OPEN

Predictors of High Motivation Score for Performing Research Initiation Fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD Curricula During Medical Studies

A Strobe-Compliant Article

Eva Feigerlova, MD, PhD, Abderrahim Oussalah, MD, PhD, Jean-Paul Fournier, MD, PhD, Arnaud Antonelli, MSc, Samy Hadjadj, MD, PhD, Richard Marechaud, MD, PhD, Jean-Louis Guéant, MD, DSc, AGAF, Pascal Roblot, MD, and Marc Braun, MD, PhD

Abstract: Translational research plays a crucial role in bridging the gap between fundamental and clinical research. The importance of integrating research training into medical education has been emphasized. Predictive factors that help to identify the most motivated medical

Editor: Cigdem Sayil.

Received: October 21, 2015; revised: December 31, 2015; accepted: January 6, 2016.

From the University of Poitiers, UFR Médecine Pharmacie (EF, SH, RM, PR); Department of Endocrinology, University Hospital of Poitiers, Poitiers, (EF, SH, RM); Department of Molecular Medicine and Personalized Therapeutics, Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France (AO,J-LG); INSERM, U954, NGERE -Nutrition, Genetics, and Environmental Risk Exposure, Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France (AO, J-LG); Medical Simulation Centre, Faculty of Medicine of Nice, University of Nice-Sophia-Antipolis, Nice (J-PF); Direction du Numérique, Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy (AA); Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, University Hospital of Poitiers, University of Poitiers, Poitiers (PR); Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital of Nancy, Nancy, France (MB); and Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France ; University Centre for Education by Medical Simulation (CUESIM) – The Virtual Hospital of Lorraine of the Faculty of Medicine of Nancy; INSERM U947, IADI - Diagnostic and Interventional Adaptive Imaging, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France (MB).

Correspondence: E Feigerlova, Department of Endocrinology, University Hospital of Poitiers, University of Poitiers (e-mail: eva.feigerlova@ chu-poitiers.fr).

A Oussalah, Department of Molecular Medicine and Personalized Therapeutics, Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, University Hospital of Nancy, and INSERM U954, NGERE—Nutrition, Genetics, and Environmental Risk Exposure, Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France (e-mail: abderrahim.oussalah@univ-lorraine.fr).

EF and AO contributed equally to this study.

Author contributors: AO and EF designed the study, wrote the manuscript, revised the manuscript, they are guarantors; EF, AO, JPF, and MB developed the questionnaire; AO performed statistical analyses; MB designed the study and revised the manuscript. JPF, SH, RM, JLG, and PR revised the manuscript. All of the authors had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. EF and AO had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding/support: Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

Copyright © 2016 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under

ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000002633

students to perform academic research are unknown. In a cross-sectional study on a representative sample of 315 medical students, residents and attending physicians, using a comprehensive structured questionnaire we assessed motivations and obstacles to perform academic research curricula (ie, research initiation fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD). Independent predictive factors associated with high "motivation score" (top quartile on motivation score ranging from 0 to 10) to enroll in academic research curricula were derived using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Independent predictors of high motivation score for performing Master 1 curriculum were: "considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential" (OR, 3.79; 95% CI, 1.49-9.59; P = 0.005) and "knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university" (OR, 3.60; 95% CI, 2.01–6.47; P < 0.0001). Independent predictors of high motivation score for performing Research Master 2 curriculum were: "attending physician" (OR, 4.60; 95% CI, 1.86-11.37; P = 0.001); "considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential" (OR, 4.12; 95% CI, 1.51-11.23; P = 0.006); "knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university" (OR, 3.51; 95% CI, 1.91-6.46; P = 0.0001); and "male gender'' (OR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.02-3.25; P = 0.04). Independent predictors of high motivation score for performing PhD curriculum were: "considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential" (OR, 5.94; 95% CI, 2.33-15.19; P = 0.0002) and "knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university" (OR, 2.63; 95% CI, 1.46-4.77; P = 0.001). This is the first study that has identified factors determining motivations and barriers to carry out academic research curricula among undergraduate and postgraduate medical students. Improving these 2 areas will certainly have an impact on a better involvement of the next generation of physicians in translational medicine.

(Medicine 95(5):e2633)

Abbreviations: 95% CI = 95% confidence intervals, ECTS = European Credit Transfer System, EHEA = European Higher Education Area, IQR = interquartile range, M1 = Master 1, M2 = Master 2, MEDINE 2 = Medical Education in Europe 2 project, OR = odds ratio.

INTRODUCTION

niversities are naturally considered as key factors influencing knowledge production and dissemination. This is of particular importance in medical and scientific education. Indeed, an effective translation of scientific discoveries into clinical practice has a major impact on public health through improvement of medical care. Translational research, traditionally described as a transfer of scientific knowledge to clinical practice "from bench to bedside," is a multidirectional flow of knowledge between

basic research and patient-oriented research.² According to the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, patient-oriented research (also known as, patient-centered research) aims to support the development of new approaches to therapy and diagnosis, in order to promote greater quality, accountability, and accessibility of health care.³ Translational research encompasses basic research, clinical research, epidemiology and public health, and concerns all professionals in the health sector. It is the expression of an essential need to accelerate the translation of discoveries from fundamental research into clinical application for the benefit of patients. 4-6 Translational research requires an early acquisition of collaborative skills to allow clinicians and scientists to work in effective multidisciplinary teams.^{2,7,8}

Physicians are believed to be in the best position to identify a need for more scientific evidence in patient care and to incite new scientific questions. Indeed, physicians-scientists (MD-PhD) play a significant role in medical research, especially in shortening the time to translation between basic research and clinical medicine. 9-12 Even though MD-PhD alumni represent only 2.5% of medical school graduates each year in the USA, they have been shown to receive a third of the National Institutes of Health grants destined to physician-scientists.

