Research article

Open Access

Method Development and Validation of Montelukast in Human Plasma by HPLC Coupled with ESI-MS/MS: Application to a Bioequivalence Study

Balasekhara Reddy CHALLA * ^{1,2}, Bahlul Z. Awen ³, Babu Rao CHANDU ³, Mukkanti KHAGGA ⁴, Chandrasekhar Bannoth Kotthapalli ¹

¹ Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, 515002, India.

² Siddharth Institute of Pharmacy, Nalanda Educational Society, Kantepudi, Sattenapalli, Guntur, 522438, India.

doi:10.3797/scipharm.1002-07

February 10th 2010

³ Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Jabal Al-Gharbi University, Libya.

⁴ Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, 500072, India.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: baluchalla_99@yahoo.com (B. R. Challa)

Sci Pharm. 2010; 78: 411–422

Published: June 4th 2010 Accepted: June 4th 2010

This article is available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3797/scipharm.1002-07

© Challa et al.; licensee Österreichische Apotheker-Verlagsgesellschaft m. b. H., Vienna, Austria.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received:

Abstract

A simple, sensitive, and specific LC-ESI-MS/MS method for quantification of Montelukast (MO) in human plasma using Montelukast- d_6 (MOD6) as an internal standard (IS) is discussed here. Chromatographic separation was performed on YMC-pack pro C₁₈, 50 x 4.6 mm, S-3 µm column with an isocratic mobile phase composed of 10mM ammonium formate (pH 4.0):acetonitrile (20:80 v/v), at a flow-rate of 0.8 mL min⁻¹. MO and MOD6 were detected with proton adducts at m/z 586.2→568.2 and 592.3→574.2 in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) positive mode respectively. MO and MOD6 were extracted using acetonitrile as precipitating agent. The method was validated over a linear concentration range of 1.0-800.0 ng mL⁻¹ with correlation coefficient $(r^2) \ge$ 0.9996. The intraday precision and accuracy were within 1.91-7.10 and 98.32-99.17. The inter-day precision and accuracy were within 3.42-4.41% and 98.14-99.27% for MO. Both analytes were found to be stable throughout three freeze-thawing cycles, bench top, and autosampler stability studies. This method was utilized successfully for the analysis of plasma samples following oral administration of MO (5 mg) in 31 healthy Indian male human volunteers under fasting conditions.

Keywords

Montelukast • LC-ESI-MS/MS • Bioequivalence study

Introduction

Montelukast, $\{1-[(\{(1R)-1-\{3-[(E)-2-(7-chloroquinolin-2-yl)ethenyl]phenyl\}-3-[2-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)phenyl]propyl\}$ sulfanyl)methyl]cyclopropyl}acetic acid, has a molecular formula of C₃₅H₃₆CINO₃S and a molecular weight of 586.18 g/mol (Fig. 1a).



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of Montelukast (a) and Montelukast-*d*₆ sodium salt (b).

Montelukast is a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) used for the treatment of asthma and to relieve symptoms of seasonal allergies. MO is usually administered orally. Mo is a CysLT₁ antagonist; it blocks the action of leukotriene D4 on the cysteinyl leukotriene receptor CysLT₁ in the lungs and bronchial tubes by binding to it. This reduces the bronchoconstriction otherwise caused by the leukotriene, and results in less inflammation. MO is more than 99% bound to plasma proteins with bioavailability of 63% to 73% and half life of 2.7–5.5 h and extensively metabolized by liver and excreted by biliary [1].

Several methods were developed for quantitative estimation of MO such as voltametric [10], capillary electrophoresis [12], spectroflurometry [15] spectrophotometry [17], and liquid chromatography (LC) [2–9, 11, 13, 14, 16]. Some methods were developed in pharmaceutical [11–14, 16, 17] and biological fluids [2–10, 15]. Moreover, voltametric [10], capillary electrophoresis [12], spectrophotometry [17], spectroflurometry [15] involves tedious procedure and too many steps which do not satisfy the determination of the samples. Quantification of MO in human plasma using HPLC was developed by few authors [3–8], which involves longer run time and are more expensive. Quantification of MO in human plasma using LC-MS/MS was developed by Bharathi D.V. et al. (2009) [2], where they observed a good linearity between the concentration ranges of 0.25–800.0 ng mL⁻¹. However, the samples were pretreated with liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) and amlodipine was used as internal standard.

