Augmented reality for facilitation of paediatric peribulbar block administration - A case report

Dear Editor,

Augmented reality (AR) is a newer interactive technique that superimposes computer-generated images in a real-world environment, enhancing the user's perception of their surroundings.^[1] Children often experience anxiety, pain and fear during various surgical interventions. AR is a good distraction technique and can be tailored to the individual preferences and needs of the patient. Although AR has been used for distracting paediatric patients during procedures such as obtaining vascular access, dental care and preoperative anxiety,^[2-4] it has not been evaluated for the facilitation of regional blocks.

A 12-year-old boy with Coats disease in the right eye presented for cryotherapy. Preoperatively, he was anxious with a visual analogue scale for anxiety (VAS-A) of 7. He was introduced to AR using a mobile phone application. Using AR, the child's favourite cartoon character (Mickey Mouse) was superimposed on the child's face for his engagement. This reduced his VAS-A score to 2. The child was wheeled into the operating room while he was engaged in the AR application. All standard monitors were attached in the operating room, and an intravenous (IV) line was secured while keeping him distracted using an AR application. The Mickey Mouse face filter was then superimposed on the technician's face to keep him distracted while explaining and counselling regarding the block [Figure 1]. The child's father was also present for reassurance. With counselling and distraction using an AR application, the child was asked to look up on the mobile screen, and he was engaged by seeing his face as Mickey Mouse again using the AR application. Local anaesthesia was infiltrated at the points of injection of the block. The mobile phone with the AR application was placed vertically above the patient eyes, and he was asked to look up at the mobile, visualising himself as Mickey Mouse. The peribulbar block was administered, and the VAS-A score during the block administration was 3. After ensuring the success of the block, IV midazolam 0.5 mg was administered for the intraoperative period. Surgery proceeded uneventfully under the block. The child was shifted to the recovery room after surgery. When asked about the perioperative experience, the child replied that he enjoyed the process and was only a little scared at the time of the block.

The distraction technique using AR can be valuable for managing pediatric patients perioperatively. This could be useful in pediatric patients presenting for ophthalmic surgery and having a risk for general anaesthesia due to existing systemic/congenital anomalies.



Figure 1: Augmented reality by superimposing Mickey Mouse face filter on technician's face for distraction for peribulbar block administration

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. Written and informed consent was taken from the child's father, and assent was taken from the child. In the form, the child and his parents consented to his images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. They understand that his name and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal his identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the technical and non-technical staff of the operation room and Dr. Garvita Bhatnagar for help and assistance in case management.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Meghna Singhal: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1950-3455 Kapil Chaudhary: https://orcid.org/0000000260183406

Meghna Singhal, Kapil Chaudhary

Department of Anaesthesia, Maulana Azad Medical College, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Kapil Chaudhary, BLT Block, Maulana Azad Medical College, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg near Delhi Gate, New Delhi, India. E-mail: Kapsdr@yahoo.com Submitted: 18-Dec-2023 Revised: 02-Feb-2024 Accepted: 07-Feb-2024 Published: 13-Mar-2024

REFERENCES

- 1. Privorotskiy A, Garcia VA, Babbitt LE, Choi JE, Cata JP. Augmented reality in anesthesia, pain medicine and critical care: A narrative review. J Clin Monit Comput 2022;36:33-9.
- 2. Libaw JS, Sinskey JL. Use of augmented reality during inhaled induction of general anesthesia in 3 pediatric patients: A case report. A A Pract 2020;14:e01219. doi: 10.1213/XAA.000 000000001219.
- 3. Rodriguez S, Munshey F, Caruso TJ. Augmented reality for intravenous access in an autistic child with difficult access. Paediatr Anaesth 2018;28:569-70.
- 4. Chamberland C, Bransi M, Boivin A, Jacques S, Gagnon J, Tremblay S. The effect of augmented reality on preoperative anxiety in children and adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2024;34:153-9.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick response code	
	Website: https://journals.lww.com/ijaweb
	DOI: 10.4103/ija.ija_1231_23

How to cite this article: Singhal M, Chaudhary K. Augmented reality for facilitation of paediatric peribulbar block administration – A case report. Indian J Anaesth 2024;68:402-3.

© 2024 Indian Journal of Anaesthesia | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow