This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) <u>License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

# Journal of Medicinal Chemistry



## Discovery and Characterization of a Highly Potent and Selective Aminopyrazoline-Based in Vivo Probe (BAY-598) for the Protein Lysine Methyltransferase SMYD2

Erik Eggert,<sup>†</sup> Roman C. Hillig,<sup>†</sup> Silke Koehr,<sup>†</sup> Detlef Stöckigt,<sup>†</sup> Jörg Weiske,<sup>†</sup> Naomi Barak,<sup>†</sup> Jeffrey Mowat,<sup>†</sup> Thomas Brumby,<sup>†</sup> Clara D. Christ,<sup>†</sup> Antonius ter Laak,<sup>†</sup> Tina Lang,<sup>†</sup> Amaury E. Fernandez-Montalvan,<sup>†</sup> Volker Badock,<sup>†</sup> Hilmar Weinmann,<sup>†</sup> Ingo V. Hartung,<sup>†</sup> Dalia Barsyte-Lovejoy,<sup>‡</sup> Magdalena Szewczyk,<sup>‡</sup> Steven Kennedy,<sup>‡</sup> Fengling Li,<sup>‡</sup> Masoud Vedadi,<sup>‡,#</sup> Peter J. Brown,<sup>‡</sup> Vijayaratnam Santhakumar,<sup>‡</sup> Cheryl H. Arrowsmith,<sup>‡,§</sup> Timo Stellfeld,<sup>\*,†</sup> and Carlo Stresemann<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Drug Discovery, BAYER Pharma AG, Muellerstrasse 178, 13353 Berlin, Germany

<sup>‡</sup>Structural Genomics Consortium, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1L7, Canada

<sup>#</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A8, Canada

<sup>§</sup>Princess Margaret Cancer Centre and Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5G 2M9, Canada

#### Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Protein lysine methyltransferases have recently emerged as a new target class for the development of inhibitors that modulate gene transcription or signaling pathways. SET and MYND domain containing protein 2 (SMYD2) is a catalytic SET domain containing methyltransferase reported to monomethylate lysine residues on histone and nonhistone proteins. Although several studies have uncovered an important role of SMYD2 in promoting cancer by protein methylation, the biology of SMYD2 is far from being fully understood. Utilization



of highly potent and selective chemical probes for target validation has emerged as a concept which circumvents possible limitations of knockdown experiments and, in particular, could result in an improved exploration of drug targets with a complex underlying biology. Here, we report the development of a potent, selective, and cell-active, substrate-competitive inhibitor of SMYD2, which is the first reported inhibitor suitable for in vivo target validation studies in rodents.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

SMYD2 is a catalytic SET domain containing protein methyltransferase reported to monomethylate lysine residues on histone and nonhistone proteins.<sup>1</sup> SMYD2 has been proposed as a potential therapeutic target in cancer. Its overexpression has been reported in cancer cell lines as well as in esophageal squamous carcinoma, bladder carcinoma, gastric cancer, and pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients.<sup>2-6</sup> In these studies, SMYD2 overexpression often correlated with lower survival rate and was suggested to be a clinically relevant prognostic marker. Knockdown of SMYD2 in overexpressing ESCC, bladder, and gastric cancer cell line models significantly reduced cell proliferation.<sup>2</sup> Initially, SMYD2 was characterized as methylating H3 lysine  $36^7$  and lysine 4 when interacting with HSP90a.<sup>8</sup> Methylation of histones by SMYD2 has been connected to increased transcription of genes involved in cellcycle regulation, chromatin remodeling, and transcriptional regulation.<sup>8</sup> In addition, several studies have uncovered an important role of SMYD2 methylation activity toward nonhistone proteins closely connected to cancer. This is in line with the emerging concept that posttranslational methylation of nonhistone proteins (e.g., of transcription factors) by protein methyltransferases can also substantially alter protein function. Thereby, a regulatory role of lysine methylation can probably be extended to multiple cellular pathways besides transcriptional regulation and histones.<sup>9,10</sup> So far, the best-characterized example of SMYD2 methylation of a nonhistone protein is the tumor suppressor transcription factor p53.<sup>11–16</sup> Transcriptional activity of p53 is inhibited by SMYD2-mediated posttranslational methylation at lysine 370 (K370).<sup>13,17</sup> The structural basis of p53 methylation by SMYD2 has been characterized by solving the crystal structure of a ternary complex with the cofactor product S-adenosylhomocysteine and a p53-derived substrate peptide.<sup>16</sup> It has been proposed that methylation at K370 reduces the DNA-binding efficiency of p53 and

Received: December 7, 2015 Published: April 13, 2016

	<b>1</b> (AZ505)	<b>2</b> (LLY-507)	<b>3</b> (A-893)	(S)- <b>4</b> (BAY-598)
Reference	12	28	29	
Biochemical Activity Assay SMYD2 IC <sub>50</sub> [nM] (Reported / This Study)	120/812	<15 / 388	2.8 / n.d.	27
Mode of Action	substrate competitive	substrate competitive	substrate competitive	substrate competitive
Cellular Activity Assay IC50 [nM] (Reported / This study)	<10,000 / 2900	600 / <1000	<10,000 / n.d.	58
In vivo PK Cl <sub>B</sub> [L/h/kg] / F [%]	not reported	not reported	not reported	1.6 / 24

Figure 1. Comparison of so far described SMYD2 inhibitors with (S)-4 discovered in this study. Data from respective references as well as assay results from this study are presented.

subsequently prevents the transcriptional activation of p53 target genes.<sup>13</sup> In the same study, a knockdown of SMYD2 and treatment with doxorubicin led to an increase in p53-mediated cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. In line with these observations, low SMYD2 gene expression was suggested as a predictive marker for an improved response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients.<sup>18</sup> Besides p53, several other proteins have been identified as SMYD2 substrates, including the estrogen receptor (ER),<sup>19,20</sup> PARP1,<sup>21</sup> retinoblastoma protein (Rb),<sup>4</sup> and HSP90.<sup>22,23</sup> Mechanistically, methylation of HSP90 has been connected to the normal physiological role of SMYD2 in muscle biology,<sup>24,25</sup> as well as in cancer.<sup>23</sup> These studies indicate that SMYD2 has many substrates and various potentially tissue-specific physiological and pathogenic functions. SMYD2 therefore represents a very attractive target for further exploration in different disease-relevant models. Nevertheless, the biology of SMYD2 is still poorly understood and the molecular contribution of individual substrates to specific knockdown phenotypes remains largely unknown. For a more unbiased interpretation of biological experiments, fully profiled chemical probes can substantially contribute to preclinical target validation.<sup>26,27</sup> Although first cellular-active probe inhibitors of SMYD2 have been described (Figure 1), there is a need for structurally orthogonal chemical probes to enable cross-validation studies and thereby rule out unspecific effects.<sup>26</sup> The publication of  $1 (AZ505)^{12}$  was the first disclosure of a co-crystal structure of an inhibitor bound to SMYD2 and paved the way for further studies, leading to the discovery of 2 (LLY-507),<sup>28</sup> and 3 (A-893),<sup>29</sup> which have reported significantly improved potency. Analysis of the respective cocrystal structures reveals that all three inhibitors bind in a similar fashion, occupying the same binding pockets.

Furthermore, the cellular activity of the known inhibitors is limited, and no data about in vivo applicability have been published. Here we report the discovery of a potent and selective aminopyrazoline-based small-molecule inhibitor (S)-4 (BAY-598).<sup>30,31</sup> We show that (S)-4 has a distinctly different binding mode compared to previous inhibitors, utilizing a dichlorophenyl moiety as so far unprecedented chemical motif for addressing the methyl-lysine binding pocket of SMYD2. For the first time, we are presenting in vivo xenograft and DMPK data for a SMYD2 inhibitor. In addition to previously described inhibitors, (S)-4 shows very potent cellular activity combined with reasonable DMPK properties (Figure 1). Furthermore, we are indicating the potential that this inhibitor might offer to the field of protein methyltransferases in the quest to fully explore the underlying complex biology and therapeutic potential

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

substrate

The potential link between SMYD2 and cancer motivated us to screen the Bayer compound collection, with the aim of identifying small-molecule inhibitors of the enzyme. To this end, a scintillation proximity assay (SPA) was set up using recombinant His-tagged SMYD2, a biotinylated p53-derived peptide substrate, and tritiated S-adenosyl-L-methionine (<sup>3</sup>H-SAM) (Figure 2A). Of the three million compounds tested in a primary HTS, we identified more than 2300 confirmed hits which inhibited SMYD2 with IC<sub>50</sub> values below 15  $\mu$ M. Among the multiple structural clusters and singletons in the hit list, several offered starting points with low micromolar potency and tractable chemical matter, and our attention was drawn to pyrazolines such as compound **5**. Initial hits and later derivatives of the

of SMYD2 by validating AHNAK protein<sup>32</sup> as a new cellular



**Figure 2.** Identification and biophysical validation of the pyrazoline SMYD2 inhibitor series leading to (*S*)-4. (A) Scintillation proximity assay (SPA) screening approach leading to the pyrazoline hit cluster, with representative hit compound **5** with IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.7  $\mu$ M. (B) Thermal shift assay (TSA) for SMYD2 protein stabilization for inhibitors of the aminopyrazoline series [ $\bullet$ ], with aminopyrazoline hit **5** ( $\Delta T_m = 3.3$  K) and (*S*)-4 ( $\Delta T_m = 7.1$  K) highlighted. Stabilization correlates with SPA potency. (C) Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) of compound **6** indicating a submicromolar binding constant ( $K_d = 540$  nM) and a high enthalpic contribution to the binding energy.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Pyrazoline Intermediates 10a-f<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) allyl chloroformate,  $K_2CO_3$  (1.5 M in water), DCM, rt, overnight; (b) formaldehyde (37 wt % in water), piperidine, EtOH, rt, overnight; (c) hydrazine monohydrate, EtOH, reflux; (d) diphenyl N-cyanocarbonimidate, *i*-PrOH, reflux, 1 h, then rt, overnight.

pyrazoline series showed stabilizing effects in an SMYD2 thermal shift assay (TSA) (Figure 2B). In addition, binding of compound **6** 

to SMYD2 was validated by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), which indicated a submicromolar binding constant ( $K_d = 540$  nM,

#### Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compounds 5, 6, and $12-24^{a}$



<sup>*a*</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) aniline derivative, *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C, or alkylamine, 1,4-dioxane, reflux; (b) 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, THF; (c) acetaldehyde, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, DCM, 0 °C; (d) (1) acetoxyacetyl chloride, aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, DCM, (2) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH; (e) skip (for compound **19**), or aldehyde derivative, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 0 °C; (f) Fmoc-glycine, HATU, NMM, DMF; (g) piperidine, DMF; (h) methoxyacetyl chloride, aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, DCM; (i) chloroacetyl chloride, *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine, DCM; (j) urotropine, EtOH.

Figure 2C) and a high enthalpic contribution to the binding energy. As the latter reduces the likelihood of nonspecific off-target activities, the ITC data underscored the attractiveness of pyrazoline hit  $5^{.33,34}$  It transpired that compounds of this structural series had been prepared during the course of an in-house program as antagonists for protease-activated receptor 1 (PAR1), a G-protein-coupled receptor which is highly expressed in platelets and plays an important role in thrombin signaling and platelet aggregation.<sup>35,36</sup>

**Chemistry.** The pyrazoline compounds of interest were synthesized according to previously described procedures.<sup>37</sup> Synthesis of the required intermediates **10a**–**f** started from the commercially available 2-amino-1-phenylethanones 7 (Scheme 1).

Protection of the amines as the allyl carbamates 8 was followed by Mannich reaction with formaldehyde and piperidine, and the resulting product mixture was treated with hydrazine monohydrate to install the pyrazoline moiety (compounds 9). Subsequent reaction with diphenyl *N*-cyanocarbonimidate resulted in intermediates **10a**–**f**.

The synthesis of compounds 5, 6, and 12-24 began with 10a (Scheme 2). Treatment of 10a with the respective aniline or amine provided intermediates 11a-i. Deprotection to the 4-aminopyrazolines and further elaboration led to the desired amides 5, 6, and 12-23 by a sequence of optional reductive N-alkylation and amide formation. For the hydroxyacetyl derivatives 5, 6, and 12-21, the amide was formed by using

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compounds 4 and 25-29<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline, *n*-BuLi, THF, −78 °C; (b) 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, THF; (c) acetaldehyde, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 0 °C; (d) (1) acetoxyacetyl chloride, aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, DCM, (2) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compounds  $30-34^{a}$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) aniline derivative, *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C; (b) 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, THF; (c) acetaldehyde, NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 0 °C; (d) (1) acetoxyacetyl chloride, aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, DCM, (2) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH.

acetoxyacetyl chloride, followed by treatment of the crude amide with methanolic potassium carbonate to remove the acetyl moiety. The amino acid amide **22** was prepared by a coupling with 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) protected glycine (HATU, NMM, DMF), followed by removal of the Fmoc group with piperidine. Amide formation with methoxyacetyl chloride gave compound **23**. Furthermore, oxoimidazolidine **24** was prepared by reaction of the N-unsubstituted 4-aminopyrazoline derivative with chloroacetyl chloride and treatment of the resulting chloroacetamide with urotropine.

Compounds 4 and 25-29 were prepared from intermediates 10b-f by the addition of 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline, followed by installation of the N-ethylated hydroxyacetamide as described above (Scheme 3).

The synthesis of compounds **30–34** started from intermediate **10d** by addition of the respective aniline derivatives, followed by introduction of the N-ethylated hydroxyacetamide (Scheme 4).

Compounds 4, 28, and 30-34 were separated into their enantiomers by preparative chiral HPLC or chiral supercritical fluid chromatography. For compounds 6 and 4, the integrity of the pyrazoline stereocenter was tested. The compounds were stable to racemization in aqueous solution at pH 7, as well as in mouse and human plasma at 37 °C, for at least 48 h. However, clean racemization was obtained under basic conditions and microwave irradiation (DBU, THF, 90 °C).

**Structure**—**Activity Relationships.** Our initial structure activity relationship (SAR) studies of 3-(4-chlorophenyl)pyrazoline derivatives with respect to SMYD2 inhibition are summarized in Table 1. For the carboximidamide N-substituent  $(R^3)$ , a metasubstituted phenyl seemed optimal, with the 3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl derivative 6 displaying highest potency and binding efficiency<sup>38</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.8  $\mu$ M, BEI = 12.5). Small para substituents are tolerated, such as with the 3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl, 3,4-dichlorophenyl, and 2,2-difluoro-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl derivatives 5, 12, and 13 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.7, 3.3, and 2.2  $\mu$ M, respectively). In contrast, the 4-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl derivative 14 is more than 15-fold less active than the corresponding metaderivative 6. The extended 3-chlorophenethyl derivative 15 showed no measurable activity (IC<sub>50</sub> > 20  $\mu$ M), implying that the space within the relevant binding pocket is limited. Nonaromatic derivatives, such as 4,4,4-trifluorobutyl-substituted 16 or the cyclopropylethyl derivative 18, are less potent than 6 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 6.9 and 8.3  $\mu$ M for 16 and 18, respectively). Surprisingly, the corresponding *n*-butyl derivative 17 is inactive  $(IC_{50} > 20 \ \mu M)$ . The SAR for PAR1 antagonism at the carboximidamide N-substituent appears to be rather flat: all compounds 5, 6, and 12-18 are potent PAR1 antagonists, with IC<sub>50</sub> values below 100 nM.

