# Predictive Value of BRCA1, ERCC1, ATP7B, PKM2, TOPOI, TOPO-IIA, TOPOIIB and C-MYC Genes in Patients with Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC) Who Received First Line Therapy with Cisplatin and Etoposide

Niki Karachaliou<sup>1,2</sup>, Chara Papadaki<sup>1</sup>, Eleni Lagoudaki<sup>3</sup>, Maria Trypaki<sup>2</sup>, Maria Sfakianaki<sup>2</sup>, Anastasios Koutsopoulos<sup>3</sup>, Dimitris Mavroudis<sup>1,2</sup>, Efstathios Stathopoulos<sup>3</sup>, Vassilis Georgoulias<sup>1,2</sup>, John Souglakos<sup>1,2\*</sup>

1 Laboratory of Tumour Cell Biology, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, 2 Department of Medical Oncology, University General Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece, 3 Department of Pathology, University General Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece

#### Abstract

**Background:** The aim of the study was to evaluate the predictive value of genes involved in the action of cisplatinetoposide in Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC).

**Methods:** 184 SCLC patients' primary tumour samples were analyzed for *ERCCI*, *BRCA1*, *ATP7B*, *PKM2 TOPOI*, *TOPOIIA*, *TOPOIIB* and *C-MYC* mRNA expression. All patients were treated with cisplatin-etoposide.

**Results:** The patients' median age was 63 years and 120 (65%) had extended stage, 75 (41%) had increased LDH serum levels and 131 (71%) an ECOG performance status was 0-1. Patients with limited stage, whose tumours expressed high *ERCC1* (p=0.028), *PKM2* (p=0.046), *TOPOI* (p=0.008), *TOPOIIA* (p=0.002) and *TOPOIIB* (p<0.001) mRNA had a shorter Progression Free Survival (PFS). In limited stage patients, high expression of *ERCC1* (p=0.014), *PKM2* (p=0.026), *TOPOIIA* (p=0.021) and *TOPOIIB* (p=0.019) was correlated with decreased median overall survival (mOS) while in patients with extended stage, only high *TOPOIIB* expression had a negative impact on Os (p=0.035). The favorable expression signature expression signature (low expression of *ERCC1*, PKM2, *TOPOIIA* and *TOPOIIB*) was correlated with significantly better PFS and Os in both LS-SCLC (p<0.001 and p=0.007, respectively) and ES-SCLC (p=0.007 and (p=0.011, respectively) group. The unfavorable expression signature was an independent predictor for poor PFS (HR: 3.18; p=0.002 and HR: 3.14; p=0.021) and Os (HR: 4.35; p=0.001and HR: 3.32; p=0.019) in both limited and extended stage, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Single gene's expression analysis as well as the integrated analysis of *ERCC1*, *PKM2*, *TOPOIIA* and *TOPOIIB* may predict treatment outcome in patients with SCLC. These findings should be further validated in a prospective study.

Citation: Karachaliou N, Papadaki C, Lagoudaki E, Trypaki M, Sfakianaki M, et al. (2013) Predictive Value of BRCA1, ERCC1, ATP7B, PKM2, TOPOI, TOPO-IIA, TOPOIIB and C-MYC Genes in Patients with Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC) Who Received First Line Therapy with Cisplatin and Etoposide. PLoS ONE 8(9): e74611. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074611

Editor: Ganesh Chandra Jagetia, Mizoram University, India

Received March 19, 2013; Accepted August 5, 2013; Published September 13, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Karachaliou et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was partially supported by grants from the Cretan Association for Biomedical Research (CABR) and the Hellenic Society of Medical Oncology (HeSMO). NK is a recipient of a HeSMO fellowship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: georgsec@med.uoc.gr

### Introduction

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a biologically complex malignancy with treatment that has remained largely unchanged over the last 30 years [1]. Although the proportion of patients with SCLC has been decreased, it still accounts for approximately 15% of all lung cancer cases [2]. SCLC is characterized by early dissemination, and 70%–80% of patients have metastatic disease at diagnosis (extensive stage, ES-SCLC) while the rest present with disease limited to the thorax (limited-stage, LS-SCLC) [3]. The standard of care for SCLC is platinum-based combination chemotherapy, using either etoposide or irinotecan, in association with radiotherapy in limited stage disease (LS-SCLC). There is a typical disease

trajectory with an initial good clinical response followed by rapid relapse of chemoresistant tumour and death [4]. Because surgical resection is not part of standard care, SCLC research using primary tumour tissue is limited to small diagnostic samples and, thus, the therapeutic benefits from the molecular analysis of this tumours are limited during the last 30 years [5].

Theoretically, the molecular characteristics obtained from the primary tumours have the potential to affect therapeutic decisions and to allow clinicians to select chemotherapy drugs that will give patients maximal benefit while simultaneously minimize toxicity [6]. Primary and/or acquired resistance to chemotherapy or radiotherapy is the main cause of poor outcome in patients with lung cancer [7,8]. Over the last years a growing body of evidence, from gene expression, mutational and proteomic profiling studies, as well as from *in vitro* models led to the identification of molecular markers related with resistance to platinum analogs and topoisomerase inhibitors [9].

