Gz Enhanced Signal Transduction assaY (GzESTY) for GPCR

2 deorphanization

	2	
	-	
	.)	
1	-	

4 Luca Franchini, Joseph J. Porter, John D. Lueck, and Cesare Orlandi *

5 Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester Medical Center,

- 6 Rochester, NY 14642, USA
- 7 * Correspondence: cesare_orlandi@urmc.rochester.edu
- 8
- 10

9

- . .
- 11
- ...
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 40
- 18
- 19 Running title:
- 20 GzESTY assay
- 21

22 Keywords:

G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR); orphan GPCR; high-throughput screening; cell-based
assays; molecular pharmacology.

25 Abstract

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are key pharmacological targets, yet many remain underutilized due to unknown activation mechanisms and ligands. Orphan GPCRs, lacking identified natural ligands, are a high priority for research, as identifying their ligands will aid in understanding their functions and potential as drug targets. Most GPCRs, including orphans, couple to $G_{i/o/z}$ family members, however current assays to detect their activation are limited, hindering ligand identification efforts.

32 We introduce G_zESTY, a highly sensitive, cell-based assay developed in an easily 33 deliverable format designed to study the pharmacology of Gi/o/z-coupled GPCRs and assist in 34 deorphanization. We optimized assay conditions and developed an all-in-one vector employing 35 novel cloning methods to ensure the correct expression ratio of G_zESTY components. G_zESTY 36 successfully assessed activation of a library of ligand-activated GPCRs, detecting both full and 37 partial agonism, as well as responses from endogenous GPCRs. Notably, with GzESTY we 38 established the presence of endogenous ligands for GPR176 and GPR37 in brain extracts, 39 validating its use in deorphanization efforts. This assay enhances the ability to find ligands for 40 orphan GPCRs, expanding the toolkit for GPCR pharmacologists.

41 **1. INTRODUCTION**

42 In mammals, G Protein Coupled Receptors (GPCRs) are the largest family of cell surface 43 receptors and are involved in a wide range of physiological and pathological processes^{1,2}. Orphan 44 GPCRs are those whose natural ligands have not yet been identified or agreed upon, making 45 them difficult to study. Deorphanization, the process of identifying endogenous ligands for orphan 46 GPCRs, is often achieved by analyzing receptor activation by candidate ligands in heterologous 47 cell systems. These candidate ligands are selected based on their overlapping in vivo localization 48 with a target GPCR, by isolation from tissue extracts that exert measurable physiological effects, 49 or via screening of large libraries of endogenous compounds including small molecules, peptides, and lipids with a demonstrated biological activity³⁻¹¹. Research that led to the deorphanization of 50 51 the Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 (GLP1) receptor illustrates how identifying a GPCR's endogenous ligand can unlock new therapeutic opportunities. Initially discovered as a gut-derived hormone 52 53 that enhances insulin secretion in response to elevated plasma glucose levels¹², GLP1 was found to bind to a putative receptor in the brain and pancreas^{13,14}. This discovery led to the cloning of 54 its receptor, a GPCR, in the early 1990s¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Since then, numerous GLP1 receptor agonists have 55 56 been approved for treating type 2 diabetes mellitus and, more recently, for chronic weight management in adults with obesity¹⁸⁻²⁰. 57

58 A central challenge in the process of deorphanization is the choice of a measurable outcome 59 of receptor activation. Cell-based assays exploiting various signaling properties and readouts 60 have been developed, each with their own advantages and limitations. These assays measure proximal events such as heterotrimeric G protein dissociation²¹⁻²⁴ or β-arrestin recruitment^{25,26}; 61 they quantify the accumulation of second messengers²⁷⁻³⁰; or they utilize genetic reporters that 62 depend on transcriptional regulation events initiated by GPCRs^{31,32}. Further sets of assays are 63 64 label-free and agnostic to the molecular mechanism leading to the change being measured^{33,34}. 65 All of these assays differ in sensitivity and time required to obtain a measurable signal. Most 66 importantly, when studying orphan GPCRs, lack of information about G protein coupling profile or 67 ability to recruit β -arrestins requires further considerations. To partially address this issue, we 68 recently quantified the constitutive activity of several orphan GPCRs and showed that many are 69 coupled to G proteins belonging to the $G_{i/o/z}$ family³⁵.

Here, we performed an in-depth optimization of a cell-based assay aimed at measuring $G_{i/o/z}$ coupled receptor activation. We demonstrated an improved sensitivity and the wide applicability of this assay that we named G_z Enhanced Signal Transduction assaY (G_z ESTY). We generated and tested an all-in-one plasmid for the mammalian expression of each component required for

G_zESTY at the optimized ratio. Finally, we applied this assay to demonstrate the presence of endogenous ligands for orphan receptors GPR176 and GPR37 in unfractionated mouse brain extract.

77

78 2. METHODS

79 2.1 Cell cultures and transfections

80 HEK293T/17 cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured 81 at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Gibco, 10567-014) 82 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biowest, S1520), Minimum Eagle's Medium 83 (MEM) non-essential amino acids (Gibco, 11140-050), sodium pyruvate (Gibco, 11360-070), 84 GlutaMAX (Gibco, 35050-061), and antibiotics (100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml 85 streptomycin; Gibco, 15140-122). 1 x 10⁶ cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates in medium 86 without antibiotics. After 4 hours, cells were transfected using linear 25 kDa polyethylenimine 87 (PEI) (Polysciences; 23966) at a 1:3 ratio between total µg of DNA plasmid (2.5 µg) and µl of PEI 88 (7.5 µl). A pcDNA3.1 empty vector was used to normalize the amount of transfected DNA. 89 Charcoal-stripped FBS (S162C) and dialyzed FBS (347G18-D) were purchased from Biowest.

90 2.2 DNA constructs and cloning

91 Plasmids encoding Dopamine D2 Receptor (D2R), GABAB receptor subunits (GABBR1 and 92 GABBR2), α2-adrenergic receptor 2A (ADRA2A), μ-opioid receptor (MOR), δ-opioid receptor 93 (DOR), κ-opioid receptor (KOR) α2-adrenergic receptor 2B (ADRA2B), α2-adrenergic receptor 2C (ADRA2C), serotonin receptor 1B (5-HT1B), masGRK3CT-Nluc, PTX-S1, and GaoA were 94 aenerous gifts from Dr. Kirill Martemvanov (UF Scripps Institute, FL), GB1-Venus¹⁵⁶⁻²³⁹ and Gv2-95 96 Venus¹⁻¹⁵⁵ were generous gifts from Dr. Nevin Lambert (Augusta University, GA) ²². Codon-97 optimized coding sequences of the following receptors were a kind gift from Dr. Bryan Roth 98 (University of North Carolina, NC; Addgene kit no. 1000000068)³¹ and were used for subcloning 99 into pcDNA3.1 plasmids removing V2-tail, TEV site, and tTA sequences: follicle-stimulating 100 hormone receptor (FSHR), cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1R), cannabinoid receptor 2 (CB2R), 101 sphingosine-1 phosphate receptor 1 (S1PR1), lysophosphatidic acid 2 receptor (LPAR2), 102 somatostatin receptor 1 (SSTR1), neuropeptide FF receptor 1 (NPFFR1), free fatty acid receptor 103 3 (FFA3R), galanin 1 receptor (GALR1), formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1), hydroxycarboxylic acid 104 receptor 2 (HCAR2), neuropeptide Y receptor Y1 (NPYR1), protease-activated receptor 1 (PAR1), 105 protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR2), histamine receptor H3 (HRH3), dopamine D1 receptor

106 (D1R), dopamine D3 receptor (D3R), adenosine A2B receptor (ADORA2B), parathyroid hormone 107 1 receptor (PTH1R), melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R), and calcitonin-like receptor (CLR). 108 Plasmids encoding the cAMP sensor (pGloSensor[™]-22F) and CRE-Nluc reporter were 109 purchased from Promega. pFL-tk encoding firefly luciferase under the control of constitutively 110 active thymidine kinase promoter was used as a normalizer for reporter assays. The plasmids 111 encoding the following G_s-derived chimeras were a kind gift from Dr. Robert Lucas (University of 112 Manchester, UK)²⁷: GsGz (Addgene plasmid #109355), GsGo (Cys) (Addgene plasmid #109375), 113 GsGi (Cys) (Addgene plasmid #109373). pOZITX-S1 was a kind gift from Jonathan Javitch 114 (Addgene plasmid #184925). All constructs were verified by Sanger sequencing.