With respect to the amide moiety, it became clear that the presence and orientation of a hydrogen-bond donor has a large impact on potency toward SMYD2 (Table 2). Variation of the amide *N*-alkyl substituents (R<sup>1</sup>) revealed that the *N*-ethyl derivative is preferred: secondary amide **19** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 10.9  $\mu$ M) is about 10-fold less active and the *N*-propyl derivative **20** is also

Table 1	. SAR	of 3-(4-0	Chloropl	henyl)pyra	zoline	Derivatives:	Variation	of tl	ne Car	boximidamide	N-Su	ostituent
---------	-------	-----------	----------	------------	--------	--------------	-----------	-------	--------	--------------	------	-----------



		CI 🔨			
Compound	$R^{3}$	SMYD2 IC <sub>50</sub> [µM]	PAR1 IC <sub>50</sub> [µM]	$\Delta T_{\rm m}$ [K] <sup>a</sup>	BEI <sup>b</sup> (SMYD2)
5	F CI	1.7	0.06	3.3	12.1
6		0.8	0.07	3.4	12.5
12		3.3	0.05	2.4	11.1
13		2.2	0.08	3.8	11.2
14		15.8	0.06	0.9	9.8
15	· CI	>20	0.01	-0.4	_
16	F F	6.9	0.05	2.7	11.3
17	$\sim$	>20	0.03	0.8	_
18	$\swarrow_{\nabla}$	8.3	0.04	1.5	12.2

<sup>a</sup>SMYD2 thermal shift assay. <sup>b</sup>Binding efficiency index:  $pIC_{50}/MW \times 1000.^{38}$ 

less potent than the corresponding *N*-ethyl derivative **6** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.8  $\mu$ M), whereas the larger cyclopropylmethyl substituent in **21** resulted in a loss of potency (IC<sub>50</sub> > 20  $\mu$ M). Replacement of the hydroxyacetyl in compound **12** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.3  $\mu$ M) by an aminoacetyl group gave the equipotent derivative **22** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.8  $\mu$ M). In contrast, the methoxyacetyl derivative **23**, lacking the hydrogen-bond donor, is inactive (IC<sub>50</sub> > 20  $\mu$ M). In compound **24**, where the hydrogen-bond donor is fixed in an oxoimidazolidine ring, there is significantly reduced potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 6  $\mu$ M) relative to the *N*-ethyl derivative **6**. On the basis of the available amide derivatives, with BEI values in the same range (10–11), an improvement in the binding efficiency was not envisaged. Furthermore, alterations of the amide moiety did not offer a path forward to selectivity against PAR1. Compounds **22**, **23**, and **24** are in a similar potency range for

PAR1 antagonism and greater than 10-fold more potent against PAR1 ( $IC_{50}$  = 130, 30, and 100 nM, respectively) than SMYD2.

At this stage, we selected compound **6** for co-crystal structure determination with SMYD2 based on its potency and promising biophysical properties. Compound **6** was soaked into crystals of SMYD2 grown in the presence of SAM. The crystal structure revealed that compound **6** binds into the substrate peptide binding pocket of SMYD2; the observed binding mode is consistent with the previously established SAR. There is a very good steric and electrostatic fit of **6** to the substrate binding site of SMYD2 (Figure 3A,B). The pyrazoline and the NH of the carboximidamide form hydrogen bonds to Gly183. The 4-chlorophenyl substituent inserts into the lysine binding channel and is engaged in  $\pi$ -stacking interactions with Phe184 and Tyr240. There is a good fit of the 3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl

Table 2. SAR of 3-(4-Chlorophenyl)pyrazoline Derivatives: Variation of the Amide Moiety



Compound	$\mathbf{R}^{1}$	$R^{2}$	$R^{3}$	SMYD2 IC <sub>50</sub> [µM]	PAR1 IC <sub>50</sub> [µM]	$\Delta T_{\rm m}$ [K] <sup>a</sup>	BEI <sup>b</sup> (SMYD2)
19	Н	ОН		10.9	_	1	10.7
20	<i>n</i> -Pr	ОН		2.2	_	2.4	11.2
21	$\checkmark$	ОН		>20	_	0.1	_
22	Et	NH <sub>2</sub>		2.8	0.13	3.8	11.3
23	Et	OMe	CI CI	>20	0.03	0.7	_
24	HN	₽ N		6	0.1	2.2	11

<sup>a</sup>SMYD2 thermal shift assay. <sup>b</sup>Binding efficiency index: pIC<sub>50</sub>/MW × 1000.<sup>38</sup>

substituent into the adjacent hydrophobic pocket-1, with the difluoromethoxy group pointing into a hydrophobic subpocket. Although racemic **6** was used for soaking, the density maps reveal that only the S-enantiomer is bound. The S configuration at the pyrazoline provides an optimal exit vector for the hydroxyacetamide substituent, which occupies pocket-2 and forms two hydrogen bonds with Thr185 (Figure 3A). The N'-nitrile of the carboximidamide contributes to a waterbridged hydrogen bond with Ser196. On the basis of the crystal structure of compound **6**, we envisioned that further exploration of the aniline and amide moieties would not lead to a significant improvement of potency and binding efficiency. Therefore, we elected to focus on derivatives of the 4-chlorophenyl substituent, which were underrepresented in our compound library.

With the co-crystal structure in hand, we employed molecular modeling for the prioritization of derivatives. In particular, WaterMap calculations,<sup>39,40</sup> which estimate the position and the thermodynamic properties of water molecules in the ligand-free structure, suggested the introduction of a second substituent at the 3-position of the 4-chlorophenyl group. To test this hypothesis, a few derivatives were synthesized (see Table 3). The unsubstituted phenyl derivative **25** is inactive, while the 4-bromo derivative **26** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.1  $\mu$ M) is as potent as the 4-chloro derivative **6**, indicating the importance of a hydrophobic

substituent at the 4-position. As predicted by WaterMap calculations, introduction of a second substituent at the meta position, as exemplified by 3,4-dichloro derivative 4 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.08  $\mu$ M, BEI = 13.5) and 4-chloro-3-methyl derivative 28  $(IC_{50} = 0.08 \ \mu M, BEI = 14)$ , resulted in significantly improved potency (ca. 10-fold greater than 6) and binding efficiency. As highlighted in Figure 3C, the two chloro substituents are colocated with two calculated water sites that have high free energy, suggesting an optimal water displacement by 3,4-dichlorophenyl and thus a lower binding free energy than for the 4-chlorophenyl or the unsubstituted phenyl derivative, consistent with the observed potency difference for these derivatives. However, introduction of a third substituent leads to a decrease in potency compared to the 3,4-disubstituted derivatives, as exemplified by 4-chloro-3,5-dimethyl derivative 27 (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $0.57 \ \mu$ M). Compound 29, with the bulkier 1,3-benzodioxole moiety, is also less potent (IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.2  $\mu$ M), suggesting that the SAR at this position is rather steep.

Compound 4 was selected for further biological and crystallographic studies. The co-crystal was obtained by soaking (S)-4 into crystals of SAM-bound SMYD2. Structure determination revealed that (S)-4 features an almost identical binding mode as compound 6, as evidenced in the overlay of both structures (Figure 3D). In line with the observed S configuration of compound 6 and (S)-4, we observed a greater



**Figure 3.** Binding mode of compound **6** and (S)-4. (A,B) Two different views of the co-crystal structure of **6** in complex with SMYD2. There is a good steric and electrostatic fit of **6** into the substrate binding site of SMYD2, and multiple hydrogen-bond interactions are involved. The lysine channel is occupied by the 4-chlorophenyl residue of the ligand, representing a novel lysine channel binding motif. In addition, pockets-1 and -2 are addressed. (C) Visualization of the WaterMap calculations suggesting an optimal displacement of water molecules by a 3,4-dichlorophenyl residue. Calculated water sites are shown as spheres and colored based on their free energy. Only sites within the lysine channel are shown. WaterMap results are based on the crystal structure of the monochloro derivative **6** (PDB code SARF). The protein surface is depicted in gray. The modeled ligand structure is shown as colored sticks (chlorine, green; carbon, yellow; hydrogen, white; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red; fluorine, light green). For clarity, protein residues and cofactors are not shown. (D) Overlay of the crystal structures of compound **6** (magenta) and (S)-4 (yellow, PDB code SARG), showing nearly identical binding modes.

than 50-fold difference in the IC<sub>50</sub> values of the two enantiomers of 4 [27 nM for (*S*)-4 vs 1.7  $\mu$ M for (*R*)-4, see Table 4]. Introduction of the additional 3-chloro substituent also resulted in a dramatic decrease in the antagonistic effect on PAR1 [IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.7  $\mu$ M for (*S*)-4 and >30  $\mu$ M for (*R*)-4]. Although (*S*)-4 proved to be the active isomer for both SMYD2 inhibition and PAR1 antagonism, there is a greater than 50-fold selectivity for SMYD2 relative to PAR1.

The co-crystal structures of compound **6** and (S)-4 revealed that the pyrazoline-based SMYD2 inhibitors feature a different binding mode to other recently reported inhibitors. Thus, **1**<sup>12</sup> and **2**<sup>28</sup> (Figure 4A) have published IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.12  $\mu$ M and <15 nM, respectively, and like (S)-4 they bind to the substrate binding site of SMYD2. The structures of the three inhibitors are superimposed in Figure 4B. All ligands occupy the lysine binding channel and the adjacent hydrophobic pocket-1; however, (S)-4 employs pocket-2 via hydrogen-bond interactions with its hydroxyacetyl moiety (cf. Figure 2A), which are not present in **1** or **2**. **1** and **2**, on the other hand, occupy a remote hydrophobic pocket-3 which is not addressed by (S)-4. Figure 4C provides a view into the lysine binding

channel (the respective motifs are highlighted in color on the structures in Figure 4A). 2 binds with its *N*-alkylpyrrolidine moiety at this position, which is structurally closely related to the lysine side chain of a methylated substrate peptide. 1 and (S)-4 address the lysine channel with aromatic substituents. It is noteworthy that the 3,4-dichlorophenyl group of 1 and of (S)-4 bind to different positions.

On the basis of 3,4-dichlorophenyl as a novel lysine channel binding motif, we identified several pyrazoline derivatives as potent SMYD2 inhibitors (see Table 4). Aiming to identify a candidate for in vivo experiments, we focused on the potent *S*-enantiomers of these derivatives, profiling them in pharmaco-kinetic assays in vitro. (*S*)-4 showed moderate stability upon incubation with rat hepatocytes ( $CL_{blood} = 2.5 \text{ L/h/kg}$ ) as well as moderate apparent permeability (34 nm/s) and a hint of active transport in the Caco2 assay (efflux ratio = 5). In comparison, the 4-chloro-3-methylphenyl derivative (*S*)-**28** had similar permeability and efflux (33 nm/s, efflux ratio = 7) and slightly lower metabolic stability in rat hepatocytes ( $CL_{blood} = 2.8 \text{ L/h/kg}$ ). Compounds (*S*)-**30** and (*S*)-**32–34** exhibited high metabolic stability ( $CL_{blood} = 1.8-0.3 \text{ L/h/kg}$ ); however, these derivatives

 Table 3. SAR of 3-Phenylpyrazoline Derivatives: Exploration

 of Phenyl Substituents



 $^a \rm SMYD2$  thermal shift assay.  $^b \rm Binding$  efficiency index:  $\rm pIC_{50}/MW \times 1000.^{38}$ 

have very low aqueous solubility (<5 mg/L), thereby limiting their suitability for in vivo experiments. On the other hand, the methoxyphenyl derivative (S)-31 has high aqueous solubility (163 mg/L) and moderate permeability with a hint of active transport (62 nm/s, efflux ratio = 3) in the Caco2 assay; nevertheless, (S)-31 displayed low stability upon incubation with rat hepatocytes ( $CL_{blood} = 3.2 L/h/kg$ ), and thus bioavailability is expected to be low. On the basis of these data, (S)-4 was selected for further in vitro and in vivo studies. The in vivo pharmacokinetic properties of (S)-4 were first evaluated by a single-dose administration of (S)-4 at 0.4 mg/kg by iv bolus or 0.8 mg/kg po, respectively, to rats; there was moderate blood clearance (1.6 L/h/kg) and a low bioavailability of 24% (see Supporting Information Figure S1A). These data prompted us to assess the exposure of (S)-4 following oral administration. Hence, we treated mice with 10-100 mg/kg po qd which are well tolerated doses of (S)-4. As a result, unbound IC<sub>50</sub> [cellular methylation In-Cell Western (ICW) assay; see Figure 7A-C] is covered for  $\sim$ 9 to  $\sim$ 12 h at steady state when 10 and 100 mg/kg, respectively, are administered (see Supporting Information Figure S1B).