The Excision Repair Cross Complementation group 1 (ERCC1) is an enzyme which plays a key role in the GG-Nucleotide Excision Repair (GG-NER) pathway which repairs DNA adducts and other DNA helix-distorting lesions including those associated with cisplatin administration [10]. The majority of studies on ERCC1 and cisplatin, in NonSmall Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) as well as in other solid tumours, share in common the finding that low-ERCC1 expression is associated with a better response to platinum-based chemotherapy [11-13]. On the other hand, the results of in vitro studies have suggested the superiority of TC-NER pathway, in which breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (BRCA1) protein is involved, to GG-NER pathway in predicting platinum resistance [14]. Modulation of BRCA1 expression leads to modification of TC-NER and, hence, to radio- and chemo-resistance [15,16]. BRCA1 is also involved in homologous recombination repair (HRR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), in response to DNA damage and may be a regulator of mitotic spindle assembly, as BRCA1 and b-tubulin co-localize to the microtubules of the mitotic spindle and to the centrosomes [17,18]. In addition, recent data have shown that import and export transporters involved in maintenance of copper homeostasis are also involved in the transport of cisplatin [19]. Especially, overexpression of the P-type transporter ATP7B conferred cisplatin resistance associated with decreased intracellular accumulation of cisplatin and carboplatin [20]. Also, the role of pyruvate kinase isoform M2 (PKM2) in resistance to platinum analogs is recently under extensive investigation [21,22]; PKM2 replaces the specific isoforms (type L, R, and M1) during tumourigenesis and substitution is under the control of the transcription factor C-MYC [23,24]. The overexpression of MYC proteins in SCLC is largely a result of gene amplification and leads to more rapid proliferation and loss of terminal differentiation [25]. C-MYC overexpression occurs in 16-32% of SCLC and in 40% of cell lines established from patients whose disease progressed after chemotherapy [26,27]. Finally, several mechanisms of resistance have been suggested to involve DNA topoisomerase I and II (TOPOI and TOPOII), which are the target of several compounds, including topoisomerase-I inhibitors (such as irinotecan and topotecan)

as well as topoisomerase-II inhibitors (such as etoposide and doxorubicin) [7,28,29].

This study retrospectively analyzes the predictive value on both response to treatment and survival of *BRCA1*, *ERCC1*, *ATP7B*, *PKM2*, *TOPOI*, *TOPO-IIA*, *TOPOIIB* and *C-MYC* mRNA levels, as they are detected by real-time PCR in SCLC patients treated with platinum-based combination chemotherapy with or without chest radiotherapy.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Patients

From the database of histologically confirmed SCLC patients, treated with cisplatin and etoposide, in the Department of Medical Oncology in the University Hospital of Heraklion, we retrospectively collected all available tissue samples and the corresponding clinical data. In total, 184 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) bronchoscopic or fine needle aspiration (FNA) biopsies from the primary tumours were collected. The study was approved by the institutional review board and all patients had signed informed consent for molecular analysis at the time of diagnosis. Main inclusion criteria were: histologically confirmed SCLC; first-line treatment with cisplatin or carboplatin and etoposide with or without radiotherapy and available tumour tissue. The study has been approved from the ethics and scientific committee of University Hospital of Heraklion (approval number 4456/14-5-2010) and all patients sign informed consent for their participation in the studv.

# Pathological evaluation, micro-dissection and RNA extraction

All paraffin-embedded tumours were reviewed by two independent pathologists to ensure the validity of the specimen and to select the proper area for microdissection. Sections of 5µm thickness were prepared and after staining with nuclear Fast Red (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO USA) cancer cells were procured using an Eppendorf piezoelectric microdissector (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). RNA extraction was performed using the trizol LS method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 50ng of total RNA for each gene was needed to prepare cDNA, using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) [30,31]. Relative cDNA quantification was performed using the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System (AB). Comparative Ct method was used for gene expression quantification using  $\beta$ -actin and PGK1 as internal reference genes and commercial RNA (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) as calibrators. Final expression values were determined as follows:  $2^{-(\Delta Ct \text{ sample-}\Delta Ct}$ <sup>calibrator)</sup>, where  $\Delta Ct$  values of the sample and the calibrators are estimated by subtracting the Ct value of the target gene from the median of the housekeeping genes values. In all experiments, only triplicates with a standard deviation of the Ct value <0.25 were accepted. In addition, genomic DNA contamination was excluded by including non-reverse transcribed RNA as a control for all 184 patients' samples.

Primers and probes for gene expression analysis were designed using Primer Express 2.0 Software (AB) on the basis

of their Ref Seq in <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?</u> <u>db=gene</u> designed. The detailed sequence and hybridization data are provided in table S1.

#### **Statistical Considerations**

Gene expression levels were quantified as continuous variable. The Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was used to determine correlation among different genes. Progression free survival (PFS) was calculated from the diagnosis of disease to demonstrated radiological progression or death from any cause. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the diagnosis of disease to death from any cause. Median PFS and OS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier curves and comparisons have been made using two-sided log-rank test. A univariate Cox regression analysis, with hazard ratios and 95% confindence intervals (CIs), was used to assess the association between each potential predictive factor and survival or PFS. These factors were then included in a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model with a stepwise procedure (both forward and backward) to evaluate the independent significance of different variables on survival and PFS. All results were considered as statistically significant with p<0.05 (two-sided test).

# Results

# Patients' characteristics and clinico-pathological features

The main demographics and clinical characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. Patients were predominately males (87%), with median age of 63 years and good performance status (PS; European Cooperative Oncology Group-ECOG 0-1:71%); about one third had LS-SCLC and 41% presented elevated serum levels of LDH at the time of initial diagnosis. The treatment protocol consisted of four to six three-week cycles of 100mg of eoposide per square meter on days 1, 2 and 3 and 80mg of cisplatin per square meter or carboplatin AUC 5-6 on day 1. All regimens required hydration and administration of antiemetic drugs. Sixty of LS-SCLC patients (60 out of 64; 94%) received curative radiotherapy which was started with cycle 2 of chemotherapy.

Patients' outcome was typical for SCLC. At the time of analysis and after a median follow-up of 9.1 months (min-max: 0.3-156 months), 171 disease relapses and 169 deaths have been recorded. The median OS was 15 months for patients with LS-SCLC and 8 months for those with ES-SCLC and the median PFS was 8 and 4 months, respectively (Table 1). The response to first line treatment is presented in Table 2.

