

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Associations of psychosocial factors with pregnancy healthy life styles

Shabnam Omidvar¹*, Mahbobeh Faramarzi²*, Karimallah Hajian-Tilak³‡, Fatemeh Nasiri Amiri⁴‡

1 Infertility and Reproductive Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran, **2** Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran, **3** Cancer Research Center, Health Research Institute, Biostatistics & Epidemiology Department, Babol University of Medical Science, Babol, Iran, **4** Cellular and Molecular Biology Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

* mahbob330@yahoo.com



Abstract

Healthy behaviors in pregnant women have a major effect on pregnancy outcomes; however, only few studies have explored the relationship of multiple psychosocial factors with healthy lifestyles during pregnancy. The objective of this study was to investigate whether the five psychosocial factors of anxiety, stress, depression, marital dissatisfaction, and social support are associated with six domains of healthy lifestyles in pregnant women, including nutrition, physical activity, health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization. In this cross-sectional study, 445 pregnant women from the obstetrics clinics of the teaching hospitals of Babol University of Medical Sciences were included. The subjects answered six questionnaires, including the Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile, Beck Depression Inventory, Prenatal Distress Questionnaire, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, Social Support Questionnaire, and Marital Satisfaction Scale. We developed a series of simple linear regression models based on each subscale of lifestyle (nutrition, physical activity, health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization) as the dependent variables and the five psychological variables (anxiety, stress, depression, marital dissatisfaction, and social support) as the independent variables. State and trait anxieties were the strongest negative predictors of all aspects of a healthy lifestyle. Furthermore, depression was negatively associated with all of the six subscales of a healthy lifestyle. Pregnancy-specific stress was the only negative predictor of stress management and self-actualization. Marital dissatisfaction was negatively associated with nutrition, stress management, health responsibility, and self-actualization. Social support had negative and positive associations with healthy behaviors. The study suggests that more attention should be paid to identifying the psychological risk factors in pregnancy in addition to providing suitable interventions for improving the lifestyle of pregnant women.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Omidvar S, Faramarzi M, Hajian-Tilak K, Nasiri Amiri F (2018) Associations of psychosocial factors with pregnancy healthy life styles. PLoS ONE 13(1): e0191723. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191723>

Editor: Sabine Rohrmann, University of Zurich, SWITZERLAND

Received: October 18, 2017

Accepted: January 10, 2018

Published: January 25, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Omidvar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The Babol University Medical Sciences has restricted data sharing because the data contains identifying information. To request data access, please email Dr. Reza Ghadimi at hribabol@gmail.com.

Funding: Babol University of Medical Sciences funded the project.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Lifestyle is a popular concept that is often used to describe the choices people make with regard to their consumption patterns. In the context of health, these choices involve diet, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and other health-related habits. [1]. Healthy lifestyles are patterns of self-initiated behaviors and perceptions that serve to maintain or enhance the level of well-being of individuals. These behaviors consist of six domains: nutrition, physical activity, health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization [2]. In 1995, Deluca and Lobel defined healthy and unhealthy behaviors during pregnancy by using four domains: nutrition, exercise, smoking, and substance abuse [3].

Unhealthy behaviors and lifestyles are two major causes of death worldwide [2,4]. The healthy behaviors of pregnant women affect their pregnancy outcomes. Pregnant women who are overweight or obese (body mass index >26 kg/m²) or women with higher weight gains during pregnancy are at a higher risk for unfavorable birth outcomes, such as pregnancy hypertension, high-birth-weight baby, preeclampsia, and emergency cesarean delivery [5]. Maternal smoking is associated with higher rates of abnormal fetal heart rate tracings during labor and higher rates of low-birth-weight babies [6]. Maconchi et al. reported that intake of supplements and eating fresh vegetables daily were risk factors for spontaneous abortion during pregnancy [7]. Furthermore, studies have shown that both stress and stress management are important factors affecting pregnancy outcomes [8–11].

The role of psychosocial factors in the healthy behaviors of pregnant women is a major issue. There is evidence supporting the presence of a relationship between healthy behaviors of pregnant women and their psychological factors. Previous studies revealed some psychological factors such as depression; stress, social support, and marital satisfaction are related to healthy behaviors in pregnant women. Depression and stress are major contributors to the healthy behaviors of pregnant women, especially in terms of physical activity, nutrition, and weight gain during pregnancy. A study reported that pregnant women with depression tend to have adverse pregnancy habits, which, in turn, have adverse effects on the outcome of pregnancy [12]. Another study emphasized that depression leads to inappropriate nutritional behaviors and low consumption of fruits [13]. There is a relationship between psychosocial distress and diet. Previous studies have shown that psychological disturbance prevents the consumption of vegetables and fruits [14,15]. Another study reported that high stress levels during pregnancy cause weight increase in pregnant women [16]. There is a correlation between body mass index and depression, which is related to weight gain during pregnancy [17]. Kim and Lee reported that women with low stress levels during pregnancy engage in more regular exercises than pregnant women with high stress levels [18]. Another study confirmed that pregnant women with lower physical activity levels had higher anxiety symptoms [19]. Social support is another important factor affecting the lifestyle of pregnant women. It is a concept that encompasses a wide range of support systems for the emotional, device, information, and evaluation aspects [20]. A review article has reported that social support has a major role in changing lifestyle managements [21]. Increasing or decreasing weight during pregnancy is associated with psychosocial factors such as pregnancy stress and social support [22]. Moreover, social support is a protective factor against pregnancy stress [23]. Findings suggest a possible relationship between marital satisfaction and lifestyle. Pregnant women who have a higher marital satisfaction have healthier and more desirable diets, and less depressive and psychological problems [24]. A weak marital relationship is the most stable predictor of anxiety and other health issues during pregnancy [25]. In addition, marital satisfaction affects the severity of depression symptoms in pregnant women [26]. Also, some previous studies have emphasized the role of some

demographic factors, such as age, education, economic status, and marital status, in healthy lifestyles [21,27]

