) 1.001 (Ref) 0.700 0.723 Additive model	Recessive model			0.857 (0.715, 1.028)	0.097
rs11568820-GG 372 (33.9) 596 (31.7) 1.00 (Ref) GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.285 Recessive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.046 (0.892, 1.178) 0.617 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.057 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 CR rs11188287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (44.5) 0.070 (38.9) 0.000 (35.7, 1.175) 0.967 AA 184 (46.45) 0.903 (48.1) 0.035 (0.921, 1.182) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (44.5) 0.903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.688, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.0583 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Recessive model 0.0593 (0.771, 0.770, 1.200) 0.734 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.868, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.0593 (0.771, 0.770, 1.200) 0.734 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.868, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.0593 (0.771, 0.770, 1.200) 0.734	Additive model			0.883 (0.794, 0.981)	0.020
GA 550 (50.1) 951 (50.6) 0.947 (0.801, 1.118) 0.519 AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.861 (0.703, 1.054) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.046 (0.292, 1.179) 0.457 rs7395232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 Ad 48 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428	rs11568820-GG	372 (33.9)	596 (31.7)	1.00 (Ref)	
AA 176 (16.0) 331 (17.6) 0.833 (0.664, 1.044) 0.113 Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.861 (0.703, 1.054) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.503 0.500 Recessive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.503 0.500 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.503 0.500 Recessive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.503 0.500 Additive model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.503 0.500 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) 0.977 CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 CA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.820, 1.162) <td< td=""><td>GA</td><td>550 (50.1)</td><td>951 (50.6)</td><td>0.947 (0.801, 1.118)</td><td>0.519</td></td<>	GA	550 (50.1)	951 (50.6)	0.947 (0.801, 1.118)	0.519
Dominant model 0.917 (0.783, 1.075) 0.285 Recessive model 0.861 (0.703, 1.054) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 8 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.000 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.800, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) </td <td>AA</td> <td>176 (16.0)</td> <td>331 (17.6)</td> <td>0.833 (0.664, 1.044)</td> <td>0.113</td>	AA	176 (16.0)	331 (17.6)	0.833 (0.664, 1.044)	0.113
Recessive model 0.861 (0.703, 1.054) 0.148 Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.042 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.000 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Additive model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.003 (0.877, 0.974) 0.022 Additive model 1.003 (0.877, 0.974) 0.022 Recessive model	Dominant model			0.917 (0.783, 1.075)	0.285
Additive model 0.919 (0.824, 1.025) 0.128 rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.152) 0.566 rs711168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.688, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.993 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 0.738 Recessive model 0.994 (0.810, 1.009) 0.073 <td>Recessive model</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.861 (0.703, 1.054)</td> <td>0.148</td>	Recessive model			0.861 (0.703, 1.054)	0.148
