Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# North American Spine Society Journal (NASSJ)



# Clinical Studies Hyperglycemia and its influence on development of lumbar degenerative disc disease



NASS

INASS

Ghanshyam Kakadiya<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kushal Gohil<sup>b</sup>, Viraj Gandbhir<sup>a</sup>, Akash Shakya<sup>c</sup>, Yogesh Soni<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Orthopaedics, TNMC & BYL Nair Hospital, Mumbai 400008, India

<sup>b</sup> Kothari Medical Centre, Kolkata, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Orthopaedics, ESI Hospital, Mumbai, India

<sup>d</sup> Department of Orthopaedics, SSIMS, Bhilai, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Hyperglycemia Lumbar Disc degeneration disease Pfirrmann score HbA1c

### ABSTRACT

Study Design: A Retrospective observational study.

Objectives: To determine the influence of hyperglycemia on severity of lumbar degenerative disc disease (LDDD).

*Methods:* We retrospectively included 199 patients with low back pain (LBP) who visited our tertiary care hospital from June 2016 to December 2018. All patients divided into three groups as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. Group-A had patients without DM (n = 75). Group B had well-controlled DM patients (n = 72) and Group-C had uncontrolled DM patients (n = 52). Group B and C subdivided according to dutation of DM. Group-B1 DM duration was  $\leq 10$  years (n = 38), Group-B2 DM duration was >10 years (n = 34), Group-C1 DM duration  $\leq 10$  years (n = 28), Group-C2 DM duration >10 years (n = 24). Sex, age, BMI, occupation, smoking history, alcohol use and duration of type-II DM were recorded. The severity of LDDD was evaluated using the five-level Pfirrmann grading system. Operated patient's disc material sent for histological examination.

*Results*: Patients with DM showed more severe disc degeneration compared to patients without DM. The average Pfirrmann scores between Groups A and B1 had no difference; Groups B2, C1, and C2 showed higher average Pfirrmann-scores than Group-A (p > 0.05). Group-B2 and Group-C2 showed higher average Pfirrmann-scores than Group-B1 and Group-C1 (p > 0.05). Group-C1 and Group-C2 showed higher average Pfirrmann-scores than Group-B1 and B2 (p > 0.05). The severity of LDDD was significantly related to DM duration both in groups B & C (p > 0.05). DM groups showed increased disc apoptosis and matrix aggrecan fragmentation, Disc glycosamino-glycan content and histological significantly different, the results are similar to Pfirrmann-score results.

*Conclusions:* There is a positive relationship between diabetes and LDDD. A longer the duration and poor control of hyperglycemia could aggravate disc degeneration.

- AGEs Advanced glycation end products
- BMI Body mass index
- DM Diabetes mellitus
- HBP High blood pressure
- LDD Lumbar disc degeneration;
- NP Nucleus pulposus

### Introduction

The most common cause of hyperglycemia is diabetes. Diabetes is associated with high blood glucose levels that result from insulin secretory defects or insulin resistance. There are two main forms of DM, type-I and type-II. Approximately 90% of all cases of DM are type-II DM. According to 2014 data, the estimated prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 11.8% in the adult population of India [1]. Diabetes is a multiorgan disease that affects many types of connective tissues, including bone and cartilage [2]. Diabetes is associated with an increased risk of certain musculoskeletal pathologies. Prolonged and frequent complications of diabetes include diabetic neuropathy, with symptoms such as pain and sensory and motor deficits in the legs [3].

The lumbar degenerative disc disease (LDDD) is caused by vertebral space reduction, which can be due to new bone formation or hypertrophic tissue changes. LDDD is a major contributor to back and radicular pain, resulting imbalance in catabolic and anabolic responses leads

\* Corresponding Author

E-mail address: drghanshyam89@gmail.com (G. Kakadiya).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2020.100015

Received 8 May 2020; Received in revised form 30 May 2020; Accepted 9 July 2020 Available online 15 July 2020

2666-5484/© 2020 North American Spine Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

to the degeneration of intervertebral disc (IVD) tissues, as well as disc herniation and radicular pain. The process usually begins with water loss or reduction in water content, followed by a hard disc protrusion [4].

