Review began 10/11/2021 Review ended 01/11/2022 Published 01/15/2022

© Copyright 2022

Bhuiya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Community Needs Assessment of Low-Income Sections of 10 Rural Villages in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, India

Tanzim Bhuiya¹, Krima Thakker², Julia Hyacinthe³, Eric Cioe-Pena³

1. Medicine, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, USA 2. Public Health, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, USA 3. Center for Global Health, Northwell Health, New Hyde Park, USA

Corresponding author: Tanzim Bhuiya, tbhuiya1@pride.hofstra.edu

Abstract

Background

India's health disparities are clearly visible in the southern state of Karnataka. A community needs assessment, one of the first done in this area in over a decade, was conducted to identify unsatisfied needs. The Northwell Center for Global Health worked alongside a local boarding school, Shanti Bhavan, to conduct a needs assessment using the Center for Disease Control and Prevention's Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response tool.

Methods

A community-based cross-sectional survey design was implemented in low-income sections of 10 rural villages in Karnataka throughout February 2019. The target population for this study included people who earned less than US\$2 per day. The survey instrument consisted of a questionnaire and tracking form.

Results

One-hundred ninety-seven (197) of 359 households participated in the survey, which encompassed a total of 1,023 individuals. Proper housing structure was the most common need (27.7 %), followed by access to transportation (16.1 %) and access to healthcare (15.2 %). Agitated behavior, sad mood, and frequent worries were the most-experienced behavioral health concerns, with a 47.7%, 41.6%, and 41.1 % prevalence, respectively. Chronic diseases (eg, high blood pressure, diabetes, asthma) were prevalent in 35 of the households (9.7%). The major disease concern in relation to mosquito-borne illness was dengue (36.0 %). Access to healthcare was an issue in 44 of the 197 households (22.3%), with financial reasons being the most common barrier.

Discussion

Notably, there were no expressed needs for basic necessities such as food, water, and medication. This may be due to the help of state programs or a limitation of the survey format. Respondents were most concerned with dengue but are also at risk for other vector-borne diseases, such as malaria and chikungunya, highlighting the need to increase awareness and safety measures. Additionally, mental health problems represent a significant burden of disease.

Categories: Public Health, Epidemiology/Public Health, Health Policy Keywords: rural, public health, global health, india, community needs assessment

Introduction

As the second-most populous country in the world, India makes a substantial contribution to the global burden of disease, accounting for 18% of the world's deaths [1]. Chronic disease is predicted to account for 53% of all deaths while communicable diseases, maternal health, and nutritional deficits constitute 36% [2]. Within India, there are wide variations in these indicators across gender, caste, education, and geography.

These disparities are exemplified within the southern state of Karnataka. Despite being home to Bangalore, the fifth-most populated city in India, the majority (61.33%) of the population resides in rural areas [3]. The birth rate in Karnataka as of 2016 was 18.5 births per 1,000 population in rural communities versus 16.2 per 1,000 population in urban areas. The death rate in rural communities was 7.9 deaths per 1,000 population compared to 4.9 deaths per 1,000 population in urban areas. Infant mortality also differs at 27 deaths per 1,000 live births in rural areas and 19 deaths per 1,000 live births in urban areas [4]. Life expectancy for females is 71.1 years and 67.1 for males [5].

The public health system in India aims to provide universal access to free healthcare. In 2005, the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) was launched to strengthen the primary health care system. Investments in the NRHM have improved access and coverage in public health facilities. However, diagnostic services are

How to cite this article

Bhuiya T, Thakker K, Hyacinthe J, et al. (January 15, 2022) Community Needs Assessment of Low-Income Sections of 10 Rural Villages in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, India. Cureus 14(1): e21271. DOI 10.7759/cureus.21271

still mostly unavailable and usually need to be paid for out of pocket [2]. This hinders evidence-based care and the delivery of essential and universal healthcare. Karnataka's government also utilizes the panchayat raj system. A panchayat is a group of five elderly leaders elected by community members. The system is an installation of local self-government at the village, block, and district levels. Despite national health initiatives and local self-governance, public health measures have not been implemented in many villages, which has perpetuated disparities in health care [6]. It is within this context that a community needs assessment was conducted for a group of villages in Karnataka and the neighboring state of Tamil Nadu.

A community needs assessment is a systematic approach to determine the unsatisfied healthcare needs of a population, with the goal of making changes and improvements to meet these unfulfilled needs. The methodology incorporates qualitative and epidemiological approaches to identify what changes can be afforded [7]. The Community Assessment of Public Health Emergency Response (CASPER) is a tool created by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to allow public health practitioners and emergency management officials to rapidly determine the health status and basic needs of affected communities. Although it was developed for use in a disaster response scenario, CASPER can be utilized whenever the public health needs of a community are not well-known. The tool is not intended to provide direct services to the affected community, but instead makes use of household-based information to provide a quick, reliable, and accurate assessment of a community's needs [8]. Due to its efficiency, the CASPER tool was used for this study.

The Northwell Global Health team worked alongside the local boarding school, Shanti Bhavan, which is located southeast of Bangalore city on the border of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. Shanti Bhavan is a non-profit organization that seeks to educate students and lift them out of generational poverty. The children recruited by the school are from families that earn less than US\$2 a day and belong to the former Dalit caste, formerly known as "untouchables" [9]. Former caste positions in India have a clear relationship with current economic status and well-being, where the former lower castes are the least-paid, making socioeconomic mobility extremely difficult [10]. Shanti Bhavan invited Northwell Health with the intention of starting a long-term health partnership, and the two groups decided that a needs assessment was an efficient way to identify the specific health needs of surrounding community members. As a result, the CASPER tool was used to conduct a health needs assessment of low-income sections of 10 rural villages that surround Shanti Bhavan, from which the school recruits employees and students.