rs739837-GG 566 (51.7) 983 (52.3) 1.00 (Ref) GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.006 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.000 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.003 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.903 (48.1) 0.839 (0.721, 0.976) 0.022 <	Additive model			0.919 (0.824, 1.025)	0.128
GT 448 (40.9) 767 (40.8) 1.045 (0.893, 1.224) 0.583 TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.015 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.738 Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255	rs739837-GG	566 (51.7)	983 (52.3)	1.00 (Ref)	
TT 81 (7.4) 131 (7.0) 1.097 (0.817, 1.472) 0.540 Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.000 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.733 Additive model 0.964 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	GT	448 (40.9)	767 (40.8)	1.045 (0.893, 1.224)	0.583
Dominant model 1.053 (0.906, 1.224) 0.500 Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.073 0.023 Additive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738	Π	81 (7.4)	131 (7.0)	1.097 (0.817, 1.472)	0.540
Recessive model 1.076 (0.808, 1.433) 0.616 Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.904 (0.810 1 0.09) 0.971	Dominant model			1.053 (0.906, 1.224)	0.500
Additive model 1.046 (0.929, 1.179) 0.457 rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.903 (4.01 1.009) 0.713 Recessive model 0.964 (0.810 1.009) 0.971 0.971	Recessive model			1.076 (0.808, 1.433)	0.616
rs7975232-CC 564 (51.4) 978 (51.9) 1.00 (Ref) CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.971 0.971	Additive model			1.046 (0.929, 1.179)	0.457
CA 446 (40.6) 770 (40.9) 1.003 (0.857, 1.175) 0.967 AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.713 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.971 0.9071 0.9071	rs7975232-CC	564 (51.4)	978 (51.9)	1.00 (Ref)	
AA 88 (8.0) 135 (7.2) 1.118 (0.848, 1.474) 0.428 Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.016 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	СА	446 (40.6)	770 (40.9)	1.003 (0.857, 1.175)	0.967
Dominant model 1.023 (0.880, 1.188) 0.770 Recessive model 1.116 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.939 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	AA	88 (8.0)	135 (7.2)	1.118 (0.848, 1.474)	0.428
Recessive model 1.116 (0.854, 1.459) 0.420 Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model	Dominant model			1.023 (0.880, 1.188)	0.770
Additive model 1.035 (0.921, 1.162) 0.566 rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.939 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.971	Recessive model			1.116 (0.854, 1.459)	0.420
rs11168287-GG 466 (42.6) 730 (38.9) 1.00 (Ref) GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.839 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.718	Additive model			1.035 (0.921, 1.162)	0.566
GA 486 (44.5) 903 (48.1) 0.830 (0.707, 0.974) 0.022 AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.839 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	rs11168287-GG	466 (42.6)	730 (38.9)	1.00 (Ref)	
AA 141 (12.9) 243 (13.0) 0.872 (0.689, 1.104) 0.255 Dominant model 0.839 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	GA	486 (44.5)	903 (48.1)	0.830 (0.707, 0.974)	0.022
Dominant model 0.839 (0.721, 0.976) 0.023 Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	AA	141 (12.9)	243 (13.0)	0.872 (0.689, 1.104)	0,255
Recessive model 0.963 (0.773, 1.200) 0.738 Additive model 0.904 (0.810, 1.009) 0.071	Dominant model	()	- ()	0.839 (0.721, 0.976)	0,023
Additive model 0.904 (0.810,1.009) 0.071	Recessive model			0.963 (0.773. 1.200)	0.738
	Additive model			0.904 (0.810, 1.009)	0.071