115 A modular plasmid system was used to assemble the components of G_zESTY into single 116 multicomponent plasmids. Five insert shuttle plasmids (P1-P5) and a destination plasmid were 117 constructed with matching homology regions for Gibson assembly flanking an insert sequence. 118 For assembly, the shuttle plasmids and destination plasmid were digested with the Type IIS 119 restriction enzyme CspCI, which possesses a relatively rare 7-bp recognition sequence. As CspCI 120 is a type IIS restriction sequence, it cleaves outside of its recognition sequence, allowing for 121 generation of DNA fragments containing unique ends for homology-directed assembly (i.e. 122 Gibson assembly). P1 contained a short CMV promoter (lacks CspCl site found in conventional 123 CMV promoter) driving expression of GloSensor-22F, P2 contained a short ubiquitin C promoter 124 (UbC) driving expression of PTX-S1, P3 contained an ampicillin selection marker and a short 125 CMV promoter driving expression of the receptor of interest, P4 was generally a blank insert 126 except for the GABAB receptor for which P4 contained a short CMV promoter driving expression 127 of the GABAB receptor subunit 2 (with P3 containing subunit 1), and P5 contained a UbC promoter 128 driving expression of the GsGz chimera. To generate plasmids only containing some of these 129 inserts of interest, blank inserts were used for any of P1-P5 containing GzESTY components not 130 required. The destination plasmid was a pUC57-Kan containing the homologous sequences for 131 Gibson assembly of the inserts. Of note, a plasmid with an alternate resistance marker to AmpR 132 was used as this allows for selection of the final assembled clone without any carry-through of 133 undigested insert plasmids. The CspCI digest contained 110 fmol of each insert plasmid (~375 134 ng for a 5 kb insert plasmid), 37.5 fmol of the destination plasmid (~125 ng for a 5 kb destination 135 plasmid), 5 µL rCutSmart buffer (NEB), and 1 µL CspCI (5,000 units/mL; NEB) in a 50 µL reaction, 136 incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour, and heat inactivated at 65 °C for 20 minutes. For the Gibson 137 assembly, 5 µL of the CspCI digest was mixed with 5 µL of NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master 138 Mix (NEB), incubated at 50 °C for 15 minutes. For transformation of the assembled plasmid, 1.5 139 µL of the Gibson assembly mix was transformed into either NEB 5-alpha Competent E. coli (NEB)

or NEB 10-beta Electrocompetent *E. coli* (NEB) and plate on selective LB agar containing both
 kanamycin (selects for destination plasmid backbone) and ampicillin (selects for P3 insert).

142

143 **2.3 Chemicals**

144 The following chemicals were purchased: clonidine (Tocris), dopamine (Tocris), GABA (Tocris), 145 serotonin (Tocris), 1-oleoyl lysophosphatidic acid (Tocris), DAMGO (MedChemExpress), TFLLR 146 (MedChemExpress), human PAR-2 (1-6, SLIGKV) (MedChemExpress), human galanin (1-30) 147 (MedChemExpress), IBMX (MedChemExpress), SEW2871 (MedChemExpress), somatostatin-148 14 (Cpc Scientific), human neuropeptide Y (13-36) (Cpc Scientific), neuropeptide FF (Thermo 149 Scientific Chemicals), MK-6892 (MedChemExpress), 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (Cayman 150 Chemicals), N-Formyl-Met-Leu-Phe (R&D systems), SNC80 (Adipogen), isobutyric acid (TCI 151 chemicals), salvinorin A (ChromaDex Inc.), morphine (Mallinckrodt Chemical Company), human 152 β-endorphin (Sigma-Aldrich), teriparatide (MedChemExpress), NDP-α-MSH (Phoenix 153 Pharmaceuticals), AB-MECA (MedChemExpress), quinpirole (Tocris), calcitonin gene-related 154 peptide (CGRP) (AnaSpec).

155 **2.5 cAMP measurements using GzESTY**

HEK293T/17 cells were transfected as described above with pGloSensor™-22F cAMP plasmid 156 157 (Promega) and indicated plasmids for mammalian expression of GPCRs, PTX-S1 (or OZITX-S1), 158 and Gs-based chimeras (GsGo, GsGi, or GsGz). 18 hours post-transfection, cells were 159 mechanically detached using a gentle stream of PBS, centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes, and 160 resuspended in 300 µl of PBS containing 0.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1% glucose. 40 µl of the cell 161 suspension were transferred to each well of 96-well flat-bottomed white plates (Greiner Bio-One). 162 D-Luciferin potassium salt (Gold Bio; #DLUCK100) was dissolved in HEPES buffer 10 mM pH 7.4 163 to obtain a 5x stock at 30 mg/mL. 10 µL of 5X D-luciferin solution were added to the cells. Cells 164 were then incubated at 37° C and 5% CO₂ for 1 hour and then equilibrated in the plate reader at 165 28°C, until stable baseline values were reached (~15 minutes). The luminescence signal was 166 monitored approximately every 30 seconds using a POLARstar Omega microplate reader (BMG 167 Labtech).

In assays performed on coated 96 well plates, cells were transfected in 6-well plates. The day after transfection, the media was removed, and cells were gently washed with PBS once and briefly trypsinized with 100 μ L of trypsin. Trypsinization was blocked by the addition of 900 μ L of transfection media containing 10% FBS, cells were counted and seeded at 6.5 × 10⁴ cells/well on

a sterile white 96-well plate coated with poly-D-lysine. Cells were then incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. 24 hours later, media in each well was replaced with 40 μ L of PBS containing 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% glucose, and 10 μ L of 5X D-Luciferin, and then incubated for 1h at 37°C. The 96-well plate was moved into the plate reader, equilibrated at 28°C until stable luminescence values were obtained and finally treated according to the experiment setup.

177 **2.6 G protein nanoBRET assay**

178 GPCR activation in live cells was measured as BRET signal between Venus-GB1y2 and masGRK3CT-Nluc performed as described previously²³. 2.5 µg of total DNA was transfected 179 180 according to the following ratio: 0.21 μ g of G β 1-Venus(156-239); 0.21 μ g of G γ 2-Venus(1-155); 181 0.21 μ g of masGRK3CT-Nluc; 0.42 μ g of G α_{oA} proteins; and 0.21 μ g of receptor. Empty vector 182 pcDNA3.1 was used to normalize the amount of transfected DNA. 18 hours after transfection, 183 HEK293T/17 cells were washed once with PBS. Cells were then mechanically harvested using a 184 gentle stream of PBS, centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes, and resuspended in 500 µl of PBS 185 containing 0.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1% glucose. 25 µl of resuspend cells were distributed in 96-well 186 flat-bottomed white microplates (Greiner Bio-One). The Nluc substrate, furimazine (N1120; 187 Promega) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Luminescence was quantified 188 at room temperature using a POLARstar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). BRET signal 189 was determined by calculating the ratio of the light emitted by Venus-G β 1y2 (collected using the 190 emission filter 535/30) to the light emitted by masGRK3CT-Nluc (475/30). The average baseline 191 value (basal BRET ratio) was recorded for 5 seconds before agonist application.