Materials And Methods

Study design

A community-based, cross-sectional design was implemented by utilizing the CDC CASPER tool to conduct a rapid needs assessment in the villages surrounding Shanti Bhavan outside of Bangalore, India, in February 2019. This assessment focused on household, social, behavioral, and health needs, along with accessibility needs for basic resources such as water, food supply, and medications (Appendix A). The project was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Hofstra University in New York and Monk Prayogshala, a non-profit academic research institution based in India. Additional ethical approvals were obtained from the panchayats of each of the villages, as well as the local governments of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. Oral consent was received from participants due to low literacy levels in the villages surveyed and was documented using the survey tracking form. To ensure consistency and clarity, a consent script was used before the start of each encounter (Appendix B).

Study site

The study was conducted by Northwell Health in collaboration with Shanti Bhavan Children's Project and Baldev Medical and Community center, located in Tamil Nadu, India. The study sites included five villages each from two separate Indian states: Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, which are listed in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the geographic relationship between these two states. These villages were selected based on their proximity to Shanti Bhavan and are areas where the school recruits students and employees.

Tamil Nadu, India	Karnataka, India
Alur	Bachahalli
Baliganpalli	Devarapalli
Lakshmipuram	Siddhanahalli
Odapalli	Sonnur
Rajkrishnapuram	Thatanahalli

TABLE 1: Cluster distribution on geographic location



FIGURE 1: Map of Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Goa, and Kerala

Credit: GlobalData Contract Service Provider Database (Date accessed: 7 November 2021)

Study population

The target population for this study included residents of low-income neighborhoods that earned less than \$2 US per day. The villages included in the study were geographically segregated by class, with lower-classes living on the opposite sides of the village from upper-classes. Houses were identified for inclusion in the study by their geographical location within the communities and their living conditions. A community liaison helped the survey team to identify eligible houses within the villages. Overall, 359 houses were randomly selected, of which 197 completed the survey.

Inclusion criteria for the study population

The inclusion criteria are 1) families belonging to the section of the village below poverty income status; 2) individuals above the age of consent; 3) self-reported sound state of mind of the interviewee.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria are 1) families that belong to the middle or upper classes; 2) families of landlords or the panchayat committee members; 3) individuals below the age of consent.

Instrument

The CDC CASPER tool was used for the rapids needs assessment in this cross-sectional study. This is a validated tool consisting of a specific set of questions designed to provide rapid, inexpensive, and reliable data on the needs of the community based on household public health information [11]. The tool was originally created in English but was translated to the local language of the village (Kannada, Telugu, or Tamil) onsite with the assistance of local volunteer translators from Shanti Bhavan and a community liaison. Translators underwent just-in-time training in advance of data collection where they were taught the

objectives, roles, and responsibilities of the team members and the importance of directly translating without paraphrasing. The objective of using this tool was to determine critical health needs by characterizing the population residing in the targeted area. The CASPER toolkit suggests dividing the sampling frame into clusters and recommends the 30x7 sampling design to gain approximately 210 interviews in the sampling frame [12]. We modified the sampling frame to include 10 clusters to engage the ten villages that were included in the study due to a smaller sampling size.

The survey instrument consisted of two components: a questionnaire and a tracking form.

The survey questionnaire consisted of a set of 46 questions, including demographic questions, multiplechoice questions, Likert-type scales, matrix questions, and a few open-ended questions [13]. As per the CDC guidelines, each question had the options of "Don't Know" and "Refused" [8]. The initial questions of the survey focused on the demographic characteristics of the family by identifying the type of housing structure, the number of family members in the household, and their age groups. The remainder of the questions focused on the social, medical, and behavioral needs of the household (Appendix A).

The tracking form was used to monitor the outcome of every interview attempt, and it was the basis for calculating the response rates (completion, contact, and cooperation) [11]. This form was coded for all the houses, irrespective of their response type. The tracking form was essential in assessing the housing structures and responses from the households, along with assessing some challenges met during the interview phase (Appendix C).

Procedures

Systematic random sampling was used for this study. Each village surveyed was considered as an individual cluster. These clusters were then further systematically divided based on the income status of the households into lower-income groups and middle and upper-income groups. Only the lower-income groups were qualified to participate in the study. Depending on the size of each village, every other house or every third house was selected for the study. Only individuals from houses selected by the randomization process were surveyed. If no one in the household was home or eligible to be interviewed, the house would be revisited up to three times before being marked as incomplete.

The survey team included eight members, consisting of physicians from Northwell Health, Public Health students from Hofstra University, interpreters, and community liaisons that were divided into four pairs. Three teams conducted the surveys while one team monitored the randomization process. Each survey took approximately 25 minutes to complete, including the translation process. The house conditions and the quality of the survey were measured using the tracking form by the team overseeing the randomization process. Each team was accompanied by a translator to assist with the translation of the survey questions from English to languages spoken in the communities (Kannada, Telugu, or Tamil). Prior to the start of data collection, the translators were trained to administer the survey in an efficient and unbiased manner. A trained nurse at Baldev Community Medical Center played the role of community liaison and worked as a mediator between the survey team and the village community. She communicated with the village panchayats or leaders to seek the necessary permissions to conduct the interviews within these villages.

Data management and storage

All surveys were conducted using a printed paper copy of the CASPER tool, along with the tracking form. These surveys were collected and stored by the team managing the randomization process in a secure location. At the end of the surveying process, the responses were entered in the database of Epi Info 7 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008) [11]. The descriptive analysis of the survey was carried out using Epi Info 7, and the original survey forms were aggregated and stored in a secure location in Northwell Health.