The proposed method involves high sensitivity, selectivity, and is reproducible for quantification of MO in plasma samples using samples acetonitrile precipitating agent by LC-ESI-MS/MS. Deuterated internal standard MOD6 (Fig. 1b) was used. We have developed and validated the method over a concentration range of 1.0–800.0 ng mL⁻¹ using 200µL plasma samples. Limit of detection (LOD) was proved for 0.02 pg mL⁻¹. Elution time was achieved in 2.8 min for both MO and MOD6. This method was developed and validated as per FDA guidelines and was successfully employed in the analysis of plasma samples following oral administration of MO (5 mg) in healthy human volunteers [18].

Material and methods

Standards and chemicals

MO was obtained from Varda Biotech Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India. MOD6 was obtained from TRC (Torrent research chemicals, Ontario) Canada. Acetonitrile, ammonium formate, formic acid were purchased from SD Fine Chemicals Ltd, Mumbai, India. Millipore water was used for all the experiments.

Instrumentation

HPLC system (1200 series model, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany), Mass spectrometry API 4000 triple quadrupole instrument (ABI-SCIEX, Toronto, Canada) using MRM.

Detection

Turbo ionspray positive mode with unit resolution MRM was used for the detection. $[M-H]^+$ (m/z 586.3) was monitored as the precursor ion for MO and fragmented at m/z: 568.2 was chosen as product ion. For internal standard, the $[M-H]^+$ (m/z: 592.3) was monitored as the precursor ion and a fragmented at m/z 574.3 was monitored as the product ion. Mass parameters were optimized as source temperature 550°C, nebulizer gas 25 psi, heater gas 30 psi, curtain gas 20 psi, CAD gas 4 psi (nitrogen), ion spray voltage 5500 volts, source flow rate 800 µL min⁻¹ without split, entrance potential 10V, declustering potential 65V for MO and 65V for MOD6, collision energy 25 V for both MO and MOD6, collision cell exit potential 8V for both MO and MOD6.

Chromatographic conditions

YMC-pack pro C₁₈, 50 x 4.6 mm, S-3 μ m was selected as the analytical column. The mobile phase composition was 10mM ammonium formate (pH 4.0):acetonitrile (20:80 v/v). Flow rate of the mobile phase was set at 0.8 mL min⁻¹ and 10 μ L injection volume was used. Column temperature was set at 45°C. MOD6 was found to be appropriate internal standard. Retention time of MO and MOD6 were found to be 2.8 ± 0.2 min, with overall runtime of 5 min.

Preparation of standards and quality control (QC) Samples

Standard stock solutions of MO (100 μ g mL⁻¹) and MOD6 (100 μ g mL⁻¹) were prepared in methanol. MOD6 standard solutions (400 ng mL⁻¹) were prepared in 50% methanol from MOD6 standard stock solution. Standard stock solutions of MO were added to drug-free human plasma to obtain MO concentration levels of 1.00, 2.00, 5.00, 10.00, 50.00, 100.00, 200.00, 400.00, 600.00, and 800.00 ng mL⁻¹ for analytical standards and 1.00, 3.00, 240.00, and 560.00 for quality control standards and stored in a -30°C set point freezer until analysis. Standard stock solutions and IS Standard solutions were stored in refrigerator conditions 2–8°C until analysis. Aqueous standard solutions were prepared in a mixture of 10mM ammonium formate pH 4.0:acetonitrile(1:9 v/v) and stored in refrigerator conditions 2–8°C until analysis.

Sample preparation

50 μ L of MOD6 standard solution (400 ng mL⁻¹) was added into labeled microcentrifuged tubes and spiked 200 μ L of plasma sample (respective concentration) into each tube and

vortexed briefly. Plasma samples were cleaned with 750 μ L of precipitating agent acetonitrile and vortexed briefly for about 5 min. Then the samples were centrifuged at 14000 g.force for approximately 10 min at ambient temperature. The supernatant from each sample was transferred into pre-labeled auto sampler vials for injection. Extraction was carried out under the absence of white light.