The importance of integrating the scientific training into medical studies has been suggested in the last decades. 13-15 Early exposure to compulsory research activities during undergraduate medical studies has been shown to play a significant role on motivation of medical students towards future involvement in research. 16,17 From among 126 U.S. medical schools and 17 Canadian medical schools only 19% and 24%, respectively, have been shown to require basic science as compulsory part of the medical curriculum. 13 Moreover, teaching methods presented a large diversity with a limited laboratory experience. Efforts have recently been undertaken in the United States and the United Kingdom aiming to integrate research modules in medical studies.^{2,18} Similarly, in Europe the Medical Education in Europe 2 (MEDINE 2) project was created to raise the integration of research skills during medical studies. 19

In a recent study performed on 338 residents and attending physicians across several residency programs in 2 French faculties of medicine, the enrolment of medical students in a Research Master (M2) and/or PhD curriculum was independently and strongly associated with several student-related information-seeking behaviors such as high skill in PubMed search, knowledge of the leading medical journals of the specialty, attending national and international academic conferences, and using academic theoretical learning supports several times a week. ²⁰ Between 2000 and 2006, a nationwide study on US medical students aimed to identify factors associated with MD/PhD program graduation.21 Compared with graduates of other MD degree programs, MD/PhD graduates (2.3% of the 79,104 respondents) tend to be less demographically diverse, have a substantial career involvement in research, a lower educational debt, and are more likely to be recipient of medical school scholarships or grants.²¹ However, this study did not assess the motivation and potential obstacles of the remaining 97.7% of medical students without a PhD diploma for their potential enrollment in a scientific curriculum.

Insufficient training in research methods during undergraduate studies has been shown to be an obstacle to student participation in academic research. ^{16,22} Recently, a self-reported survey on 327 Canadian medical students, identified that time constraints, inappropriate acknowledgment for research work and lack of mentors were the major barriers perceived by medical students to perform a research work during their undergraduate studies.¹⁶

In France, higher education is organized in 3 levels that correspond to those of other European countries as defined in the Bologna Process.²³ The overarching aim of this European reform is to create a European Higher Education Area (EHEA) based on international cooperation and academic exchange through the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS). The Bologna Process aims at creating European standards for university studies with 3 years allocated to the bachelor's degree ("licence" in French), 2 years for the Master's degree (Master 1 and Master 2), and 3 years for the doctorate (PhD). During the Master 1 curriculum, medical students perform a "Research Initiation Fellowship", which allows accumulating 12 ECTS.

To date, no study has reported motivation and potential obstacles to carry out research curricula (research initiation fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD) among medical students from both undergraduate and postgraduate academic levels. Furthermore, there is no available data regarding position and expectations of medical students towards scientific training in translational research during medical studies. We conducted a cross-sectional online survey in 2 French faculties of medicine, which aimed to comprehensively evaluate knowledge of medical students, residents, and attending physicians about academic research curricula and their active participation in biomedical research. We also assessed motivation and obstacles to enroll in academic research curricula during medical studies. Interestingly, this study allowed us to decipher independent predictors of high motivation score for enrolling research initiation fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD curricula during medical studies.

METHODS

Study Design and Questionnaire Construction

A cross-sectional anonymous survey was carried out among medical students, residents, and attending physicians from 2 medical faculties in France (Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, University of Lorraine; Faculty of Medicine of Poitiers, University of Poitiers). The instrument "Nancy-Poitiers Translational Research Study" was developed in the Faculty of Medicine of Nancy after an extensive literature review. Content validity of the questionnaire was endorsed by 2 experts in medical curriculum development (JPF, MB). The final version of the questionnaire encompassed 3 domains. The first domain provided information on the characteristics of respondents, the academic level, and orientation (13 items). The second domain concerned motivation for scientific training (4 items), research fellowships already accomplished by the respondents (4 items), possible constraints (9 items), knowledge on research structures within the home University of each respondent (3 items), and the scientific output of the respondent (3 items). The third domain of the questionnaire was focused on understanding of the concept of translational research (8 items) (Supplemental Digital Content: Questionnaire, http://links.lww.com/MD/A659). The questionnaire was created as an online survey using the Google Docs platform (https://docs.google.com/) and took \sim 10 min to complete. All responses were kept confidential. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital of Nancy and registered at French National Commission for Data Protection and Liberties (CNIL N°1797639).

Details of Participants and Setting

In February 2015, a cover letter with a web link to the anonymous online survey was made available to the Informatics and Communication departments of the medical faculties of Nancy and Poitiers. The questionnaire was distributed through the university e-mail to medical students, residents, and attending physicians. A second mailing was conducted in June 2015 using the same procedure.