Results

This was a pilot study conducted to identify the primary needs of an unidentified population in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. Three-hundred fifty-nine (359) households were approached to undertake the survey, of which 197 households consented to participate. Of the 162 households that did not participate, 13 households refused to participate while 149 households did not have anyone present to answer at the time. The average response rate for these villages was 57%. Table 2 shows the individual response rates from each village. The total population residing in the 197 houses surveyed was 1,023 individuals. A descriptive analysis of the survey data was performed using Epi Info 7 software (CDC, Atlanta, Georgia).

Village Name	Households Approached	Surveys Completed	Response Rate
Alur	30	15	50%
Baliganapalli	34	20	59%
Devarapalli	40	24	60%
Lakshmipura	17	11	65%
Odapalli	36	16	44%
Oppachalli	34	20	59%
Rajakrishnapura	59	30	51%
Siddhanahalli	41	27	66%
Sonnur	24	14	58%
Thattanahalli	44	20	45%
Total:	359	197	55%

TABLE 2: Response rates for the survey responses

Demographic evaluation

Table 3 discusses the demographic make-up of the 10 villages. The houses selected belonged to the lowerincome class of the villages. There was an almost equal proportion of male (50.1%) and female participants (49.9%). Almost two-thirds of the population was between the ages of 18 and 64, followed by 23.7% of the population falling between the ages of 2 and 17. There was a low prevalence of both infant and geriatric populations in these villages, which was believed to be attributable to the poor quality of life and lack of healthcare resources, as stated by the local population. The most prevalent language spoken was Telugu (65.0%), with Kannada, the regional language of Karnataka, as the second most-spoken language (30.5%). A total of 19 deaths were recorded in the 197 houses in the previous year. Of these 19 deaths, 13 individuals were over age 65.

Demographic variable	Total population (N)	n	%
Gender -	1,023		
Male		513	50.1
Female		510	49.9
Age groups -	1,023		
Less than 2 years of age		29	2.8
2 to 17 years of age		242	23.7
18 to 64 years of age		665	65.0
Above 65 years of age		68	6.6
Household Languages -	197		
Telugu		128	65.0
Kannada		60	30.5
Tamil		9	4.6
Births and Deaths	1,023		
Birth Rate in the last year		29	2.83
Death Rate in the last year		19	1.86

TABLE 3: Demographic variables of the households

Housing structures and need

Table 4 demonstrates the housing demographic information from the 10 clusters. The most common housing structures identified within this population were single-family houses (78.7%). This means most of the households lived as nuclear families, as opposed to following a joint family housing system. These houses were evaluated as either intact (none or minimal damage), damaged, or destroyed housing structures using the CASPER tracking form. As per this evaluation, 43 (21.8%) houses were damaged, and nine (4.6%) houses were reported destroyed. Of the 197 households, 117 (59.4%) stated that the most common source of drinking water was tap water. A majority of the households had access to functioning toilets (69.5%). Almost all houses had access to a telephone (91.9%), which proved to be the basic mode of communication. There were a few stated needs for basic necessities such as food, water, and medication. An open-ended question asked the households to mention their greatest need at the time of the survey. Of the 197 households, 112 households provided a response, and a need for a proper housing structure was the most common (27.7%), followed by transportation (16.1%) and healthcare (15.2%).

Housing structures and needs	n	Total Population (N)	%
Need for water	9	197	4.6
Number of destroyed houses	9	197	4.6
Need for food	11	197	5.6
Need for medications	14	197	7.1
Most common need mentioned by the household - House	31	112	15.7
Number of damaged houses	43	197	21.8
Access to the Internet	69	197	35
Most common source of drinking water - Tap	117	197	59.4
Access to a functioning toilet	137	197	69.5
Most common structure - Single family	155	197	78.7
Access to telephone	181	197	91.9

TABLE 4: Housing structures and needs

Behavioral health concerns

Behavioral health was assessed by the survey through a series of multiple-choice questions. The results are listed in Table 5. Agitated behavior, sad mood, and frequent worries were the most experienced behavioral health concerns with a 47.7%, 41.6%, and 41.1% prevalence, respectively. Twenty-three (23) of the 197 households mentioned instances of witnessing violent behaviors or threats. These were mainly observed in cases of disputes among the neighbors. Table 5 illustrates all of the possible recorded behavioral health concerns.

Behavioral health concerns (N=197)	n (%)	%
Unusually happy mood	16	8.1
Experienced violent behaviors/threats	17	8.6
Had traumatic experiences	19	9.6
Loss of appetite	22	11.2
Witnessed violent behaviors/threats	23	11.7
Difficulty concentrating	26	13.2
Trouble sleeping	26	13.2
Thoughts about suicide	35	17.7
Difficulty enjoying things	42	21.3
Nightmares	44	22.3
Frequent worries	81	41.1
Sad mood	82	41.6
Agitated behavior	94	47.7

TABLE 5: Behavioral health concerns

Other health concerns

Lastly, other health concerns are documented in Table 6. Chronic diseases were prevalent in 35 of the households, with hypertension and diabetes being the most commonly identified in this population. Although mental health is believed to be stigmatized in rural parts of India, a few households reported

previous mental health issues (n = 7). When asked about concern for mosquito-borne diseases, 84 households reported being somewhat concerned. The major disease concern in relation to mosquito-borne illness was dengue (36.0%). Lack of access to healthcare was an issue detected in 44 of the 197 households, with a lack of money or high cost being the most common reasons.