Although previous studies revealed that some psychological factors were associated with related to healthy behaviors [12–27], only few studies have explored the relationship of multiple psychosocial factors with the healthy lifestyles of pregnant women. The present study aimed to address the existing gap in the healthy lifestyle literature based on testing a model that examines the roles of five psychosocial variables on the prediction of six subscales of healthy lifestyles in pregnant women. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to use the five psychosocial variables of anxiety, stress, depression, marital dissatisfaction, and social support to association with six domains of healthy lifestyles of pregnant women, including nutrition, physical activity, health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in four public health centers and two teaching hospitals of Babol University of Medical Sciences between January 2016 and April 2017. Singleton pregnant women who were older than 18 years, had at least 5 years of education, were willing to participate in the study, and self-reported being healthy and at a low risk of developing pregnancy complications were invited to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were pregnancy complications (such as hypertension, diabetes, preterm labor, and maternal hemorrhage), organ defect (vision or motor), severely limited physical activity, infertility, severe medical problems during pregnancy (asthma, renal problems, thyroid problems, diabetes, preeclampsia, and intrauterine abnormalities), drug abuse and alcohol addiction, and severe psychiatric disorders (bipolar disorder, psychotic disorder, and psychiatric disorders under psychiatric treatment).

Availability sampling was utilized to recruit the pregnant women. We invited 500 women to participate in the study. Of the 445 eligible women who provided informed consent for study participation, 37 women were in early pregnancy (≤ 13 weeks), 200 women were in mid-pregnancy (14–26 weeks), and 208 women were in late pregnancy (27–42 weeks). Four midwives were available throughout the study to answer any possible queries. The midwives conducted an interview with the women, and obtained their medical and obstetric histories to collect demographic data and assess their obstetric risks and suitability according to the inclusion criteria. Furthermore, the midwives provided the women with a brief explanation about the purpose of the study and on how to fill in the questionnaires. The participants completed six questionnaires during their antenatal care appointments. The questionnaires included the Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile (HPLP II), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), Prenatal Distress Questionnaire (PDQ), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Marital Satisfaction Scale (MSS), and Social Support Questionnaire (SSQ). Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Medical Ethics Committee of Babol University of Medical Sciences.

Statistical analysis

Data for the descriptive analysis of all variables were presented as percentages, means, and standard deviations. Significant differences among the mean scores of variables in the three time points of pregnancy were assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Correlations among continuous variables were calculated using Pearson's test. To determine the final predictors of the six subscales of lifestyle, six psychological variables were included in two series of linear regression analyses with non-adjusted and adjusted models. We developed a series of simple linear regression models based on each subscale of lifestyle (nutrition, physical activity,

health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization) as the dependent variables and the five psychological variables (anxiety, stress, depression, marital dissatisfaction, and social support) as the independent variables in the two-series (non-adjusted regression and adjusted regression) analysis. Age, education, and gestational age as the controlling variables were included in all the adjusted regression models. The adjusted and non-adjusted regression models were acquired from the estimated coefficients and presented with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. A p -value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Measurement

HPLP II. This questionnaire was designed by Walker *et al.* and contains 52 questions with responses graded on a four-part Likert scale from 1 to 4 (never, 1; sometimes, 2; often, 3; and always, 4). The scores range from 52 to 208. The healthy behaviors consist of only six domains: nutrition, physical activity, health responsibility, stress management, interpersonal relationships, and self-actualization [2]. We used the validated Persian HPLP-11 version [28].

PDQ. The PDQ was designed by Yali and Lobel in 1999 to assess pregnancy-specific stress. It consists of 12 items. The responses to the PDQ are graded on a three-point scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (extremely). This questionnaire has a good face validity, concurrent validity, and internal consistency. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was reported to be 0.81 in the second trimester of pregnancy [29]. We used the validated Persian PDQ version [30].

SSQ. This scale was developed by Fleming *et al.* in 1982 and consists of 25 items and five subscales (social support from friends, family, neighbors, and public, and opinion about such a support) [31]. The validity of the Persian SSQ was reported as 0.68 (Cronbach's alpha level) [32].

STAI. Spielberger developed the STAI in 1983. This questionnaire assesses two types of anxiety: state anxiety (anxiety about an event) and trait anxiety. Subjects respond on the basis of a four-point Likert scale. The scores range from 20 to 80, with higher scores indicating greater anxieties [33]. We used the validated Persian STAI version in this study [34].

BDI II. This questionnaire consists of 21 questions. Each item score ranges from 0 to 3. The total scores range from 0 to 63. Higher scores indicate more severe depression symptoms [35].