#### Genes' mRNA expression and correlations

The median expression values for each gene are presented in Table S2. The correlation among several genes' expression confirmed the biological model at the basis of the selection of the analyzed genes. Significant correlations were observed between PKM2 and *C-MYC* (r= 0.21; p= 0.015), *BRCA1* and *ERCC1* (r= 0.65; p<0.001), *TOPOI* and *TOPOIIA* (r= 0.40; p<0.001), *TOPOI* and *TOPOIIB* (r= 0.26; p= 0.002), and Table 1. Patients' and tumours' characteristics.

FeatureN%Redian Age (Range) years63 (33-78)≤ 65 years9854Gender16087Male16087Female2413Stage12065Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LD11317125329LD4 Diagnosis19059Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy17193Limited6033Extended1317Second line Chemotherapy1317Yes14579No3911Survival Status14579Death16992Alive16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0(0.3-1)Limited50.(1.3-12)Limited76.(2.3-2.)Limited76.(2.3-2.)				
184Median Age (Range) years63 (33-78)≤ 65 years9854Gender16087Female16087Female2413Stage113Limited6435Extended12065ECOG PS12053O-11317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy17193Imited6033Extended17193No17193No13911Second line Chemotherapy14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-1)1Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)Limited5.0 (1.3-12)	Feature	N	%	
Median Age (Range) years63 (33-78)≤ 65 years9854Sender16087Male16087Female2413Stage12065Extended12065ECOG PS1217125329LIMIEQ Diagnosis5329Lorrative Radiotherapy10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy13171Yes17193No13379No13479No3911Survival Status14579No158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MontsExtended4.0 (0.3-1)1Limited6.0 (1.3-12)1Limited7.6 (2.3-2.0)1Limited7.6 (2.3-2.0)1		184		
≤ 65 years 98 54 Gender Male 160 87 Female 24 13 Stage Limited 64 35 Extended 64 35 Extended 120 65 ECOG PS 0-1 131 71 2 53 29 LDH @ Diagnosis Normal 109 59 Elevated 75 41 Curative Radiotherapy Limited 60 33 Extended 0 0 0 Relapse Yes 0101 00 0 Relapse Yes 171 93 No 30 Rob 171 93 No 30 No 30 Relapse Yes 171 93 No 30 Relapse Yes 171 93 Relapse Yes 171 93 Relaps	Median Age (Range) years	63 (33-78)		
GenderMale16087Female2413Female2413Stage12065Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy10953Limited6033Extended6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonttsExtended4.0 (0.3-1)Limited4.0 (0.3-1)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonttsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited5.0 (4.6-156.0)	≤ 65 years	98	54	
Male16087Female2413Female2413Stage12065Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated10959Elevated033Extended6033Extended6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)	Gender			
Female2413StageLimited6435Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LD1 @ DiagnosisNormal10959Elevated7541Curative RadiotherapyLimited6033Extended00Relapse17193No1337Second line ChemotherapyYes14579No3911Survival Status16992Alive16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited60.(1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited5.0 (4.6-156.0)	Male	160	87	
StageLimited6435Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LD1 @ Diagnosis5329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy10933Extended6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited5.0 (4.6-156.0)	Female	24	13	
Limited6435Extended12065ECOG PS13171213171213171213171210959Elevated10959Elevated6033Extended6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)1Limited8.0 (1.3-12)1Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)1Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)1Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)1	Stage			
Extended12065ECOG PS1317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated10959Elevated7541Curative RadiotherapyLimited6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)	Limited	64	35	
ECOG PS0-11317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy133Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy17193Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)	Extended	120	65	
0-11317125329LDH @ Diagnosis10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy7541Curative Radiotherapy033Extended6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited5.0 (4.6-156.0)	ECOG PS			
2 53 29   LDH @ Diagnosis 109 59   Normal 109 59   Elevated 75 41   Curative Radiotherapy 50 33   Extended 60 33   Extended 0 0   Relapse 7   Yes 171 93   No 13 7   Second line Chemotherapy 13 7   Yes 145 79   No 39 11   Survival Status 15 8   Median Progression Free Survival (Range) Months   Extended 4.0 (0.3-16) 1   Limited 8.0 (1.3-12) 1   Extended 7.6 (2.3-26.0) 1   Limited 7.6 (2.3-26.0) 1	0-1	131	71	
LDH @ DiagnosisNormal10959Elevated7541Curative RadiotherapyLimited6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Death16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)1Limited8.0 (1.3-12)1Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)1Limited15.0 (4.6-156.0)1	2	53	29	
Normal10959Elevated7541Curative Radiotherapy6033Extended00Relapse17193No137Second line Chemotherapy137Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Death16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited15.0 (4.6-156.0)	LDH @ Diagnosis			
Elevated7541Curative RadiotherapyLimited6033Extended00Relapse17193No17193No137Second line Chemotherapy14579Yes14579No3911Survival Status158Death16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-15)Limited8.0 (1.3-12)Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited5.0 (4.6-156.0)	Normal	109	59	
Curative Radiotherapy       Limited     60     33       Extended     0     0       Relapse     171     93       No     171     93       No     13     7       Second line Chemotherapy     145     79       Yes     145     79       No     39     11       Survival Status     15     8       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-15-       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12-1)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Elevated	75	41	
Limited     60     33       Extended     0     0       Relapse     7       Yes     171     93       No     13     7       Second line Chemotherapy     145     79       Yes     145     79       No     39     11       Survival Status     15     8       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-15-       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12-1)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Curative Radiotherapy			
Extended00Relapse7Yes17193No137Second line Chemotherapy14579Yes14579No3911Survival Status16992Alive158Median Progression Free Survival (Range)MonthsExtended4.0 (0.3-16'Limited8.0 (1.3-12')Median Overall Survival (Range)MonthsExtended7.6 (2.3-26.0)Limited15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Limited	60	33	
Relapse       Yes     171     93       No     13     7       Second line Chemotherapy     145     79       Yes     145     79       No     3     11       Survival Status     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Extended	0	0	
Yes     171     93       No     13     7       Second line Chemotherapy     13     7       Yes     145     79       No     30     11       Survival Status     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months     1       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)     1       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)     1       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months     1       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)     1       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)     1	Relapse			
No     13     7       Second line Chemotherapy     7       Yes     145     79       No     39     11       Survival Status     109     92       Alive     169     92       Alive     4.0 (0.3-16)     15       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)     1       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)     1       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months     1       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)     1       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)     1	Yes	171	93	
Second line Chemotherapy       Yes     145     79       No     39     11       Survival Status     11     11       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	No	13	7	
Yes     145     79       No     39     11       Survival Status     11       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Second line Chemotherapy			
No     39     11       Survival Status     15     8       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Yes	145	79	
Survival Status       Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	No	39	11	
Death     169     92       Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-12)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Survival Status			
Alive     15     8       Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-121)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Death	169	92	
Median Progression Free Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-121)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Alive	15	8	
Extended     4.0 (0.3-16)       Limited     8.0 (1.3-121)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Median Progression Free Survival (Range)	Months		
Limited     8.0 (1.3-121)       Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Extended	4.0 (0.3-16)		
Median Overall Survival (Range)     Months       Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Limited	8.0 (1.3-121)		
Extended     7.6 (2.3-26.0)       Limited     15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Median Overall Survival (Range)	Months		
Limited 15.0 (4.6-156.0)	Extended	7.6 (2.3-26.0)		
	Limited	15.0 (4.6-1	56.0)	