Potency, Selectivity, and Inhibition Mode. (S)-4 showed potent in vitro inhibition of SMYD2 with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 27  $\pm$  7 nM in the biochemical SPA assay (Figure 5A). To analyze the mode of inhibition and affinity of (S)-4, our SMYD2 protein preparation was characterized with respect to its apparent Michaelis-Menten constants for SAM and the p53 peptide substrate (data not shown). The  $K_{m(app)}$  values of 60 nM and 1  $\mu$ M, respectively, were in excellent agreement with the constants previously reported using a similar assay.<sup>12</sup> Then, we performed IC<sub>50</sub> determinations at increasing concentrations of one substrate and a fixed amount of the other ([S] =  $K_{m(app)}$ ) and applied the Cheng-Prusoff relationship<sup>41</sup> as described elsewhere.<sup>42</sup> Increasing the concentration of peptide substrate resulted in a linear increase in IC<sub>50</sub> (Figure 4B, upper panel), as would be expected for a competitive mode of inhibition. Fitting these data to the corresponding Cheng-Prusoff competitive inhibition model revealed a  $K_{i(app)}$  of 8 ± 1 nM (SD). On the other hand, when SAM concentrations were titrated to saturation, we observed a decrease in IC<sub>50</sub> which converged to a constant value (Figure 4B, lower panel). This type of behavior toward SAM corresponds to an uncompetitive mode of inhibition. Consequently, the IC  $_{\rm 50}$  vs [S]/ $K_{\rm m(app)}$  plot fits best to the Cheng-Prusoff model for uncompetitive inhibition, yielding an inhibitor constant  $\alpha K_{i(app)}$  of 28 ± 3 nM (SD). Our data suggest that (S)-4 is a peptide-competitive, SAMuncompetitive inhibitor of SMYD2 methyltransferase activity, which preferably binds to the SMYD2-SAM substrate complex. Interestingly, SMYD2 has been reported to follow a random sequential Bi Bi mechanism of substrate binding,<sup>12,17</sup> but the uncompetitive mode of inhibition of (S)-4 regarding the SAM cofactor suggests an ordered sequential Bi Bi mode of substrate binding, where SAM would be required to bind before the peptide substrate. Similar results have recently been described for inhibitors of the SAM-dependent arginine methyltransferase PRMT5.43

For further evaluation of selectivity, (*S*)-4 was tested on a panel of 32 additional methyltransferases, including closely related family members SMYD3, SUV420H1, and SUV420H2. As a result, (*S*)-4 displayed >100-fold selectivity for SMYD2, with very weak residual activity toward the closest related methyltransferase SMYD3 (IC<sub>50</sub> ~ 3  $\mu$ M) (Figure 5C). In addition to the methyltransferases, (*S*)-4 was also profiled in the commercially available KINOMEscan (DiscoveRx)<sup>44</sup> and LeadProfilingScreen (Eurofins Panlabs) assay panels to fully determine relative selectivity and specificity for kinases and other primary molecular targets, including several CNS targets. Overall, we were able to confirm the high selectivity and specificity of (*S*)-4 for SMYD2 inhibition (see Supporting Information Tables S1 and S2).

**Cellular Methylation Activity on p53.** The ability of (S)-4 to inhibit SMYD2 was tested by monitoring its effects on cellular p53 methylation using different cellular mechanistic assays. First, we generated a polyclonal antibody (SY46) for the specific detection of p53 monomethylation at lysine 370, as described elsewhere.<sup>13</sup> This antibody was then tested on recombinant p53 protein which had been in vitro methylated by SMYD2 in a Western blot. Specificity for methylated p53 was confirmed by the exclusive detection of the in vitro methylated p53 protein isoforms, whereas the nonmethylated p53 was not detected (Figure 6A). Endogenous methylation of p53 protein was characterized by treatment of KYSE-150 esophageal cancer cells with increasing concentrations of (S)-4 for 5 days. The KYSE-150 cell line model was selected based on a described SMYD2 gene amplification<sup>2</sup> and a heterozygous R248Q mutation in p53 (COSMIC), leading to p53 protein accumulation without a stress stimulus. After treatment with (S)-4, a significant reduction of methylation was detected confirming that p53 is a cellular target of SMYD2-dependent methylation (Figure 6B). Nevertheless overall endogenous detection of p53 protein methylation led to weak signals, hence this method was not useful for the determination of a cellular IC<sub>50</sub>. Therefore, we additionally employed an established system with a transient FLAG-tagged SMYD2 and FLAG-tagged p53 overexpression in HEK293T cells as benchmark assay. This assay has been used previously to characterize the structurally unrelated SMYD2 inhibitor 2.<sup>28</sup> As shown in Figure 6C, (S)-4 showed a concentration-dependent decrease in p53 methylation without affecting p53 total protein levels. A cellular  $IC_{50}$ of 58 nM was determined (Figure 6D), which confirms that Table 4. In Vitro Pharmacokinetic Properties of Potent SMYD2 Inhibitors



<sup>*a*</sup>ee >95%. <sup>*b*</sup>Rat hepatocytes. <sup>*c*</sup>Permeability P<sub>app</sub>(A–B)/efflux ratio. <sup>*d*</sup>Aqueous solubility.

(*S*)-4 is the most potent cellular-active SMYD2 inhibitor known to date (Figure 1).

**Characterization and Inhibition of SMYD2-Mediated AHNAK Methylation.** To further characterize the effects of our aminopyrazoline-based inhibitors on the cellular methylation activity of SMYD2, we generated a polyclonal cell line derived from KYSE-150 with stable N-terminal 2xc-myc-tagged SMYD2 overexpression to maximize cellular methylation activity. In an immunofluorescence analysis, SMYD2 was localized mainly in the cytosol (Supporting Information Figure S2A), as reported by others.<sup>22</sup> Surprisingly, the antibody SY46 directed against methylated p53 showed a very strong signal specifically in the clones with SMYD2 overexpression in the immunochemistry staining not derived from p53 protein (Supporting Information Figure S2B,C). We validated the novel SMYD2 substrate giving rise to the strong immunochemistry staining signal by knockdown and overexpression experiments in additional cell lines to be derived from AHNAK protein<sup>32</sup> (Figure 7B and Supporting Information Figure 2D–F). AHNAK methylation has been very recently reported in a proteomics study by other as an additional methylation substrate of SMYD2, further confirming our results.<sup>45</sup> Importantly, we used the strong AHNAK methylation signal to set up an In Cell Western (ICW) assay for cellular optimization of our lead



Figure 4. Comparison of (S)-4 (this work) with the recently reported SMYD2 inhibitors  $1^{11}$  and  $2^{25}$  (A) Chemical structures of the three selected SMYD2 inhibitors. (B,C) Two different views of an overlay of (S)-4 (yellow) with 1 (green) and 2 (blue). (S)-4 has a distinct binding mode, addressing pocket-2 which is not occupied by 1 or 2, while only 1 and 2 occupy the distant hydrophobic pocket-3.

series (Figure 7A). The methylation signal was reduced by treatment with increasing concentrations of (S)-4, derivatives of the aminopyrazoline series or 1 (Figure 7A). In addition, (S)-4 specifically reduced methylation of AHNAK without altering AHNAK protein expression in a Western blot (Figure 7B). Importantly,  $IC_{50}$  values in the cellular ICW assay for aminopyrazolines correlated with potency in the scintillation proximity assay (Figure 7C) and were also comparable to the p53 methylation assay (see Figure 6D). Our results of the methylation of AHNAK and the recent identification of many additional methylation targets<sup>45</sup> clearly point toward additional roles beyond p53 regulation of SMYD2, and further studies supported by potent and selective inhibitors as (S)-4 are needed to fully elucidate the underlying biology.

Characterization of (S)-4 in Proliferation and Apoptosis Assays. To explore the potential effects of (S)-4 on proliferation, we tested a panel of 240 different cancer cell lines (OncoPanel 240/Eurofins Panlabs). Cell lines were long-term cultured with (S)-4 for 10 days to allow for a sufficient translation of demethylation of SMYD2 substrates to potential antiproliferative effects, which takes 48–72 h (Supporting Information Figure S2C). (S)-4 exposure resulted in only limited responses in a subset of cell lines; an antiproliferative response with IC<sub>50</sub> < 10  $\mu$ M was seen in 21 cell lines (~9%), however, most cell lines (83%) did not reach 50% proliferation inhibition with 20  $\mu$ M of (S)-4 (Figure 8A). In addition, there

was no clear preference for a specific tissue origin of the responding cancer cell line. Thus, SMYD2 inhibition by (S)-4 has only limited proliferation effects in a small subset of cancer cell lines under the employed conditions. On the basis of the observation that p53 protein is methylated by SMYD2, which should lead to suppression of apoptosis, we were additionally interested in the effects of (S)-4 in combination with an apoptotic stimulus. KYSE-150, U2OS, and A2780 cell lines were pretreated with (S)-4 or inactive derivative **25** (see Table 3) for 2 days (demethylation phase), followed by treatment with doxorubicin (apoptotic trigger). (S)-4, but not **25**, significantly improved caspase 3/7 activation in all three tested cell lines without inducing apoptosis alone (Figure 7B). Thus, SMYD2 inhibition can enhance apoptotic responses.

The First Chemical Probe Suitable for In Vivo Characterization of SMYD2 Inhibition. Functional validation of novel potential cancer targets such as the protein methyltransferase SMYD2 relies on appropriate model systems in vitro as well as in vivo. Additionally, chemical probe inhibitors also suitable for in vivo applications are highly desirable. Most reported activities of SMYD2 are not directly involved in survival signaling of cancer cells. Hence, in vitro proliferation assays may not adequately cover the full phenotype of SMYD2 inhibition, and more complex (in vivo) assays are thus required. The research work characterizing SMYD2 in heart and skeletal muscle cells by knockdown experiments in vivo<sup>15,24,25</sup> clearly

Article



**Figure 5.** (*S*)-4 mode of inhibition and selectivity profile. (A) Activity in the scintillation proximity assay (SPA).  $IC_{50}$  (n > 10) for SMYD2 inhibition =  $26 \pm 7$  nM (representative inhibition curve shown). (B)  $IC_{50}$  values obtained from SPA were plotted against the indicated substrate concentrations (represented as [substrate]/ $K_{m(app)}$ ). Data were fitted to competitive and uncompetitive models of the Cheng–Prusoff equation.<sup>41,42</sup> Data points are the mean of eight replicates, error bars indicate  $1 \times SD$ . The data indicate that (*S*)-4 is a peptide-competitive, SAM-uncompetitive inhibitor of SMYD2 methyltransferase activity. (C) Selectivity profile on a panel of 32 additional methyltransferases showing high selectivity of (*S*)-4 for SMYD2. Only SMYD3 is weakly inhibited by (*S*)-4 with  $a > 1 \ \mu M \ IC_{50}$ .



**Figure 6.** Inhibition of cellular methylation of the tumor suppressor protein p53 by (*S*)-4. (A) A specific antibody directed against p53K370me1 (SY46) was generated and tested for specificity on recombinant p53 (rec. p53) which had been in vitro methylated by SMYD2, or nonmethylated. (B) Endogenous methylation of p53 protein was characterized by treatment of KYSE-150 esophageal cancer cells with increasing concentrations of (*S*)-4 for 5 days (Co = control). (C) Cellular p53 methylation assay using transient FLAGtagged SMYD2 and FLAG-tagged p53 overexpression in HEK293T cells. Increasing concentrations of (*S*)-4 reduce the methylation of overexpressed p53 (for this assay p53K730me1-specific antibody kindly provided by Dr. Shelly Berger was used). (D) IC<sub>50</sub> determination in the cellular p53 methylation assay.

illustrates the complex underlying biology of SMYD2 and the necessity for chemical probes suitable for in vivo applications. Therefore, we were interested in establishing if SMYD2 methylation activity in tumor cells can be inhibited by (S)-4 in vivo. To this end, mice bearing subcutaneous tumor xenografts (tumor tissue derived from the SMYD2-overexpressing KYSE-150 cell line) were treated orally with 10, 30, 70, or 100 mg/kg (S)-4, or vehicle (PEG 400/water 8:2), once daily for 3 days. After the treatment period, tumors were harvested and analyzed ex vivo for methylation of AHNAK by dotblotting. For detection of the methylation signals, SY46 methylation antibody was used (see Figure 7A and Supporting Information Figure S2A-F). (S)-4 significantly reduced the methylation with doses starting from 30 mg/kg, with most significant effects in the 100 mg/kg treated group (P < 0.001, Student's t test) (Figure 9A). Treatment with 10 mg/kg (S)-4 resulted in no significant effect on the methylation level. Exposure at 10 mg/kg is close to the level of the cellular  $IC_{50}$ for  $\sim$ 9 h, which may indicate a need for an even higher exposure as the  $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{50}}$  to achieve in vivo effects on demethylation.

Then the KYSE-150 esophageal xenograft model was used to evaluate if the observed improved apoptosis induction in the in vitro setting after treatment with doxorubicin (Figure 8B) could translate to antitumor efficacy in vivo. Four groups of tumorbearing mice were treated as follows: Group 1 (control group) was only treated with vehicle (Solutol/ethanol/water 1:1:8) iv



**Figure 7.** AHNAK is a novel substrate of SMYD2 and methylation can be inhibited by aminopyrazoline inhibitors. (A) In-Cell Western (ICW) assay. Immunofluorescence-based detection of AHNAK methylation. Each row represents a different inhibitor tested in increasing concentrations ranging from 39 nM up to 5  $\mu$ M. (S)-4 and 1 are highlighted. (B) Inhibition of AHNAK methylation in MDA-MB231 cells overexpressing SMYD2. Methylation signal overlaps with AHNAK protein detection. (S)-4 inhibits concentration dependent the AHNAK methylation. (C) Good correlation of cellular activity (ICW) to biochemical activity (SPA) of (S)-4 and pyrazoline cluster derivatives. (S)-4 has a cellular IC<sub>50</sub> ~ 60 nM.

qd and once at day 4 with the vehicle used for doxorubicin (saline) iv; group 2 was treated with (S)-4 at 500 mg/kg po qd; group 3 was treated with 10 mg/kg doxorubicin iv once at day 4; group 4 was treated with a combination of (S)-4 and doxorubicin. There was a slight reduction in area (Figure 9B) and weight (Figure 9C) of tumors from mice treated with the combination of (S)-4 and doxorubicin relative to tumors from the control group. Combination treatment only resulted in a minor increase in treatment-related body weight loss (Figure 9D). The combination treatment reached a T/C level (based on tumor weight) of 0.46, which is significant (Figure 9C). Therefore, combination of a SMYD2 inhibitor with a chemotherapeutic agent resulted in reduced cancer cell growth in vivo. In comparison, the monotherapy groups treated with only doxorubicin or (S)-4 showed no significant antitumor efficacy relative to the vehicle control group. These data are consistent with the observed limited cellular proliferation effects of (S)-4 in the cell line panel (Figure 8A) and indicate that, in contrast to SMYD2 knockdown,<sup>2</sup> a catalytic inhibition may be insufficient to induce cell death in the KYSE-150 esophageal model. Furthermore, the

monotherapeutic approach with doxorubicin did not result in any antitumor efficacy in the KYSE-150 xenograft model.

#### 

In summary, we have identified (S)-4 as a potent, selective, and cell-active, substrate-competitive inhibitor of SMYD2. Our data show that SMYD2 inhibition can enhance efficacy of doxorubicin in vivo, which confirms our in vitro observation of higher caspase 3/7 activation (Figure 8B). Our results (S)-4 are also in agreement with an earlier study,<sup>13</sup> where an increased apoptosis induction in cells with an SMYD2 knockdown was observed. Nonetheless, in our initial explorative in vivo study with (S)-4, effects on xenografted tumors were only moderate. In addition, high doses of (S)-4 were needed in vitro as well as in vivo relative to the concentration needed to achieve effects on methylation. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that additional, so-far unexplored activities of SMYD2 might be responsible for the observed effects. This again underlines the necessity to identify suitable chemical probes for more extensive target validation campaigns to fully explore the complex biology of SMYD2 and other targets. In this regard, (S)-4 will be a highly valuable tool for the further exploration of SMYD2 biology, not only for in vitro but also for in vivo studies.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Chemistry.** *General Procedures.* All reagents and solvents were used as purchased, unless otherwise specified. All final products were at least 95% pure, as determined by analytical HPLC.