192 **2.7 CRE luciferase reporter assay**

HEK293T/17 cells were plated at a density of 1 x 10⁶ cells/well in 6-well plates in an antibiotic-193 194 free medium and transfected as described above. 2.5 µg of total DNA was transfected according 195 to the following ratio: 0.10 µg of pFL-tk plasmid expressing firefly luciferase under control of the 196 constitutive thymidine kinase promoter; 0.21 µg of CRE-Nluc luciferase reporter; 1.98 µg of 197 GPCR; and only in experiments screening $G_{i/o}$ activation, 0.21 µg of GsGi1 chimera. pcDNA3.1 198 was used to normalize the amount of transfected DNA. Cells were incubated overnight and then 199 serum-starved in Opti-MEM for 4 hours before collection. Transfected cells were harvested using 200 a gentle stream of PBS, centrifuged for 5 minutes at 500 ×g, and resuspended in 150 µl of PBS 201 containing 0.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1% glucose. 30 µl of cells were incubated in 96-well flat-bottomed 202 white microplates (Greiner Bio-One) with 30 µl of luciferase substrate according to manufacturers' 203 instructions: furimazine (Promega NanoGlo; N1120) for Nluc, and D-luciferin (Promega BrightGlo; 204 E2610) for firefly luciferase. Luciferase levels were quantified using a POLARstar Omega

microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Firefly luciferase expression was used to normalize the signal
 and compensate for variability due to transfection efficiency and number of cells.

207 2.8 Mice

208 C57BL/6J mice were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled room in the vivarium of the 209 University of Rochester on a 12:12-h light/dark cycle (lights off at 18:00 h) provided with food and 210 water ad libitum. Male and female adult mice were used for the experiments. Mice were sacrificed 211 by cervical dislocation, the head was removed and placed immediately in a microwave at maximum power for 10s to block protease activity³⁶. One brain was removed and immediately 212 213 homogenized in a glass-glass homogenizer with 4mL of PBS. Homogenate was then sonicated 214 three times on ice for 10s at 30% power and spun for 10 min at 14,000g at 4°C. 50 µL of 215 supernatant was then applied to the cells. All procedures were pre-approved and carried out 216 following the University Committee on Animal Resources (UCAR) at the University of Rochester. 217 Bovine pituitary extract was purchased from MP biologicals (cat#2850450).

218 2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software. The number of replicatesand type of statistical analysis used are described in each figure legend.

221

222 **3. RESULTS**

223 **3.1** G_s -based protein chimeras combined with a cAMP biosensor allow for the 224 measurement of the activation of $G_{i/o/z}$ -coupled receptors.

225 Several cell-based assays are currently available to study the activation of G_{i/o/z}-coupled 226 receptors. In the G protein nanoBRET assay, receptor activation in response to ligands is 227 measured as an increase in BRET signal due to the release of G_βy-venus and its interaction with 228 a membrane-anchored biosensor that consists of the C-terminus of GRK3 fused to Nluc 229 (Supplementary Figure 1A)^{22,35}. The main advantage of this assay is the rapid measurement of 230 a proximal event in GPCR activation that allows for real-time analysis of the receptor kinetics but 231 with a trade-off in assay sensitivity (Supplementary Figure 1D). Genetic reporters expressing 232 luciferase under the control of a GPCR-inducible promoter are commonly used. These assays 233 have been developed to detect signals downstream of GPCR coupling to G_{s} (CRE-luc reporter). 234 G_a (NFAT-luc reporter), and G_{12/13} (SRE-luc reporter)³². Activation of G_{i/o/z}-coupled receptors can 235 be quantified as a reduction in the luciferase accumulation using a CRE-luc reporter, or indirectly 236 as $G\beta\gamma$ modulation of signaling pathways regulating the SRE-luc reporter. A more sensitive

237 approach adopts G protein chimeras based on the core of $G\alpha_s$ or $G\alpha_q$ and the last few amino 238 acids of members of the G_{i/o/z} family^{37,38}. This strategy allows the detection of an accumulation of 239 luciferase using CRE-luc and NFAT-luc, respectively, in response to the activation of Gi/o/z-240 coupled receptors (Supplementary Figure 1B). One limitation of this approach is that the 241 receptor must be exposed to an agonist for an extended time to accumulate measurable 242 quantities of luciferase in the cell (Supplementary Figure 1D). During this time, processes like 243 receptor desensitization, internalization, and compensatory mechanisms can take place in the 244 cell reducing the assay reliability. A good compromise between speed and assay sensitivity is 245 based on measuring the accumulation of second messengers. Activation of Gi/o/z-coupled 246 receptors inhibits the activity of adenylyl cyclase thereby reducing cAMP accumulation in 247 response to forskolin treatments. Real-time measurements of cAMP levels can rapidly indicate if 248 a G_{i/a/z}-coupled receptor has been activated. This assay depends on the side-by-side comparison 249 of cAMP accumulation in cells treated with an agonist and in cells treated with vehicle. Such an 250 approach is rapid, achieving a signal plateau within 15 minutes of agonist application 251 (Supplementary Figure 1C-D). Alternatively, co-expression of $G\alpha_s$ -based chimeras bearing the 252 C-terminus of G_{i/o/z} family members can be used to redirect receptor activation to stimulate 253 adenylyl cyclase and cAMP accumulation (Supplementary Figure 1C-D)²⁷. Overall, this last 254 strategy yields an optimal balance between assay duration and sensitivity.

255 Building on these observations, we tested the activation of four prototypical $G_{i/z}$ -coupled 256 GPCRs, dopamine D2 receptor (D2R), α 2 adrenergic receptor (ADRA2A), y-aminobutyric acid B 257 receptor (GABAB), and μ opioid receptor (MOR) using three Ga_s-based chimeras bearing the C-258 terminus of Ga_i, Ga_o, and Ga_z. Application of selective agonists revealed a robust accumulation 259 of intracellular cAMP as measured using a split-luciferase GloSensor (Figure 1A). We measured 260 baseline luminescence for 3 minutes before applying each agonist as well as the maximal 261 amplitude obtained in response to agonist stimulation (Figure 1B). Using these measurements, 262 we calculated the fold change induction for each condition as an index that we can use to compare 263 sensitivity between assays (Figure 1C). These experiments revealed that the GsGz chimera 264 always showed a low baseline that contributed to the highest fold change observed with three out 265 of four receptors.

266

3.2 The assay sensitivity shows a clear dependence on both the transfection ratio of assay components and the inhibition of endogenous G_{i/o} proteins.

269 We optimized the assay conditions by adjusting the amount of transfected plasmids used 270 to express each $G\alpha_s$ -chimera. (**Figure 2A**). We found that, generally, excessive amounts of $G\alpha_s$ -

271 chimeras result in higher baselines, which attenuate the observed fold change (Supplementary 272 **Figure 2**). Moreover, considering that GsGz, just like $G\alpha_z$, is insensitive to the action of pertussis 273 toxin (PTX), we introduced it in the assay aiming to reduce the adenylyl cyclase inhibition due to 274 endogenous $G\alpha_{i/o}$ proteins. We found that very low levels of transfected PTX boosted the 275 induction of cAMP for each GPCR from a minimum of 1.5 times (GABABR) to a maximum of 6.1 276 times (D2R) (Figure 2B; Supplementary Figure 3). Similarly, keeping a fixed amount of PTX, 277 we titrated the amount of the GsGz chimera. We observed comparable effects with each of the 278 four receptors indicating an optimal amount of GsGz at 45-138 ng over a total of 2.5 µg of 279 transfected DNA (Figure 2C; Supplementary Figure 4). Finally, we observed that the greatest 280 accumulation of cAMP was achieved when transfecting the highest amount of receptor (Figure 281 **2D**; Supplementary Figure 5). Based on these results, we recalibrated the amount of each 282 transfected plasmid, expressing the four assay components, and determined the optimal conditions as a molar ratio of 50:47.5:1.8:0.7 (GloSensor:GPCR:GsGz:PTX) (Figure 2E). These 283 284 optimization steps led to an overall 3-6 fold improvement in assay sensitivity measured as a fold 285 change accumulation in cAMP. Given that HEK293 cells express $G\alpha_z^{39}$, we explored if 286 transfecting cells with the catalytic subunit of OZITX instead of PTX would further boost the signal 287 because it inhibits each $G_{i/0/7}$ protein including G_{z}^{40} . We initially verified the effect of OZITX on the 288 activation of wild-type G_{i/o/z} proteins and G protein chimeras using the G protein nanoBRET assay 289 (Supplementary Figure 6A). Our data indicate a complete abolishment of signal initiated by wild 290 type $G\alpha_0$, $G\alpha_1$, and $G\alpha_2$, while the inhibition of the Gs-based chimeras was minimal for GsGo and 291 GsGz, and more pronounced but incomplete for GsGi (Supplementary Figure 6B). We then 292 incorporated OZITX in our assay and tested the activation of D2R, GABABR, ADRA2A, and MOR. 293 Our findings indicate that, overall, OZITX demonstrated a comparable effect to PTX, with no 294 significant enhancement observed in the assay. (Supplementary Figure 6C).