Statistical Analysis

All quantitative variables are described as medians and percentiles [Interquartile range (IQR), 25-75th percentile]. All proportions are expressed as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The Cochran's Q test was used for testing for differences between 3 or more matched sets of proportions within the same subgroup (eg, optimal academic level for performing a Master 1 curriculum among 11 levels). When the Cochran's Q test was significant, posthoc multiple pairwise comparisons were performed. To look for independent predictors of high motivation score for performing research initiation fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD curricula, we performed multivariate analysis using stepwise multivariate logistic regression in order to identify independent predictors (age; gender; academic level; knowledge about the following academic research programs; Do you know any of the research structures of your University? Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of your University? Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum) and to estimate their relative predictive weights (coefficients). All variables with P < 0.1were initially included in the model and variables with P < 0.05 were retained in the model. Results were shown as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals. We assessed the model discrimination using receiver-operating characteristic analysis and assessed model calibration using the Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. All statistical analyses were conducted with MedCalc for Windows, version 14.8 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium), on the basis of a 2-sided type I error with an alpha level of 0.05.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Survey Respondents

Between February 2015 and June 2015, 315 subjects completed the questionnaire. Characteristics of the participants are detailed in Table 1. The median age of the respondents was 23 years and the majority being represented by medical students (68%). Medical students, resident physicians, and attending physicians represented 68% (n = 213), 24% (n = 76), and 8% (n = 26) of the respondents, respectively. The median year of enrollment in medical studies was 2011. The specialty mostly represented among resident and attending physicians was general medicine (39%; 37/96), followed by other medical specialties (33%; 32/96). Surgical specialties represented 17% (16/ 96), biological and radiological specialties 7% (7/96), and public health disciplines 4% (4/96) (Supplementary Table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A659).

Knowledge of Medical Students, Residents, and Attending Physicians About Academic Research Curricula and Their Active Participation in **Biomedical Research**

Sixty-five percent of the respondents are informed about research initiation fellowship, 53% and 35% of the participants have knowledge about Master 1 and Research Master 2, respectively, and 37% are informed about PhD curriculum

TABLE 1. Characteristics of Medical Students, Residents, and Attending Physicians Who Participated to the "Translational Research" Study

	N	Median	IQR, 25th-75th
Age (years)	315	23	21–26
Year of registration in the medical school	314	2011	2008-2013
Year of enrolment in Master 1	164	2012	2010-2013
Year of enrolment in Research Master 2	26	2013	2010-2014
Year of enrolment in PhD	13	2014	2008-2015
	n/N	%	95% CI
Gender			
Male	115/315	36.5	31.2-41.9
Female	200/315	63.5	58.1-68.8
Home university			
University of Lorraine University of Poitiers	269/315 46/315	85.4 14.6	81.5-89.3 10.7-18.5

IQR = interquartile range, n = number of observations, N = numberof respondents.

(Table 2). Nearly half of participants (46%) do not know any of the research structures within the university, 31% among them know at least 1 and 23% know 2 or more of the university research units. Only 25% of the respondents are informed about affiliation of their current training center to the university research structures. In order to optimize information access to academic research degree programs within the university the survey participants would suggest: organized tour of the university research units (40%), informative lecture (29%), information on the university website (27%), or notice-board information at the university office of educational affairs (3%). Interestingly, 86% of the respondents stated that they are interested to attend a conference addressing new research findings organized at the university.

Thirty-six percent of the respondents stated to understand the concept of translational research. The majority among them would define translational research, in descending order by frequency, as cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists (68%), transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside (65%), increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health (46%), faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials (28%), understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology (22%), cooperation between academic research centers and industry (15%), focus on frequent diseases (13%), and focus on rare diseases (5%).

Furthermore, to ensure that research findings will be used in the optimal way for the benefit of patients, the respondents think that new findings should be transferred to: healthcare professionals (79%), statutory education bodies (52%), researchers of a different scientific specialty (39%), health policy makers (35%), researchers of the same scientific specialty (29%), large public audience (26%), pharmaceutical companies (25%), and press release (17%). Interestingly, 88% of the participants consider that integrating translational research into medical curriculum is an added value and 7% of them consider it as essential.

When asked about active participation in biomedical research, 53% of the respondents reported being currently enrolled in Master 1, 8% in Research Master 2 and 4% in the PhD degree program. In total 11% (36/315) of all the survey

TABLE 2. Knowledge of Medical Students, Residents, and Attending Physicians About Academic Research Programs and Their Active Participation in Biomedical Research