The changes in the intervertebral disc play a primary role in the pathophysiology of LDDD. Age-related changes in the cartilage matrix in patients with diabetes are different from the changes in healthy subjects [5]. These changes may lead to faster disc degeneration in patients with diabetes. In some studies, significant differences were observed in the incidence of diabetes in patients who have spinal stenosis compared with the degenerative disc disease. Additionally, diabetes causes the ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligaments and bone, which leads to spinal stenosis and nerve pressure [6]. Therefore, diabetes can be considered a risk factor for spinal stenosis, although the mechanism of the risk for spinal stenosis in patients with diabetes is not well defined. [7,8]. Approximately 13% of patients who undergo lumbar disc surgery were diagnosed with diabetes, whereas the prevalence of diabetes in the same population generally was approximately 8% [9].

Diabetic microangiopathy might affect the nutrition of the spine and lead to disc degeneration [10]. With the increasing occurrence of diabetes and the similarity of symptoms of spinal stenosis and diabetic neuropathy, one growing problem in the medical care of these patients is the lack of timely detection of stenosis, which causes several complications. However, the relationship between DM and LDDD remains unclear, and different results have been achieved. In this retrospective study, we determined the prevalence and association of DM with LDD using the five-level Pfirrmann scoring system and histopathological evidence.

#### Methods

This retrospective study was conducted from June 2016 to December 2018 at a single tertiary care institute in India. Total 199 patients with lower back pain included in this study as per inclusion-exclusion criteria. Institution ethics committee approval was taken prior to study.

Inclusion criteria:

- (a) Age between 20 to 65 years
- (b) No previous conservative or surgical treatment history
- (c) Spine MRI Scan (No lumbar abnormality other than lumbar degenerative spondylosis)
- (d) Non-smoker/ No tobacco chewing
- (e) No other Comorbidity

#### Exclusion criteria

- (a) Type-I Diabetes
- (b) History of extreme spinal loading occupation
- (c) History of spinal trauma or fracture

Total of 199 consecutive patients was included in this study as per criteria. A group-A included patients without DM (n = 75). Patients with DM were divided into two groups: Group B-a well-controlled group (n = 72), and Group C- a poorly controlled group (n = 52). Group B was subdivided into Group B1-DM duration was  $\leq 10$  years (n = 38), Group B2 -DM duration was >10 years (n = 34). Similarly, Group C was subdivided into Group C1 -DM duration was  $\leq 10$  years (n = 28), Group C2 -DM duration was >10 years (n = 24). Demographic data, DM duration and clinical-radiological data were recorded. The criteria for a diagnosis of diabetes were fasting plasma glucose  $\geq 126$  mg/d, or in a patient with classic symptoms of hyperglycaemia or hyperglycemic crisis, random plasma glucose  $\geq 200$  mg/dL, or HbA1c  $\geq 6.5\%$ .

Standing lumbar anteroposterior-AP and lateral radiographs and MRI of the lumbar spine was performed for LDDD Scoring. Grading of the lumbar disc was performed using T2-weighted sagittal images, by a senior radiologist blinded to the patient's demographic information. The five-level Pfirmann grading system was used. Patients with failed conservative management were managed operatively, Various decompression/ spine stabilizing procedure (Laminectomy and decompression, TLIF) performed according to patients clinical finding. The patients who were operated for LDDD their disc material sent for histopathological and quantitative immunofluorescence analysis (Table 1).

Histopathological and quantitative immunofluorescence analysis:

- Histological Analysis: Lumbar Disc material was fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde overnight at 48C°. (Tissue Tec processors and Leica embedder). 4-mm sections were stained with Safranin-O and fast green dyes (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) by standard procedure and photographed under 40– 200  $\times$  magnification.
- Proteoglycan Synthesis
- Quantitative Immunofluorescence
- Apoptosis Assay

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 (Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation or percentages. The clinical characteristics were compared between patients with and without DM using general linear model analysis for continuous variables and chi-squared tests for categorical data. Multinomial logistic regression analysis was adopted to identify the relationship between the DM and severity of LDDD. Estimated average Pfirrmann scores concerning the presence of DM were calculated by analysis of covariance.