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.t001

#### Table 2. Response to treatment.

	CR n (%)	PR n (%)	SD n (%)	PD n (%)	NE n (%)		
LS-SCLC (n=64)	13 (20)	30 (47)	7 (11)	11 (17)	3 (5)		
	ORR: 43 (	ORR: 43 (67)					
ES-SCLC (n=120)	1 (0.8)	42 (35)	18 (15)	54 (45)	5 (4.2)		
	ORR: 43 (35.8)						

CR: Complete Response, PR; Partial Response, SD: Stable Disease,

PD: Progressive Disease, ORR: Overall Response Rate

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.t002

between *TOPO-IIA* and *TOPOIIB* (r= 0.67; p<0.001). There was no any significant correlation between the studied genes and the main clinical features such as disease stage, gender, PS and LDH levels.



**Figure 1. Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC.** A. *ERCC1* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. B. *PKM2* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. C. *TOPOI* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. D. *TOPOIIA* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. D. *TOPOIIA* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. D. *TOPOIIA* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. D. *TOPOIIA* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.g001

### Genes' expression and patients' outcome

When the whole patients' population was analyzed no significant correlation has been observed regarding median PFS or OS (Table S3). In contrast, when we examined separately LS-SCLC and ES-SCLC patients' significant associations have been revealed. Indeed, in the LS-SCLC group, shorter median PFS was observed in patients with high mRNA expression of ERCC1 (7.9 vs. 10.1 months; p = 0.028), *PKM2* (7.1 vs. 9.0 months; p = 0.046), *TOPOI* (7.8 vs. 10.2 months; *p* = 0.008), *TOPOIIA* (7 vs. 9.3 months; *p* = 0.002) and TOPOIIB (6.6 vs. 9.1 months; p < 0.001) in comparison with those with low expression of these genes (Table S4 and Figure 1). Moreover, median OS was significantly decreased in patients with high mRNA expression of ERCC1 (13.2 vs. 19.1 months; p = 0.014), *PKM2* (12 vs. 18.0 months; p = 0.026), TOPOIIA (13.0 vs. 18.3 months; p = 0.021) and TOPOIIB (12.8 vs. 18.4 months; p = 0.019) in comparison with those with low expression of these genes (Table S4 and Figure 2). No significant correlation has been observed between median PFS and OS and mRNA expression of any of the studied genes, with the exception of TOPOIIB, in patients with ES-SCLC (Table S5). More specifically, high mRNA expression of

*TOPOIIB* was significantly associated with decreased median OS (5.0 vs. 9.2 months; p = 0.035) (Table S5 and Figure 2E)

When the expression of ERCC1, PKM2 (sensitivity to platinum analogs) and TOPOIIA and TOPOIIB (sensitivity to etoposide) were combined significant correlations in both patients' populations have been observed. The unfavorable expression signature (high mRNA expression of ERCC1, PKM2, TOPOIIA and TOPOIIB) in patients with LS-SCLC (n=26) was a significant predictor of shorter median PFS (6 vs. 11 months; p<0.001) and OS (13 vs. 18 months; p=0.007) when compared with those with favorable expression signature (low mRNA expression of ERCC1, PKM2, TOPOIIA and TOPOIIB; n=25) (Figure 3A and 3B). Similarly, in the ES-SCLC group, the patients with the unfavorable expression signature (n=53) presented significantly decreased PFS (3.8 vs. 6.1 months; p = 0.007) and OS (4.2 vs. 8.4 months; p = 0.011) in comparison with those with the favorable expression signature (n=51; Figure 3C and D)

#### Multivariate analysis for PFS and OS

Univariate analysis demonstrated that in patients with LS-SCLC high *ERCC1* (HR: 1.71, 95% CI: 1.24-2.91; *p*=0.01),



**Figure 2. Overall Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC.** A. *ERCC1* mRNA levels and Overall Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. B. *PKM2* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. C. *TOPOIIA* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. D. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels and Overall Free Survival Stage-SCLC. E. *TOPOIIB* mRNA

