### 1601. Evaluation of Synergy with β-Lactams Plus Aztreonam Against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PSA)

J Nicholas O'Donnell, PharmD, MSc1;

Donia Alnasser, PharmD Candidate<sup>2</sup>; Brittney L. Maring, BS Biology<sup>2</sup>;

Thomas Lodise, PharmD, PhD<sup>2</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Albany College of Pharmacy, Albany, New York; <sup>2</sup>Albany College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Albany, New York

Session: 162. PK/PD and Susceptibility Testing

Friday, October 4, 2019: 12:15 PM

**Background.** Combination therapy is often employed in the treatment of PSA infections. Agents commonly used in combination with  $\beta$ -lactams include aminogly-cosides, polymyxins, and fluoroquinolones but are limited by resistance and toxicity concerns. The use of dual  $\beta$ -lactam therapy is an emerging area of interest for the treatment of patients with resistant Gram-negative pathogens. This study evaluated synergy between  $\beta$ -lactam agents and aztreonam (ATM) against PSA isolates with varying degrees of susceptibility.

*Methods.* 4 PSA clinical isolates were collected from Albany Medical Center; 1 ATCC isolate was used (Table 1). Synergy with cefepime (FEP), meropenem (MER), and ceftazidime (CAZ), each in combination with ATM, was assessed using fractional inhibitory concentration index determined by checkerboard method. Synergistic combinations were tested in 24-hour time-kill, utilizing minimum and steady-state physicological concentrations ( $C_{min}$  and  $C_{sy}$ ). Tested bacteria were grown to late log phase, diluted to  $1 \times 10^6$  cfu/mL and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Samples were drawn at 0, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours. Synergy in time-kill was defined as  $\geq 2 \log_{10}$  cfu/mL kill greater than the most active individual agent at 24 hours.

**Results.** In checkerboard studies, combinations with ATM resulted in 80% synergy with FEP and 60% synergy with MER or CAZ combinations. ATM/MER and ATM/CAZ time-kill experiments resulted in indifference for most organisms and concentrations tested. For both single and combination regimens, initial killing was observed but varying degrees of regrowth occurred by 24 hours. The only strain with no regrowth at 24 hours was AMC-PSA2 (bactericidal activity and no regrowth at 24 hours observed for MER C<sub>ss</sub>, and MER+ATM C<sub>ss</sub>). Against AMC-PSA2, CAZ+ATM at C<sub>min</sub> was synergistic with limited regrowth observed.

**Conclusion.** Magainst PSA, tested  $\beta$ -lactam combinations with ATM resulted in lack of synergy in time-kill experiments, despite checkerboard results. Due to the extent of regrowth observed with nearly all single agent and combination regimens, testing of alternative combinations, including those that evade common resistance mechanisms such as efflux pumps or  $\beta$ -lactamases, and studies of dynamic concentrations are warranted.

#### Table 1. Isolate MICs (mg/L) and Checkerboard Results

|             | MER MIC | CAZ MIC | FEP MIC | ATM MIC | MER/ATM<br>MIC | caz/atm<br>Mic | FEP/ATM<br>MIC |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|             | 0.5     | 2       | 4       | 4       | 0.03/1         | 0.125/1        | 0.5/1          |
| AIVIC-1 JAZ | 0.5     | 2       | -       | -       | (S)            | (S)            | (S)            |
| ANAC DEAT   | 16      | 4       | 4       | 16      | 4/2            | 1/2            | 1/4            |
| AIVIC-PSA7  | 10      | 4       | 4       | 10      | (S)            | (S)            | (S)            |
|             | 10      | 2       |         | 0       | 4/8            | 1/8            | 4/8            |
| AIVIC-PSA9  | 10      | 2       | 0       | 0       | (1)            | (1)            | (I)            |
|             | 4       | 22      | 2       | 10      | 0.125/1        | 0.5/1          | 0.5/4          |
| AIVIC-PSA10 | 4       | 52      | 2       | тр      | (S)            | (S)            | (S)            |
| ATCC 27052  | 1       | 2       | 2       | 4       | 0.125/4        | 0.5/2          | 0.5/0.5        |
| ATCC 27853  |         |         |         |         | (I)            | (I)            | (S)            |

S: Synergy; I: Indifference; A: Antagonism

### Figure 1. Time-kill curves for MER+ATM and CAZ+ATM against AMC-PSA2



### Figure 2. Time-kill curves for MER+ATM and CAZ+ATM against AMC-PSA10



Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

# 1602. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Clinical *Escherichia coli* Urinary Isolates by Outpatient Practice Type

Lauren Frisbie, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Scott Weissman, MD<sup>2</sup>;

Hema Kapoor, MD; D(ABMM)<sup>3</sup>; Marisa A. D'Angeli, MD, MPH<sup>4</sup>;

Ann Salm, M (ASCP), MSc, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Peter Rabinowitz, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; <sup>2</sup>Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington; <sup>3</sup>Quest Diagnostics, Secaucus, New Jersey; <sup>4</sup>Washington State Department of Health, Shoreline, Washington

## Session: 162. PK/PD and Susceptibility Testing *Friday, October 4, 2019: 12:15 PM*

**Background.** Antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* (EC) infections represent a major cause of morbidity and mortality, and pose a challenge to antibiotic stewardship. At present, clinicians in outpatient facilities may not have access to local antibiogram data to guide stewardship. Additionally, antibiotic resistance may vary between types of outpatient practices.