#### Results

199 adult patients were included with an average age of  $56.49 \pm 9.81$  (20–65). There were no significant differences amongst all groups for sex, BMI, and other comorbidities (p > 0.05), except for age (p < 0.05).

Average Pfirrmann scores from L1/L2 to L5/S1 of patients with good control of DM and DM duration  $\leq$  10 years showed no significant difference with patients without DM (p > 0.05). Patients with poor control of DM or DM duration >10 years showed higher average Pfirrmann scores than patients without DM (p < 0.05). Patients with a longer DM duration showed higher average Pfirrmann scores than patients with a shorter disease course (p < 0.05). The average Pfirrmann scores of patients with a poor control of DM were higher than the ones with good control of DM (p < 0.05). By utilizing Spearman correlation analysis to investigate the effect of DM duration on LDD, a positive trend was observed between DM duration and severity of disc degeneration (P < 0.05) (Table 2).

Result of Histopathological and quantitative immunofluorescence analy-

sis:

- GAG Content: The DMMB assay was performed to measure disc GAG content. The GAG amount and level in lumbar discs in all groups was not significantly different after controlling for patient age. This finding was qualitatively confirmed by Safranin-O/fast green histological staining.
- Proteoglycan Synthesis: PG synthesis was assessed by measuring 35Ssulfate incorporation into disc tissue using a disc organotypic culture system. A significant reduction of PG synthesis was noted in DM patients.
- Immunoblot Analysis of Aggrecan Fragments: To investigate if disc matrix degradation was caused by increased aggrecan cleavage, Western Blot analysis was performed on disc material of all groups. The amount of disc aggrecan fragments was significantly higher in patients with DM. In particular, the most significant elevation in the number of fragments was noted in patients with a longer duration of diabetes and more poorly controlled diabetes.
- Quantitative Immunofluorescence: As ADAMTS seemed to be primarily involved in proteoglycan breakdown within the disc, and thus potentially implicated in LDDD, quantitative immunofluorescence

#### Table 1 Pfirrmann scores.

| Grade | Structure        | Distinction | Signal       | Disc height   | Sagittal T2 MRI                         |
|-------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|---|
|       |                  | of nucleus  | intensity    |               |   |
|       |                  | and         |              |               |   |
|       |                  | annulus     |              |               |   |
| Ι     | homogeneous with | Clear       | Hyperintense | Normal        |   |
|       | bright white     |             |              |               |   |
| II    | Inhomogeneous    | Clear       | Hyperintense | Normal        |   |
|       | With or Without  |             |              |               |   |
|       | bright white     |             |              |               | - 44.F<br>                              |
| III   | Inhomogeneous    | Unclear     | Intermittent | Normal to     |   |
|       | with a grey      |             |              | slightly      | 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
|       |                  |             |              | decreased     | $\bigwedge \cdots = \Re \bigwedge$      |
| IV    | Inhomogeneous    | Lost        | Intermittent | Slightly or   | The second second                       |
|       | with a dark grey |             | to           | moderately    | A STORE IS                              |
|       |                  |             | Hypointense  | decreased     | X a S                                   |
| V     | Inhomogeneous    | Lost        | hypointense  | Disc space is | 1.2.5 M 1.10                            |
|       | with a dark grey |             |              | collapsed     |   |

#### Table 2

Demographic data of different groups.

