



# Apatinib Treatment in Metastatic Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor

Zhaolun Cai<sup>1</sup>, Xin Chen<sup>1</sup>, Bo Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Dan Cao<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Abdominal Oncology, Cancer Center of West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

**Background:** Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. The clinical management of patients with metastatic GISTs is exceptionally challenging due to their poor prognosis. Apatinib is a multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Here, we present the unique case with metastatic GISTs who derived clinical benefit from apatinib following the failure of imatinib and sunitinib.

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Matiullah Khan, Asian Institute of Medicine, Science and Technology (AIMST) University, Malaysia

#### Reviewed by:

Sara Gandolfi, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute, United States Christian Badr, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

#### \*Correspondence: Dan Cao

caodan316@163.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Molecular Targets and Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 18 February 2019 Accepted: 16 May 2019 Published: 11 June 2019

#### Citation:

Cai Z, Chen X, Zhang B and Cao D (2019) Apatinib Treatment in Metastatic Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor. Front. Oncol. 9:470. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00470 **Case presentation:** A 57-year-old man was admitted to our hospital diagnosed with metastatic and recurrent GISTs following surgical resection. Fifty-four months after the first-line imatinib treatment, he developed progressive disease and then was treated with cytoreductive surgery combined with imatinib. Disease progression occurred after 7 months. He then received second-line sunitinib and achieved a progression-free survival of 11 months. Apatinib mesylate was then administered. Follow-up imaging revealed a stable disease. Progression-free survival following apatinib therapy was at least 8 months. The only toxicities were hypertension and proteinuria, which were both controllable and well-tolerated.

**Conclusions:** Treatment with apatinib provides an additional option for the treatment of patients with GISTs refractory to imatinib and sunitinib.

Keywords: apatinib, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, GISTs, metastatic, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

# INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract (1). Most GISTs harbor activating mutations in either gene encoding KIT or platelet-derived growth factor receptor- $\alpha$  (PDGFRA) (2, 3), which are type III receptor tyrosine kinases (4). After the identification of activating mutations, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are used for GISTs (5), which also significantly improved prognosis of patients with metastatic or recurrent disease (6). Imatinib mesylate is recommended as initial therapy based on drug activity and drug tolerability according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guideline for advanced GISTs (7). For patients with metastatic or unresectable GISTs after the failure of imatinib, sunitinib is considered (7). However, resistance to sunitinib eventually develops in most patients, after a median of 6–9 months (8). Although regorafenib can significantly improve survival as the third-line treatment, median progression-free survival (PFS) was only 4.8 months. Therefore,

1

the development of efficacious and safe therapies is required for the treatment of metastatic or unresectable GISTs after the failure of imatinib and sunitinib.

Apatinib (Hengrui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) is a multiple TKI and targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), PDGFR $\beta$ , c-Kit, and c-src (9–11). Apatinib has been proved to be effective and safe in several solid tumors. However, there is no report for apatinib in treating GISTs today. Here, we present a case with metastatic GISTs that was effectively treated by apatinib following the failure of imatinib and sunitinib, demonstrating the potential efficacy of apatinib in the treatment of metastatic or unresectable GISTs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of metastatic GISTs that responds to apatinib.

## **CASE PRESENTATION**

A 57-year-old asymptomatic man was found to have multiple intestinal masses by computed tomography (CT) done as part of his routine medical examination in December 2011. Surgical resection (R0) was performed in December 2011. The resected specimen consisted of a mass measuring  $10.0 \times 10.0$  cm in maximal diameter. Final pathologic diagnosis revealed a high-risk GIST according to the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) criteria (12). The patient was not treated with adjuvant treatment after surgery in the local hospital because he had difficulty paying for adjuvant imatinib therapy. On routine follow-up visit in May 2012, local recurrence and metastasis were confirmed by imaging. He was then referred to West China Hospital in May 2012.