Knowledge about the following academic research programs Do you know what does "Research Initiation Internship" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Master 1" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Sesearch Master 2" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "University thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more Yes, only 1 315 No 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo Yes No 315	n	%	95% CI
Do you know what does "Mesearch Initiation Internship" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Master 1" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Research Master 2" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "University thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "University thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more Yes, only 1 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo Yes 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo Yes 315 No 3			
Do you know what does "Master 1" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Research Master 2" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "Chiversity thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more 315 Yes, only 1 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of you yet yes No 315 No 3	204	64.8	59.5-70.1
Do you know what does "Research Master 2" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know what does "University thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) 315 Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more Yes, only 1 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of you you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of you yes No 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo yes No 315 No 315 No 315 No 315 No 315 No 315 Do not know 315 Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists 315 Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside 315 Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health 315 Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Unyour opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals 315 Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units 116 Information on the university research units 117 Information on the university research units 118 Information on the following research curricula* (Yes)	166	52.7	47.2-58.2
Do you know what does "University thesis (PhD)" refer to? (Yes) Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more Yes, only 1 No 315 No No 316 No	110	34.9	29.6-40.2
Do you know any of the research structures of your university? Yes, 2 and more 315 Yes, only 1 No 315 No 315 Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of you you show whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of you have you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Essential Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 Pharmaceutical company Press release Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Information on the university research units Information on the university research units Information on the university research units Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings orga	115	36.5	31.2-41.9
Yes, 2 and more Yes, only 1 No			
No Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo Yes 315 No 315 Do not know 315 Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists 315 Iransfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside 315 Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health 315 Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials 315 Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, tare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals 315 Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units 115 Informative lecture organized by the university 116 Informative lecture organized by the university 116 Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs 315 Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at 315 Your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	73	23.2	18.5-27.9
No Do you know whether your clinical department is affiliated to any of the research facilities of yo Yes 315 No 315 Do not know 315 Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists 315 Iransfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside 315 Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health 315 Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials 315 Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, tare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals 315 Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units 115 Informative lecture organized by the university 116 Informative lecture organized by the university 116 Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs 315 Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at 315 Your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	96	30.5	25.4-35.6
Yes No On ont know Do not know Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of felinical trials Increase in the quality of felinical trials Increase in the quality of clinical trials Information on the university research units Information on the university website Information in the following research curricula* (Yes) Information in the following research curricula in the following research cu	146	46.3	40.8-51.9
Yes No On ont know Do not know Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) 315 Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of felinical trials Increase in the quality of felinical trials Increase in the quality of clinical trials Information on the university research units Information on the university website Information in the following research curricula* (Yes) Information in the following research curricula in the following research cu	our univers	sity?	
No Do not know Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Increase in the quality of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Increase of example of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Increase of example of fundamental/molecular in human biology Increase of example of fundamental/molecular in human biology Increase of the same scientific specialty Increase of a different scientific specialty Increase of the same scientific specialty Increase of	80	25.4	20.6-30.2
Do not know Do you understand the concept of translational research? (Yes) Which of the following best defines translational research?* Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Tocoperation between academic research centers and industry Tocus on frequent diseases (eg., diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Tocus on frequent diseases (eg., diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Tocus on rare diseases (eg., rare diseases of metabolism) To you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value To your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients? Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg., Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Thealth policy makers (eg., Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Tocusumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other Tharmaceutical company Tress release Other Torganized tour of the university research units Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Torganized tour of the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Torganized tour ofference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes)	75	23.8	19.1-28.5
Which of the following best defines translational research?** Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Cooperation between academic research centers and industry Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Essential Josephane Added value Statutory opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	160	50.8	45.2-56.3
Which of the following best defines translational research?** Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Cooperation between academic research centers and industry Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Essential Josephane Added value Statutory opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	114	36.2	30.9-41.5
Cooperation between clinicians and basic scientists Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Essential 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Stepharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Soffice of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)			
Transfer of new knowledge from the bench to the bedside Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Essential 316 Waste of time 317 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 316 Other 317 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	221	68.4	63.0-73.5
Increase in the quality of healthcare and improving public health Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg. diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg., diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg., rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 316 Essential 317 Essential 318 Waste of time 319 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 416 Health policy makers (eg., Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 9harmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	210	65.0	59.5-70.3
Faster realization and increased quality of clinical trials Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology 315 Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) 315 Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value 315 Essential 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 916 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	150	46.4	40.8-52.1
Understanding of fundamental/molecular mechanisms in human biology Cooperation between academic research centers and industry 315 Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Sasential Added value Sasential Satis Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	90	27.9	23.0-33.2
Cooperation between academic research centers and industry Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) 315 Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Sasential Added value Sasential Salf Essential Waste of time Salf In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Salf Press release Other Salf Other Salf What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Informative lecture organized by the university Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	70	21.7	17.3–26.7
Focus on frequent diseases (eg, diabetes, arterial hypertension, cancer) Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value State of time In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	49	15.2	11.4-19.7
Focus on rare diseases (eg, rare diseases of metabolism) Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Essential Waste of time In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	42	13.0	9.5-17.2
Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: Added value Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Informative lecture organized by the university soffice of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	17	5.3	3.1-8.4
Added value Essential Waste of time 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)			
Essential Waste of time 315 Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 915 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	278	88.3	84.7-91.8
Waste of time 315 In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals 315 Statutory education bodies 315 Researchers of a different scientific specialty 315 Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units 315 Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website 315 Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs 315 Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	22	7.0	4.2-9.8
In your opinion, to whom should be transferred new research findings to ensure that they will be us patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 915 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your university Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	15	4.8	2.4-7.1
patients?* Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	sed in the o	optimal way f	
Clinicians/healthcare professionals Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)		- p	
Statutory education bodies Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	256	79.3	74.4-83.6
Researchers of a different scientific specialty Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty 315 Consumers/large public audience 315 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	167	51.7	46.0-57.3
Health policy makers (eg, Ministry of Higher Education and Research) Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	125	38.7	33.3-44.3
Researchers of the same scientific specialty Consumers/large public audience Pharmaceutical company Press release Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	113	35.0	29.7-40.5
Consumers/large public audience 315 Pharmaceutical company 315 Press release 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units 315 Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website 315 Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs 315 Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	92	28.5	23.6–33.8
Pharmaceutical company Press release Other 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	84	26.0	21.2-31.2
Press release Other 315 Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	81	25.1	20.4-30.3
Other 315 What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units 315 Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website 315 Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs 315 Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	56	17.3	13.3-21.9
What would optimize information access to academic research degree programs in your universit Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	17	5.3	3.1-8.4
Organized tour of the university research units Informative lecture organized by the university 315 Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)		0.0	5.1 0
Informative lecture organized by the university Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	130	40.2	34.7-45.8
Information on the university website Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	95	29.4	24.4–34.8
Notice-board information in the University's Office of Educational Affairs Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	87	26.9	22.1–32.2
Would you attend conference addressing new research findings organized at your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	8	2.5	1.1-4.9
your university? (Yes) Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	272	86.3	82.5–90.2
Enrolment in the following research curricula* (Yes)	212	30.5	02.5 70.2
	166	52.7	47.2-58.2
Enrolment in M1 Enrolment in Research M2 315	26	8.3	5.2-11.3
Enrolment in PhD 315	14	4.4	2.2-6.7
Are you a coauthor of at least 1 publication indexed in PubMed (Yes) 315	36	4.4 11.4	7.9–15.0

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, n = number of observations, N = total number of respondents. Several answers are possible.

participants co-authored at least 1 publication indexed in PubMed. Among them the proportions of medical students, residents, and attendings were 17% (6/36), 31% (11/36), and 53% (19/36), respectively.