Other health concerns	n (%)
Disease (N = 197)	
Chronic illness	35 (17.7%)
Injuries	28 (14.2%)
Hypertension	22 (11.1%)
Diabetes prevalence	13 (6.6%)
Previous mental health issues	7 (3.5%)
Population concerned with mosquito-borne diseases (N=155)	
Somewhat concerned	84 (42.6%)
Most common disease concern - Dengue	71 (36.0%)
Access to healthcare (N = 197)	
Difficulty in accessing healthcare	44 (22.3%)
Lack of money / cost	32 (16.2%)
Lack of transportation	14 (7.1%)

TABLE 6: Other health concerns within households

Discussion

The results offer a cross-sectional perspective regarding the health and needs of 10 villages surrounding the Shanti Bhavan boarding school. The number one identified need was a proper housing structure, with 26.7% of respondents claiming this was their greatest need. According to observations made on the tracking forms, 43 houses were damaged and nine houses were destroyed (14.5% total).

Contrary to expectations, there were no determined needs for basic necessities, such as food, water, and medication. One-hundred seventeen (117) of the 197 households (59.4%) used government-provided tap water as their source of drinking water. This may be due to the success of the Karnataka Rural Water Supply and Sanitation (KRWSS) project. This project was approved in 2001 and continued through 2014. The goal of the project was to improve access to sustainable drinking water in rural areas using the panchayat system [14]. A similar program exists in Tamil Nadu. The Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board has rural water supply schemes that are committed to supplying piped water to all rural households by 2024 [15]. In terms of food supply, the Government of India uses a public distribution system (PDS), which provides certain minimum quantities of food grains to the Government of Karnataka to protect low-income groups [16]. The PDS system supplies rice, wheat, sugar, and kerosene to the Government of Karnataka, which then makes use of ration cards that determine how much citizens are entitled to receive [17]. Shanti Bhavan also aids particularly vulnerable families by providing ragi balls, which are a type of non-perishable food rich in protein.

The threat of mosquito-borne diseases concerned 84 of the 197 households (42.6%). A Likert-style question was used to assess the threat of mosquito-borne disease as seen in question 16 in the Survey Questionnaire (S1 Appendix A). A response of "very concerned" or "somewhat concerned" indicated that the threat of mosquito-borne disease did concern household members. The National Vector Borne Disease Control Program is an integral part of India's National Rural Health mission. It is responsible for controlling the prevalence of vector-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue, Japanese encephalitis (JE), and chikungunya [18]. According to the needs assessment, 71 of the 84 households (84.5%) that were concerned about mosquito-borne diseases were most concerned about dengue. Conversely, only 40 households (47.6%) were concerned about malaria, and 14 were concerned about chikungunya (16.7%). However, there were 9,655 cases of malaria, 6,105 cases of dengue, 1471 cases of chikungunya, and 746 cases of Japanese encephalitis in the state of Karnataka between April 2016 and March 2017 [19]. In 2018, the state of Tamilnadu had 3,758 cases of malaria and 4,468 cases of dengue [20]. The increased concern of dengue fever, dengue shock syndrome, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Dengue has been shown to be a leading cause of hospitalization and death among children in tropical countries [21]. It is important to raise awareness of the

risks of other mosquito-borne diseases and actions communities can take to stay safe because dengue is not the only mosquito-borne illness the people in Karnataka are at risk of contracting. Cases of both malaria and dengue spike in the monsoon season (May-October) while chikungunya and JE spike in December [19]. If villagers can be made aware of when the diseases are most prevalent, they can take better actions to both avoid and treat these diseases. A cross-sectional study conducted in two districts in Karnataka showed that only 43.1% of people knew that malaria is transmitted through mosquito bites and only 44.6% were aware of at least one preventive measure to take while 60.8% of people had at least one mosquito net [22]. It is highly likely these percentages would be even lower in the low-income sections of villages surveyed in this study. The provision of insecticide-treated mosquito nets has the potential to be an effective method of reducing mosquito-borne illness transmission and mortality.

Behavioral health was also a point of importance in the survey. Mental health needs, which tend to be largely unmet in poorer communities, represented a significant burden of disease. Over 40% of the population experienced agitated behavior, sad moods, or frequent worries. Despite the significant number of people with behavioral health concerns, only 22 households (11.2%) received services from a counselor, religious leader, therapist, or social worker to address their concerns. One-hundred forty-three (143) of the 197 households (72.6%) had access to a form of counseling but believed they had no need for this service. A possible explanation for this observed gap between the availability and utilization of these resources could be the stigmatization of mental health issues within these populations. This bolsters the need for increasing awareness regarding mental health services along with normalizing the use of these resources to alleviate the burden of disease.

Another interesting finding was that, despite the lack of medical providers serving these rural villages, only 22.3% of respondents identified a lack of access to medical care as a major obstacle. In India, 40% of all health workers work in rural areas; however, over 70% of the population lives in rural areas [23]. As a result of this disparity in workforce density between rural and urban areas, it was expected that the rural communities surveyed would consider access to medical care a much more significant need. A possible explanation could be that rural households in India extensively rely on informal medical providers, who lack medical qualifications [24].

A literature search related to health needs assessments in India yielded several small-scale studies. Though these were less systematic than larger-scale community needs assessments, they highlighted specific obstacles and observations regarding the reality of the health needs of specific communities in rural India. They mention the need for access to personal health services, targeted educational programs on chronic and infectious diseases, and bed net interventions [25-26]. The results of our study expand upon the needs of several more rural villages and demonstrate similar needs years after these initial findings. The findings of these health assessments will guide the creation of a permanent clinic with the help of Northwell Health that will serve the rural villages outside of Bangalore.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. The CASPER survey tool asks questions at the personal and household level. There is a shortage of questions at the community level, including the need for better sidewalks, education, public infrastructure, etc. Additionally, there were limitations as a result of language barriers. Our survey tool was in English and we relied upon volunteer translators to help administer the survey. Although employees were trained to administer the survey objectively, a lack of a common translation of the survey in each language may have led to a bias in results. Additionally, there was a crowding effect during some interviews. Since many of the surveys were conducted outside, there were times where other villagers would walk by and observe the interview. This includes family members, immediate neighbors, or other villagers. This may have affected some survey responses, especially for more sensitive questions such as ones regarding mental health.