Beginning in May 2012, this patient received first-line imatinib orally with a dose of 400 mg/day resulting in a partial response. Disease progression occurred after the continuation of imatinib for 54 months. The patient was then treated with cytoreductive surgery combined with imatinib and showed a PFS of 7 months. New biopsy of an abdominal metastasis yielded a KIT mutation in exon 11 as well as in KIT exon 13 (V654A), confirming the clinical observation of secondary imatinib resistance (13). In May 2017, this patient received second-line sunitinib. After 11 months of treatment, sunitinib was discontinued due to disease progression. The patient refused biopsy for additional mutational analysis for personal reasons.

Although regorafenib had been approved for the third-line treatment of patients with advanced GISTs by China Food and Drug Administration at that time, the patient refused the agent due to the cost and budget constraints. In the meantime, there was a medical-product-donating project for apatinib that patients could get support since they were enrolled in a clinical trial. After signing informed consent, the patient was treated with apatinib 500 mg daily beginning in April 2018. Abdominal CT scans before apatinib therapy showed the metastatic lesions in the abdomen and pelvic cavity (**Figures 1A,B**). The drug was well-tolerated, and after 2 months of treatment, the patient had a stable disease (SD) on CT according to RECIST 1.1 (14). On routine

follow-up in December 2018, the CT scan showed that the lesions were similar to the latest images, confirming a SD after 8 months of treatment with apatinib (**Figures 1C,D**).

During apatinib treatment, this patient developed primary side effects of hypertension (grade III) and proteinuria (grade II) according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.03 (15). Both adverse events were well-controlled with drug treatment.

On the last routine follow-up visit in December 2018, the patient is still taking apatinib as a single agent for maintenance therapy with mild toxic effects. Both clinical and imaging evaluation demonstrated no evidence of disease progression. The PFS time is more than 8 months. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (ChiECRCT-20170095). The patient gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

## DISCUSSION

To date, the prognosis of patients with progression disease after the failure of imatinib and sunitinib is still poor. In this case, we administered imatinib as the first-line therapy, and PFS was 54 months. Sunitinib was administered as the second-line therapy with a PFS of 11 months. Apatinib was then administered as the third-line therapy. The tumor response was evaluated as an SD. PFS following apatinib therapy was at least 8 months. Besides, the patient tolerated apatinib well, with a satisfactory quality of life.

It is established that most (70-80%) GISTs harbor KIT mutations, resulting in ligand-independent kinase activation (4, 16). Twenty to twenty-five percent of GISTs lack KIT mutations, and of these tumors, a minority (10%) have PDGFRA mutations that are homologous to KIT mutations (prevalence of PDGFRA mutations is about 10%) (4, 16). Still, both KIT and PDGFRA mutations are missing in up to 15% of GISTs, which are called wild-type GISTs. In recent years, an increasing number of TKIs for patients with GISTs after the failure of imatinib and sunitinib have been studied. Regorafenib is the only targeted drug approved by the Federal Drug Administration for advanced GISTs after the failure of imatinib and sunitinib. In the GRID study that compared regorafenib with placebo, the results showed that oral regorafenib significantly improved PFS compared with placebo in patients with metastatic GIST after progression on standard treatments [4.8 months for regorafenib and 0.9 months for placebo; hazard ratio (HR), 0.27; 95% CI, 0.19-0.39] (17). In another Phase III study, nilotinib did not improve either survival (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.64-1.15) or PFS rate as compared to best supportive care in the intent-to-treat analysis. In the post hoc subset analyses, in a well-defined population of true third-line patients, however, nilotinib provided significantly longer median OS (HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.48-0.95) (18). The RIGHT trial, a Phase III study, showed that resumption of imatinib in patients with advanced GISTs after the failure of imatinib and sunitinib significantly improved PFS (1.8 vs. 0.9 months; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.27-0.78); however, it failed to improve OS (8.2 vs. 7.5 months; HR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.58-1.83) (19).



FIGURE 1 | Abdominal computed tomography scans showing the metastatic lesions in the abdomen and pelvic cavity before treatment (A,B) to a stable disease after 8 months of treatment with apatinib (C,D).