Optimal Period for Performing Academic Research Curricula During Medical Studies

The majority of the respondents consider that the 2nd and the 3rd years of the medical studies are the optimal period for

TABLE 3. Respondents' Views on the Optimal Period for Performing Academic Research Curricula During Medical Studies

	Research Initiation Fellowship			Master 1				Research Master 2				PhD				
	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI
General education in medical sciences 1 (2nd year)	315	192	61.0 [†]	55.5-66.4	315	171	54.3 [†]	48.8- 59.8	315	32	10.2	6.8-13.5	315	18	5.7	3.1-8.3
General education in medical sciences 2 (3rd year)	315	94	29.8 [†]	24.8-34.9	315	94	29.8 [†]	24.8-34.9	315	56	17.8	13.5–22	315	8	2.5	0.8-4.3
Advanced training in medical sciences 1 (4th year)	315	11	3.5	1.5-5.5	315	23	7.3	4.4-10.2	315	39	12.4	8.7–16	315	8	2.5	0.8-4.3
Advanced training in medical sciences 2 (5th year)	315	2	0.6	0-1.5	315	2	0.6	0-1.5	315	12	3.8	1.7-5.9	315	4	1.3	0-2.5
Advanced training in medical sciences 3 (6th year)	315	1	0.3	0-0.9	315	0	0	_	315	1	0.3	0-0.9	315	1	0.3	0-0.9
Residency—1st year	315	8	2.5	0.8 - 4.3	315	12	3.8	1.7 - 5.9	315	63	20.0^{\dagger}	15.6-24.4	315	56	17.8	13.5-22
Residency—2nd year	315	5	1.6	0.2 - 3	315	8	2.5	0.8 - 4.3	315	57	18.1	13.8-22.4	315	33	10.5	7.1 - 13.9
Residency—3rd year	315	1	0.3	0 - 0.9	315	4	1.3	0 - 2.5	315	32	10.2	6.8 - 13.5	315	39	12.4	8.7 - 16
Residency—4th year	315	0	0	_	315	0	0	_	315	12	3.8	1.7 - 5.9	315	41	13.0	9.3 - 16.8
Residency—5th year	315	0	0	_	315	0	0	_	315	1	0.3	0 - 0.9	315	20	6.3	3.6 - 9.1
Fellowship (attending physicians)	315	1	0.3	0-0.9	315	1	0.3	0-0.9	315	10	3.2	1.2-5.1	315	87	27.6 [†]	22.7-32.6

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, n = number of observations, N = total number of respondents.

performing research initiation fellowship (90.8%; P < 0.001, for comparison with other years) and Master 1 (84.1%, P < 0.001, for comparison with other years) (Table 3). Regarding Research Master 2, residency is considered as more appropriate for 52% of the respondents (Table 3). Residency and attending physician fellowship are considered as the optimal academic levels for performing a PhD curriculum by 60% (P < 0.001, for comparison with other years) and 28% (P < 0.001, for comparison with other years) of the respondents, respectively (Table 3).

Obstacles to Enroll in Academic Research Curricula During Medical Studies

"Lack of time" was the main obstacle to perform academic research and was consistently reported for all the 4 research curricula: research initiation fellowship (63%), Master 1 (51%), Research Master 2 (47%), and PhD (55%). A lack of interest was perceived as obstacle to perform research curricula by around one-fifth of the respondents across the 4 research curricula. Other obstacles (incompatibility with medical studies, limited number of applicants accepted, lack of financial support, familiar obligations) were reported by <10% of participants (Table 4).

Independent Predictors of High Motivation Score for Performing Research Initiation Fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD Curricula in Multivariate Logistic Regression **Analysis**

Research Initiation Fellowship

The median motivation score for performing a research initiation fellowship was 5 on a scale ranging from "0"

(absolutely not motivated) to "10" (extremely motivated) (IQR, 2-8). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, independent predictors for strong motivation (motivation score >8: 75th percentile) for performing research initiation fellowship were: knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university (OR, 9.75; 95% CI, 4.45–21.39; P < 0.0001); residency, 2nd year (OR, 4.29; 95% CI, 1.14–16.13; P = 0.03); and considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential (OR, 3.48; 95% CI, 1.21-10.05; P = 0.02) (Table 5).

Master 1 Curriculum

The median motivation score for performing a Master 1 curriculum was 6 (IQR, 3-8). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, 2 items were independently and positively associated with a strong motivation (motivation score >8; 75th percentile) for performing Master 1 curriculum: considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential (OR, 3.79; 95% CI, 1.49–9.59; P = 0.005) and knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university (OR, 3.60; 95% CI, 2.01-6.47; P < 0.0001) (Table 5).