VDR, vitamin D receptor; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

A pair of alleles such as C/G, if G is a less frequent gene, then dominant model (CG+GG vs. CC), recessive model (GG vs. CG+CC), and additive model (GG vs CG vs. CC). ^aLogistic regression model, adjusted for gender and age.

Bold type indicates statistically significant results.

VD level is a risk factor of NAFLD (33). VD poses protective effects on many other metabolic-related diseases, such as obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease (34–36). Consistently, our study manifested a high prevalence of NAFLD (59.2%) in the subjects with low serum $25(OH)D_3$ level (<20ng/mL), and VD deficiency might increase the risk of NAFLD. The regulatory mechanism of VD level and *VDR* activity in the development of NAFDL has not been fully elucidated yet (37, 38). Therefore, the

present study provides a new insight into the association between *VD*R genetic mutation and NAFLD risk.

The *VDR* SNPs rs7975232, rs2228570 were reported to be associated with VD deficiency (39, 40). However, other research showed no association of rs7975232, rs11568820, rs11574129 with serum $25(OH)D_3$ in a Han Chinese population (41). A case-control study in Caucasian population found no correlation between VD level and rs2228570 genotype (42). In our study, no significant associations were found between eight *VDR*

TABLE 4 Multivariate stepwise regress	ion analysis for independent factors of NAFLD.
---	--

Variables	β	SE	Wald	OR (95% CI)	Р
	-0.334	0.105	10.155	0.716 (0.583, 0.879)	0.001
Visceral obesity	1.296	0.103	157.695	3.653 (2.984, 4.471)	<0.001
Hypertension	0.503	1.27	15.733	1.654 (1.290, 2.121)	<0.001
Hypertriglyceridemia	1.240	0.110	126.586	3.455 (2.784, 4.288)	<0.001
Low HDL-C	0.631	0.103	37.538	1.879 (1.536, 2.299)	<0.001
ALT (>40 vs.≤40U/L)	1.004	0.143	48.990	2.729 (2.060, 3.615)	<0.001
Exercise time (≥150 vs. <150 min/week)	-0.225	0.096	5.511	0.798 (0.661, 0.963)	0.019
rs2228570 (CA+AA vs.CC)	-0.264	0.104	6.422	0.768 (0.626, 0.942)	0.011

NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; HDL-C, high density liptein cholesterol; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Bold type indicates statistically significant results.