Apatinib potently inhibited the kinase activities of VEGFR2, c-kit, and c-src, and decreased the VEGFR2, c-kit, and PDGFR $\beta$  stimulated phosphorylation at the cellular level (11). Apatinib has a clinical benefit across various cancers including breast cancer, gastric cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and non-small-cell lung cancer (20). Several subtypes of sarcomas have also been shown to respond to apatinib (21). Here, we report the first case of GISTs responding to apatinib. It seems that apatinib is effective in the treatment of metastatic GISTs resistant to imatinib and sunitinib.

Sunitinib and regorafenib, the second- and third-line treatment approved for GISTs, are potently targeting VEGFR in addition to KIT inhibitors. Similarly, apatinib is a potent VEGFR inhibitor apart from the KIT inhibitor. The role of VEGF in GISTs, however, has not been established. Imamura et al. suggested that angiogenesis associated with VEGF might play an important role in in the progression of GISTs (22). Several ex vivo studies of GIST specimens have demonstrated that microvessel density is associated with VEGF expression and closely related to the prognosis of the disease (23, 24). Recently, Verboom et al. proposed that SNPs in the genes encoding for VEGFR2 was associated with PFS in patients with advanced GISTs treated with imatinib (25). Consolino et al. suggested that VEGFR2 and VEGFR3 expression may be related to progression of imatinibresistant GISTs, and the direct targeting of the receptors may have the potential to decrease tumor growth by the inhibition of angiogenesis (26). Thus, apatinib may have clinical benefits for patients with GISTs refractory to imatinib and sunitinib and need to be further tested in large-scale clinical trials.

# CONCLUSION

The present case demonstrates that apatinib provides an additional option in the treatment of patients with GISTs refractory to imatinib and sunitinib. Still, large prospective trials are required to investigate the efficacy in the treatment of the disease.

# DATA AVAILABILITY

No datasets were generated or analyzed for this study.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (ChiECRCT-20170095). The patient gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of the findings of this case report.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DC and BZ conceived the idea for this case report, carried out critical interpretations, and contributed to the final version of the paper. ZC collected the data, reviewed the literature, and

wrote the paper. XC prepared the figure and contributed in the revision of the literature. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81572931

## REFERENCES

- Nishida T, Blay JY, Hirota S, Kitagawa Y, Kang YK. The standard diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of gastrointestinal stromal tumors based on guidelines. *Gastr Cancer*. (2016) 19:3–14. doi: 10.1007/s10120-015-0526-8
- Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Duensing A, Mcgreevey L, Chen CJ, Joseph N, et al. PDGFRA activating mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Science*. (2003) 299:708–10. doi: 10.1126/science.1079666
- Hirota S, Isozaki K, Moriyama Y, Hashimoto K, Nishida T, Ishiguro S, et al. Gain-of-function mutations of c-kit in human gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Science*. (1998) 279:577–80. doi: 10.1126/science.279.5350.577
- Corless CL, Barnett CM, Heinrich MC. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: Origin and molecular oncology. *Nat Rev Cancer.* (2011) 11:865–78. doi: 10.1038/nrc3143
- Heinrich MC, Griffith DJ, Druker BJ, Wait CL, Ott KA, Zigler AJJB. Inhibition of c-kit receptor tyrosine kinase activity by STI 571, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Blood.* (2000) 96:925–32.
- Cai Z, Yin Y, Shen C, Tang S, Yin X, Chen Z, et al. Role of surgical resection for patients with recurrent or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg.* (2018) 56:108–14. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.06.016
- Von Mehren M, Randall RL, Benjamin RS, Boles S, Bui MM, Conrad EU, et al. Soft tissue sarcoma, version 2.2016, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Nat Comp Cancer Netw. (2016) 14:758–86. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2018.0025
- Ganjoo KN, Villalobos VM, Kamaya A, Fisher GA, Butrynski JE, Morgan JA, et al. A multicenter phase II study of pazopanib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) following failure of at least imatinib and sunitinib. *Ann Oncol.* (2014) 25:236–40. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdt484
- Lin C, Wang S, Xie W, Zheng R, Gan Y, Chang J. Apatinib inhibits cellular invasion and migration by fusion kinase KIF5B-RET via suppressing RET/Src signaling pathway. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:59236–44. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10985
- Li J, Qin S, Xu J, Xiong J, Wu C, Bai Y, et al. Randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled Phase III trial of apatinib in patients with chemotherapy-refractory advanced or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction. J Clin Oncol. (2016) 34:1448–54. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.63.5995
- Tian S, Quan H, Xie C, Guo H, Lü F, Xu Y, et al. YN968D1 is a novel and selective inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 tyrosine kinase with potent activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cancer Sci.* (2011) 102:1374–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01939.x
- Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: Pathology and prognosis at different sites. *Semin. Diagn Pathol.* (2006) 23:70–83. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2006.09.001
- Lee J, Kim Y, Choi J, Kim YS. Correlation of imatinib resistance with the mutational status of KIT and PDGFRA genes in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a meta-analysis. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. (2013) 22:413–8.
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. (2009) 45:228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.1 0.026
- 15. US Department of Health Human Services. National Cancer Institute. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.03. (2010).