Research Master 2 Curriculum

The median motivation score for performing a Research Master 2 curriculum was 4 (IQR, 2-7). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, independent and positive predictors for strong motivation (motivation score >7; 75th percentile) for performing Research Master 2 curriculum were: attending physician (OR, 4.60; 95% CI, 1.86–11.37; P = 0.001); considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential (OR, 4.12; 95% CI, 1.51-11.23; P = 0.006); knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university (OR, 3.51; 95% CI, 1.91-6.46; P = 0.0001); and

P < 0.001, Cochran's Q test for comparison across all percentages.

 $^{^{\}dagger}P$ < 0.05 in post-hoc multiple comparisons with other academic levels.

TABLE 4. Obstacles for Performing Research Initiation Fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2 and PhD Curricula During Medical

	Research Initiation Fellowship				Master 1				Research Master 2				PhD			
	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI	N	n	%*	95% CI
Lack of time	315	197	62.5 [†]	57.2-67.9	315	159	50.5 [†]	44.9-56	315	148	47.0^{\dagger}	41.4-52.5	315	172	54.6 [†]	49.1-60.1
Lack of interest	315	64	20.3^{\dagger}	15.8-24.8	315	73	23.2^{\dagger}	18.5-27.9	315	73	23.2^{\dagger}	18.5-27.9	315	59	18.7^{\dagger}	14.4-23.1
Incompatibility with medical studies	315	25	7.9	4.9-10.9	315	29	9.2	6-12.4	315	36	11.4	7.9–15	315	25	7.9	4.9-10.9
Limited number of applicants accepted	315	14	4.4	2.2-6.7	315	31	9.8	6.5-13.1	315	18	5.7	3.1-8.3	315	7	2.2	0.6-3.9
Lack of financial support	315	12	3.8	1.7-5.9	315	19	6.0	3.4-8.7	315	27	8.6	5.5-11.7	315	26	8.3	5.2-11.3
Familiar obligations Other	315 315	2 1	0.6 0.3	$0-1.5 \\ 0-0.9$	315 315	3 1	1.0 0.3	$0-2 \\ 0-0.9$	315 315	12 1	3.8 0.3	1.7-5.9 $0-0.9$	315 315	25 1	7.9 0.3	$4.9-10.9 \\ 0-0.9$

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, n = number of observations, N = total number of respondents, 95% confidence interval.

male gender (OR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.02-3.25; P = 0.04) (Table 5).

PhD Curriculum

The median motivation score for performing a PhD curriculum was 5 (IQR, 2-8). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, independent predictors for strong motivation (motivation score >8; 75th percentile) for performing PhD curriculum were: considering that the integration of translational research in medical curriculum is essential (OR, 5.94; 95% CI, 2.33-15.19; P = 0.0002) and knowledge of at least 2 research units within the university (OR, 2.63; 95% CI, 1.46–4.77; P = 0.001) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The present study highlights the significant role of knowledge of the research structures within the university as a strong and an independent predictor of high motivation score for enrolling in academic research curricula during medical studies. This emphasizes the importance of the university's scientific environment on student motivation toward academic research. Importantly, only 25% of the respondents had knowledge about affiliation of their current training department to research structures of their university. For the majority of the respondents the optimal time for performing research initiation fellowship and Master 1 curriculum would be during the 2nd and the 3rd years of the medical studies. On the other hand, residency and attending physician fellowship are considered as optimal periods for enrolling in Research Master 2 and PhD curricula.

Our results are in line with the MEDINE survey.²⁴ In this study, nearly 25% among 91 European medical schools in 26 participating countries were shown to promote insufficiently student research activities.²⁴ The lack of interest to carry out the research curricula, reported by 20% of the participants to our study, might be due to insufficient information delivered to students by the university educational bodies.

In our study, 11% of the respondents have coauthored at least 1 publication indexed in PubMed. Among medical students, this proportion falls to 3% (6/231). In the Netherlands, among 2973 medical students who received their medical degree in 2006 or 2007, 15% published at least 1 scientific paper during the last 3 years of the medical studies.²⁵ Essential role of clinical-research training during medical studies has been emphasized in terms of publication output of medical students in Dutch medical schools.²⁵ In the Netherlands each medical school devotes between 50 and 80 European Credits on scientific education, of which nearly a half comprises a full time individual student research project of 14 to 27 weeks between 4th and 6th year.²⁵ Another study conducted among 318 medical students at the University of Groningen (Netherlands) has demonstrated that students performing extracurricular research during undergraduate medical studies have a significantly higher scientific output after graduation than students without undergraduate research experience and 50% of them published a scientific paper already before graduation.²⁶

In our study, the lack of time was the most frequently reported obstacle by respondents to enroll in academic research curricula. In line with our results, a cross-sectional study performed on 327 students in Canada has identified time constraints as one of the major barriers perceived by students to participate in research activities during medical studies. ¹⁶ In Europe, the medical curriculum is defined by the EC directive 77/452/EEC and comprises 6 years or 5500 h of teaching with main orientation on clinical knowledge and on patient care. The inefficient integration of the research component into medical curriculum and absence of established learning outcomes have been evidenced. In France, the concept of research continuum is outlined in the guidelines for the biomedical research published in 2013 by the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health.²⁷ However, translational research is not an integral part of the medical curriculum in French medical faculties. It is represented by optional modules as a part of Master 1, doctoral or post-doctoral studies depending on a thematic orientation of the research laboratories. In Europe, strategies of integrating translational research modules to the actual curricular framework have been undertaken as part of the MEDINE 2 project which aims to raise the integration of research in the medical curriculum and strengthen the connections between medical education, research, and industry.19

P < 0.001, Cochran's Q test for comparison across all percentages.