Marital dissatisfaction. We used the short form of the MSS developed by Mehrabian in 1998 to assess marital dissatisfaction. This scale consists of 14 questions involving two factors: marital satisfaction and marital dissatisfaction. The factorial structure of the MSS confirmed the validity with an internal consistency of 0.696 (Cronbach's alpha level) and two factors. In this study, we used seven questions related to marital dissatisfaction correlation [36]. We used the validated Persian version of the scale [37].

Results

Study population

Demographic characteristics of the pregnant women are shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the comparison of the mean scores of the subscales of lifestyles based on the gestational age. The ANOVA test revealed that there was no significant difference among the mean scores of the three groups of pregnant women based on three time points (early, mid, and late pregnancy).

Correlation coefficient between healthy life styles and psychosocial variables are shown in Table 3. Table 4 shows the results of the series of regression analyses.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the population study.

Variables	
Age (years)	
≤20	21 (4.7)
21–30	338 (75.8)
≥31	87 (19.5)
Level of the education	
Primary/High school	322 (52.7)
University	211 (47.8)
Job of the women	
Unemployed	399 (89.5)
Employed	47 (10.5)
The number of the children	
0	319 (71.5)
1	106 (23.8)
≥2	21 (4.7)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191723.t001>

Associations of nutrition

State anxiety was the strongest negative independent variable associated with healthy nutrition of the pregnant women ($\beta = -0.473, p < 0.001$) of healthy nutrition in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Furthermore, trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.319, p < 0.001$), marital dissatisfaction ($\beta = -0.305, p < 0.001$), and social support ($\beta = -0.188, p < 0.001$) were significant associated negatively with healthy nutrition in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Depression was associated negatively with healthy nutrition in pregnant women in the adjusted regression mode ($\beta = -0.177, p = 0.001$).

Table 2. The mean scores of the life style and psychosocial factors of pregnant women in early, mid, and late pregnancy.

Variables	Early pregnancy	Mid pregnancy	Late pregnancy	P-value	Total population
Life styles					
Nutrition	2.71(0.55)	2.70 (0.48)	2.78 (0.46)	0.159	2.75 (0.47)
Physical activity	2.15 (0.66)	2.09 (0.50)	2.02 (0.55)	0.489	2.06 (0.55)
Health responsibility	2.55 (0.66)	2.55 (0.51)	2.57 (0.52)	0.731	2.56 (0.52)
Stress management	2.46 (0.60)	2.38 (0.42)	2.41 (0.45)	0.690	2.40 (0.45)
Interpersonal relationship	2.72 (0.61)	2.65 (0.48)	2.68 (0.48)	0.774	2.67 (0.51)
Self-actualization	2.69 (0.60)	2.59 (0.48)	2.71 (0.51)	0.116	2.67 (0.51)
Anxiety					
Stat-anxiety	41.59 (11.83)	44.02 (10.16)	41.93 (10.91)	0.175	42.59 (10.77)
Trait-anxiety	39.24 (10.42)	41.76 (10.21)	40.30 (11.24)	0.422	40.69 (10.85)
Pregnancy Specific stress	17.65 (9.73)	16.49 (8.01)	16.14 (8.32)	0.564	16.39 (8.34)
Depression	2.70 (2.47)	3.18 (4.56)	3.77 (4.62)	0.233	3.48 (4.46)
Social support					
Friends	2.08 (1.13)	2.16 (1.07)	2.12 (1.06)	0.865	2.13 (1.07)
Family	5.56 (1.96)	5.82 (1.41)	5.87 (1.56)	0.591	5.83 (1.55)
Neighbors	1.81 (1.34)	1.90 (1.50)	1.68 (1.38)	0.436	1.76 (1.42)
Public	3.11 (1.48)	2.69 (1.33)	2.61 (1.28)	0.179	2.68 (1.32)
Opinion about the support	3.11 (1.48)	2.69 (1.33)	2.61 (1.28)	0.004	2.68 (1.32)
Marital dissatisfaction	9.70 (4.22)	10.22 (3.90)	9.26 (3.82)	0.041	9.62 (3.90)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191723.t002>

Table 3. Correlation coefficient between healthy life styles and psychosocial variables.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. Nutrition												
2. Stress management	.399**											
3. Interpersonal Relationship	.629**	.538**										
4. Physical activity	.204**	.584**	.236**									
5. Self-actualization	.584**	.642**	.755**	.357**								
6. Health responsibility	.627**	.549**	.688**	.459**	.665**							
7. Total life style	.749**	.784**	.833**	.553**	.852**	.854**						
8. Pregnancy Specific stress	-.108*	-.085	-.071	.002	-.194**	-.056	-.142*					
9. Marital dissatisfaction	-.327**	-.284**	-.431**	-.043	-.485**	-.302**	-.432**	.121*				
10. Social support	-.209**	.054	-.179**	.185**	-.113*	-.093	-.076	.054	.198**			
11. Stat-anxiety	-.168**	-.492**	-.576**	-.161**	-.690**	-.436**	-.634**	.288**	.480**	.174**		
12. Trait-anxiety	-.068	-.315**	-.389**	-.068	-.516**	-.303**	-.432**	.395**	.469**	.196**	.658**	
13. Depression	-.310**	-.213**	.056	-.310**	-.097	-.040	-.063	.197**	.024	-.219**	.195**	.273**

**P-value<0.01,

*P-value<0.05

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191723.t003>

Pregnancy specific-stress was not associated significantly with healthy nutrition in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Associations of stress management