FIGURE 1 | AUROCs comparison of the three combined factors to assess NAFLD risk. NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; AUROC, area under the receiver operating curve. combined factor 1: a combination of age, visceral obesity, blood pressure, TG, HDL-C and ALT; combined factor 2: a combination of combined factor 1 and exercise time; combined factor 3: a combination of combined factor 2 and VDR-rs2228570.

polymorphisms and $25(OH)D_3$ level either. Possible explanations include geographical location, racial backgrounds and sunlight exposure (43).

Our study suggested that the SNPs of rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A in *VDR* gene exhibited an association with the decrease in NAFLD risk, with an evident gene-gene combined effect. Metabolic impairment and alteration of the glucose-insulinhomeostasis are the primarily pathogenesis of NAFLD (44). Evidence indicated that the rs2228570 polymorphism of *VDR* gene was associated with the risk of fasting glucose in a Chinese Han population (45). It was found that the expression of *VDR* mRNA in the liver of obese individuals with biopsy-proven

NAFLD was higher than that of non-NAFLD (46). Study reported that the combination of rs2228570 (*FokI*), rs1544410 (*BsmI*) and rs731236 (*TaqI*) was involved in the risk of T2DM in north Indians (47). However, another study showed that *VDR*-rs2225780 genetic variation was not associated with T2DM in a Caucasian population (42). The possible explanation might have various ethnic groups. The Jackson Heart Study indicated that *VDR* variants are associated with abdominal visceral adipose tissue volume and adiponectin concentrations, but not with BMI or WC in African Americans (48), a finding that is consistent with the stratified analysis of the combined effect of the two positive SNPs in this study. Although there is no research on rs11168287

polymorphisms and NAFLD, we found that rs11168287 is situated in the peak of H3k4me1 and near the peak of transcription levels by searching the UCSC genome browser (https://genome.ucsc. edu), which means that rs11168287 mutation might affect the serum VD level and its biological effects including insulin sensitivity, lipid metabolism as well as immune inflammation by dysregulating the transcription and expression of *VDR* gene, and ultimately affect the risk of NAFLD. Further study is warranted to identify the role and function of these *VDR* polymorphisms in VD metabolism and NAFLD risk.

This study also demonstrated that age ≤ 40 years, exercise time ≥150 min/week, and rs2228570-A were independent protective factors of NAFLD; visceral obesity, hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, Low HDL-C and ALT >40U/L were independent risk factors of NAFLD, which is consistent with the results of previous studies (49-52). Based on these independent factors, we constructed combined factors to assess NAFLD risk. The results showed that the assessment efficiency of combined factor 3 (including age, visceral obesity, blood pressure, TG, HDL-C, ALT, exercise time and rs2228570) in NAFLD risk was higher than that of combined factor 2 (including age, visceral obesity, blood pressure, TG, HDL-C, ALT and exercise time) and combined factor 1 (including age, visceral obesity, blood pressure, TG, HDL-C and ALT). There is no research on the combination of genetic and environmental behavioral factors to evaluate the risk of NAFLD in Chinese population so far. A study of NAFLD risk in Italian obese children and adolescents found that combining genetic variants with clinical risk factors improved the predictability of NAFLD (53). For a disease related to heredity and environment, the predictive power of genetic-environmental factors is reasonably stronger than a single one. However, in our study, compared with the AUROC of combined factor 1 or combined factor 2, the AUROC of combined factor 3 only increased slightly, probably due to the weak effect of a single SNP on disease risk (54). In spite of this, we cannot ignore the effect of individual differences in genetic mutation on disease susceptibility. Once the risk of a particular genotype and environmental exposure combination is known, medical interventions, including medical surveillance, lifestyle advice, diet or drug treatment, could then be taken for high-risk groups or individuals to prevent disease (55, 56).