 $^{^{\}dagger}P$ < 0.05 in post-hoc multiple comparisons with other academic levels.

TABLE 5. Independent Predictors of High Motivation Score (≥IQR 75th) for Performing Research Initiation Fellowship, Master 1, Research Master 2, and PhD Curricula in Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis

	OR	95% CI	Coef.	SE	P Value*	Percent Correct [†]	AUROC	95% CI
High motivation score for performing resea	rch init	iation curricul	um (motiv	ation sco	ore >8; 75th	IQR)		
Model performance	_	_	_	_	_	81.9%	0.784	0.734-0.828
Do you know research units and facilities at your university: "yes, 2 and more"	9.75	4.45-21.39	2.277	0.401	< 0.0001	_	_	_
Academic level: Residency, 2nd year	4.29	1.14 - 16.13	1.455	0.676	0.03	_	_	_
Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: "essential"	3.48	1.21-10.05	1.248	0.541	0.02	_	_	_
Do you know research units and facilities at your university: "yes, only 1"	2.48	1.11-5.56	0.910	0.411	0.03	_	_	_
High motivation score for performing Maste	r 1 curri	culum (motivati	on score	>8; 75th I	QR)			_
Model performance	_	_	_	_	_	79.0%	0.657	0.602-0.709
Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: "essential"	3.79	1.49-9.59	1.331	0.474	0.0050	_	_	_
Do you know research units and facilities at your university: "yes, 2 and more"	3.60	2.01-6.47	1.282	0.299	< 0.0001	_	_	_
High motivation score for performing Resear	rch Mast	er 2 curriculum	(motivati	on score	>7; 75th IQR)		
Model performance	_	_	_	_	_	77.4%	0.750	0.698-0.797
Academic level: Attending physician	4.60	1.86 - 11.37	1.525	0.462	0.001	_	_	_
Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: "essential"	4.12	1.51-11.23	1.415	0.512	0.006	_	_	_
Do you know research units and facilities at your university: "yes, 2 and more"	3.51	1.91-6.46	1.255	0.311	0.0001	_	_	_
Male gender	1.82	1.02 - 3.25	0.596	0.297	0.04	_	_	_
High motivation score for performing PhD c	urriculuı	m (motivation se	core >7;	75th IQR)				
Model performance	_	_	_	_	_	78.7%	0.664	0.609-0.716
Do you think that integrating translational research in medical curriculum is: "essential"	5.94	2.33-15.19	1.782	0.479	0.0002	_	_	_
Do you know research units and facilities at your university: "yes, 2 and more"	2.63	1.46-4.77	0.969	0.303	0.001	_	_	_

^{95%} CI = 95% confidence interval, AUROC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, Coef = coefficient, OR = odds ratio, SE = standard error.

In the present survey, male gender was independently and positively associated with a high motivation score for performing Research Master 2 curriculum. Gender inequities in academic medicine with a slower professional advancement of women faculty have largely been documented in the literature, with female gender being underrepresented in the leadership positions and women being less likely to be promoted to full professors regardless age, experience, research productivity, specialty and parental status. 28-31 Among physicians with appointments to faculty positions at US medical schools, there were 60,609 men versus 30,464 women.³¹ Of those, 3623 women (11.9%) versus 17,354 men (28.6%) had full-professor status.³¹ Furthermore, junior faculty women have been shown to receive significantly less institutional support to carry out research projects than men irrespective degree or experience.³²

Recently, attempts have been made to promote gender equality in academic medicine focusing on institutional framework strategies. 33,34

Altogether our results suggest that motivation of medical students to perform academic research curricula is highly influenced by the scientific environment within the university. Our findings emphasize the need for implementing new curricular frameworks in order to provide research training to students.

We have to acknowledge several limitations of this study. First, it is a nonexhaustive data collection from all medical students, residents and fellows attending the 2 participating Universities. However, the aim of the study was to look for predictors of strong motivation to perform academic research curricula on a representative sample of medical students,

Multivariate regression logistic model (stepwise method).

[†] Percent of cases correctly classified.

residents and attending physicians from 2 French medical faculties. Second, the study was designed as a statement-based survey. Nevertheless, most of the responders were still on their medical curriculum and report their real-life experience, hence reducing the risk of recall bias.

CONCLUSION

This study identified factors determining medical student motivations and barriers they face in relation to carry out academic research curricula. Data analysis of this survey allowed us to extract predictive factors independently associated with a high motivation score for performing academic research. The present study highlights the deficiencies in the training dedicated to translational research in medical studies and potential barriers to training. Improving these 2 areas will certainly have an impact on a better involvement of the next generation of physicians in translational medicine.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all medical students, fellows, and attending physicians who were willing to take their time to participate in this survey; Informatics and Communication departments of the Faculties of Medicine of Nancy (University of Lorraine) and Poitiers (University of Poitiers), which managed mailings; The French fellows association of the Faculty of Medicine of Nancy, APIHNS (Association des Internes de Nancy de Spécialité) and The French Lorraine Association of Attending Physicians, APLACC (Association Professionnelle Lorraine des Assistants et Chefs de Clinique) who carried out the mailings to their members; Liza HETTAL, medical student, Faculty of Medicine of Nancy (University of Lorraine) for her assistance with the conduct of mailing; Professor Xavier DUCROCQ, President of the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital of Nancy; and Mrs. Marie-Laure Lanceau and Mrs. Aurore Malgras from the Medical Affairs Department of the University Hospital of Nancy for their administrative support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Khoury MJ, Gwinn M, Yoon PW, et al. The continuum of translation research in genomic medicine: how can we accelerate the appropriate integration of human genome discoveries into health care and disease prevention? Genet Med. 2007;9:665-674.
- 2. Rubio DM, Schoenbaum EE, Lee LS, et al. Defining translational research: implications for training. Acad Med. 2010;85:470-475.
- 3. http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/41204.html; accessed on September 2015.
- 4. Pober JS NC, Pober JM. Obstacles facing translational research in academic medical centers. FASEB J. 2001;15:10.
- 5. Zerhouni EA. Translational and clinical science-time for a new vision. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:1621-1623.
- 6. Disis ML, Slattery JT. The road we must take: multidisciplinary team science. Sci Transl Med. 2010;2:22cm29.
- 7. Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG, Alexiou GA, Gouvias TC, et al. Medicine life cycle of translational research for medical interventions. Science, 2008:321:1298-1299.
- 8. Robinson GF, Erlen JA, Rubio DM, et al. Development, implementation, and evaluation of an interprofessional course in translational research Clin Transl Sci 2013:6:50-56
- 9. Ley TJ, Rosenberg LE. The physician-scientist career pipeline in 2005: build it, and they will come. JAMA. 2005;294:1343-1351.