Conclusions

The application of the CDC CASPER tool in this community represented one of the first systematic crosssectional needs assessment surveys done in this area in over a decade. While limited, the survey data provides interesting insight into the changing needs of poor rural communities in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, India. The findings of this health needs assessment will guide the creation of a permanent clinic that will serve the rural villages outside of Bangalore. It will also be used to inform the design of interventions created by the Shanti Bhavan and Northwell collaboration to address some of the needs. The creation of a permanent clinic will provide residents of the rural villages access to personal health services that will help combat chronic and infectious diseases. Additionally, the results of our study show that it could be worthwhile to collaborate with other non-profit organizations to address structural housing needs and to provide insecticide-treated mosquito nets.

Appendices

Appendix A

Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response (CASPER) – Shanti Bhavan

Date: 02//2019 Cluster Number: Interview N	umber: Team name:
DEMOG	RAPHICS
COMPLETE BEFORE BEGINNING SURVEY. Type of structure: Single f	amily 🗆 Multiple unit 🗆 Other
Q1. Including yourself, how many people live in your HH?# O1a. Male # Female #	Q3. What is the main language spoken in your HH?
Q2. Including yourself, how many people living in your HH are	
<2 yrs old? 2-17 yrs? 18-64 yrs? 65+ yrs?	DK Ref
Now we are going to ask about your HOUSEHOLD's experience over	the past year.
Q4. Is anyone in your household pregnant?	Q12. What is your HHs current source of drinking water? (Check ALL)
04b. #	Diap Bottled Clistern Bother BDK Rer
Q5. Do you or does a member of your household have serious	(Check All) Bleach D Mosquito dunk D Eiter D UV light
difficulty hearing?	□ Boil □ Other □ Do not treat cistern □ DK □ Ref
□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	O12b. /F running water, how does your HH treat your running water?
Q6. Do you or a member of your household have difficulty walking	(Check ALL)
or climbing stairs?	Bleach Boil Filter Other
□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	Do not treat running water DK DR Ref
Q7. In the past year, have you used a stone fire, charcoal or gas grill	
to cook food?	Q13. How many days of adequate drinking water (besides tap) does
Yes – inside w/ windows open Yes – inside w/ windows closed	your household currently have? (4 liters/person/day)
□ Yes – Outside □ No	of days 🗆 None 🗆 DK 🗆 Ref
O8. Do you have access to a working telephone?	014. How many days of non-perishable food (e.g., canned goods
□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	rice, nuts) does your household currently have?
Q8a. Do you or a member of your HH have access to the internet?	
□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	Q15. How many days of medication does your household currently
Daily Medications	ave, on average, for each person who takes prescribed medication? # of days □ None □ No prescriptions □ DK □ Ref
Dialysis	Q16. Currently, how concerned are you and members of your HH
Oxygen 🗆 Yes 🗆 No 🗆 DK 🗆 Ref	about getting diseases mosquitoes may carry? Uvery concerned
Wheelchair/cane/walker □ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	Somewhat concerned I Not concerned at all DK Ref
Other care? Yes No DK Ref	Q16a. IF VERY or SOMEWHAT, which disease(s)? (DO NOT READ -
	Check all that apply) Zika Dengue Chikungunya
	D Yellow Fever D Malaria
	Q17. In the past year has anyone in your HH been unable to
Q10. Currently, do you or any members of your HH need:	work/perform duties due to illness?
Food	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
Medication Ves No DK Ref	U18. Is there anyone in your household who requires urgent medical
Other □ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
	Q19. Does your HH currently have access to transportation if
Q11. Does your HH currently have the following:	needed? (Check ONE)
Running water Ves No DK Ref	□ All the time □ Sometimes □ Never □ No need □ DK □ Ref
Access to functioning toilet	
Grid electricity	Q20. What is your household's greatest need at this time?
Working generator	
	DK Ref
O21. How does your HH prefer to receive information from the	022. What health messages has your HH heard in the past year? (DO
Government/Ministry of Health? (Check ALL that apply)	NOT READ RESPONSES - Check all that apply)
□ Newspaper □ Internet news or other website □ Social media	Vaccination Food safety Handwashing
TV Radio Friends/Family/Word of Mouth Research	Water treatment Women's Health Magnetic function constraints
Other. DK Ref	
HEALTH/BEHA	/IORAL HEALTH
Q23. Has any member of your HH died in the past year? (Check ONE)	Q25. In the past year, have you or members of your HH had:
	Difficulty concentrating Yes No DK Ref
Q24a. Ages of member of HH who died? (Q24b)	Trouble sleeping
<2 yrs old? 2-17 yrs? 18-64 yrs? 65+ yrs?	Nightmares Ves No DK Ref
	Sad mood
Q24b. Did any pregnant members of your HH die in the past year?	Difficulty enjoying things
□ Yes# □ No one □ DK □ Ref	Unusually happy mood 🛛 Yes 🗅 No 🗆 DK 🔅 Ref
	Frequent worries Yes D No D K D Ref
	Agitated behavior

FIGURE 2: Survey questionnaire

Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response	e (CASPER) – Shanti Bhavan
---	----------------------------