State anxiety was the strongest negative independent variable associated with stress management ($\beta = -0.524, p < 0.001$) in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Moreover, trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.360, p < 0.001$), marital dissatisfaction ($\beta = -0.291, p < 0.001$), depression ($\beta = -0.263, p < 0.001$), and pregnancy-specific stress ($\beta = -0.102, p = 0.04$) were associated significantly with stress management in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Social support was not associated significantly with stress management in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Associations of interpersonal relationships

State anxiety was the strongest independent variable associated with ($\beta = -0.578, p < 0.001$) of interpersonal relationships in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Furthermore, marital dissatisfaction ($\beta = -0.392, p < 0.001$), trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.348, p < 0.001$), and social support ($\beta = -0.142, p < 0.05$) were associated negatively with interpersonal relationships in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Pregnancy-specific stress and depression were not associated significantly with interpersonal relationships in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Associations of physical activity

State anxiety was the strongest negative independent variable associated with physical activity ($\beta = -0.229, p < 0.001$) in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Furthermore, depression ($\beta = -0.245, p < 0.001$) and trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.148, p = 0.004$) were associated negatively with physical activity in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Social support was a significant positive predictor of exercise in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Pregnancy-specific stress and marital

Table 4. Results of adjusted* and non-adjusted linear analysis regressions for independent variables of healthy life styles in pregnant women.

Dependent variables	Independent variables	Non-adjust model			Adjust model		
		R ²	β	Sig	R ²	β	Sig
Nutrition Life Style	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.005	-0.068	0.177	0.016	-0.98	0.067
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.093	-0.305	<0.001	0.094	-0.308	<0.001
	State-anxiety	0.224	-0.473	<0.001	0.225	-0.471	<0.001
	Trait-anxiety	0.102	-0.319	<0.001	0.010	-0.309	<0.001
	Depression	0.002	-0.048	<0.001	0.010	-0.049	0.367
	Social Support	0.035	-0.188	<0.001	0.036	-0.177	<0.001
Stress Management	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.010	-0.0102	0.04	0.026	-0.112	0.04
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.085	-0.291	<0.001	0.122	-0.334	<0.001
	State-anxiety	0.274	-0.524	<0.001	0.276	-0.516	0.000
	Trait-anxiety	0.126	-0.360	<0.001	0.144	-0.363	0.000
	Depression	0.69	-0.263	<0.001	0.084	-0.268	0.000
	Social Support	0.002	0.048	0.36	0.017	0.060	0.287
Interpersonal relationship	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.001	-0.038	0.486	0.022	-0.047	0.411
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.153	-0.392	<0.001	0.192	-0.435	0.000
	State-anxiety	0.334	-0.578	<0.001	0.363	-0.589	0.000
	Trait-anxiety	0.121	-0.348	<0.001	0.141	-0.349	0.000
	Depression	0.005	-0.073	0.177	0.023	-0.069	0.230
	Social Support	0.020	-0.142	0.009	0.034	-0.134	0.021
Physical activity	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.001	-0.027	0.595	0.019	-0.021	0.703
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.005	-0.067	0.190	0.030	-0.109	0.053
	State-anxiety	0.052	-0.229	<0.001	0.073	-0.233	0.000
	Trait-anxiety	0.022	-0.142	0.004	0.042	-0.151	0.005
	Depression	0.065	-0.254	<0.001	0.085	-0.258	0.000
	Social Support	0.031	0.175	0.001	0.046	0.163	0.003
Self-actualization	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.033	-0.181	0.001	0.045	-0.198	<0.001
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.208	-0.456	<0.001	0.238	-0.505	<0.001
	State-anxiety	0.498	-0.070	<0.001	0.504	-0.713	<0.001
	Trait-anxiety	0.238	-0.488	<0.001	0.238	-0.486	<0.001
	Depression	0.060	-0.245	<0.001	0.077	-0.271	<0.001
	Social Support	0.005	-0.073	0.170	0.008	-0.049	0.396
Responsibility	Pregnancy-specific stress	0.001	-0.036	0.495	0.020	-0.015	0.786
	Marital dissatisfaction	0.081	-0.285	<0.001	0.127	-0.342	<0.001
	State-anxiety	0.202	-0.450	<0.001	0.211	-0.441	<0.001
	Trait-anxiety	0.085	-0.121	<0.001	0.092	-0.272	<0.001
	Depression	0.015	-0.121	0.021	0.032	-0.111	0.046
	Social Support	0.009	-0.095	0.013	0.022	-0.086	0.131

*Adjusted linear regression models with age, education, and gestational age.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191723.t004>

dissatisfaction were associated significantly with physical activity in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Associations of self-actualization

State anxiety was the strongest negative independent variable associated with self-actualization ($\beta = -0.705, p < 0.001$) in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Moreover, trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.488, p < 0.001$), marital dissatisfaction ($\beta = -0.456,$

$p < 0.001$), depression ($\beta = -0.245, p < 0.001$), and pregnancy-specific stress ($\beta = -0.181, p = 0.01$) were associated negatively with self-actualization in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Social support was not associated significantly with self-actualization in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Associations of health responsibility

State anxiety was the strongest negative independent variable associated with health responsibility ($\beta = -0.450, p < 0.001$) in pregnant women in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Moreover, trait anxiety ($\beta = -0.291, p < 0.001$), marital dissatisfaction ($\beta = -0.285, p < 0.001$), and depression ($\beta = -0.121, p = 0.021$) were associated negatively with health responsibility in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models. Social support and pregnancy-specific stress were not associated significantly with health responsibility in both the non-adjusted and adjusted regression models ($p < 0.005$).

Discussion

In this study, we included five psychological variables for determining the predictive factors of healthy lifestyle in pregnant women. The results underscore the significance of psychosocial variables for predicting healthy pregnancy lifestyles.

Anxiety symptoms and healthy pregnancy lifestyles

The results revealed that both state anxiety and trait anxiety were the strongest negative independent variables in all domains of healthy lifestyles in pregnant women. A study showed that healthy eating index scores were significantly and negatively correlated with symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress [38]. An earlier study also found that individuals with higher anxiety levels had lower exercise goals and engage in lesser physical activity [39]. The relationship between anxiety and physical activity is driven by anxiety-related physical sensations of activity, or may reflect the role of a more general difficulty in tolerating stress. Moreover, individuals with high levels of anxiety may be more likely to cancel plans to exercise, have decreased tendency to sustain long-term activity, and end exercise sessions in cases of negative emotional experiences.

A study reported that state and trait anxieties reduced the sense of personal responsibility in pregnant women [40]. An earlier study also found that state and trait anxieties were correlated with the type of coping mechanisms to stress during pregnancy [3]. Moreover, another study reported that the response to stress is correlated with self-actualization [41].

Depression symptoms and healthy pregnancy lifestyles

The present study demonstrated that depression symptoms were negative associated negatively with nutrition, stress management, physical activity, health responsibility, and self-actualization. There is evidence supporting the concept that negative effects are a main negative predictor of physical activity [42]. This is similar to the results of Bae et al. [12], who concluded that pregnant women with higher depression scores had lower nutrient indices (total calcium, potassium, total folate, and plant iron levels) and lower exercise levels than pregnant women with lower depression symptoms. Furthermore, it was reported that depression was negatively correlated with five domains of lifestyle (nutrition, stress management, physical activity, health responsibility, and self-actualization) in female college students [43].

Pregnancy-specific stress and healthy pregnancy lifestyles

The present results demonstrated that pregnancy-specific stress was associated negatively with stress management and self-actualization in pregnant women. These findings are consistent with those of another study that also reported that pregnancy-specific anxiety reduced the sense of personal responsibility in pregnant women [39]. Our finding that general anxiety was more important in predicting healthy behaviors than pregnancy-specific stress was not consistent with the results of some previous studies [44–46]. Lobe et al. reported that pregnancy-specific stress was negatively associated with unhealthy eating and positively associated with healthy behaviors such as vitamin intake and exercise. Moreover, Lobel et al. [12] concluded that pregnancy-specific stress might be a better predictor of healthy behaviors and pregnancy outcomes than general stress. This result questioned the priority of pregnancy-specific stress rather than general state anxiety in the prediction of healthy behaviors of pregnant women.

Marital dissatisfaction and healthy pregnancy lifestyles

Our results demonstrated that marital dissatisfaction is a negative independent variable associated with nutrition, stress management, health responsibility, and self-actualization. In line with our results, an earlier study also found that marital satisfaction is correlated with the physical health status [47]. Another recent study also confirmed that marital dissatisfaction is linked to poor physical health [48]. Marital dissatisfaction was reported to be strongly correlated with depression during pregnancy [49]. Consistent with these results, a study reported that there is a relationship between marital satisfaction and self-actualization [50].

Social support and healthy pregnancy lifestyles

The current findings revealed that social support was the only positive independent variable associated with physical activity in pregnant women. Moreover, social support was an independent variable associated with healthy nutrition and interpersonal relationships. Thornton et al. investigated the role of social support on beliefs and behavior related to weight, diet, and physical activity among pregnant women. The authors reported that informational support from husbands and some female relatives consistently influenced the participants' motivation and beliefs about the need to link healthy behaviors to weight, diet, and physical activity [51].

These results suggest both positive and negative effects of social support on lifestyle. Although some negative social interactions may have a deleterious impact on health, most studies emphasized the positive effect of social support [23,52], whereas the negative effect of social interactions has been ignored in the literature. Recent evidence emphasizes that negative interactions can potentially be harmful. Some studies reported that negative social interactions may have more potent effects on mental health than positive interactions [53]. A study confirmed that social support has three types of influences (positive, negative, or no effect) on alcohol consumption [54]. In a meta-analysis of 28 studies on the effect of social support on well-being, Karen and Lincoln noted a lack of attention in the literature to the negative aspects of supportive relations. They recommended conducting further studies to develop assessment tools that would incorporate both positive and negative social interactions [55]. Future studies must also develop a theoretical framework for understanding the processes on how a negative social support affects healthy behaviors.