295

3.3 Multiple conditions can further affect signal detection in G_z**ESTY.**

297 Further conditions can significantly affect assay sensitivity. As previously reported²⁸, we first confirmed that GloSensor assays produce a greater signal when performed at 28°C 298 compared to 23°C or 37°C (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure 7). Moreover, the presence of 299 300 ligands in the culturing media can affect GPCR activation because of desensitization processes. 301 We tested this factor by serum starving the cells for 4 hours or changing the cell culture media 302 after transfection to one containing 10% charcoal-stripped FBS or 10% dialyzed FBS (Figure 3B; 303 **Supplementary Figure 8**). Our data showed that these four prototypical GPCRs are generally 304 not affected by the presence of normal serum, or they are negatively impacted by its absence.

305 Furthermore, many GPCRs have been shown to interact with extracellular matrix components⁴¹ 306 and, therefore, the analysis of their signaling properties may be different if studied using cells in 307 adhesion or in suspension. We tested the same four GPCRs in these two conditions and we found 308 that, indeed, GABAB receptor shows a significantly higher signal when cells are cultured in poly-309 D-lysine coated wells (Figure 3C; Supplementary Figure 9). Finally, we tested the possible 310 advantage of blocking endogenous phosphodiesterases (PDEs) with IBMX to enhance the 311 accumulation of cAMP (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figure 10). As expected, we found that 312 applying IBMX before recording the baseline significantly increased the baseline reading and 313 reduced the overall fold change observed. However, when IBMX was applied at the same time 314 as the agonist, we measured a significant increase in signal (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figure 315 **10**). By subtracting the signal obtained by applying IBMX with the vehicle from the total luminesce 316 observed, we found that the increased signal was not simply due to the simultaneous activation 317 of adenylyl cyclase and inhibition of PDEs.

318 To quantify the robustness of $G_z ESTY$, we calculated a screening window coefficient (Z 319 factor). This factor reflects both the dynamic range of the assay and its variability, and it is a 320 significant metric of the assay quality. Considering the overall goal of using G_zESTY for GPCR 321 deorphanization where agonists are normally not available, we calculated the Z factor in two 322 different conditions. We first compared cells transfected with D2R or GABAB receptors and 323 treated with the respective agonist vs vehicle (Figure 3E; Supplementary Figure 11A). Then, 324 we analyzed the effect of agonist treatments on cells expressing each GPCR vs mock-transfected 325 cells (Figure 3F; Supplementary Figure 11B). This condition mimics the conditions for high-326 throughput screening to identify orphan GPCR ligands. Independent replicates conducted on 327 different days demonstrated that the assay is highly reproducible, with Z factors calculated at 0.75 328 and 0.83 for D2R, and 0.78 for both conditions for the GABAB receptor (Figure 3G; 329 Supplementary Figure 11C).

330

331 **3.4 Generation of an all-in-one plasmid for G_zESTY cell delivery.**

Like most cell-based systems used to detect GPCR signaling, G_zESTY is a multicomponent assay (**Figure 4A**). As a result, functional measurements require efficient codelivery of multiple plasmids in each cell. This issue is normally overcome by averaging the signal of a large number of cells assuming that a significant fraction will express all the components. We recently generated a facile system to produce large plasmids encoding multiple proteins under the control of individual promoters. This approach guarantees that each transfected cell will express all of the necessary components, thereby increasing the assay's sensitivity and

339 simplifying transfection protocols. To select promoters to drive the expression of each component 340 recapitulating our previously defined ratios, we performed a promoter efficiency assay (Figure 341 **4B**). Based on these results, we selected a minimal CMV promoter to express the GloSensor and 342 GPCRs, and a UbC promoter for the expression of PTX-S1 and GsGz. We subcloned each 343 component accordingly and generated a set of all-in-one plasmids (Figure 4C). We then tested 344 these newly generated tools in cells transiently transfected with each of our four prototypical Gi/o/z-345 coupled receptors that we stimulated with selective agonists. We found that the 4-in-one plasmids 346 performance was comparable to the co-transfection of multiple plasmids, but greatly simplified 347 transfection protocols and reproducibility (Figure 4D).

348 Next, we expanded the analysis of G_zESTY capabilities to a larger array of 24 G_{i/o/z}-349 coupled GPCRs paired with the 3-in-one construct. We confirmed the suitability of the assay to 350 study the pharmacology of 23 out of 24 $G_{i/o/z}$ -coupled receptors (**Figure 5A** and **5C**). At the same 351 time, we confirmed that in our setup we can still detect the activation of 5 Gs-coupled receptors 352 (Figure 5A). Overall, this data demonstrated that $G_z ESTY$ is a powerful tool for GPCR 353 deorphanization as it sensitively detects activation of both Gi/o/z- and Gs-coupled GPCRs, 354 potentially enabling the study of 86% of the GPCRome and, by similarity, most of the orphan 355 GPCRs (**Figure 5B**). We next investigated if G_z ESTY was suitable to detect partial agonism. 356 Using MOR as a model, we obtained concentration-response curves after stimulation with the 357 endogenous ligand β -endorphin (pEC50=5.45, E_{max}=62.91), with the full agonist DAMGO 358 (pEC50=7.17; E_{max}=59.53), and with the partial agonist morphine (pEC50=7.21, E_{max}=38.85) that 359 showed 65% of the maximal amplitude measured with DAMGO (Figure 6A). We also 360 demonstrated that G_zESTY can be used to study the activation of endogenously expressed 361 receptors. To this goal, concentration-response curves were obtained from cells transfected with 362 the 3-in-one construct, which does not express any exogenous GPCR, and treated with selective 363 peptidic agonists activating endogenously expressed protease-activated receptor (PAR) PAR1 364 (pEC50=4.56, E_{max}=73.87) or PAR2 (pEC50=4.97, E_{max}=103.70). Cells transfected with the 2-in-365 one construct (no GsGz chimera) served as negative control (Figure 6B).

366

367 **3.5 G_zESTY application to GPCR deorphanization.**

To assess the suitability of G_z ESTY in projects aiming at the identification of endogenous ligands for orphan GPCRs, we treated cells co-transfected with the 3-in-one plasmid and individual GPCRs with a raw source of endogenous ligands. Historically, similar strategies were successfully used to isolate anandamide from pig brain, identifying it as an endogenous ligand for cannabinoid receptors⁴²; these methods also facilitated the identification of ghrelin from rat

stomach extract⁴³, and helped pair other GPCRs with their endogenous ligands⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷. To this goal, 373 374 we prepared a crude aqueous mouse brain extract that we applied to $G_z ESTY$ -transfected cells, 375 and we measured cAMP accumulation over time (Figure 6C). Control cells transfected with the 376 3-in-one plasmid and therefore expressing GloSensor, GsGz, and PTX, but not exogenous 377 GPCRs, showed significant induction of cAMP levels likely due to the activation of endogenous 378 receptors. This baseline was used to normalize the signal obtained from cells overexpressing 379 exogenous GPCRs. Applying this strategy, we demonstrated that the high sensitivity of $G_z ESTY$ 380 enables the detection of robust and consistent activation of D2R, ADRA2A, GABAB, and MOR 381 from crude brain extracts, which likely contain highly diluted endogenous agonists (Figure 6D). 382 We next apply the same strategy to explore the possible activation of a battery of orphan GPCRs 383 from crude brain extracts. Intriguingly, we detected a consistent activation of orphan receptors 384 GPR176 and GPR37 suggesting a significant presence of their endogenous ligands in the mouse 385 brain (Figure 6E). Both these orphan GPCRs are expressed in the brain, and they are reported 386 to couple to heterotrimeric G proteins of the $G_{i/o/z}$ family, but their endogenous ligands have not 387 been identified or agreed upon⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. We performed parallel experiments using the same strategy 388 to test the presence of GPCR ligands in bovine pituitary extracts. We reasoned that many GPCRs 389 are activated by hormones released by glands, and we used the follicle-stimulating hormone 390 (FSH) receptor as a positive control, as its endogenous ligand is secreted by the pituitary gland. 391 We found that pituitary extract can indeed activate FSHR, and we measured some level of 392 activation of GABAB receptor, but none of the orphan GPCRs we tested were activated 393 (Supplementary Figure 12).

