RESEARCH Open Access

# Associations between ambient air pollution, obesity, and serum vitamin D status in the general population of Korean adults

Byungmi Kim<sup>1</sup>, Juyeon Hwang<sup>1</sup>, Hyejin Lee<sup>1</sup>, Gyeong Min Chae<sup>1</sup>, Seyoung Kim<sup>1</sup>, Hyo-Seon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Bohyun Park<sup>1</sup> and Hyun-Jin Kim<sup>1,2\*</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Although a growing body of evidence suggests air pollution is associated with low serum vitamin D status, few studies have reported whether obesity status affects this relationship. The aim of this study was to identify associations between ambient air pollution exposure, obesity, and serum vitamin D status in the general population of South Korea.

**Methods:** This study was conducted in a cross-sectional design. A total of 30,242 Korean adults from a nationwide general population survey were included for our final analysis. Air pollutants included particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter  $\leq$  10  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>10</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), and carbon monoxide (CO). We measured serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration to assess vitamin D status for each participant. Multiple linear and logistic regression analyses were performed to identify associations between ambient air pollution and vitamin D status in each subgroup according to body mass index level.

**Results:** The annual average concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_2$ , and CO were significantly associated with a lower serum vitamin D concentration and higher risk of vitamin D deficiency. The results show a significant association between serum vitamin D status and  $PM_{10}$  exposure in obese subgroup. Based on the gender, females with obesity showed more strong association (negative) between different air pollutants and low serum vitamin D concentration and a higher risk of vitamin D deficiency. However, this pattern was not observed in men.

**Conclusions:** This study provides the first evidence that women with obesity may be more vulnerable to vitamin D deficiency in the context of persistent exposure to air pollution.

**Keywords:** Ambient air pollution, Chronic exposure, Vitamin D status, General adults

# **Background**

The worldwide prevalence of a low vitamin D level is higher than expected despite abundant sun exposure [1], and its prevalence in the general populations, defined as a 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) level below 20 ng/mL,

is about 36% in the United States, 61% in Canada, 92% in Northern Europe, 45–98% in Asia, 31% in Australia, and 56% in New Zealand [2]. Vitamin D deficiency is more common in Korea. Since the 2008 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), when the measurement of serum vitamin D began, vitamin D deficiency has been increasing continuously in the Korean population [3]. Its prevalence, defined as a serum 25(OH)D concentration < 50 nmol/L, was 51.8% and 68.2% for men and women, respectively, in 2008, but

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: hyunjin@ncc.re.kr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Cancer Control Institute, National Cancer Center, Goyang 10408, Korea

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766

increased to 75.2% and 82.5% in 2014. This prevalence in the Korean population was relatively high when compared to the prevalence in the United States from 2001 to 2006 (29% in men and 34% in women, respectively), adapting the same cutoff for deficiency [4].

Among the factors that can influence the sunlight-induced synthesis of vitamin D, evidence suggests that by absorbing and scattering solar UVB radiation, environmental aerosol pollutants reduce the effectiveness of sun exposure in stimulating the production of vitamin D in the skin [5]. Prospective and observational studies of populations living in different geographic areas have shown that air pollution constitutes an independent risk factor for vitamin D hypovitaminosis [6]. Cross-sectional studies in Iran [7] and China [8] have reported that air pollution increases the prevalence of low vitamin D level. These authors found a higher prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in women living in more polluted areas compared with less polluted.

Obesity is known to be independently related to air pollution [6, 9, 10]. The prevalence of obesity among Korean adults increased steadily over the past few years, from 29.7% in 2009 to 36.3% in 2019 [11]. Previous studies have reported that exposure to air pollution may increase the risk of perivascular and peribronchial inflammation, and may increase both systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, which are the main links between air pollution and obesity [12–14]. Some evidence suggests that exposure to air pollution leads to changes in blood lipids and lipid metabolism through the effects of systemic inflammation [9, 15]. Macrophages are an important source of proinflammatory cytokines in adipose tissue [16, 17].

Serum vitamin D levels are influenced by obesity [6, 18, 19]. Several possible mechanisms may explain the low vitamin D status during obesity. These possible mechanisms include lower dietary intake of vitamin D, less exposure of skin to sunlight because of less outdoor activity, decreased intestinal absorption after malabsorptive bariatric procedures, or impaired 25-hydroxylation and 1- $\alpha$  hydroxylation in adipose tissue in people with obesity [19, 20].

A recent review of the impact of ambient air pollution on serum vitamin D status and obesity has shown that the association between air pollution and vitamin D status tends to vary by body weight status [6]. However, the effect of ambient air pollution on serum vitamin D status and obesity has yet to be studied. Therefore, the aims of this study were to use the KNHANES nationally representative data to identify possible associations between air pollution, serum 25(OH)D concentration, and obesity in Korean adults, and to identify whether any associations differ in relation to air pollution exposure and

serum vitamin D status after stratification by body weight

Page 2 of 10

#### **Methods**

# Study population

The study sample for this study was obtained from the KNHANES, which was conducted by the Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention to assess the health and nutritional status of Koreans. The KNHANES is a nationally representative cross-sectional survey of Koreans that used a multi-step cluster probability design as the sampling strategy. KNHANES was launched in 1998 and has been surveyed for 16 years since then. Of these, since vitamin levels were investigated from 2008 to 2014, only data for 7 years were used in this study. This survey collects a variety of information about demographic and socioeconomic factors, health-related behaviors, biochemical profiles, and clinical outcomes. Because vitamin D levels were investigated only from 2008 to 2014, a total of 61,379 people who participated in this period were considered, and 30,242 of whom met all of the following inclusion criteria were included in the present study [missing n (%) = 31,137 (50.7%)]: (1) adults aged > 20 years; (2) those whose records included information about their residential location for estimation of exposure to ambient air pollution; (3) those whose records included serum 25(OH)D concentration; and (4) those who responded accurately to questions about the variables of interest such as their demographics and health-related behaviors. Here, the total number of participants for whom the information on serum vitamin D concentration was missing was 21,619 (0.35%). As shown in Fig. S1, there was no significant difference in the exposure level of each pollutant or the sampling proportion by region before and after the inclusion criteria (Fig. S1). The KNHANES was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea Centers for Disease Control (IRB No. 1401-047-547), and all participants signed an informed consent form. This study meets the Helsinki Declaration based ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects.