Interpretations of the results

One explanation for the current findings is that anxiety and depression are the cardinal manifestations of emotional disturbance in psychology. Our hypothesis is that non-adherence to

healthy behaviors, such as nutritional intake, physical activity, health responsibility, and self-actualization, might be features of the negative effects of anxiety, depression, or stress on the self-care of pregnant women. In individuals with high anxiety, stress, or depression levels, self-care (ability to take proper care of one own's daily living needs, such as eating, activity, and sleeping) is diminished. Depression and anxiety predict the non-adherence to self-care. There is a relationship between depression and poorer self-care [56]. A previous study emphasized that depression may be a direct risk factor for impaired healthy behaviors through diminishing self-care in the pregnancy period [57]. Furthermore, anxiety and depression may also cause cognitive distortions that negatively affect the decision-making capacities for self-care [58]. Pregnant women with depression or anxiety symptoms may not be well nourished, experience reduced sleep, and comply poorly to prenatal care visits [59]. Moreover, pregnant women with depressive anxiety/depression symptoms and probably low self-care ability experience problems in social function [59]. Therefore, anxiety and depression have negative effects on both intra- and interpersonal relationships. Some aspects of the negative relationship between marital dissatisfaction and healthy behaviors may be related to the high mean anxiety level in the pregnant women in this study.

Although the present study had several strengths (use of five psychological predictors for six domains of lifestyle), some study features lend limitations to the analysis. First, we used an instrument to assess only healthy behaviors. The HPLP questionnaire does not evaluate impaired behaviors, such as smoking and alcohol drinking. Second, we could not conduct a continuous evaluation of the healthy behaviors during pregnancy. Therefore, we measured the variables of the pregnant women through a cross-sectional study, rather than a cohort study. Third, the study method was correlational, and cause-and-effect conclusions could not be drawn. Therefore, further studies are needed to determine empirical methods for a better understanding of the effect of stress or anxiety on healthy behaviors. The results of this study provide a foundation for future randomized control studies on improving healthy behaviors during pregnancy. Moreover, future studies could help determine whether general anxiety is a strong risk factor for healthy behaviors or whether pregnancy-specific stress is a main predictor of healthy behaviors in pregnant women.

In conclusion, the results of the present study revealed that state and trait anxieties and depression might play crucial roles in predicting all aspects of lifestyle. Pregnancy-specific stress was the only negative independent variable associated with stress management and self-actualization. Marital dissatisfaction was a negative predictor of nutrition, stress management, health responsibility, and self-actualization. Social support had negative and positive effects on healthy behaviors. Therefore, more attention should be paid to identifying psychological risk factors during pregnancy in addition to providing suitable interventions for improving the lifestyle of women during pregnancy. The psychological predictive factors determined in the present study provide additional aspects for health-care providers in developing prevention strategies to improve healthy behaviors in pregnant women.

Acknowledgments

We thank all pregnant women who participated in the study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Shabnam Omidvar, Mahbobeh Faramarzi.

Data curation: Shabnam Omidvar.

Formal analysis: Karimallah Hajian-Tilak.

Funding acquisition: Shabnam Omidvar.

Investigation: Shabnam Omidvar, Fatemeh Nasiri Amiri.

Project administration: Shabnam Omidvar, Fatemeh Nasiri Amiri.

Supervision: Shabnam Omidvar.

Writing – original draft: Mahbobeh Faramarzi.

Writing – review & editing: Shabnam Omidvar, Fatemeh Nasiri Amiri.

References

1. Blaxter M. Health & Life styles. London & New York. 2005. 978-1-134-9827-0.
2. Walker SN, Sechrist KR, Pender NJ. The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile: development and psychometric characteristics. *Nurs Res*. 1987; 36(2): 76–81. PMID: [3644262](#).
3. Lobel M, Cannella DL, Graham JE, Devincent CJ, Schneider J, & Meyer BA. Pregnancy-specific stress, prenatal health behaviors, and birth outcomes. *Health psychol*. 2008; 27, 604–615. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013242> PMID: [18823187](#).
4. Wang HH. Predictors of health promotion lifestyle among three ethnic groups of elderly rural women in Taiwan. *Public Health Nurs*, 16 (1999), 321–328. PMID: [10528502](#).
5. Haugen M, Brantsæter AL, Winkvist A, Lissner L, Alexander J, Oftedal B, et al. Associations of pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with pregnancy outcome and postpartum weight retention: a prospective observational cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014 11; 14:201. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-201> PMID: [24917037](#).
6. Ganer Herman H, Miremberg H, Nini N, Feit H, Schreiber L, Bar J, et al. The effects of maternal smoking on pregnancy outcome and placental histopathology lesions. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2016; 65:24–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2016.05.022> PMID: [27262664](#).
7. Maconochie N, Doyle P, Prior S, Simmons R. Risk factors for first trimester miscarriage—results from a UK-population-based case-control study. *BJOG* 2007; 114:170–86. PMID: [17305901](#).
8. Asghari E, Faramarzi M, & Khan Mohamadi A. The effect of cognitive behavioural therapy on anxiety, depression and stress in women with preeclampsia. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2016; 10: QC04–QC07. <https://doi.org/b8sg>.
9. Hasanjanzadeh P, Faramarzi M. (2017). Relationship between maternal general specific-pregnancy stress, anxiety, and depression symptoms and outcome. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2017; 11: VC04–VC07. <https://doi.org/b8sk> <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/24352.9616> PMID: [28571243](#)
10. Pasha H, Basirat Z Hajahmadi M, Bakhtiari A, Faramarzi M, Salmalian H. Maternal expectations and experiences of labour analgesia with nitrous oxide. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2012; 14 (12): 792–797. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.3470> PMID: [23483128](#)
11. Haghparast E, Faramarzi M, Hassanzadeh R. Psychiatric symptoms and pregnancy distress in subsequent pregnancy after spontaneous abortion history. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2016; 32(5):1097–1101. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.325.10909> PMID: [27882001](#).
12. Bae HS, Kim SY, Hong Seok Ahn HS, Cho YK. Comparison of nutrient intake, life style variables, and pregnancy outcomes by the depression degree of pregnant women. *Nutr Res Pract* 2010; 4(4):323–331. <https://doi.org/10.4162/nrp.2010.4.4.323> PMID: [20827349](#).
13. Leske S, Strodl E, Harper C, Clemens S, Hou X-Y. Psychological distress may affect nutrition indicators in Australian adults. *Appetite*. 2015; 90: 144–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.02.003> PMID: [25728880](#).
14. Konttinen H, Mannisto S, Sarlio-Lahteenkorva S, Silventoinen K, Haukkala A. Emotional eating, depressive symptoms and self-reported food consumption. A population-based study. *Appetite*. 2010; 54: 473–479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.01.014> PMID: [20138944](#)
15. Kiviniemi MT, Orom H, Giovino GA. Race/ethnicity, psychological distress, and fruit/vegetable consumption. The nature of the distress-behavior relation differs by race/ethnicity. *Appetite*. 2011; 56 (3):737–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.02.012> PMID: [21349306](#)
16. Kubo A, Ferrara A, Brown SD, Ehrlich SF, Tsai AL, Quesenberry CP Jr, Crites Y, Hedderson MM. Perceived psychosocial stress and gestational weight gain among women with gestational diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2017; 28; 12(3): e0174290. eCollection 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174290> PMID: [28350836](#)