Recovery

The extraction recoveries of MO and MOD6 from human plasma were determined by analyzing quality control samples. Recoveries at three concentrations (3.00, 240.00, and 560.00 ng mL⁻¹) were determined by comparing peak areas obtained from the plasma sample and the standard solution spiked with the blank plasma residue.

Selectivity

The response (peak area) was determined in blank plasma samples (six replicates from different plasma) and spiked LOQ was prepared from the same plasma. The peak area of blank samples should not be more than 20% of the mean peak area of LOQ of MO and not more than 5% of MOD6. The precision and mean accuracy of LOQ concentrations must be \leq 20 and \pm 20 % respectively. The signal to noise (S/N) for LOQ was found to be \geq 5.

Analytical curves

The analytical curves were constructed using values ranging from 1.00 to 800.00 ng mL⁻¹ of MO in human plasma. Calibration curves were obtained by weighted 1 quadratic model with log transformed regression analysis ($y = ax^2 + bx + c$). (x=MO concentration in plasma sample, y=Area ratio of MO and MOD6)The ratio of MO peak area to MOD6 peak area was plotted against the ratio of MO concentration to that of MOD6 concentration in ng mL⁻¹.Calibration curve standard samples and quality control samples were prepared in replicates (n=6) for analysis. Accuracy and precision for the back calculated concentrations of the calibration points should be within ≤ 15 and ± 15% of their nominal values. However, for LLOQ, the precision and accuracy should be within ≤ 20 and ± 20%.

Stability (freeze-thaw, auto sampler, bench top, long term)

Low quality control and high quality control samples (n=6) were retrieved from deep freezer after three freeze-thaw cycles according to the clinical protocols. Samples were frozen at -30°C in three cycles of 24, 36, and 48 h. In addition, the long-term stability of MO in quality control samples was also evaluated by analysis after 55 days of storage at -30°C. Autosampler stability was studied following 76 h-storage period in the autosampler tray. Bench top stability was studied for 27-h period. Stability samples were processed and extracted along with the freshly spiked calibration curve standards. The precision and accuracy for the stability samples must be within \leq 15 and \pm 15%, respectively, of their nominal concentrations.

Analysis of patient samples

The bioanalytical method described above was used to determine MO concentrations in plasma following oral administration of healthy human volunteers. Each volunteer obtained written informed consent before participating in this study. Thirty-one healthy volunteers were chosen as subjects and administered 5 mg dose (one 5 mg tablet) by oral administration with 240 mL of drinking water. The reference product, Singulair tablets

(Merck&CO) 5 mg and test product, MO tablets (test tablet) 5 mg were used. Study protocol was approved by IEC (Institutional Ethical committee) as per ICMR (Indian council of medical research) and the research followed the ethical standard formulated in the Helsinki declaration of 1964, revised in 2000. Blood samples were collected as pre-dose (0) h, 5 min prior to dosing followed by further samples at 0.5, 1.0, 1.333, 1.667, 2.0, 2.333, 2.667, 3.0, 3.333, 3.667, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 13.0, 16.0, 20.0, and 24 h. After dosing, 5 mL blood was collected each time in vaccutainers containing K₂EDTA. A total of 42 (21 time points for test and 21 time points for reference) time points were collected from each volunteer. The samples were centrifuged at 3200 rpm, 10°C, 10 min, and stored at -30° C until sample analysis. Test and reference were administered to same human volunteers under fasting conditions separately with proper washing periods (40 days gap between test and reference doses) as per protocol approved by IEC.

Pharmacokinetics and statistical analysis

Pharmacokinetics parameters from the human plasma samples were calculated by a noncompartmental statistics model using WinNon-Lin5.0 software (Pharsight, USA). Blood samples were taken for a period of 3 to 5 times the terminal elimination half-life $(t_{1/2})$ and it was considered as the area under the concentration time curve (AUC) ratio higher than 80% as per FDA guidelines [19, 20]. Plasma MO concentration-time profiles were visually inspected and C_{max} and T_{max} values were determined. The AUC_{0-t} was obtained by trapezoidal method. AUC_{0- ∞} was calculated up to the last measureable concentration and extrapolations were obtained using the last measureable concentration and the terminal elimination rate constant (Ke). The terminal elimination rate constant (Ke), was estimated from the slope of the terminal exponential phase of the plasma of MO concentration-time curve by means of the linear regression method. The terminal elimination half-life, t_{1/2}, was then calculated as 0.693/Ke. Regarding AUC_{0-t} and C_{max} bioequivalence was assessed by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA) and calculating the standard 90% confidence intervals (90% CIs) of the ratios test/reference (logarithmically transformed data). The bioequivalence was considered when the ratio of averages of log-transformed data was within 80–125% for AUC_{0-t} and C_{max}.