#### Measurement of air pollution exposure

The exposure to air pollution was assessed using atmospheric monitoring data measured at about 280 monitoring stations nationwide by the Ministry of the Environment of Korea (https://www.airkorea.or.kr). We obtained the annual average values for air pollutant concentration including particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter  $\leq$  10  $\mu m$  (PM $_{10}$ ), nitrogen dioxide (NO $_2$ ), sulfur dioxide, and carbon monoxide (CO) for 7 years between January 1, 2008, and December 31, 2014, in each administrative division (seven metropolitan cities and

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766

eight provinces except for Jeju island). Because we did not have access to the participants' exact home address, this study design applied a semi-ecological approach in which all participants living in the same administrative district were assigned equal levels of exposure. Therefore, the exposure levels of air pollutants were matched using the annual average value for the administrative district where each individual resided.

# Measurement of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration

The method for measuring serum 25(OH)D concentration was described in detail in the reports of other KNHANES studies [3, 21]. Briefly, serum 25(OH)D concentration was measured in blood samples obtained from participants after 8 h of fasting. The samples were processed according to the manual, immediately refrigerated and transported to the central testing laboratory, and analyzed within 24 h of transportation. Serum 25(OH)D concentration was measured using a 1470 Wizard gamma counter (Perkin Elmer, Turku, Finland) and a 25-hydroxyvitamin D 125I RIA kit (DiaSorin Inc., Stillwater, MN, USA). The central testing institute in Seoul, Korea participated in the proficiency testing programs of the Vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme (DEQAS). The results indicated less than  $\pm 2.0$  standard deviation index (SDI), except the those induced by random error. The traceability test performed with the standard reference material (SRM) 972a developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) showed that the measured value was less than  $\pm 10\%$  except for the low concentration values [3]. A cutoff of < 15 ng/mL for serum vitamin D level was used to identify participants with vitamin D deficiency [22, 23].

# Variables of interest

To examine the associations between exposure to air pollution, obesity, and vitamin D status, we included additional data such as demographic variables, lifestyle behaviors, and anthropometric measurements. Demographic factors including sex, age, education level, household income, and residential area were assessed using a questionnaire. We classified the education level into four categories: less than elementary school, middle school, high school, and college or graduate school. Household income was classified into quartiles to adjust for the possible effect of income, and urban and rural areas were classified according to residential administrative district. Behaviors relevant to health, such as smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity, were evaluated using a structured questionnaire and classified as categorical variables as follows: cigarette smoking status (current, former smoker, or never smoker); alcohol consumption (never, less than once a month, two or three times a month, and more than four times a month); and moderate physical activity (yes or no). Anthropometric data including height and weight were also obtained, and the body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of height (m²). Participants were stratified by BMI level into three groups according to the Asia–Pacific obesity classification for adult Asians as follows: underweight or normal weight (BMI < 23 kg/m²), overweight (23 kg/m²  $\leq$  BMI < 25 kg/m²), and obesity (BMI  $\leq$  25 kg/m²).

#### Statistical analysis

Before performing the analyses, we checked the normality assumption for serum vitamin D level and identified a nonnormal distribution. To fit the test's normality assumptions, square root transformations were applied to the serum 25(OH)D concentration to approximate the normal distribution. The t test and chi-square test were used to compare characteristics between the vitamin D-deficient and normal groups. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify relationships between ambient air pollution and serum 25(OH)D concentration; the results are presented as beta coefficients (βs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each air pollutant for vitamin D level. Multiple logistic regression analysis was also used to identify any associations between ambient air pollution variables and the presence of vitamin D deficiency; the results are presented as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each air pollutant for vitamin D deficiency. The statistical estimates, such as  $\beta$  coefficients and ORs, for outcomes were converted to interquartile ranges (IQRs) for each air pollutant (9 µg/  $m^3$  for PM<sub>10</sub>, 11 ppb for NO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.1 ppm for CO). These results were estimated in crude and adjusted models for both sexes as well as the total sample. Confounding factors such as age, sex, education level, household income, survey period, resident region (urbanity), smoking status, alcohol consumption, moderate physical activity, and BMI were included in the adjusted models. We also performed stratified association analyses according to BMI status. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

#### Results

The study characteristics of the participants stratified by vitamin D-deficient ( $n\!=\!10,\!990$ ) and normal group ( $n\!=\!19,\!252$ ) are presented in Table 1. The vitamin D-deficient group was slightly younger (46 years) than the normal group (51 years), and the vitamin D-deficient group had a higher percentage of women. In both groups, more than half had a high school or higher education, with the highest percentage at college or high school. A higher percentage lived in urban areas both

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 4 of 10

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population according to the presence and absence of vitamin D deficiency

Characteristics	Vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D level < 15 ng/mL)	Normal vitamin D (25(OH)D level ≥ 15 ng/mL)	<i>p</i> -value	
	Mean $\pm$ SD or n (%)	Mean $\pm$ SD or n (%)		
n	10,990 (36.3)	19,252 (63.7)		
Age (years)	46±16	51±16	< 0.000	
Women	7,388 (67.2)	9,784 (50.8)	< 0.000	
Education level			< 0.000	
Less than elementary school	2,277 (20.7)	5,457 (28.4)		
Middle school	999 (9.1)	2,316 (12.0)		
High school	3,992 (36.3)	6,283 (32.6)		
College or graduate school	3,722 (33.9)	5,196 (27.0)		
Residential region			< 0.000	
Urban	8,202 (74.6)	12,199 (63.4)		
Rural	2,788 (25.4)	7,053 (36.6)		
Smoking			< 0.000	
Current smoker	2,234 (20.3)	4,365 (22.7)		
Former smoker	1,635 (14.9)	4,350 (22.6)		
Never	7,121 (64.8)	10,537 (54.7)		
Alcohol consumption (times/month)			< 0.000	
Never	3,244 (29.5)	5,191 (27.0)		
≤1	3,572 (32.5)	5,108 (26.5)		
2–3	3,637 (33.1)	7,290 (37.9)		
≥ 4	537 (4.9)	1,663 (8.64)		
Physical activity			< 0.000	
Yes	4,022 (36.6)	8,240 (42.8)		
No	6,968 (63.4)	11,012 (57.2)		
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.5 ± 3.5	23.8 ± 3.2	< 0.000	
Obesity status			< 0.000	
Normal	5,296 (48.2)	8,152 (42.3)		
Overweight	2,473 (22.5)	4,730 (24.6)		
Obesity	3,221 (29.3)	6,370 (33.1)		
Serum vitamin D (25(OH)D) level	11.8±2.2	21.6±5.5	< 0.000	
Air pollutant level, mean (median)				
PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	50.6 (49)	50.2 (49)	< 0.000	
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	26.1 (28)	24.3 (23)	< 0.000	
CO (ppm)	0.5 (0.6)	0.5 (0.5)	< 0.000	

 $PM_{10}$  Particulate matter < 10  $\mu$ m in diameter,  $NO_2$  Nitrogen dioxide, CO Carbon monoxide

groups. The percentage of current or former smokers was slightly higher in the normal group (45.3%) than in the vitamin D-deficient group (35.2%). The vitamin D-deficient group had a higher monthly alcohol intake than the normal group. The percentages of participants with overweight or obesity were higher in the normal group than in the vitamin D-deficient group. The mean values for exposure to air pollutants differed between the two groups, especially for  $NO_2$ .