17. Bodnar LM, Wisner KL, Moses Kolko E, Sit DK, Hanusa BH. Prepregnancy body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the likelihood of major depressive disorder during pregnancy. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009; 70: 1290–6. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.08m04651> PMID: 19607761.
18. Kim YJ, Lee SS. The relation of maternal stress with nutrients intake and pregnancy outcome in pregnant women. *Korean J Nutr*. 2008; 41(8): 776–785.
19. Padmapriya N, Bernard JY, Liang S, Loy SL, Shen Z, Kwek K, et al. Association of physical activity and sedentary behavior with depression and anxiety symptoms during pregnancy in a multiethnic cohort of Asian women. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2016; 19(6): 1119–1128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-016-0664-y> PMID: 27664104.
20. Faramarzi M, Hosseini SH, Cumming RJ, Kheirkhah F, Parsaie F, Bijani A. A negative association between social support and depression in the elderly population of Amircola City. *Br J Med Med Res*. 2015; 8:707–16.
21. Verheijden MW, Bakx JC, van Weel C, Koelen MA, van Staveren WA. Role of social support in lifestyle-focused weight management interventions. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. (2005) 59, Suppl 1, S179–S186. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602194> PMID: 16052189.
22. Dipietro JA, Millet S, Costigan KA, Gurewitsch E, Caulfield LE. Psychosocial influences on weight gain attitudes and behaviors during pregnancy. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2003; 103,10: 1314–1318. PMID: 14520249.
23. Faramarzi M, Pasha H. The role of social support in prediction of stress pregnancy. *Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences*. 2015; 17: 52–60. (Persian)
24. Waite LJ. *Why marriage matters strengthening marriage round table*. 1997; Washington DC; Springer.
25. Romans SE, Seeman MV. *Women s mental health: A life-cycle approach*. Philadelphia Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2006.
26. Kodakarami N. *behavior change of pregnancy periods*. Raskon publishing. 2010 (in Persian).
27. Viau PA, Padula CA, Eddy B. An exploration of health concerns & health-promotion behaviors in pregnant women over age 35. *MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs*. 2002; 27(6):328–34. PMID: 12439134.
28. Taheri Tanjani P, Mojtaba Azadbakht M, Garmaroudi G, Sahaf R, Fekrizadeh Z. Validity and Reliability of Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile II in the Iranian Elderly. *Int J Prev Med*. 2016; 7: 74. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2008-7802.182731> PMID: 27280010.
29. Yali AM, Lobel M. Coping and distress in pregnancy: An investigation of medically high risk women. *J psychosom obstetric Gynecol*. 1999; 20(1): 35–52. PMID: 10212886.
30. Faramarzi M, Pasha H. Psychometric properties of Persian version of Prenatal Distress Questionnaire. *Soc Behav Pers*. 2017, 45. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.6703>. In press.
31. Fleming R, Baum A, Gisriel MM, Gatchel RJ (1982) Mediating influences of social support on stress at Three Mile Island. *J Hum Stress* 8(3):14–22.
32. Hosseini M. *The study of the relation between social support and personal consistency among Juveniles*. Master thesis, Tehran University. 1996. (in Persian).
33. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene R, Vagg PR, Jacobs GA. *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1983.
34. Panahi-shahri M. *The primary study in validity, reliability and norms of the state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI)*. MS. Thesis, Tarbiat Modares University, College of Psychology 2002, Tehran, 41–45.
35. Beck A, Steer R, Garbin M. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 1988; 8: 122–132.
36. Mehrabian A. Correlations of the PAD emotion scales with self-reported satisfaction in marriage and work. *Genet Soc Gen Psychol Monogr*. 1998; 124: 311–334. PMID: 9679289
37. *Factorial Structural Marital Satisfaction Scale in Married Staffs Members of Shahid Chamran University*. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology* 2010; 15 (4): 351–356. [In Persian].
38. Forsyth AK, Williams PG, Deane FP. Nutrition status of primary care patients with depression and anxiety. *Aust J Prim Health* 2012; 18(2):172–6. <https://doi.org/10.1071/PY11023> PMID: 22551840
39. Moshier SJ, Szuhany KL, Hearon BA, Smits JA, Otto MW. Anxiety Sensitivity Uniquely Predicts Exercise Behaviors in Young Adults Seeking to Increase Physical Activity. *Behav Modif*. 2016; 40(1–2):178–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445515603704> PMID: 26342011
40. Nikcevic AV, Kuczmierczyk AR, Nicolaidis KH. Personal coping resources, responsibility, anxiety and depression after early pregnancy loss. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol*; 19, 1998, 19(3): 145–154. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01674829809025692> PMID: 9844845
41. Evelyn GS. Self-Actualization and the Baccalaureate Nursing Student’s Response to Stress. *Nursing Research* 1978; 27(4): 238–244. PMID: 248199