Results and Discussion

Method development and validation

LC-MS/MS has been used as one of the most powerful analytical tool in clinical pharmacokinetics for its selectivity, sensitivity, and reproducibility. The aim of the present study is to develop and validate a simple, sensitive, and rapid assay method for the quantitative determination of MO from plasma samples. A simple protein precipitation was used for extraction of MO and MOD6 from the plasma samples. Chromatographic conditions, especially the composition and nature of the mobile phase, were optimized through several trials to achieve the best resolution and increase the signal of MO and MOD6. The MS optimization was performed by direct infusion of solutions of both MO and MOD6 into the ESI source of the mass spectrometer. The critical parameters in the ESI source included the needle (ESI) voltage, which was directly related to the charged droplet formation and to the amount of gaseous ions formed. Capillary voltage was related to the atmospheric pressure and the high vacuum of the mass spectrometer. Other parameters, such as the nebulizer and the desolvation gases were optimized to obtain a better spray

shape, resulting in better ionization and droplet drying to form, in our case, the protonated ionic MO and MOD6 molecules (Fig. 1). A CAD product ion spectrum for MO and MOD6 yielded high-abundance fragment ions of *m*/*z* 568.2 and *m*/*z* 574.2 respectively (Fig. 2). After the MRM channels were tuned, the mobile phase was changed from an aqueous phase to a more organic phase with acid dopant. A good separation and elution were achieved using 10 mM ammonium formate (pH 4.0):acetonitrile (20:80 v/v) as the mobile phase, at a flow-rate of 0.8 mL min⁻¹ and injection volume of 10 µL.



Fig. 2. CAD mass spectra of MO Q1/Q3, MOD6 Q1/Q3.

Selectivity

The analysis of MO and MOD6 using MRM function was highly selective with no interfering compounds (Fig. 3a)(selectivity was performed by using six different plasma lots, here showing only one blank plasma). MRM Chromatograms obtained from plasma spiked with MO (1.0 ng mL⁻¹) and MOD6 (400 ng mL⁻¹) are shown in Fig. 3b. LOQ S/N was found >5.

Matrix effect

Matrix effect was determined by comparing peak area ratio obtained from the standard solution spiked with blank plasma before extraction and after extraction, and the precision for matrix effect at low, medium, and high concentrations must be less than 15%. The precision for the Montelukast matrix effect at all concentrations were determined to be less than 15%.



Fig. 3a. MRM chromatogram of MO and MOD6 in human blank plasma.



Fig. 3b. MRM chromatogram of MO and MOD6 in human plasma spiked with MO (1.00ng/ml), and MOD6 (400.00 ng/ml)[LOQ].

Linearity, precision, and accuracy of calibration standards

Calibration curves were plotted as the peak area ratio (MO/MOD6) versus (MO/MOD6) concentration. Calibration was found to be linear over the concentration range of 1.00– $800.00 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$. The RSD's were less than 5% and the accuracy ranged from 96.82 to 102.43%. The determination coefficients (r²) were greater than 0.9996 for all curves (Tab. 1). These results indicate the adequate reliability and reproducibility of this method within the analytical range

Precision and accuracy of quality control standards

Precision and accuracy for this method was controlled by calculating the intra and interbatch variations at three concentrations (3.00, 240.00, and 560.00 ng mL⁻¹) of QC samples in six replicates. As shown in Table 2, the intra-batch RSD's were less than 7.10%.The intra and inter-day precision was within 1.91 to 7.10 and 3.41 to 4.40% and the intra and inter-day accuracy within 98.32 to 99.35% and 98.12 to 99.24% for MO (Table 2).