We also identified the patterns of vitamin D concentration according to the three exposure groups: low (quartile 1),

moderate (quartile 2–3), and high exposure (quartile 4). In general, except for  $PM_{10}$  concentration exposure in men, as the exposure concentration to each air pollutant increased, the vitamin concentration gradually decreased (Fig. S2).

Simple and multiple linear regression analyses were performed to identify the association between ambient air pollution and quantitative serum 25(OH)D concentration (Table 2). In the total sample, all ambient air pollutants such as  $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ,  $\mathrm{NO}_2$ , and  $\mathrm{CO}$  levels were significantly associated with a lower serum vitamin D level in both

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 5 of 10

**Table 2** Estimated associations of an increase in the IQR for annual average air pollution exposure and serum vitamin D level or the presence of vitamin D deficiency in the total sample

	Serum vitamin D (25(OH)D) level				Presence of vitam	in D deficiency	,				
	Crude		Adjusted model		Crude		Adjusted model				
	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value			
<b>Total</b> (n = 30,242)								-			
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)	0.02	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)	0.004	1.10 (1.06, 1.14)	< 0.0001	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	< 0.0001			
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.20 (-0.21, -0.19)	< 0.0001	-0.13 (-0.15, -0.11)	< 0.0001	1.59 (1.53, 1.66)	< 0.0001	1.47 (1.38, 1.56)	< 0.0001			
CO (ppm)	-0.04 (-0.05, -0.03)	< 0.0001	-0.04 (-0.05, -0.03)	< 0.0001	1.16 (1.12, 1.19)	< 0.0001	1.16 (1.12, 1.20)	< 0.0001			
<b>Women</b> $(n = 17, 17)$	(2)										
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)	< 0.0001	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)	< 0.0001	1.15 (1.10, 1.21)	< 0.0001	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)	< 0.0001			
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.18 (-0.20, -0.16)	< 0.0001	-0.12 (-0.14, -0.10)	< 0.0001	1.556 (1.48, 1.64)	< 0.0001	1.41 (1.31, 1.53)	< 0.0001			
CO (ppm)	-0.06 (-0.07, -0.05)	< 0.0001	-0.06 (-0.07, -0.05)	< 0.0001	1.19 (1.14, 1.24)	< 0.0001	1.18 (1.14, 1.23)	< 0.0001			
<b>Men</b> ( <i>n</i> = 13,070)											
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.049	0.009 (-0.01, 0.03)	0.41	1.02 (0.97, 1.09)	0.42	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.89			
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.23 (-0.25, -0.21)	< 0.0001	-0.14 (-0.18, -0.10)	< 0.0001	1.70 (1.59, 1.82)	< 0.0001	1.56 (1.41, 1.72)	< 0.0001			
CO (ppm)	-0.01 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.19	-0.02 (-0.04, -0.004)	0.037	1.12 (1.06, 1.17)	< 0.0001	1.12 (1.06, 1.18)	< 0.0001			

The ORs and 95% CIs for each air pollutant were scaled to the IQR for each pollutant:  $9 \mu g/m^3$  for PM<sub>10</sub>, 11 ppb for NO<sub>2</sub>, and 0.1 ppm for CO

The adjusted model was adjusted for demographic variables including age, sex, education level, household income, survey period, residential region (urban vs rural), smoking status, alcohol consumption, moderate physical activity, and body mass index

IQR Interquartile range, SE Standard error, OR Odds ratio, CI Confidence interval, PM<sub>10</sub> Particulate matter < 10 μm in diameter, NO<sub>2</sub> Nitrogen dioxide, CO Carbon monoxide

the crude and adjustment models (all p < 0.05). These significant associations were also found in women. In men, PM<sub>10</sub> exposure was not significantly related to serum vitamin D concentration (p = 0.41), but NO<sub>2</sub> (p < 0.0001) and CO (p=0.04) exposure were significantly related. The association between air pollution and the presence of vitamin D deficiency was examined using simple and multiple logistic regression analyses. Ambient air pollutant levels were significantly associated with an increased risk of vitamin D deficiency. In the adjusted model, the ORs (95% CIs) for vitamin D deficiency per each IQR increase in PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and CO were estimated as 1.09 (1.04, 1.14), 1.47 (1.38, 1.56), and 1.16 (1.12, 1.20), respectively. After stratification by sex, the results were similar in women to those for the total sample. In men, as seen for serum vitamin D level, the association between PM<sub>10</sub> exposure and vitamin D deficiency was not significant (p=0.89).

We investigated the effects of exposure to air pollutants on serum vitamin D concentration in each subgroup according to BMI level, and the stratified results are indicated in Table 3. Interestingly, in the total sample,  $PM_{10}$  exposure was significantly associated with a lower serum vitamin D concentration in the group with obesity ( $p\!=\!0.002$ ), but not in the groups with normal weight ( $p\!=\!0.39$ ) and overweight ( $p\!=\!0.17$ ). When stratified by sex, the stronger association in the group with obesity than in the groups with normal weight or overweight was more pronounced in women than in

men. For women, the levels of air pollutants, including  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_2$ , and CO, were significantly associated with a lower vitamin D level in all BMI subgroups, but the effect sizes ( $\beta$ ) were the largest in the group with obesity. By contrast, the analyses for men showed a different pattern.  $NO_2$  and CO exposures were not significantly associated with serum vitamin D level in any BMI subgroup. Although  $NO_2$  exposure was significantly related to lower serum vitamin D levels in all BMI subgroups, the effect size was lowest in the group with obesity [ $\beta$  (95% CI) = -0.09 (-0.15, -0.03); p = 0.0002] than in the group with normal weight [ $\beta$  (95% CI) = -0.19 (-0.25, -0.13); p < 0.0001] or group with overweight [ $\beta$  (95% CI) = -0.12 (-0.18, -0.06); p < 0.0001].

