





Draft Genome Sequence of Ruminococcus sp. nov. B05, Isolated from Human Feces

Chien-Hsun Huang,a Jong-Shian Liou,a Chun-Lin Wang,a Lina Huanga

^aBioresource Collection and Research Center, Food Industry Research and Development Institute, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

ABSTRACT Ruminococcus sp. nov. B05 was isolated from a fecal sample of a 34year-old adult male in Taiwan, Republic of China. The genome assembly comprised 3,576,560 bp, with a 38.71% G+C content.

uring a study aimed at isolating novel bacterial species found in the human gut, we isolated Ruminococcus sp. nov. B05 from a fecal sample of a 34-year-old adult male in Taiwan, Republic of China, using the culturomics approach (1-3). Based on the sequencing of the complete 16S rRNA gene, strain B05 was found to exhibit 95.19% sequence identity with Ruminococcus lactaris ATCC 29176^T (GenBank accession number GCA 000155205), which indicates that this isolate may represent a new species. In addition, the average nucleotide identity (ANI) and digital DDH (dDDH) values between strains B05 and ATCC 29176^T were 74.48% and 24.5%, respectively, which were clearly higher than the generally accepted cutoff thresholds of 95% to 96% and 70% for delineation of prokaryotic species (4, 5), and this thus confirms that strain B05 represents a novel species.

Ruminococcus sp. nov. B05 is a strictly anaerobic bacterium. It grows on yeast extract, casitone, fatty acid, and glucose (YCFAG) agar (6) in 48 h or less at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. A loop of cells was taken from the plate, and genomic DNA was extracted with an EasyPrep HY genomic DNA extraction kit (Biotools Co. Ltd., Taiwan) following the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were constructed with approximately 1 μ g of genomic DNA with the NEBNext DNA library prep master mix set (NEB, Inc.), followed by paired-end (2×150 -bp) sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument at Beijing Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Sequencing the B05 strain generated about 1.4 Gbp paired-end raw reads. The low-quality paired-end sequences and adapter sequences were removed with an in-house program written by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. After adapter filtering and quality trimming, de novo assembly of the approximately 1.3 Gbp clean reads was performed with SOAPdenovo software version 2.04 under the default settings (7), which resulted in 70 scaffolds with an N_{50} value of 119,393 bp. The draft genome contains 3,576,560 bp, with a GC content of 38.71%, and it was annotated with the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Automatic Annotation Pipeline (PGAAP) to obtain 3,405 predicted genes, 11 rRNAs, 60 tRNAs, and 4 noncoding RNAs (8). Annotation of the protein-coding genes with Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST) version 2.01 (9) predicted 3,434 protein-coding genes, 886 of which had functional categories of SEED subsystems, and analysis with antiSMASH version 4.2 (10) predicted 11 biosynthetic gene clusters, which include biosynthetic gene clusters for exopolysaccharides, pradimicins, polysaccharides, equibactin, and emulsan.

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number RBZR00000000 (BioProject number PRJNA494619). The version described in this paper is the first version.

Citation Huang C-H, Liou J-S, Wang C-L, Huang L. 2019. Draft genome sequence of Ruminococcus sp. nov. B05, isolated from human feces. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e01458-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA

Editor Jason E. Stajich, University of California,

Copyright © 2019 Huang et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Chien-Hsun Huang, chh@firdi.org.tw.

Received 22 October 2018 Accepted 4 December 2018 Published 10 January 2019



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Ministry of Economic Affairs, Taiwan (projects number 107-EC-17-A-22-0643 and number 107-EC-17-A-22-0525).

REFERENCES

- Lagier J-C, Hugon P, Khelaifia S, Fournier P-E, La Scola B, Raoult D. 2015. The rebirth of culture in microbiology through the example of culturomics to study human gut microbiota. Clin Microbiol Rev 28:237–264. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00014-14.
- Brown HP, Forster SC, Anonye BO, Kumar N, Neville BA, Stares MD, Goulding D, Lawley TD. 2016. Culturing of "unculturable" human microbiota reveals novel taxa and extensive sporulation. Nature 533:543–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17645.
- 3. Lagier J-C, Armougom F, Million M, Hugon P, Pagnier I, Robert C, Bittar F, Fournous G, Gimenez G, Maraninchi M, Trape J-F, Koonin EV, La Scola B, Raoult D. 2012. Microbial culturomics: paradigm shift in the human gut microbiome study. Clin Microbiol Infect 18:1185–1193. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12023.
- Lee I, Kim YO, Park S-C, Chun J. 2016. OrthoANI: an improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66:1100–1103. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000760.
- Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M. 2013. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics 14:60. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2105-14-60.
- Duncan SH, Hold GL, Harmsen HJ, Stewart CS, Flint HJ. 2002. Growth requirements and fermentation products of Fusobacterium prausnitzii, and a proposal to reclassify it as Faecalibacterium prausnitzii gen. nov.,

- comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52:2141–2146. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-6-2141.
- Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, He G, Chen Y, Pan Q, Liu Y, Tang J, Wu G, Zhang H, Shi Y, Liu Y, Yu C, Wang B, Lu Y, Han C, Cheung DW, Yiu S-M, Peng S, Xiaoqian Z, Liu G, Liao X, Li Y, Yang H, Wang J, Lam T-W, Wang J. 2012. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memoryefficient short-read *de novo* assembler. Gigascience 1:18. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/2047-217X-1-18.
- Klimke W, Agarwala R, Badretdin A, Chetvernin S, Ciufo S, Fedorov B, Kiryutin B, O'Neill K, Resch W, Resenchuk S, Schafer S, Tolstoy I, Tatusova T. 2009. The National Center for Biotechnology Information's Protein Clusters Database. Nucleic Acids Res 37:D216–D223. https://doi.org/10 .1093/nar/qkn734.
- Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206–D214. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.
- Blin K, Wolf T, Chevrette MG, Lu X, Schwalen CJ, Kautsar SA, Suarez Duran HG, de los Santos ELC, Kim HU, Nave M, Dickschat JS, Mitchell DA, Shelest E, Breitling R, Takano E, Lee SY, Weber T, Medema MH. 2017. antiSMASH 4.0—improvements in chemistry prediction and gene cluster boundary identification. Nucleic Acids Res 45:W36–W41. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkx319.

Volume 8 Issue 2 e01458-18 mra.asm.org **2**