Spiking Plasma Concentration (ng mL ^{−1})	Concentration measured (ng mL ⁻¹) (mean)	RSD. ^a (%) (<i>n</i> = 6)	Accuracy %
1.00	1.00 ± 0.03	3.0	100.00
2.00	2.02 ± 0.08	4.0	101.00
5.00	5.05 ± 0.07	1.4	101.00
10.00	9.86 ± 0.18	1.8	98.63
50.00	48.42 ± 2.43	5.0	96.82
100.00	102.40 ± 4.22	4.1	102.43
200.00	204.07 ± 3.18	1.6	102.00
400.00	400.76 ± 8.46	2.1	100.21
600.00	605.05 ± 7.69	1.3	100.85
800.00	780.18 ± 7.71	1.0	97.52

Tab. 1. Calibration curve details of the validation section

Standard deviation RSD= Mean concentration measured

Tab. 2. Precision and accuracy (analysis with spiked plasma samples at three different concentrations)

Within-run			Between-run		
Concentration measured (<i>n</i> =6) (ng mL ⁻¹)	RSD ^a (%)	Accuracy %	Concentration measured (<i>n</i> =30) (ng mL ⁻¹)	RSD ^a (%)	Accuracy %
2.95 ± 0.21	7.10	98.32	2.95 ± 0.13	4.40	98.31
238.31 ± 4.60	1.91	99.35	238.13 ± 8.21	3.41	99.24
552.79 ±32.12	5.84	98.70	549.51 ± 20.79	3.81	98.12
	With Concentration measured (<i>n</i> =6) (ng mL ⁻¹) 2.95 ± 0.21 238.31 ± 4.60 552.79 ±32.12	Within-run Concentration RSD ^a measured RSD ^a (n=6) (%) (ng mL ⁻¹) 7.10 238.31 ± 4.60 1.91 552.79 ±32.12 5.84	Within-run Concentration RSD ^a Accuracy measured RSD ^a Accuracy (n=6) (%) % (ng mL ⁻¹) 98.32 2.95 ± 0.21 7.10 98.32 238.31 ± 4.60 1.91 99.35 552.79 ±32.12 5.84 98.70	Within-runBetweeConcentration measuredConcentration measuredConcentration measured $(n=6)$ $(ng mL^{-1})$ $(\%)$ $\%$ $(n=30)$ $(ng mL^{-1})$ 2.95 ± 0.21 7.10 98.32 2.95 ± 0.13 238.31 ± 4.60 1.91 99.35 238.13 ± 8.21 552.79 ± 32.12 5.84 98.70 549.51 ± 20.79	Within-runBetwen-runConcentrationConcentrationmeasuredRSDaAccuracymeasuredRSDa $(n=6)$ $(\%)$ $\%$ $(n=30)$ $(\%)$ $(ng mL^{-1})$ 98.32 2.95 ± 0.13 4.40 2.95 ± 0.21 7.1098.32 2.95 ± 0.13 4.40 238.31 ± 4.60 1.9199.35 238.13 ± 8.21 3.41 552.79 ± 32.12 5.84 98.70 549.51 ± 20.79 3.81

Standard deviation RSD = . 100 Mean concentration measured

Recovery

The recovery following the sample preparation using precipitation method with acetonitrile was calculated by comparing the peak area ratios of MO in plasma samples with the peak area ratios of solvent samples and was estimated at control levels of MO. The recovery of MO determined at three different concentrations 3.00, 240.00, and 560.00 ng mL⁻¹ was found to be 76.05, 69.67, and 57.33% respectively. The overall average recovery of MO and MOD6 were found to be 67.68 and 64.87%, respectively.

Limit of Detection (LOD)

The limit of detection was determined using aqueous standard solution. For Montelukast, 10 µL of a 20.00 pg mL⁻¹ aqueous standard solution was injected and proved 0.20 pg limit of detection(LOD) for the instrument.