394

395 4. DISCUSSION

396 Orphan GPCRs, by definition, have unknown or poorly understood ligands and signaling 397 mechanisms. Without a known ligand or baseline receptor activity, designing assays to measure 398 their activation or inhibition becomes a complex task. A major obstacle in identifying endogenous 399 ligands for orphan GPCRs is the absence of sensitive assays capable of detecting low 400 concentrations of these ligands in biological samples. This challenge is especially pronounced for 401 orphan GPCRs that couple to heterotrimeric G proteins of the $G_{i/o/z}$ family, which, according to the 402 G protein database (GPCRdb.org), represent 72% of deorphanized GPCRs, with 30% being 403 uniquely coupled to $G_{i/o/z}$ proteins^{51,52}. To address this problem, we designed G_z ESTY, an 404 optimized cell-based assay that enables robust detection of GPCR activation in response to both 405 purified ligands and mixed sources of molecules, including those containing diluted ligands.

406 Our work identified optimal assay conditions that apply to each GPCR tested, such as the 407 temperature of the assay, ratio of assay components, and presence of IBMX, while other 408 parameters appear to affect the readout in a GPCR-specific manner. For example, we did not 409 observe a significant effect of serum on the assay outcome for four prototypical GPCRs tested, 410 however, the presence of ligands in the culture media has been shown to induce receptor 411 desensitization and internalization halting the access of extracellular ligands to the receptor 412 itself⁵³⁻⁵⁵. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that testing certain GPCRs may benefit 413 from using reduced serum content or dialyzed serum. This aspect will require specific assessment 414 for individual receptors. Using IBMX has the advantage of enhancing the detected signal, thereby 415 improving the assay's sensitivity. Additionally, it helps eliminate false positives in high-throughput 416 screens caused by the presence of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in many compound libraries. By 417 applying innovative cloning techniques, we generated large plasmids that encode multiple 418 proteins under the control of unique promoters. These all-in-one plasmids have the advantage of 419 expressing each component at precisely defined and optimized ratios. Moreover, their use greatly 420 simplifies transfection protocols for large-scale screening efforts. Using all-in-one plasmids, we 421 showed that G_zESTY is suitable to detect the activation of endogenously expressed receptors. 422 Delivery of the 3-in-one plasmid to relevant cell types can be combined with agonist treatment to 423 perform pharmacological studies of receptors of interest in a physiologically relevant context. Our 424 initial optimization steps for G_zESTY were performed using four prototypical GPCRs (D2R, 425 ADRA2A, GABAB, and MOR). The optimized protocol was later applied to an array of 24 Gi/o/z-426 coupled GPCRs. The results showed that the great majority exhibited over a 20-fold change in 427 signal compared to baseline, suggesting ideal signal-to-noise properties for the assay. We also 428 observed smaller signal amplitude for a few receptors. This lower sensitivity may depend on 429 several factors including the presence of endogenous agonists in the culture media that can lead 430 to receptor desensitization or the requirement of performing the assay with cells in adhesion. 431 Transfected receptors may also not be properly expressed, targeted to the plasma membrane, or 432 require accessory proteins to generate functional receptor complexes. Therefore, when working 433 with a specific receptor it is recommended to test multiple assay conditions in the cell type of 434 choice to achieve an optimal assay sensitivity.

435 Screening efforts to identify orphan GPCR agonists will be markedly enhanced by utilizing 436 G_z ESTY. Analysis of the constitutive activity of orphan GPCRs provides valuable information 437 regarding their G protein coupling profile^{35,56,57}. Recent studies have shown that, similar to ligand-438 activated GPCRs, the majority of orphan GPCRs couple to heterotrimeric G proteins of the $G_{i/o/z}$ 439 family. This makes them suitable candidates for analysis using G_z ESTY. Furthermore, given the

440 limited understanding of the G protein coupling profile for many orphan GPCRs, a key benefit of 441 G_z ESTY is its ability to detect the activation of approximately 86% of GPCRs. This estimate is 442 based on coupling data regarding the number of GPCRs that can couple to G_s and/or $G_{i/o/z}$ 443 proteins (**Figure 5B**).

444 The isolation of orphan GPCR endogenous ligands relies significantly on the availability 445 of methods that can accurately detect their presence in raw unfractionated extracts. In this study, 446 we utilized G_zESTY to identify the existence of endogenous ligands for orphan GPCRs in the 447 mouse brain. Our approach consistently detected known neurotransmitters capable of activating 448 adrenergic, dopaminergic, GABAergic, and opioid receptors, thereby validating the method. 449 Notably, we also identified the presence of ligands that can activate class A orphan receptors 450 GPR176 and GPR37. GPR176 was previously found to be enriched in the mouse 451 suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus, the central pacemaker that controls the 452 circadian rhythm^{48,58}. Its protein expression levels oscillate according to the circadian period being 453 higher during the night, and GPR176-deficient mice display a significantly shorter circadian period 454 compared to their wild-type littermates. Further studies indicated that GPR176 is probably not a 455 light signal-related receptor for the SCN; rather, it seems to be involved in determining the intrinsic 456 period of the SCN. Finally, heterologous expression of GPR176 blunted the forskolin-induced 457 accumulation of cAMP suggesting its coupling to heterotrimeric $G_{i/a/z}$ proteins⁴⁸. Overall, these 458 findings indicate that GPR176 is involved in the suppression of cAMP production in the SCN 459 during nighttime, which serves as the molecular mechanism for its regulation of the circadian 460 period⁵⁹. GPR176 roles outside the central nervous system have also been described⁶⁰; however, 461 no endogenous or synthetic ligands capable of activating GPR176 have been identified vet. With 462 G_zESTY enabling the detection of GPR176 endogenous ligand in the mouse brain, we will be 463 able to perform further studies leading to its isolation. GPR37 is a brain-enriched orphan GPCR 464 with some of the highest transcript levels among all GPCRs⁶¹. Despite its well-studied involvement 465 in Parkinson's disease, inflammatory responses, and pain, the identity of its endogenous ligand remains a topic of debate^{49,62-64}. Early studies proposed prosaptide, a fragment of the secreted 466 467 neuroprotective and glioprotective factor prosaposin, as the endogenous ligand for GPR37⁵⁰. The 468 identity of this ligand-receptor pair was supported by the fact that prosaptide stimulation of cells 469 transfected with GPR37 induced ERK phosphorylation in a PTX-sensitive manner suggesting 470 coupling to G_{i/o} proteins⁵⁰. Moreover, ³⁵S-GTPyS binding assays and inhibition of forskolin-471 induced cAMP accumulation all pointed at prosaptide as the endogenous ligand for GPR37⁵⁰. 472 GPR37 activation by prosaptide was later confirmed by measuring a PTX-sensitive intracellular 473 calcium mobilization in GPR37 transfected HEK293 cells⁶⁵. In the same study, activation by

474 neuroprotectin D1 was also observed. Studies in astrocytes also detected GPR37 activation by 475 prosaptide⁶⁶. However, the claim that prosaposin and prosaptide are the endogenous ligands for 476 GPR37 was later challenged by reports demonstrating that GPR37 shows high constitutive 477 activity and that prosaptide treatments were ineffective (Smith, 2015). Consequently, the 478 prosaptide/GPR37 pairing has yet to be approved by the International Union of Basic and Clinical 479 Pharmacology (IUPHAR) Nomenclature Committee. Given that multiple endogenous ligands can 480 activate the same receptor⁸, the pursuit of identifying GPR37 ligands detected using $G_z ESTY$ is 481 a valuable endeavor.