Community As	DK=Don't Know Ref=Refused N	IA=Not Applicable HH=Household	navan
Q26. Were you or anyone in your HH	injured in the past year?	Q33. In the past year, have you or membe	ers of your HH
(Check ALL that apply)			
Yes – still injured Yes – recovered	d □ No □ DK □ Ref	Witnessed violent behavior/threats	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
Q27 In the past year has anybody in y	our HH experienced?	Experienced violent behavior/threats	
Cough	□Yes □No □DK □Ref	Had other traumatic experiences	
Fever		nad other dadmatic experiences	
Rach		If yes? What types?	
Severe headache			
Sore throat/ cold			
TB or Tuberculosis	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref		
Worsening of chronic illness	□Yes □No □DK □Ref		
If yes? What types?			
Other?	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref		
Q28. If you or a member of your HH h	as given birth, where did they		
□ Hospital □ Clinic □ Home or priva	te dwelling 🗆 N/A		
Q29. In the past year, have you or a	ny members of your HH		
experienced worsening of	,	Q34. In the past year, have you or member	ers of your HH
Asthma/COPD	□ Yes □ No/NA □ DK □ Ref		
Allergies	□ Yes □ No/NA □ DK □ Ref	Heard sounds, voices that other people d	id not hear
Diabetes	🗆 Yes 🗆 No/NA 🗆 DK 🗆 Ref		🗆 Yes 🗆 No 🗆 DK 🗆 Ref
Hypertension	□ Yes □ No/NA □ DK □ Ref	Seen shapes or people that other people	did not see
Previous mental health condition	□ Yes □ No/NA □ DK □ Ref	Falk lite open animal open a la sin e triale a se	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
Other	_ □ Yes □ No/NA □ DK □ Ref	Feit like your mind was playing tricks on y	OU DVoc DV DV DP
Q30. In the past year, has it been mor	e difficult for anybody in your	Q35. In the past year, have you or member	ers of your HH experienced
HH to get the medical care they need	?		
Yes (go to Q30a) No – not difficu	ilt □ No – no need □ DK □ Ref	Increased alcohol consumption	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
Q30a. If YES, why?		Increased drug use, including marijuana	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
Usual clinic/physician closed	physician available	Other	
Money/cost Insurance problem	ns		
No transportation Other	DK 🗆 Ref	036. Has every member of your HH receiv	ved the polio vaccination?
O21 In the past year, how many child	fren in your HH attend school?	□ All □ Some (Q36a) □ None (Q36a) □ D	K 🗆 Ref
	aren in your in racterio school:	036a, If SOME or NONE, why not? (Check	all that apply)
	□ No children □ DK □ Ref	No insurance Cost/Cannot pay for	vaccination
		D No vaccination site near me D No time	e
		Other	🗆 DK 🗆 Ref
		Q37. Has anyone in your household had r	neasles in the last 5 years?
		□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref	
		Q38. Is everyone in your household vacci	nated for measles?
		□ All □ Some □ None □ DK □ F	lef
		Q39. Has every adult in your HH had a tet	anus (DTap/Tdap/Td) shot
		in the past 10 years?	□ Yes □ No □ DK □ Ref
		Q40. Are all children (up to 18 years old)	up to date with their
YesStill injuredYes _ recoveredNoDKRef G27 In the past year has anybody in your HH experienced? CoughYesNoDKR ReverYesNoDKR RashYesNoDKR RashYesNoDKR RashYesNoDKR RashYesNoDKR RyNoDKR YesNoDKR Worsening of chronic illnessYesNoDKR Worsening of chronic illnessYesNoDKR RashNave you or any members of your HH experienced worsening of Astma/COPDNave you or any members of your HH AllergiesYesNo/NADKR YesNAVNAVE you or any members of your HH HogettensionYesNO/NADKR (044) (044) (045)NAVE you or any members of your HH to get the medical care they need? YesNO/NADKR (046)NAVE you or members of your HH to get the medical care they need? NAVE you or any mark of the medical care they need? NAVE NO/NANXNAVE NO/NANXN		vaccination schedule? Yes Some (C	240a) 🗆 No (Q40a)
services from a counselor, religious le	ader, therapist, or social	No children	DK Ref
Vor a No - couldp't get service	sr — No — no pood for convicor	No insurance Cost/Cannot nav for	vaccination
		□ No vaccination site near me □ No tim	e
		D Other	DK Ref
Now we are going to ask about YOU	as an INDIVIDUAL	lessons la delessables. A faire reserve	
Q41. Over the last <u>2 weeks</u> , how often	n nave you had little interest or p More than half the days	Deasure in doing things? (Check ONE)	fused
042 Over the last 2 weeks how offer	n have you falt down do	ar handless? (Chask ONE)	
Not at all Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day DK Re	fused
Q43. Over the last 2 weeks, how often	n have you felt nervous, anxious,	or on edge? (Check ONE)	
Not at all Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day DK Re	fused
Q44. Over the last 2 weeks, how often	n have you been unable to stop o	or control worrying? (Check ONE)	
Not at all Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day DK Re	fused
Q45. Now thinking about your mental	i neaith, which includes stress, de	epression, and problems with emotions, for	now many days during the
O46. Is there anything else you'd like	to share with us about your life of	Dr HH?	useu
	to share with as about your life (DK n Re	fused
L			

THANK YOU! THIS SURVEY WILL HELP US DESIGN HEALTH PROGRAMMING TO SUPPORT YOUR VILLAGE.