Spiked plasma	Concentration	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (%)
Concentration	mean + SD	or RSD	
Room temperatu	re stability for 27 h	n in plasma	
3.00	2.91 ± 0.08	2.79	97.00
560.00	543.00 ± 8.76	1.61	96.96
Auto sampler stal	bility for 76 h		
3.00	2.91 ± 0.06	2.08	97.00
560.00	564.33 ± 13.71	2.43	100.77
Long-term stabilit	y for 55 days at -	30°C	
3.00	2.98 ± 0.14	4.60	99.33
560.00	544.83 ± 11.02	2.02	97.29
Freeze and thaw	stability at 48 h		
3.00	2.93 ± 0.08	2.69	97.67
560.00	541.83± 12.38	2.29	96.76
a Standard de	viation		

Tab. 3. Stability of Montelukast in human plasma

 $RSD = \frac{Standard deviation}{Mean concentration measured} \cdot 100$

Stability (freeze-thaw, auto sampler, bench top, long term)

Quantification of MO in plasma subjected to three freeze-thaw (-30° C to room temperature) cycles showed the stability of the analyte. No significant degradation of the MO was observed even after 76-h storage period in the auto sampler tray and the final concentrations of MO was between 97.0 to 100.8% of the theoretical values. In addition, the long-term stability of MO in QC samples after 55 days of storage at -30° C was also evaluated. The concentrations ranged from 99.3 to 97.3% of the theoretical values. These results confirmed the stability of MO in human plasma for at least 55 days at -30° C. (Tab. 3).



Fig. 4. Mean plasma concentrations of test vs. reference after a 5 mg single oral dose (one 5 mg tablet) in 31 healthy volunteers.

Application to biological samples

The above-validated method was used in the determination of MO in plasma samples for establishing the bioequivalence of a single 5-mg dose (one 5 mg tablet) in 31 healthy human volunteers. Typical plasma concentration versus time profiles was shown in Fig. 4. All the plasma concentrations of MO were in the standard curve region and remained above the 1.00 ng mL⁻¹ LOQ for the entire sampling period. The Pharmacokinetic parameters and 90%CI were shown in Table 4, 5. Therefore, it can be concluded that the two Montelukast formulations (reference and test) analyzed were bioequivalent according to regulatory requirements [19, 20] (Fig.4)

Tab. 4.Mean pharmacokinetic parameters of Montelukast in 31 healthy human
volunteers after oral administration of 5 mg of test and reference products

Pharmacokinetic	Reference		Test		
parameter	Mean±SD	CV%	Mean±SD	CV%	
C_{max} (ng mL ⁻¹)	338.23 ± 126.44	37.38	369.29 ± 137.35	37.19	
AUC _{0-t} (ng.hr/ml)	2416.53 ± 66.29	2.74	2417.26 ± 63.58	2.63	
AUC _{0-∞} (ng.hr/ml)	2486.54 ± 72.15	2.90	2490.26 ± 71.43	2.87	
t _{max} (hr)	2.67	_	2.67	_	
t _{1/2}	4.54	_	4.99	_	

 $AUC_{0-\infty}$... Area under the curve extrapolated to infinity;

AUC_{0-t} ... Area under the curve up to the last sampling time;

C_{max} ... The maximum plasma concentration

 T_{max} ... The time to reach peak concentration

Tab. 5.90% Confidence intervals for log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameters of
Montelukast after administration of 5 mg of test and reference products in 31
healthy human volunteers

Pharmacokinetic parameter	C _{max} (T/R)	AUC _{0-t} (T/R)	AUC _{0-∞} (T/R)
90% CI	109.19	100.03	100.15

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank for the support received from IICT (Indian Institute of Chemical Technology) Hyderabad, India, for providing literature survey, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Anantapur, India, and Siddharth Institute of Pharmacy for supporting this research work. Authors are grateful to APL Research centre, India for providing clinical samples and support from Pharmacokinetic data.

Authors' Statements

Competing Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Informed Consent & Ethical Approvals

The institutional and (inter)national ethical guides for experiments on human subjects were followed and informed consent was obtained. See 'material and methods' for details.