*Materials.* Intermediate **10a** and compounds **5**, **6**, and **12–24** were synthesized according to the methods described previously.<sup>37</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR were determined to be >95%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III HD spectrometers operating at 300, 400, or 500 mHz. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) reported are given in parts per million (ppm), and the coupling constants (*J*) are in hertz (Hz). The spin multiplicities are reported as s = singlet, br s = broad singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, and br = broad. The LC/MS analysis was performed on Waters Acquity UPLCMS SingleQuad with a Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (1.7  $\mu$ m, 50 mm × 2.1 mm) at 60 °C, using water +0.1 vol % formic acid (99%) and acetonitrile as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min and a DAD detector (210–400 nm). LC/MS/MS was performed on a CTC PAL autosampler, an Agilent 1200 HPLC, and a ABSciex 4000 mass spectrometer.

Assignment of Stereochemistry. For all separated enentiomers, it was assumed based on the co-crystal structure of 4, that the active enantiomer (SMYD2 inhibition) has S-configuration.

Phenyl 4-{[(Allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-3-(4-bromophenyl)-Ncyano-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate (10b). Compound 10b was prepared as described for 10d. Starting from 7b (37.7 g, 0.18 mol), 10b was obtained as white solid (40.1 g, 49% over 4 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.26–4.42 (m, 3H), 4.66 (dd, J = 13.3, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (dd, J = 13.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (td, J = 8.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (ddt, J = 16.8, 11.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.02–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.19–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 468.1/470.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Phenyl 4-{[(Allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-3-(4-chloro-3,5-dimethylphenyl)-N-cyano-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate (10c). Compound 10c was prepared as described for 10d, starting from 7c (35 g, 0.15 mol) to obtain 10c (29.3 g, 43% over 4 steps) as white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  2.32 (s, 6H), 4.03–4.18 (m, 1H), 4.39–4.61 (m, 2H), 5.06–5.27 (m, 2H), 5.49–5.66 (m, 1H), 5.79–5.94 (m, 1H), 5.75–5.95 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.37 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.73 (m, 2H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): *m/z* = 452.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Phenyl 4-{[(Allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-N-cyano-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate (10d). To a stirred



**Figure 8.** Proliferation and apoptosis effects of (*S*)-4. (A) A panel of 240 different cancer cell lines (OncoPanel 240/Eurofins Panlabs) was long-term cultured with (*S*)-4 for 10 days and proliferation effects were determined. (*S*)-4 showed proliferation effects with an IC<sub>50</sub> < 10  $\mu$ M in a subset of cell lines. (B) Effects on apoptosis induction were determined by caspase 3/7 activation. KYSE-150, U2OS, and A2780 cell lines were pretreated with (*S*)-4 or inactive derivative **25** (see Table 3) for 2 days, then apoptosis was induced by treatment with doxorubicin. (*S*)-4, but not **25**, significantly improving caspase 3/7 activation.



**Figure 9.** In vivo characterization of (*S*)-4. (A) Demethylation of AHNAK was evaluated ex vivo. Average methylation signals  $\pm$  standard deviation per group are shown. Mice (*n* = 12 per group) bearing subcutaneous tumor xenografts (tumor tissue derived from the SMYD2-overexpressing KYSE-150 cell line) were treated as indicated, then the tumors were analyzed for methylation signals by dot-blotting. (*S*)-4 significantly reduced the methylation with doses starting from 30 mg/kg, with most significant effects in the 100 mg/kg group (*P* < 0.001, Student's *t* test). (B) Tumor area graph summarizing the in vivo tumor efficacy study with the KYSE-150 xenograft model. Average tumor area  $\pm$  standard error of mean per group is plotted as the mean. Treatment was started at day 4 after tumor inoculation (black arrow), and groups were treated as indicated. (C) Tumor weight graphs corresponding to the same experiment shown in (B). Average tumor weight are blotted as box plot. \* Significant (*p* value <0.05) difference between vehicle control and treatment group (Dunn's method). Group 2: one animal was excluded on the 14th treatment day due to animal welfare reasons (ulcerated tumor), tumor size 82 mm<sup>2</sup>. (D) Mouse body weight analysis. Average body weight per group throughout the experiment is plotted as the mean.

solution of 2-amino-1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethanone hydrochloride (1:1) (7d; 116 g, 0.480 mol) in water (500 mL) was added allyl chloroformate (56.5 mL, 0.530 mol) in DCM (800 mL). The reaction

mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and  $K_2CO_3$  (207 g, 1.49 mol) in water (1 L) was added dropwise over 1 h. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt, stirred overnight, then diluted with DCM (500 mL). The organic

Article

phase was washed with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (400 mL) followed by brine (500 mL), then dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: DCM/heptane 2:1, 3:1, 4:1; DCM; EtOAc) to yield allyl [2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]carbamate (8d; 120 g, 87%) as a white crystalline solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ :  $\delta$  4.62 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 5.25 (dd, I = 1.4, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dd, I = 1.4, 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (br s, 1H), 5.94 (m, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 2.1, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 288.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. To a stirred suspension of 8d (50.0 g, 0.174 mol) in EtOH (390 mL) was added formaldehyde solution (20 mL, 0.261 mol; 37 wt % in water) followed by the dropwise addition of piperidine (26 mL, 0.261 mol) in EtOH (130 mL) over 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight; TLC indicated consumption of 8d. The volatiles were removed by evaporation to yield an orange oil which was not further purified. To a solution of this crude material in EtOH (480 mL) was added hydrazine monohydrate (29.6 mL, 0.609 mol), and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2.5 h. Then the mixture was allowed to cool to rt, concentrated, and poured over ice-cooled saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (300 mL). The crude product was extracted with EtOAc (1.5 L), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (300 mL) then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to yield allyl [3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (9d; 50.0 g, 91%) as a pale-yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $[D]_{6}$ DMSO):  $\delta$  3.24 (m, 1H), 3.59 (m, 1H), 4.39–4.54 (m, 2H), 5.08-5.25 (m, 3H), 5.79-5.90 (m, 1H), 7.52 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (br s, 1H), 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 314.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. To a stirred suspension of 9d (50.0 g, 0.159 mol) in i-PrOH (860 mL) was added diphenyl N-cyanocarbonimidate (38.0 g, 0.159 mol). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux, at which point the suspension dissolved into solution; after a further 10 min at reflux, a white precipitate formed. The mixture was stirred at reflux for a further 1 h then allowed to slowly cool to rt overnight. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with  $Et_2O$  (2 × 250 mL). The resulting white solid was allowed to dry to yield 10d (48.6 g, 67%) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $[D]_6$ DMSO):  $\delta$  4.13 (apparent d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (m, 3H), 5.14 (m, 2H), 5.51-5.63 (m, 1H), 5.79–5.90 (m, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.79 (br m, 2H), 7.97 (br s, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 458.0 [M + H]^+$ .

Phenyl 4-{[(Allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-3-(4-chloro-3-methylphenyl)-N-cyano-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate (**10e**). Compound **10e** was prepared as described for **10d**, starting from 7e (3.1 g, 13.9 mmol) to obtain **10e** (2.12 g, 34.4% over 4 steps) as white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.18 (s, 3H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, *J* = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 5.60–5.70 (m, 1H), 5.94 (ddt, *J* = 5.6, 10.9, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89–7.35 (m, 7H), 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.54 (m, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 438.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Phenyl 4-[[(Allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-3-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-N-cyano-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate (10f). Compound 10f was prepared as described for 10d, starting from 7f (12.0 g, 55.7 mmol) to obtain 10f (9.1 g, 38% over 4 steps) as white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  4.05–4.08 (m, 1H), 4.43–4.47 (m, 3H), 5.1–5.2 (m, 2H), 5.50–5.55 (m, 1H), 5.80–5.90 (m, 1H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.43–7.50 (m, 2H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H). LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 434.2 [M + H]^+$ .

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allyl [1-(N'-Cyano-Narylcarbamimidoyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamates **11j-s**. To a stirred solution of the respective aniline derivative (3 equiv) in anhydrous THF (2 mL/mmol) at -78 °C was added *n*-BuLi (3 equiv, 2 M in hexane) dropwise while maintaining the reaction temperature below -65 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, then the respective phenyl 4-{[(allyloxy)carbonyl]amino}-N-cyano-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidate **10** (1 equiv) in anhydrous THF (30 mL/mmol) was added dropwise while maintaining the reaction temperature below -65 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, then slowly poured over saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (30 mL/mmol based on **10**). The crude product was extracted into EtOAc (30 mL/mmol); the combined organic layers were washed with brine (15 mL/mmol), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude solid was precipitated from a minimum volume of EtOAc, collected by filtration, and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O to give the corresponding intermediate **11**.

Allyl [3-(4-Bromophenyl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl]-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (11j). Compound 11j was prepared from 10b (5.0 g, 10.7 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. 11j was not pure after chromatography and was used as such in further steps (3.8 g, purity 77%; UV, 51%). LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 533.1 [M + H]^+$ .

Allyl [3-(4-Chloro-3,5-dimethylphenyl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (11k). Compound 11k was prepared from 10c (10.0 g, 22.1 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. 11k was obtained as white solid (9.1 g, 80%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.32 (s, 6H), 4.3–4.6 (m, 4H), 5.13 (dd, *J* = 1.1, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dd, *J* = 1.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.5–5.6 (m, 1H), 5.7–5.9 (m, 1H), 6.53 (t, *J* = 73.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (br d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.1–7.3 (m, 4H), 7.50 (s, 2H), 8.35 (s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 517.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [1-{N'-Cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]carbamate (111). Compound 111 was prepared from 10d (20.0 g, 43.6 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. 111 was obtained as white solid (21.2 g, 93%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  4.08 (dd, J = 4.6, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.36–4.53 (m, 3H), 5.11 (dd, J = 1.4, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 1.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.50–5.59 (m, 1H), 5.77–5.90 (m, 1H), 6.99 (dd, J = 2.3, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (m, 1H), 7.21 (t, J = 73.7 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.73–7.81 (m, 2H), 8.15 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 9.79 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 523.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [3-(4-Chloro-3-methylphenyl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (11m). Compound 11m was prepared from 10e (10.0 g, 22.8 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. 11m was obtained as white solid (9.6 g, 84%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$ 2.33–2.40 (m, 3H), 4.03–4.15 (m, 1H), 4.41–4.56 (m, 3H), 5.13– 5.36 (m, 2H), 5.53–5.63 (m, 1H), 5.82–5.94 (m, 1H), 7.00–7.08 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.25 (t, J = 74 Hz, 1H, partially masked by other peaks), 7.40–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (dd, J = 1.7, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.90 (m, 1H), 8.2 (br d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 9.75 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 503.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [3-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (11n). Compound 11n was prepared from 10f (2.0 g, 4.6 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. 11n was obtained as white solid (1.7 g, 74%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  4.09 (dd, J = 4.6, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (t, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (dd, J = 1.4, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 1.6, 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.49– 5.60 (m, 1H), 5.82–5.93 (m, 1H), 6.11 (s, 2H), 6.95–7.09 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 9.63 (s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 499.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [1-{N'-Cyano-N-[3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]carbamate (110). Compound 110 was prepared from 10d (10 g, 21.8 mmol) and 3-(trifluoromethoxy)aniline. 110 was obtained as beige solid (7.4 g, 63%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  4.13 (dd, J = 4.8, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.56 (m, 3H), 5.12–5.25 (m, 2H), 5.50–5.63 (m, 1H), 5.83–5.94 (m, 1H), 7.19 (br d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.85 (m, 2H), 8.13– 8.24 (m, 2H), 9.89 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 540.8 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl {1-[N'-Cyano-N-(3-methoxyphenyl)carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (11p). Compound 11p was prepared from 10d (2.0 g, 4.4 mmol) and 3-methoxyaniline. 11p was obtained as white solid (1.5 g, 71%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  3.3 (s, 3H, masked by water signal), 3.97–4.07 (m, 1H), 4.33–4.48 (m, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 5.74– 5.82 (m, 1H), 6.95 (br d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.34– 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (dd, J = 1.9, 8.5 Hz, 1H),

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

8.08 (s, 1H), 8.76 (br d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 9.51 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 487.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [1-{N'-Cyano-N-[6-(difluoromethoxy)pyridin-2-yl]carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]carbamate (11q). Compound 11q was prepared from 10d (5.0 g, 10.9 mmol) and 6-(difluoromethoxy)pyridin-2-amine. 11q was obtained as off-white solid (2.9 g, 43%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 3.95 (dd, J = 5.0, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.4–4.5 (m, 2H), 5.13 (m, 2H), 5.51 (m, 1H), 5.84 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 72.8 Hz, 1H), 7.7–7.8 (m, 2H), 7.91 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 10.45 (s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 524.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [1-{N-[4-Chloro-3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-N'-cyanocarbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]carbamate (**11r**). Compound **11r** was prepared from **10d** (2.0 g, 4.4 mmol) and 4-chloro-3-(difluoromethoxy)aniline. **11r** was obtained as white solid (1.5 g, 62%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$ 4.01-4.17 (m, 1H), 4.42-4.56 (m, 3H), 5.12-5.25 (m, 2H), 5.53-5.64 (m, 1H), 5.82-5.94 (m, 1H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 73 Hz, 1H, partially masked by other peaks), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 2.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 8.13-8.25 (m, 2H), 9.91 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 557.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Allyl [1-{N'-Cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)-5-fluorophenyl]carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]carbamate (11s). Compound 11s was prepared from 10d (1.6 g, 3.4 mmol) and 3-(difluoromethoxy)-5-fluoroaniline. 11s was obtained as white solid (0.8 g, 45%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  4.13 (dd, J = 4.6, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.53 (m, 3H), 5.10–5.25 (m, 2H), 5.51–5.63 (m, 1H), 5.78–5.94 (m, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J = 2.2, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (br d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (t, J = 73 Hz, 1H, partially masked by other peaks), 7.74–7.85 (m, 2H), 8.10–8.30 (m, 2H), 9.88 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 541.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

 $N-(1-\bar{N}'-Cvano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-$ 3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (25) and N-[3-(4-Bromophenyl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (26). To a stirred solution of 11j (3.8 g, 77%-UV, 5.5 mmol) in degassed THF (150 mL) was added 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid (1.8 g, 11.0 mmol), followed by tetrakis-(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) [Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>; 0.25 g, 0.22 mmol]. The reaction mixture was stirred under argon for 12 h, then cautiously quenched with saturated NaHCO3 solution (150 mL) and extracted into EtOAc (150 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: EtOAc, MeOH) to yield 4-amino-3-(4-bromophenyl)-N'cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide (2.4 g, 95%; UV, 92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $[D]_{\alpha}$ DMSO):  $\delta$  2.38 (br s, 2H), 4.02 (dd, J = 4.4, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 9.9, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (dd, J = 4.5, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 74.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.23 (m, 1H), 7.27 (ddd, J = 0.8, 2.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.98–8.03 (m, 2H), 9.62 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 449.3 $[M + H]^+$ . To the amine product (2.30 g, 5.1 mmol) in MeOH (70 mL) at rt was added acetaldehyde (0.27 g, 6.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, and at 40 °C for 1 h, then it was cooled to 0 °C and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.22 g, 5.7 mmol) was added in small portions. The mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, then poured over saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (10 mL). The volatiles were removed by evaporation, and the resulting aqueous slurry was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to yield a crude black oil. The crude material was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: DCM, MeOH) to yield 3-(4-bromophenyl)-N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-4-(ethylamino)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1carboximidamide (1.11 g, 45%) as the main N-ethylation product. LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 477.1 [M + H]^+$ . The corresponding debrominated derivative N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-4-(ethylamino)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide (0.41 g, 19%) was obtained as a byproduct. LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 398.3 [M + H]^+$ .