FIGURE 3: Survey questionnaire back

Appendix B

1111 Marcus Ave, Suite 107 New Hyde Park, NY 11042		
Communit	Introduction and consent script y Assessment for Public Health Emergen	cy Response
Hello, we are	and	with
Shanti Bhavan and Nor	thwell Health in New York.	
If you agree to particip	ate, we will ask you some general questions a	bout your house and
the people who live the survey should take no i private. You can refuse	ere and questions about certain kinds of envi more than 15 minutes to complete. We will k e to take part in the survey or refuse to answe	ronmental hazards. The eep your answers r any of the questions.
the people who live the survey should take no i private. You can refuse Nothing will happen to You may have questior	ere and questions about certain kinds of envi more than 15 minutes to complete. We will k e to take part in the survey or refuse to answe o you or your household if you choose not to t ns about this survey.	ronmental hazards. The eep your answers r any of the questions. ake part in the survey.
the people who live the survey should take no i private. You can refuse Nothing will happen to You may have question If so, you can ask anyoi	ere and questions about certain kinds of envi more than 15 minutes to complete. We will k e to take part in the survey or refuse to answe o you or your household if you choose not to t ns about this survey. ne here right now.	ronmental hazards. The eep your answers r any of the questions. ake part in the survey.
the people who live the survey should take no i private. You can refuse Nothing will happen to You may have questior If so, you can ask anyou Are you willing to parti	ere and questions about certain kinds of envi more than 15 minutes to complete. We will k e to take part in the survey or refuse to answe o you or your household if you choose not to t ns about this survey. ne here right now. icipate in this survey?	ronmental hazards. The eep your answers r any of the questions. ake part in the survey.
the people who live the survey should take no i private. You can refuse Nothing will happen to You may have question f so, you can ask anyou Are you willing to parti WAIT FOR RESPONDED	ere and questions about certain kinds of envi more than 15 minutes to complete. We will k e to take part in the survey or refuse to answe o you or your household if you choose not to t ns about this survey. ne here right now. icipate in this survey? NT TO CLEARLY ANSWER YES OR NO].	ronmental hazards. The eep your answers r any of the questions. ake part in the survey.

FIGURE 4: Consent script

Appendix C

Instructions: Use one tracking fo far down the list as possible for e	rm per ach sit	e you v	r. Chec visit. Us	k wher ie neig	e appr hbors t	opriate to find	, but ti inform	y to cr ation if	no res	ident i	e best i s availa	option ible.	for eac	n of th	ie five i	catego	ies. (
Sampled Housing Units	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1) ACCESS																	
House is Accessible					0											0	
House is Inaccessible																	
2) TYPE OF DWELLING																	
No housing structure		0												0			
Mobile Home								0		0		0	0	0		0	
Single Family Home				0								0					
Apartment or Condo																	
Other																	
3) DAMAGE																	
None or Minimal		0		0	0							0		0		0	
Damaged																	
Destroyed																	
4) ANSWER																	
Door was answered																	
Appears as though someone is																	
home but no answer	_		_	_	_	_			_			_					_
Nobody home 1 st visit		0		0				-		0		0		0		0	
after and visit	-	-		0		-		-		0		-		-		-	
2 Visit		0		0								•		0			
												0					
		_		-				-		_		_	_		_	_	
Language barrier																	
Refused to Participate																	
Interview begun, not finished																	
interview Completed																	
Survey # (i.e., 1–7) from Completed Questionnaire:																	

Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response (CASPER) Toolkit: Second Edition

FIGURE 5: Community assessment for public health emergency response tracking form

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. 1) Hofstra University Institutional Review Board; 2) Monk Prayogshala issued approval 1) Approval Ref#: 20190905-HPHS-BHU-1; 2) Approval Ref #080-022. 1) Hofstra IRB approval statement: Re: "Community Needs Assessment in Rural South India" HUIRB Approval Ref#: 20190905-HPHS-BHU-1 Dear Tanzim: This is to advise that the referenced research proposal qualifies for review by Expedited procedures under 45 CFR 46.110(d). The University's Institutional Review Board (IRB) governing the use of human subjects as research subjects has reviewed your IRB application and approval is granted to conduct your study as described in your application. Please note that any significant deviation from the research design presented in your application must be submitted to the IRB for review and approval prior to implementation. On behalf of the IRB Committee, I wish you success in your research. Sincerely, Sofia Kakoulidis Associate Provost for Research and Sponsored Programs and Administrator of the Hofstra University Human Subjects Committee (IRB) 2) Monk Prayogshala IRB approval statement: Monk Prayogshala Institutional Review Board (IRB) Certificate of Approval This is to certify that the project below was considered by the Monk Prayogshala Institutional Review Board and Ethics Review Committee. The Committee was satisfied that the proposal meets the requirements of the United States Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, the American Psychological Association, and the Indian Council of Medical Research Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research on Human Subjects and has indicated project approval. Project Title: Community Needs Assessment of Low-Income Sections of Ten Rural Villages in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, India MP Ethics Project ID Number: #080-022 Main Applicant (Principal Investigator): Tanzim Bhuiya Approval Date: 11 January 2022 Please note below the terms of approval. Failure to comply with the terms below is in breach of your approval and the code for responsible conduct of research. 1. The Main Applicant is responsible for obtaining any permission or consent letters, if relevant, before data collection commences. 2. It is the Main Applicant's responsibility to ensure that all investigators are aware of the terms of approval and to ensure the project is conducted as approved by the MP IRB. 3. The Main Applicant or a member of the research team should promptly notify the MP IRB of any serious or unexpected adverse effects on participants or unforeseen events affecting the ethical acceptability of the project. 4. Please include your assigned project ID number on any explanatory statements, or statements of informed consent, as applicable. 5. Prior written approval from the MP IRB must be sought in case of any amendments to approved research protocol (including changes to personnel), 6. This project may be subject to an audit or any other form of monitoring by the MP IRB at any stage. 7. The Main Applicant will be responsible for data storage and retention pertaining to the project for a minimum period of five years. Thanks & Regards, Dr. Hansika Kapoor Chair, Monk Prayogshala IRB. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following local collaborators for their essential assistance with the execution of this study: Abraham George, PhD; Ajit George; Sister Sheela. We would also like to thank the members of the Northwell Center for Global Health India Team, without whom this study would have been impossible, for their contributions to the development, execution, and analysis of this research. The team members were as follows: Sara Ali, MD; Jonathan Blau, MD; Maria Cioe Pena, PhD; Amy Cooper, MD; Shazmin Gangji, MS PA-C; Tasfia Hoque, DO; Hafza Sharieff, MD; Janelle Singh, MBBS; Arya Soman, MD; Daniel Ying, DO; John Young, MD, MPP, PhD. The Northwell Health Center for Global Health organized this needs assessment. We would also like to extend a special thanks to Daniel Y Kim, MBA, PMP, and Shari Jardine, MPH, MS at the Center for Global Health.