**Methods.** Using the database of a major clinical reference lab, this study analyzed several years of antibiotic susceptibility results for outpatient urinary EC isolates from Washington State. We compared rates of resistance to antibiotics between different types of outpatient practices, categorized using a modification of published ambulatory practice categories. Logistic regression was used to examine the association of outpatient practice type with antibiotic resistance, controlling year, sex, and age.

**Results.** After adjusting for year, sex, and age, logistic regression found significantly higher odds of resistance in urology compared with the reference groups of general family practice for ampicillin (OR 1.35), ciprofloxacin (OR 2.27), trimethoprim-sulfa (OR 1.51) and gentamicin (OR 1.73). We also saw increased odds of resistance to ciprofloxacin in patients from an oncology clinic (OR 1.56) as well as patients from "All other specialties" (OR 1.37). A lower odds of resistance was found in OBGYN clinics for ampicillin (OR 0.86), trimethoprim-sulfa (0.81) while a greater odds or resistance in OBGYN clinics was found for nitrofurantoin (OR 1.36).

**Conclusion.** Antibiotic resistance in EC urinary isolates can vary across types of outpatient practices according to clinical practice type. This may reflect differences in patient morbidity and/or differences in antibiotic stewardship practices and deserves further investigation. Patients with recurrent cases of resistant UTIs are generally referred to a urologist, and this was reflected in our data as there a higher odds of resistance was found in urology clinics. Similarly, we found higher odds of resistance into nitrofurantoin, a commonly prescribed antibiotic for UTIs in pregnant women, in OBGYN clinics that may reflect prescribing practices. Use of clinical data to create facility and specialty-specific antibiograms in outpatient settings may enable improved and "precise" antibiotic stewardship.

Table 1. Clinical specialty and age category

|                           | Age category (n) |           |         |       |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Clinical specialty        | 0-18 yrs         | 19-50 yrs | >50 yrs | Total |  |  |  |  |
| General family practice   | 1275             | 7852      | 8125    | 17252 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal medicine         | 16               | 374       | 1570    | 1960  |  |  |  |  |
| Pediatrics                | 807              | 70        | 1       | 878   |  |  |  |  |
| Obstetrics and gynecology | 83               | 1524      | 507     | 2114  |  |  |  |  |
| Urology                   | 2                | 54        | 301     | 357   |  |  |  |  |
| Oncology                  | 0                | 22        | 202     | 224   |  |  |  |  |
| All other                 | 62               | 664       | 704     | 1430  |  |  |  |  |
| All clinical specialties  | 2245             | 10560     | 11410   | 24215 |  |  |  |  |

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

**1603.** Observation of Treatment Outcomes During an Outbreak of Multidrug-Resistant *Shigella sonnei* Infections in a Retirement Community—Vermont, 2018 Radhika Gharpure, DVM, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Louise Francois Watkins, MD, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Louise Francois Watkins, MD, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Veronica Fialkowski, MPH<sup>3</sup>; Jennifer P. Collins, MD, MSc<sup>4</sup>; Jonathan Strysko, MD<sup>4</sup>;

Zachary A. Marsh, MPH<sup>4</sup>; Kaitlin A. Tagg, PhD<sup>4</sup>;

Elizabeth H. Meservey, RM(NRCM)<sup>5</sup>; Azizat Adediran, BS<sup>1</sup>;

Morgan N. Schroeder, MPH<sup>4</sup>; Ashutosh Wadhwa, PhD<sup>4</sup>;

Kathleen E. Fullerton, MPH<sup>6</sup>; Cindy R. Friedman, MD<sup>7</sup>; Cindy R. Friedman, MD<sup>7</sup>; <sup>1</sup>US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>3</sup>Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>3</sup>Vermont Department of Health, Burlington, Vermont; <sup>4</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>5</sup>CDC/ WDS, Inc., Brookhaven, Georgia; <sup>6</sup>Waterbore Disease Prevention Branch, Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>7</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

### Session: 163. Public Health

Friday, October 4, 2019: 12:15 PM

**Background.** In 2018, CDC and the Vermont Department of Health investigated an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Shigella sonnei* infections in a retirement community. Most *Shigella* infections are self-limited, but antibiotics are indicated for severe illness and sometimes to limit transmission. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute has not yet established breakpoints for azithromycin, so laboratories cannot report resistance. Although breakpoints exist for ciprofloxacin, isolates with one fluoroquinolone resistance mechanism typically have minimum inhibitory concentrations within the susceptible range ( $\leq 0.25 \,\mu$ g/mL).

**Methods.** We reviewed charts for treatment outcomes of outbreak patients to evaluate clinical and microbiologic response. We defined clinical failure as  $\geq 3$  loose stools per day for  $\geq 1$  day after completion of antibiotics and microbiologic failure as a positive stool culture after completion of antibiotics. We used broth microdilution to