To the debrominated byproduct (410 mg, 1 mmol) in DCM (15 mL) were added Et<sub>2</sub>N (0.15 mL, 1.1 mmol), acetoxyacetyl chloride (0.12 mL, 1.1 mmol), and DMAP (126 mg, 1 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. EtOAc (15 mL) was added, and the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (20 mL) and brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The crude product was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: DCM, MeOH) to yield 504 mg of an impure intermediate, which was dissolved in MeOH (12 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (140 mg, 1.01 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min. After cooling, to the reaction mixture was added saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over Na2SO4, concentrated, and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: DCM, MeOH) and subsequent preparative HPLC to yield N-(1-{N'-cyano-*N*-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (25; 50 mg, 10%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  1.03 (br s, 3H), 3.16–3.33 (m, 2H), 3.92–4.25 (m, 3H), 4.47 (br t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (br t, I = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.94–7.03 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.36–7.52 (m, 4H), 7.75–7.84 (m, 2H), 9.77 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z =457.2  $[M + H]^+$ .

To the main product from the N-ethylation step (1.1 g, 2.3 mmol) in DCM (30 mL) were added Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.34 mL, 2.4 mmol), acetoxyacetyl chloride (0.27 mL, 2.4 mmol), and DMAP (282 mg, 2.3 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. EtOAc (30 mL) was added, and the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (40 mL) and brine (40 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The crude product was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: DCM, MeOH) to yield 1.2 g of an impure intermediate. Then 200 mg of this intermediate were dissolved in MeOH (5 mL), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (48 mg, 0.35 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min. After cooling, the reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by HPLC to yield  $(N-[3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-\{N'-cyano-N-[3-$ (difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (26; 50 mg, 24%). <sup>I</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $[D]_6$ DMSO):  $\delta$  1.05 (br s, 3H), 3.27–3.36 (m, 2H), 3.98–4.18 (m, 3H), 4.48 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 74.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H),7.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 9.78 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 535.1 $[M + H]^+$ .

*N*-[3-(4-Chloro-3,5-dimethylphenyl)-1-{*N*'-cyano-*N*-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]-*N*-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**27**). Compound **27** was prepared as described for **4**, starting from **11k** (4.9 g, 9.6 mmol). **27** was obtained as gray solid (1.6 g, 32% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 1.05 (br s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 6H), 3.23-3.44 (m, 2H), 3.94-4.15 (m, 3H), 4.44 (br t, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (br s, 1H), 6.92-7.02 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.43 (m, 1H), 7.56 (s, 2H), 9.73 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 518 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

N-[1-{N'-Cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (4). To a stirred solution of 111 (14.2 g, 27.0 mmol) in degassed THF (370 mL) was added 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid (17.0 g, 108 mmol), followed by Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (2.40 g, 2.16 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon for 15 min, then cautiously quenched with saturated NaHCO3 solution (400 mL) and extracted into EtOAc (400 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (200 mL), then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: EtOAc/heptane 1:1, 2:1; EtOAc; MeOH/EtOAc 1:100) to yield 4-amino-N'-cyano-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide (9.3 g, 78%) as an orange oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 3.95–4.02 (m, 1H, under EtOAc signal), 4.35 (m, 1H), 4.83 (dd, J = 4.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J = 2.1, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 73.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.61 (m, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd, J = 2.1, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 9.67 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 439.1 [M + H]^+$ . To a stirred solution of the amine product (9.30 g, 21.2 mmol) in MeOH (170 mL) at 0 °C was added acetaldehyde (1.12 g, 25.4 mmol), followed by the portionwise addition of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.96 g, 25.4 mmol) over 20 min. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, then poured over saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (100 mL). The volatiles were removed by evaporation, and the resulting aqueous slurry was extracted with EtOAc  $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), then dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated to yield a crude black oil. The crude material was purified by dry flash column chromatography (eluent: EtOAc/heptane 1:1, EtOAc) to give a black oil, which was triturated with  $Et_2O$  to yield N'-cyano-3-(3,4dichlorophenyl)-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-4-(ethylamino)-4,5dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide (7.40 g, 75%) as a gray solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  0.98 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.40– 2.64 (m, 2H, partially under DMSO signal), 4.16-4.27 (m, 2H), 4.83 (m, 1H), 6.98 (dd, J = 2.3, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, J = 73.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dt, J = 1.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, J = 2.1, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 9.71 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 467.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. To this product (7.24 g, 15.5 mmol) in DCM (62 mL) was added saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (72 mL). The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously and cooled to 5 °C; acetoxyacetyl chloride (2.50 mL, 23.2 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) was added dropwise over 15 min. The mixture was stirred at 5 °C for 10 min, after which time LC analysis indicated total consumption of the starting material and only one major peak. The volatiles were removed by evaporation to yield an oily aqueous suspension to which  $K_2CO_3$  (4.28 g, 31.0 mmol) was added, followed by MeOH (110 mL). The mixture was brought to reflux for 5 min then allowed to cool to rt, whereupon the product precipitated as a white solid. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with water (50 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL), and dried in vacuo to yield 4 (7.58 g, 93%) as a white powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 0.98–1.10 (m, 3H), 3.17–3.42 (m, 2H, partially under water signal), 3.94-4.17 (m, 3H), 4.46 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (br t, 1H), 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.7 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 9.85 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI):  $m/z = 525.0 [M + H]^+$ 

Compound 4 was separated into its enantiomers by chiral SFC (instrument, Sepiatec Prep SFC 100; column, Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 250 mm × 20 mm; eluent, CO<sub>2</sub>/*i*-PrOH 7:3; flow, 80 mL/min; temperature, 40 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 3.35–4.40 [(*S*)-4], 7.31–9.00 [(*R*)-4]. Enantiomer (*S*)-4: SFC (Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm, CO<sub>2</sub>/*i*-PrOH 7:3; flow, 4 mL/min; temperature, 37.5 °C)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 2.41. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –102 (*c* 0.44, MeOH). Enantiomer (*R*)-4: SFC (Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm, CO<sub>2</sub>/*i*-PrOH 7:3; flow, 4 mL/min; temperature, 37.5 °C)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 5.66. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +96 (*c* 0.25, MeOH).

N-[3-(4-Chloro-3-methylphenyl)-1-{N'-cyano-N-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**28**). Compound **28** was prepared as described for **4**, starting from **11m** (3.4 g, 6.6 mmol). **28** was obtained as yellow solid (537 mg, 16% over 3 steps) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (S00 MHz, [D]<sub>2</sub>DCM): δ 1.11 (br t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 3.11-3.34 (m, 3H), 4.11-4.27 (m, 2H), 4.43-4.54 (m, 2H), 6.65 (t, J = 74.1 Hz, 1H), 7.00-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.49 (dd, J = 10.1, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 505.0 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **28** was separated into its enantiomers by chiral HPLC (instrument, Agilent Prep 1200; column, Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 250 × 30 mm; eluent, hexane/EtOH 7:3; flow, 50 mL/min):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 7.2–9.0 [(R)-**28**], 10.9–12.9 [(S)-**28**]. Enantiomer (S)-**28**: HPLC (Chiralpak ID 3  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm, hexane/EtOH 7:3, 1.0 mL/min)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 6.22. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –96.8 (c 0.88, MeOH).

*N*-[3-(1,3-Benzodioxol-5-yl)-1-{*N*'-cyano-*N*-[3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-*N*-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**29**). Compound **29** was prepared as described for 4, starting from **11n** (870 mg, 2.0 mmol). **29** was obtained as off-white solid (432 mg, 43% over 3 steps) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  0.99 (br s, 3H), 3.08–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.94–4.18 (m, 3H), 4.41 (br t, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (br s, 1H), 6.07 (br d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (br d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.11–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.63 (m, 2H), 9.68 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 501.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

*N*-[1-{*N*′-*C*yano-*N*-[3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]carbamimidoyl}-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]-*N*-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**30**). Compound **30** was prepared as described for compound **4**, starting from **110** (385 mg, 0.71 mmol). **30** was obtained as white solid (244 mg, 66% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 1.03 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 3.3–3.5 (m, 2H), 3.9–4.1 (m, 3H), 4.4–4.5 (m, 1H), 4.7–4.8 (m, 1H), 7.15 (d, 1H), 7.3–7.8 (m, 6H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 9.88 (s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): *m*/*z* = 542.9 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **30** was separated into its enantiomers by chiral SFC (instrument, Sepiatec Prep SFC100; column, Chiralpak IE 5  $\mu$ m 250 × 20 mm; eluent, CO<sub>2</sub>/2-propanol 67/33; flow, 80 mL/min; temperature, 40 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 4.8–5.9 [(R)-**30**], 8.6–10.3 [(S)-**30**]. Enantiomer (S)-**30**: SFC (Chiralpak IE 5  $\mu$ m 100 mm × 4.6 mm, CO<sub>2</sub>/2-propanol 67/33; flow, 4.0 mL/min; temperature, 37.5 °C)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 5.24. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –79 (*c* 0.83, MeOH).

*N*-{1-[*N*'-Cyano-*N*-(3-methoxyphenyl)carbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl}-*N*-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**31**). Compound **31** was prepared as described for **4**, starting from **11p** (1.5 g, 3.1 mmol). **31** was obtained as yellow solid (325 mg, 21% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 1.04 (br s, 3H), 3.14–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.99–4.14 (m, 3H), 4.38 (br t, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (br t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (br s, 1H), 6.84 (m, 3H), 7.20 (br t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.73 (m, 1H), 8.07 (br s, 1H), 9.75 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 489.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **31** was separated into its enantiomers by chiral HPLC (instrument, Agilent Prep 1200; column, Chiralpak IC 5  $\mu$ m, 250 mm × 20 mm; eluent, MeOH/EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>NH 50:50:0.1; flow, 20 mL/min; temperature, 23 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 5.0–6.0 [(S)-**31**], 6.75–8.0 [(R)-**31**]. Enantiomer (S)-**31**: HPLC (Chiralpak IC 5  $\mu$ m, 150 mm × 4.6 mm, MeOH/EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>NH 50:50:0.1, 1.0 mL/min)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 2.65. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –88.1 (c 1.0, MeOH).

N-[1-{N'-Cyano-N-[6-(difluoromethoxy)pyridin-2-yl]carbamimidoyl}-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazol-4yl]-N-ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**32**). Compound **32** was prepared as described for 4, starting from **11q** (1.9 g, 3.6 mmol). **32** was obtained as pale-yellow solid (558 mg, 29% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO):  $\delta$  1.08 (br t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 3.32–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.93– 4.17 (m, 3H), 4.41 (br t, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (br s, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (br d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 65.9 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.75 (br d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 10.52 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): *m*/*z* = 526.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **32** was separated into its enantiomers by chiral SFC (instrument, Sepiatec Prep SFC 100; column, Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 250 mm × 20 mm; eluent, CO<sub>2</sub>/*i*-PrOH 71:29; flow, 80 mL/min; temperature, 40 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 3.5–5.0 [(*R*)-**32**], 5.0–7.0 [(*S*)-**32**]. Enantiomer (*S*)-**32**: SFC (Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm, CO<sub>2</sub>/*i*-PrOH 71:29, 4.0 mL/min)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 3.82. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –56.2 (*c* 0.53, MeOH).

*N*-[1-{*N*-[4-Chloro-3-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl]-*N*'-cyanocarbamimidoyl]-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrazol-4-yl]-*N*ethyl-2-hydroxyacetamide (**33**). Compound **33** was prepared as described for 4, starting from **11r** (1.5 g, 2.7 mmol). **33** was obtained as yellow solid (18 mg, 12% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 0.81 (br s, 1H), 0.99 (br s, 3H), 2.92 (br s, 0.4H), 3.01-3.29 (m, 3H), 3.94-4.33 (m, 6H), 4.40 (br s, 0.4H), 4.72 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (br s, 0.3H), 5.73 (br s, 0.4H), 5.94 (br s, 1H), 6.93-7.42 (m, 6H), 7.52-7.72 (m, 3H), 7.86-7.96 (m, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 559.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **33** was separated into its enantiomers by chiral SFC (instrument, Sepiatec Prep SFC 100; column, Chiralpak IC 5  $\mu$ m, 250 mm × 20 mm; eluent, CO<sub>2</sub>/EtOH 7:3; flow, 80 mL/min; temperature, 40 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 4.75–5.6 [(S)-33], 6.25–7.5 [(R)-33]. Enantiomer (S)-33: SFC (Chiralpak IC 5  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm, CO<sub>2</sub>/EtOH 7:3, 4.0 mL/min)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 2.99. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> –37.4 (*c* 1.0, DMSO).

*N*-[*1*-{*N'*-*Cyano*-*N*-[*3*-(*difluoromethoxy*)-5-*fluoropheny*]]*carbamimidoy*]]-*3*-(*3*,4-*dichloro-pheny*])-*4*,5-*dihydro*-1*H*-*pyrazo*]-*4y*]]-*N*-*ethy*]-*2*-*hydroxyacetamide* (*34*). Compound 34 was prepared as described for 4, starting from 11s (415 mg, 0.8 mmol). 34 was obtained as white solid (65 mg, 15% over 3 steps). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D]<sub>6</sub>DMSO): δ 1.08 (br t, 3H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 4.00-4.20 (m, 3H), 4.50 (br t, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (br t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.59-6.16 (m, 1H), 6.95 (br d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (br s, 1H), 7.19 (br d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 73.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (br d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 9.94 (br s, 1H). LC-MS (ESI): m/z = 543.2 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound 34 was separated into its enantiomers by chiral HPLC (instrument, Agilent Prep 1200; column, Chiralpak ID 5  $\mu$ m, 250 mm × 30 mm; eluent, hexane/EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>NH 70:30:0.1; flow, 40 mL/min; temperature, 23 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 6.5–7.7 [(S)-34], 8.1–9.7 [(R)-34]. Enantiomer (S)-34: HPLC (Chiralpak ID, 3  $\mu$ m, 100 mm × 4.6 mm (hexane/EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>NH 70:30:0.1, 1.0 mL/min)  $t_{\rm R}$  (min) = 3.81. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -38.1 (c 1.0, DMSO).