PKM2 (HR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.17-2.42; p=0.031), TOPOI (HR: 1.41, 95% CI: 1.26-1.98; p=0.044), TOPOIIA (HR: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.33-3.1; p=0.009) and TOPOIIB (HR: 1.82, 95% CI: 1.46-3.18; p=0.004) mRNA expression, the unfavourable expression signature (HR: 4.97, 95% CI: 2.74-7.61; p=0.001), as well as PS of 2 (HR: 1.46, 95% CI: 1.22-2.81; p=0.017) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 1.52, 95% CI: 1.21-2.78; p=0.021) were significantly associated with decreased PFS (Table 3). Also, high ERCC1 (HR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.13-2.27; p=0.027), PKM2 (HR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.06-1.84; p=0.046), TOPOIIA (HR: 1.69, 95% CI: 1.2-2.34; p=0.018), TOPOIIB (HR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.43-3.11; p=0.006) mRNA expression as well as the unfavourable expression signature (HR: 5.34, 95% CI: 3.85-7.62; p<0.0001), PS of 2 (HR: 1.58, 95% CI: 1.29-2.9; p=0.013) and elevated serum levels of LDH levels (HR: 1.56, 95% CI: 1.22-2.94; p=0.027) were associated with decreased median OS. In ES-SCLC group, only the unfavourable expression signature (HR: 3.56, 95% CI: 1.89-6.46; p=0.001), PS of 2 (HR: 1. 81, 95% CI: 1.17-2.83; p=0.023) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 1.93, 95% CI: 1.43-2.94; p=0.019) were associated with decreased PFS, while TOPOIIB (HR: 1.53, 95% CI: 1.09-1.89; p=0.046) mRNA expression, the unfavourable expression signature (HR: 4.14, 95% CI: 1.95-6.58; p=0.001), PS of 2 (HR: 1.94, 95% CI: 1.62-2.58; p=0.017) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 2.01, 95% CI: 1.61-2.64; p=0.013) were correlated with shorter median OS (Table 4).

Cox proportional hazard analysis revealed that in patients with LS-SCLC high mRNA expression of TOPOIIA (HR: 1.62, 95% CI: 1.13-2.41; p=0.039) and TOPOIIB (HR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.40-2.88; p=0.022) as well as unfavourable expression signature (HR: 3.18, 95% CI: 1.94-4.85; p=0.002), PS of 2 (HR: 1. 38, 95% CI: 1.14-2.63; p=0.02) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 1.6, 95% CI: 1.32-2.94; p=0.017) emerged as independent factors associated with decreased PFS (Table 4). Similarly, TOPOIIB (HR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.41-2.91; p=0.016) mRNA expression, unfavourable expression signature (HR: 4.35, 95% CI: 2.76-6.08; p=0.001) ), PS of 2 (HR: 1.45, 95% CI: 1.22-2.64; p=0.016) and elevated serum levels of LDH levels (HR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.29-3.01; p=0.011) were emerged as independent factors correlated with decreased median OS in the same group of patients (Table 4). In the group of patients with ES-SCLC only the unfavourable expression signature (HR: 3.14, 95% CI: 1.76-4.82; p=0.021), PS of 2 (HR: 1.76, 95% CI: 1.19-2.78; p=0.031) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 1.84, 95% CI: 1.41-2.77; p=0.022) emerged as independent



Figure 3. Predictive value of the expression signature (favorable: low *ERCC1*, *PKM2*, *TOPOIIA* and *TOPOIIB* mRNA levels) in SCLC. A. Correlation of expression signature with Progression Free Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. B. Correlation of expression signature with Overall Survival in Limited Stage-SCLC. C. Correlation of expression signature with Progression Free Survival in Extended Stage-SCLC. D. Correlation of expression signature with Overall Survival in Extended Stage-SCLC. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.g003

factors associated with decreased PFS (Table 4). Similarly, unfavourable expression signature (HR: 3.32, 95% CI: 1.91-5.32; p=0.019), PS of 2 (HR: 1. 88, 95% CI: 1.58-2.47; p=0.024) and elevated serum levels of LDH (HR: 1.92, 95% CI: 1.56-2.44; p=0.019), as well as *TOPOIIB* mRNA expression (HR: 1.44, 95% CI: 0.98-1.83; p=0.064) were revealed as independent factors associated with shorter median OS (Table 4).

## Discussion

Over the past decades, there has been a modest improvement in survival of patients with SCLC, but the percentage of long-term survivors remains dismal. Due to the difficulty in obtaining sufficient and adequate tumour material, only few small studies have thus far been performed in SCLC and this disease remains an important target for treatment and research. In the present study which, in the best of our knowledge is the largest one, we analyzed the expression of several related genes with treatment response and we found a potential predictive model in SCLC patients treated with cisplatin and etoposide.

For the first time in 1999, new biological predictive markers were observed to have an impact on response to chemotherapy and survival of patients with SCLC. Consistent with our findings, Dingemans et al reported that TOPOIIB expression was predictive for response to chemotherapy, with higher response rates in patients with low TOPOIIB levels while high TOPOIIA expression predicted for shorter survival [7]. In agreement with these findings, we observed that LS-SCLC patients with low mRNA expression levels of TOPOIIA and TOPOIIB had significantly better PFS (p=0.002 and p<0.001, respectively) and OS (p=0.021 and p=0.019, respectively), while TOPOIIB was correlated with decreased median OS in ES-SCLC (p=0.035). The most of the in vitro studies have reported a close correlation between the expression of TOPOIIA and sensitivity to drugs. Also, the higher expression of this enzyme in SCLC has been reported as a possible reason for the higher chemosensitivity [32]. In contrast our results are in the opposite direction and this discrepancy may be attributed to methodological issues (protein versus mRNA expression, cell lines versus human cancer samples etc); in addition, it might be partially explained by the fact that, in our study, TOPOII inhibitors were administered together with