- 10. Zemlo TR, Garrison HH, Partridge NC, et al. The physicianscientist: career issues and challenges at the year 2000. FASEB J. 2000;14:221-230.
- 11. Roberts SF, Fischhoff MA, Sakowski SA, et al. Perspective: transforming science into medicine: how clinician-scientists can build bridges across research's "valley of death". Acad Med. 2012:87:266-270.
- 12. Weber GM. Identifying translational science within the triangle of biomedicine. J Transl Med. 2013;11:126.
- 13. Spencer AL, Brosenitsch T, Levine AS, et al. Back to the basic sciences: an innovative approach to teaching senior medical students how best to integrate basic science and clinical medicine. Acad Med. 2008:83:662-669.
- 14. Dahle LO, Brynhildsen J, Behrbohm Fallsberg M, et al. Pros and cons of vertical integration between clinical medicine and basic science within a problem-based undergraduate medical curriculum: examples and experiences from Linkoping, Sweden. Med Teach. 2002;24:280-285.
- 15. Borges NJ, Navarro AM, Grover A, et al. How, when, and why do physicians choose careers in academic medicine? A literature review. Acad Med. 2010;85:680-686.
- 16. Siemens DR, Punnen S, Wong J, et al. A survey on the attitudes towards research in medical school. BMC Med Educ. 2010;10:4.
- 17. Rosenkranz SK, Wang S, Hu W. Motivating medical students to do research: a mixed methods study using self-determination theory. BMC Med Educ. 2015;15:95.
- 18. Snape K, Trembath RC, Lord GM. Translational medicine and the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre concept. Q J Med. 2008;101:7.
- 19. http://medine2.com/Public/package_07.html; accessed on September 2015
- 20. Oussalah A, Fournier JP, Gueant JL, et al. Information-seeking behavior during residency is associated with quality of theoretical learning, academic career achievements, and evidence-based medical practice: a strobe-compliant article. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94:e535.
- 21. Andriole DA, Whelan AJ, Jeffe DB. Characteristics and career intentions of the emerging MD/PhD workforce. JAMA. 2008;300:1165-1173.
- 22. Salgueira A, Costa P, Goncalves M, et al. Individual characteristics and student's engagement in scientific research: a cross-sectional study. BMC Med Educ. 2012;12:95.
- 23. Christensen L. The Bologna Process and medical education. Med Teach. 2004;26:625-629.
- 24. van Schravendijk C, Marz R, Garcia-Seoane J. Exploring the integration of the biomedical research component in undergraduate medical education. Med Teach. 2013;35:e1243-1251.
- 25. van Eyk HJ, Hooiveld MH, Van Leeuwen TN, et al. Scientific output of Dutch medical students. Med Teach. 2010;32:231-235.
- 26. Reinders JJ, Kropmans TJ, Cohen-Schotanus J. Extracurricular research experience of medical students and their scientific output after graduation. Med Educ. 2005;39:237.
- 27. http://circulaire.legifrance.gouv.fr/pdf/2013/02/cir_36463.pdf; accessed on September 2015.
- 28. Kaplan SH, Sullivan LM, Dukes KA, et al. Sex differences in academic advancement. Results of a national study of pediatricians. N Engl J Med. 1996;335:1282-1289.
- 29. Rotbart HA, McMillen D, Taussig H, et al. Assessing gender equity in a large academic department of pediatrics. Acad Med. 2012:87:98-104.
- 30. Jagsi R, DeCastro R, Griffith KA, et al. Similarities and differences in the career trajectories of male and female career development award recipients. Acad Med. 2011;86:1415-1421.

- 31. Jena AB, Khullar D, Ho O, et al. Sex differences in academic rank in US medical schools in 2014. JAMA. 2015;314:1149-1158.
- 32. Sege R, Nykiel-Bub L, Selk S. Sex Differences in institutional support for junior biomedical researchers. JAMA. 2015;314: 1175-1177.
- 33. Morahan PS, Rosen SE, Richman RC, et al. The leadership continuum: a framework for organizational and individual
- assessment relative to the advancement of women physicians and scientists. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2011;20:387-396.
- 34. Bauman MD, Howell LP, Villablanca AC. The Women in Medicine and Health Science program: an innovative initiative to support female faculty at the University of California Davis School of Medicine. Acad Med. 2014;89: 1462-1466.