**Biology.** *Cell Culture.* Cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (HEK293, U2OS), the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (KYSE-150), and the European Collection of Cell Cultures (A2780). They were maintained in the recommended cell culture media at 37  $^{\circ}$ C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

Enzyme Activity and Inhibition Assays. SMYD2 enzyme kinetics and inhibitory activities of compounds were analyzed using a scintillation proximity assay (SPA) which measured methylation by the enzyme of the synthetic, biotinylated peptide Btn-Ahx-GSRAHS-SHLKSKKGQSTSRH-amide (Biosyntan) derived from the C-terminal domain of p53. The SMYD2 full-length enzyme with an N-terminal 6xHis tag was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified by affinity chromatography on a Ni-NTA Sepharose column, followed by sizeexclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 16/60 column (GE Healthcare). Assays were conducted in 384-well microtiter plates in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 9.0, 1 mM DTT, 0.01% (w/v) BSA, and 0.0022% (v/v) Pluronic, and a final volume of 5  $\mu$ L. The SMYD2 concentration in the assay was 3 nM, while tritiated S-adenosyl-L-methionine (<sup>3</sup>H-SAM) and the peptide substrate were present at 60 nM and 1 µM, respectively, to ensure "balanced" conditions.<sup>46</sup> Apparent Michaelis–Menten constants for SAM and the p53 peptide were determined by titrating one substrate to saturation at cosubstrate concentrations of 1  $\times$   $K_{\rm m(app)}.$  Enzyme kinetics were followed over 2 h by quenching the reactions as described above at time points 0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min. Compounds were tested in 11-point, 3.5-fold dilution series ranging from 0.1 nM to 20  $\mu$ M. Reactions were run for 30 min and quenched by adding Streptavidin PS SPA imaging beads (PerkinElmer) to a concentration of 3.12  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L and 25  $\mu$ M "cold" SAM. The amount of product was evaluated using a Viewlux (PerkinElmer) CCD plate imaging device [emission filter 613/55]. The data were normalized using two sets of control wells for high (= enzyme reaction with DMSO instead of test compound = 0% = minimum inhibition) and low (= all assay components without enzyme = 100% = maximum inhibition) SMYD2 activity. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by fitting the normalized inhibition data to a four-parameter logistic equation using either a Bayer proprietary tool or Genedata Screener analysis software.

For mechanism-of-inhibition studies, IC<sub>50</sub> determinations were basically performed as described above, but incubation times were adjusted to guarantee kinetic linearity at each concentration of substrate analyzed. For peptide competition studies, IC<sub>50</sub> values were determined at six different concentrations of p53 peptide (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 12  $\mu$ M). For SAM competition, 25, 50, 100, 200, 300, and 600 nM <sup>3</sup>H-SAM were used. In both cases, the other cosubstrate was used at a concentration of 1  $\times$  K<sub>m(app)</sub>.

Calculation of  $K_{i(app)}$  and  $\alpha K_{i(app)}$  using the corresponding Cheng–Prusoff equations:<sup>41</sup>

$$K_{i(app)} = \frac{IC_{50}}{([S]/K_{m(app)} + 1)}$$

$$\alpha K_{i(app)} = \frac{IC_{50}}{(K_{m(app)}/[S] + 1)}$$

where [S] = substrate concentration,  $K_{m(app)}$  = apparent Michaelis– Menten constant,  $K_{i(app)}$  = apparent inhibitor constant for binding to free enzyme, and  $\alpha K_{i(app)}$  = apparent inhibitor constant for binding to enzyme–substrate complex.

*Selectivity Assays.* The effect of (*S*)-4 on the methyltransferase activity of G9A, EHMT1, SUV39H1, SUV39H2, SETDB1, SETD7, SETD8, SUV420H1, SUV420H2, PRMT1, PRMT3, PRMT6, PRMT8, PRDM9, SETD2, and SMYD3, and MLL1, MLL3, EZH2, and PRMT5 complexes, as well as DNMT1 and BCDIN3D, was assessed using the SPA method.<sup>28</sup> For DNMT3A/3L, DNMT3B/3L, ASH1L, DOT1L, NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, METTL21A, and METTL21D, a trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation method in filter plates were employed.<sup>47</sup>

*PAR1 Assay.* The identification of PAR1 antagonistic effects and the quantification of those effects, as well as the resulting  $IC_{50}$  values, were performed as described previously.<sup>36</sup>

Biophysical Validation by ITC/TSA. Thermal shift assays (TSA) were carried out with the ThermoFluor system (Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development). Melting curves were obtained at a protein concentration of 1.5  $\mu$ M, 8xSypro Orange (Invitrogen), and a ligand concentration of 100  $\mu$ M, using buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 8.5%. Scans were measured from 25 to 95 °C at a scanning rate of 2 °C/min. TSA data were analyzed using ThermoFluor++ (version 1.3.7) software.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) measurements were carried out using a MicroCal iTC200 system. In the experiments, compound (400  $\mu$ M) was titrated into SMYD2 protein (67  $\mu$ M) dissolved in 25 mM Tris pH 8.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.0022% Pluronic F-127, and 2% DMSO at 25 °C. After an initial injection of 0.2  $\mu$ L, which was not considered for data fitting, 10 injections of 4  $\mu$ L each in 150 s intervals were performed. The stirring speed of the protein in the cell was 500 rpm. The protein concentration was determined from UV absorbance measurements at 280 nm. Data were evaluated using ORIGIN software, as supplied with the instrument.

Purification and Crystallization of SMYD2. Recombinant human SMYD2 (UniProt Q9NRG4, amino acids 2-433) was expressed in insect cells (Sf9) containing an N-terminal TEV-cleavable 6xHis tag. Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (40 mM Tris pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% IGEPAL, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT) supplemented with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets and 50 U/mL benzonase. The cell lysate was loaded onto a Ni-NTA column, eluted with imidazole, and concentrated using an ultracentrifugal filter unit. Then, SMYD2 was gel-filtrated on a Superdex S200 column equilibrated in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM DTT. The 6xHis tag was cleaved with TEV protease in solution at 6 °C overnight. Uncleaved SMYD2 and TEV protease were separated from the cleaved product by a second Ni-NTA affinity step. The cleaved SMYD2 protein was further purified by a second gel-filtration step using a Superdex 200 column equilibrated in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). The protein was concentrated to 15.5 mg/mL (313 µM) (UV-vis) using an ultracentrifugal filter unit and shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. For crystallization, the cofactor SAM was added to a final concentration of 3.8 mM as follows: SAM stock solution (100 mM in DMSO, 1.2  $\mu$ L) was added to concentrated SMYD2 solution (30  $\mu$ L) and incubated at 4 °C for 2 h. Crystals grew at 20 °C within 3 d using the hanging drop method. Drops were made from the SMYD2/SAM solution (1  $\mu$ L) and reservoir solution [20-24% (w/v) PEG 3350, 100 mM HEPES pH 7.0; 0.8  $\mu$ L]. Thirty minutes after drop setup, a seed solution  $(0.2 \ \mu L)$  was added. The seed solution was made from SMYD2:SAM crystals (obtained with the same reservoir conditions in a previous experiment), which were crashed manually (using Seed Beads, Hampton Research), diluted in reservoir solution, shock-frozen, and stored at -80 °C. For complex formation, a crystal was transferred into a new drop reservoir solution (1.5  $\mu$ L). A stock solution of the respective inhibitor (100 mM in DMSO) was 10-fold diluted with reservoir solution. Over the course of 2 h, this diluted stock solution  $(1.5 \ \mu L)$  was added in three steps of  $0.5 \ \mu L$  to the drop containing the SMYD2:SAM crystal, resulting in a final concentration of 5 mM inhibitor in the soaking drop. The crystal was soaked in this drop at 20 °C for 4 days in the case of (*S*)-4 and for 1 h for compound 6.

Crystallographic Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement. The soaked crystal was briefly immersed in cryo buffer (0.1 M HEPES pH 7.0, 22% PEG 3350, 20% glycerol, 2 mM inhibitor) and shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data sets were collected using beamline 14.1 at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin at 100 K using a wavelength of 0.91841 Å and a PILATUS detector. The diffraction images were processed using the program XDS.<sup>48</sup> The crystals belonged to space group  $P2_12_12_1$  with one molecule per asymmetric unit. The crystal form described here was first solved for an SMYD2:SAM crystal in the absence of an inhibitor, using the Molecular Replacement method (program Phaser<sup>49</sup> from the CCP4 program suite<sup>30</sup> and PDB entry 3TG5 as search model). The data sets described here were then solved by rigid body refinement using the SMYD2:SAM structure as starting model and the program Refmac<sup>5</sup> from the CCP4 program suite. 3D models for compound 6 and (S)-4 were generated using the program Discovery Studio, and parameter files for crystallographic refinement and model building were generated using the software PRODRG.<sup>52</sup> (S)-4 was manually built into the electron-density maps using Coot,<sup>53</sup> followed by several cycles of refinement with Refmac and rebuilding in Coot. For the data collection and refinement statistics, see Supporting Information Table S3.

*WaterMap Calculations.* WaterMap calculations were based on the crystal structure of the 4-chlorophenyl derivative **6** (PDB code 5ARF). The SMYD2–compound **6** complex structure was prepared using the Protein Preparation Wizard functionality in Maestro.<sup>54–57</sup> Preparation involved assignment of bond orders, addition of hydrogens, creation of zero-order bonds to metals, deletion of water molecules beyond 5 Å from heteroatoms, assignment of protonation states according to pH 7.0, and optimization of the hydrogen-bonding network and restrained minimization. Here, and for all further minimizations and simulations, the OPLS 2005 force field<sup>58,59</sup> was used.

Water sites and corresponding free energies were calculated using WaterMap.<sup>39,40</sup> The calculation involved the following (default) settings: water molecules within 10 Å of the ligand were investigated, the ligand as well as any crystallographic water molecules were removed prior to simulation, the protein was truncated beyond 20 Å from the ligand, and the simulation time was 2 ns. In brief (see ref 40 for details), the different stages of the calculation were solvation of the system in an orthorhombic box of TIP4P water<sup>60</sup> with a minimum distance between box edge and solute of 10 Å and a series of minimizations and short simulations to equilibrate the system, followed by a 2 ns production simulation. All nonwater heavy atoms were harmonically restrained during all minimizations and simulations using a force constant of 5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup>. Coordinates were saved every 1.5 ps, yielding a total of 1334 snapshots for further analysis. Water molecules were subsequently clustered such that nonoverlapping spheres (i.e., hydration sites) with radius 1 Å were obtained. Thermodynamic properties of these sites (i.e., enthalpies, entropies, and thus free energies) were approximated as follows: Enthalpies were estimated as the difference between the average interaction energy of the water molecule with the rest of the system and the average interaction energy in bulk water. Entropies were estimated using inhomogeneous solvation theory.60

Antibody Generation. To detect SMYD2-mediated methylation, we used a lysine monomethylation specific rabbit polyclonal antibody (SY46). The antibody was generated (Eurogentec) against a p53 peptide containing the monomethylated K370 epitope, as described elsewhere.<sup>13</sup> Antibody has been purified against unmethylated p53 peptide. Using this antibody in cellular systems revealed that it also recognized methylated AHNAK, which is also methylated by SMYD2 (see Supporting Information Figure S2).

In Vitro Methylation of Recombinant p53. Recombinant p53 protein (BD Pharmingen no. 556439) was incubated with full-length SMYD2 protein (in-house production) and SAM in reaction buffer

(50 mM Tris pH 9, 1 mM DTT, 0.01% BSA, 0.0022% Pluronic) at 30  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  overnight.

Western Blot. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer Pierce (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with benzonase (Merck) and protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen). Membranes were blocked in 5% milk PBS-T (phosphate buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20) and immunoprobed with antibodies raised against histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology no. 4499S), p53 (BD Biosciences no. 554294), AHNAK (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, no. sc-390743, 1:500), SMYD2 (abcam, no. ab108217, 1:1000), beta-actin (abcam, no. ab8224, 1:1000), or with the methylation specific antibody SY46 (Eurogentec). The secondary antibodies used were goat antimouse/ rabbit IRDye 800 CW (LI-COR Biosciences) and Alexa Fluor 680 goat antimouse/rabbit IgG. Bands were detected and quantified with Odyssey Fc Software (LI-COR Biosciences). Western blot assay for p53 methylation in HEK293T cells was performed as described elsewhere with an antibody directed against p53K370me1 kindly provided by Dr. Shelley Berger.<sup>1</sup>

Caspase 3/7 Activation Assay. Effects on apoptosis induction were measured with the Caspase-Glo 3/7 Assay System (Promega). In brief, 10000 cells/well were treated for 2 d with compound **25** or (*S*)-4 (to allow for demethylation of p53. Then, caspase activation was induced by doxorubicin (Sigma) (1.25  $\mu$ M for U2OS and A2780 cells, 2.5  $\mu$ M for KYSE-150 cells) treatment for 24 h. The apoptotic index was determined by normalization of caspase 3/7 activation signals to proliferation effects, measured by alamarBlue (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Cell-Based Assay for the Detection of SMYD2Methylation Activity. For the detection of SMYD2 cellular methylation activity, an In-Cell Western (ICW) assay was established. For the ICW, KYSE-150 cells stably transfected with a construct expressing wild-type N-terminal 2xc-myc-tagged SMYD2 (NCBI reference sequence: NP 064582.2) were used. For further detection and validation experiments of AHNAK methylation, we additionally generated stable HeLa and MDA-MB-231 cell lines using the same construct. For conducting the ICW assay, 5000 SMYD2-engineered KYSE-150 cells/ well were seeded in 96-well plates (Sigma) and cultivated for 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO2. Nontransfected KYSE-150 cells were used as a control for maximal inhibition of methylation activity. Cells were grown in 49% RPMI 1640 and 49% Ham's F12 media supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated FCS. For the determination of SMYD2 inhibitory activity, cells were treated for 72 h in the presence of test compound (at a final concentration range of 3.9  $\times$   $10^{-8}$  to 5  $\times$  $10^{-6}$  M) or with DMSO. Media were removed, and 3.7% (w/v) formaldehyde in PBS was added for 20 min. After two washes with PBS, 0.25% (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS was added for 15 min of permeabilization. After one wash with PBS, cells were blocked in 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk in PBS for 1 h. Fixed cells were exposed to primary methylation antibody (SY46, 1:200) in 5% nonfat dry milk in PBS for 24 h. One row of cells on each plate was not exposed to methylation antibody (SY46) and was reserved for background control measurements. The wells were washed three times with PBS, then secondary IR800-conjugated antibody (LI-COR, no. 926-32211, 1:1000) and DNA-intercalating dye DRAQ5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, no. 62251, 1:1000) were added for 3 h in blocking buffer. After five washes with PBS, the fluorescence in each well was measured on an Odyssey scanner (LI-COR) at 800 nm (SY46 methylation signal, 764 nm excitation) and 700 nm (DRAQ5 signal, 683 nm excitation). Fluorescence intensity was quantified and normalized to background and DRAQ5 signals. The normalized data were further analyzed by four-parameter logistic regression analysis using a Bayer proprietary tool to determine the IC<sub>50</sub> value for each tested compound. For  $IC_{50}$  determinations,  $C_0$  (= no inhibition) was defined as the signal measured for the DMSO-treated controls. C<sub>i</sub> (maximal inhibition) was defined as the signal measured for nontransfected KYSE-150 cells.