482

483 Acknowledgments:

Author Contributions: Experimental investigation and data analysis, L.F., J.J.P., J.D.L. and C.O.;
 Conceptualization, L.F. and C.O.; writing and editing—original draft preparation, C.O.; All authors
 have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by start-up funding from the Department of Pharmacology
and Physiology, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry to C.O.; Ernest J. Del
Monte Institute for Neuroscience Pilot Program, University of Rochester, to C.O.; NIDCD/NIH
grant DC022104 to C.O.; R01HL153988 to J.D.L.; The Foundation Blanceflor Boncompagni
Ludovisi-née Bildt fellowship to L.F.

492 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

493 References

- 1 Insel, P. A. *et al.* GPCRomics: An Approach to Discover GPCR Drug Targets. *Trends in pharmacological sciences* **40**, 378-387, doi:10.1016/j.tips.2019.04.001 (2019).
- Sriram, K. & Insel, P. A. G Protein-Coupled Receptors as Targets for Approved Drugs:
 How Many Targets and How Many Drugs? *Molecular pharmacology* 93, 251-258, doi:10.1124/mol.117.111062 (2018).
- Gomes, I. *et al.* GPR171 is a hypothalamic G protein-coupled receptor for BigLEN, a
 neuropeptide involved in feeding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 16211-16216,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1312938110 (2013).
- 502 4 Gomes, I. *et al.* Identification of GPR83 as the receptor for the neuroendocrine peptide 503 PEN. *Sci Signal* **9**, ra43, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aad0694 (2016).
- 504 5 Yosten, G. L. *et al.* GPR160 de-orphanization reveals critical roles in neuropathic pain in rodents. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 2587-2592, doi:10.1172/JCl133270 (2020).
- 506 6 Franchini, L. & Orlandi, C. Probing the orphan receptors: Tools and directions. *Prog Mol* 507 *Biol Transl Sci* **195**, 47-76, doi:10.1016/bs.pmbts.2022.06.011 (2023).
- 508 7 De Giovanni, M. *et al.* GPR35 promotes neutrophil recruitment in response to serotonin 509 metabolite 5-HIAA. *Cell* **185**, 815-830 e819, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.010 (2022).
- 510 8 Foster, S. R. *et al.* Discovery of Human Signaling Systems: Pairing Peptides to G Protein-511 Coupled Receptors. *Cell* **179**, 895-908 e821, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.010 (2019).
- 512 9 Liberles, S. D. & Buck, L. B. A second class of chemosensory receptors in the olfactory 513 epithelium. *Nature* **442**, 645-650, doi:10.1038/nature05066 (2006).
- 51410Isberg, V. et al. Computer-aided discovery of aromatic I-alpha-amino acids as agonists of515the orphan G protein-coupled receptor GPR139. J Chem Inf Model 54, 1553-1557,516doi:10.1021/ci500197a (2014).
- 517 11 Southern, C. *et al.* Screening beta-arrestin recruitment for the identification of natural 518 ligands for orphan G-protein-coupled receptors. *J Biomol Screen* **18**, 599-609, 519 doi:10.1177/1087057113475480 (2013).
- 520 12 Graaf, C. *et al.* Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 and Its Class B G Protein-Coupled Receptors: A
 521 Long March to Therapeutic Successes. *Pharmacological reviews* 68, 954-1013,
 522 doi:10.1124/pr.115.011395 (2016).
- 523 13 Goke, R. & Conlon, J. M. Receptors for glucagon-like peptide-1(7-36) amide on rat 524 insulinoma-derived cells. *J Endocrinol* **116**, 357-362, doi:10.1677/joe.0.1160357 (1988).
- 525 14 Shimizu, I., Hirota, M., Ohboshi, C. & Shima, K. Identification and localization of glucagon-526 like peptide-1 and its receptor in rat brain. *Endocrinology* **121**, 1076-1082, 527 doi:10.1210/endo-121-3-1076 (1987).
- 52815Thorens, B. Expression cloning of the pancreatic beta cell receptor for the gluco-incretin529hormone glucagon-like peptide 1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of530the United States of America 89, 8641-8645, doi:10.1073/pnas.89.18.8641 (1992).
- 53116Graziano, M. P., Hey, P. J., Borkowski, D., Chicchi, G. G. & Strader, C. D. Cloning and532functional expression of a human glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor. *Biochemical and*533*biophysical research communications* **196**, 141-146, doi:10.1006/bbrc.1993.2226 (1993).
- 53417Dillon, J. S. *et al.* Cloning and functional expression of the human glucagon-like peptide-5351 (GLP-1) receptor. *Endocrinology* **133**, 1907-1910, doi:10.1210/endo.133.4.8404634536(1993).
- 537 18 Knudsen, L. B. & Lau, J. The Discovery and Development of Liraglutide and Semaglutide.
 538 Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 10, 155, doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00155 (2019).
- 539 19 ElSayed, N. A. *et al.* 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2023. *Diabetes Care* **46**, S140-S157, doi:10.2337/dc23-S009 (2023).
- 541 20 FDA. FDA Approves New Drug Treatment for Chronic Weight Management, First Since 2014. (2021).