References

- Balarajan Y, Selvaraj S, Subramanian SV: Health care and equity in India. Lancet. 2011, 377:505-15. 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61894-6
- Kumar V, Kumar Y, Gupta M, Stobbelaar F, Agrawal S, Venkatraman A: Evaluation of the Free Diagnostics Scheme in Andhra Pradesh. World Health Organization. World Health Organization, India; 2018.
 Economic survey. Government of Karnataka. (2018).
- https://karunadu.karnataka.gov.in/hfw/decell/SBHI%20Doc/Economic%20Survey%20up%20to%20Nov%202018%20English.j
- 2019: https://www.censusindia.gov.in/vital_statistics/SRS_Bulletins/SRS_Bulletin-Rate-2017-_May_2019.pdf.
 India: health of the nation's states: the India state-level disease burden initiative: disease burden trends in the states of India, 1990 to 2016. Indian Council of Medical Research, Public Health Foundation of India, University of Washington, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. (2017).
- http://www.healthdata.org/disease-burden-india.
- Seshadri SR, Parab S, Kotte S, Latha N, Subbiah K: Decentralization and decision space in the health sector: a case study from Karnataka, India. Health Policy Plan. 2016, 31:171-81. 10.1093/heapol/czv034
- Wright J, Williams R, Wilkinson JR: Development and importance of health needs assessment. BMJ. 1998, 316:1310-3. 10.1136/bmj.316.7140.1310

- 8. Overview of CASPER. (2016). Accessed: December 8, 2019: https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/casper/overview.htm.
- Frequently asked questions. Shanti Bhavan Child. (2019). Accessed: December 8, 2019: https://www.shantibhavanchildren.org/about/faq/.
- Gang IN, Sen K, Yun M-S: Is caste destiny? Occupational diversification among Dalits in rural India . Eur J Dev Res. 2017, 29:476-92.
- Schnall AH, Nakata N, Bayleyegn T: Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response (CASPER) Toolkit. Third edition. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; 2019.
- Schnall A, Nakata N, Talbert T, Bayleyegn T, Martinez D, Wolkin A: Community Assessment for Public Health Emergency Response (CASPER): an innovative emergency management tool in the United States. Am J Public Health. 2017, 107:S186-92. 10.2105/AJPH.2017.303948
- 13. Fowler FJ Jr: Survey Research Methods. Fifth edition . Sage Publications, Los Angeles; 2014.
- Karnataka RWSS II Additional financing. World Bank. (2015). Accessed: December 8, 2019: https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P050653.
- Rural water supply schemes. Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board. (2020). Accessed: October 25, 2020: https://www.twadboard.tn.gov.in/content/rural-water-supply-schemes.
- 16. Government of Karnataka. Organization functions. (2019). Accessed: December 8, 2019: https://ahara.kar.nic.in/functions.html.
- Public distribution system, functioning, limitations, revamping. (2019). Accessed: December 8, 2019: https://www.civilserviceindia.com/subject/General-Studies/notes/public-distribution-system-functioninglimitations-re....
- 18. Summary About the Programme (NVBDCP). (2017). Accessed: 2019: http://nhmmeghalaya.nic.in/programmes/nvbdcp/summary.html.
- Arali PK, Shanbhag DN: Assessment of national vector borne disease control programme in state of Karnataka. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2019, 6:525. 10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20190028
- Dengue/DHF situation in India. (2015). Accessed: November 7, 2021: https://nvbdcp.gov.in/index4.php? lang=1&level=0&linkid=431&lid=3715.
- 21. Anand S, Fan V: The Health Workforce in India . World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; 2016.
- 22. Gautham M, Shyamprasad KM, Singh R, Zachariah A, Singh R, Bloom G: Informal rural healthcare providers in North and South India. Health Policy Plan. 2014, 29 Suppl 1:i20-9. 10.1093/heapol/czt050
- Kamath SR, Ranjit S: Clinical features, complications and atypical manifestations of children with severe forms of dengue hemorrhagic fever in South India. Indian J Pediatr. 2006, 73:889-95. 10.1007/BF02859281
- 24. Mathias KR, Mathias JM, Hill PC: An asset-focused health needs assessment in a rural community in North India. Asia Pac J Public Health. 2015, 27:NP2623-34. 10.1177/1010539511421193
- Cherukupalli, S., Singh, A., Pathak, C. et.al: A needs assessment of Charnia, Haryana in rural India reveals significant socioeconomic and health disparities in a local geographical area. The Columbia University Journal of Global Health. 2015, 5.1:7-14. 10.7916/thejgh.v5i1.5294
- Community needs assessment of Mayasandra village, Karnataka, India. (2010). https://uknowledge.uky.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1116&context=mpampp_etds.