Proliferation Panel. For the characterization of proliferation effects, the OncoPanel 240 (Eurofins Panlabs) was used.

Mouse Tumor Xenograft Model. Animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the German animal welfare laws,

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

approved by local authorities and in accordance with the ethical guidelines of Bayer AG. Eight-week-old female BALB/c nude mice obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Germany) were acclimated for at least for 24 h before tumor cell injection. KYSE-150 cells  $(4 \times 10^6)$  were resuspended in 100% Matrigel (100 µL) and injected subcutaneously into the right flank region of the mice; 4 days after tumor cell inoculation, mice were randomized into four treatment groups. Treatment was started at day 4 after tumor inoculation. Group 1 (n = 12) was treated with vehicle only (Solutol/ethanol/water 1:1:8) iv qd and once at day 4 with saline iv; group 2 (n = 6) was treated with 500 mg/kg (S)-4 po qd; group 3 (n = 12) was treated with 10 mg/kg doxorubicin iv once at day 4; group 4 (n = 6) was treated with a combination of (S)-4 and doxorubicin. Tumors were measured three times per week for 14 days. Tumor weight is assumed to be log normally distributed, thus all tumor weights were logarithmically transformed (base = 2) prior to statistical inference. One-sided Dunnett's comparison of log 2 tumor weights of all treatment groups with the vehicle group were carried out on an overall significance level of 0.05. The results were transformed from the log 2 scale to the original scale so that the difference from the pairwise comparisons and the respective 95% confidence intervals calculated on the log 2 scale correspond to T/C ratios of geometric mean values plus respective 95% confidence intervals on the original tumor weight scale (mg). Data was analyzed with R 3.0.1.

Ex Vivo Methylation Detection by Dot Blot. Eight-week-old female BALB/c nude mice obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Germany) were acclimated for at least for 24 h before tumor cell injection. SMYD2-engineered KYSE-150 cells  $(4 \times 10^6)$  were resuspended in 100% Matrigel (100  $\mu$ L) and injected subcutaneously into the right flank region of the mice. Treatment was started when tumors reached a tumor area of  $60-70 \text{ mm}^2$  (day 7 after inoculation). Mice (n = 12 per group) were treated with 10, 30, 70, or 100 mg/kg (S)-4 po gd for 3 days, or vehicle (PEG 400/water 8:2) po gd for 3 days. After the treatment period, tumor samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. Frozen tumors were mechanically homogenized using TissueLyser and stainless steel beads (Qiagen), and proteins were extracted as described for the Western blot method. Whole protein lysate (50  $\mu$ g per sample) was transferred with the Dot-Blot system MiniFold-1 (Whatman) onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Invitrogen). Membrane were blocked in 5% milk PBS-T and immunoprobed with the SY46 methylation antibody. The secondary antibody used was goat antirabbit IRDye 800 CW (LI-COR, no. 926-32211, 1:1000). Bands were detected and quantified with Odyssey Fc software (LI-COR Biosciences).

*In Vivo Rat PK.* Pharmacokinetic properties of (*S*)-4 were determined by administering the test compound as indicated. Blood samples were withdrawn at different time points, and plasma was separated by centrifugation. The samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

Metabolic Stability in Rat Hepatocytes. Liver cells were distributed in Williams' medium E containing 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) to glass vials at a density of  $1.0 \times 10^6$  vital cells/mL. The test compound was added to a final concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. During incubation, the hepatocyte suspensions were continuously shaken and aliquots were taken at 2, 8, 16, 30, 45, and 90 min, to which an equal volume of cold MeOH was immediately added. Samples were frozen at -20 °C overnight, then centrifuged (15 min, 3000 rpm), and the supernatant was analyzed with an Agilent 1200 HPLC system with LC-MS/MS detection. The half-life of a test compound was determined from the concentration-time plot. The intrinsic clearances were calculated from the half-life, together with additional parameters (liver blood flow, quantity of liver cells in vivo and in vitro). The hepatic in vivo blood clearance (CL) and the maximal oral bioavailability  $(F_{max})$  were calculated using the following parameters: liver blood flow, 4.2 L/h/kg rat; specific liver weight, 32 g/kg rat body weight; liver cells in vivo,  $1.1 \times 10^8$  cells/g liver; liver cells in vitro,  $0.5 \times 10^{6}$ /mL.

*Caco2 Drug Permeability Assay.* Caco-2 cells (purchased from DSMZ Braunschweig, Germany) were seeded at a density of  $4.5 \times 10^4$  cell per well on 24-well insert plates, 0.4  $\mu$ m pore size, and grown for 15 days in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

serum, 1% GlutaMAX (100×, GIBCO), 100  $\mu$ g/mL penicillin, 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin (GIBCO), and 1% nonessential amino acids (100×). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Medium was changed every second to third day. Before running the permeation assay, the culture medium was replaced by an FCS-free Hepes-carbonate transport buffer (pH 7.2). For assessment of monolayer integrity, the transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured. Test compounds were dissolved in DMSO and added either to the apical or basolateral compartment in a final concentration of 2  $\mu$ M. Before and after incubation at 37 °C, samples were taken from both compartments. Analysis of compound content was performed following precipitation with methanol and LC/MS/MS analysis. Permeability ( $P_{app}$ ) was calculated in the apical to basolateral (A  $\rightarrow$  B) and basolateral to apical (B  $\rightarrow$  A) directions. The apparent permeability was calculated using following equation:

$$P_{\rm app} = (V_{\rm r}/P_0)(1/S)(P_2/t)$$

where  $V_r$  = volume of medium in the receiver chamber,  $P_0$  = measured peak area of the test drug in the donor chamber at t = 0, S = surface area of the monolayer,  $P_2$  = measured peak area of the test drug in the acceptor chamber after incubation for 2 h, and t = incubation time. The efflux ratio basolateral (B) to apical (A) was calculated by dividing  $P_{\rm app}$  (B–A) by  $P_{\rm app}$  (A–B). In addition, the compound recovery was calculated. Reference compounds were analyzed in parallel as assay controls. All samples were analyzed by LC/MS/MS.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01890.

Additional figure and tables of PK and exposure data, selectivity data, and crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics (PDF) Molecular formula strings (CSV)

#### Accession Codes

The coordinates and structure factors for the described crystal structures have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank (PDB). The PDB accession codes are 5ARF (compound 6) and 5ARG (S)-4.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

\*For C.S.: phone, (+49)3046812866; E-mail, carlo. stresemann@bayer.com.

\*For T.S.: phone, (+49)3046815727; E-mail, timo.stellfeld@bayer.com.

#### Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): E.E., R.C.H, S.K., D.S., J.W., N.B., J.M., T.B., C.D.C, A.t.L., T.L., A.E.F.-M, V.B., H. W., I.V.H., T.S., and C.S. are or have been employees and stockholders of Bayer AG.The SGC is a registered charity (number 1097737) that receives funds from AbbVie, Bayer Pharma AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Canada Foundation for Innovation, Eshelman Institute for Innovation, Genome Canada, Innovative Medicines Initiative (EU/EFPIA) [ULTRA-DD grant no. 115766], Janssen, Merck & Co., Novartis Pharma AG, Ontario Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, Pfizer, So Paulo Research Foundation-FAPESP, Takeda, and the Wellcome Trust.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Peakdale Molecular Ltd. UK for excellent support in chemistry, Dr. Shelley Berger for providing p53 antibody, Beamline scientists at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin for

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

excellent facilities and support during data collection, moloX GmbH for crystallographic data collection, and Hanspeter Lauble for help with crystallographic refinement. The SGC is a registered charity (no. 1097737) that receives funds from AbbVie, Bayer Pharma AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Canada Foundation for Innovation, Eshelman Institute for Innovation, Genome Canada, Innovative Medicines Initiative (EU/EFPIA) [ULTRA-DD grant no. 115766], Janssen, Merck & Co., Novartis Pharma AG, Ontario Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, Pfizer, São Paulo Research Foundation-FAPESP, Takeda, and the Wellcome Trust.

### ABBREVIATIONS USED

SMYD2, SET and MYND domain containing 2, SET domain was first recognized as a conserved sequence in three Drosophila melanogaster proteins: a modifier of position-effect variegation, suppressor of variegation 3-9 (Su(var.)3-9) the Polycomb-group chromatin regulator Enhancer of zeste (E(z)), and the trithorax-group chromatin regulator trithorax (Trx); MYND domain, myeloid, Nervy, and DEAF-1; ESCC, esophageal squamous carcinoma; HSP90a, heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha 1; PARP1, poly(ADP-ribose)-polymerase 1; TSA, thermal shift assay; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; CCD, chargecoupled device; HEPES, (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; FCS, fetal calf serum; SAM, S-adenosyl-Lmethionine; PRMT5, protein arginine methyltransferase 5; G9A, euchromatic histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2; EHMT1, euchromatic histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 1; SUV39H1, suppressor of variegation 3-9 homologue 1; SUV39H2, suppressor of variegation 3-9 homologue 2; SETDB1, SET domain bifurcated 1; SETD7, SET domain containing (lysine methyltransferase) 7; SETD8, SET domain containing (lysine methyltransferase) 8; SUV420H1, suppressor of variegation 4-20 homologue 1; SUV420H2, suppressor of variegation 4-20 homologue 2; PRMT1, protein arginine methyltransferase 1; PRMT3, protein arginine methyltransferase 3; PRMT6, protein arginine methyltransferase 6; PRMT8, protein arginine methyltransferase 8; PRDM9, PR domain 9; SETD2, SET domain containing 2; SMYD3, SET and MYND domain containing 3; MLL1, lysine methyltransferase 2A; MLL3, lysine methyltransferase 2C; EZH2, enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit; DNMT1, DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase; BCDIN3D, BCDIN3 domain containing RNA methyltransferase; DNMT3A, DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha; DNMT3B, DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 beta; DNMT3L, DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3-like; ASH1L, ash1 (absent, small, or homeotic)-like; DOT1L, DOT1 like histone H3K79 methyltransferase; NSD1, nuclear receptor binding SET domain protein 1; NSD2, Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome candidate 1; NSD3, Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome candidate 1-like 1; METTL21A, methyltransferase like 21A; METTL21D, valosin containing protein lysine methyltransferase; T/C, treatment/control group

#### REFERENCES

(1) Zhang, X.; Huang, Y.; Shi, X. Emerging roles of lysine methylation on non-histone proteins. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2015**, *72*, 4257–4272.

(2) Komatsu, S.; Imoto, I.; Tsuda, H.; Kozaki, K.-i.; Muramatsu, T.; Shimada, Y.; Aiko, S.; Yoshizumi, Y.; Ichikawa, D.; Otsuji, E.; Inazawa, J. Overexpression of SMYD2 relates to tumor cell proliferation and malignant outcome of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Carcinogenesis 2009, 30, 1139-1146.

(3) Komatsu, S.; Ichikawa, D.; Hirajima, S.; Nagata, H.; Nishimura, Y.; Kawaguchi, T.; Miyamae, M.; Okajima, W.; Ohashi, T.; Konishi, H.; Shiozaki, A.; Fujiwara, H.; Okamoto, K.; Tsuda, H.; Imoto, I.; Inazawa, J.; Otsuji, E. Overexpression of SMYD2 contributes to malignant outcome in gastric cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2015, *112*, 357–364.
(4) Che, H. S.; Taugura, S.; Taugura, Y.; Magima, K.; Yamara, Y.;

(4) Cho, H.-S.; Hayami, S.; Toyokawa, G.; Maejima, K.; Yamane, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Dohmae, N.; Kogure, M.; Kang, D.; Neal, D. E.; Ponder, B. A. J.; Yamaue, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Hamamoto, R. RB1 methylation by SMYD2 enhances cell cycle progression through an increase of RB1 phosphorylation. *Neoplasia* **2012**, *14*, 476–486.

(5) Sakamoto, L. H.; Andrade, R. V.; Felipe, M. S.; Motoyama, A. B.; Pittella Silva, F. SMYD2 is highly expressed in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia and constitutes a bad prognostic factor. *Leuk. Res.* **2014**, *38*, 496–502.

(6) Pimkhaokham, A.; Shimada, Y.; Fukuda, Y.; Kurihara, N.; Imoto, I.; Yang, Z.-Q.; Imamura, M.; Nakamura, Y.; Amagasa, T.; Inazawa, J. Nonrandom chromosomal imbalances in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell lines: possible involvement of the *ATF3* and *CENPF* genes in the 1q32 amplicon. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.* **2000**, *91*, 1126–1133. (7) Brown, M. A.; Sims, R. J., 3rd; Gottlieb, P. D.; Tucker, P. W. Identification and characterization of Smyd2: a split SET/MYND domain-containing histone H3 lysine 36-specific methyltransferase that interacts with the Sin3 histone deacetylase complex. *Mol. Cancer* **2006**, *5*, 26.

(8) Abu-Farha, M.; Lambert, J.-P.; Al-Madhoun, A. S.; Elisma, F.; Skerjanc, I. S.; Figeys, D. The tale of two domains: proteomics and genomics analysis of SMYD2, a new histone methyltransferase. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2008**, *7*, 560–572.

(9) Hamamoto, R.; Saloura, V.; Nakamura, Y. Critical roles of nonhistone protein lysine methylation in human tumorigenesis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2015**, *15*, 110–124.

(10) Benayoun, B. A.; Veitia, R. A. A post-translational modification code for transcription factors: sorting through a sea of signals. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2009**, *19*, 189–197.