and Grant No. 81773097) and 1.3.5 project for disciplines of excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (ZJYC18034).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patient who kindly agreed to provide them with the data used in this case.

- Joensuu H, Hohenberger P, Corless CL. Gastrointestinal stromal tumour. Lancet. (2013) 382:973–83. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(13)60106-3
- Demetri GD, Reichardt P, Kang Y-K, Blay J-Y, Rutkowski P, Gelderblom H, et al. Efficacy and safety of regorafenib for advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours after failure of imatinib and sunitinib (GRID): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2013) 381:295–302. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61857-1
- Reichardt P, Blay JY, Gelderblom H, Schlemmer M, Demetri GD, Bui-Nguyen B, et al. Phase III study of nilotinib versus best supportive care with or without a TKI in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors resistant to or intolerant of imatinib and sunitinib. *Ann Oncol.* (2012) 23:1680–7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr598
- Kang Y-K, Ryu M-H, Yoo C, Ryoo B-Y, Kim HJ, Lee JJ, et al. Resumption of imatinib to control metastatic or unresectable gastrointestinal stromal tumours after failure of imatinib and sunitinib (RIGHT): A randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2013) 14:1175– 82. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(13)70453-4
- Li F, Liao Z, Zhang C, Zhao J, Xing R, Teng S, et al. Apatinib as targeted therapy for sarcoma. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:24548–60. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.24647
- Xie L, Guo W, Wang Y, Yan T, Ji T, Xu J. Apatinib for advanced sarcoma: Results from multiple institutions' off-label use in China. *BMC Cancer*. (2018) 18:396. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4303-z
- Imamura M, Yamamoto H, Nakamura N, Oda Y, Yao T, Kakeji Y, et al. Prognostic significance of angiogenesis in gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *Modern Pathol.* (2007) 20:529–37. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3800767
- Zhao Y, Wang Q, Deng X. Altered angiogenesis gene expression in gastrointestinal stromal tumors: potential use in diagnosis, outcome prediction, and treatment. *Neoplasma*. (2012) 59:384–92. doi: 10.4149/neo\_2012\_050
- Basiliodeoliveira RP, Pannain VL. Prognostic angiogenic markers (endoglin, VEGF, CD31) and tumor cell proliferation (Ki67) for gastrointestinal stromal tumors. World J Gastroenterol. (2015) 21:6924–30. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i22.6924
- 25. Verboom MC, Kloth JSL, Swen JJ, van der Straaten T, Bovée JVMG, Sleijfer S, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in angiogenesis-related genes are associated with worse progression-free survival of patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours treated with imatinib. *Eur J Cancer*. (2017) 86:226–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2017.09.025
- 26. Consolino L, Longo DL, Sciortino M, Dastrù W, Cabodi S, Giovenzana GB, et al. Assessing tumor vascularization as a potential biomarker of imatinib resistance in gastrointestinal stromal tumors by dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Gastr Cancer.* (2017) 20:629–39. doi: 10.1007/s10120-016-0672-7

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Cai, Chen, Zhang and Cao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.