- 543 21 Olsen, R. H. J. *et al.* TRUPATH, an open-source biosensor platform for interrogating the
 544 GPCR transducerome. *Nature chemical biology* **16**, 841-849, doi:10.1038/s41589-020545 0535-8 (2020).
- Hollins, B., Kuravi, S., Digby, G. J. & Lambert, N. A. The c-terminus of GRK3 indicates
 rapid dissociation of G protein heterotrimers. *Cellular signalling* 21, 1015-1021,
 doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.02.017 (2009).
- 54923Masuho, I. *et al.* Distinct profiles of functional discrimination among G proteins determine550the actions of G protein-coupled receptors. Science signaling 8, ra123,551doi:10.1126/scisignal.aab4068 (2015).
- 552 24 Jang, W., Lu, S., Xu, X., Wu, G. & Lambert, N. A. The role of G protein conformation in receptor-G protein selectivity. *Nat Chem Biol*, doi:10.1038/s41589-022-01231-z (2023).
- 554 25 Cao, Y., Namkung, Y. & Laporte, S. A. Methods to Monitor the Trafficking of beta555 Arrestin/G Protein-Coupled Receptor Complexes Using Enhanced Bystander BRET.
 556 *Methods Mol Biol* **1957**, 59-68, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9158-7_3 (2019).
- 557 26 Namkung, Y. et al. Monitoring G protein-coupled receptor and beta-arrestin trafficking in enhanced 558 live cells using bystander BRET. Nat Commun 7, 12178. 559 doi:10.1038/ncomms12178 (2016).
- Ballister, E. R., Rodgers, J., Martial, F. & Lucas, R. J. A live cell assay of GPCR coupling
 allows identification of optogenetic tools for controlling Go and Gi signaling. *BMC Biol* 16,
 doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0475-2 (2018).
- 563 28 Binkowski, B. F. *et al.* A luminescent biosensor with increased dynamic range for 564 intracellular cAMP. *ACS Chem Biol* **6**, 1193-1197, doi:10.1021/cb200248h (2011).
- 565 29 Jiang, L. I. *et al.* Use of a cAMP BRET sensor to characterize a novel regulation of cAMP 566 by the sphingosine 1-phosphate/G13 pathway. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 10576-10584, 567 doi:10.1074/jbc.M609695200 (2007).
- 56830Ma, Q., Ye, L., Liu, H., Shi, Y. & Zhou, N. An overview of Ca(2+) mobilization assays in569GPCR drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 12, 511-523,570doi:10.1080/17460441.2017.1303473 (2017).
- 571 31 Kroeze, W. K. *et al.* PRESTO-Tango as an open-source resource for interrogation of the 572 druggable human GPCRome. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **22**, 362-369, 573 doi:10.1038/nsmb.3014 (2015).
- 574 32 Cheng, Z. *et al.* Luciferase Reporter Assay System for Deciphering GPCR Pathways. 575 *Current chemical genomics* **4**, 84-91, doi:10.2174/1875397301004010084 (2010).
- 576 33 Fang, Y., Li, G. & Ferrie, A. M. Non-invasive optical biosensor for assaying endogenous
 577 G protein-coupled receptors in adherent cells. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* 55, 314-322,
 578 doi:10.1016/j.vascn.2006.11.001 (2007).
- 579 34 Verdonk, E. *et al.* Cellular dielectric spectroscopy: a label-free comprehensive platform for
 580 functional evaluation of endogenous receptors. Assay Drug Dev Technol 4, 609-619,
 581 doi:10.1089/adt.2006.4.609 (2006).
- 58235Watkins, L. R. & Orlandi, C. In vitro profiling of orphan G protein coupled receptor (GPCR)583constitutive activity. Br J Pharmacol **178**, 2963-2975, doi:10.1111/bph.15468 (2021).
- S84 36 Che, F. Y., Lim, J., Pan, H., Biswas, R. & Fricker, L. D. Quantitative neuropeptidomics of
 microwave-irradiated mouse brain and pituitary. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 4, 1391-1405,
 doi:10.1074/mcp.T500010-MCP200 (2005).
- 587 37 Conklin, B. R., Farfel, Z., Lustig, K. D., Julius, D. & Bourne, H. R. Substitution of three 588 amino acids switches receptor specificity of Gq alpha to that of Gi alpha. *Nature* **363**, 274-589 276, doi:10.1038/363274a0 (1993).
- Inoue, A. *et al.* Illuminating G-Protein-Coupling Selectivity of GPCRs. *Cell* 177, 1933-1947
 e1925, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.044 (2019).
- 592 39 Barnett, M. E., Knapp, B. I. & Bidlack, J. M. Unique Pharmacological Properties of the 593 Kappa Opioid Receptor Signaling Through Galphaz as Shown with Bioluminescence

- 594Resonance Energy Tranfer. Mol Pharmacol 98, 462-474, doi:10.1124/mol.120.119404595(2020).
- 596 40 Keen, A. C. *et al.* OZITX, a pertussis toxin-like protein for occluding inhibitory G protein 597 signalling including Galpha(z). *Commun Biol* **5**, 256, doi:10.1038/s42003-022-03191-5 598 (2022).
- 599 41 Dunn, H. A., Orlandi, C. & Martemyanov, K. A. Beyond the Ligand: Extracellular and
 600 Transcellular G Protein-Coupled Receptor Complexes in Physiology and Pharmacology.
 601 Pharmacological reviews 71, 503-519, doi:10.1124/pr.119.018044 (2019).
- 602 42 Devane, W. A. *et al.* Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science* **258**, 1946-1949, doi:10.1126/science.1470919 (1992).
- 60443Kojima, M. et al. Ghrelin is a growth-hormone-releasing acylated peptide from stomach.605Nature 402, 656-660, doi:10.1038/45230 (1999).
- 60644Staleva, L. & Orlow, S. J. Ocular albinism 1 protein: trafficking and function when607expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.Exp Eye Res82, 311-318,608doi:10.1016/j.exer.2005.07.003 (2006).
- 60945Tatemoto, K. et al. Isolation and characterization of a novel endogenous peptide ligand610for the human APJ receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 251, 471-476,611doi:10.1006/bbrc.1998.9489 (1998).
- Mechoulam, R. *et al.* Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine
 gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol* 50, 83-90,
 doi:10.1016/0006-2952(95)00109-d (1995).
- Franchini, L. & Orlandi, C. Deorphanization of G Protein Coupled Receptors (GPCRs): a
 historical perspective. *Mol Pharmacol*, doi:10.1124/molpharm.124.000900 (2024).
- 617 48 Doi, M. *et al.* Gpr176 is a Gz-linked orphan G-protein-coupled receptor that sets the pace 618 of circadian behaviour. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10583, doi:10.1038/ncomms10583 (2016).
- 619 49 Smith, N. J. Drug Discovery Opportunities at the Endothelin B Receptor-Related Orphan
 620 G Protein-Coupled Receptors, GPR37 and GPR37L1. Front Pharmacol 6, 275,
 621 doi:10.3389/fphar.2015.00275 (2015).
- Meyer, R. C., Giddens, M. M., Schaefer, S. A. & Hall, R. A. GPR37 and GPR37L1 are
 receptors for the neuroprotective and glioprotective factors prosaptide and prosaposin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**,
 9529-9534, doi:10.1073/pnas.1219004110 (2013).
- 626 51 Pandy-Szekeres, G. *et al.* The G protein database, GproteinDb. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50,
 627 D518-D525, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab852 (2022).
- 628 52 Pandy-Szekeres, G. *et al.* GproteinDb in 2024: new G protein-GPCR couplings,
 629 AlphaFold2-multimer models and interface interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 52, D466630 D475, doi:10.1093/nar/gkad1089 (2024).
- 53 Jeffrey, J. J., Ehlich, L. S. & Roswit, W. T. Serotonin: an inducer of collagenase in myometrial smooth muscle cells. *J Cell Physiol* **146**, 399-406, doi:10.1002/jcp.1041460310 (1991).
- 54 Sakakibara, S. *et al.* Identification of lysophosphatidic acid in serum as a factor that
 promotes epithelial apical junctional complex organization. *J Biol Chem* 298, 102426,
 doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102426 (2022).
- 63755Saucier, C., Morris, S. J. & Albert, P. R. Endogenous serotonin-2A and -2C receptors in638Balb/c-3T3 cells revealed in serotonin-free medium: desensitization and down-regulation639by serotonin. Biochem Pharmacol 56, 1347-1357, doi:10.1016/s0006-2952(98)00244-5640(1998).
- Lu, S., Jang, W., Inoue, A. & Lambert, N. A. Constitutive G protein coupling profiles of
 understudied orphan GPCRs. *PLoS One* 16, e0247743,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0247743 (2021).

- 57 Schihada, H., Shekhani, R. & Schulte, G. Quantitative assessment of constitutive G
 645 protein-coupled receptor activity with BRET-based G protein biosensors. *Sci Signal* 14, eabf1653, doi:10.1126/scisignal.abf1653 (2021).
- 58 Yamaguchi, Y. *et al.* Nmu/Nms/Gpr176 Triple-Deficient Mice Show Enhanced Light648 Resetting of Circadian Locomotor Activity. *Biol Pharm Bull* 45, 1172-1179,
 649 doi:10.1248/bpb.b22-00260 (2022).
- Nakagawa, S., Nguyen Pham, K. T., Shao, X. & Doi, M. Time-Restricted G-Protein
 Signaling Pathways via GPR176, G(z), and RGS16 Set the Pace of the Master Circadian
 Clock in the Suprachiasmatic Nucleus. *Int J Mol Sci* 21, doi:10.3390/ijms21145055 (2020).
- 653 60 De Smet, V. *et al.* Orphan receptor GPR176 in hepatic stellate cells exerts a profibrotic 654 role in chronic liver disease. *JHEP Rep* **6**, 101036, doi:10.1016/j.jhepr.2024.101036 655 (2024).
- 656 61 Fu, W., Franchini, L. & Orlandi, C. Comprehensive Spatial Profile of the Orphan G Protein
 657 Coupled Receptor GPRC5B Expression in Mouse Brain. *Front Neurosci* 16, 891544,
 658 doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.891544 (2022).
- 659 62 Zhang, Q., Bang, S., Chandra, S. & Ji, R. R. Inflammation and Infection in Pain and the 660 Role of GPR37. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**, doi:10.3390/ijms232214426 (2022).
- 661 63 Meyer, R. C., Giddens, M. M., Coleman, B. M. & Hall, R. A. The protective role of 662 prosaposin and its receptors in the nervous system. *Brain Res* **1585**, 1-12, 663 doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2014.08.022 (2014).
- 64 64 Bolinger, A. A., Frazier, A., La, J. H., Allen, J. A. & Zhou, J. Orphan G Protein-Coupled 665 Receptor GPR37 as an Emerging Therapeutic Target. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **14**, 3318-666 3334, doi:10.1021/acschemneuro.3c00479 (2023).
- 667 65 Bang, S. *et al.* GPR37 regulates macrophage phagocytosis and resolution of inflammatory 668 pain. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 3568-3582, doi:10.1172/JCI99888 (2018).
- 66 66 Liu, B. *et al.* Glio- and neuro-protection by prosaposin is mediated by orphan G-protein
 670 coupled receptors GPR37L1 and GPR37. *Glia* 66, 2414-2426, doi:10.1002/glia.23480
 671 (2018).