|   | GROUP A (No DM)                     | Group B (Controlled DM)                        |  | Group C (Uncontrolled DM)                  |                                    |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Ν                                       | 75                                  | <b>B1</b> (≤ 10 years) 38                      | <b>B2 (</b> >10 years ) 34                     | <b>C1 (</b> ≤ 10 years) 28                 | <b>C2 (</b> >10 years ) 24         |
| Age (years)<br>BMI (kg/m²)<br>HbA1c (%) | 55.2 ± 7<br>18.9 ± 2.2<br>5.6 ± 0.2 | $58.8 \pm 6$<br>$6.6 \pm 0.4$<br>$6.6 \pm 0.4$ | $61.2 \pm 7$<br>$6.7 \pm 0.4$<br>$6.7 \pm 0.4$ | $59.1 \pm 7 \\ 7.5 \pm 0.6 \\ 7.5 \pm 0.6$ | 61.8 ± 7<br>7.7 ± 0.5<br>7.7 ± 0.5 |

with anti- ADAMTS antibodies was performed to identify which isoform could be mainly responsible for aggrecan degradation. More specifically, ADAMTS4 and ADAMTS5 protein expression were investigated in disc sections. These proteins were significantly higher in DM patients, and were noted to be most significantly elevated in patients with longer duration of diabetes and poorly controlled diabetes.

## Discussion

The most common cause of hyperglycemia is diabetes. Diabetes is one of the common condition that causes metabolic disturbances in many organs. Degenerative disc disease is a serious healthcare problem. It can be a cause of moderate to severe pain, affecting the patient's quality of life as well as increasing healthcare costs [9]. It is important to clarify the risk factors of LDDD to prevent or delay its onset or progression. This study was the first to use the Pfirrmann grading system to evaluate the association between DM and LDDD with histopathological evidence. In this study, we included patients without DM and patients with different durations and different control effects of DM for comparisons. After removing the effect of age, our study demonstrated that patients with DM tended to develop more severe LDDD than those without DM. The length of DM duration had a positive relationship with severity of LDDD, which meant that the longer DM duration was, the more severe disc degeneration would be. Patients with poor control of DM seemed to show more severe disc degeneration than patients with good control. All these demonstrated that DM was a risk factor for LDD, and such effect was time and control effect dependent.

Frymoyer et al. [11] reported that degenerative spondylolisthesis is more prevalent in diabetic patients, while a community-based study by Vogt et al. [12] did not find any correlation between a history of DM and the prevalence of L4-5 degenerative spondylolisthesis. In previous studies, one reported that the DM patients had a poorer outcome following lumbar discectomy than controls, and the rates of reoperation and prolonged hospitalization were also significantly higher in DM patients [13]. Sakellaridis et al. [14] and Machino et al. [15] both reported that high preoperative glycated haemoglobin levels and longterm DM were risk factors for poor cervical laminoplasty outcomes in patients with DM and cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Now, we can understand the underlying mechanisms of it and conclude that there is a positive relationship between DM and LDDD. Several studies assumed that hyperglycemia enhances the formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) in the NP which leads to the progression of disc degeneration [16,17]. Chen et al. found that DM accelerated the degeneration process of the disc by microangiopathy [18]. Autophagy of the nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosis cells also appears to play an important role in LDDD. Park et al. [19] and Kong et al. [20] demonstrated that high glucose-induced oxidative stress accelerates premature stressinduced senescence in young rat AF cells in a dose and time dependent manner rather than replicative senescence. However, no conclusion has been made. Some studies have investigated methods to slow down the process of LDDD caused by DM. Kong et al. suggested that strict blood glucose control is important in preventing or delaying LDDD in older patients with DM [20].

In our study, we also found that the average pfirrmann scores of patients with good control of DM and DM duration  $\leq 10$  years showed no difference with patients without DM after the removal of the age effect. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to investigate the relationship between DM and LDDD using the five-level Pfirrmann grading system with Histopathological evidence. A HbA1c was used to detect diabetes that increases the accuracy of our study.

Drawbacks of this study are the short follow-up and smaller data size. Another limitation is the fact that degenerative disk disease, spinal stenosis and vertebral osteoporotic fractures, may simultaneously exist in the same patient. Future prospective comparative studies with the larger patient number and longer follow-up are required for confirmation of our results.