References

- [1] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Montelukast
- Bharathi DV, Hotha KK, Jagadeesh B, Mullangi R, Naidu A. Quantification of montelukast, selective cysteinyl leukotriene receptor (CysLT1) antagonist In human plasma by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry- validation and its application to a human pharmacokinetic study. Biomed Chromatogr. 2009; 23: 804–810. doi:10.1002/bmc.1189
- Sripalakit P, Kongthong B, Saraphanchotiwitthaya A.
 A simple bioanalytical assay for determination of montelukast in human plasma- application to a pharmacokinetic study.
 J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2008; 869: 38–44.
 doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.05.017
- [4] Liu L, Cheng H, Zhao JJ,Rogers JD.
 Determination of montelukast (MK-0476) and its S- enantiomer in human plasma by stereoselective high-performance liquid chromatography with column-switching.
 J Pharm Biomed Anal. 1997; 15: 631–638.
 doi:10.1016/S0731-7085(96)01894-8
- [5] Ochiai H, Uchiyama N, Takano T, Hara K, Amei T.
 Determination of montelukast sodium in human plasma by column-switching high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection.
 J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl. 1998; 713: 409–414.
 doi:10.1016/S0378-4347(98)00179-0
- [6] Smith GA, Rawls CM, Kunka RL. An automated method for the determination of montelukast in human plasma using dual-column HPLC analysis and peak height summation of the parent compound and its photodegradation product. Pharm Res. 2004; 21: 1539–1544. doi:10.1023/B:PHAM.0000041445.76931.27
- [7] Kitchen CJ, Wang AQ, Musson DG, Yang AY, Fisher AL. Semi-automated 96-well protein precipitation method for the determination of montelukast in human plasma using high performance liquid chromatography/fluorescence detection. J Pharm Biomed Anal.2003; 31: 647–654. doi:10.1016/S0731-7085(02)00723-9
- [8] Al-Rawithi S, Al-Gazlan S, Al-Ahmadi W, Alshowaier IA, Yusuf A, Raines DA. Expedient liquid chromatographic method with fluorescence detection for montelukast sodium in micro-samples of plasma. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl. 2001; 754: 527–531. doi:10.1016/S0378-4347(01)00025-1
- Papp R, Luk P, Mullett WM, Kwong E. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of montelukast in sheep plasma using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2007; 858: 282–286. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2007.08.003
- [10] Alsarra I, Al-Omar M, Gadkariem EA, Belal F. Voltammetric determination of montelukast sodium in dosage forms and human plasma. Farmaco. 2005; 60: 563–567. doi:10.1016/j.farmac.2005.04.004

- Saravanan M, Siva Kumari K, Pratap Reddy P, Naidu MN, Moses Babu J, Srivastava AK, Lakshmi Kumar T, Chandra Sekhar BV, Satyanarayana B.
 Identification, synthesis, isolation and spectral characterization of potential impurities of montelukast sodium.
 J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2008; 48: 708–715.
 doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2008.07.007
- Shakalisava Y, Regan F.
 Determination of montelukast sodium by capillary electrophoresis.
 J Sep Sci. 2008; 31: 1137–1143.
 doi:10.1002/jssc.200700591
- [13] Al Omari MM, Zoubi RM, Hasan EI, Khader TZ, Badwan AA. Effect of light and heat on the stability of montelukast in solution and in its solid state. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2007; 45: 465–471. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2007.07.014
- Hoang TH, Sharma R, Susanto D, Di Maso M, Kwong E.
 Microwave-assisted extraction of active pharmaceutical ingredient from solid dosage forms. J Chromatogr A. 2007; 1156: 149–153. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2007.02.060
- [15] Alsarra I, Khalil NY, Sultan M, Al-Ashban R, Belal F. Spectrofluorometric determination of montelukast in dosage forms and spiked human plasma. Pharmazie. 2005; 60: 823–826. PMid:16320943
- [16] Radhakrishna T, Narasaraju A, Ramakrishna M, Satyanarayana A. Simultaneous determination of montelukast and loratadine by HPLC and derivative spectrophotometric methods. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2003; 31: 359–368. doi:10.1016/S0731-7085(02)00650-7
- Saeed Arayne M, Sultana N, Hussain F.
 Spectrophotometric method for quantitative determination of montelukast in bulk, pharmaceutical formulations and human serum.
 J Anal Chem. 2009; 64: 690–695.
 doi:10.1134/S1061934809070065
- [18] Guidance for industry: bioanalytical method validation. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), May 2001.
- [19] Guidance for industry Food- effect bio availability and Fed Bio equivalence studies. U.S. Department of Health and Human services Food and Drug Administration Centre for Drug Evaluation and research (CDER) December 2002.
- [20] Guidance for industry Bio availability and Fed Bio equivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products-General considerations U.S. Department of Health and Human services Food and Drug Administration Centre for Drug Evaluation and research (CDER) March 2003.