We also evaluated whether the association between ambient air pollution and vitamin D deficiency differed according to BMI level (Table 4). The pattern of overall results for vitamin D deficiency was similar to that of the quantitative serum vitamin D levels. In the total sample, the association between  $PM_{10}$  exposure and vitamin D deficiency was significant only in the group with obesity; there was a 1.16-fold increase in the risk of vitamin D deficiency (95% CI=1.07, 1.25) for each IQR (9  $\mu g/m^3$ ) increase in  $PM_{10}$  concentration. The associations between exposure to air pollutants and the risk of vitamin D deficiency were strongest in women with obesity than in women with normal weight or overweight. For men,  $PM_{10}$  exposure was not

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 6 of 10

**Table 3** Estimated associations of an increase in IQR in annual average air pollution exposure and serum vitamin D level according to obesity status

Sample	Exposure	Normal (n = 13,448)		Overweight (n = 7,203)		Obesity (n = 9,591)	
		β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Total	Crude						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.02 (-0.04, 0.004)	0.12	-0.02 (-0.04, 0.01)	0.25	-0.01 (-0.04, 0.008)	0.21
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.23 (-0.25, -0.21)	< 0.0001	-0.19 (-0.23, -0.15)	< 0.0001	-0.17 (-0.19, -0.15)	< 0.0001
	CO (ppm)	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)	< 0.0001	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)	0.009	-0.046 (-0.07, -0.03)	< 0.0001
	Adjusted model						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.009 (-0.03, 0.01)	0.39	-0.02 (-0.05, 0.008)	0.17	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.01)	0.002
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.13 (-0.17, -0.09)	< 0.0001	-0.11 (-0.15, -0.07)	< 0.0001	-0.12 (-0.16, -0.08)	< 0.0001
	CO (ppm)	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)	< 0.0001	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)	0.004	-0.06 (-0.08, -0.04)	< 0.0001
Women	Crude						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)	0.004	-0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)	0.006	-0.045 (-0.08, -0.01)	0.004
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.18 (-0.20, -0.16)	< 0.0001	-0.16 (-0.20, -0.12)	< 0.0001	-0.18 (-0.22, -0.14)	< 0.0001
	CO (ppm)	-0.06 (-0.08, -0.04)	< 0.0001	-0.04 (-0.07, -0.01)	0.008	-0.07 (-0.09, -0.05)	< 0.0001
	Adjusted model						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)	0.04	-0.05 (-0.09, -0.01)	0.01	-0.07 (-0.11, -0.03)	< 0.0001
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.10 (-0.14, -0.06)	< 0.0001	-0.10 (-0.16, -0.04)	0.001	-0.15 (-0.21, -0.09)	< 0.0001
	CO (ppm)	-0.05 (-0.07, -0.03)	< 0.0001	-0.04 (-0.07, -0.01)	0.01	-0.08 (-0.10, -0.06)	< 0.0001
Men	Crude						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	0.13	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0.38	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0.35
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.27 (-0.31, -0.23)	< 0.0001	-0.22 (-0.26, -0.18)	< 0.0001	-0.18 (-0.22, -0.14)	< 0.0001
	CO (ppm)	0.003 (-0.02, 0.02)	0.83	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.02)	0.18	-0.02 (-0.05, 0.007)	0.14
	Adjusted model						
	$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0.30	0.01 (-0.03, 0.05)	0.55	-0.008 (-0.05, 0.03)	0.66
	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	-0.19 (-0.25, -0.13)	< 0.0001	-0.12 (-0.18, -0.06)	< 0.0001	-0.09 (-0.15, -0.03)	0.0002
	CO (ppm)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)	0.45	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.02)	0.20	-0.03 (-0.05, 0.0008)	0.06

The odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for each air pollutant were scaled to the IQR for each pollutant:  $9 \mu g/m^3$  for  $PM_{10}$ , 11 ppb for  $NO_2$ , and 0.1 ppm for CO The adjusted model was adjusted for demographic variables including age, sex, education level, household income, survey period, residential region (urban vs rural), smoking status, alcohol consumption, and moderate physical activity

 $\textit{IQR} \ \text{Interquartile range}, \textit{SE} \ \text{Standard error}, \textit{PM}_{10} \ \text{Particulate matter} < 10 \ \mu\text{m in diameter}, \textit{NO}_2 \ \text{Nitrogen dioxide}, \textit{CO} \ \text{Carbon monoxide}$ 

significantly associated with vitamin D deficiency in any BMI subgroup (all p > 0.05). For other pollutants, such as NO<sub>2</sub> and CO, the risk of vitamin D deficiency was higher in the groups with normal weight and overweight than in the group with obesity.

These results were stratified into two groups (with and without obesity, and men and women). In women, the association between air pollutants and vitamin D deficiency was stronger in the group with obesity than in the other group, but this pattern was not observed in men (Fig. S3).

# **Discussion**

The aim of this study was to determine whether exposure to air pollution is related to serum 25(OH)D concentration or obesity in Korean adults. We found inverse associations between exposure to ambient air pollution and serum 25(OH)D concentration or vitamin D deficiency.

This study supports the idea of a vicious cycle involving low vitamin D status, exposure to air pollution, and obesity. The detrimental effects seemed to be additive for participants with obesity, especially in women.

The findings of this study are consistent with prior studies showing that people exposed to higher levels of air pollution have lower serum 25(OH)D concentration or are at increased risk of developing vitamin D deficiency. A cross-sectional population-based study in China, India, and Iran found that vitamin D deficiency was related to air pollution [7, 8, 24]. These studies typically used citywide monitoring measurements as a proxy for population exposure instead of assessing individual level personal-exposure to air pollution. Moreover, only one cohort study has investigated the potential effects of exposure to air pollution on circulating serum 25(OH) D concentrations in the general population [25]. This large UK prospective cohort study from 22 assessment centers in England, Wales, and Scotland also observed

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 7 of 10

**Table 4** Estimated associations of an increase in IQR in annual average air pollution exposure and presence of vitamin D deficiency according to obesity status