There are a few limitations in our study. Firstly, based on the case-control study, we collected the data of the subjects and measured their VD level, which may not represent the average level of different seasons. A convenient and inexpensive ELISA method was used to detect 25(OH)D₃ levels in this study. Although it is not as accurate as liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS), it can reflect the level of 25(OH)D₃ to some extent (41, 57). Secondly, only subjects from one community were enrolled, which may not be representative enough. In response to this problem, the frequency-matching of gender and age was used in the design stage, and multivariate analysis and stratified analysis were carried out to control the influence of the confounding factors to a certain extent. Finally, we only selected one key gene in the VD metabolic pathway, which may not be able to fully analyze the relationship between genetic factors and NAFLD risk. It is necessary to further explore the

impact of polygenic polyloci and their combination with environmental factors on disease risk in a multicenter population of different races.

In conclusion, our results supported that high serum VD levels and *VDR* variants (rs2228570-A and rs11168287-A) might be involved in a low risk of NAFLD in the Chinese Han population, and a combination of *VDR* SNP and exercise time could improve the efficiency in assessment of NAFLD risk. These findings might provide new insight for risk evaluation of NAFLD and early screening of high-risk population.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Institutional Ethics Review Committee of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China). The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JW designed and organized the study. RZ, MXW, MW, LZ, YD, ZT, ZF, HF, and WZ contributed to the planning, designing and analyses of the experiments, data collection and quality control. RZ, MXW, and JW wrote and critical revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. BK20181369) and Priority Academic Program Development of Juangsu Higher Education Institutions (Grant No. PAPD [2018] 87).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you Mr. Yongke Cao for providing article polishing support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2021.648844/ full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Seto WK, Yuen MF. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Asia: Emerging Perspectives. J Gastroenterol (2017) 52(2):164–74. doi: 10.1007/s00535-016-1264-3
- Eslam M, Valenti L, Romeo S. Genetics and Epigenetics of NAFLD and NASH: Clinical Impact. J Hepatol (2018) 68(2):268–79. doi: 10.1016/ j.jhep.2017.09.003
- Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic Review: The Epidemiology and Natural History of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis in Adults. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* (2011) 34(3):274–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04724.x
- Farrell GC, Wong VW, Chitturi S. NAFLD in Asia-as Common and Important as in the West. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol (2013) 10(5):307– 18. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.34
- Sweet PH, Khoo T, Nguyen S. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Prim Care (2017) 44(4):599–607. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2017.07.003
- Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: A Multisystem Disease. J Hepatol (2015) 62(1 Suppl):S47–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.12.012
- Zhou JH, Cai JJ, She ZG, Li HL. Noninvasive Evaluation of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Current Evidence and Practice. World J Gastroenterol (2019) 25(11):1307–26. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v25.i11.1307
- Sumida Y, Yoneda M. Current and Future Pharmacological Therapies for NAFLD/NASH. J Gastroenterol (2018) 53(3):362–76. doi: 10.1007/s00535-017-1415-1
- Mazhar K. The Future of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Treatment. Med Clin North Am (2019) 103(1):57–69. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2018.08.005
- Rinella ME. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review. JAMA (2015) 313(22):2263–73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.5370
- Diehl AM, Day C. Cause, Pathogenesis, and Treatment of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med (2017) 377(21):2063–72. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra1503519
- Giblin RJ, Bennett EJ, Zosky GR, Dwyer RM. The Impact of Sex and 25(OH)D Deficiency on Metabolic Function in Mice. *Nutrients* (2017) 9(9):985. doi: 10.3390/nu9090985
- 13. Drori A, Rotnemer-Golinkin D, Avni S, Drori A, Danay O, Levanon D, et al. Attenuating the Rate of Total Body Fat Accumulation and Alleviating Liver Damage by Oral Administration of Vitamin D-Enriched Edible Mushrooms in a Diet-Induced Obesity Murine Model Is Mediated by an Anti-Inflammatory Paradigm Shift. BMC Gastroenterol (2017) 17(1):130. doi: 10.1186/s12876-017-0688-4
- 14. Zhu CG, Liu YX, Wang H, Wang BP, Qu HQ, Wang BL, et al. Active Form of Vitamin D Ameliorates Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease by Alleviating Oxidative Stress in a High-Fat Diet Rat Model. *Endocr J* (2017) 64(7):663–73. doi: 10.1507/endocrj.EJ16-0542
- Jablonski KL, Jovanovich A, Holmen J, Targher G, McFann K, Kendrick J, et al. Low 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Level Is Independently Associated With Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* (2013) 23 (8):792–8. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2012.12.006
- Cimini FA, Barchetta I, Carotti S, Morini S, Cavallo MG. Overview of Studies of the Vitamin D/Vitamin D Receptor System in the Development of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol (2019) 10 (2):11–6. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v10.i2.11
- Pirgon O, Cekmez F, Bilgin H, Eren E, Dundar B. Low 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Level Is Associated With Insulin Sensitivity in Obese Adolescents With Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Obes Res Clin Pract (2013) 7(4):e275–83. doi: 10.1016/j.orcp.2012.01.004
- Lee SM, Jun DW, Cho YK, Jang KS. Vitamin D Deficiency in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: The Chicken or the Egg. *Clin Nutr* (2017) 36(1):191–7. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2015.10.017
- Eliades M, Spyrou E, Agrawal N, Lazo M, Brancati FL, Potter JJ, et al. Meta-Analysis: Vitamin D and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* (2013) 38(3):246–54. doi: 10.1111/apt.12377
- Yang BB, Chen YH, Zhang C, Shi CE, Hu KF, Zhou J, et al. Low Vitamin D Status Is Associated With Advanced Liver Fibrosis in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Endocrine* (2017) 55(2):582–90. doi: 10.1007/s12020-016-1152-x
- 21. Jaruvongvanich V, Ahuja W, Sanguankeo A, Wijarnpreecha K, Upala S. Vitamin D and Histologic Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A

Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* (2017) 49(6):618–22. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2017.02.003

- Barchetta I, Del Ben M, Angelico F, Di Martino M, Fraioli A, La Torre G, et al. No Effects of Oral Vitamin D Supplementation on Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *BMC Med* (2016) 14:92. doi: 10.1186/s12916-016-0638-y
- 23. Kumar R, Himani, Gupta N, Singh V, Kumar V, Haq A, et al. Unveiling Molecular Associations of Polymorphic Variants of VDR Gene (FokI, BsmI and ApaI) in Multiple Myeloma Patients of Indian Population. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol (2020) 199:105588. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2020.105588
- Pike JW. Vitamin D3 Receptors: Structure and Function in Transcription. Annu Rev Nutr (1991) 11:189–216. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nu.11.070191.001201
- Chen KS, DeLuca HF. Cloning of the Human 1 Alpha,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D-3 24-Hydroxylase Gene Promoter and Identification of Two Vitamin D-Responsive Elements. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (1995) 1263(1):1–9. doi: 10.1016/ 0167-4781(95)00060-T
- Haussler MR, Whitfield GK, Kaneko I, Haussler CA, Hsieh D, Hsieh JC, et al. Molecular Mechanisms of Vitamin D Action. *Calcif Tissue Int* (2013) 92 (2):77–98. doi: 10.1007/s00223-012-9619-0
- Ding N, Yu RT, Subramaniam N, Sherman MH, Wilson C, Rao R, et al. A Vitamin D Receptor/SMAD Genomic Circuit Gates Hepatic Fibrotic Response. *Cell* (2013) 153(3):601–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.028
- Shen J, Wong GL, Chan HL, Chan RS, Chan HY, Chu WC, et al. PNPLA3 Gene Polymorphism and Response to Lifestyle Modification in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol (2015) 30(1):139– 46. doi: 10.1111/jgh.12656
- 29. Sevastianova K, Kotronen A, Gastaldelli A, Perttilä J, Hakkarainen A, Lundbom J, et al. Genetic Variation in PNPLA3 (Adiponutrin) Confers Sensitivity to Weight Loss-Induced Decrease in Liver Fat in Humans. Am J Clin Nutr (2011) 94(1):104–11. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.012369
- Woods NT, Monteiro AN, Thompson ZJ, Amankwah EK, Naas N, Haura EB, et al. Interleukin Polymorphisms Associated With Overall Survival, Disease-Free Survival, and Recurrence in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients. *Mol Carcinog* (2015) 54 Suppl 1(0 1):E172–84. doi: 10.1002/mc.22275
- Henry HL, Bouillon R, Norman AW, Gallagher JC, Lips P, Heaney RP, et al. 14th Vitamin D Workshop Consensus on Vitamin D Nutritional Guidelines. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* (2010) 121(1-2):4–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb. 2010.05.008
- 32. Cheng Q, Du Y, Hong W, Tang W, Li H, Chen M, et al. Factors Associated to Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Levels Among Older Adult Populations in Urban and Suburban Communities in Shanghai, China. *BMC Geriatr* (2017) 17(1):246. doi: 10.1186/s12877-017-0632-z
- 33. Cho YH, Kim JW, Shim JO, Yang HR, Chang JY, Moon JS, et al. Association Between Vitamin D Deficiency and Suspected Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in an Adolescent Population. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* (2019) 22(3):233–41. doi: 10.5223/pghn.2019.22.3.233
- Alvarez JA, Ashraf A. Role of Vitamin D in Insulin Secretion and Insulin Sensitivity for Glucose Homeostasis. *Int J Endocrinol* (2010) 2010:351385. doi: 10.1155/2010/351385
- Pacifico L, Anania C, Osborn JF, Ferraro F, Bonci E, Olivero E, et al. Low 25 (OH)D3 Levels Are Associated With Total Adiposity, Metabolic Syndrome, and Hypertension in Caucasian Children and Adolescents. *Eur J Endocrinol* (2011) 165(4):603–11. doi: 10.1530/EJE-11-0545
- Motiwala SR, Wang TJ. Vitamin D and Cardiovascular Risk. Curr Hypertens Rep (2012) 14(3):209–18. doi: 10.1007/s11906-012-0262-y
- Kulda V, Elangovan H, Stokes RA. Vitamin D Metabolism. Vnitr Lek (2012) 58(5):400–4. doi: 10.3390/nu10040496
- Bikle DD. Vitamin D Metabolism, Mechanism of Action, and Clinical Applications. Chem Biol (2014) 21(3):319–29. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.12.016
- 39. Santos BR, Mascarenhas LP, Satler F, Boguszewski MC, Spritzer PM. Vitamin D Deficiency in Girls From South Brazil: A Cross-Sectional Study on Prevalence and Association With Vitamin D Receptor Gene Variants. BMC Pediatr (2012) 12:62. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-12-62
- Alnahas Z, Fawzy M, Menyawi M, Shaker O, Ragab G. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 Deficiency and Vitamin D Receptor Polymorphisms in Egyptian Patients With Behçet's Disease: A Pilot Study. *Int J Clin Rheumatol* (2017) 12:020. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kez060