**Table 3.** Univariate analysis for Progression Free Survivaland Overall Survival.

	Progression Free Survival			Overall Survival		
	Hazard					
	Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -valu	е		<i>p</i> -value
Limited-Stage SCLC (	n=64)					
ERCC1 expression (High vs Low)	1.71	1.24-2.91	0.01	1.63	1.13-2.27	0.027
<i>PKM2</i> expression (High vs Low)	1. 64	1.17-2.42	0.031	1.49	1.06-1.84	0.046
<i>TOPOI</i> expression (High vs Low)	1.41	1.26-1.98	0.044	1.37	0.96-1.51	0.106
TOPOIIA expression (High vs Low)	1.74	1.33-3.1	0.009	1.69	1.2-2.34	0.018
TOPOIIB expression (High vs Low)	1.82	1.46-3.18	0.004	1.8	1.43-3.11	0.006
Expression signature						
(unfavourable vs. favourable)	4.97	2.74-7.61	0.001	5.34	3.85-7.62	<0.001
PS (2 vs. 0-1)	1.46	1.22-2. 81	0.017	1.58	1.29-2.9	0.013
LDH (elevated vs. normal)	1.52	1.21-2.78	0.021	1.56	1.22-2.94	0.027
Extended-Stage SCLC	<b>C (n=120</b> )					
TOPOIIB expression (High vs. Low)	1.25	0.89-1.80	0.186	1.53	1.09-1.89	0.046
Expression signature						
(unfavourable vs. favourable)	3.56	1.89-6.46	0.001	4.14	1.95-6.58	0.001
PS (2 vs. 0-1)	1.81	1.17-2.83	0.023	1.94	1.62-2.58	0.017
LDH (elevated vs. normal)	1.93	1.43–2.94	0.019	2.01	1.61-2.64	0.0.13

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.t003

cisplatin. The findings regarding the expression of *TOPOIIB* are also supported by other studies in SCLC [33].

In addition, *TOPOI* overexpression has been correlated with decreased PFS (HR: 1.41; *p*=0.044). Indeed, augmented expression of *TOPOI* has been described in various types of tumours [34]. For instance, ovarian cancer cases treated with platinum-based drugs but not with topotecan with increased expression of *TOPOIA* demonstrated a significant shorter overall survival, indicating that on cases with augmented expression of *TOPOIA* application of topotecan should be considered as it might change the outcome in this group of patients [35], but the biological rationale is lacking.

The current study also demonstrated that LS-SCLC patients with high expression of *ERCC1* and *PKM2* had shorter median PFS (p=0.028 and p=0.046, respectively) and OS (p=0.014 and p=0.026, respectively), while patients with low levels of *TOPOI* presented longer PFS (p=0.008) but not OS (p=0.41) compared with those with high mRNA expression. These findings are in agreement with those from recently reported studies which demonstrated that the protein or mRNA expression of *ERCC1* and *TOPOI* in SCLC is predictive of treatment efficacy [33,36]. Sereno et al have demonstrated that *TOPOI* mRNA analysis can predict cispaltin response and

**Table 4.** Multivariate analysis for Progression Free Survivaland Overall Survival.

	Progression Free Survival			Overall Survival		
	Hazard					
	Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -valu	е		<i>p</i> -value
Limited- Stage SCLC (	n=64)					
ERCC1 expression (High vs Low)	1.34	0.96-1.89	0.091	1.33	0.93-1.77	0.104
PKM2 expression (High vs Low)	1. 32	0.93-1.82	0.111	1.39	0.96-1.83	0.086
<i>TOPOI</i> expression (High vs Low)	1.29	0.86-1.78	0.178	1.26	0.81-1.61	0.215
TOPOIIA expression (High vs Low)	1.62	1.13-2.41	0.039	1.49	0.97-2.12	0.081
TOPOIIB expression (High vs Low)	1.79	1.40-2. 88	0.022	1.77	1.41-2.91	0.016
Expression signature (unfavourable vs. favourable)	3.18	1.94-4.85	0.002	4.35	2.76-6.08	0.001
PS (2 vs. 0-1)	1.38	1.14-2.63	0.02	1.45	1.22-2.64	0.016
LDH (elevated vs. normal)	1.6	1.32-2.94	0.017	1.63	1.29-3.01	0.011
Extended-Stage SCLC	(n=120)					
TOPOIIB expression (High vs Low)	1.11	0.74-1.63	0.29	1.44	0.98-1.83	0.064
Expression signature (unfavourable vs. favourable)	3.14	1.76-4.82	0.021	3.32	1.91-5.32	0.019
PS (2 vs. 0-1)	1.76	1.19-2.78	0.031	1.88	1.58-2.47	0.024
LDH (elevated vs. normal)	1.84	1.41–2.77	0.022	1.92	1.56-2.44	0.0.19

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074611.t004

prognosis in SCLC patients [36]. Simultaneously, since expression of TOPOIA has been documented as a significant predictive index in camptothecin based therapy, tumours with high expression of TOPOI may be more amenable to this type of treatment [35]. Also, Ceppi et al, guantified ERCC1, RRM1, and TOPOII mRNA expression in 85 SCLC patients treated with platinum/etoposide [33]; they found that TOPOII expression was associated with better response in LS-SCLC patients, while patients of the same stage of disease and low ERCC1 mRNA levels had significantly longer survival. The multivariate analysis demonstrated that ERCC1 expression was an independent prognostic factor for survival in LS-SCLC [33]. Similarly, Lee et al, reported that the protein expression of ERCC1 in 77 SCLC patients treated with platinum-based doublets, was associated with poor OS, especially in patients with LS-SCLC indicating that high expression of ERCC1 can be a prognostic biomarker for this group of patients [37]. Finally, Chiappori et al, using an immunofluorescence-based automated quantitative technique, scoring RRM1, ERCC1 and TOPOII levels in tumour specimens, reported that TOPOII mRNA expression predicted for better response while ERCC1 mRNA expression was the only independent prognostic factor for survival; conversely, there was no prognostic or predictive

role for any of these genes in ES-SCLC [38]. These findings strongly suggest that LS-SCLC seems to represent a disease which may be biologically distinct from ES-SCLC based on the affected molecular mechanism.

Another important finding in the present study is that the integrated analysis of *ERCC1*, *PKM2* (related with resistance to cisplatin), *TOPOIIA* and *TOPOIIB* (related with resistance to etoposide) was able to confirm that the group of patients with the favorable expression signature (low expression of all genes) presented an improved OS and PFS when compared with those with the unfavorable expression signature. In fact, in LS-SCLC patients with the favorable expression signature, a PFS of almost one year (p<0.001) and an OS of 18 months (p=0.007) was observed, whereas ES-SCLC patients with low expression of the four genes achieved a PFS of 6.1 months (p=0.007) and an OS of 8.4 months (p=0.011) which were significantly higher compared to patients with the unfavorable expression signature (3.8 months and 4.2 for PFS and OS, respectively).