Exposure	Normal (n = 13,448)		Overweight (n=7,203)		Obesity (n = 9,591)	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Crude						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	0.002	1.11 (1.03, 1.19)	0.006	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)	0.002
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.64 (1.55, 1.74)	< 0.0001	1.58 (1.45, 1.71)	< 0.0001	1.52 (1.41, 1.63)	< 0.0001
CO (ppm)	1.14 (1.09, 1.20)	< 0.0001	1.16 (1.07, 1.23)	< 0.0001	1.18 (1.12, 1.25)	< 0.0001
Adjusted model						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	1.06 (0.99, 1.13)	0.08	1.07 (0.98, 1.17)	0.12	1.16 (1.07, 1.25)	0.0002
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.49 (1.36, 1.63)	< 0.0001	1.4 (1.24, 1.60)	< 0.0001	1.47 (1.31, 1.65)	< 0.0001
CO (ppm)	1.13 (1.08, 1.19)	< 0.0001	1.16 (1.08, 1.24)	< 0.0001	1.21 (1.14, 1.29)	< 0.0001
Crude						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	1.12 (1.05, 1.20)	0.0007	1.16 (1.05, 1.28)	0.004	1.21 (1.11, 1.32)	< 0.0001
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.54 (1.43, 1.66)	< 0.0001	1.44 (1.29, 1.61)	< 0.0001	1.63 (1.48, 1.80)	< 0.0001
CO (ppm)	1.17 (1.11, 1.24)	< 0.0001	1.14 (1.05, 1.24)	0.003	1.27 (1.18, 1.36)	< 0.0001
Adjusted model						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	1.10 (1.02, 1.19)	0.02	1.12 (1.1, 1.26)	0.06	1.27 (1.15, 1.40)	< 0.0001
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.39 (1.25, 1.56)	< 0.0001	1.25 (1.06, 1.48)	0.009	1.59 (1.36, 1.85)	< 0.0001
CO (ppm)	1.15 (1.08, 1.22)	< 0.0001	1.13 (1.03, 1.23)	0.010	1.30 (1.20, 1.41)	< 0.0001
Crude						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	0.85	1.07 (0.96, 1.21)	0.22	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	0.83
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.77 (1.60, 1.97)	< 0.0001	1.85 (1.63, 2.11)	< 0.0001	1.54 (1.38, 1.72)	< 0.0001
CO (ppm)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	0.03	1.21 (1.10, 1.34)	0.0002	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.062
Adjusted model						
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	0.98 (0.88, 1.09)	0.73	1.01 (0.88, 1.16)	0.87	1.03 (0.92, 1.16)	0.58
NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	1.73 (1.47, 2.03)	< 0.0001	1.65 (1.35, 2.01)	< 0.0001	1.33 (1.12, 1.58)	0.001
CO (ppm)	1.11 (1.02, 1.20)	0.02	1.19 (1.07, 1.33)	0.002	1.09 (0.99, 1.20)	0.09
	Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) CO (ppm)	Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.64 (1.55, 1.74) CO (ppm) 1.14 (1.09, 1.20) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.06 (0.99, 1.13) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.49 (1.36, 1.63) CO (ppm) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.12 (1.05, 1.20) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.54 (1.43, 1.66) CO (ppm) 1.17 (1.11, 1.24) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.39 (1.25, 1.56) CO (ppm) 1.15 (1.08, 1.22) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.01 (0.92, 1.11) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.77 (1.60, 1.97) CO (ppm) 1.09 (1.01, 1.18) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 0.98 (0.88, 1.09) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.73 (1.47, 2.03)	Crude           PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³)         1.09 (1.03, 1.15)         0.002           NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)         1.64 (1.55, 1.74)         <0.0001	Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) 0.002 1.11 (1.03, 1.19) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.64 (1.55, 1.74) < 0.0001 1.58 (1.45, 1.71) CO (ppm) 1.14 (1.09, 1.20) < 0.0001 1.16 (1.07, 1.23) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.06 (0.99, 1.13) 0.08 1.07 (0.98, 1.17) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.49 (1.36, 1.63) < 0.0001 1.4 (1.24, 1.60) CO (ppm) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) < 0.0001 1.16 (1.08, 1.24) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.12 (1.05, 1.20) 0.0007 1.16 (1.05, 1.28) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.54 (1.43, 1.66) < 0.0001 1.44 (1.29, 1.61) CO (ppm) 1.17 (1.11, 1.24) < 0.0001 1.14 (1.05, 1.24) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) 0.02 1.12 (1.1, 1.26) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.39 (1.25, 1.56) < 0.0001 1.25 (1.06, 1.48) CO (ppm) 1.15 (1.08, 1.22) < 0.0001 1.13 (1.03, 1.23) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 1.01 (0.92, 1.11) 0.85 1.07 (0.96, 1.21) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.77 (1.60, 1.97) < 0.0001 1.85 (1.63, 2.11) CO (ppm) 1.09 (1.01, 1.18) 0.03 1.21 (1.10, 1.34) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (μg/m³) 0.98 (0.88, 1.09) 0.73 1.01 (0.88, 1.16) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.73 (1.47, 2.03) < 0.0001 1.65 (1.35, 2.01)	Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) 0.002 1.11 (1.03, 1.19) 0.006 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.64 (1.55, 1.74) <0.0001 1.58 (1.45, 1.71) <0.0001 Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.06 (0.99, 1.13) 0.08 1.07 (0.98, 1.17) 0.12 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.49 (1.36, 1.63) <0.0001 1.4 (1.24, 1.60) <0.0001 CO (ppm) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) <0.0001 1.16 (1.05, 1.28) 0.0001 CO (ppm) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) <0.0001 1.16 (1.05, 1.28) 0.004 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.54 (1.43, 1.66) <0.0001 1.44 (1.29, 1.61) <0.0001 CO (ppm) 1.17 (1.11, 1.24) <0.0001 1.14 (1.05, 1.24) 0.003 Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) 0.02 1.12 (1.1, 1.26) 0.06 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.39 (1.25, 1.56) <0.0001 1.13 (1.03, 1.23) 0.010 Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) 0.02 1.12 (1.1, 1.26) 0.06 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.39 (1.25, 1.56) <0.0001 1.13 (1.03, 1.23) 0.010 Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.01 (0.92, 1.11) 0.85 1.07 (0.96, 1.21) 0.22 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.77 (1.60, 1.97) <0.0001 1.85 (1.63, 2.11) <0.0001 CO (ppm) 1.09 (1.01, 1.18) 0.03 1.21 (1.10, 1.34) 0.0002 Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 0.98 (0.88, 1.09) 0.73 1.01 (0.88, 1.16) 0.87 NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.73 (1.47, 2.03) <0.0001 1.65 (1.35, 2.01) <0.0001	Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.09 (1.03, 1.15) 0.002 1.11 (1.03, 1.19) 0.006 1.11 (1.04, 1.18) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.64 (1.55, 1.74) <0.0001 1.58 (1.45, 1.71) <0.0001 1.52 (1.41, 1.63) CO (ppm) 1.14 (1.09, 1.20) <0.0001 1.16 (1.07, 1.23) <0.0001 1.18 (1.12, 1.25) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.06 (0.99, 1.13) 0.08 1.07 (0.98, 1.17) 0.12 1.16 (1.07, 1.25) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.49 (1.36, 1.63) <0.0001 1.4 (1.24, 1.60) <0.0001 1.47 (1.31, 1.65) CO (ppm) 1.13 (1.08, 1.19) <0.0001 1.16 (1.08, 1.24) <0.0001 1.21 (1.14, 1.29) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.12 (1.05, 1.20) 0.0007 1.16 (1.05, 1.28) 0.004 1.21 (1.11, 1.32) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.54 (1.43, 1.66) <0.0001 1.44 (1.29, 1.61) <0.0001 1.63 (1.48, 1.80) CO (ppm) 1.17 (1.11, 1.24) <0.0001 1.14 (1.05, 1.24) 0.003 1.27 (1.18, 1.36) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) 0.02 1.12 (1.1, 1.26) 0.06 1.27 (1.15, 1.40) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.39 (1.25, 1.56) <0.0001 1.25 (1.06, 1.48) 0.009 1.59 (1.36, 1.85) CO (ppm) 1.15 (1.08, 1.22) <0.0001 1.13 (1.03, 1.23) 0.010 1.30 (1.20, 1.41) Crude PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 1.01 (0.92, 1.11) 0.85 1.07 (0.96, 1.21) 0.22 1.01 (0.92, 1.12) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.77 (1.60, 1.97) <0.0001 1.85 (1.63, 2.11) <0.0001 1.54 (1.38, 1.72) CO (ppm) 1.09 (1.01, 1.18) 0.03 1.21 (1.10, 1.34) 0.0002 1.09 (0.99, 1.19) Adjusted model PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m³) 0.98 (0.88, 1.09) 0.73 1.01 (0.88, 1.16) 0.87 1.03 (0.92, 1.16) NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb) 1.73 (1.47, 2.03) <0.0001 1.65 (1.35, 2.01) <0.0001 1.33 (1.12, 1.58)