- 41. Wu M, Yue M, Huang P, Zhang Y, Xie C, Yu R, et al. Vitamin D Level and Vitamin D Receptor Genetic Variations Contribute to HCV Infection Susceptibility and Chronicity in a Chinese Population. *Infect Genet Evol* (2016) 41:146–52. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2016.03.032
- Bertoccini L, Sentinelli F, Leonetti F, Bailetti D, Capoccia D, Cimini FA, et al. The Vitamin D Receptor Functional Variant Rs2228570 (C>T) Does Not Associate With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Endocr Res* (2017) 42(4):331–5. doi: 10.1080/07435800.2017.1305965
- 43. Woo J, Lam CW, Leung J, Lau WY, Lau E, Ling X, et al. Very High Rates of Vitamin D Insufficiency in Women of Child-Bearing Age Living in Beijing and Hong Kong. Br J Nutr (2008) 99(6):1330–4. doi: 10.1017/ S0007114507844382
- Barchetta I, Cimini FA, Cavallo MG. Vitamin D and Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Fatty Liver Disease (MAFLD): An Update. *Nutrients* (2020) 12 (11):3302. doi: 10.3390/nu12113302
- 45. Jia J, Ding H, Yang K, Mao L, Zhao H, Zhan Y, et al. Vitamin D Receptor Genetic Polymorphism Is Significantly Associated With Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Chinese Han Population. Arch Med Res (2015) 46 (7):572–9. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2015.09.006
- 46. Barchetta I, Cimini FA, Chiappetta C, Bertoccini L, Ceccarelli V, Capoccia D, et al. Relationship Between Hepatic and Systemic Angiopoietin-Like 3, Hepatic Vitamin D Receptor Expression and NAFLD in Obesity. *Liver Int* (2020) 40(9):2139–47. doi: 10.1111/liv.14554
- Bid HK, Konwar R, Aggarwal CG, Gautam S, Saxena M, Nayak VL, et al. Vitamin D Receptor (FokI, BsmI and TaqI) Gene Polymorphisms and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A North Indian Study. *Indian J Med Sci* (2009) 63(5):187– 94. doi: 10.4103/0019-5359.53164
- 48. Khan RJ, Riestra P, Gebreab SY, Wilson JG, Gaye A, Xu R, et al. Vitamin D Receptor Gene Polymorphisms Are Associated With Abdominal Visceral Adipose Tissue Volume and Serum Adipokine Concentrations But Not With Body Mass Index or Waist Circumference in African Americans: The Jackson Heart Study. J Nutr (2016) 146(8):1476–82. doi: 10.3945/ jn.116.229963
- Feng RN, Du SS, Wang C, Li YC, Liu LY, Guo FC, et al. Lean-Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Increases Risk for Metabolic Disorders in a Normal Weight Chinese Population. World J Gastroenterol (2014) 20(47):17932–40. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i47.17932
- Wei JL, Leung JC, Loong TC, Wong GL, Yeung DK, Chan RS, et al. Prevalence and Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Non-Obese Patients: A Population Study Using Proton-Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Am J Gastroenterol (2015) 110(9):1306–14; quiz 1315. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2015.235

- Rudwill F, Bergouignan A, Gastebois C, Gauquelin-Koch G, Lefai E, Blanc S, et al. Effect of Enforced Physical Inactivity Induced by 60-Day of Bed Rest on Hepatic Markers of NAFLD in Healthy Normal-Weight Women. *Liver Int* (2015) 35(6):1700–6. doi: 10.1111/liv.12743
- 52. Fukuda Y, Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, Fukuda T, Nakamura N, Ohbora A, et al. Triglycerides to High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Ratio Is an Independent Predictor of Incident Fatty Liver; A Population-Based Cohort Study. *Liver Int* (2016) 36(5):713–20. doi: 10.1111/liv.12977
- Zusi C, Mantovani A, Olivieri F, Morandi A, Corradi M, Miraglia Del Giudice E, et al. Contribution of a Genetic Risk Score to Clinical Prediction of Hepatic Steatosis in Obese Children and Adolescents. *Dig Liver Dis* (2019) 51 (11):1586–92. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2019.05.029
- Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ, Goldstein DB, Hindorff LA, Hunter DJ, et al. Finding the Missing Heritability of Complex Diseases. *Nature* (2009) 461 (7265):747–53. doi: 10.1038/nature08494
- 55. Wallace HM. A Model of Gene-Gene and Gene-Environment Interactions and Its Implications for Targeting Environmental Interventions by Genotype. *Theor Biol Med Model* (2006) 3:35. doi: 10.1186/1742-4682-3-35
- Collins FS, McKusick VA. Implications of the Human Genome Project for Medical Science. JAMA (2001) 285(5):540–4. doi: 10.1001/jama.285.5.540
- 57. Atsukawa M, Tsubota A, Shimada N, Abe H, Kondo C, Itokawa N, et al. Serum 25(OH)D3 Levels Affect Treatment Outcomes for Telaprevir/Peg-Interferon/Ribavirin Combination Therapy in Genotype 1b Chronic Hepatitis C. Dig Liver Dis (2014) 46(8):738–43. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2014.05.004

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Wang, Wang, Zhang, Ding, Tang, Fu, Fan, Zhang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.