42. Williams DM, Dunsiger S, Ciccolo JT, Lewis BA, Albrecht AE, Marcus BH. Acute affective response to a moderate-intensity exercise stimulus predicts physical activity participation 6 and 12 months later. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2008; 9, 231–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.04.002> PMID: 18496608
43. Park H. N., & Kim M. J. Health Promoting Lifestyle, Stress, and Depression of the College Female Students. *J Korean Public Health Nurs* 2000; 14, 2: 318–331.
44. Faramarzi M, Amiri FN, Rezaee R. Relationship of coping ways and anxiety with pregnancy specific-stress. *Pak J Med Sci* 2016; 32, 1364–1369. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.326.10892> PMID: 28083027.
45. Faramarzi M, Pasha H, Khafri S, Heidary S. The factor structure and psychometric properties of the Persian version of the Revised Prenatal Coping Inventory (Nu-PCI). *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2017; 11, QC17–QC20. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/21582.9480> PMID: 28511454
46. Faramarzi M, Yazdani S, Barat S. A RCT of psychotherapy in women with nausea and vomiting of pregnancy. *Hum Reproduct* 2015; 30, 2764–2773. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev248> PMID: 26466913.
47. Weiss RL, Aved BM. Marital satisfaction and depression as predictors of physical health status. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1978; 46(6):1379–1384. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.46.6.1379> PMID: 730887
48. South SC, Krueger RF. Marital satisfaction and physical health: evidence for an orchid effect. *Psychol Sci*. 2013 1; 24(3):373–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612453116> PMID: 23359109.
49. Karaçam Z, Ançel G. Depression, anxiety and influencing factors in pregnancy: a study in a Turkish population. *Midwifery*. 2009 25(4):344–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2007.03.006> PMID: 17935843.
50. Rowan Deborah G., Compton William C., Rust James O.. Self-Actualization and Empathy as Predictors of Marital Satisfaction. *Psychol Rep*. 1995; 77 (3): 1011–1016. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1995.77.3.1011>
51. Thornton PL, Kieffer EC, Salabarría-Peña Y, Odoms-Young A, Willis SK, Kim H, Salinas MA. Weight, diet, and physical activity-related beliefs and practices among pregnant and postpartum Latino women: the role of social support. *Matern Child Health J*. 2006; 10(1):95–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-005-0025-3> PMID: 16534660
52. Faramarzi M, Cheraghi M, Zamani M, Kheirkhah F, Bijani A, Hosseini SR. Gender-specific predictors of depressive symptoms among community elderly. *J Res Health Sci*. 2017 29; 17(2):E1–6. PMID: 28469046.
53. Davis Anita A, Rhodes Jean E, Hamilton-Leaks Jewel. When Both Parents May Be a Source of Support and Problems: An Analysis of Pregnant and Parenting Female African American Adolescents' Relationships with Their Mothers and Fathers. *J Rese Adolesc*. 1997; 7(3):331–48.
54. Hagihara A, Tarumi K, Nobutomo K. Positive and negative effects of social support on the relationship between work stress and alcohol consumption. *J Stud Alcohol*. 2003; 64(6):874–83. PMID: 14743952.
55. Lincoln Karen D.. Social Support, Negative Social Interactions, and Psychological Well-Being. *Soc Serv Rev*. 2000 1; 74(2): 231–252. <https://doi.org/10.1086/514478> PMID: 26594064
56. Gonzalez JS, Safren SA, Delahanty LM, Cagliero E, Wexler DJ, Meigs JB, Grant RW. Symptoms of depression prospectively predict poorer self-care in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2008 Sep; 25(9):1102–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02535.x> PMID: 19183315
57. Bonari L, Pinto N. Ahn E, Einarson A, Steiner M, Koren G. Perinatal risks of untreated depression during pregnancy. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2004; 49 (11): 726–735. <https://doi.org/10.1177/070674370404901103>. PMID: 15633850
58. Evans J, Heron J, Francomb H, Oke S, Golding J. Cohort study of depressed mood during pregnancy and after childbirth. *BMJ* 2001; 323:257–60 PMID: 11485953
59. Paarberg KM, Vingerhoets AJ, Passchier J, Dekker GA, van Geijn HP. Psychosocial factors and pregnancy outcome: A review with emphasis on methodological issues. *J Psychosom Res*. 1995; 39:563–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(95\)00018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(95)00018-6). PMID: 7490693.