The ORs and 95% CIs for each air pollutant were scaled to the IQR for each pollutant:  $9 \mu g/m^3$  for  $PM_{10}$ , 11 ppb for  $NO_2$ , and 0.1 ppm for CO

The adjust model was adjusted for demographic variables including age, sex, education level, household income, survey period, residential region (urban vs rural), smoking status, alcohol consumption, and moderate physical activity

IQR Interquartile range, OR Odds ratio, CI Confidence interval,  $PM_{10}$  Particulate matter < 10  $\mu$ m in diameter,  $NO_2$  Nitrogen dioxide, CO Carbon monoxide

that long-term exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_X$ , and  $NO_2$ were associated with lower serum 25(OH)D concentrations. This association between air pollution and lower serum vitamin D level was clearer in women [25]. After adjusting for potential confounding factors, a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in the concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub> in women was associated with 14.69 (95% CI: 8.69 to 20.70), 4.25 (95% CI: 1.30 to 7.20), 0.69 (95% CI: 0.32 to 1.06), and 1.94 (95% CI: 1,17 to 2.71) nmol/L decreases in serum 25(OH)D concentrations, respectively. However, the study by Yang et al. found no association between NOx, NO<sub>2</sub>, and serum vitamin D levels in men. Other studies have assessed the effects of air pollution on serum vitamin D level, but the findings have been inconclusive [8, 26-29]. The inconsistency may reflect differences in sample sizes, air pollution metrics, geographic conditions, or assessment of exposure.

Previous epidemiological studies suggest that exposure to pollutants can induce both systemic inflammation and

oxidative stress, the main links of air pollution with obesity [12–14]. More recent evidence suggests that obesity influences the relationship between air pollution exposure and changes in the lipid profile [9, 10, 30, 31]. In addition, Scott Weichenthal et al. reviewed the literature and suggested that people with obesity may be most susceptible to the adverse cardiovascular risks of air pollution exposure after adjusting for potential confounding factors [32].

Obesity is strongly related to a low vitamin D level because a higher BMI leads to lower vitamin D level [33]. Vitamin D receptors are widely expressed in adipose and  $\beta$ -pancreatic cells, and both cell types have the enzyme 25-hydroxyvitamin D 1- $\alpha$ -hydroxylase and can therefore activate vitamin D [18]. Through its receptors, vitamin D exerts its effects in vitro on adipocyte lipid metabolism and adipocyte gene expression [6].

Although vitamin D status and exposure to air pollution affect the risk of obesity, previous studies have not

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766

investigated the potential effects of exposure to air pollution on serum 25(OH)D concentration in subgroup analysis stratified according to obesity status. Our findings provide the first evidence of significant inverse associations between air pollutions and serum 25(OH) D concentration and vitamin D deficiency in people with obesity. In addition, these associations seem to be stronger in women than in men. Although the evidence to support this is unclear, various risk factors, such as pregnancy and menopause, which are linked to vitamin D concentrations, may influence this association. However, further studies need to be conducted to confirm these associations.