(11) Fan, J.-D.; Lei, P.-J.; Zheng, J.-Y.; Wang, X.; Li, S.; Liu, H.; He, Y.-L.; Wang, Z.-N.; Wei, G.; Zhang, X.; Li, L.-Y.; Wu, M. The selective activation of p53 target genes regulated by SMYD2 in BIX-01294 induced autophagy-related cell death. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10*, e0116782. (12) Ferguson, A. D.; Larsen, N. A.; Howard, T.; Pollard, H.; Green, I.; Grande, C.; Cheung, T.; Garcia-Arenas, R.; Cowen, S.; Wu, J.; Godin, R.; Chen, H.; Keen, N. Structural basis of substrate methylation and inhibition of SMYD2. *Structure* **2011**, *19*, 1262–1273.

(13) Huang, J.; Perez-Burgos, L.; Placek, B. J.; Sengupta, R.; Richter, M.; Dorsey, J. A.; Kubicek, S.; Opravil, S.; Jenuwein, T.; Berger, S. L. Repression of p53 activity by Smyd2-mediated methylation. *Nature* **2006**, 444, 629–632.

(14) Jiang, Y.; Sirinupong, N.; Brunzelle, J.; Yang, Z. Crystal structures of histone and p53 methyltransferase SmyD2 reveal a conformational flexibility of the autoinhibitory C-terminal domain. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6*, e21640.

(15) Sajjad, A.; Novoyatleva, T.; Vergarajauregui, S.; Troidl, C.; Schermuly, R. T.; Tucker, H. O.; Engel, F. B. Lysine methyltransferase Smyd2 suppresses p53-dependent cardiomyocyte apoptosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.* **2014**, *1843*, 2556–2562.

(16) Wang, L.; Li, L.; Zhang, H.; Luo, X.; Dai, J.; Zhou, S.; Gu, J.; Zhu, J.; Atadja, P.; Lu, C.; Li, E.; Zhao, K. Structure of human SMYD2 protein reveals the basis of p53 tumor suppressor methylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 38725–38737.

(17) Wu, J.; Cheung, T.; Grande, C.; Ferguson, A. D.; Zhu, X.; Theriault, K.; Code, E.; Birr, C.; Keen, N.; Chen, H. Biochemical characterization of human SET and MYND domain-containing protein 2 methyltransferase. *Biochemistry* **2011**, *50*, 6488–6497.

(18) Barros Filho, M. C.; Katayama, M. L. H.; Brentani, H.; Abreu, A. P. S.; Barbosa, E. M.; Oliveira, C. T.; Góes, J. C. S.; Brentani, M. M.; Folgueira, M. A. A. K. Gene trio signatures as molecular markers to predict response to doxorubicin cyclophosphamide neoadjuvant

chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 2010, 43, 1225-1231.

(19) Jiang, Y.; Trescott, L.; Holcomb, J.; Zhang, X.; Brunzelle, J.; Sirinupong, N.; Shi, X.; Yang, Z. Structural insights into estrogen receptor alpha methylation by histone methyltransferase SMYD2, a cellular event implicated in estrogen signaling regulation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2014**, 426, 3413–25.

(20) Zhang, X.; Tanaka, K.; Yan, J.; Li, J.; Peng, D.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, Z.; Barton, M. C.; Wen, H.; Shi, X. Regulation of estrogen receptor alpha by histone methyltransferase SMYD2-mediated protein methylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, 17284–9.

(21) Piao, L.; Kang, D.; Suzuki, T.; Masuda, A.; Dohmae, N.; Nakamura, Y.; Hamamoto, R. The histone methyltransferase SMYD2 methylates PARP1 and promotes poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation activity in cancer cells. *Neoplasia* **2014**, *16*, 257–264.

(22) Abu-Farha, M.; Lanouette, S.; Elisma, F.; Tremblay, V.; Butson, J.; Figeys, D.; Couture, J.-F. Proteomic analyses of the SMYD family interactomes identify HSP90 as a novel target for SMYD2. *J. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2011**, *3*, 301–308.

(23) Hamamoto, R.; Toyokawa, G.; Nakakido, M.; Ueda, K.; Nakamura, Y. SMYD2-dependent HSP90 methylation promotes cancer cell proliferation by regulating the chaperone complex formation. *Cancer Lett.* **2014**, *351*, 126–133.

(24) Donlin, L. T.; Andresen, C.; Just, S.; Rudensky, E.; Pappas, C. T.; Kruger, M.; Jacobs, E. Y.; Unger, A.; Zieseniss, A.; Dobenecker, M.-W.; Voelkel, T.; Chait, B. T.; Gregorio, C. C.; Rottbauer, W.; Tarakhovsky, A.; Linke, W. A. Smyd2 controls cytoplasmic lysine methylation of Hsp90 and myofilament organization. *Genes Dev.* **2012**, 26, 114–119.

(25) Voelkel, T.; Andresen, C.; Unger, A.; Just, S.; Rottbauer, W.; Linke, W. A. Lysine methyltransferase Smyd2 regulates Hsp90mediated protection of the sarcomeric titin springs and cardiac function. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.* **2013**, *1833*, 812–822.

(26) Bunnage, M. E.; Piatnitski Chekler, E. L.; Jones, L. H. Target validation using chemical probes. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, 195–199. (27) Arrowsmith, C. H.; Audia, J. E.; Austin, C.; Baell, J.; Bennett, J.; Blagg, J.; Bountra, C.; Brennan, P. E.; Brown, P. J.; Bunnage, M. E.; Buser-Doepner, C.; Campbell, R. M.; Carter, A. J.; Cohen, P.; Copeland, R. A.; Cravatt, B.; Dahlin, J. L.; Dhanak, D.; Edwards, A. M.; Frederiksen, M.; Frye, S. V.; Gray, N.; Grimshaw, C. E.; Hepworth, D.; Howe, T.; Huber, K. V. M; Jin, J.; Knapp, S.; Kotz, J. D.; Kruger, R. G.; Lowe, D.; Mader, M. M.; Marsden, B.; Mueller-Fahrnow, A.; Müller, S.; O'Hagan, R. C.; Overington, J. P.; Owen, D. R.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Ross, R.; Roth, B.; Schapira, M.; Schreiber, S. L.; Shoichet, B.; Sundström, M.; Superti-Furga, G.; Taunton, J.; Toledo-Sherman, L.; Walpole, C.; Walters, M. A.; Willson, T. M.; Workman, P.; Young, R. N.; Zuercher, W. J. The promise and peril of chemical probes. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *11*, 536–541.

(28) Nguyen, H.; Allali-Hassani, A.; Antonysamy, S.; Chang, S.; Chen, L. H.; Curtis, C.; Emtage, S.; Fan, L.; Gheyi, T.; Li, F.; Liu, S.; Martin, J. R.; Mendel, D.; Olsen, J. B.; Pelletier, L.; Shatseva, T.; Wu, S.; Zhang, F. F.; Arrowsmith, C. H.; Brown, P. J.; Campbell, R. M.; Garcia, B. A.; Barsyte-Lovejoy, D.; Mader, M.; Vedadi, M. LLY-507, a cell-active, potent, and selective inhibitor of protein-lysine methyltransferase SMYD2. J. Biol. Chem. **2015**, 290, 13641–13653.

(29) Sweis, R. F.; Wang, Z.; Algire, M.; Arrowsmith, C. H.; Brown, P. J.; Chiang, G. G.; Guo, J.; Jakob, C. G.; Kennedy, S.; Li, F.; Maag, D.; Shaw, B.; Soni, N. B.; Vedadi, M.; Pappano, W. N. Discovery of A-893, a new cell-active benzoxazinone inhibitor of lysine methyltransferase SMYD2. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 695–700.

(30) BAY-598 A selective chemical probe for SMYD2; Structural Genomics Consortium: Toronto; http://www.thesgc.org/chemical-probes/BAY-598 (accessed Mar 9, 2016).

(31) Reynoird, N.; Mazur, P. K.; Stellfeld, T.; Flores, N. M.; Lofgren, S. M.; Carlson, S. M.; Brambilla, E.; Hainaut, P.; Kaznowska, E. B.; Arrowsmith, C. H.; Khatri, P.; Stresemann, C.; Gozani, O.; Sage, J. Coordination of stress signals by the lysine methyltransferase SMYD2 promotes pancreatic cancer. *Genes Dev.* **2016**, *30*, 772–785.

(32) Hieda, Y.; Tsukita, S.; Tsukita, S. A new high molecular mass protein showing unique localization in desmosomal plaque. *J. Cell Biol.* **1989**, *109*, 1511–1518.

(33) Tarcsay, A.; Keserű, G. M. Is there a link between selectivity and binding thermodynamics profiles? *Drug Discovery Today* **2015**, *20*, 86–94.

(34) Ladbury, J. E.; Klebe, G.; Freire, E. Adding calorimetric data to decision making in lead discovery: a hot tip. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2010**, *9*, 23–27.

(35) Macfarlane, S. R.; Seatter, M. J.; Kanke, T.; Hunter, G. D.; Plevin, R. Proteinase-activated receptors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2001**, *53*, 245–282.

(36) Leger, A. J.; Covic, L.; Kuliopulos, A. Protease-activated receptors in cardiovascular diseases. *Circulation* **2006**, *114*, 1070–1077.

(37) Allerheiligen, S.; Brohm, D.; Diedrichs, N.; Hübsch, W.; Fröhlen, B.-N.; Gerdes, C.; Gnoth, M. J.; Perzborn, E.; Vöhringer, V. (Bayer HeathCare AG) Cyanoguanidine-substituted pyrazolines. WO2006072350, 2006.

(38) Abad-Zapatero, C.; Metz, J. T. Ligand efficiency indices as guideposts for drug discovery. *Drug Discovery Today* **2005**, *10*, 464–469.

(39) Schrödinger Suite 2012 Update 2, WaterMap, version 1.4.518; Schrödinger LLC: New York, 2012.

(40) Young, T.; Abel, R.; Kim, B.; Berne, B. J.; Friesner, R. A. Motifs for molecular recognition exploiting hydrophobic enclosure in proteinligand binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*, 808–813.

(41) Cheng, Y.-C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition constant (K1) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50% inhibition (I50) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1973**, *22*, 3099–3108.

(42) Copeland, R. A. Mechanistic considerations in high-throughput screening. *Anal. Biochem.* **2003**, 320, 1–12.

(43) Chan-Penebre, E.; Kuplast, K. G.; Majer, C. R.; Boriack-Sjodin, P. A.; Wigle, T. J.; Johnston, L. D.; Rioux, N.; Munchhof, M. J.; Jin, L.; Jacques, S. L.; West, K. A.; Lingaraj, T.; Stickland, K.; Ribich, S. A.; Raimondi, A.; Scott, M. P.; Waters, N. J.; Pollock, R. M.; Smith, J. J.; Barbash, O.; Pappalardi, M.; Ho, T. F.; Nurse, K.; Oza, K. P.; Gallagher, K. T.; Kruger, R.; Moyer, M. P.; Copeland, R. A.; Chesworth, R.; Duncan, K. W. A selective inhibitor of PRMT5 with in vivo and in vitro potency in MCL models. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2015, *11*, 432–437.

(44) Fabian, M. A.; Biggs, W. H., 3rd; Treiber, D. K.; Atteridge, C. E.; Azimioara, M. D.; Benedetti, M. G.; Carter, T. A.; Ciceri, P.; Edeen, P. T.; Floyd, M.; Ford, J. M.; Galvin, M.; Gerlach, J. L.; Grotzfeld, R. M.; Herrgard, S.; Insko, D. E.; Insko, M. A.; Lai, A. G.; Lelias, J. M.; Mehta, S. A.; Milanov, Z. V.; Velasco, A. M.; Wodicka, L. M.; Patel, H. K.; Zarrinkar, P. P.; Lockhart, D. J. A small molecule-kinase interaction map for clinical kinase inhibitors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *23*, 329–336. (45) Olsen, J. B.; Cao, X. J.; Han, B.; Chen, L. H.; Horvath, A.; Richardson, T. I.; Campbell, R. M.; Garcia, B. A.; Nguyen, H. Quantitative profiling of the activity of protein lysine methyltransferase SMYD2 using SILAC-based proteomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2016**, *15*, 892–905.

(46) Yang, J.; Copeland, R. A.; Lai, Z. Defining balanced conditions for inhibitor screening assays that target bisubstrate enzymes. *J. Biomol. Screening* **2009**, *14*, 111–120.

(47) Eram, M. S.; Kuznetsova, E.; Li, F.; Lima-Fernandes, E.; Kennedy, S.; Chau, I.; Arrowsmith, C. H.; Schapira, M.; Vedadi, M. Kinetic characterization of human histone H3 lysine 36 methyl-transferases, ASH1L and SETD2. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **2015**, *1850*, 1842–1848.

(48) Kabsch, W. Xds. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2010, 66, 125–132.

(49) McCoy, A. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; Adams, P. D.; Winn, M. D.; Storoni, L. C.; Read, R. J. Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2007**, *40*, 658–674.

(50) Winn, M. D.; Ballard, C. C.; Cowtan, K. D.; Dodson, E. J.; Emsley, P.; Evans, P. R.; Keegan, R. M.; Krissinel, E. B.; Leslie, A. G.;

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

McCoy, A.; McNicholas, S. J.; Murshudov, G. N.; Pannu, N. S.; Potterton, E. A.; Powell, H. R.; Read, R. J.; Vagin, A.; Wilson, K. S. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2011**, *67*, 235–242.

(51) Murshudov, G. N.; Vagin, A. A.; Dodson, E. J. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **1997**, *53*, 240–255.

(52) Schuttelkopf, A. W.; van Aalten, D. M. PRODRG: a tool for high-throughput crystallography of protein-ligand complexes. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2004**, *60*, 1355–1363.

(53) Emsley, P.; Lohkamp, B.; Scott, W. G.; Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* 2010, 66, 486–501.

(54) Epik, version 2.3.518; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, 2012.

(55) Impact, version 5.8.518; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, 2012.

(56) Prime, version 3.1.518; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, 2012.

(57) Schrödinger Suite 2012 Update 2, Protein Preparation Wizard; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, 2012.

(58) Jorgensen, W. L.; Tirado-Rives, J. The OPLS [optimized potentials for liquid simulations] potential functions for proteins, energy minimizations for crystals of cyclic peptides and crambin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1657–1666.

(59) Jorgensen, W. L.; Maxwell, D. S.; Tirado-Rives, J. Development and testing of the OPLS all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11225–11236.

(60) Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. J. Chem. Phys. **1983**, 79, 926–935.

(61) Lazaridis, T. Inhomogeneous fluid approach to solvation thermodynamics. 1. Theory. J. Phys. Chem. B **1998**, 102, 3531–3541.