Despite that, the promising results of the present study should be interpreted with caution due to the limitation of this type of research and not definitive conclusions could be made. The study was retrospective, lacks a validation group and although has included the larger number of patients' samples reported ever, the total number of enrolled patients remains relatively small. However, we consider that this type of research may identify subgroups of patients with substantial benefit from the standard chemotherapy and radiotherapy for SCLC and may lead to the design of a prospective clinical trial in SCLC, where the predictive powers of these biomarker expression levels will be tested and validated prospectively. Finally, this study indicates that even in malignancies with limitations in tissue availability, such as SCLC, combinatory

#### References

- Metro G, Duranti S, Fischer MJ, Cappuzzo F, Crino L (2012) Emerging drugs for small cell lung cancer--an update. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 17: 31-36. doi:10.1517/14728214.2012.656588. PubMed: 22288522.
- Jänne PA, Freidlin B, Saxman S, Johnson DH, Livingston RB et al. (2002) Twenty-five years of clinical research for patients with limitedstage small cell lung carcinoma in North America. Cancer 95: 1528-1538. doi:10.1002/cncr.10841. PubMed: 12237922.
- Govindan R, Page N, Morgensztern D, Read W, Tierney R et al. (2006) Changing epidemiology of small-cell lung cancer in the United States over the last 30 years: analysis of the surveillance, epidemiologic, and end results database. J Clin Oncol 24: 4539-4544. doi:10.1200/JCO. 2005.04.4859. PubMed: 17008692.
- Amarasena IU, Walters JA, Wood-Baker R, Fong K (2008) Platinum versus non-platinum chemotherapy regimens for small cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev CD: 006849. PubMed: 18843733.
- Lawson MH, Cummings NM, Rassl DM, Russell R, Brenton JD et al. (2011) Two novel determinants of etoposide resistance in small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 71: 4877-4887. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-0080. PubMed: 21642373.
- Bepler G (2005) Using translational research to tailor the use of chemotherapy in the treatment of NSCLC. Lung Cancer 50 Suppl 1: S13-S14. doi:10.1016/S0169-5002(05)81553-3. PubMed: 16291424.
- Dingemans AM, Witlox MA, Stallaert RA, van der Valk P, Postmus PE et al. (1999) Expression of DNA topoisomerase Ilalpha and topoisomerase Ilbeta genes predicts survival and response to chemotherapy in patients with small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 5: 2048-2058. PubMed: 10473085.
- Viktorsson K, De Petris L, Lewensohn R (2005) The role of p53 in treatment responses of lung cancer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 331: 868-880. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.03.192. PubMed: 15865943.

efforts may provide adequate samples for molecular analysis and contribute by that to the goal of "individualized" treatment.

# **Supporting Information**

Table S1. Sequence of the primers and probes of all reference and target genes. (DOC)

Table S2. Genes' expression values. (DOC)

Table S3. Whole patients' population: Correlation of genes' expression value with Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival.

(DOCX)

Table S4.LS-SCLC#: Correlation of genes' expressionvalue and Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival.(DOCX)

Table S5.ES-SCLC#: Correlation of genes' expressionvalue and Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival.(DOCX)

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: NK CP DM VG JS. Performed the experiments: NK CP EL MT MS AK ES JS. Analyzed the data: NK AK DM ES VG JS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: NK ES VG JS. Wrote the manuscript: NK VG JS. Contributed samples: AK DM.

- Bonanno L, Favaretto A, Rugge M, Taron M, Rosell R (2011) Role of genotyping in non-small cell lung cancer treatment: current status. Drugs 71: 2231-2246. doi:10.2165/11597700-00000000-00000. PubMed: 22085382.
- de Laat WL, Jaspers NG, Hoeijmakers JH (1999) Molecular mechanism of nucleotide excision repair. Genes Dev 13: 768-785. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.7.768. PubMed: 10197977.
- Cobo M, Isla D, Massuti B, Montes A, Sanchez JM et al. (2007) Customizing cisplatin based on quantitative excision repair crosscomplementing 1 mRNA expression: a phase III trial in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 25: 2747-2754. doi:10.1200/JCO. 2006.09.7915. PubMed: 17602080.
- Papadaki C, Sfakianaki M, Ioannidis G, Lagoudaki E, Trypaki M et al. (2012) ERCC1 and BRAC1 mRNA expression levels in the primary tumor could predict the effectiveness of the second-line cisplatin-based chemotherapy in pretreated patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 7: 663-671. doi:10.1097/JTO. 0b013e318244bdd4. PubMed: 22425915.
- Olaussen KA, Dunant A, Fouret P, Brambilla E, André F et al. (2006) DNA repair by ERCC1 in non-small-cell lung cancer and cisplatinbased adjuvant chemotherapy. N Engl J Med 355: 983-991. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa060570. PubMed: 16957145.
- Furuta T, Ueda T, Aune G, Sarasin A, Kraemer KH et al. (2002) Transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair as a determinant of cisplatin sensitivity of human cells. Cancer Res 62: 4899-4902. PubMed: 12208738.
- Boukovinas I, Papadaki C, Mendez P, Taron M, Mavroudis D et al. (2008) Tumor BRCA1, RRM1 and RRM2 mRNA expression levels and clinical response to first-line gemcitabine plus docetaxel in non-small-