Figure 1



Figure 1. Real-time activation of Gs-based chimeras by $G_{i/o/z}$ -coupled receptors. (A) Representative kinetics of cAMP accumulation in response to agonist stimulation of indicated GPCRs using 10 μ M dopamine, 10 μ M clonidine, 10 μ M GABA, and 1 μ M DAMGO, respectively. (B) Correlation between maximal amplitude and basal signal for each receptor co-expressed with indicated G protein chimeras. (C) Fold change calculated as the ratio of maximal amplitude over baseline reported in panel B. Data are shown as means ± SEM. N=3 independent replicates.

Figure 2



Figure 2. Optimization of transfection ratios between G_zESTY components. (A) Activation of indicated GPCRs with increasing amount of transfected Gs-based chimeras. (B) GPCR activation with the introduction of increasing concentrations of PTX-S1 subunit to eliminate the inhibition of adenylyl cyclase by endogenous G_{i/o} proteins. (C) GPCR activation in the presence of increasing amount of transfected GsGz plasmid. (D) Signal fold change with titration of each transfected receptor. (E) Fold-change comparison between standard transfection ratio and optimized ratio 50:47.5:1.8:0.7 (GloSensor:GPCR:GsGz:PTX). The data shown represent the average of 3-6 independent experiments (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, *p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001; ****p < .0001). Data are shown as means ± SEM.





Figure 3. G₂ESTY protocol optimization and Z factor calculation. (A) Assay temperature influences assay sensitivity. Assays performed at 28°C give a significantly higher signal. (B) FBS removal (4h serum starvation), use of charcoal-stripped FBS, or use of dialyzed FBS did not improve the assay signal. (C) Assays performed with cells in adhesion show a signal improvement for GABAB receptor but not for D2R, ADRA2A, and MOR. (D) Co-treatment with IBMX (T 5' setup) significantly increases the signal with each of the four GPCRs. Data shown represent the average of 3-5 independent experiments (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, p < .05; p < .01; p < .001; p < .001). Data are shown as means ± SEM. (E) Cells transiently transfected with D2R were treated with either 10 µM dopamine or vehicle. Dashed lines represent the means of the fold change. Dotted lines display three standard deviations from the mean of each data set. (F) Cells transfected with indicated plasmids were treated with 10 µM dopamine. Dashed lines represent the means of the fold change for cells expressing D2R or cells not expressing exogenous GPCRs (pcDNA, control). (G) Z factor calculation. Dotted lines indicate the threshold for robust assays. Data shown in panels E and F are representative of three independent experiments quantified in panel G as means ± SEM.

Figure 4



Figure 4. All-in-one G_zESTY plasmids. (A) Schematics of G_zESTY and each of its components. (B) Promoter efficiency estimation calculated as Nluc luminescence normalized over firefly luminescence signal in HEK293 cells transfected with a plasmid encoding nanoluc under the control of each of the indicated promoters and firefly under the control of UbC promoter. N=6 (C) Maps of the all-in-one plasmids generated. (D) Assay comparison in cells transiently transfected with four single plasmids or with each of the 4-in-one plasmids encoding each indicated GPCR. Data are shown as means \pm SEM; N=5.



Figure 5. G_zESTY applied to a battery of ligand-activated GPCRs. (A) Real-time analysis of ligand-mediated activation of a battery of G_{i/o/z}-PCRs (red) and G_s-PCR (green) in cells cotransfected with indicated receptors and the 3-in-one GzESTY plasmid in the presence of 50 µM IBMX. Cells transfected only with the 3-in-one plasmid served as control (white). The following agonists were applied at 4 minutes: 10 μ M dopamine (D2R, D1R), 100 μ M clonidine (ADRA2A, ADRA2B, ADRA2C), 10 µM GABA (GABABR), 10 µM DAMGO (MOR), 1 µM SNC-80 (DOR), 10 µM salvinorin A (KOR), 10 µM human galanin (1-30) (GAL1R), 100 µM serotonin (5-HT1B), 10 µM human neuropeptide Y (13-36) (NPYR1), 10 µM lysophosphatidic acid (LPAR2), 10 µM 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (CB1R, CB2R), 10 µM N-formyl-met-leu-phe (FPR1), 1 mM isobutyric acid (FFAR3), 10 μM somatostatin 14 (SSTR1), 10 μM neuropeptide FF (NPFFR1), 10 µM SEW2871 (S1PR1), 10 µM histamine (HRH3), 10 µM quinpirole (D3R), 10 μM TFLLR (PAR1), 10 μM SLIGKV (PAR2), 10 μM MK-6892 (HCA2R), 1 μM teriparatide PTH (PTH1R), 10 μM AB-MECA (ADORA2B), 10 μM NDP-α-MSH (MC4R), and 10 μM CGRP (CLR). Data are shown as means \pm SEM; N=5. (B) GPCRs that couple to G_s and/or G_{i/o/z} and can potentially be detected by GZESTY are highlighted and include 213 out of 249 total ligandactivated GPCRs (86%) (adapted from GPRCdb.org). (C) Quantification of agonist-induced activity for 24 $G_{i(n/2)}$ -coupled GPCRs. On the right, the response to agonist of the last seven GPCRs is also reported with a different scale. Data are shown as means ± SEM of the fold change obtained; N=5.

Figure 6



Figure 6. G, ESTY applications. (A) Concentration-response curves obtained for MOR stimulated with DAMGO, morphine, or β -endorphin. Data are shown as means ± SEM; N=3. (B) Concentration-response curves of endogenously expressed PAR1 activated with a selective agonist peptide TFLLR (left) or PAR2 activated with selective agonist peptide SLIGKV (right) in cells transfected only with the 3-in-one plasmid. Control cells were transfected with a 2-in-one plasmid not expressing GsGz chimera. Data are shown as means ± SEM; N=3. (C) Schematics of G₂ESTY application to test ligand presence in mouse brain extract. Mouse brains were isolated after 10" of microwave exposure to preserve peptides and small molecules from degradation. Brain homogenates were sonicated, and debris and insoluble materials were separated by centrifugation. Supernatant was then applied to cells transfected with G_zESTY and resuspended in 96-well plates. cAMP levels were measured before and after brain extract application for a total of 25 minutes (created with BioRender). (D) Crude brain extract application induces activation of D2R, ADRA2A, MOR, and GABAB receptors. Representative traces (left) and quantification of 5 independent experiments (right) indicate the presence of endogenous ligands in the brain extract. Data are shown as means ± SEM; N=5. (E) Representative traces (left) and quantification (right) indicating the activation of GPR176 and GPR37 by crude brain extract application. Data are shown as means ± SEM; N=6.