The mechanism by which air pollution decreases serum 25(OH)D concentration in people with obesity is not fully understood. However, a great body of evidence indicates that air pollutants reduce the effectiveness of sun exposure in producing vitamin D in the skin by absorbing and scattering solar UVB radiation [5, 6, 28]. Air pollutions effectively absorbs UVB radiation, thereby reducing the quantity of photons reaching the earth's surface. According to a recent review of previous studies, the associations among the vitamin D status, air pollution and obesity may provide a rationale for considering obesity as an additional link between air pollution and low vitamin D status [6]. Air pollutants may contribute to the risk of obesity as environmental obesogens through a vicious cycle involving exposure to air pollutants, absorption of solar UVB radiation, and reduction in the effectiveness of sun exposure in inducing vitamin D production in the skin [6]. In addition, it has also been suggested that accrual of adipose tissue obesity could result from an excessive adaptive "winter response", and that the decline in vitamin D skin synthesis, due to reduced sun exposure, contributes to the tendency to increase fat mass during the cold season [34]. Other studies have reported that exposure to urban air pollution in healthy children is associated with systemic inflammation, endothelial damage changes in the activity of and sensitivity to appetiteregulating peptides, and an increased risk of obesity and vitamin D deficiency [35, 36]. Besides air pollutants, several studies report smoking was significantly associated with low serum vitamin D level [37-39]. The decreased serum 25(OH)D concentrations seen in smokers might be due to accumulation of cadmium in the kidney [37]. However, findings regarding the vitamin D status in smokers are conflicting [38]. The mechanisms behind the finding need further exploration.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to report on the effects of obesity on the association between air pollution and vitamin D status in the general population of Korean adults. Our study has some limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional design of the KNHANES, it was not possible to determine any causal associations between exposure to air pollution, vitamin D status, and obesity. Confirmation from prospective cohort studies is needed to validate our findings. Second, the rate of missing data for serum 25(OH)D concentration in this study was high in 2013-2014, which may indicate a loss of representativeness of the total population. Nevertheless, we extracted individual data for serum 25(OH)D concentrations in the KNHANES 2008-2014 data according to the survey district, sex, and age. This probably ensures the representativeness of our data, although the risk of observation bias may remain. Third, we did not collect information about vitamin D supplementation, and future studies should include this information. Fourth, we did not apply accurate exposure assessments to predict annually averaged exposure by considering variables related to land use, road traffic proxies, topography, climate, and population density. Therefore, we may have over- or underestimated each participant's exposure to air pollutants. Fifth, various information such as seasonal effect, temperature and solar radiation were not considered as confounding factors, due to the absence of relevant data. Therefore, the results may be likely to be affected by residual bias. Sixth, we did not have access to data on inflammatory biomarkers such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha, Interleukin-10, and C-reactive protein, which could have substantiated our reported association between air pollution and serum 25(OH)D concentrations. Seventh, we could not include ozone measurements in the analyses, which are related to solar UV-B radiation due to concerns about the quality-assessment of ozone examination. It needs to be reconfirmed in future studies. Finally, we did not include data on exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and exposure to other environmental pollutants including endocrine-disrupting chemicals such as phthalate and bisphenol A, which can also decrease serum 25(OH)D concentration in the general population [40].

# **Conclusions**

Air pollution was found to be inversely associated with low vitamin D status in the general population in Korea, especially in the population with obesity. We suggest that there may be a vicious cycle between low vitamin D status, exposure to air pollution, and obesity. Further prospective studies are needed to confirm whether the associations between vitamin D levels, air pollutant exposure, and obesity are causal, and the potential for nutritional interventions to reduce the detrimental effects of exposure to air pollutants and to prevent vitamin D deficiency during weight loss in adults.

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 9 of 10

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14164-y.

Additional file 1:Figure S1. The exposure level to each air pollutant before and after the inclusion criteria. The color concentration on the map indicates the exposure level of each pollutant. The size of the circle indicates the proportion of participants who participated in the study by administrative district. Figure S2. Exposure-response graph between three air pollution exposure levels and vitamin D conc (a) for men and (b) for women. Figure S3. Estimated associations of an increase in IQR on annual average air pollution exposure and presence of vitamin D deficiency in data stratified into two groups (groups with and without obesity; men and women).

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (grant number 2020R1F1A1065887).

#### Disclosure summary

The authors have nothing to disclose.

#### Authors' contributions

B.K. and H-J.K. wrote the main manuscript text, and J.H., H.L., GM.C., S.K., H-SK., and B.P. analyzed the results and prepared Tables and Figure. All authors reviewed the manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (grant number 2021R1F1A1060847).

# Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available in website of The Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency; Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey repository, [https://knhanes.kdca.go.kr/knhanes/sub03/sub03\_01.do].

#### **Declarations**

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The KNHANES was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea Centers for Disease Control (IRB No. 1401–047–547), and all participants signed an informed consent form. This study meets the Helsinki Declaration based ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>National Cancer Control Institute, National Cancer Center, Goyang 10408, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Cancer Big Data Center, National Cancer Control Institute, National Cancer Center, 323 Ilsan-ro, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do 10408, South Korea.

Received: 5 April 2022 Accepted: 12 September 2022 Published online: 17 September 2022

# References

 Binkley N, Novotny R, Krueger D, Kawahara T, Daida YG, Lensmeyer G, Hollis BW, Drezner MK. Low vitamin D status despite abundant sun exposure. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92(6):2130–5.