- Taron M, Rosell R, Felip E, Mendez P, Souglakos J et al. (2004) BRCA1 mRNA expression levels as an indicator of chemoresistance in lung cancer. Hum Mol Genet 13: 2443-2449. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddh260. PubMed: 15317748.
- Lotti LV, Ottini L, D'Amico C, Gradini R, Cama A et al. (2002) Subcellular localization of the BRCA1 gene product in mitotic cells. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 35: 193-203. doi:10.1002/gcc.10105. PubMed: 12353262.
- Mullan PB, Quinn JE, Gilmore PM, McWilliams S, Andrews H et al. (2001) BRCA1 and GADD45 mediated G2/M cell cycle arrest in response to antimicrotubule agents. Oncogene 20: 6123-6131. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204712. PubMed: 11593420.
- Kuo MT, Chen HH, Song IS, Savaraj N, Ishikawa T (2007) The roles of copper transporters in cisplatin resistance. Cancer Metastasis Rev 26: 71-83. doi:10.1007/s10555-007-9045-3. PubMed: 17318448.
- Nakagawa T, Inoue Y, Kodama H, Yamazaki H, Kawai K et al. (2008) Expression of copper-transporting P-type adenosine triphosphatase (ATP7B) correlates with cisplatin resistance in human non-small cell lung cancer xenografts. Oncol Rep 20: 265-270. PubMed: 18636185.
- Guo W, Zhang Y, Chen T, Wang Y, Xue J et al. (2011) Efficacy of RNAi targeting of pyruvate kinase M2 combined with cisplatin in a lung cancer model. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 137: 65-72. doi:10.1007/ s00432-010-0860-5. PubMed: 20336315.
- Martinez-Balibrea E, Plasencia C, Ginés A, Martinez-Cardús A, Musulén E et al. (2009) A proteomic approach links decreased pyruvate kinase M2 expression to oxaliplatin resistance in patients with colorectal cancer and in human cell lines. Mol Cancer Ther 8: 771-778. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0882. PubMed: 19372549.
- Christofk HR, Vander Heiden MG, Harris MH, Ramanathan A, Gerszten RE et al. (2008) The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase is important for cancer metabolism and tumour growth. Nature 452: 230-233. doi: 10.1038/nature06734. PubMed: 18337823.
- David CJ, Chen M, Assanah M, Canoll P, Manley JL (2010) HnRNP proteins controlled by c-Myc deregulate pyruvate kinase mRNA splicing in cancer. Nature 463: 364-368. doi:10.1038/nature08697. PubMed: 20010808.
- Prins J, De Vries EG, Mulder NH (1993) The myc family of oncogenes and their presence and importance in small-cell lung carcinoma and other tumour types. Anticancer Res 13: 1373-1385. PubMed: 8239508.
- Johnson BE, Makuch RW, Simmons AD, Gazdar AF, Burch D et al. (1988) myc family DNA amplification in small cell lung cancer patients' tumors and corresponding cell lines. Cancer Res 48: 5163-5166. PubMed: 2842046.
- Meyer N, Penn LZ (2008) Reflecting on 25 years with MYC. Nat Rev Cancer 8: 976-990. doi:10.1038/nrc2231. PubMed: 19029958.

- Kaufmann SH, Karp JE, Jones RJ, Miller CB, Schneider E et al. (1994) Topoisomerase II levels and drug sensitivity in adult acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 83: 517-530. PubMed: 7904487.
- Wang JC, Caron PR, Kim RA (1990) The role of DNA topoisomerases in recombination and genome stability: a double-edged sword? Cell 62: 403-406. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90002-V. PubMed: 2165864.
- Papadaki C, Mylonaki A et al. (2009) Predictive significance of BRCA1, TXR1 and TSP1 tumoral expression in patients with metastatic breast cancer treated with taxanes-based 1st line chemotherapy. Impact Congress, Abstract RT
- Papadaki C, Tsaroucha E, Kaklamanis L, Lagoudaki E, Trypaki M et al. (2011) Correlation of BRCA1, TXR1 and TSP1 mRNA expression with treatment outcome to docetaxel-based first-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced/metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 104: 316-323. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6606027. PubMed: 21157449.
- 32. Guinee DG Jr., Holden JA, Benfield JR, Woodward ML, Przygodzki RM et al. (1996) Comparison of DNA topoisomerase II alpha expression in small cell and nonsmall cell carcinoma of the lung. In search of a mechanism of chemotherapeutic response. Cancer 78: 729-735. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19960815)78:4. PubMed: 8756364.
- Ceppi P, Longo M, Volante M, Novello S, Cappia S et al. (2008) Excision repair cross complementing-1 and topoisomerase Ilalpha gene expression in small-cell lung cancer patients treated with platinum and etoposide: a retrospective study. J Thorac Oncol 3: 583-589. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181734f24. PubMed: 18520795.
- Husain I, Mohler JL, Seigler HF, Besterman JM (1994) Elevation of topoisomerase I messenger RNA, protein, and catalytic activity in human tumors: demonstration of tumor-type specificity and implications for cancer chemotherapy. Cancer Res 54: 539-546. PubMed: 8275492.
- Surowiak P, Materna V, Kaplenko I, Spaczynski M, Dietel M et al. (2006) Topoisomerase 1A, HER/2neu and Ki67 expression in paired primary and relapse ovarian cancer tissue samples. Histol Histopathol 21: 713-720. PubMed: 16598670.
- Sereno M, Cejas P, Moreno V, Belda-Iniesta C, López R et al. (2012) ERCC1 and topoisomerase I expression in small cell lung cancer: prognostic and predictive implications. Int J Oncol 40: 2104-2110. PubMed: 22344449.
- Lee HW, Han JH, Kim JH, Lee MH, Jeong SH et al. (2008) Expression of excision repair cross-complementation group 1 protein predicts poor outcome in patients with small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 59: 95-104. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2007.07.023. PubMed: 17889401.
- Chiappori AA, Zheng Z, Chen T, Rawal B, Schell MJ et al. (2010) Features of potentially predictive biomarkers of chemotherapeutic efficacy in small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 5: 484-490. doi: 10.1097/01.JTO.0000391377.17179.6b. PubMed: 20107425.