

## LETTERS

### Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhoea in primary care in populations with low prevalence

I read the new recommendations on primary care screening for chlamydia and gonorrhoea with interest, but I wanted to highlight an issue not addressed in the article.<sup>1</sup>

Although most nucleic acid amplification tests used for diagnosis of chlamydia and gonorrhoea in Canada have excellent clinical sensitivity and specificity (> 95%),<sup>2</sup> they may still generate false-positive results when used in a population with low prevalence of these diseases. Consider the example of female vaginal swabs taken for chlamydia testing in a population with a 1.5% prevalence of chlamydia (within the estimate indicated in the screening recommendations). Using a test with 97.2% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity,<sup>3</sup> the positive and negative predictive values are 49.7% and 99.9%, respectively. That means the chance that a positive result is a false positive is greater than 50%.

The concern with false positives drops as prevalence increases; the same test in a population with 10% prevalence of chlamydia has a positive predictive value of 87.8%, so only roughly 1 in 10 positive results would be a false positive. In other words, test performance is better in higher-risk populations.

Widespread testing for chlamydia and gonorrhoea can help to reduce disease transmission and complications. However, health care providers should be aware that with expanded screening in a low-prevalence population, they will see false-positive results, which may cause anxiety for patients and their partners and could lead to unnecessary interventions. Repeat testing should be considered if a false-positive result is suspected based on a patient's individual history; a subsequent negative result is reassuring, given the high negative predictive value of nucleic acid amplification tests in this context.

#### Diana Whellams MD MPH

Medical microbiologist, LifeLabs, Surrey, BC

■ Cite as: *CMAJ* 2021 August 23;193:E1307. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.79560

### References

1. Moore A, Traversy G, Reynolds DL, et al. Recommendation on screening for chlamydia and gonorrhoea in primary care for individuals not known to be at high risk. *CMAJ* 2021;193:E549-59.
2. Chernesky M, Jang D, Gilchrist J, et al. Head-to-head comparison of second-generation nucleic acid amplification tests for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* on urine samples from female subjects and self-collected vaginal swabs. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014;52:2305-10.
3. *Aptima Combo 2 Assay (Panther System) product insert* (502446 Rev 003). Mississauga, ON: Hologic; 2017. Available at [www.hologic.com/sites/default/files/package-insert/502446-IFU-PI\\_003\\_01.pdf](http://www.hologic.com/sites/default/files/package-insert/502446-IFU-PI_003_01.pdf) (accessed 2021 June 15).

**Competing interests:** None declared.

**Content licence:** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original publication is properly cited, the use is noncommercial (i.e., research or educational use), and no modifications or adaptations are made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>