- Holick MF. The vitamin D deficiency pandemic: Approaches for diagnosis, treatment and prevention. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2017;18(2):153–65.
- Park JH, Hong IY, Chung JW, Choi HS. Vitamin D status in South Korean population: Seven-year trend from the KNHANES. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(26): e11032.
- Ganji V, Zhang X, Tangpricha V. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and prevalence estimates of hypovitaminosis D in the U.S. population based on assay-adjusted data. J Nutr. 2012;142(3):498–507.
- Wacker M, Holick MF. Sunlight and Vitamin D: A global perspective for health. Dermato-endocrinology. 2013;5(1):51–108.
- Barrea L, Savastano S, Di Somma C, Savanelli MC, Nappi F, Albanese L, Orio F, Colao A. Low serum vitamin D-status, air pollution and obesity: A dangerous liaison. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2017;18(2):207–14.
- Kelishadi R, Moeini R, Poursafa P, Farajian S, Yousefy H, Okhovat-Souraki AA. Independent association between air pollutants and vitamin D deficiency in young children in Isfahan. Iran Paediatr Int Child Health. 2014;34(1):50–5.
- He H, Zeng Y, Wang X, Yang L, Zhang M, An Z. Meteorological Condition and Air Pollution Exposure Associated with Vitamin D Deficiency: A Cross-Sectional Population-Based Study in China. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2020:13:2317–24
- Kim JS, Chen Z, Alderete TL, Toledo-Corral C, Lurmann F, Berhane K, Gilliland FD. Associations of air pollution, obesity and cardiometabolic health in young adults: The Meta-AIR study. Environ Int. 2019;133(Pt A): 105180.
- Kim HJ, Kwon H, Yun JM, Cho B, Park JH. Interaction between visceral adiposity and ambient air pollution on LDL cholesterol level in Korean adults. Int J Obes (Lond). 2021;45(3):547–54.
- Yang YS, Han BD, Han K, Jung JH, Son JW. Taskforce team of the obesity fact sheet of the korean society for the study of o: obesity fact sheet in korea, 2021: trends in obesity prevalence and obesity-related comorbidity incidence stratified by age from 2009 to 2019. J Obes Metab Syndr. 2022;31(2):169–77.
- Brook RD, Sun Z, Brook JR, Zhao X, Ruan Y, Yan J, Mukherjee B, Rao X, Duan F, Sun L, et al. Extreme air pollution conditions adversely affect blood pressure and insulin resistance: The air pollution and cardiometabolic disease study. Hypertension. 2016;67(1):77–85.
- Cui Y, Sun Q, Liu Z. Ambient particulate matter exposure and cardiovascular diseases: a focus on progenitor and stem cells. J Cell Mol Med. 2016;20(5):782–93.
- Hutcheson R, Rocic P. The metabolic syndrome, oxidative stress, environment, and cardiovascular disease: the great exploration. Exp Diabetes Res. 2012;2012: 271028.
- Li J, Zhou C, Xu H, Brook RD, Liu S, Yi T, Wang Y, Feng B, Zhao M, Wang X, et al. Ambient air pollution is associated with hdl (high-density lipoprotein) dysfunction in healthy adults. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2019;39(3):513–22.
- Lam YY, Mitchell AJ, Holmes AJ, Denyer GS, Gummesson A, Caterson ID, Hunt NH, Storlien LH. Role of the gut in visceral fat inflammation and metabolic disorders. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011;19(11):2113–20.
- 17. Surmi BK, Hasty AH. Macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue: initiation, propagation and remodeling. Future Lipidol. 2008;3(5):545–56.
- 18. Candido FG, Bressan J. Vitamin D: link between osteoporosis, obesity, and diabetes? Int J Mol Sci. 2014;15(4):6569–91.
- 19. Vanlint S. Vitamin D and obesity. Nutrients. 2013;5(3):949-56.
- 20. Vimaleswaran KS, Berry DJ, Lu Ć, Tikkanen E, Pilz S, Hiraki LT, Cooper JD, Dastani Z, Li R, Houston DK, et al. Causal relationship between obesity and vitamin D status: bi-directional Mendelian randomization analysis of multiple cohorts. PLoS Med. 2013;10(2): e1001383.
- Lee YA, Kim HY, Hong H, Kim JY, Kwon HJ, Shin CH, Yang SW. Risk factors for low vitamin D status in Korean adolescents: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2008–2009. Public Health Nutr. 2014;17(4):764–71.
- 22. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Zhang Y, Kiel DP, Felson DT. Positive association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and bone density in osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;53(6):821–6.
- Wang TJ, Pencina MJ, Booth SL, Jacques PF, Ingelsson E, Lanier K, Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Wolf M, Vasan RS. Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2008;117(4):503–11.
- 24. Agarwal KS, Mughal MZ, Upadhyay P, Berry JL, Mawer EB, Puliyel JM. The impact of atmospheric pollution on vitamin D status of infants and tod-dlers in Delhi. India Arch Dis Child. 2002;87(2):111–3.

Kim et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1766 Page 10 of 10

- 25. Yang C, Li D, Tian Y, Wang P. Ambient air pollutions are associated with vitamin D status. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(13):6887.
- Baiz N, Dargent-Molina P, Wark JD, Souberbielle JC, Slama R, Annesi-Maesano I. Group EM-CCS: Gestational exposure to urban air pollution related to a decrease in cord blood vitamin d levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97(11):4087–95.
- Hosseinpanah F, Pour SH, Heibatollahi M, Moghbel N, Asefzade S, Azizi F.
  The effects of air pollution on vitamin D status in healthy women: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:519.
- Mousavi SE, Amini H, Heydarpour P, Amini Chermahini F, Godderis L. Air pollution, environmental chemicals, and smoking may trigger vitamin D deficiency: Evidence and potential mechanisms. Environ Int. 2019;122:67–90.
- 29. Zhao Y, Wang L, Liu H, Cao Z, Su X, Cai J, Hua J. Particulate air pollution exposure and plasma vitamin D levels in pregnant women: A longitudinal cohort study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019;104(8):3320–6.
- Mao S, Chen G, Liu F, Li N, Wang C, Liu Y, Liu S, Lu Y, Xiang H, Guo Y, et al. Long-term effects of ambient air pollutants to blood lipids and dyslipidemias in a Chinese rural population. Environ Pollut. 2020;256: 113403.
- Yang BY, Bloom MS, Markevych I, Qian ZM, Vaughn MG, Cummings-Vaughn LA, Li S, Chen G, Bowatte G, Perret JL, et al. Exposure to ambient air pollution and blood lipids in adults: The 33 communities Chinese health study. Environ Int. 2018;119:485–92.
- Weichenthal S, Hoppin JA, Reeves F. Obesity and the cardiovascular health effects of fine particulate air pollution. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014;22(7):1580–9.
- Prasad P, Kochhar A. Interplay of vitamin D and metabolic syndrome: A review. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2016;10(2):105–12.
- 34. Foss YJ. Vitamin D deficiency is the cause of common obesity. Med Hypotheses. 2009;72(3):314–21.
- 35. Calderon-Garciduenas L, Franco-Lira M, D'Angiulli A, Rodriguez-Diaz J, Blaurock-Busch E, Busch Y, Chao CK, Thompson C, Mukherjee PS, Torres-Jardon R, et al. Mexico City normal weight children exposed to high concentrations of ambient PM2.5 show high blood leptin and endothelin-1, vitamin D deficiency, and food reward hormone dysregulation versus low pollution controls. Relevance for obesity and Alzheimer disease. Environ Res. 2015;140:579–92.
- Calderon-Garciduenas L, Mora-Tiscareno A, Francolira M, Torres-Jardon R, Pena-Cruz B, Palacios-Lopez C, Zhu H, Kong L, Mendoza-Mendoza N, Montesinoscorrea H, et al. Exposure to urban air pollution and bone health in clinically healthy six-year-old children. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol. 2013;64(1):23–34.
- 37. Brot C, Jorgensen NR, Sorensen OH. The influence of smoking on vitamin D status and calcium metabolism. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1999;53(12):920–6.
- 38. Grimnes G, Almaas B, Eggen AE, Emaus N, Figenschau Y, Hopstock LA, Hutchinson MS, Methlie P, Mihailova A, Sneve M, et al. Effect of smoking on the serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D depends on the assay employed. Eur J Endocrinol. 2010;163(2):339–48.
- Shinkov A, Borissova AM, Dakovska L, Vlahov J, Kassabova L, Svinarov D. Winter 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in young urban adults are affected by smoking, body mass index and educational level. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2015;69(3):355–60.
- Johns LE, Ferguson KK, Meeker JD. Relationships between urinary phthalate metabolite and bisphenol a concentrations and vitamin D levels in U.S. Adults: national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES), 2005–2010. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(11):4062–9.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$  thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