#### Conclusion

There is a positive relationship between hyperglycemia / diabetes and LDDD. A longer the duration and poor control of DM could aggravate disc degeneration.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- [1] https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes
- [2] Kong CG, Park JB, Kim MS, Park EY. High glucose accelerates autophagy in adult rat intervertebral disc cells. Asian Spine J 2014;8:543–8.
- [3] Sakellaridis N. The influence of diabetes mellitus on lumbar intervertebral disk herniation. Surg Neurol 2006;66(2):152–4.
- [4] Frymoyer JW. Degenerative spondylolisthesis: diagnosis and treatment. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1994;2:9–15.
- [5] Robinson D, Mirovsky Y, Halperin N, Evron Z, Nevo Z. Changes in proteoglycans of intervertebral disc in diabetic patients. A possible cause of increased back pain. Spine. 1998;23(8):849–55 Discussion 856.
- [6] Inamasu J, Guiot BH, Sachs DC. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: an update on its biology, epidemiology, and natural history. Neurosurgery 2008;21:247–51 Lotan R, Fau OA, Anekstein Y, Fau AY, Shalmon E, Fau SE, Mirovsky Y. Lumbar stenosis and systemic diseases: is there any relevance? J Spinal Disord Tech.2006;58(4):1027–1039.
- [7] Asadian L, Mellitus D. A new risk factor for lumbar spinal stenosis: a case-control study. Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes 2016;9:PMC4859445.
- [8] Anekstein Y, Smorgick Y, Lotan R, Agar G, Shalmon E, Floman Y, Mirovsky Y. ISR Med Assoc J. 2010;12(1):16–20.
- [9] Mobbs RJ, Fau NR, Chandran KN. Lumbar discectomy and the diabetic patient: incidence and outcome. J Clin Neurosci: Off J Neurosurg Soc Australas 2001;8:10–13.
- [10] Chen S, Fau LM, Li J, Fau LJ, Peng H, Fau PH, Xiong M. The correlation between microvessel pathological changes of the endplate and degeneration of the intervertebral disc in diabetic rats. Exp Ther Med 2013;5:711–17. doi:10.3892/etm.2012.868.
- [11] Frymoyer JW. Degenerative spondylolisthesis: diagnosis and treatment. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1994;2:9–15.
- [12] Vogt MT, Rubin D, Valentin RS, et al. Lumbar listhesis and lower back symptoms in elderly white women. The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures. Spine 1998;23:2640–7.
- [13] Mobbs RJ, Fau NR, Chandran KN. Lumbar discectomy and the diabetic patient: incidence and outcome. J Clin Neurosci 2001;8:10–13.
- [14] Sakellaridis N, Androulis A. Influence of diabetes mellitus on cervical intervertebral disc herniation. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2008;110:810–12.
- [15] Machino M, Yukawa Y, Ito K, Inoue T, Kobayakawa A, Matsumoto T, Ouchida J, Tomita K, Kato F. Risk factors for poor outcome of cervical laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy in patients with diabetes. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2014;96:2049–55.
- [16] Illien-Junger S, Lu Y, Qureshi SA, Hecht AC, Cai W, Vlassara H, Striker GE, Iatridis JC. Chronic ingestion of advanced glycation end products induces degenerative spinal changes and hypertrophy in ageing pre-diabetic mice. PLoS One 2015;10:e0116625.
- [17] Illien-Junger S, Fau GF, Laudier DM, Fau LD, Vlassara H, Fau VH, Striker GE, Fau SG, Iatridis JC. Combined anti-inflammatory and anti-AGE drug treatments have a protective effect on intervertebral discs in mice with diabetes. PLoS One 2013;8:e64302.
- [18] Chen S, Fau LM, Li J, Fau LJ, Peng H, Fau PH, Xiong M. The correlation between microvessel pathological changes of the endplate and degeneration of the intervertebral disc in diabetic rats. Exp Ther Med 2013;5:711–17.
- [19] Park JS, Fau PJ, Park I-J, Fau PI, Park E-Y, Park EY. Accelerated premature stress-induced senescence of young annulus fibrosus cells of rats by high glucose-induced oxidative stress. Int Orthop 2014;38:1311–20.
- [20] Kong JG, Park JB, Lee D, Park EY. Effect of high glucose on stress-induced senescence of nucleus pulposus cells of adult rats. Asian Spine J 2015;9:155–61.